Henry Ford Health Henry Ford Health Scholarly Commons

Internal Medicine Articles

Internal Medicine

1-1-2021

Response

Yervant Ichkhanian Henry Ford Health, yichkha1@hfhs.org

Juliana Yang

Mouen A. Khashab

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarlycommons.henryford.com/internalmedicine_articles

Recommended Citation

Ichkhanian Y, Yang J, and Khashab MA. Response. Gastrointest Endosc 2021; 93(1):279-280.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Internal Medicine at Henry Ford Health Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Internal Medicine Articles by an authorized administrator of Henry Ford Health Scholarly Commons.

EUS-guided enteroenteral bypass for transenteric ERCP: building on prior knowledge

To the Editor:

We read with interest the article from Ichkhanian et al.¹ On the basis of our experience, we fully agree that EUSguided enteroenteral anastomosis is a safe and effective technique to gain access to the biliary tree and perform ERCP in patients with surgically altered anatomy. Indeed, the present article is not the first report of such a procedure. In 2014, Perez-Miranda et al² reported the first EUS-guided transenteric anastomosis performed to treat a recurrent biliary malignancy in altered anatomy. Similarly, the same technique was described by our group to treat a benign biliary stricture after Roux-en-Y reconstruction.³ Then, in April 2019, a wider series reported the same procedure on 32 patients, after Whipple pancreaticoduodenectomy or other surgery with Roux-en-Y reconstruction.⁴ Successful placement of enteroenteric lumen-apposing metal stents was achieved in 31 of 32 patients (96%.9), and in all of them ERCP was performed.

In conclusion, the present study confirms EUS-guided enteroenteral bypass as a valuable option to perform ERCP in patients with surgically altered anatomy, especially, as the authors stated, when multiple procedures are needed. The current article builds on several years of previously published work and emphasizes the growing role of this procedure.

DISCLOSURE

All authors disclosed no financial relationships.

Massimiliano Mutignani, MD Giulia Bonato, MD Lorenzo Dioscoridi, MD, PhD Francesco Pugliese, MD Marcello Cintolo, MD Edoardo Forti, MD

Digestive and Interventional Endoscopy Unit Grande Ospedale Metropolitano Niguarda Milan, Italy

REFERENCES

- 1. Ichkhananian Y, Yang J, James TW, et al. EUS-directed transenteric ERCP in non-Roux-en-Y gastric bypass surgical anatomy patients (with video). Gastrointest Endosc 2020;91:1188-94.e2.
- 2. Perez-Miranda M, Sanchez-Ocana R, de la Serna Higuera C, et al. Transenteric anastomosis with lumen-apposing metal stent as a conduit for iterative endotherapy of malignant biliary obstruction in altered anatomy. Gastrointest Endosc 2014:80:339.
- 3. Mutignani M, Manta R, Pugliese F, et al. Endoscopic ultrasound-guided duodenojejunal anastomosis to treat postsurgical Roux-en-Y hepaticojejunostomy stricture: a dream or a reality? Endoscopy 2015;47:E350-1.

an effective new approach to the treatment of postsurgical complications of hepaticojejunostomy. Endoscopy 2019;51:1146-50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gie.2020.07.046

Response:

We appreciate the interest of Mutignani et al¹ in our article on EUS-directed transenteric ERCP (EDEE) for the management of pancreatobiliary disease in patients with non-Roux-en-Y gastric bypass surgical anatomy.²

In our case series, we highlighted the experience of 7 international tertiary care centers on performing de novo enteroenteral anastomosis using a lumen-apposing metal stent (LAMS) for the management of pancreatobiliary (PB) diseases in patients with non-RYGB surgically altered anatomy. Mutignani et al¹ appropriately highlighted the promising outcomes of EDEE for the management of pancreatobiliary diseases, particularly in cases where multiple interventions are anticipated.

EDEE is a technically challenging procedure, and an essential step is the identification of the afferent or the target limb in the setting of complex altered anatomy. In the case series reported by Mutignani et al^3 in April 2019, a percutaneous transhepatic biliary drainage (PTBD) catheter was used to opacify and distend the afferent limb in the majority of cases. This was followed by transluminal advancement and deployment of a biflanged fully covered self-expandable metal stent. For patients who have initially undergone percutaneous biliary decompression, the PTBD catheter can be used to inject saline/contrast solution to distend the afferent limb and facilitate subsequent EDEE.

We propose that PTBD for the sole purpose of facilitating EDEE can often be avoided. We have previously described the "direct EUS puncture" technique for identifying and opacifying the afferent limb as opposed to using other techniques such as PTBD.⁴ In the direct technique, the afferent limb is identified by EUS by following the hepatic duct insertion into the small bowel. This small bowel loop is punctured with a 19-gauge needle, and saline/contrast solution is injected. Filling of the afferent limb (and possibly opacification of the biliary tree) confirms accessing the correct limb. This is then followed directly with the advancement of a cautery-tipped lumenapposing metal stent. In our study, the majority (15/18) of patients underwent EDEE by the direct technique, and none required PTBD before EDEE. We believe this decreases the overall invasiveness of this approach and diminishes the number of procedures required.

DISCLOSURE

Dr Khashab is a consultant for Boston Scientific, Medtronic, and Olympus. The other authors disclosed no financial relationships.

