NERC Open Research Archive
sharing our research

Centre for Ecology & Hydrology
NATURAL ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH COUNCIL

Article (refereed) - postprint

Curtis, Chris J.; **Heaton, Timothy H.E.**; Simpson, Gavin L.; **Evans, Chris D.**; Shilland, James; Turner, Simon. 2012 Dominance of biologically produced nitrate in upland waters of Great Britain indicated by stable isotopes. *Biogeochemistry*, 111 (1-3). 535-554. [10.1007/s10533-011-9686-8](10.1007/s10533-011-9686-8)

Contact CEH NORA team at
noraceh@ceh.ac.uk

1 Dominance of biologically produced nitrate in upland waters of

2 Great Britain indicated by stable isotopes

3

4 Chris J. Curtis[1], Timothy H.E. Heaton[2], Gavin L. Simpson[1], Chris D. Evans[3], James

5 Shilland[1] and Simon Turner[1]

6

7 [1] Environmental Change Research Centre, Geography Dept., University College London, Gower Street,

8   London WC1E 6BT, UK.

9 [2] NERC Isotope Geosciences Laboratory, British Geological Survey, Keyworth, Nottingham NG12

10   5GG, UK.

11 [3] Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Environment Centre Wales, Deiniol Road, Bangor, Wales LL57

12   2UW, UK.

13

Abstract

15 Atmospheric deposition of nitrogen (N) compounds is the major source of anthropogenic N to most

16 upland ecosystems, where leaching of nitrate (NO_3^-) into surface waters contributes to eutrophication

17 and acidification as well as indicating an excess of N in the terrestrial catchment ecosystems. Natural

18 abundance stable isotopes ratios, $^{15}N/^{14}N$ and $^{18}O/^{16}O$, (the "dual isotope" technique) have previously

19 been used in biogeochemical studies of alpine and forested ecosystems to demonstrate that most of the

20 NO_3^- in upland surface waters has been microbially produced. Here we present an application of the

21 technique to four moorland catchments in the British uplands including a comparison of lakes and their

22 stream inflows at two sites. The NO_3^- concentrations of bulk deposition and surface waters at three sites

23 are very similar. While noting the constraints imposed by uncertainty in the precise $\delta^{18}O$ value for

24 microbial NO_3^-, however, we estimate that 79-98% of the annual mean NO_3^- has been microbially

25 produced. Direct leaching of atmospheric NO_3^- is a minor component of catchment NO_3^- export,

26 although greater than in many similar studies in forested watersheds. A greater proportion of

27 atmospheric NO_3^- is seen in the two lake sites relative to their inflow streams, demonstrating the

28 importance of direct NO_3^- deposition to lake surfaces in catchments where terrestrial ecosystems

1   intercept a large proportion of deposited N. The dominance of microbial sources of NO_3^- in upland

2   waters suggests that reduced and oxidised N deposition may have similar implications in terms of

3   contributing to NO_3^- leaching.

4

5

6   **Keywords**

7   Nitrate leaching, nitrification, ^{18}O, ^{15}N, uplands, lakes, N deposition

8

9   **Running title:**

10   Dominance of biological nitrate in upland waters

11

12   **Introduction**

13

14   The environmental problems associated with anthropogenic nitrogen (N) deposition to

15   semi-natural, upland catchments include surface water acidification and

16   eutrophication of both aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems (Curtis et al. 2005a; Maberly

17   et al. 2002; Galloway et al. 2003; Bergström and Jansson 2006; Emmett 2007; Elser et

18   al. 2009). However, despite many biogeochemical studies of N in upland catchments

19   over the last 10-15 years, the mechanistic links between N deposition and nitrate

20   (NO_3^-) leaching are still not well understood. A key factor is that inorganic forms of N

21   are readily available for biological uptake in N-limited terrestrial upland ecosystems,

22   so that only a small proportion of deposition inputs ever reaches surface waters.

23   Simple empirical observations of NO_3^- outputs versus N deposition inputs show that

24   there may be a deposition threshold below which NO_3^- leaching rarely occurs, but

25   above which there may be a wide range of responses from very low to very high NO_3^-

26   leaching (Dise et al. 1998). Several factors related to cumulative N deposition effects

1   have been proposed to explain these patterns, including soil C:N ratios (e.g. Dise and

2   Wright 1995; Gundersen et al. 1998; MacDonald et al. 2002; van der Salm et al.

3   2007) and total catchment carbon pools or proportion of organic soils (Evans et al.

4   2006; Helliwell et al. 2007). Spatial variations in catchment sensitivity to N

5   deposition and associated NO_3^- leaching have also been linked to vegetation type

6   (Rowe et al. 2006) and certain physical catchment attributes such as altitude, presence

7   of bare rock and steep slopes (Kopácek et al. 2005; Helliwell et al. 2007).

8

9   A simple, initial hypothesis is that, since ammonium concentrations in upland waters

10 are generally negligible, and elevated NO_3^- concentrations only occur where there are

11 high deposition loads of oxidized N (NOx), the NO_3^- observed in impacted upland

12 waters must derive directly from NO_3^- in precipitation (cf. NEGTAP 2001; Durka et

13 al. 1994; Emmett 2007). Ammonium in soilwaters is subject to cation exchange and is

14 less mobile than NO_3^-, with a longer residence time in soils and hence greater

15 potential for microbial or vegetation uptake, so NO_3^- is more likely to bypass these

16 biological sinks for N to be leached into surface waters. This hypothesis is

17 superficially supported by the observation that steeper, rockier catchments may leach

18 more NO_3^-, leading to the usage of the term "hydrological NO_3^-" (e.g. Moldan et al.

19 1995; Curtis et al. 2005a, b) and the idea of the "Teflon basin" in early studies of

20 alpine lakes in North America, whereby rapidly flushed NO_3^- is not retained in alpine

21 basins (later questioned by Campbell et al. 2002). Such observations have resulted in

22 a common perception that enhanced NO_3^- leaching is associated primarily with NOx

23 deposition in upland catchments.

24

1   Several studies, primarily from North America, have more recently challenged the

2   perception that leached NO_3^- is of direct, atmospheric origin, through the use of stable

3   isotope techniques to identify the source of NO_3^- isolated from surface water samples

4   (e.g. Durka et al. 1994; Williard et al. 2001; Spoelstra et al. 2001; Campbell et al.

5   2002, 2006; Hales et al. 2007; Sebestyen et al. 2008). Many of these studies have

6   demonstrated that even in alpine catchments, a very large proportion of leached NO_3^-

7   is of microbial origin, as indicated by large differences in the abundance of the

8   naturally occurring heavy isotope of oxygen, ^{18}O, between NO_3^- collected from

9   precipitation and surface water samples. The direct implication is that leaching of

10   unaltered, atmospheric NO_3^- is a minor source of surface water NO_3^- in the uplands

11   and that other factors linked to the nitrification of ammonium are much more

12   important.

13

14   The aim of the present study is to determine the relative importance of atmospheric

15   versus microbial sources of NO_3^- across four semi-natural, non-forest upland

16   catchments in the UK with differing levels of NO_3^- leaching, deposition loads and

17   different land cover / physical attributes. The UK uplands experience very high

18   nitrogen deposition loads compared with most of the semi-natural watersheds in North

19   America where dual isotope studies have been carried out; total wet + dry N

20   deposition in 2006 exceeded 24 kgN ha^{-1} yr^{-1} across many upland regions (RoTAP in

21   press). Furthermore, many upland waters in these areas experience chronic NO_3^-

22   leaching for much or all of the year (Curtis et al. 2005a). The hypothesis that leached

23   NO_3^- is primarily of atmospheric origin suggests that policy measures to control NOx

24   emissions should be the main priority to protect upland waters from the adverse

25   effects of enhanced NO_3^- leaching. If leached NO_3^- is mainly microbially produced,

1   then all external sources of inorganic N are likely to contribute to observed patterns of

2   NO_3^- leaching.

3

4   *Site description*

5   Four study sites were selected on the basis of the availability of co-located long-term

6   water chemistry data from the UK Acid Waters Monitoring Network (AWMN:

7   Monteith and Shilland 2007) and bulk deposition chemistry from the UK Acid

8   Deposition Monitoring Network (ADMN) since 1999 when the sites were

9   instrumented (Lawrence et al. 2008; Table 1). All four sites occupy semi-natural

10   headwater catchments (Fig. 1) with atmospheric deposition as the only major source

11   of anthropogenic pollution. The Afon Gwy and Scoat Tarn catchments comprise

12   mainly acid grassland with sheep grazing. The River Etherow catchment is mainly

13   *Calluna vulgaris* dominated moorland on blanket peat, which is managed as a grouse

14   moor by burning, with some sheep grazing. The blanket bog is affected by gully

15   erosion and historical loss of *Sphagnum* moss cover, which has been attributed to acid

16   deposition (Tallis 1987). The catchment of Lochnagar comprises alpine/subalpine

17   heath with a large proportion of bare rock and scree. The Afon Gwy and River

18   Etherow are streams, while Scoat Tarn and Lochnagar are headwater lakes with

19   smaller catchments (Fig.1, Table 1). All four sites are acidified, showing exceedance

20   of critical loads for acidity (Curtis et al. 2005a), with moderate NO_3^- leaching at the

21   Afon Gwy, high NO_3^- leaching at Scoat Tarn and Lochnagar and extremely high NO_3^-

22   (for a semi-natural upland site) in the River Etherow (Table 1). These patterns reflect

23   NO_3^- concentrations in bulk deposition, with comparable concentrations between

24   surface waters and bulk deposition at all sites except the River Etherow, where mean

25   NO_3^- concentrations are much higher in the stream (Table 1). This observation

1   appears to support the hypothesis that surface water NO_3^- may be largely dictated by

2   concentrations in rainfall, with some additional source of NO_3^- at the Etherow.

