


Author's Accepted Manuscript

Enhanced spin – reorientation temperature and origin of magnetocapacitance in HoFeO_3

Ganesh Kotnana, S. Narayana Jammalamadaka



PII: S0304-8853(16)30152-4
DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmmm.2016.02.054>
Reference: MAGMA61180

To appear in: *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials*

Received date: 12 November 2015
Revised date: 18 February 2016
Accepted date: 18 February 2016

Cite this article as: Ganesh Kotnana and S. Narayana Jammalamadaka, Enhanced spin – reorientation temperature and origin of magnetocapacitance in HoFeO_3 *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials* <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmmm.2016.02.054>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting galley proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Enhanced spin – reorientation temperature and origin of magnetocapacitance in

HoFeO₃Ganesh Kotnana¹, S. Narayana Jammalamadaka^{1*}

¹*Magnetic Materials and Device Physics Laboratory, Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India – 502285.*

*Corresponding author: surya@iith.ac.in

Abstract:

We report on the increase in the spin reorientation temperature in HoFe_{0.5}Cr_{0.5}O₃ compound by isovalent substitution (Cr³⁺) at the Fe-site and the magnetocapacitance in the HoFeO₃ compound. Spin reorientation transition is evident around 50 K and 150 K for the $x = 0$ and $x = 0.5$ compounds respectively. The increase in the spin reorientation transition temperature in case of $x = 0.5$ compound can be attributed to the domination of the Ho³⁺-Fe³⁺ interaction over the Fe³⁺-Fe³⁺ interaction. Decrease in Néel temperature from 643 K ($x = 0$) to 273 K ($x = 0.5$) can be ascribed to the decrease in the interaction between antiferromagnetically aligned Fe³⁺ moments as a result of the dilution of the Fe³⁺ moments with the Cr³⁺ addition. From the magnetization M vs. magnetic field H variation it is evident that the coercivity, H_C decreases for $x = 0.5$ compound, hinting the magnetic softening of the HoFeO₃ compound. Observed magnetocapacitance could be due to lossy dielectric mechanism in the present compound. Indeed, present results would be helpful in understanding the physics behind rare-earth orthoferrites.

Keywords: Spin reorientation, orthoferrites, magnetocapacitance, magnetostriction, antiferromagnetism

Introduction:

The complex magnetic coupling between the ions in the materials containing the rare earth and the transition metal shows a variety of interesting properties. Fundamentally, the orientation of the strongly interacting spins of a transition metal which order at high temperatures can be controlled by the spins of the rare earth ions which order at lower temperatures, may lead to the spin reorientation (SR) transitions [1]. Such SR transitions may affect the magnetic, optical and elastic properties of the materials and have been observed in the compounds like rare-earth ferrites, chromites and manganites [2-4].

The rare-earth orthoferrites have the complex spin structure between the rare-earth and the transition metal ions and are distorted perovskite family of canted antiferromagnets drawn considerable attention due to their unique physical properties [5] and potential application such as solid oxide fuel cells [6], magneto-optic devices [7], and multiferroics [8]. The Dzyaloshinsky–Moriya type canted weak ferromagnetism, an unusual large antisymmetric exchange interactions, extremely small anisotropy of Fe spins in $a - c$ plane, and large anisotropy towards b - axis have been are the characteristics of these compounds [9]. Particularly, it has been understood that the Fe^{3+} moments in the RFeO_3 compounds undergo a magnetic phase transition into a canted antiferromagnetic state in the temperature interval 620 - 750 K. Among all the characteristics of the RFeO_3 compounds, the SR is one of the distinctive magnetic transitions, where the direction of the easy axis of magnetization changes from one crystal axis to the other at lower temperatures [1]. Interestingly, the HoFeO_3 compound is a representative of the rare-earth orthoferrites and has been believed to possess a canted G-type antiferromagnetism and potential candidate for the ultrafast recording [10] with a magnetic ordering temperature around 641 K [11]. For example, the HoFeO_3 compound exhibits the SR transition around 50 K due to the competition between various complex magnetic interactions. Such a SR transition leads to a change in the magnetic structure from $G_x A_y F_z$ (Γ_4) to $F_x C_y G_z$ (Γ_2), where G_x , A_y , and F_z stand for the spin

