

# Older mothers produce more successful daughters

Svenja B. Kroeger<sup>1,2</sup>, Daniel T. Blumstein<sup>3,4</sup>, Kenneth B. Armitage<sup>4,5</sup>, Jane M. Reid<sup>1,6</sup> and Julien G. A. Martin<sup>1,7</sup>

<sup>1</sup> School of Biological Sciences, Zoology Building, University of Aberdeen, Tillydrone Avenue, Aberdeen, AB24 2TZ, UK <sup>2</sup> Department of Landscape and Biodiversity, The Norwegian Institute of Bioeconomy Research, Klæbuveien 153, Trondheim, 7031, Norway <sup>3</sup> Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of California, 621 Young Drive South, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1606, USA <sup>4</sup> The Rocky Mountain Biological Laboratory, Box 519, Crested Butte, CO 81224, USA <sup>5</sup> Department of Ecology & Evolutionary Biology, The University of Kansas, 1200 Sunnyside Avenue, Lawrence, KS 66045-7534, USA <sup>6</sup> Centre for Biodiversity Dynamics, Department of Biology, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Realfagbygget, Gløshaugen, Trondheim, Norway <sup>7</sup> Department of Biology, University of Ottawa, 30 Marie Curie, Ottawa, ON K1N 6N5, Canada

Submitted to Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America

**Annual reproductive success and senescence patterns vary substantially among individuals in the wild. However, it is still seldom considered that senescence may not only affect an individual, but also affect age-specific reproductive success in its offspring, generating transgenerational reproductive senescence. We used long-term data from wild yellow-bellied marmots (*Marmota flaviventer*) living in two different elevational environments to quantify age-specific reproductive success of daughters born to mothers differing in age. Contrary to prediction, daughters born to older mothers had greater annual reproductive success on average than daughters born to younger mothers, and this translated into greater lifetime reproductive success. However, in the favorable lower elevation environment, daughters born to older mothers also had greater age-specific decreases in annual reproductive success. In the harsher higher elevation environment on the other hand, daughters born to older mothers tended to die prior to reaching ages where such senescent decreases could be observed. Our study highlights the importance of incorporating environment-specific transgenerational parent age effects on adult offspring age-specific life-history traits to fully understand the substantial variation observed in senescence patterns in wild populations.**

Aging | life-history strategies | reproductive trade-offs | resource allocation

## Introduction

While there is compelling evidence that reproductive and actuarial senescence are commonplace in wild animal populations (reviewed by 1-2), variation in senescence rates among individuals within the same population remains challenging to explain. Recent studies in natural populations have shown that developmental conditions can impact an individual's late-life reproductive performance and senescence rates (i.e., the decrease in individual reproduction and survival probability with increasing age, e.g., 3-10). One potentially influential factor is the age of the individual's mother at the time of birth. Indeed, the rationale underlying classic evolutionary senescence theories can be extended to encompass fitness components of an individual's offspring rather than solely the focal individual's own future fecundity and survival. Complex effects of parent age on offspring life-histories could then arise, resulting in transgenerational senescence effects that could influence the offspring's senescence patterns and fitness and, more broadly, the evolution of lifespan and aging (11-12).

Based on senescence theory, older mothers might be expected to have fewer resources to allocate to reproduction, and therefore produce offspring that reproduce less successfully and senesce faster themselves (13-14). However, very few studies of natural populations have investigated effects of parental age on post-independence offspring performance (reviewed by 15). In particular, few studies have quantified transgenerational senescence effects, defined as effects of parental age at birth on an offspring's age-specific decrease in a given trait (but see 12, 16-17). Consequently, it is unclear whether maternal age effects can in fact be sufficiently long-lasting to affect offspring age-specific reproduc-


tion and senescence expressed several years post-birth. It is also unclear how such effects might depend on other factors that affect offspring's adult phenotypes. Indeed, heterogeneity is a central component of dynamic natural systems, and a key hypothesis is that the form and magnitude of transgenerational effects on offspring phenotype could vary with environmental conditions (18). Specifically, lower allocation of older mothers may only negatively affect performance of offspring that live in harsher environments (e.g., harsher current or natal environments as in 3, 19-25). Since studies on transgenerational senescence are rare overall, there is inevitably little knowledge on environment-dependent transgenerational senescence. One reason why such studies are rare is that detailed multi-generational data, including full life-histories of individuals, their mother's age at birth and developmental environmental conditions, are very difficult to obtain.

Here, we analyse 48 years (1967-2014) of longitudinal data on individual life-histories within a yellow-bellied marmot (*Marmota flaviventer*) population exhibiting substantial variation in litter size (0-10 pups) and inhabiting two different elevational sites with very different seasonal environmental conditions. Previous studies demonstrated typical patterns of within-generation senescence in female marmots (reproductive senescence, Alpine marmots, *Marmota marmota*; body mass senescence, yellow-bellied marmots, *M. flaviventer*; 26-27), and revealed substantial differences in phenology and associated life-history between the two elevational sites, reflecting major differences in local environmental harshness (28-29). It is commonly expected that

## Significance

**There is substantial variation in aging patterns across individuals. Even more interesting is that these patterns may not only depend on an individual's own age, but also that of its parents. However, little is known about such transgenerational effects of parent age, especially regarding offspring reproduction and senescence once they reach adulthood. Capitalizing on a long-term study of free-living yellow-bellied marmots where individuals' fates were followed throughout their lives, we show that daughters born to older mothers had greater annual and lifetime reproductive success but also senesced faster or tended to have shorter lifespans, depending on environmental harshness. Thus, parental age may have longer-lasting impacts on offspring performance and should be considered in future studies of aging in wild populations.**

