UPTAKE, TRANSPORT AND ASSIMILATION

Revised: 30 November 2020



Rhizosphere pH and cation-anion balance determine the exudation of nitrification inhibitor 3-*epi*-brachialactone suggesting release via secondary transport

Konrad Egenolf ^{1,2}	Supriya Verma ^{1,0}	⁶ Jochen Schöne ³	Iris Klaiber ⁴
Jacobo Arango ²	Georg Cadisch ¹	Günter Neumann ⁵	Frank Rasche ¹ [©]

¹Institute of Agricultural Sciences in the Tropics (Hans-Ruthenberg-Institute), University of Hohenheim, Stuttgart, Germany

²Department of Tropical Forages Program, The Alliance of Bioversity International and the International Center for Tropical Agriculture (CIAT), Cali, Colombia

³Institute of Phytomedicine, University of Hohenheim, Stuttgart, Germany

⁴Mass Spectrometry Unit, Core Facility Hohenheim, University of Hohenheim, Stuttgart, Germany

⁵Institute of Crop Sciences, University of Hohenheim, Stuttgart, Germany

⁶Institute of Crop Science and Plant Breeding, Christian-Albrechts-University of Kiel, Kiel, Germany

Correspondence

Frank Rasche, Institute of Agricultural Sciences in the Tropics (Hans-Ruthenberg-Institute), University of Hohenheim, 70593 Stuttgart, Germany.

Email: frank.rasche@uni-hohenheim.de

Funding information

Anton & Petra Ehrmann-Foundation; Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung; CGIAR Research Program (CRP) on Climate Change, Agriculture and Food Security (CCAFS); Departamento Administrativo de Ciencia, Tecnología e Innovación (COLCIENCIAS); Universitaet Hohenheim

Edited by: J. Schjøerring

Abstract

Biological nitrification inhibition (BNI) of Brachiaria humidicola has been attributed to nitrification-inhibiting fusicoccanes, most prominently 3-epi-brachialactone. However, its release mechanism from B. humidicola roots remains elusive. Two hydroponic experiments were performed to investigate the role of rhizosphere pH and nutritional N form in regulating 3-epi-brachialactone release by B. humidicola and verify the underlying release pathway. Low rhizosphere pH and NH₄⁺ nutrition promoted 3-epibrachialactone exudation. However, the substitution of NH₄⁺ by K⁺ revealed that the NH4⁺ effect was not founded in a direct physiological response to the N form but was related to the cation-anion balance during nutrient uptake. Release of 3-epibrachialactone correlated with the transmembrane proton gradient ΔpH and NH_4^+ uptake (R^2 = 0.92 for high ~6.8 and R^2 = 0.84 for low ~4.2 trap solution pH). This corroborated the release of 3-epi-brachialactone through secondary transport, with the proton motive force (ΔP) defining transport rates across the plasma membrane. It was concluded that 3-epi-brachialactone release cannot be conceptualized as a regulated response to soil pH or NH4⁺ availability, but merely as the result of associated changes in ΔP .

1 | INTRODUCTION

Biological nitrification inhibition (BNI) is defined as the plant-mediated control of soil nitrification via the release of nitrification inhibitors

(Subbarao et al., 2006). This phenomenon has been described in situ for the forage grasses *Brachiaria humidicola* and *Hyparrhenia diplandra* as well as for the grain crop *Sorghum bicolor* (Lata et al., 2004; Sarr et al., 2019; Sylvester-Bradley et al., 1988; Tesfamariam et al., 2014).

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. © 2020 The Authors. Physiologia Plantarum published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of Scandinavian Plant Physiology Society.

Physiologia Plantarun

Furthermore, BNI has been proposed for a series of graminaceous crops due to their ex situ proven ability to produce and release antimicrobial compounds with nitrification-inhibiting activity, e.g. *Leymus racemosus, Triticum aestivum*, and *Oryza sativa* (O'Sullivan et al., 2016; Subbarao, Tomohiro, et al., 2007; Sun et al., 2016). In several cases, nitrification-inhibiting compounds have been successfully isolated and identified. Prominent examples for *B. humidicola* are low-molecular-weight (LMW) terpenoids such as brachialactone (Subbarao et al., 2009) and its recently described isomer/derivative 3-*epi*-brachialactone and 3,18-epoxy-9-hydroxy-4,7-*seco*-brachialactone, both showing a significantly higher BNI activity than brachialactone (Egenolf et al., 2020). Methyl 3-(4-hydroxyphenyl) propionate, sakuranetin, and sorgoleone were found in *S. bicolor* (Subbarao et al., 2013; Tesfamariam et al., 2014; Zakir et al., 2008), while 1,9-decandiol was characterized for *O. sativa* (Sun et al., 2016).

The release mechanism of nitrification inhibitors (NI), however, is still a matter of debate. One acknowledged mechanism is the stimulation of NI release by low rhizosphere pH and NH₄⁺ nutrition, as was proven in hydroponic experiments. This has been described for brachialactone in *B. humidicola* (Subbarao et al., 2009; Subbarao, Wang, et al., 2007), polar NIs released by *S. bicolor* (Di et al., 2018), unidentified NIs from *L. racemosus* (Subbarao, Wang, et al., 2007) and to a certain extent also for 1,9-decandiol in *O. sativa* (Pariasca Tanaka et al., 2010). These results were perceived as evidence for NIs being secreted via a regulated process, e.g. active transport or facilitated diffusion (Subbarao, Wang, et al., 2018) attributed the increase of NI release to membrane damage associated with low pH and, hence, suggested an unregulated, passive release mechanism as the principle release pathway.