3

4

5   **Methods**

6

7

8   A pilot study to assess the feasibility of the dual isotope ($^{15}N/^{14}N$ and $^{18}O/^{16}O$) method

9   was first carried out at the Afon Gwy from September 2004 to August 2005, on the

10   basis of previous studies at the site and the development of a conceptual model of

11   NO_3^- leaching zones (Evans et al. 2004). A high volume bulk deposition collector

12   with a small collecting roof (c. $0.5m^2$) was installed adjacent to the streamwater

13   sampling point. Three zero-tension tray lysimeters were installed in an exposed

14   hillslope cutting approximately 700m from the bulk deposition collector on the

15   adjacent hillslope (grid ref. SN81967, 86100), at depths of c. 10cm (bottom of rooting

16   zone), 20cm (base of O horizon) and 50cm (B horizon, above an impermeable

17   ironpan). Streamwaters, bulk deposition and tray lysimeters were all sampled monthly

18   for isotopes. Additional streamwater samples were obtained from a number of

19   subcatchments on a quarterly basis for comparison with the main channel, with

20   subcatchments selected on the basis of dominant soils and previous water chemistry

21   data from Evans et al. (2004). Only data from tributary LB7a are presented here.

22

23   Following successful application of the techniques at the Afon Gwy, monthly isotopic

24   sampling commenced at the other three sites in August 2005, ending in July 2006

25   (Table 1). At these sites, bulk deposition collectors were located close to surface water

1   sample points and two tray lysimeters were deployed nearby in deeper organic

2   horizons at c. 10cm and 20cm depths. The high-volume bulk deposition collectors at

3   the three new sites comprised a large diameter HDPE funnel (c. 32cm diameter)

4   attached to a pole about 2m above ground level and connected via a length of

5   neoprene tubing to a sealed 5L LDPE carboy buried under c. 10cm of soil. At the

6   River Etherow, streamwater samples were obtained from two tributary streams (Rose

7   Clough and Swan Clough) as well as the main river channel at the weir (Table 1; Fig.

8   1). At Scoat Tarn, the two major inflow streams were sampled as well as the lake

9   outflow, while at Lochnagar a spring-fed inflow stream was sampled as well as the

10 lake outflow.

11

12

13 *Sample collection and analysis*

14

15 <u>Surface water and bulk deposition sampling and analysis for isotopes</u>

16 For isotopic analysis, monthly surface water and bulk deposition samples were

17 collected and a subsample analysed in the field using a portable spectrophotometer

18 (Hach DR/2400) for concentrations of the acid anions NO_3^-, sulphate and chloride to

19 provide approximate estimates of required sample volumes for isotopic analysis

20 (target was at least 100 μeq NO_3^-). All samples were collected in acid-washed, DIW-

21 rinsed LDPE carboys. For surface waters at least one 20L carboy was filled after

22 rinsing three times with sample (up to 40L in two carboys in summer when low NO_3^-

23 concentrations <5 μeq l^{-1} were found). Bulk deposition samples were collected in the

24 original 5L LDPE carboys which were then replaced with clean carboys following

25 rinsing of the funnel and tubing with DIW.

1

2   Streamwater and bulk deposition NO_3^- samples were then filtered to 0.45 μm using

3   high capacity groundwater filtration cartridges or 142mm diameter disc filters

4   (Whatman GF/F 0.7μm pre-filter and Pall Supor-450 0.45μm membrane filter) and

5   pre-treated through cation exchange resins (AG50W-X8) prior to collection on anion

6   exchange resins (Dowex AG1-X8) according to the method of Chang et al. (1999).

7   Where possible, at least 100 μeq of NO_3^- was passed through the exchange columns

8   but care had to be taken not to exceed the exchange capacity of the anion resins (6000

9   μeq) to avoid possible isotope fractionation of the collected NO_3^- sample. In practice,

10   it was not always possible to collect sufficient NO_3^- for isotopic analysis due to very

11   low concentrations in streamwaters relative to other anions, especially chloride. The

12   anion resins were refrigerated prior to being transported to the NERC Isotope

13   Geosciences Laboratory, Keyworth, where the NO_3^- was converted to silver nitrate

14   (Silva et al., 2000; Heaton et al., 2004). $^{15}N/^{14}N$ and $^{18}O/^{16}O$ ratios were analysed by

15   combustion to N_2 in a Flash EA Elemental Analyzer, or thermal conversion to CO in a

16   TC-EA, respectively, with gases passed on-line to a Delta Plus XL mass spectrometer

17   (all ThermoFinnigan, Bremen, Germany). Sample purity and freedom from organic

18   contamination was checked by ensuring that N/O ratios were close to those of pure

19   NO_3^- standards (Heaton et al. 2004). Nitrate isotope ratios were calculated as $\delta^{15}N$

20   values versus air (atmospheric N_2) and $\delta^{18}O$ versus VSMOW by comparison with

21   standards IAEA N-1 and N-2, and USGS 34 and 35. Water $^{18}O/^{16}O$ ratios were

22   determined following equilibration with CO_2 in an Isoprep-18 on-line to a SIRA II

23   mass spectrometer (VG Isotopes, Middlewich, England), and calculated as $\delta^{18}O$

24   values versus VSMOW by comparison to IAEA standards VSMOW and SLAP.

25

1   <u>Soilwater sampling and analysis</u>

2   Monthly tray lysimeter soilwater samples were filtered and analysed for acid anions in

3   the field using the methods described above. Where sufficient NO_3^- was measured, the

4   sample was loaded onto ion-exchange resin columns for dual isotope analysis. Where

5   sample volumes were insufficient for isotopic analysis, samples were kept refrigerated

6   and bulked with subsequent months until sufficient sample volume was obtained.

7

8   <u>Water chemistry sampling programmes</u>

9   Water chemistry data were obtained from separate ongoing sampling programmes at

10   the study sites. Separate surface water and bulk deposition samples were obtained at

11   the same frequency (or greater) as isotope samples (except at Scoat Tarn) and

12   analysed for water chemistry in the laboratory according to the established protocols

13   of the AWMN for surface waters (Monteith and Shilland 2007) and ADMN for bulk

14   deposition (Lawrence et al. 2008), using ion chromatography with detection limits of

15   c. 1 $\mu eq \; l^{-1}$ for NO_3^- and NH_4^+ and ion-balance checks as part of routine analytical

16   qualitical control. Surface water samples were taken weekly from the Afon Gwy, 2-

17   weekly from Lochnagar, monthly at the River Etherow and quarterly at Scoat Tarn.

18   Bulk deposition sampling for water chemistry was carried out 2-weekly at all sites

19   using collectors following the design of Hall (1986). Only these chemistry data are

20   reported here; monthly field results obtained using the portable spectrophotometer are

21   considered to be approximate only.

22

23

24   *Data analysis*

25

1 <u>Calculation of the theoretical $\delta^{18}O$-NO_3^- of bacterial NO_3^-</u>

2 Determination of the proportions of atmospheric and microbial NO_3^- using $\delta^{18}O$ relies

3 on the measurement of atmospheric $\delta^{18}O$-NO_3^- in bulk deposition, and the theoretical

4 calculation of microbial $\delta^{18}O$-NO_3^- based on measurement of soilwater $\delta^{18}O$-H_2O.