component along x, y, and z axis respectively in terms of the Bertaut's notation [12]. In recent years, it has been believed that the variety of interesting properties can be induced by alloying different kinds of cations at the B-site of the perovskite materials [13]. According to the Goodenough-Kanamori theory, the Cr^{3+} is best choice for the Fe^{3+} to show superior magnetic properties due to a superexchange interaction [14]. On top of that it has been observed that the multiferroic behaviour in $\text{YCr}_{1-x}\text{Fe}_x\text{O}_3$ [15] and the magnetodielectric effect in the $\text{DyFe}_{0.5}\text{Cr}_{0.5}\text{O}_3$ [16] compounds by having both the Fe and Cr. Such interesting observations have not been paralleled in the Ho based orthoferrites. Substitution of the Cr^{3+} to the Fe^{3+} may give interesting structural and magnetic properties in the HoFeO_3 compound. Hence, in the present work, our aim is to study and understand the complex magnetic interactions, tuning the SR transition and study the magnetocapacitance (MC) behaviour of the HoFeO_3 compound.

Experimental Details:

Polycrystalline compounds of the $\text{HoFe}_{1-x}\text{Cr}_x\text{O}_3$ ($x = 0$ and 0.5) were prepared by conventional solid state reaction method using the High purity oxide powders of Ho_2O_3 , Fe_2O_3 , and Cr_2O_3 (purity > 99.9%) (Sigma-Aldrich chemicals India) as starting raw materials. The phase purity or the structural analysis was carried out at room temperature using the powder x - ray diffraction (XRD) (PANalytical X-ray diffractometer) with a Cu-K_α radiation ($\lambda = 1.5406 \text{ \AA}$) and with a step size of 0.017° in the wide range of the Bragg angles 2θ ($20^\circ - 80^\circ$). Magnetization (M) vs. temperature (T) and M vs. magnetic field (H) were recorded with a Quantum Design MPMS-3 in the temperature range of 5 - 300 K. M vs. T was measured in the zero field cooling (ZFC) as well as the field cooling (FC) conditions. Essentially, in the ZFC conditions, the compound was cooled from 300 K to 5 K without application of any magnetic field. However, in case of the FC condition, the compound was cooled from 300 K in the presence of 1000 Oe up to a desired temperature, 5 K. Differential scanning

calorimeter (DSC) in specific heat mode was used to measure the phase transition above 300 K. During the measurement, the rate of heating was 10 K/min. Room temperature magnetocapacitance (MC) measurements were carried out on the HoFeO_3 compound. Here the sample consists 10 mm as diameter and 1.692 mm as thickness. After keeping the HoFeO_3 with the above dimensions in between aluminium discs with a diameter of 10 mm, MC was measured using Andeen - Hangeling 2700A Capacitance Bridge at 100 Hz. Room temperature polarization P vs. Electric field E loop measurements were carried out using aixACCT TF 2000 analyzer.

Results and Discussion:

Phase purity of the compounds $\text{HoFe}_{1-x}\text{Cr}_x\text{O}_3$ ($x = 0, 0.5$) is confirmed with the powder XRD. From the Fig. 1 it is clear that observed and indexed reflections are allowed for a compound with an orthorhombic GdFeO_3 type disordered perovskite structure with a space group $Pbnm$. In addition to parent phase, we also could able to see a small peak which corresponds to iron garnet phase, $\text{Ho}_3\text{Fe}_5\text{O}_{12}$ at around 32° , 35° and 45° . It has been reported that the rare-earth iron garnet ($\text{R}_3\text{Fe}_5\text{O}_{12}$) phase is stable compared to orthoferrites (RFeO_3) at high temperature [17, 18]. The refinement has been carried out using the GSAS software and results of which have been published elsewhere [19].

It has been reported that in the rare-earth orthoferrites, each Fe^{3+} ion on an adjacent octahedral site interact through an antiferromagnetic superexchange interaction mediated by an oxygen [14]. The strong interaction between the iron magnetic moments at high temperatures results in the transition from an antiferromagnetic (AFM) state to a paramagnetic state characterized by a Néel temperature (T_{N2}). Fig. 2(a) illustrates the temperature dependence of the susceptibility χ (M vs. T) of $\text{HoFe}_{1-x}\text{Cr}_x\text{O}_3$ ($x = 0, 0.50$) compounds which were measured under ZFC condition in the temperature range 5 – 300 K.