## Reserved for Publication Footnotes



**Fig. 1. Daughter annual reproductive success (i.e., annual litter size) as a function of daughter age (years), mother age at birth (years) and elevation.** Daughters at (a) low and (b) high elevation were born to young (orange line, shading and crosses; 2-4 years), mid-aged (blue line, shading and empty circles; 5-8 years), or old (black line, shading and empty upside-down triangles; 8 years or more) mothers. Shaded areas show prediction approximated confidence intervals and points show the raw data. Mother age was fitted as a continuous variable and the three mother age categories depicted in this figure were used for illustration purposes.

individuals will adopt a conservative reproductive strategy under harsh conditions, which may involve transferring reproductive costs to offspring (30-31). This system therefore provides a valuable opportunity to test two key hypotheses regarding long-term transgenerational effects of maternal age at birth (hereafter referred to as "mother age") on reproductive senescence of female offspring living under different environmental conditions (i.e., environment-dependent transgenerational senescence).

First, daughters born to older mothers have lower annual reproductive success (mother age effect on daughter annual litter size, quantified here as 0-10 weaned pups) and higher rates of reproductive senescence (mother age effect on daughter age-specific decreases in annual litter size) than daughters born to younger mothers. The latter hypothesis can be tested through a two-way interaction between mother age and daughter age on daughter annual reproductive success.

Second, transgenerational effects of mother age depend on the environment, with daughters born to older mothers exhibiting faster senescence rates when (i) living at higher elevation (i.e., harsher current environment) than when living at lower elevation (i.e., more favorable current environment), and (ii) when born into a larger litter. Since offspring born into larger litters or broods commonly have reduced survival, lower weights and reduced subsequent probabilities of reproduction (e.g., 21-25), larger natal litter size is expected to represent a harsher natal environment. These hypotheses can be tested through three-way interactions among mother age, daughter age and elevation or litter size at birth, respectively.

In addition, while understanding effects of mother age on offspring reproductive senescence requires analyses of offspring age-specific reproduction rather than total lifetime reproduction (16), the form of selection on maternal life-history can additionally depend on the total fitness of daughters produced at each age. Therefore, we additionally tested for effects of mother age on daughter lifespan and lifetime reproductive success, and hence explicitly evaluated whether mother age affects overall daughter fitness.

## Results

Analyses of 656 observations of annual reproductive success for 218 individual known-aged daughters (2-14 years) with known-

aged mothers showed that daughters born to older mothers had higher, not lower, annual reproductive success on average than daughters born to younger mothers (Fig. 1a-b; Table 1). In line with prediction, we found a significant three-way interaction among a mother's age at daughter birth, daughter age and elevation (Table 1). This showed that, as predicted, daughters born to older mothers had faster decreases in age-specific reproductive success than daughters born to younger mothers and hence more rapid senescence (Fig. 1a; see *SI Appendix 1*, Fig. S1a for a 3D plot). However, opposite to prediction, these effects were only observed in the less harsh lower elevation environment, where model estimates imply that daughters born to mid-age mothers apparently did not senesce (Fig. 1a). In the harsher higher elevation environment, daughters born to older mothers commonly died before reaching ages where senescent decreases may have been observed (Fig. 1b; see *SI Appendix 1*, Fig. S1b for a 3D plot). While explicit analyses of daughter lifespan showed no significant effect of mother age at daughter birth (*SI Appendix 1*, Table S2), the interaction between mother age and elevation suggested that daughters born to older mothers at higher elevation tended to have shorter lifespans ( $\beta = -0.13, \pm 0.08, z = -1.62, p = 0.11$ ; *SI Appendix 1*, Table S2). However, due to their higher mean annual reproductive success, daughters born to older mothers had higher lifetime reproductive success at both elevations ( $\beta_{\text{MAB}} = 0.38, \pm 0.14, z = 2.66, p < 0.001$ ; *SI Appendix 1*, Table S3).

Contrary to prediction, there was no significant three-way interactive effect of mother age, daughter age and daughters' natal litter size on daughter annual reproductive success (*SI Appendix 1*, Table S1), and thus no evidence that resource restrictions arising in large litters affect daughter reproductive senescence. However, there was a significant two-way interactive effect of mother age and daughters' natal litter size on daughter annual reproductive success (Table 1), showing that effects of mother age depend on size of the litter into which the daughter was born. In daughters born to younger mothers, daughter annual reproductive success was higher on average when daughters were born into larger natal litters (Fig. 2). However, in daughters born to older mothers, daughter annual reproductive success was higher on average when daughters were born into smaller natal litters (Fig. 2).

Models fitted to data subsets comprising long-lived daughters, or daughters born to long-lived mothers, yielded qualitatively similar results to the models fitted to the full dataset (*SI Appendix 1*, Tables S4-5). This implies that estimated effects of daughter and mother age are not due to selective disappearance (e.g. 32-33) and can be interpreted at the individual level.