5 This calculation has traditionally been based on the assumption that autotrophic

6 microbial NO_3^- derives one part of its oxygen from atmospheric O_2 ($\delta^{18}O = +23‰$)

7 and two parts from soilwater H_2O, which is measured directly (Amberger and

8 Schmidt 1987; Kendall 1998):

9

10     $\delta^{18}O$-$NO_3^- = (2/3\ \delta^{18}O$-$H_2O$ soilwater$) + (1/3\ \delta^{18}O$-O_2 atmosphere$)$ (1)

11

12 This theoretical calculation makes a number of assumptions which may be valid for

13 many, but not all environments (Mayer et al. 2001; Kendall et al. 2007; Spoelstra et

14 al. 2007; Snider et al. 2010). Thus, from experimental results Mayer et al. (2001)

15 suggested that there may be some circumstances under which heterotrophic

16 nitrification might yield NO_3^- oxygen derived from two parts atmospheric oxygen and

17 only one part soilwater oxygen. In contrast, recent incubation experiments with ^{18}O-

18 labelled waters have suggested that in some cases over 90% of the NO_3^- oxygen might

19 be derived from soil water (Snider et al. 2010). Here we calculate the soil microbial

20 NO_3^- end-member using the commonly used equation above, assuming the ratio of

21 atmospheric-O to soilwater-O equals 1 to 2; but comment later on the validity of this.

22 Future isotope studies may be able to overcome these uncertainties by using

23 techniques for measuring NO_3^- $\delta^{17}O$ in addition to $\delta^{18}O$ (Michalski et al. 2004; Curtis

24 et al. 2011).

25

1 <u>Statistical analysis</u>

2 A series of linear models fitted by ordinary least squares was used to formally address

3 a set of key hypotheses; i) there are differences between study sites in the isotopic

4 signature of deposited NO_3^- (i.e. both $\delta^{18}O$-NO_3^- and $\delta^{15}N$-NO_3^-), ii) there are

5 differences between sites in $\delta^{18}O$-H_2O of soilwaters, used in the derivation of

6 theoretical microbial $\delta^{18}O$-NO_3^-, iii) there are isotopic differences between deposition

7 NO_3^- and surface water NO_3^- within study sites (for both $\delta^{18}O$-NO_3^- and $\delta^{15}N$-NO_3^-),

8 iv) there are within-site differences in both $\delta^{15}N$-NO_3^- and $\delta^{18}O$-NO_3^- between inflow

9 streams and lake outflows indicating differences in the contribution of atmospheric

10 NO_3^- leaching, v) there are differences between sites in $\delta^{18}O$-NO_3^- of streamwaters

11 indicative of differing levels of atmospheric NO_3^- leaching. The assumptions of

12 ordinary least squares were checked via exploratory plotting of data and via Fligner-

13 Kileen tests for homogeneity of variances. Where the homogeneity of variances

14 assumption was not met by the data, a sandwich estimator of the standard errors of

15 model parameters was used, providing heteroscedastic-consistent standard errors.

16 Post-hoc pair-wise comparisons were conducted according to the method of Tukey's

17 Honest Significance Differences (Tukey's range test) at a 95% family-wise confidence

18 level (Bretz et al. 2010). All analyses were performed using R (version 2.11-patched

19 R Core Development Team, 2010) with the multcomp (version 1.2-2, Hothorn et al.

20 2008) and sandwich (2.2-6, Zeileis 2004) packages.

21

22

23 **Results**

24

25 *Deposition and surface water chemistry*

1   Concentrations of NO_3^- and NH_4^+ in bulk deposition were significantly related

2   (ANCOVA: likelihood ratio 109.9045, 4 d.f., p < 0.0001; Fig. 2). The highest

3   concentrations in precipitation occurred at the River Etherow, regularly exceeding 50

4   $\mu eq\ l^{-1}$ for both NO_3^- and NH_4^+, while lower peaks in the range 20-30 $\mu eq\ l^{-1}$ occurred

5   at the Afon Gwy. At Lochnagar, concentrations of NO_3^- in precipitation were

6   generally higher than NH_4^+ while at other sites NH_4^+ concentrations regularly

7   exceeded those of NO_3^-.

8

9   Mean concentrations and deposition fluxes of NO_3^-, ammonium and dissolved

10 inorganic N (DIN) are presented in Table 2. While concentrations of all ions in bulk

11 deposition were greatest at the River Etherow site, the greatest deposition fluxes

12 occurred at Scoat Tarn, where rainfall was more than double that at the Etherow.

13 Furthermore, while mean concentrations of NO_3^- and NH_4^+ were lowest at the Afon

14 Gwy, much lower rainfall at Lochnagar meant that deposition fluxes were lowest at

15 the latter site.

16

17 Concentrations of NO_3^- in bulk deposition were generally higher than or similar to

18 those in surface waters for most of the year except at the River Etherow, where NO_3^-

19 concentrations in the stream exceeded those in precipitation on about 50% of

20 sampling occasions (Fig. 2). Surface water concentrations of NH_4^+ were negligible at

21 all sites except for occasional measurable levels at the River Etherow and are not

22 considered further.

23

24 Surface water NO_3^- concentrations over the study period were very similar to the

25 longer-term means in Table 1 and showed the same pattern relative to bulk deposition

1 (Table 2). Mean NO_3^- concentrations were similar in the stream and bulk deposition at

2 the River Etherow while at the other three sites, mean concentrations in surface waters

3 were slightly lower than in bulk deposition. Although flow was not measured at the

4 River Etherow or Scoat Tarn, flow data for the Afon Gwy were used to calculate a

5 flow-weighted mean concentration of 6.8 µeq l^{-1} which is slightly higher than the

6 unweighted mean of 6.0 µeq l^{-1} and closer to the volume weighted mean of 10.1 µeq l^-

7 ^{1} in bulk deposition. At Lochnagar, monthly scaling factors based on historical flow

8 data were used to calculate a flow-weighted mean NO_3^- concentration of 15.2 µeq l^{-1},

9 which is slightly lower than the unweighted mean of 15.8 µeq l^{-1} but close to the

10 volume-weighted mean value in bulk deposition of 17.7 µeq l^{-1}. In the absence of

11 standard flow data for all four sites, catchment leaching fluxes of NO_3^- were estimated

12 from modelled runoff data. Runoff estimates were provided by the Centre for Ecology

13 and Hydrology, Wallingford, and were derived from interpolated long-term mean

14 rainfall measurements (1941-70) and modelled evapotranspiration on a 1km grid for

15 the UK, based on meteorological data obtained from the UK Met Office.

16

17

18 *Dual isotope analysis*

19

20 All isotope data are summarised in the Supplementary Tables S2 to S5.

21

22 Summary box plots of the monthly dual isotope analysis of bulk deposition NO_3^- at

23 the four study sites are shown in Figs. 3-4. The range of $\delta^{15}N\text{-}NO_3^-$ varied from -2.3 to

24 +3.0‰ (n=9, mean= -0.3‰, SD= 1.6‰) at the Afon Gwy, -4.5 to +3.1‰ (n=12,

25 mean= +0.5‰, SD= 2.3‰) at the River Etherow, -4.3 to +1.0‰ (n=11, mean= -

1   1.5‰, SD= 1.5‰) at Scoat Tarn and -5.7 to +1.0‰ (n=11, mean= -1.8‰, SD= 1.8‰)

2   at Lochnagar.

3

4   Analysis of variance indicated that there were significant differences in bulk

5   deposition $\delta^{15}N-NO_3^-$ between some sites ($F_{(3,38)}$=3.41, p=0.027; Fig.3). Post hoc

6   comparison of pairwise differences of means showed a significant difference in $\delta^{15}N-$

7   NO_3^- between Lochnagar and the River Etherow (t=-2.89, p=0.030) while the

8   difference between Scoat Tarn and the River Etherow was not significant (t=-2.39,

9   p=0.093). While there was a scatter around 0.0‰ at the Afon Gwy and River

10   Etherow, most samples of bulk deposition NO_3^- from Scoat Tarn and Lochnagar were

11   depleted in ^{15}N and only the River Etherow had a (slightly) positive mean value of

12   $\delta^{15}N$.

13

14   At three sites the range of $\delta^{15}N-NO_3^-$ values in surface waters largely overlapped with

15   that in atmospheric deposition. The exception was the River Etherow, where

16   streamwater $\delta^{15}N-NO_3^-$ values were significantly higher than those in bulk deposition,

17   especially for the two tributary streams sampled (Tukey's HSD: Rose Clough, t=6.64,

18   p<0.001; Swan Clough t=7.67, p<0.001; River Etherow t=3.92, p=0.002). Mean

19   values of $\delta^{15}N-NO_3^-$ were +4.6‰ (n=12, SD= 2.0‰) for Rose Clough and +5.2‰

20   (n=12, SD= 1.1‰) for Swan Clough, in both cases exceeding the maximum values

21   recorded in bulk deposition for the River Etherow catchment (+3.1‰ in December

22   2005). Mean $\delta^{15}N$ values for surface waters in the Etherow catchment were 2.4 to

23   4.7‰ higher than those in bulk deposition. Despite the greater overlaps in $\delta^{15}N$ values

24   at other sites, surface water mean $\delta^{15}N$ values were also higher (by 0.9 to 1.5‰) than

25   those in bulk deposition.