For $x = 0$ compound, starting from the low temperature, the χ decreases with T and consists two humps at around 30 K (T_{SR1}) and 50 K (T_{SR2}) which can be attributed to the SR temperatures as reported in the literature [20]. In general such a SR transition in the rare-earth orthoferrites appear due to the transition between the $\Gamma_4 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$ and takes place between the rare-earth ordering temperature (T_{N1}) and the T_{N2} (due to antiferromagnetic (AFM) alignment of Fe moments)[12]. It has been believed that the T_{SR1} is due to the competing Zeeman and Van Vleck mechanism [21], which vanishes upon doping the Fe^{3+} site with the Cr^{3+} . Above 50 K we do not see any transition until 300 K neither due to an antiferromagnetism nor due to the SR, as though the Fe^{3+} moments couple antiferromagnetically at higher temperatures above 300 K. In order to find the exact value of T_{N2} , a high temperature DSC in specific heat mode was used. The inset of Fig. 2(a) shows the DSC graph in a specific heat mode in the temperature range of 400 - 800 K. Essentially, the phase change from an AFM to a paramagnetic (PM) demands an entropy change, which would be reflected as heat flow curve in the DSC. It is evident from the figure that there exists an endothermic peak at 643 K which can be regarded as a Néel temperature (T_{N2}). During the phase change, the heat is absorbed as it is evident from the endothermic peak in the DSC.

In contrast, χ for the $x = 0.5$ compound consists a cusp at the low temperatures (~ 13 K) which could be due to the ordering of the rare-earth ion, Ho^{3+} (T_{N1}). As we go to high temperatures, indeed there exists a transition due to the SR around 150 K, such SR transitions are apparent in the parent compound below 50 K. It is very interesting to note that in the parent compound two such SR transitions are evident below 50 K, while only one prevails in the $x = 0.5$ compound at 150 K. The shift in the SR transition for the $x = 0.5$ compound is due to the fact that the SR transition temperature is determined by the complex exchange interaction between the Fe^{3+} and the Ho^{3+} ions [22]. Indeed there would be a competition

between the Ho^{3+} - Fe^{3+} and the Fe^{3+} - Fe^{3+} interactions and if the Fe^{3+} - Fe^{3+} interaction is strong, the probability of having the SR transition is at the low temperature. However, if the Fe^{3+} - Fe^{3+} is weak the SR transition would take place at high temperatures. In the present work as we substitute the Cr^{3+} to the Fe^{3+} site, the smaller value of the effective magnetic moment (μ_{eff}) pertinent to the Cr^{3+} ($3.872\mu_{\text{B}}$) compared to that of the Fe^{3+} ($5.916\mu_{\text{B}}$) reduces the contribution of the Fe^{3+} in the Fe^{3+} - Fe^{3+} interaction in the $x = 0.5$ compound. This indeed leads to the weakening of the Fe^{3+} - Fe^{3+} interaction and results in the domination of the Ho^{3+} - Fe^{3+} interaction, which demands a shift in the SR transition to a higher temperature (~ 150 K). Above 150 K, it is apparent that there exists a small peak (clear from $1/\chi$ vs. T) at 273 K pertinent to the AFM transition. This also gives an indication that the Cr^{3+} dilutes the Fe^{3+} - Fe^{3+} AFM interaction and hence the $T_{\text{N}2}$ drastically reduces from 643 K to 273 K for $x = 0.5$ compound. The observed sudden increase in the susceptibility at low temperatures can be ascribed to the ordering of the paramagnetic rare-earth Ho^{3+} ions.

Fig. 2(b) shows the temperature dependence of the inverse susceptibility ($1/\chi$ vs. T) obtained for the $x = 0.5$ compound. After fitting the linear region above $T_{\text{N}2}$ (273 K), from the slope μ_{eff} is obtained and it is found to be $11.619\mu_{\text{B}}$. The estimated value of the μ_{eff} is found to be close to the theoretical value ($\mu_{\text{theory}} = 11.726\mu_{\text{B}}$) calculated from the free ion values of Ho^{3+} ($10.607\mu_{\text{B}}$), Fe^{3+} ($5.916\mu_{\text{B}}$), and Cr^{3+} ($3.872\mu_{\text{B}}$) (spin only values for Fe^{3+} and Cr^{3+}) using the equation [23] $\mu_{\text{theory}} = [\mu_{\text{Ho}^{3+}}^2 + (1-x)\mu_{\text{Fe}^{3+}}^2 + x\mu_{\text{Cr}^{3+}}^2]^{1/2}$ and by assuming their randomness in the paramagnetic phase. The equation $\mu_{\text{Fe}^{3+}/\text{Cr}^{3+}} = 2[S(S+1)]^{1/2}$ is used to calculate $\mu_{\text{Fe}^{3+}}/\mu_{\text{Cr}^{3+}}$ moments and the formula $\mu_{\text{Ho}^{3+}} = |g_J|[J(J+1)]^{1/2}$ is used to calculate $\mu_{\text{Ho}^{3+}}$ moments. In the above equations S is the spin state of $\mu_{\text{Fe}^{3+}}/\mu_{\text{Cr}^{3+}}$ and J represents the total angular momentum and g_J represents the Landè g -factor respectively. For the $x = 0.5$