## Discussion


Our analyses revealed three strong transgenerational effects of mother age. First, daughter age-specific variation in annual reproductive success exhibited different patterns depending on maternal age at birth, suggesting that mother age plays an important role in shaping offspring reproduction and senescence. Second, the form and magnitude of these effects depended on elevation and natal litter size, and hence on environmental harshness in both the current and natal environment. Third, and contrary to prediction, daughters born to older mothers had higher mean annual reproductive success, and hence lifetime reproductive success, than daughters born to younger mothers.

Following senescence theory, we predicted a negative rather than the observed positive association between a mother's age at daughter birth and daughter annual reproductive success. Positive effects of parental age on offspring fitness components are commonly attributed to increased experience of older individuals (e.g., 34-35). The observed relationship could also be interpreted as 'terminal investment' (36-37), or 'terminal allocation' (following 38), which does not necessarily imply fitness costs resulting from increased allocation to reproduction at the end

**Table 1. Generalized linear mixed-effects model quantifying effects of maternal age at birth ('MAB'), daughter age, natal litter size, elevation, and number of mature females in the colony ('density') on daughter annual reproductive success (i.e., annual litter size).**

N = 656 daughter-year observations for 218 daughters (122 high elevation, 96 low elevation)				
	Estimate	SE	z	P-value
<b>Intercept</b>	<b>-0.44</b>	<b>0.20</b>	<b>-2.20</b>	<b>0.028</b>
Maternal age at birth	0.16	0.10	1.70	0.090
MAB <sup>2</sup>	0.01	0.14	0.06	0.951
<b>Age</b>	<b>0.30</b>	<b>0.08</b>	<b>3.72</b>	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
<b>Age<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>-0.34</b>	<b>0.13</b>	<b>-2.68</b>	<b>&lt;0.01</b>
<b>Natal litter size</b>	<b>0.18</b>	<b>0.09</b>	<b>2.03</b>	<b>0.043</b>
Elevation[high]	-0.06	0.21	-0.28	0.782
Density	-0.17	0.10	-1.74	0.082
<b>MAB<sup>2</sup> x Age<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>-0.34</b>	<b>0.12</b>	<b>-2.79</b>	<b>&lt;0.01</b>
<b>MAB x Natal litter size</b>	<b>-0.28</b>	<b>0.09</b>	<b>-3.05</b>	<b>&lt;0.01</b>
Elevation[high] x MAB <sup>2</sup>	0.08	0.19	0.44	0.663
Elevation[high] x Age <sup>2</sup>	-0.04	0.16	-0.24	0.808
<b>Elevation[high] x MAB<sup>2</sup> x Age<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>0.45</b>	<b>0.18</b>	<b>2.56</b>	<b>0.010</b>

Daughters were born to 97 different mothers between 1965 and 2008. Eliminated interaction terms are shown in Table S1 (*SI Appendix 1*). The reference for elevation is [low]. Fixed effects explained 12% of the variance in daughter litter size (marginal R<sup>2</sup>; 79). Random effects variances are 0.23, 0.02, 0.12 and 0.05 for mother identity, daughter identity, year observed and cohort respectively. Estimated effects sizes are reported with standard errors (SE) and z-test statistics (z). Significant terms are shown in bold.



**Fig. 2. Daughter annual reproductive success (i.e., annual litter size) as a function of mother age at birth (years) and daughter natal litter size.** Daughters were born to young (orange line, shading and crosses; 2-4 years), mid-aged (blue line, shading and empty circles; 5-8 years), or old (black line, shading and empty upside-down triangles; 8 years or more) mothers. Shaded areas show prediction approximated confidence intervals and points show the raw data. Mother age was fitted as a continuous variable and the three mother age categories depicted in this figure were used for illustration purposes.

of individuals' lives (39-40). Conversely, low annual reproductive success of daughters born to younger, less experienced mothers, may represent maternal constraint (lack of competence) and/or restraint, which implies lower investment in reproduction at younger ages when residual reproductive value is high (41-42). All else being equal, one implication of older mothers producing daughters with higher annual reproductive success would be that older mothers produce daughters with higher lifetime reproductive success, and this is indeed what we found. This result contrasts with most of the few previous studies of mother age effects on offspring lifetime reproductive success, which either found a negative association (4; 12), or no association (12; 16; but see 17).

As predicted, patterns of variation in daughter annual reproductive success in relation to mother age differed between elevational environments. However, interestingly, the less harsh lower elevation environment showed both the fastest and slowest senescence rates, with daughters born to older mothers senescing rapidly and daughters born to mid-age mothers apparently senescing little. Meanwhile, daughters born to young mothers followed a classic pattern of increasing initial annual reproductive success followed by a decrease at older ages. This pattern was also observed in the harsher higher elevation environment, but here daughters born to old mothers tended to die younger and therefore more rarely reached ages at which senescence could be observed. These results support the idea that environmental harshness may influence senescence trajectories in terms of reproductive performance, and potentially longevity (43-44). Our results show that such patterns can further depend on transgenerational effects of mother age at birth.

We also found that effects of mother age on daughter annual reproductive success depended on the daughter's natal litter size. Litter size at birth negatively impacted annual reproductive success of daughters born to older mothers but had a positive effect on daughters born to younger mothers. This indicates a trade-



off between number and quality of offspring in older females, as previously described in other systems (e.g., red squirrels, *Sciurus vulgaris*; lesser black-backed gulls, *Larus fuscus*; Columbian ground squirrels, *Urocitellus columbianus*; 23, 45-46). Older mothers can produce fewer daughters with a higher annual reproductive success, or more daughters with lower annual reproductive success (Fig. 2). There was no evidence of such a trade-off in young mothers.