1

2 Highly positive values of $\delta^{18}O$-NO_3^- in bulk deposition were found at all four sites,

3 with a minimum value of +48.3‰ and a maximum of +82.1‰, both at Lochnagar

4 (Fig. 4). Much smaller ranges were found at the Afon Gwy and River Etherow sites

5 (+60.4 to +71.6‰). Mean values from all sites were very similar, from +66.5 (River

6 Etherow, n=12, SD=3.6‰) to +69.6‰ at Lochnagar (n=11, SD= 9.5‰). Analysis of

7 variance showed no significant differences in bulk deposition $\delta^{18}O$-NO_3^- between sites

8 ($F_{(3,37)}$=1.02, p=0.394). However, there were large and significant differences in $\delta^{18}O$-

9 NO_3^- between surface waters and bulk deposition at each site (Tukey HSD, p<0.001

10 for all sampled water bodies; see Fig.5). The maximum surface water $\delta^{18}O$ value of

11 +22.4‰, observed at Lochnagar in April 2006, was lower than the minimum value

12 observed in deposition. This allowed the use of a simple two end-member mixing

13 model to estimate the proportion of untransformed atmospheric NO_3^- in surface waters

14 (see below). In the few soilwater samples which yielded sufficient NO_3^- for isotopic

15 analysis, the $\delta^{18}O$-NO_3^- values were comparable to surface waters at Scoat Tarn (+9.1

16 to +19.8‰), but intermediate between surface waters and bulk deposition at both the

17 River Etherow ($\delta^{18}O$ = +17.2 to +28.7) and Lochnagar ($\delta^{18}O$ = +35.5 to +53.6‰ in

18 bulked samples; see Fig. 5, Table S6).

19

20 While the differences in $\delta^{18}O$-NO_3^- between bulk deposition and surface waters were

21 much greater than for $\delta^{15}N$-NO_3^-, there was some evidence of inverse relationships

22 between the $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^{15}N$ values of surface water NO_3^- (i.e. decreasing $\delta^{15}N$ with

23 increasing $\delta^{18}O$) in the Etherow tributaries Rose Clough and Swan Clough, inflow 2 at

24 Scoat Tarn, and both inflow and lake at Lochnagar (all p<0.05; see Fig. 5).

25

1 *Theoretical $\delta^{18}O\text{-}NO_3^-$ of microbially produced NO_3^-*

2 Measurement of $\delta^{18}O\text{-}H_2O$ at the four sites showed very similar mean values of -5.9

3 (Afon Gwy), -6.0 (River Etherow), -6.4 (Scoat Tarn) and -7.4‰ (Lochnagar). The

4 only significant difference between sites was between Lochnagar and the River

5 Etherow (t=-2.77, p=0.035). Mean theoretical $\delta^{18}O\text{-}NO_3^-$ values for microbially

6 produced NO_3^- were +3.7‰ (Afon Gwy, River Etherow), +3.4‰ (Scoat Tarn) and

7 +2.8‰ (Lochnagar). The annual range of theoretical microbial $\delta^{18}O\text{-}NO_3^-$ for each

8 site is shown in Fig. 5; monthly data are provided in Supplementary Information.

9

10

11 *Derived contribution of untransformed atmospheric NO_3^-*

12 The simple two end-member mixing model employs an interpolation between the

13 theoretical microbial value and the measured bulk deposition $\delta^{18}O\text{-}NO_3^-$ (in each case

14 referring to $\delta^{18}O\text{-}NO_3^-$):

15

16 % atmospheric = $(\delta^{18}O_{\text{surface water}} - \delta^{18}O_{\text{microbial}}) / (\delta^{18}O_{\text{deposition}} - \delta^{18}O_{\text{microbial}}) \times 100\%$ (2)

17

18 Calculated values for each monthly sample are presented in supplementary Tables S2-

19 S5 but here we present annual means based on % values calculated monthly from

20 measured $\delta^{18}O_{\text{surface water}}$, $\delta^{18}O_{\text{microbial}}$ and $\delta^{18}O_{\text{deposition}}$.

21

22

23 <u>Afon Gwy</u>

24 At the Afon Gwy, most measurements of $\delta^{18}O\text{-}NO_3^-$ in the stream lay within the

25 theoretical range for microbially produced NO_3^- (Fig. 5), suggesting that almost all the

1   NO_3^- observed in the stream had been microbially produced, and that direct leaching

2   of untransformed atmospheric NO_3^- was negligible (Fig. 6). Very low NO_3^-

3   concentrations in the main channel at the Afon Gwy regularly prevented the collection

4   of sufficient NO_3^- on the anion resins for isotopic analysis. The highest proportion of

5   atmospheric NO_3^- was recorded from a tributary of the Afon Gwy (stream LB7a) with

6   slightly higher NO_3^- concentrations, indicating 7% untransformed NO_3^- in June 2005;

7   the annual mean value was only 3% (Table S2; SE= 1.4%). Due to bad weather, this

8   site could not be accessed for sampling during February-March 2005. In order to

9   investigate the possibility that peaks in both NO_3^- concentration and atmospheric

10 contribution had been missed as a result, the site was resurveyed as part of a

11 subsequent study in February-April 2009. In this later study, bulk deposition δ^{18}O-

12 NO_3^- fell within the range reported here while the proportion of atmospheric NO_3^- was

13 3% in February 2009 and <1% in March 2009. Insufficient NO_3^- was collected in

14 April 2009 for dual isotope analysis.

15

16 <u>River Etherow</u>

17 At the River Etherow and its two sampled tributaries, all samples analysed had low

18 δ^{18}O-NO_3^- values, close to or within the range for microbially produced NO_3^- (Fig. 5).

19 Less than 10% of streamwater NO_3^- was untransformed atmospheric NO_3^-, with peak

20 and mean values of only 9% and 6% (SE = 0.7%) respectively in the main channel

21 (Fig. 6). In the Rose Clough and Swan Clough tributaries the δ^{18}O-NO_3^- signal was

22 barely distinguishable from the theoretical range for microbially produced NO_3^- (Fig.

23 5), with mean values for both streams indicating <3% (SE = 0.5 and 0.7%

24 respectively) atmospheric NO_3^- contribution (Table S3). Bulked samples from shallow

25 soilwater lysimeters did show a larger proportion of atmospheric NO_3^- in the upper

1   peat horizons, up to 40% for the period November 2005 to February 2006 (Fig. 5), but

2   there was no evidence that this atmospheric NO_3^- reached surface waters.

3

4   <u>Scoat Tarn</u>

5   Most outflow samples from Scoat Tarn showed $\delta^{18}O$-NO_3^- values much greater than

6   the range for microbially produced NO_3^- at the site. The range of values was greater in

7   the inflow streams than in the lake outflow, but the annual mean value was greater in

8   the outflow (Fig. 5; Table S4). The proportion of untransformed atmospheric NO_3^-

9   indicated by $\delta^{18}O$-NO_3^- values varied from 9-21% (mean 15%, SE= 1.0%) in the lake

10   outflow, with a greater range of 5-23% (mean 11%, SE= 1.6%) in Inflow 1 and 1-24%

11   (mean 9%, SE= 1.8%) in Inflow 2 (Figs. 5-6). The tray lysimeters at Scoat Tarn

12   produced the greatest number of samples of sufficient size for isotopic analysis and

13   indicated a similar range in $\delta^{18}O$ values as surface waters, with inferred proportions of

14   atmospheric NO_3^- from 8-26% (Fig. 5, Table S6).

15

16   <u>Lochnagar</u>

17   Values of $\delta^{18}O$-NO_3^- in the loch outflow at Lochnagar were all much higher than the

18   range for microbial NO_3^- (Table S5) although some of the inflow spring values

19   overlapped the microbial range (Fig. 5). The calculated proportions of atmospheric

20   NO_3^- showed a greater range and higher mean values than other sites; 14-32% (mean

21   21%, SE= 1.7%) in the loch outflow and 0-30% (mean 13%, SE= 3.3%) in the inflow

22   spring (Fig. 6). Lysimeter samples from this site were all very small and the only data

23   obtained were for samples bulked over several months. However these samples had

24   very high $\delta^{18}O$ values of +35.5‰ and +53.6‰ in the two lysimeters, indicating 43

25   and 69% atmospheric NO_3^- in the shallow tray lysimeters over this period.

1

2   *Temporal patterns*

3   Temporal variations in the direct contribution of atmospheric NO_3^- to surface water

4   concentrations are illustrated in Fig. 6. While there were too few samples to identify

5   temporal patterns at the Afon Gwy, the other sites showed distinct seasonal patterns in

6   the contribution of atmospheric NO_3^- which were much more pronounced in streams

7   and lake inflows than in lake outflows (Fig. 6). The contribution of atmospheric NO_3^-

8   was lowest in the autumn or early winter and increased to a maximum in the late

9   winter or spring, when seasonal peaks in NO_3^- concentration are normally observed.