compound, the paramagnetic Curie temperature is estimated by extrapolating the high temperature linear region of $1/\chi$ vs. T graph to x – axis and it is found to be - 10 K, which indicates an antiferromagnetic behaviour.

The isothermal magnetization loops are measured at 300 K and 5 K in order to get more insights about the magnetic characteristics of $\text{HoFe}_{1-x}\text{Cr}_x\text{O}_3$ ($x = 0$ and 0.5) compounds. Figure 3(a) and 3(b) shows the magnetic field dependence of the magnetization (M vs. H) for $\text{HoFe}_{1-x}\text{Cr}_x\text{O}_3$ ($x = 0$ and 0.5) compounds measured at 300 K and 5 K respectively. Indeed there exists a loop opening (coercivity $H_C = 0.0720$ T & remanence $M_r = 0.021 \mu_B/\text{f.u.}$) at the origin for $x = 0$ as it is evident from the inset of Fig. 3(a). In contrast, M vs. H variation is linear for $x = 0.5$ compound, which is in accordance with our earlier observation that this compound consists T_{N2} at around 273 K, above which it is a paramagnet. The loop opening for the $x = 0$ compound can be ascribed to the weak ferromagnetic behaviour which may be due to the presence of the canted Fe^{3+} moments. Fig. 3(b) shows the M vs. H loops at 5 K. It is apparent from the figure that the magnetization consists a loop opening for both the $x = 0$ and $x = 0.5$ compounds with a H_C value of 0.2266 T and 0.0743 T respectively. This indeed indicates that with the Cr^{3+} addition, compound turns magnetically soft. The magnetization behaviour at 5 K can be ascribed to the weak ferromagnetic nature of the compounds due to the canted moments in AFM configuration as a result of the Dzyaloshinsky-Moriya antisymmetric exchange interaction [9, 24]. The M vs. H can be represented as $M = \chi_{\text{AFM}}H + \sigma_s$, where σ_s is the saturation magnetization of the weak ferromagnetism, and $\chi_{\text{AFM}}H$ is the antiferromagnetic contribution [25]. The decrease in magnetization by incorporating Cr^{3+} ions at iron site may be due to the smaller effective moment of the Cr^{3+} ($3.872\mu_B$) in comparison with the Fe^{3+} ($5.916\mu_B$).

MC measurements were carried out on a polycrystalline HoFeO_3 compound to get the information about the microstructural changes in the material for an applied magnetic field. Fig.4 (a) indicates the room temperature variation of capacitance with respect to the H at a frequency of 100 Hz. It is evident from the figure that the capacitance increases with magnetic field until 0.5 T and shows diminishing trend until 0.4 T. Further decrease in magnetic field leads to an increase in capacitance until -0.8 T and continues to decrease until -0.4 T, below which again it increases until 0 T. Arrows on the graph indicates the sequence for the capacitance behaviour. Indeed there exists a butterfly kind of loop, which resembles the variation of magnetostriction with respect to the magnetic field. Probable reason for the observed MC in the present compound could be due to (a) magnetostriction (b) magnetoelectric coupling [18]. In order to check we have done both the magnetostriction vs. magnetic field measurements and polarization (P) vs. electric field (E) measurements at 300 K. Magnetostriction measurements were carried out using strain gauge method and the present compound doesn't show any magnetostriction for an applied magnetic field as high as 1 T, hinting that the contribution from magnetostriction to MC can be ruled out. Fig. 4(b) shows unsaturated P vs. E loops on HoFeO_3 at 300 K with a frequency of 100 Hz and at different applied electric fields in the range of 2 – 5 kV/cm, suggests the lossy dielectric behaviour [26]. The polarization of $0.38 \mu\text{C}/\text{cm}^2$ is evident at 5 kV/cm. Inset of Fig. 4(b) shows the small opening of P vs. E loop measured in the electric field range of 5 kV/cm. Indeed such an observation is puzzling due to the fact that one cannot expect electric polarization for a compound with centrosymmetric space group Pbnm. It has been observed that the spontaneous or the magnetic field induced electric polarization in orthoferrites appears below the antiferromagnetic Néel temperature of the rare earth ion [27]. Concurrently, it has been believed that the coexistence of $\text{Fe}^{2+}/\text{Fe}^{3+}$ in rare earth iron garnets leads to the movement of charge carriers and local dipoles which may in turn strongly affect