Taken together, our results show that age-specific variation in daughter annual reproductive success depends on combinations of mother age at birth and current and natal environmental conditions. Studies which assess relationships between mother age and offspring early-life performance may therefore fail to capture the full extent of transgenerational senescence effects, because some effects are only expressed some considerable time post-weaning (i.e., in old offspring) or under specific environmental conditions. Our findings obviously raise the question of what underlies the varying effects of mother age. One mechanism could be that mothers of different ages differentially allocate resources to their own somatic maintenance versus that of their daughters, resulting in offspring that differ in 'biological age' at birth or weaning (18, 47-48). These possibilities are supported by mechanistic studies of telomeres and oxidative stress (49-52), and consistent with previous long-term studies of maternal effects on offspring senescence and lifespan (e.g., 16, 53-54). For example, offspring born to older mothers had earlier onset and increased rate of reproductive senescence in great tits (16), male common terns (*Sterna hirundo*) that were sired by older fathers had reduced lifespans (54), and female Asian elephants (*Elephas maximus*) born to older mothers had reduced overall survival and lifetime reproductive success (17). Our results then imply that mothers may adjust allocation depending on environmental conditions.

In the case of lower maternal resource allocation, daughters may compensate, for example through catch-up growth (55), and such compensatory strategies are known to translate into increased costs later in life, including earlier onset and/or increased senescence rates (55-56). Alternatively, daughters may use reduced maternal allocation as a cue for their future environment and/or somatic state, potentially leading to predictive adaptive responses (PARs; 57-58). If so, daughters at higher elevation may adopt a life-history strategy that matches their anticipated environment and/or future state (e.g., 7). A previous study suggests that female marmots prepare offspring for current environmental conditions through prenatal glucocorticoid levels and by adopting different age-dependent reproductive strategies (59). Such hypotheses require explicit empirical tests, and future studies could do so by experimentally altering environmental conditions and resource availability for mothers and daughters at different times in life (60). The increasing availability of long-term multi-generational datasets from wild populations will also allow comparative analyses across multiple species and environments, to further improve our understanding of transgenerational senescence.

One firm current conclusion is that daughter age-specific reproductive trajectories are associated with mother age at daughter birth, and that these associations vary with environmental harshness. Thus, our study adds new insights to the small but growing body of research in natural populations showing that mother age effects can be dynamic and sufficiently long-lasting to affect offspring senescence several years post-birth, as well as offspring fitness, supporting the idea that such transgenerational effects may play an important role in shaping overall senescence (16).

## Materials and Methods

### Study system

Yellow-bellied marmots are typically polygynous and most individuals live in colony groups consisting of one or several matriline (related females),

juveniles, yearlings and a resident adult male that defends its harem. Females are sexually mature from age two, mate after emerging from hibernation in late April or early May, and successfully reproducing females give birth underground to a single litter of 1-10 pups, between mid-May and mid-June (61-62). Pups are nursed for 25-35 days and are fully weaned and independent when they emerge from late June to mid-July (63).

The study area comprises a 5 km segment of the Colorado East River Valley (38°57'N, 106°59'W; 2900 m elevation) and spans two major sites that differ in elevation by about 165 m (hereafter: 'high elevation' and 'low elevation'). All females in the current dataset remained in the same elevation site throughout their lives. At high elevation, snowmelt is later, thus delaying and shortening the vegetation growing season (28, 63 pp. 119-129), and marmots emerge two weeks later than at low elevation (62, 64).

### Data collection and organisation

Since 1962, every year between mid-May and mid-September, marmots were repeatedly captured on a fortnightly schedule with baited Tomahawk traps at known burrow locations at both elevations. Since newly born litters cannot be accessed underground, pups are first captured at emergence in June or July. During the pup emergence season, colonies were monitored daily to identify and capture new pups within a week of emerging from their maternal burrows.

All individuals included in current analyses were first captured as pups at emergence. They were hence of known age, identifiable by uniquely numbered ear tags. Captured individuals were also sexed and dorsally marked with fur dye to allow identification from afar. Offspring were assigned to mothers from behavioral observations, and since 2002, genetic analyses were used to confirm assigned maternities based on a likelihood approach of 8-12 microsatellite loci at 95% trio confidence level, which returned 98% congruence with field observations (see methods in 65). As a measure of daughter annual reproductive success, we focused on the number of offspring weaned in a given year (i.e., annual "litter size"). This is a relevant measure to quantify reproduction, because in female mammals, lactation is the most energetically expensive component of reproduction (66-67). Thus, even if some unobserved pups died underground prior to emergence, the majority of reproductive costs per litter are likely captured by this measure. Natal litter size of a daughter was defined as the total number of weaned pups in the daughter's birth litter.

Our dataset comprised all observations of sexually mature daughters (i.e., age  $\geq 2$ ) for which age of the mother at the time of the daughter's birth was known. Since colonies are monitored intensively and annual recapture probability of adults was estimated to exceed 98% by multistate mark-recapture analyses (68-69), it is highly likely that unobserved females have died. Based on this, maternal and daughter lifespan were estimated as the age at which a mother or daughter was last observed.

All mother-daughter pairs lived either at high elevation or low elevation throughout their entire lives and hence experienced the same elevational environment. We only included daughters from cohorts where almost all individuals were already dead to minimize selective disappearance biases in the data structure. We retained four cohorts that only had one or two surviving individuals (contributing 52 daughters in total).