Volume 93, No. 1 : 2021 GASTROINTESTINAL ENDOSCOPY 279

Letters to the Editor

4. Mutignani M, Forti E, Larghi A, et al. Endoscopic entero-enteral bypass:

Downloaded for Anonymous User (n/a) at Henry Ford Hospital / Henry Ford Health System (CS North America) from ClinicalKey.com by Elsevier on March 18, 2021.

For personal use only. No other uses without permission. Copyright ©2021. Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Yervant Ichkhanian, MD

Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology Johns Hopkins Medical Institution Baltimore, Maryland Department of Medicine Henry Ford Hospital Detroit, Michigan Juliana Yang, MD

Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology Vanderbilt University Medical Center Nashville, Tennessee

Mouen A. Khashab, MD

Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology Johns Hopkins Medical Institution Baltimore, Maryland, USA

REFERENCES

- 1. Mutignani M, Bonato G, Dioscoridi L, et al. EUS-guided enteroenteral bypass for transenteric ERCP: building on prior knowledge. Gastrointest Endosc 2021;93:279.
- Ichkhanian Y, Yang J, James TW, et al. EUS-directed transenteric ERCP in non–Roux-en-Y gastric bypass surgical anatomy patients (with video). Gastrointest Endosc 2020;91:1188-94.
- Mutignani M, Forti E, Larghi A, et al. Endoscopic entero-enteral bypass: an effective new approach to the treatment of postsurgical complications of hepaticojejunostomy. Endoscopy 2019;51:1146-50.
- 4. Brewer Gutierrez Ol, Runge T, Ichkhanian Y, et al. Lumen-apposing metal stent for the creation of an endoscopic duodenojejunostomy to facilitate bile duct clearance following Roux-en-Y hepaticojejunostomy. Endoscopy 2019;51:E400-1.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gie.2020.08.012

Concerns of en bloc resection on long-term outcomes after EMR for colorectal laterally spreading tumor

To the Editor:

Recently, Tate et al¹ reported in a size-matched cohort study that en bloc EMR e-EMR offered no long-term advantage for predicted benign colonic laterally spreading lesions \leq 25 mm but was associated with an increased risk of major deep mural injury. We read the report with interest and would like to raise several concerns. We would appreciate the authors' clarification of some details.

First, complete en bloc resection of laterally spreading tumors (LSTs), especially for lesions >20 mm, is generally difficult by simple EMR; piecemeal endoscopic mucosal resection (p-EMR) and endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) are often introduced.² It is very important to do a preoperative assessment and determine the indication for EMR. Although the diagnostic accuracy of pit pattern reaches 83%, a preceding biopsy can provide clearer pathologic results without increasing the rates of incomplete tumor resection and adverse events.^{3,4} Did the patients in the study undergo forceps biopsies before

EMR, and was the result of pathologic analysis of the biopsy specimen consistent with the postoperative pathologic changes?

Second, we noticed that >10% of macroscopically complete resections by e-EMR did not achieve R0 resection histologically. Additional treatments such as thermal ablation and hot avulsion were required.⁵ In this cohort study, e-EMR was chosen for the cases of suspected submucosal Supplementary Table 1. invasion. On surgical histopathologic analysis confirmed more residual cancer after successful index e-EMR versus p-EMR. Instead of simple size matching, tumor type and invasion risk should be discussed and analyzed by propensity score matching to reduce the conclusion bias.

Third, Burgess et al⁶ reported that deep mural injury during EMR was associated with lesion size and significant submucosal fibrosis. Fibrosis may indicate potential invasion or lymphovascular involvement, especially in the nongranular pseudodepressed type and nodular mixed type.⁷ Subtype analysis of LSTs should be suggested. For p-EMR, Terasaki et al² concluded that the local recurrence rate was significantly higher for lesions that were resected in \geq 3 pieces in comparison with lesions resected in 2 pieces or en bloc. It would be helpful to list the number of pieces resected by p-EMR and to make a subgroup analysis.

DISCLOSURE

All authors disclosed no financial relationships.

Lan Wang, MD Department of Digestive Endoscopy Lili Zhao, PhD Xiang Wang, MD, PhD Department of Digestive Endoscopy Department of General Surgery Hospital of Nanjing Medical University Nanjing, China

REFERENCES

- Tate DJ, Sidhu M, Bar-Yishay I, et al. Impact of en bloc resection on longterm outcomes after endoscopic mucosal resection: a matched cohort study. Gastrointest Endosc 2020;91:1155-63.e1.
- Terasaki M, Tanaka S, Oka S, et al. Clinical outcomes of endoscopic submucosal dissection and endoscopic mucosal resection for laterally spreading tumors larger than 20 mm. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2012;27:734-40.
- Sakamoto T, Nakajima T, Matsuda T, et al. Comparison of the diagnostic performance between magnifying chromoendoscopy and magnifying narrow-band imaging for superficial colorectal neoplasms: an online survey. Gastrointest Endosc 2018;87:1318-23.
- 4. Fukunaga S, Nagami Y, Shiba M, et al. Impact of preoperative biopsy sampling on severe submucosal fibrosis on endoscopic submucosal dissection for colorectal laterally spreading tumors: a propensity score analysis. Gastrointest Endosc 2019;89:470-8.