Active transport is defined as an energy-driven primary or secondary transport, whereas passive exudation refers to diffusion along a concentration gradient, either through the plasma-membrane (nonpolar compounds) or via channel proteins (polar compounds) (Marschner, 1995). Furthermore, exudation is considered as regulated if the plant controls the release rate and directly responds to ecological triggers. In the case of S. bicolor, Di et al. (2018) explained the stimulating effect of NH₄⁺ on NI release by a signaling cascade, where NH_4^+ uptake leads to an increase in plasmalemma (PM) H⁺ATPase activity triggering the opening of anion channels, which is finally responsible for NI release. This theory thus suggests a regulated, facilitated passive transport as release pathway. However, it is widely recognized that NH4+-dominated nutrition generally leads to the activation of PM-H⁺ATPase (Alvarez-Pizarro et al., 2014; Schubert & Yan, 1997). Furthermore, the subsequent signal cascade and the proposed NI channels remain merely speculative.

Taking this controversy as an impetus, the objective of this study was to investigate the ecophysiological conditions and release pathway regulating the exudation of 3-*epi*-brachialactone, the most bioactive brachialactone isomer described so far for *B. humidicola*. We hypothesized that (1) low pH and NH_4^+ nutrition both stimulate the exudation rates of 3-*epi*-brachialactone. Accordingly, we hypothesized that (2) these stimulating effects are specific to 3-*epi*-brachialactone

exudation, whereby its release is a regulated process and that (3) NH_4^+ supply constitutes a prerequisite for 3-*epi*-brachialactone accumulation in planta and release into the rhizosphere of *B. humidicola*.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Experimental setup

Two consecutive hydroponic experiments were performed. The hydroponic system itself was designed in a way to ensure root cell plasma membrane integrity during exudate collection, e.g. by using only complete/balanced trap solutions, cross-validating primary metabolite release/loss (sugars, amino acids) and restricting the exudate collection time to a maximum of 6 h to avoid severe pH drops in the trap solution.

Experiment 1 was conceptualized to address hypotheses 1 and 2. It was designed as a two-factorial experiment with four replications, with "*pH*" (4.2 vs. 6.8) and "*Trap solution*" (NH_4^+ vs. NO_3^-) as experimental factors. Exudation rates of 3-*epi*-brachialactone, total sugar (TS), and amino acids (AA) were treated as response variables.

Experiment 2 was conceptualized to address hypothesis 3. It was designed as a two-factorial experiment with three replications. Experimental factors consisted in "*Preculture*" and "*Trap solution*." "*Preculture*" refers to the N source (NH_4^+ or NO_3^-) offered to the plants during a pre-culture period of 10 days prior to exudate collection, a time span considered sufficient for de novo synthesis of young root tissue, active in nutrient uptake and metabolite release. "*Trap solution*" refers to the nutrient solution offered during exudate collection and consisted of a NH_4^+ and a NO_3^- treatment plus an additional K⁺ treatment (without N source). The latter treatment was included to separate charge balance effects from physiological responses directly related to N nutrition.

2.2 | Hydroponic system and trap solutions used for the experiments

Brachiaria humidicola cv. CIAT 679 plants (commercial name "Tully," ranked as high BNI cultivar) were propagated and raised during 6 weeks in a growth chamber-based hydroponic system with a day length of 12 h (6:00–18:00 h), light intensity of 525 W m⁻², air humidity of 75% and day/night temperatures of $30/20^{\circ}$ C. The nutrient solution contained [μ M]: NH₄NO₃ [1200], KNO₃ [400], Ca(NO₃)₂ [400], HNO₃ [600], K₂HPO₄ [200], MgSO₄ [200], MgCl₂ [100], Na₂SiO₃ [200], FeNA-EDTA [50], H₃BO₃ [10.0], MnSO₄ [4.0], ZnSO₄ [4.0], CuSO₄ [1.0], Na₂MoO₄ [1.0]. The nutrient solution was exchanged every second day and pH of fresh nutrient solution was adjusted at 4.8. It is important to emphasize the NH₄⁺:NO₃⁻ ratio (1:2.5) of this nutrient solution. Although it is acknowledged that *B. humidicola* feeds dominantly on NO₃⁻ (>90%, as evidenced by N uptake analysis, Figure S1), the small portion of NH₄⁺ turned out to essentially support vigorous plant growth. In contrast, pure NO₃⁻

nutrition resulted in development of leaf chlorosis, so that the "*Preculture* treatment" (pure NH_4^+ vs. pure NO_3^-) had to be restricted to a period of 10 days (Experiment 2). The nutrient solution used for plant propagation was also the basis for all trap solutions used in the experiments.

In Experiment 1, for factor "*pH*," the pH of the trap solution was adjusted to the target values of 4.2 and 6.8 by addition of HCl or Na₂CO₃, respectively. The pH was not further corrected in the course of exudate collection since the collection time was restricted to 4 h, during which no major pH alterations occurred. Factor "*Trap solution*" consisted of a NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ treatment. The NH₄⁺ treatment was achieved by replacing all NO₃⁻ containing salts of the above-described nutrient solution by the respective chlorides and adjusting total N to the same final concentration of 4200 μ M by addition of NH₄Cl. The NO₃⁻ treatment was obtained by replacing NH₄NO₃ of the above-described nutrient solution by 800 μ M of both KNO₃ and Ca(NO₃)₂, yielding the same final N content of 4200 μ M. Exudate collection was started at 9:00 h, 3 h after the onset of irradiation.