### Data summary

The data comprised a total of 656 daughter-year observations between 1967 and 2014, for 218 daughters (122 at high elevation; 96 at low elevation), born to 97 different mothers between 1965 and 2008 (*SI Appendix 1*, Fig. S2a). Mother cohorts ranged between 1962 and 2005 (*SI Appendix 1*, Fig. S2b; also see Figs. S3-4). The total number of daughters produced per mother ranged between 1 and 46 (median = 4; *SI Appendix 1*, Fig. S5). Of the 218 daughters, 128 weaned at least one litter during their lifetime (distributions of daughter litter sizes and how they vary with daughter age are shown in *SI Appendix 1*, Figs. S6-7). Each daughter's natal litter size, including the daughter, ranged between 1 and 10 pups (median = 5), and colony density ranged between 1 and 23 sexually mature females (median = 6). Mother and daughter ages ranged from 2 to 13 and 2 to 14 years respectively (median mother and daughter age across all observations = 4.0 and 3.0 years respectively; *SI Appendix 1*, Fig. S8).

### Statistical analyses

To quantify elevation-dependent relationships between daughter annual reproductive success and mother age, we fitted a generalised linear mixed-effects model (GLMM) assuming a Poisson distribution with log link. Fixed effects included second order orthogonal polynomials for mother age and daughter age, elevation as a two-level factor (high versus low) and natal litter size. The linear and quadratic effects for daughter and mother age were included to test for direct senescence and transgenerational senescence effects. Elevation accounted for the major between-site difference in environmental conditions encountered throughout a daughter's entire lifetime. We included key interactions between these effects to test specific hypotheses. To test if daughters born to older mothers have higher rates of reproductive senescence than daughters born to younger mothers, we fitted two-way interactions between mother and daughter age, for both linear and quadratic effects. To test if effects of mother age on daughter age-specific reproductive success and senescence differ between elevational environments, we fitted three-way interactions of elevation with linear and quadratic mother age and daughter age. To test if effects of mother age on daughter age-specific reproductive success and senescence are affected

545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612

by natal resource availability, as inferred from natal litter size, we fitted three-way interactions of natal litter size with linear and quadratic mother age and daughter age. If three-way interactions were not significant, we also tested two-way interactions of the environmental variable with linear and quadratic mother age. To account for potential density dependence or reproductive suppression effects on daughter annual reproductive success (as previously reported in yellow-bellied marmots, *M. flaviventer* and Alpine marmots, *M. marmota*; 70-71) we additionally fitted the number of sexually mature females within a daughter's colony (i.e., density) in each year of reproduction as a covariate.

Finally, we fitted two further GLMMs (again assuming Poisson distributions) to test whether mother age at daughter birth affects daughter lifespan and/or lifetime reproductive success (LRS). Daughter LRS was primarily measured as the total number of offspring weaned during a daughter's lifespan (i.e., a time-independent measure). We additionally calculated a time-dependent measure, individual  $\lambda$ , which down-weights offspring born later in life (see 72), but this measure was highly correlated with time-independent LRS (Spearman's  $\rho = 0.99$ ,  $N = 218$  daughters). Models for daughter LRS and lifespan included fixed effects of mother age (second order orthogonal polynomials), elevation, natal litter size, and interactions between elevation and mother age.

To account for non-independence of repeated observations of individuals, years and cohorts, models of daughter annual reproductive success included daughter identity nested within mother identity, year and daughter year of birth as random effects, and models of daughter LRS and lifespan included mother identity and year of birth as random effects. Since estimates of the dispersion parameter (ratio of residual deviance to residual degrees of freedom) indicated overdispersion of daughter annual reproductive success and LRS (ratios of 1.8 and 4.2 respectively), we additionally fitted observation-level random effects in those models (73).

Results are presented for full models, including non-significant fixed effects (74). However, to avoid biasing other estimates (75), non-significant interactions ( $P > 0.05$ ) were backwards eliminated based on ANOVA (type III) tests. When a three-way interaction was significant, all associated significant

and non-significant two-way interactions were retained. Mother and daughter ages were fitted as orthogonal polynomials up to the second order, which removed the correlation between linear and quadratic age, making them independently interpretable and allowing them to be independently fitted in interactions (76). To facilitate interpretation of coefficients and model convergence, all continuous predictors were scaled and centred with a mean of 0 and a variance of 1. Models were fitted with the glmer function from the package lme4 (77) in R version 3.3.1. (78). Model  $R^2$  values were calculated according to Nakagawa & Schielzeth's method (79), which allows calculation of two types of  $R^2$ : marginal  $R^2$  and conditional  $R^2$ . The marginal  $R^2$  describes the proportion of variance that is explained by fixed effects only, and the conditional  $R^2$  describes the proportion of variance explained by both fixed and random effects (79).

Finally, because biases can arise in cross-sectional analyses of age effects due to individual heterogeneity and selective disappearance (32-33), we fitted a series of additional models to different data subsets to confirm effects of polynomial terms and interactions estimated across the full dataset (detailed explanations in *SI Appendix 1*, "Supplementary analyses: Robustness of observed effects"; Figs. S9-11; Tables S4-S11).

#### Data availability.

The data and code will be made available through Dryad if the paper is accepted for publication.