their physical properties [28, 29]. Earlier ferroelectric behaviour and magnetocapacitance behaviour has been observed in $\text{Ho}_3\text{Fe}_5\text{O}_{12}$ due to Fe^{2+} [18]. From our XRD it is evident that there exists a peak which corresponds to $\text{Ho}_3\text{Fe}_5\text{O}_{12}$ phase, hence, we believe that a ferroelectric behaviour and MC behaviour that we observed could be due to extra phase that is evident during our phase purity investigation.

Conclusions:

In summary, we have successfully demonstrated an increase in spin reorientation transition temperature for the compound with a composition $x = 0.5$ and the magnetocapacitance behaviour in HoFeO_3 compound. The shift in SR transition to high temperature for $x = 0.5$ compound ascribed to the domination of $\text{Ho}^{3+} - \text{Fe}^{3+}$ interaction over the $\text{Fe}^{3+} - \text{Fe}^{3+}$ interaction. On the other hand, smaller effective magnetic moment of Cr^{3+} compared with Fe^{3+} diminishes the Néel temperature as well as the magnetization. In addition to the above, the reduction in the H_C up on the Cr^{3+} addition suggests the magnetically softening of the HoFeO_3 compound. Observed magnetocapacitance and ferroelectric behaviour in HoFeO_3 is believed due to the lossy dielectric mechanism as a result of an extra phase $\text{Ho}_3\text{Fe}_5\text{O}_{12}$. We believe that the present results would be helpful not only to understand the complex magnetic interactions in orthoferrites but also to develop devices based on them.

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge Indian Institute of Technology, Hyderabad and Department of Science and Technology (DST) (Project #SR/FTP/PS-190/2012) for the financial support.

References:

[1] Hui Shen, Zhenxiang Cheng, Fang Hong, Jiayue Xu, Shujuan Yuan, Shixun Cao, and Xiaolin Wang, Magnetic field induced discontinuous spin reorientation in ErFeO_3 single crystal, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **103** (2013) pp. 192404.

- [2] K. P. Belov, A. K. Zvezdin, A. M. Kadomtseva, and R. Z. Levitin, Spin-reorientation transitions in rare-earth magnets, *Sov. Phys. Uspekhi* **19** (1976) pp. 574-596.
- [3] L. T. Tsymbal, N. K. Dan'shin, V. D. Buchel'nikov, V. G. Shavrov, Magnetoacoustics of rare earth orthoferrites, *Phys. Usp.* **39** (1996) pp. 547 - 572.
- [4] A. V. Kimel, A. Kirilyuk, P. A. Usachev, R. V. Pisarev, A. M. Balbashov, and Th. Rasing, Ultrafast non-thermal control of magnetization by instantaneous photomagnetic pulses, *Nature* **435** (2005) pp. 655-657.
- [5] R. L. White, Review of Recent Work on the Magnetic and Spectroscopic Properties of the Rare-Earth Orthoferrites, *J. Appl. Phys.* **40** (1969) pp. 1061-1069.
- [6] M. Gateshki, L. Suescun, S. Kolesnik, J. Mais, K. Świerczek, S. Short, B. Dabrowski, Structural, magnetic and electronic properties of $\text{LaNi}_{0.5}\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{O}_3$ in the temperature range 5–1000 K, *Journal of Solid State Chemistry* **181** (2008) pp. 1833-1839.
- [7] N. Singh, J. Y. Rhee, S. Auluck, Electronic and Magneto-Optical Properties of Rare-Earth Orthoferrites $R\text{FeO}_3$ ($R = \text{Y}, \text{Sm}, \text{Eu}, \text{Gd}$ and Lu), *J. Korean Phys. Soc.* **53** (2008) pp. 806-811.
- [8] S. Acharya, J. Mondal, S. Ghosh, S.K. Roy, P.K. Chakrabarti, Multiferroic behaviour of lanthum orthoferrites (LaFeO_3), *Materials Letters* **64** (2010) pp. 415-418.
- [9] S. Iida, K. Ohbayashi, S. Kagoshima, Magnetism of rare-earth orthoferrites as revealed from critical phenomena observation, *J. Phys. Colloques* **32** (1971) pp. C1-654–C1-656.
- [10] A. V. Kimel, B. A. Ivanov, R. V. Pisarev, P. A. Usachev, A. Kirilyuk and Th. Rasing, Inertia-driven spin switching in antiferromagnets, *Nature (London)* **5** (2009) pp. 727–731.