In Experiment 2, the pH was adjusted to 4.8 (corresponding to pH of propagation medium). Experimental factors "*Preculture*" consisted of NH_4^+ and NO_3^- treatments, while "*Trap solution*" consisted of NH_4^+ , NO_3^- , and K^+ treatments. The NH_4^+ and NO_3^- treatments were prepared as in Experiment 1. The K^+ treatment was equal to the sole NH_4^+ treatment, but NH_4CI was replaced completely by KCI (no N source). Exudates were collected over a period of 6 h, starting exudate collection at 9:00 h (3 h after onset of irradiation).

2.3 | Root exudate collection and sample processing

After root exudate collection, the trap solution was filtered to remove root debris (Whatman Grade 2). A 10% aliquot was frozen (-20° C) until analysis of NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ (for N uptake calculations), total sugars (TS), and amino acids (AA). The remaining 90% were subjected to secondary metabolite extraction by liquid-phase extraction: NaCl was added to 1 L of the nutrient solution until saturation. The solution was extracted with 300 ml of ethyl acetate in a separating funnel. The ethyl acetate phase was filtered through a layer of 2 cm of anhydrous Na₂SO₄ to remove the remaining water. The extraction of the nutrient solution was repeated. Both ethyl acetate extracts were pooled and concentrated in vacuo to a final volume of 1.5 ml and stored at 4°C until 3-*epi*-brachialactone analysis. Prior to LC-MS measurements, ethyl acetate extracts were dried under N₂ and resuspended in acetonitrile/H₂O (80/20, v/v).

2.4 | Quantification of NH_4^+ and NO_3^- in trap solution

Both N forms were quantified colorimetrically, NH_4^+ as green ammonium salicylate complex at 667 nm, NO_3^- as yellow ionized form 3

derived from alkalinization with sodium salicylate at 410 nm, according to Borrero Tamayo et al. (2017).

2.5 | Quantification of total sugars (TS) and amino acids (AA) in root exudates

Total sugars were quantified colorimetrically based on furfural formation upon dehydration of carbohydrates by concentrated H_2SO_4 , which can be stained via condensation with anthrone (Turula et al., 2010). To 100 µl of root exudate samples, 900 µl of anthrone reagent (0.1% anthrone in 13.8 M H_2SO_4) was added. Staining was achieved by incubation at 40°C for 20 min. Concentration was subsequently determined spectrophotometrically at 620 nm, using a standard curve based on glucose in the range from 0 to 500 µM.

Amino acids were quantified via LC–MS (Accela HPLC/LTQ Velos MS, Thermo Scientific). Prior to AA quantification, root exudates were derivatized using the AccQ Tag Ultra Derivatization Kit (Waters). The pH was adjusted between 7 and 8, thereafter, 10 μ l of sample was mixed with 70 μ l of borate buffer and the respective volume of the AccQ-Tag-reagent was added. Samples were thoroughly mixed and incubated at 55°C for 15 min. After brief chilling, samples were diluted with 400 μ l acetonitrile/H₂O (1/4, v/v) and analyzed via LC–MS using a commercial, mixed standard. LC–MS details are provided in Table S1.

2.6 | Acid hydrolysis of root tissue extracts and digestion with α - and β -glucosidase

Root tissue extracts were obtained by cutting 1 g of frozen root (fresh material, FM) tissue into small pieces, transferring the material into a falcon-tube, adding 4 ml of MeOH/H₂O (70/30, v/v) and blending with an Ultraturrax. Extracts were then filtered (Whatman Grade 2 followed by 0.2 μ m syringe filter) and stored cool (4°C) until 3-*epi*-brachialactone quantification via LC-MS.

Acid hydrolysis was performed on an aliquot of the extract by adding 2 M TFA in ratio 1 to 1 and heating the sample to 80°C for 30 min. After cooling, organic compounds were extracted by liquid phase extraction and samples were prepared for LC-MS analysis as described before.

Digestion with α - and β -glucosidase was performed on EtOH extracts obtained from lyophilized, ball-milled root tissue. Accordingly, 3 g of the dry root material (DM) was extracted in 30 ml EtOH by incubation in ultrasonic bath for 30 min. The obtained extract was filtered (Whatman Grade 2), dried by rotary evaporation and resuspended in acetate-buffer (0.1 M, pH 5). The sample was divided into three equal aliquots, the first was not further treated, whereas the second and third aliquots were spiked with five units of α - and β -glucosidase, respectively. All aliquots were incubated at 37°C for 24 h, extracted by liquid-phase extraction and prepared for LC-MS analysis as described before.

2.7 | Quantification of 3-epi-brachialactone

iologia Plantaru

Quantity of 3-*epi*-brachialactone was determined via LC–MS, using a QExactive Plus Electrospray Mass Spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific), coupled to an Agilent 1290 Ultra Performance Liquid Chromatography System equipped with a Waters ACQUITY UPLC HSS 1.8 μ m 2.1 × 150 mm column. Measurement parameters were: ESI positive, HESI Source, Capillary Temp 360°C, Sheath gas 60, Auxin gas 20, Probe Heater 380°C, Targeted-SIM scan: 335.221 m/z, resolution 70.000. The respective eluents and eluent gradient are provided in Table S2. Quantification was performed using a 3-*epi*-brachialactone standard ranging from 0.25 to 2.0 g L⁻¹. The standard had been isolated and purified as described in Egenolf et al. (2020).