#### Acknowledgements

Marmots were studied under UCLA research protocol ARC 2001-191-01 (approved by the UCLA Animal Care Committee on 13 May 2002 and renewed annually). Permits were issued by the Colorado Division of Wildlife. We are thankful to all marmoteers who contributed to data collection. S.B.K. was supported by the Natural Environment Research Council, J.G.A.M. by a Marie-Curie Fellowship, and D.T.B. by the National Geographic Society, UCLA (Faculty Senate and the Division of Life Sciences), a Rocky Mountain Biological Laboratory research fellowship, and the NSF (IDBR-0754247, DEB-1119660 and DEB-1557130 to D.T.B., and DBI 0242960, DBI-0731346 and REU-1226713 to the Rocky Mountain Biological Laboratory).

1. Nussey DH, Froy H, Lemaître J-F, Gaillard J-M, Austad SN (2013) Senescence in natural populations of animals: Widespread evidence and its implications for bio-gerontology. *Ageing Res Rev* 12:214-225.
2. Lemaître J-F, Gaillard J-M (2017) Reproductive senescence: new perspectives in the wild. *Biol Rev* 92:2182-2199.
3. Nussey DH, Kruuk LEB, Morris A, Clutton-Brock TH (2007) Environmental conditions in early life influence ageing rates in a wild population of red deer. *Curr Biol* 17:R1000-R1001.
4. Rödel HG, von Holst D, Kraus C (2009) Family legacies: short- and long-term fitness consequences of early-life conditions in female European rabbits. *J Anim Ecol* 78:789-797.
5. Bouwhuis S, Charmantier A, Verhulst S, Sheldon BC (2010b) Individual variation in rates of senescence: natal origin effects and disposable soma in a wild bird population. *J Anim Ecol* 79:1251-1261.
6. Monclús R, Blumstein DT (2012) Litter sex composition affects life-history traits in yellow-bellied marmots: Litter sex composition in marmots. *J Anim Ecol* 81:80-86.
7. Cartwright SJ, Nicoll MAC, Jones CG, Tatayah V, Norris K (2014) Anthropogenic Natal Environmental Effects on Life Histories in a Wild Bird Population. *Curr Biol* 24:536-540.
8. Kim S-Y, Metcalfe NB, Velando A (2016) A benign juvenile environment reduces the strength of antagonistic pleiotropy and genetic variation in the rate of senescence. *J Anim Ecol* 85:705-714.
9. Cooper EB, Kruuk LEB (2018) Ageing with a silver-spoon: A meta-analysis of the effect of developmental environment on senescence. *Evol Lett* 2:460-471.
10. Spagopoulou F, Teplitsky C, Lind MI, Gustafsson L, Maklakov A (2019) Silver-spoon upbringing improves early-life fitness but promotes reproductive ageing in a wild bird. *BioRxiv* 10.1101/535625 (31 January 2019).
11. Kern S, Ackermann M, Stearns SC, Kawecki TJ (2001) Decline in offspring viability as a manifestation of aging in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Evolution* 55:1822-1831.
12. Schroeder J, Nakagawa S, Rees M, Mannarelli M-E, Burke T (2015) Reduced fitness in progeny from old parents in a natural population. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 112:4021-4025.
13. Kirkwood TBL, Rose MR (1991) Evolution of senescence: late survival sacrificed for reproduction. *Proc Biol Sci* 332:15-24.
14. Kirkwood TBL, Austad SN (2000) Why do we age? *Nature* 408:233-238.
15. Fay R, Barbraud C, Delord K, Weimerskirch H (2016) Paternal but not maternal age influences early-life performance of offspring in a long-lived seabird. *Proc Biol Sci* 283:20152318.
16. Bouwhuis S, Charmantier A, Verhulst S, Sheldon BC (2010a) Trans-generational effects on ageing in a wild bird population. *J Evolution Biol* 23:636-642.
17. Reichert S, Berger V, Jackson J, Chapman SN, Htut W, Mar KU, Lummaa V (2019) Maternal age at birth shapes offspring life-history trajectory across generations in long-lived Asian elephants. *J Anim Ecol* 10.1111/1365-2656.13049 (21 June 2019).
18. van den Heuvel J, English S, Uller T (2016) Disposable soma theory and the evolution of maternal effects on ageing. *PLoS ONE* 11:e0145544.
19. Fox CW, Mousseau TA (1996) Larval host plant affects fitness consequences of egg size variation in the seed beetle *Stator limbatus*. *Oecologia* 107:541-548.
20. Reed TE, et al. (2008) Reproductive senescence in a long-lived seabird: rates of decline in late-life performance are associated with varying costs of early reproduction. *Am Nat* 171:E89-E101.
21. König B, Rießer J, Markl H (1988) Maternal care in house mice (*Mus musculus*): II. The energy cost of lactation as a function of litter size. *J Zool* 216:195-210.
22. Humphries MM, Boutin S (1996) Reproductive demands and mass gains: a paradox in female red squirrels (*Tamiasciurus hudsonicus*). *J Anim Ecol* 65:332-338.
23. Humphries MM, Boutin S (2000) The determinants of optimal litter size in free-ranging red squirrels. *Ecology* 81:2867-2877.
24. Brown GP, Shine R (2009) Beyond size-number trade-offs: clutch size as a maternal effect. *Philos T Roy Soc B* 364:1097-1106.
25. Hodges CJ, Bowers EK, Thompson CF, Sakaluk SK (2015) Cascading costs of reproduction in female house wrens induced to lay larger clutches. *J Evol Biol* 28:1383-1393.
26. Berger V, Lemaître J-F, Gaillard J-M, Cohas A (2015) How do animals optimize the size-number trade-off when aging? Insights from reproductive senescence patterns in marmots. *Ecology* 96:46-53.
27. Kroeger SB, Blumstein DT, Armitage KB, Reid JM, Martin JGA (2018) Age, state, environment, and season dependence of senescence in body mass. *Ecol Evol* 8:2050-2061.
28. Blumstein DT, Im S, Nicodemus A, Zugmeyer C (2004) Yellow-bellied marmots (*Marmota flaviventris*) hibernates socially. *J Mammal* 85:25-29.
29. Kilgore DL Jr, Armitage KB (1978) Energetics of yellow-bellied marmot populations. *Ecology* 59:78-88.
30. Hamel S, Côté SD, Festa-Bianchet M (2010) Maternal characteristics and environment affect the costs of reproduction in female mountain goats. *Ecology* 91:2034-2043.
31. Martin JGA, Festa-Bianchet M (2010) Bighorn ewes transfer the costs of reproduction to their lambs. *Am Nat* 176:414-423.
32. Vaupel JW, Yashin AI (1985) Heterogeneity's ruses: some surprising effects of selection on population dynamics. *Am Stat* 39:176-185.
33. van de Pol M, Verhulst S (2006) Age-dependent traits: A new statistical model to separate within- and between-individual effects. *Am Nat* 167:766-773.
34. Cameron EZ, Linklater WL, Stafford KJ, Minot EO (2000) Aging and improving reproductive success in horses: declining residual reproductive value or just older and wiser? *Behav Ecol Sociobiol* 47:243-249.
35. Weladji RB, et al. (2006) Good reindeer mothers live longer and become better in raising offspring. *Proc Biol Sci* 273:1239-1244.
36. Williams GC (1966) Natural selection, the costs of reproduction, and a refinement of Lack's principle. *Am Nat* 100:687-690.
37. Clutton-Brock TH (1984) Reproductive effort and terminal investment in iteroparous animals. *Am Nat* 123:212-229.
38. Weladji RB, et al. (2010) Age-specific changes in different components of reproductive output in female reindeer: terminal allocation or senescence? *Oecologia* 162:261-271.
39. Isaac JL, Johnson CN (2005) Terminal reproductive effort in a marsupial. *Biol Lett* 1:271-275.
40. McNamara JM, Houston AI, Barta Z, Scheuerlein A, Fromhage L (2009) Deterioration, death and the evolution of reproductive restraint in late life. *Proc Biol Sci* 276:4061-4066.
41. Curio E (1983) Why do young birds reproduce less well? *Ibis* 125:400-404.
42. Daunt F, Wanless S, Harris MP, Monaghan P (1999) Experimental evidence that age-specific reproductive success is independent of environmental effects. *Proc Biol Sci* 266:1489-1493.
43. Ricklefs RE, Cadena CD (2007) Lifespan is unrelated to investment in reproduction in populations of mammals and birds in captivity. *Ecol Lett* 10:867-872.
44. Marasco V, Boner W, Griffiths K, Heidinger B, Monaghan P (2018) Environmental conditions shape the temporal pattern of investment in reproduction and survival. *Proc Biol Sci* 285:20172442.
45. Nager RG, Monaghan P, Houston DC (2000) Within-clutch trade-offs between the number and quality of eggs: experimental manipulations in gulls. *Ecology* 81:1339-1350.