- [11] Zhiqiang Zhou, Li Guo, Haixia Yang, Qiang Liu, Feng Ye, Hydrothermal synthesis and magnetic properties of multiferroic rare-earth orthoferrites, *Journal of Alloys and Compounds* **583** (2014) pp. 21–31.
- [12] John C. Walling and Robert L. White, Optical-absorption Zeeman Spectroscopy of HoFeO_3 , *Phys. Rev. B* **10** (1974) pp. 4737- 4747.
- [13] P.D. Battle, T.C. Gibb, A.J. Herod, S.H. Kim, P.H. Munns, Investigation of magnetic frustration in A_2FeMnO_6 ($\text{A} = \text{Ca, Sr, Ba}$; $\text{M} = \text{Nb, Ta, Sb}$) by magnetometry and Mössbauer spectroscopy, *J. Mater. Chem.* **5** (1995) pp. 865-870.
- [14] John B. Goodenough, Theory of the Role of Covalence in the Perovskite-Type Manganites [La, M (II)] MnO_3 , *Phys. Rev.* **100** (1955) pp. 564-573, J Kanamori, Superexchange interaction and symmetry properties of electron orbitals, *J. Phys. Chem. solids* **10**(2) (1959) pp. 87-98.
- [15] B. Rajeswaran, P. Mandal, Rana Saha, E. Suard, A. Sundaresan, and C. N. R. Rao, Ferroelectricity Induced by Cations of Nonequivalent Spins Disordered in the Weakly Ferromagnetic Perovskites, $\text{YCr}_{1-x}\text{M}_x\text{O}_3$ ($\text{M} = \text{Fe or Mn}$), *Chem. Mater.* **24** (2012) pp. 3591-3595.
- [16] Vidhya G. Nair, L. Pal, V. Subramanian, and P. N. Santhosh, Structural, magnetic, and magnetodielectric studies of metamagnetic $\text{DyFe}_{0.5}\text{Cr}_{0.5}\text{O}_3$, *J. Appl. Phys.* **115** (2014) pp. 17D728.
- [17] N. Kimizuka, A. Yamamoto, H. Ohashi, T. Sugihara, T. Sekine, The stability of the phases in the $\text{Ln}_2\text{O}_3\text{-FeO-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ systems which are stable at elevated temperatures (Ln : Lanthanide elements and Y, *J. Solid State Chem.* **48** (1983) pp. 65-76.