2.8 | Calculation of proton motive force ΔP

The proton motive force ΔP is composed of $\Delta \Psi$ (membrane potential) und ΔpH (H⁺ gradient) and can be quantified as an electrochemical gradient, according to the following adaptation of the Nernst equation (Kotyk, 1983; West, 1980):

$$\Delta P = -\Delta \Psi - (2.3 * R * T/F) * \Delta p H$$
(1)

with R = gas constant, T = temperature in $^{\circ}$ K, F = Faraday constant.

At 25° C, this leads approximately to the following relation, which was used for the calculations:

$$\Delta P = -\Delta \Psi - 60 \,\text{mV} * \Delta p \text{H} \tag{2}$$

The membrane potential $\Delta\Psi$ of root cells has been reported to range from 120 to 180 mV and cytosolic pH from 7.3 to 7.6 (Marschner, 1995). Whereas NH₄⁺/NO₃⁻ feeding has been reported to, respectively, depolarize/hyperpolarize root hair cell membranes (Ayling, 1993; McClure et al., 1990; Ullrich & Novacky, 1990), the membrane potential of root hairs has been reported to remain relatively unaffected by trap solution pH alterations (15–30 mV variability for pH changes between 4 and 7) (Dunlop & Bowling, 1978; McClure et al., 1990). Accordingly, for comparison of different pH treatments, the proton motive force was approximated based on an assumed default $\Delta\Psi$ of 150 mV and Δ pH as the difference between the trap solution pH and an assumed cytosolic pH of 7.5.

2.9 | Statistics

Statistics were performed and plots were created with R version 3.5.3 (R Core Team, 2018) using the packages "Ime4," "Ismeans," "multcompView," and "ggplot2." The "Ime4" package was used to fit linear models with 3-*epi*-brachialactone, TS or AA release as response variables and Block, pH and Trap solution (Experiment 1) or Block, Preculture and Trap solution (Experiment 2) as effects. Studentized residuals were inspected graphically for normality and homogeneity.

Package "Ismeans2" was used to perform ANOVA and "multcompView" to prove statistical significance of treatment effects using Tukey Test. Package "ggplot2" was used to create the figures.

3 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 | Rhizosphere pH and nutritional N form determine exudation rates of 3-*epi*-brachialactone

In Experiment 1, both experimental factors had a significant effect on exudation rates of 3-*epi*-brachialactone (N form: P = 0.0001; pH: P = 0.0087; N form*pH: P = 0.0424). Especially the combination of NH₄⁺ nutrition and low pH (4.2) prompted 3-*epi*-brachialactone exudation (Figure 1). These results are in line with previous reports revealing that, in the case of *B. humidicola*, exudation of nitrification inhibitors in general is dependent on both nutritional N form and pH (Subbarao, et al., 2007) and that exudation of brachialactone is increased under NH₄⁺ rather than NO₃⁻ nutrition (Subbarao et al., 2009). This confirms that the release of both brachialactone C3-epimers is triggered under the same ecophysiological conditions.

3.2 | Uniform primary metabolite exudation rates indicate that 3-*epi*-brachialactone is released by a regulated transport mechanism

Previous studies on root exudation indicated increased leaching of low molecular weight (LMW) compounds (amino acids, phenolics) as a consequence of cell membrane damage induced by Ca limitation associated with low external pH (Cakmak & Marschner, 1988; Koyama et al., 2001). To evaluate whether the observed NH₄⁺-induced



FIGURE 1 Exudation of 3-*epi*-brachialactone by roots of *B. humidicola* into trap solutions differing in nitrogen source (x-axis) and pH (legend). Trap solutions are complete nutrient solutions with nitrogen as ammonium (NH_4^+ treatment) or nitrate (NO_3^- treatment). Results represent the least-square means of four biological replications, error bars indicate standard error (sE) of the mean. Values with same letters do not differ significantly for least-square means ($\alpha = 0.05$). Presented data are from Experiment 1

Physiologia Plantarur

stimulation of 3-*epi*-brachialactone release may similarly reflect passive leaching of LMW compounds, because of membrane damage induced by low pH of the growth medium (Souri & Neumann, 2018), we profiled the pattern of sugar (TS) and amino acid (AA) exudation. Neither trap solution pH nor nutritional N form had a significant influence on the release of TS (N form: P = 0.09; pH: P = 0.17) or AA (N form: P = 0.83; pH: P = 0.79) (Figure 2). This indicated that the described stimulatory effects are specific to 3-*epi*-brachialactone and, hence, are not founded on a treatment-induced loss of plasma membrane selectivity/integrity that may have led to increased metabolite leaching. The specificity of the observed effects on 3-*epi*brachialactone exudation suggested that it is rather released via a regulated exudation mechanism, i.e. by primary or secondary transporters or through channel proteins-facilitated diffusion.

3.3 | NH₄⁺ does not constitute an essential prerequisite for the release of 3-*epi*-brachialactone

Experiment 2 corroborated the outcomes of Experiment 1, with a trend for higher 3-epi-brachialactone exudation rates under NH4⁺ compared to NO₃⁻ nutrition (Figure 3, x-axis). Pre-culture had a significant influence (P = 0.0073) on 3-epi-brachialactone exudation, confirming earlier reports on higher NI exudation rates of plants precultured with NH_4^+ as N source (Subbarao et al., 2009; Subbarao, Wang, et al., 2007). Nevertheless, the results do not confirm NH_4^+ to constitute an essential prerequisite for 3-epi-brachialactone release since complete replacement of NH_4^+ by K^+ (no N source) resulted in equally high 3-epi-brachialactone exudation rates (Figure 3). Plant roots generally accumulate both NH4⁺ and K⁺ at similar rates (Macduff et al., 1997; Scherer et al., 1984) and, in both cases, positive charge influx during ion uptake has to be counterbalanced by the secretion of H⁺ ions (Alvarez-Pizarro et al., 2014; Schubert & Yan, 1997). This indicated that the stimulating effect of NH4⁺ compared to NO3⁻ nutrition on 3-epi-brachialactone exudation resulted from the associated cation surplus during nutrient uptake and not from a physiological response associated to NH_4^+ as nutritional N form.