681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
700  
701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748

46. Skibiel AL, Speakman JR, Hood WR (2013) Testing the predictions of energy allocation decisions in the evolution of life-history trade-offs. *Funct Ecol* 27:1382–1391.

47. Belsky DW, et al. (2015) Quantification of biological aging in young adults. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 112:4104–4110.

48. Monaghan P (2010) Telomeres and life histories: the long and the short of it: Telomeres and life histories. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 1206:130–142.

49. Heidinger BJ, et al. (2012) Telomere length in early life predicts lifespan. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 109:1743–1748.

50. Haussmann MF, Longenecker AS, Marchetto NM, Juliano SA, Bowden RM (2012) Embryonic exposure to corticosterone modifies the juvenile stress response, oxidative stress and telomere length. *Proc Biol Sci* 279:1447–1456.

51. Boonekamp JJ, Mulder GA, Salomons HM, Dijkstra C, Verhulst S (2014) Nestling telomere shortening, but not telomere length, reflects developmental stress and predicts survival in wild birds. *Proc Biol Sci* 281:20133287–20133287.

52. Tissier ML, Williams TD, Criscuolo F (2014) Maternal Effects Underlie Ageing Costs of Growth in the Zebra Finch (*Taeniopygia guttata*). *PLoS ONE* 9:e97705.

53. Reid JM, et al. (2010) Parent age, lifespan and offspring survival: structured variation in life history in a wild population. *J Anim Ecol* 79:851–862.

54. Bouwhuis S, Vedder O, Becker PH (2015) Sex-specific pathways of parental age effects on offspring lifetime reproductive success in a long-lived seabird: sex-specific parental effects on offspring fitness. *Evolution* 69:1760–1771.

55. Metcalfe NB, Monaghan P (2001) Compensation for a bad start: grow now, pay later? *Trends Ecol Evol* 16:254–260.

56. Metcalfe NB, Monaghan P (2003) Growth versus lifespan: perspectives from evolutionary ecology. *Exp Gerontol* 38:935–940.