10 At Scoat Tarn both minimum and maximum atmospheric contributions occurred later

11 in the lake outflow relative to inflow streams. Comparisons with rainfall data from the

12 bulk deposition collectors (supplementary Fig. S3) show that while fewer high rainfall

13 events occurred in summer there was no real pattern in rainfall seasonality

14 corresponding with seasonal atmospheric contributions to surface waters.

15

16

17 **Discussion**

18

19 Consideration of deposition input fluxes and leaching fluxes alone suggests that the

20 four study catchments leached varying proportions of bulk deposited N; from 57% at

21 the Afon Gwy to 156% at the River Etherow as a proportion of bulk deposited NO_3^-,

22 or from 26% at the Afon Gwy to 71% at the River Etherow when expressed as a

23 proportion of total inorganic N ($NH_4^+ + NO_3^-$) in bulk deposition.

24

1   While surface water NO_3^- concentrations were comparable to those in bulk deposition

2   at three of the four study sites, the dual isotope data indicated that most of the NO_3^-

3   reaching surface waters had been microbially cycled and was not simply atmospheric

4   NO_3^- transported hydrologically through catchments into surface waters. These results

5   correspond with previous studies using the same technique in North America

6   (summarized in Curtis et al. 2011). This study also confirms the findings of previous

7   authors that the $\delta^{18}O$ signature of NO_3^- is much more useful than $\delta^{15}N$ for separating

8   atmospheric and microbial sources of surface water NO_3^- in upland catchments where

9   there are no other important inputs of inorganic N (Kendall 1998; Hales et al. 2004;

10 Piatek et al. 2005). A major implication of this study is that where microbially

11 produced NO_3^- is found, it may originate from both atmospheric NO_3^- and NH_4^+

12 deposition which contribute to the overall biological N pool (cf. Durka et al. 1994;

13 Campbell et al. 2002), and which were of very similar magnitude and significantly

14 related in the sites studied here (Fig. 2). Nitrification is thus a key process controlling

15 NO_3^- concentrations in upland lakes and streams. Excess NH_4^+ availability not only

16 promotes nitrification (for which it is the substrate) but may inhibit NO_3^-

17 immobilisation, either indirectly through preferential utilization of NH_4^+ by plants and

18 microbes, or directly (Bradley 2001; Rennenberg and Gessler 1999). Nevertheless, the

19 $\delta^{18}O$-NO_3^- data showed that even if NO_3^- immobilisation was reduced by NH_4^+

20 availability, cycling of NO_3^- must have occurred at one or more locations within the

21 soil-water continuum to drastically alter the $\delta^{18}O$ values of deposited NO_3^-.

22

23

24 *$\delta^{15}N$-NO_3^- and nitrogen biogeochemistry*

1 The δ^{15}N values of surface water NO_3^- tended to be slightly higher than those of bulk

2 deposition NO_3^-. While the δ^{15}N value for bulk deposition NH_4^+ was not measured in

3 this study, δ^{15}N values of NH_4^+ in atmospheric deposition tend to be lower than those

4 of NO_3^- (Heaton et al. 1997) so a possible NH_4^+ deposition source for N in NO_3^-

5 produced by nitrification is unlikely to account for the elevated δ^{15}N-NO_3^- in surface

6 waters. Instead, the predominant control on the δ^{15}N value of the microbial NO_3^- is

7 more likely to be the large pool of soil organic N. Although the primary inputs of N to

8 soils in uncultivated areas (atmospheric deposition and N fixation) both have δ^{15}N

9 values close to 0‰, soil δ^{15}N values are commonly higher than this: up to +10‰ or

10 more in some environments (Kendall 1998). The reasons for this are the subject of

11 debate, but largely focus on the fact that isotope fractionation associated with

12 processes which remove N from the soil (assimilation by plants, leaching losses of

13 organic and inorganic N, denitrification and volatilization) tends to favour loss of ^{14}N,

14 leaving residual soil N enriched in ^{15}N (Handley et al. 1999; Amundson et al. 2003;

15 Kramer et al. 2003; Inglett et al. 2007; Conen et al. 2008). As a result, soils saturated

16 with N, and more 'open' or 'leaky' with respect to N loss, may in time develop high

17 soil total N δ^{15}N values (Handley et al. 1999; Amundson et al. 2003; Inglett et al.

18 2007). This may explain why the largest difference between the δ^{15}N values of NO_3^-

19 in surface water and atmospheric deposition are found in the River Etherow

20 catchment, where the high concentrations of NO_3^- in surface water may reflect a

21 greater degree of soil N saturation. The abundance of peat in the Etherow catchment

22 could also be relevant: ^{15}N preferentially accumulates during humification of soil

23 organic matter (Kramer et al. 2003; Conen et al. 2008), so that mineralisation of

24 degraded peat might produce NO_3^- with elevated δ^{15}N.

25

1

2

3   *$\delta^{18}O$-NO_3^- and nitrogen biogeochemistry*

4   Mean bulk deposition $\delta^{18}O$-NO_3^- values from all sites were very similar (+66.5 to

5   +69.6‰) and fell within the ranges published from studies elsewhere (e.g. Kendall et

6   al. 2007; Granger et al. 2008). The two end-member mixing model (Equation 2)

7   showed that at all sites in this study a large proportion of leached NO_3^-, which had

8   much smaller $\delta^{18}O$-NO_3^- values than deposition, was therefore microbially produced

9   by nitrification (monthly range 68-100%, annual mean 79-98%).

10

11   At the River Etherow site, this result appears to contradict a previous study on

12   nitrification potentials in catchment soils, which were very small in the highly acidic

13   upper horizons of the degraded peat soils at this site (Curtis et al. 2004). NO_3^-

14   production at the Etherow must therefore be occurring elsewhere within the

15   catchment, either deeper in the soil profile, in microbial hotspots (e.g. riparian zones)

16   or perhaps in-stream (Curtis et al. 2011). At the two other sites common to the

17   previous study (Afon Gwy, Scoat Tarn) much higher nitrification potentials were

18   found in catchment soils, which is consistent with the isotope results reported here

19   showing the dominance of microbially produced NO_3^-.

20

21   The relatively high proportion of atmospheric NO_3^- found in soilwater lysimeters (up

22   to 41% at the Etherow and 69% at Lochnagar) supports the idea that progressive

23   transformation may occur deeper in the soil profile or in-stream, particularly at the

24   River Etherow where there is little evidence of atmospheric NO_3^- in surface waters.

25   Other studies have also found a high proportion of atmospheric NO_3^- in lysimeters

1   (e.g. Sebestyen et al. 2008) while increasingly "microbial" $\delta^{18}O$ values with soil depth

2   were reported by Ohte et al. (2004).

3

4   The results presented here are consistent with the NO_3^- flushing hypothesis (e.g.

5   Williard et al. 2001) whereby a large proportion of the NO_3^- observed in surface

6   waters during rainfall events has been displaced from soil waters where it was

7   produced by microbial nitrification. The relative importance of different hydrological

8   flowpaths is key to determining the proportion of untransformed atmospheric NO_3^-

9   reaching surface waters, e.g. by overland flow or through preferential flowpaths (cf.

10   Curtis et al. 2005b, 2011). A tracer $^{15}NO_3^-$ addition study at the Afon Gwy (Evans et

11   al. 2008) showed however that NO_3^- immobilisation could occur within hours along

12   preferential flowpaths, implying that there is potential for microbial cycling (and thus

13   isotopic transformation) of atmospheric NO_3^- even in water passing quite quickly

14   through the catchment. This could be considered analogous to the 'nutrient spiralling'

15   concept described for streams (Curtis et al. 2011).

16

17   *Microbial nitrification model*

18   The inverse relationship between $\delta^{15}N$ and $\delta^{18}O$ values of surface waters at a number

19   of sites provides additional evidence that greater leaching of untransformed

20   atmospheric NO_3^- is related to both higher $\delta^{18}O$ and lower $\delta^{15}N$ values, i.e. is

21   consistent with the simple two end-member mixing model. As noted in the Methods

22   section, our mixing model assumed a theoretical $\delta^{18}O$ value for the microbial NO_3^-

23   end-member, calculated assuming stoichiometry in which NO_3^- derives one O atom

24   from atmospheric O_2, and two O atoms (i.e 67%) from water (H_2O). Experiments on

25   soils incubated with ^{18}O-labeled H_2O have sometimes suggested a very different

1   relationship, with the proportion of O derived from H_2O ranging from extremes of

2   32% for possible heterotrophic nitrification (Mayer et al. 2001), up to 96% in systems

3   subject to significant O exchange with nitrite (Snider et al. 2010). However, the

4   general applicability of laboratory experiments, and prevalence of high nitrite

5   concentrations in natural systems may be questioned (Snider et al. 2010). For our

6   waters, moreover, calculations assuming 32% O or 96% O derived from H_2O would

7   yield theoretical $\delta^{18}O$ values for the microbial NO_3^- of +14 to +16‰ or -7 to -3‰,

8   respectively. If the former range was applicable we would not be able to explain the

9   measured $\delta^{18}O\text{-}NO_3^-$ of the great majority of our surface waters, whose values are

10   much lower than this. If the latter range was applicable it would imply that all of our

11   waters had a minimum of 10% atmospheric nitrate (i.e. none were purely microbial

12   nitrate), a feature we consider highly unlikely. In contrast, the assumption that

13   microbial NO_3^- derives 67% (two-thirds) of its O from water would yield theoretical

14     $\delta^{18}O$ values of +2 to +5‰, which exactly correspond to the lowest end of the

15   measured range of $\delta^{18}O\text{-}NO_3^-$ values in our surface waters. Being mindful of the

16   uncertainties involved, we therefore calculated the $\delta^{18}O$ value of our microbial NO_3^-

17   from Equation 1.