To address the question whether the nutritional N form influences 3-*epi*-brachialactone accumulation in planta, the contents of 3-*epi*-brachialactone in root tissue were quantified in dependence of pre-culture (NH_4^+ or NO_3^-). Root tissue extracts were analyzed for

3-epi-brachialactone prior and after acid hydrolysis and enzymatic digestion with α - and β -glucosidase to detect potentially glycosylated forms, since many plant terpenoids in plant tissues are known to exist as glycosides (Rivas et al., 2013). However, an accurate quantification of 3-epi-brachialactone in root extracts was impaired by a high background noise and very low quantities ranging around 2–8 $\mu g \ g^{-1}$ root DM (data not shown). Thus, a clear conclusion on the influence of different nutritional N forms on 3-epi-brachialactone levels in planta was not possible. Moreover, neither acid hydrolysis nor the digestion with α - and β glucosidase increased 3-epi-brachialactone contents in root extracts. The detected tissue concentrations corresponded to the amount secreted in only 2 h (at exudation rates of $1-4 \mu g h^{-1} g^{-1}$ root DM), suggesting that internal 3-epi-brachialactone pools were readily re-synthesized upon cytosolic depletion without pre-accumulation of storage pools. In this regard, the existence of other yet unidentified precursor pools is currently not completely excluded.



FIGURE 3 Exudation of 3-*epi*-brachialactone by roots of *B. humidicola* into different trap solutions (x-axis) after being raised on different nitrogen sources (legend). Trap solutions are complete nutrient solutions with nitrogen as ammonium (NH₄⁺ treatment), nitrate (NO₃⁻ treatment) or completely replaced by potassium (K⁺ treatment), pH of trap solution was adjusted at 4.8. Results represent least-square means of three biological replications, error bars indicate standard error (sE) of the mean. Different uppercase letter indicates statistical differences for least-square means ($\alpha = 0.05$) between treatments, different lowercase letters indicate statistical differences between pretreatments ($\alpha = 0.05$). Presented data are from Experiment 2



FIGURE 2 Total sugar (A) and amino acid (B) exudation by roots of *B. humidicola* into trap solutions differing in nutritional N form and pH (x-axis)



Exudation of 3-epi-brachialactone is driven 3.4 by the proton motive force along the plasma membrane

The presented data indicated that the exudation of 3-epibrachialactone depends on external pH and the cation-anion balance during nutrient uptake. Due to the high share of N among all essential nutrients, the cation-anion balance depends ultimately on the N form taken up by the plant (NH_4^+ vs. NO_3^-). A surplus in cation uptake $(NH_4^+ \text{ feeding})$ is counterbalanced by the secretion of H^+ out of the root, contributing to the acidification of the apoplast (Hoffmann et al., 1992; Kosegarten et al., 1999). Therefore, both low external pH and a surplus of cations during nutrient uptake (NH_4^+/K^+ treatments) are translated into a proton gradient along the plasma membrane. It seems plausible that this might be the driving force for 3-epibrachialactone exudation via secondary transport.

Certainly, the transmembrane proton gradient is established and maintained by the PM H⁺ATPase. Its activity is regulated through phosphorylation/dephosphorylation in the short term and at the transcriptional level in the long term (Zhang et al., 2017). In this regard, an increased expression of root cell PM H⁺ATPase under NH₄⁺ nutrition has been shown to sustainably increase root cell PM H⁺ATPase concentration and activity (Alvarez-Pizarro et al., 2011; Zhu et al., 2012). Considering that, at current state of knowledge, differences in internal 3-epi-brachialactone concentrations seem to be ruled out as an explanation for the pretreatment effect observed in Experiment 2, differences in PM H⁺ATPase concentration and activity would possibly offer a valid explanation.

The fact that, under NO_3^- nutrition, a decrease of the trap solution pH from 6.8 to 4.2 (Experiment 1) did not increase 3-epibrachialactone exudation rates may be explained by the dissipation of the proton gradient along the plasma membrane during anion (NO₃⁻) uptake. The initially proposed theory that NH_4^+ nutrition (\sim cation surplus) stimulates NI release (Subbarao, Wang, et al., 2007) would then have to be revised: 3-epi-brachialactone (and probably also other NIs) release is driven by the proton gradient along the membrane of root cells (low rhizosphere pH), as long as this gradient is not dissipated by H^+ -driven anion uptake (NO₃⁻).