- [18] Jie Su, Yunfeng Guo, Junting Zhang, Hui Sun, Ju He, Xiaomei Lu, Chaojing Lu, and Jinsong Zhu, Ferroelectric behaviour and magnetocapacitance effect caused by Fe^{2+} in $\text{Ho}_3\text{Fe}_5\text{O}_{12}$, *Ferroelectrics* 448 (2013) pp. 71-76
- [19] Ganesh Kotnana and S. Narayana Jammalamadaka, Band gap tuning and orbital mediated electron-phonon coupling in $\text{HoFe}_{1-x}\text{Cr}_x\text{O}_3$ ($0 \leq x \leq 1$), *J. Appl. Phys.* **118** (2015) pp. 124101.
- [20] Shujuan Yuan, Ya Yang, Yiming Cao, AnhuaWu, Bo Lu, Shixun Cao, Jincang Zhang, Tailoring complex magnetic phase transition in HoFeO_3 , *Solid State Communications* **188** (2014) pp. 19–22.
- [21] O. Nikolov, T. Ruskov, G.P. Vorobyov, A.M. Kadomtseva, I.B. Krynetskii, A new mechanism of the spin reorientations in HoFeO_3 , *Hyperfine Interact.* **54** (1990) pp. 623-626.
- [22] S. Venugopalan, M. Dutta, A. K. Ramdas, and J. P. Remeika, Magnetic and vibrational excitations in rare-earth orthoferrites: A Raman scattering study, *Phys. Rev. B* **31** (1985) pp. 1490-1497.
- [23] A. J. Dekker, *Solid State Physics*, Prentice-Hall, New York (1970).
- [24] I. Dzyaloshinsky, A thermodynamic theory of “weak” ferromagnetism of antiferromagnetics, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **4** (1958) pp. 241-255.
- [25] A. Dahmani, M. Taibi, M. Nogues, J. Aride, E. Loudghiri, and A. Belayachi, Magnetic properties of the perovskite compounds $\text{YFe}_{1-x}\text{Cr}_x\text{O}_3$ ($0.5 \leq x \leq 1$), *Mater. Chem. Phys.* **77** (2003) pp. 912-917.
- [26] J. F. Scott, Ferroelectrics go bananas, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* 20 (2008) 021001.
- [27] Y. Tokunaga, S. Iguchi, T. Arima, and Y. Tokura, Magnetic-Field-Induced Ferroelectric State in DyFeO_3 , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 101 (2008) pp. 097205.

- [28] J. Su, X.M. Lu, C. Zhang, J. T. Zhang, H. Sun, C. Zhang, Z. H. Jiang, C.C. Ju, Z. J. Wang, F. Z. Huang, and J. S. Zhu, The effect of Fe^{2+} ions on dielectric and magnetic properties of $\text{Yb}_3\text{Fe}_5\text{O}_{12}$ ceramics, *J. Appl. Phys.* 111 (2012) pp. 014112.
- [29] M. A. Subramanian, Tao He, Jiazhong Chen, Nyrissa S. Rogado, Thomas G. Calvarese, and Arthur W. Sleight, Giant room temperature magnetodielectric response in the electronic ferroelectric LuFe_2O_4 , *Adv. Mater.* 18 (2006) pp. 1737-1739.

Accepted manuscript




Fig. 1: Room temperature powder XRD patterns of $\text{HoFe}_{1-x}\text{Cr}_x\text{O}_3$ (a) $x = 0$ (b) $x = 0.5$.




Fig. 2: (a) Susceptibility variation for the compounds $\text{HoFe}_{1-x}\text{Cr}_x\text{O}_3$ ($x = 0, 0.5$) in the temperature range 5 – 300 K. Inset of (a) shows DSC heat flow curve for HoFeO_3 in the temperature range of 400-800 K (b) Inverse susceptibility vs. T for the compound $\text{HoFe}_{0.5}\text{Cr}_{0.5}\text{O}_3$. It is evident that above T_{N2} (273 K), graph shows linear behaviour.




Fig. 3: Magnetic field vs. Magnetization graph for $\text{HoFe}_{1-x}\text{Cr}_x\text{O}_3$ ($x = 0, 0.5$) compounds (a) at 300 K. Inset shows the expanded view for $x = 0$ compound (b) Magnetization graphs at 5 K for $x = 0$ and $x = 0.5$ compounds respectively.




Fig 4: (a) Magnetic field vs. capacitance of HoFeO_3 at 300 K with 100 Hz frequency (b) Electric polarization (P) vs. electric field (E) hysteresis loops of HoFeO_3 measured at 300 K with 100 Hz frequency.

Highlights:

- We report on enhanced spin reorientation transition in $\text{HoFe}_{0.5}\text{Cr}_{0.5}\text{O}_3$ and the magnetocapacitance in the HoFeO_3 .
- With Cr^{3+} addition to Fe site, spin reorientation temperature enhanced from 50 K to 150 K and such intriguing phenomena is attributed to the domination of the Ho^{3+} - Fe^{3+} interaction over the Fe^{3+} - Fe^{3+} interaction.
- From the decrease in the coercivity with the addition of Cr^{3+} , we believe that there exists magnetic softening for the compound HoFeO_3 .
- Observed magnetocapacitance in HoFeO_3 is correlated to lossy dielectric mechanism of $\text{Ho}_3\text{Fe}_5\text{O}_{12}$.