18

19   *Between site differences in streamwater $\delta^{18}O\text{-}NO_3^-$*

20   While comparison of data from lake and stream sites must consider residence times in

21   lakes and direct atmospheric deposition to lake surfaces (see below), differences in the

22   transport of atmospheric NO_3^- to streams may be assessed using inflow data for the

23   lake sites to compare with the stream catchments, i.e. comparing streams in each

24   catchment (Fig. 7). Values of $\delta^{18}O\text{-}NO_3^-$ were significantly lower in Rose Clough and

25   Swan Clough than all other streams including the River Etherow ($p<0.05$), into which

1   they flow. Scoat Inflow 1 had a significantly greater $\delta^{18}O$-NO_3^- than the River

2   Etherow. No other significant differences were found. The greatest proportions of

3   atmospheric NO_3^- were found in streams at Lochnagar (13%), then Scoat Tarn (Inflow

4   1 = 11%, Inflow 2 = 9%) and the main channel of the Etherow (6%). The mean

5   proportion was only 2-3% the Afon Gwy and the Etherow tributaries, Rose Clough

6   and Swan Clough.

7

8   While the differences between sites are not significant, the higher proportions of

9   atmospheric NO_3^- leaching are associated with the highest altitude, steepest

10 catchments of Lochnagar and Scoat Tarn (Table 1). Lochnagar also has a particularly

11 high proportion of bare rock compared with the other catchments. The streamwater

12 data are therefore consistent with previous studies showing a greater amount of

13 hydrological bypass transportation and/or lower microbial immobilisation of

14 atmospheric NO_3^- associated with these physical catchment attributes (e.g. Evans et

15 al. 2004, 2006; Helliwell et al. 2007).

16

17 *Importance of streamflow and hydrological flowpaths*

18 Very detailed isotopic studies in gauged catchments in North America have

19 demonstrated the importance of stream flow conditions and the timing of sampling

20 relative to baseflow and extreme flow events in affecting the proportion of

21 atmospheric NO_3^- in surface waters (e.g. Sebestyen et al. 2008, 2009). In particular,

22 several studies in snowmelt dominated systems have shown that a relatively large

23 proportion of annual NO_3^- fluxes are transported during very high flow events e.g.

24 during snowmelt (Campbell et al. 2002; Schiff et al. 2002; Ohte et al. 2004; Pardo et

25 al. 2004; Sebestyen et al. 2008, 2009; Goodale et al. 2009), and at these times the

1   proportion of atmospheric NO_3^- may be elevated. Sampling regimes which do not

2   include these high flow events may therefore underestimate both total NO_3^- fluxes and

3   the proportion of untransformed atmospheric NO_3^- exported from catchments.

4

5   In our study, only one of the four catchments (Afon Gwy) was instrumented for flow

6   measurement throughout the study period. At the Afon Gwy, flow was measured

7   every 15 minutes and streamwater sampled weekly. The flows sampled during the

8   monthly isotope sampling programme cover a wide range of the mean flows observed

9   on a daily basis but do not represent the most extreme conditions (see supplementary

10   Fig. S1). However, a sample from 11th November 2004 was taken during very high

11   flow (only 3% of daily mean flows were higher over the sampling year) and yet

12   yielded insufficient NO_3^- for dual isotope analysis. Furthermore, high NO_3^-

13   concentrations were seen under both high and low flow conditions (Fig. S2). Rainfall

14   data for the study catchments show that high rainfall may occur throughout the year

15   and does not appear to account for seasonal variations in the proportion of

16   atmospheric NO_3^- (Fig. S3). At Scoat Tarn, the highest rainfall recorded for a two-

17   week period during the study (20.5mm per day for sample dated 15th November 2005)

18   corresponded with the lowest proportion of atmospheric NO_3^- recorded for the site

19   (Table S4).

20

21   Therefore, while our monthly sampling regime could not capture extreme flow events

22   and may therefore underestimate annual mean NO_3^- fluxes, there is no evidence from

23   the highest resolution data at the Afon Gwy that any underestimate of either NO_3^-

24   fluxes or the contribution of atmospheric NO_3^- is likely to be large. Indeed, the high-

25   resolution event-based study of Sebestyen (2009) found that although atmospheric

1   contributions to streamwater NO_3^- could increase greatly during high flow events, the

2   effect of this increase on total annual contributions was small. Hence while our study

3   cannot provide detailed information about atmospheric contributions to NO_3^- leaching

4   fluxes on an event basis or during extremes of flow, it does provide robust estimates

5   of the importance of direct atmospheric NO_3^- leaching on an average annual basis.

6

7   Finally, the issue of short-lived, very high flow events is less important for lakes with

8   long residence times compared to streams. The average residence time of water in

9   Lochnagar is 242 days (Jenkins et al. 2007) while at Scoat Tarn it is 49 days. Hence

10   lakes are ideal integrators of both varying bulk deposition inputs and flow conditions

11   in inflow streams and show a damped temporal pattern in both NO_3^- concentrations

12   and atmospheric contributions relative to streams (Fig. 6).

13

14   Lochnagar is the most snow-dominated site in our study at the highest altitude, with

15   approximately 20% of precipitation falling as snow in an average year (Jenkins et al.

16   2007). Periods of significant snowmelt usually occur in late April/early May but short

17   periods of extremely high flow (1-2 days) occur throughout the year (Jenkins et al.

18   2007). This study does indeed show that both NO_3^- concentrations in the lake and the

19   greatest proportion of atmospheric NO_3^- occur at this time of year, i.e. the monthly

20   sampling regime has successfully captured this seasonal pattern. It must however be

21   recognized that our data could underestimate the total contribution of directly leached

22   atmospheric NO_3^- and especially the maximum contributions which may be attained

23   during extreme hydrological events.

24

25

1

2 *Lakes versus streams*

3 It might be expected that a higher proportion of untransformed atmospheric NO_3^-

4 would be found in lakes relative to streams because of direct deposition to lake

5 surfaces, bypassing the terrestrial processing of deposition inputs. At the two lake

6 sites in this study, Scoat Tarn and Lochnagar, both lake outflows and major inflow

7 streams were sampled at the same time. Mean values of $\delta^{15}N$ were slightly lower in

8 lake outflows relative to inflow streams (Fig. 5). Values of $\delta^{18}O\text{-}NO_3^-$ were

9 significantly higher in Scoat Tarn (mean= +12.6‰) than in Inflow 2 (mean= +9.0‰,

10 Fig. 8: t=3.31, p=0.009) and while also higher than in Inflow 1 (+10.3‰) on average,

11 this difference was not significant (t=2.35, p=0.095). At Lochnagar $\delta^{18}O\text{-}NO_3^-$ was

12 significantly higher in the loch outflow (mean= +16.7‰) than in the inflow spring

13 (mean = +10.9‰, t=3.36, p=0.005).

14

15 These data provide isotopic evidence that there was indeed a greater proportion of

16 untransformed atmospheric NO_3^- in the two lakes compared with their inflow streams,

17 presumably due to direct deposition to the lake surface. For Scoat Tarn the annual

18 mean proportion of atmospheric NO_3^- in the lake was 15% compared with 11% in

19 inflow 1 and 9% in inflow 2, i.e. was greater by 4-6% in the lake outflow. The surface

20 area of Scoat Tarn is 4.3 ha and represents 5% of the total catchment area. Likewise,

21 at Lochnagar the mean proportion of atmospheric NO_3^- in the loch was 21% compared

22 with 13% in the inflow spring i.e. 8% greater in the outflow, while the loch has a

23 surface area of 9.9 ha representing 9% of total catchment area. These figures are

24 remarkably consistent with the idea that direct deposition to lake surfaces contributes

25 to catchment scale leaching of untransformed atmospheric NO_3^- in direct proportion to

1 lake:catchment area ratios. There appears to be relatively little cycling of directly

2 deposited NO_3^- within the lakes themselves since all of the directly deposited NO_3^- is

3 recovered in the lake outflows. An alternative hypothesis is that the greater proportion

4 of untransformed NO_3^- in lake outflows relative to their inflow streams simply reflects

5 elevated, event-based inputs of atmospheric NO_3^- in streams which are not captured in

6 the monthly streamwater sampling but which increase the overall proportion of

7 atmospheric NO_3^- in the receiving lakes. Further work on a greater number of lakes

8 and associated inflows would be required to test these hypotheses.