To corroborate this argumentation, the proton motive force expected for the two pH treatments of Experiment 1 was calculated. Assuming a constant membrane potential of 150 mV and a cytosolic pH of 7.5, the calculation gives a ΔpH of 0.7 (\sim 40 mV) for the high EGENOLF ET AL.

pH treatment (6.8) versus 3.5 (\sim 200 mV) for the low pH treatment (4.2) or a ΔP (proton motive force) of 190 mV versus 350 mV, respectively. Hence, a pH change from 6.8 to 4.2 corresponds to a fivefold increase of ΔpH (from 40 to 200 mV), leading to an approximately twofold increase of ΔP (from 190 to 350 mV). This goes along with an approximately fivefold increase of the 3-epi-brachialactone exudation rate (from 0.25 to 1.25 μ g h⁻¹ g⁻¹ root DM, Figure 1). Secondary transport rates should correlate with ΔP rather than with ΔpH . Neverthe less, a linear response of secondary transport rates to ΔpH has been reported, e.g. for secondary active H⁺/glucose co-transporters in Chlorella algae (Schwab & Komor, 1978) or secondary active phosphate uptake in white clover (Dunlop & Bowling, 1978). As a supporting argument, we plotted 3-epi-brachialactone release rates against the dominant factors determining the proton motive force (ΔP) , i.e. the pH gradient along the plasmamembrane (ΔpH) and cation uptake rates (in this case NH₄⁺ uptake). The linear response suggested a mechanistic relationship between pH, cation uptake and 3-epibrachialactone exudation (Figure 4). Although membrane transport of many LMW terpenoids, representing lipophilic and frequently volatile compounds with high membrane permeability, seems to occur mainly by diffusion (Weston et al., 2012; Yazaki et al., 2008), selective transport mechanisms have also been described for various secondary metabolites, including terpenoids (Shoji, 2014). The currently known transport proteins are ATP-binding cassette (ABC) and multidrug and toxic compound extrusion family (MATE) transporters, mediating the transport of organic compounds in plants (Shoji, 2014). For terpenoids, ABC transporters have been described, serving as ATP-driven primary transporters that mediate the movement of a range of molecules such as strigolactones and diterpenes across cellular membranes (Shoji, 2014). In contrast to directly ATP-energized ABCs, MATEs are secondary transporters that achieve the transport of primary and secondary metabolites (such as flavonoids, alkaloids, xenobiotics, citrate) using the proton motive force. Transport can occur through several membrane systems of the plant cell by an ATPase-mediated preformation of an electrochemical H⁺-gradient, associated with a proton antiport mechanism (Santos et al., 2017; Shoji, 2014). Although no terpenoid transport processes via MATE proteins have been described yet (Shoji, 2014), the presented data obviously match the transport dynamics described for MATE transporters. These may thus represent a promising target for prospective gene expression analyzes related to the release of brachialactones in roots of B. humidicola.

4 | CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

Rhizosphere pH and nutritional N form have been demonstrated to influence the exudation of NI, e.g. brachialactone in the case of *B. humidicola*. Here, we confirmed this relation for 3-*epi*-brachialactone, the so far most bioactive known brachialactone of *B. humidicola* (EgenoIf et al., 2020). We propose that 3-*epi*-brachialactone is excreted via secondary transport mechanisms with the proton gradient along the plasma membrane determining exudation rates. As experimentally verified, rhizosphere conditions favoring a stronger proton gradient, e.g. low rhizosphere pH or excess cation (NH₄⁺ or K⁺) nutrition, increased the exudation rate, whereas conditions lowering the gradient, e.g. excess anion (NO₃⁻) nutrition, resulted in a decrease.

Interestingly, similar NI release patterns were reported for other crops (e.g. *S. bicolor, L. racemosus, O. sativa*). Especially for the polar NI fraction in *S. bicolor,* pH and N form determined NI release (Di et al., 2018). Moreover, the NI release correlated strongly with PM-H⁺ATPase (Zhu et al., 2012), a scenario taken as evidence for exudation via MATE transporters in various plant species (Kochian et al., 2015; Magalhaes et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2017). The similarities of the exudation dynamics of the NIs from *S. bicolor* and *B. humidicola* are obvious, raising the question if similar transport mechanisms are involved in both cases.

As exudation rates of the mentioned NIs can be explained mechanistically (based on the physiology of secondary transport), BNI does not—as commonly proposed—constitute a regulated response to pH and NH_4^+ availability in the rhizosphere. However, observed effects were recorded in hydroponic culture with defined pH as well as amounts and forms of nutrient supply (e.g. pure NH_4^+ nutrition vs. NO_3^- supply). To which extent this concept applies to the highly variable rhizosphere properties in terms of pH and cation-anion ratios under natural growth conditions remains to be verified.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Mrs. Birgit Höglinger and Dr. Wolfgang Armbruster for their support during primary metabolite quantification (amino acids and carboxylates). The first author (K. Egenolf) is grateful to the "Water-People-Agriculture" Research Training Group funded by the Anton & Petra Ehrmann-Foundation for financing this study as part of his doctoral research. Furthermore, the authors thank the German Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) and Colciencias for funding the project "Eco-efficient management of tropical savannas (ECOMASA)" (grant no. 01DN18031). This study was further co-funded by CIAT within the "LivestockPlus" project under the CGIAR Research Program (CRP) on Climate Change, Agriculture and Food Security (CCAFS) and the Livestock CRP. Open access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Konrad Egenolf drafted the presented study, wrote the manuscript and modified it according to suggestions and corrections of the coauthors. Supriya Verma developed Experiment 1 as part of her M.Sc. thesis and supported the conductance of Experiment 2. Jochen Schöne and Iris Klaiber developed the methodology for 3-*epi*brachialactone quantification and performed respective LC-MS measurements. Jacobo Arango was the leading scientist at CIAT. Günter Neumann and Georg Cadisch co-supervised the study. Frank Rasche was leading senior scientist of the study. All authors contributed to manuscript revision, read, and approved the submitted version.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as all new created data is already contained within this article.