57. Gluckman PD, Hanson MA, Spencer HG (2005) Predictive adaptive responses and human evolution. *Trends Ecol Evol* 20:527–533.

58. Nettle D, Frankenhuys WE, Rickard IJ (2013) The evolution of predictive adaptive responses in human life history. *Proc Biol Sci* 280:20131343–20131343.

59. Monclús R, Tiulim J, Blumstein DT (2011) Older mothers follow conservative strategies under predator pressure: The adaptive role of maternal glucocorticoids in yellow-bellied marmots. *Horm Behav* 60:660–665.

60. Monaghan P (2008) Early growth conditions, phenotypic development and environmental change. *Philos T Roy Soc B* 363:1635–1645.

61. Frase BA, Hoffmann RS (1980) *Marmota flaviventris*. *Mamm Species* 135:1–8.

62. Blumstein DT (2009) Social effects on emergence from hibernation in yellow-bellied marmots. *J Mammal* 90:1184–1187.

63. Armitage KB (2014) *Marmot Biology: Sociality, Individual Fitness, and Population Dynamics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge).

64. Monclús R, Pang B, Blumstein DT (2014) Yellow-bellied marmots do not compensate for a late start: the role of maternal allocation in shaping life-history trajectories. *Evol Ecol* 28:721–733.

65. Blumstein DT, Lea AJ, Olson LE, Martin JGA (2010) Heritability of anti-predatory traits: vigilance and locomotor performance in marmots. *J Evolution Biol* 23:879–887.

66. Oftedal OT (1985) Pregnancy and lactation. *Bioenergetics of wild herbivores* (CRC Press,

Florida), pp 215–238.

67. Clutton-Brock TH, Albon SD, Guinness FE (1989) Fitness costs of gestation and lactation in wild mammals. *Nature* 337:260–262.

68. Ozgul A, Armitage KB, Blumstein DT, Oli MK (2006) Spatiotemporal variation in survival rates: implications for population dynamics of yellow-bellied marmots. *Ecology* 87:1027–1037.

69. Ozgul A, Oli MK, Olson LE, Blumstein DT, Armitage KB (2007) Spatiotemporal variation in reproductive parameters of yellow-bellied marmots. *Oecologia* 154:95–106.

70. Armitage KB (2003) Reproductive competition in female yellow-bellied marmots. *Adaptive strategies and diversity in marmots*, eds. Ramousse R, Allainé D, Le Berre, M (International Marmot Network, Lyon), pp 133–142.

71. Hackländer K, Mostl E, Arnold W (2003) Reproductive suppression in female Alpine marmots (*Marmota marmota*). *Anim Behav* 65:1133–1140.

72. Reid JM, Nietlisbach P, Wolak ME, Keller LF, Arcese P (2019) Individuals' expected genetic contributions to future generations, reproductive value, and short-term metrics of fitness in free-living song sparrows (*Melospiza melodia*). *Evol Lett* 3:271–285.

73. Elston DA, Moss R, Boulinier T, Arrowsmith C, Lambin X (2001) Analysis of aggregation, a worked example: numbers of ticks on red grouse chicks. *Parasitology* 122:563–569.

74. Whittingham MJ, Stephens PA, Bradbury RB, Freckleton RP (2006) Why do we still use stepwise modelling in ecology and behaviour? *J Anim Ecol* 75:1182–1189.

75. Engqvist L (2005) The mistreatment of covariate interaction terms in linear model analyses of behavioural and evolutionary ecology studies. *Anim Behav* 70:967–971.

76. Crawley MJ (2007). *The R Book* (John Wiley & Sons Ltd, Chichester).

77. Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker B, Walker S (2015) Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. *J Stat Softw* 67:1–48.

78. R Core team (2016) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. *R Foundation for Statistical Computing*. Available at <http://www.R-project.org/>

79. Nakagawa S, Schielzeth H (2013) A general and simple method for obtaining  $R^2$  from generalized linear mixed-effects models. *Methods Ecol Evol* 4:133–142.

**Figure 1. Daughter annual reproductive success (i.e., annual litter size) as a function of daughter age (years), mother age at birth (years) and elevation.** Daughters at (a) low and (b) high elevation were born to young (orange line, shading and crosses; 2–4 years), mid-aged (blue line, shading and empty circles; 5–8 years), or old (black line, shading and empty upside-down triangles; 8 years or more) mothers. Shaded areas show prediction approximated confidence intervals and points show the raw data. Mother age was fitted as a continuous variable and the three mother age categories depicted in this figure were used for illustration purposes.

**Figure 2. Daughter annual reproductive success (i.e., annual litter size) as a function of mother age at birth (years) and daughter natal litter size.** Daughters were born to young (orange line, shading and crosses; 2–4 years), mid-aged (blue line, shading and empty circles; 5–8 years), or old (black line, shading and empty upside-down triangles; 8 years or more) mothers. Shaded areas show prediction approximated confidence intervals and points show the raw data. Mother age was fitted as a continuous variable and the three mother age categories depicted in this figure were used for illustration purposes.

749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816

Please review all the figures in this paginated PDF and check if the figure size is appropriate to allow reading of the text in the figure.

If readability needs to be improved then resize the figure again in 'Figure sizing' interface of Article Sizing Tool.