9

10 While catchment hydrology is a key factor determining the delivery of untransformed

11 atmospheric NO_3^- to upland streams, the surface area of upland lakes relative to their

12 catchments is also an important factor controlling their exposure to direct inputs of

13 atmospheric NO_3^-. Lake:catchment ratio must therefore also be an important

14 determinant of exposure to other pollutants subject to terrestrial retention and

15 processing, e.g. NH_4^+ deposition.

16

17 Although microbially produced NO_3^- dominates in the four sites studied here, the

18 persistent contribution of atmospheric NO_3^- all year round in lakes and especially

19 inflow streams indicates a chronic atmospheric NO_3^- leaching problem which has

20 seldom been seen in other isotopic studies, where streamwater $\delta^{18}O$-NO_3^- falls within

21 the microbial range for much of the year (e.g. Piatek et al. 2005; Campbell et al. 2006;

22 Hales et al. 2007; Barnes et al. 2008; Sebestyen et al. 2008; Burns et al. 2009;

23 Goodale et al. 2009). Notable exceptions showing persistent contributions of

24 atmospheric NO_3^- (though still predominantly microbial) through most of the year

25 include the studies of Spoelstra et al. (2001) in forested catchments in the Turkey

1   Lakes Watershed, Canada (10-15% atmospheric) and Campbell et al. (2002) in alpine

2   watersheds in the Rocky Mountains (up to 50% atmospheric during snowmelt, but

3   much less at other times - and these catchments had >80% bare rock). Hence the

4   results of the present study show a greater (and more persistent) atmospheric

5   contribution to NO_3^- leaching in terms of annual fluxes than most other isotopic

6   studies.

7

8   **Conclusions**

9

10   The major proportion of NO_3^- observed in surface waters in upland catchments, for

11   which atmospheric deposition is the only source of anthropogenic N inputs, is derived

12   from microbial production. While mindful of the uncertainty in knowing the precise

13   $\delta^{18}O$ value for microbial NO_3^-, our best estimates for late winter / early spring peak

14   contributions of untransformed atmospheric NO_3^- at the four study sites ranged from

15   5% to around 30%, but annual means varied from just 2-13% for streams and 15-21%

16   for lakes. Crucially, this means that deposition of reduced N compounds cannot be

17   ignored as a possible source of the N leached as NO_3^-, since only a small proportion of

18   surface water NO_3^- derives directly from NO_3^- in bulk deposition. For upland streams,

19   other studies have shown that catchment hydrology and linked physical attributes such

20   as slope, bare rock and amount of organic matter in soils, are key determinants of the

21   delivery of atmospheric NO_3^- to surface waters, while we show here that for lakes an

22   important additional source is direct deposition to lake surfaces. In the two lakes

23   studied here, all the directly deposited NO_3^- appeared to be recovered from the

24   outflows. Microbial NO_3^- production is however the major overall determinant of

25   surface water NO_3^- concentrations in upland catchments, and hence future trends in

1 NO_3^- leaching will be intimately linked with global change impacts on microbial

2 macronutrient cycling.

3

4 While the greatest proportion of untransformed atmospheric NO_3^- was found in the

5 headwater lakes, the greatest leaching flux of NO_3^- in both absolute terms and as a

6 proportion of deposition inputs was found at the River Etherow, which has the lowest

7 altitude, gentlest slopes and greatest proportion of organic soils. Hence, there is a

8 clear distinction to be made between the physical attributes of a site which may allow

9 direct leaching of atmospheric inputs to surface waters, and the nitrogen saturation

10 status of a site. In the case of the River Etherow, the catchment is a net source of NO_3^-

11 despite very high NO_3^- concentrations in deposition and has negligible scope for

12 direct leaching of deposition inputs without biological cycling.

13

14

22

23

24 **References**

1   Amberger A, Schmidt H-L (1987) Naturliche isotopengehalte von nitrat als

2   indikatoren fur dessen herkunft. Geochim. et Cosmochim. Acta 51: 2699-2705

3   Amundson R, Austin AT, Schuur EAG, Yoo K, Matzek V, Kendall C, Uebersax A,

4   Brenner D, Baisden WT (2003) Global patterns of the isotopic composition of

5   soil and plant nitrogen. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 17: article no. 1031

6   Barnes RT, Raymond PA, Casciotti KL (2008) Dual isotope analyses indicate efficient

7   processing of atmospheric nitrate by forested watersheds in the northeastern U.S.

8   Biogeochemistry 90: 15-27

9   Bergström A, Jansson M (2006) Atmospheric nitrogen deposition has caused nitrogen

10   enrichment and eutrophication of lakes in the northern hemisphere. Global Change

11   Biology 12: 635-643

12   Bradley RL (2001) An alternative explanation for the post-disturbance NO_3^- flush in

13   some forest ecosystems. Ecol. Lett. 4: 412−416

14   Bretz F, Hothorn T, Westfall P (2010) Multiple Comparisons Using R. CRC Press, Boca

15   Raton.

16   Burns DA, Boyer EW, Elliott EM, Kendall C (2009) Sources and transformations of

17   nitrate from streams draining varying land uses: evidence from dual isotope

18   analysis. J. Environ. Qual. 38: 1149-1159

19   Campbell DH, Kendall C, Chang CCY, Silva SR, Tonnessen KA (2002) Pathways for

20   nitrate release from an alpine watershed: determination using $\delta^{15}N$ and $\delta^{18}O$. Wat.

21   Res. Res. 38: 10.1029/2001WR000294

22   Campbell JL, Mitchell MJ, Mayer B (2006) Isotopic assessment of NO_3^- and SO_4^{2-}

23   mobility during winter in two adjacent watersheds in the Adirondack Mountains,

24   New York. J. Geophys. Res.111: G04007, doi: 1029/2006JG000208

1 Chang CCY, Langston J, Riggs M, Campbell DH, Silva SR, Kendall C (1999) A method

2   for nitrate collection for $\delta^{15}N$ and $\delta^{18}O$ analysis from waters with low nitrate

3   concentration. Can. J. Fish. Aq. Sci. 56: 1856-1864

4 Conen E, Zimmermann M, Leifeld J, Seth B, Alewell C (2008) Relative stability of

5   soil carbon revealed by shifts in $\delta^{15}N$ and C:N ratio. Biogeosciences 5: 123-128

6 Curtis CJ, Emmett B, Reynolds B, Shilland J (2004) Nitrate leaching from moorland

7   soils: can soil C/N ratios indicate N saturation? Water, Air and Soil Pollution:

8   Focus 4: 359-369

9 Curtis CJ, Evans C, Helliwell RC, Monteith D (2005a) Nitrate leaching as a confounding

10   factor in chemical recovery from acidification in UK upland waters.

11   Environmental Pollution 137: 73-82

12 Curtis CJ, Emmett BA, Grant H, Kernan M, Reynolds B, Shilland E (2005b) Nitrogen

13   saturation in UK moorlands: the critical role of bryophytes and lichens in

14   determining retention of atmospheric N deposition. Journal of Applied Ecology

15   42: 507-517

16 Curtis CJ, Evans CD, Goodale CL, Heaton THE (2011) What have stable isotope studies

17   revealed about the nature and mechanisms of N saturation and nitrate leaching

18   from semi-natural catchments? Ecosystems 14: 1021-37. DOI: 10.1007/s10021-

19   011-9461-7

20 Dise NB, Wright RF (1995) Nitrogen leaching from European forests in relation to

21   nitrogen deposition. For. Ecol. Manage. 71: 153-162

22 Dise NB, Matzner E, Gundersen P (1998) Synthesis of nitrogen pools and fluxes from

23   European forest ecosystems. Water, Air and Soil Pollution 105: 143-154

Durka W, Schulze E-D, Gebauer G, Voerkellus S (1994) Effects of forest decline on uptake and leaching of deposited nitrate determined from ^{15}N and ^{18}O measurements. Nature 372: 765-67

Elser JJ, Andersen T, Baron JS, Bergström A-K, Jansson M, Kyle M, Nydick KR, Steger L, Hessen DO (2009) Shifts in lake N:P stoichiometry and nutrient limitation driven by atmospheric nitrogen deposition. Science 326: 835-837

Emmett BA (2007) Nitrogen saturation of terrestrial ecosystems: some recent findings and their implications for our conceptual framework. Water Air Soil Pollut: Focus 7: 99-109

Evans, C.D., Reynolds, B., Curtis, C.J., Crook, H.D., Norris, D. and Brittain, S.A. (2004) A conceptual model of spatially heterogeneous nitrogen leaching from a Welsh moorland catchment. Water, Air and Soil Pollution: Focus 4: 97-105