ORCID

Frank Rasche D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2202-851X

REFERENCES

- Alvarez-Pizarro, J.C., Gomes-Filho, E., Prisco, J.T., Grossi-de-Sá, M.F. & de Oliveira-Neto, O.B. (2011) NH₄⁺-stimulated low-K⁺ uptake is associated with the induction of H⁺ extrusion by the plasma membrane H⁺-ATPase in sorghum roots under K⁺ deficiency. *Journal of Plant Physiology*, 168, 1617–1626.
- Alvarez-Pizarro, J.C., Gomes-Filho, E., Prisco, J.T., Grossi-De-Sá, M.F., de Oliveira-Neto, O.B. & Da Rocha Fragoso, R. (2014) Plasma membrane H⁺-ATPase in sorghum roots as affected by potassium deficiency and nitrogen sources. *Biologia Plantarum*, 58, 507–514.
- Ayling, S.M. (1993) The effect of ammonium ions on membrane potential and anion flux in roots of barley and tomato. *Plant, Cell and Environment*, 16, 297–303.
- Borrero Tamayo, G.A., Jiménez, J., Ricaurte, J.J., Rivera, M., Polanía, J.A., Núñez, J. et al. (2017) Manual de protocolos. Nutrición y fisiología de plantas - Forrajes y fríjol., Cali.
- Cakmak, I. & Marschner, H. (1988) Increase in membrane permeability and exudation in roots of zinc deficient plants. *Journal of Plant Physiology*, 132, 356–361.
- Di, T., Afzal, M.R., Yoshihashi, T., Deshpande, S., Zhu, Y. & Subbarao, G.V. (2018) Further insights into underlying mechanisms for the release of biological nitrification inhibitors from sorghum roots. *Plant and Soil*, 423, 99–110.
- Dunlop, J. & Bowling, D.J.F. (1978) Uptake of phosphate by white clover. Journal of Experimental Botany, 29, 1147–1153.
- Egenolf, K., Conrad, J., Schöne, J., Braunberger, C., Beifuß, U., Walker, F., et al. (2020) Brachialactone isomers and derivatives of *Brachiaria humidicola* reveal contrasting nitrification inhibiting activity. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, 154, 491–497.
- Hoffmann, B., Flanker, R. & Mengel, K. (1992) Measurements of pH in the apoplast of sunflower leaves by means of fluorescence. *Physiologia Plantarum*, 84, 146–153.
- Kochian, L.V., Piñeros, M.A., Liu, J. & Magalhaes, J.V. (2015) Plant adaptation to acid soils: the molecular basis for crop aluminum resistance. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, 66, 571–598.
- Kosegarten, H., Grolig, F., Esch, A., Glüsenkamp, K.-H. & Mengel, K. (1999) Effects of NH₄⁺, NO₃⁻ and HCO₃⁻ on apoplast pH in the outer cortex of root zones of maize, as measured by the fluorescence ratio of fluorescein boronic acid. *Planta*, 209, 444–452.
- Kotyk, A. (1983) Coupling of secondary active transport with $\Delta \mu_{H+}$. Journal of Bioenergetics and Biomembranes, 15, 307–319.
- Koyama, H., Toda, T. & Hara, T. (2001) Brief exposure to low-pH stress causes irreversible damage to the growing root in *Arabidopsis thaliana*: pectin–Ca interaction may play an important role in proton rhizotoxicity. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 52, 361–368.
- Lata, J.C., Degrange, V., Raynaud, X., Maron, P.A., Lensi, R. & Abbadie, L. (2004) Grass populations control nitrification in savanna soils. *Functional Ecology*, 18, 605–611.
- Macduff, J.H., Bakken, A.K. & Dhanoa, M.S. (1997) An analysis of the physiological basis of commonality between diurnal patterns of $\rm NH_4^+,$

siologia Planta

8

 NO_3^- and K⁺ uptake by Phleum pratense and *Festuca pratensis*. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 48, 1691–1701.

- Magalhaes, J.V., Piñeros, M.A., Maciel, L.S. & Kochian, L.V. (2018) Emerging pleiotropic mechanisms underlying aluminum resistance and phosphorus acquisition on acidic soils. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 9, 1420.
- Marschner, H. (1995) *Mineral nutrition of higher plants*, 2nd edition. London: Academic Press.
- McClure, P.R., Kochian, L.V., Spanswick, R.M. & Shaff, J.E. (1990) Evidence for cotransport of nitrate and protons in maize roots: I. Effects of nitrate on the membrane potential. *Plant Physiology*, 93, 281–289.
- O'Sullivan, C.A., Fillery, I.R.P., Roper, M.M. & Richards, R.A. (2016) Identification of several wheat landraces with biological nitrification inhibition capacity. *Plant and Soil*, 404, 61–74.
- Pariasca Tanaka, J., Nardi, P. & Wissuwa, M. (2010) Nitrification inhibition activity, a novel trait in root exudates of rice. AoB Plants, 2010, plq014.
- R Core Team (2018) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Available from: https://www.R-project.org. Accessed March 15, 2018.
- Rivas, F., Parra, A., Martinez, A. & Garcia-Granados, A. (2013) Enzymatic glycosylation of terpenoids. *Phytochemistry Reviews*, 12, 327–339.
- Santos, A.L.D., Chaves-Silva, S., Yang, L., Maia, L.G.S., Chalfun-Júnior, A., Sinharoy, S., et al. (2017) Global analysis of the MATE gene family of metabolite transporters in tomato. BMC Plant Biology, 17, 185.
- Sarr, P.S., Ando, Y., Nakamura, S., Deshpande, S. & Subbarao, G.V. (2019) Sorgoleone release from sorghum roots shapes the composition of nitrifying populations, total bacteria, and archaea and determines the level of nitrification. *Biology and Fertility Soils*, 215, 403.
- Scherer, H.W., MacKown, C.T. & Leggett, J.E. (1984) Potassiumammonium uptake interactions in tobacco seedlings. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 35, 1060–1070.
- Schubert, S. & Yan, F. (1997) Nitrate and ammonium nutrition of plants: effects on acid/base balance and adaptation of root cell plasmalemma H⁺ATPase. Zeitschrift für Pflanzenernaehrung und Bodenkunde, 160, 275–281.
- Schwab, W.G.W. & Komor, E. (1978) A possible mechanistic role of the membrane potential in proton-sugar cotransport of chlorella. FEBS Letters, 87, 157-160.
- Shoji, T. (2014) ATP-binding cassette and multidrug and toxic compound extrusion transporters in plants: a common theme among diverse detoxification mechanisms. *International Review of Cell and Molecular Biology*, 309, 303–346.
- Souri, M.K. & Neumann, G. (2018) Indications for passive rather than active release of natural nitrification inhibitors in *Brachiaria humidicola* root exudates. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 41, 477–486.
- Subbarao, G.V., Ishikawa, T., Ito, O., Nakahara, K., Wang, H.Y. & Berry, W. L. (2006) A bioluminescence assay to detect nitrification inhibitors released from plant roots: a case study with *Brachiaria humidicola*. *Plant and Soil*, 288, 101–112.
- Subbarao, G.V., Nakahara, K., Hurtado, M.P., Ono, H., Moreta, D.E., Salcedo, A.F., et al. (2009) Evidence for biological nitrification inhibition in Brachiaria pastures. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 106, 17302–17307.
- Subbarao, G.V., Nakahara, K., Ishikawa, T., Ono, H., Yoshida, M., Yoshihashi, T., et al. (2013) Biological nitrification inhibition (BNI) activity in sorghum and its characterization. *Plant and Soil*, 366, 243–259.
- Subbarao, G.V., Tomohiro, B., Masahiro, K., Osamu, I., Samejima, H., Wang, H.Y., et al. (2007) Can biological nitrification inhibition (BNI)

genes from perennial *Leymus racemosus* (Triticeae) combat nitrification in wheat farming? *Plant and Soil*, 299, 55–64.

- Subbarao, G.V., Wang, H.Y., Ito, O., Nakahara, K. & Berry, W.L. (2007) NH₄⁺ triggers the synthesis and release of biological nitrification inhibition compounds in *Brachiaria humidicola* roots. *Plant and Soil*, 290, 245–257.
- Sun, L., Lu, Y., Yu, F., Kronzucker, H.J. & Shi, W. (2016) Biological nitrification inhibition by rice root exudates and its relationship with nitrogenuse efficiency. *The New Phytologist*, 212, 646–656.
- Sylvester-Bradley, R., Mosquera, D. & Méndez, J.E. (1988) Inhibition of nitrate accumulation in tropical grassland soils: effect of nitrogen fertilization and soil disturbance. *Journal of Soil Science*, 39, 407–416.
- Tesfamariam, T., Yoshinaga, H., Deshpande, S.P., Srinivasa Rao, P., Sahrawat, K.L., Ando, Y., *et al.* (2014) Biological nitrification inhibition in sorghum: the role of sorgoleone production. *Plant and Soil*, 379, 325–335.
- Turula, V.E., Gore, T., Singh, S. & Arumugham, R.G. (2010) Automation of the anthrone assay for carbohydrate concentration determinations. *Analytical Chemistry*, 82, 1786–1792.
- Ullrich, C.I. & Novacky, A.J. (1990) Extra- and intracellular pH and membrane potential changes induced by K⁺, Cl⁻, H₂PO₄⁻, and NO₃⁻ uptake and Fusicoccin in root hairs of *Limnobium stoloniferum*. *Plant Physiol*ogy, 94, 1561–1567.
- West, I.C. (1980) Energy coupling in secondary active transport. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) – Reviews on Biomembranes, 604, 91–126.
- Weston, L.A., Ryan, P.R. & Watt, M. (2012) Mechanisms for cellular transport and release of allelochemicals from plant roots into the rhizosphere. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 63, 3445–3454.
- Yazaki, K., Sugiyama, A., Morita, M. & Shitan, N. (2008) Secondary transport as an efficient membrane transport mechanism for plant secondary metabolites. *Phytochemistry Reviews*, 7, 513–524.
- Zakir, H.A.K.M., Subbarao, G.V., Pearse, S.J., Gopalakrishnan, S., Ito, O., Ishikawa, T., *et al.* (2008) Detection, isolation and characterization of a root-exuded compound, methyl 3-(4-hydroxyphenyl) propionate, responsible for biological nitrification inhibition by sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*). *The New Phytologist*, 180, 442–451.
- Zhang, J., Wei, J., Li, D., Kong, X., Rengel, Z., Chen, L., et al. (2017) The role of the plasma membrane H⁺-ATPase in plant responses to aluminum toxicity. Frontiers in Plant Science, 8, 1757.
- Zhu, Y., Zeng, H., Shen, Q., Ishikawa, T. & Subbarao, G.V. (2012) Interplay among NH₄⁺uptake, rhizosphere pH and plasma membrane H⁺-ATPase determine the release of BNIs in sorghum roots – possible mechanisms and underlying hypothesis. *Plant and Soil*, 358, 131–141.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Egenolf K, Verma S, Schöne J, et al. Rhizosphere pH and cation-anion balance determine the exudation of nitrification inhibitor 3-*epi*-brachialactone suggesting release via secondary transport. *Physiologia Plantarum*. 2021;1–8. https://doi.org/10.1111/ppl.13300