Evans CD, Reynolds B, Jenkins A, Helliwell RC, Curtis CJ, Goodale CL, Ferrier RC, Emmett BA, Pilkington MG, Caporn SJM, Carroll JA, Norris D, Davies J, Coull MC (2006) Evidence that soil carbon pool determines susceptibility of semi-natural ecosystems to elevated nitrogen leaching. Ecosystems 9: 453-462

Evans CD, Norris D, Ostle N, Grant H, Rowe EC, Curtis CJ, Reynolds B (2008) Rapid immobilisation and leaching of wet-deposited nitrate in upland organic soils. Environmental Pollution 156: 636-643

Galloway JN, Aber JD, Erisman JW, Seitzinger SP, Howarth RW, Cowling EB, Cosby BJ (2003) The Nitrogen Cascade. BioScience 53: 341-356

Goodale CL, Thomas SA, Fredriksen G, Elliott EM, Flinn KM, Butler TJ, Walter MT (2009) Unusual seasonal patterns and inferred processes of nitrogen retention in forested headwaters of the Upper Sasquehanna River. Biogeochemistry 93: 197-218

1   Granger SJ, Heaton THE, Bol R, Bilotta GS, Butler P, Haygarth PM, Owens PN

2   (2008) Using δ^{15}N and δ^{18}O to evaluate the sources and pathways of NO_3^- in

3   rainfall event discharge from drained agricultural grassland lysimeters at high

4   temporal resolutions. Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry 22: 1681-

5   1689

6   Gundersen P, Callesen I, de Vries W (1998) Nitrate leaching in forest ecosystems is

7   controlled by forest floor C/N ratio. Environ. Pollut. 102: 403-407

8   Hales HC, Ross DS, Lini A (2007) Isotopic signatures of nitrate in two contrasting

9   watersheds of Brush Brook, Vermont, USA. Biogeochemistry 84: 51-66

10 Hall DJ (1986) The Precipitation Collector for Use in the Secondary National Acid

11   Deposition Network, Warren Spring Laboratory, LR 561 (AP). AEA

12   Technology, Culham, Oxon, UK.

13 Handley LL, Austin AT, Robinson D, Scrimgeour CM, Raven JA, Heaton THE,

14   Schmidt S, Stewart GR (1999) The ^{15}N natural abundance (δ^{15}N) of ecosystem

15   samples reflects measures of water availability. Australian Journal of Plant

16   Physiology 26: 185-199

17 Heaton THE, Spiro B, Robertson SMC (1997) Potential canopy influences on the

18   isotopic composition of nitrogen and sulphur in atmospheric deposition.

19   Oecologia 109: 600-607

20 Heaton THE, Wynn P, Tye A (2004) Low ^{15}N/^{14}N ratios for nitrate in snow in the

21   High Arctic (79°N). Atmospheric Environment 38: 5611-5621

22 Helliwell RC, Coull MC, Davies JJL, Evans CD, Norris D, Ferrier RC, Jenkins A,

23   Reynolds B (2007) The role of catchment characteristics in determining surface

24   water nitrogen in four upland regions in the UK. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. 11:

25   356-371

1   Hothorn T, Bretz F, Westfall P (2008) Simultaneous inference in general parametric

2   models. Biometrical Journal 50(3): 346-363

3   Inglett PW, Reddy KR, Newman S, Lorenzen B (2007) Increased soil stable nitrogen

4   isotopic ratio following phosphorus enrichment: historical patterns and tests of

5   two hypotheses in a phosphorus-limited wetland. Oecologia 153: 99-109

6   Jenkins A, Reynard N, Hutchins M, Bonjean M, Lees M (2007) Hydrology and

7   hydrochemistry of Lochnagar. In: Rose NL (ed) Lochnagar: The Natural History

8   of a Mountain Lake. Developments in Palaeoenvironmental Research, Springer,

9   pp 179-198

10   Kendall C (1998) Tracing nitrogen sources and cycling in catchments. In: Kendall C,

11   McDonnell JJ (eds) Isotope Tracers in Catchment Hydrology. Elsevier Science

12   BV, Amsterdam, pp 519-576

13   Kendall C, Elliott EM, Wankel SD (2007) Tracing anthropogenic inputs of nitrogen to

14   ecosystems. In: Michener R, Lajtha K (eds) Stable Isotopes in Ecology and

15   Environmental Science. Blackwell Publishing, pp 375-449

16   Kramer MG, Sollins P, Sletten RS, Swart PK (2003) N isotope fractionation and

17   measures of organic matter alteration during decomposition. Ecology 84: 2021-

18   2025

19   Kopácek J, Stuchlík E, Wright RF (2005) Long-term trends and spatial variability in

20   nitrate leaching from alpine catchment-lake ecosystems in the Tatra Mountains

21   (Slovakia-Poland). Environmental Pollution 136: 89-101

22   Lawrence H, Vincent K, Donovan B, Davies M, Smith M, Colbeck C, Tang YS, van

23   Dijk N, Anderson M, Simmons I, Smith RI, Cape JN, Fowler D, Sutton MA

24   (2008) UK Acid Deposition Monitoring Network: Data Summary 2007. Report

Number AEAT/ENV/R/2706 Issue 1, AEA Harwell, Didcot, UK, 48pp plus Appendices

Maberly SC, King L, Dent MM, Jones RI, Gibson CE (2002) Nutrient limitation of phytoplankton and periphyton growth in upland lakes. Freshwater Biology 47: 2136-2152

MacDonald JA, Dise NB, Matzner E, Armbruster M, Gundersen P, Forsius M (2002) Nitrogen input together with ecosystem nitrogen enrichment predict nitrate leaching from European forests. Global Change Biology 8: 1028-1033

Mayer B, Bollwerk SM, Mansfeldt T, Hutter B, Veizer J (2001) The oxygen isotope composition of nitrate generated by nitrification in acid forest floors. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 65: 2743-2756

Michalski G, Meixner T, Fenn M, Hernandez L, Sirulnik A, Allen E, Thiemens M (2004) Tracing atmospheric nitrate deposition in a complex semiarid ecosystem using δ^{17}O. Environmental Science and Technology 38: 2175-2181

Moldan F, Hultberg H, Nyström U, Wright RF (1995) Nitrogen saturation at Gårdsjön, southwest Sweden, induced by experimental addition of ammonium nitrate. Forest Ecology and Management 71: 89-97

Monteith DT, Shilland EM (Eds.) (2007) The United Kingdom Acid Waters Monitoring Network Assessment of the first 18 years of data. Data summary annex accompanying project final report to the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (Contract EPG 1/3/160). Ensis Ltd., University College London, UK, 276pp

NEGTAP (2001) Transboundary Air Pollution: Acidification, Eutrophication and Ground-level Ozone in the UK. CEH Edinburgh, Scotland, 314pp

Ohte N, Sebestyen SD, Shanley JB, Doctor DH, Kendall C, Wankel SD, Boyer EW (2004) Tracing sources of nitrate in snowmelt runoff using a high-resolution isotopic technique. Geophys Res Lett 31: L21506

Pardo LH, Kendall C, Pett-Ridge J, Chang CCY (2004) Evaluating the source of streamwater nitrate using δ^{15}N and δ^{18}O in nitrate in two watersheds in New Hampshire, USA. Hydrol Process 18: 2699–2712

Piatek KB, Mitchell MJ, Silva SR, Kendall C (2005) Sources of nitrate in snowmelt discharge: evidence from water chemistry and stable isotopes of nitrate. Water Air Soil Pollut 165: 13-35

R Development Core Team (2010). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. ISBN 3-900051-07-0, URL http://www.R-project.org.

Rennenberg H, Gessler A (1999) Consequences of N deposition to forest ecosystems − Recent results and future research needs. Water, Air, Soil Pollut 116: 47−64

RoTAP (in press) Review of Transboundary Air Pollution: Acidification, Eutrophication, Ground Level Ozone and Heavy Metals in the UK. CEH Edinburgh, 335pp

Rowe EC, Evans CD, Emmett BA, Reynolds B, Helliwell RC, Curtis CJ, Coull MC (2006) Vegetation type affects the relationship between soil carbon to nitrogen ratio and nitrogen leaching. Water, Air and Soil Pollution 177: 335-347

Schiff SL, Devito KJ, Elgood RJ, McCrindle PM, Spoelstra J, Dillon P (2002) Two adjacent forested catchments: dramatically different NO_3^- export. Wat. Res. Res. 38: 1292, doi:10.1029/2000WR000170

Sebestyen SD, Boyer EW, Shanley JB, Kendall C, Doctor DH, Aiken GR and Ohte N (2008) Sources, transformations, and hydrological processes that control stream

1   nitrate and dissolved organic matter concentrations during snowmelt in an

2   upland forest. Wat. Res. Res. 44: W12410, doi:10.1029/2008WR006983

3   Sebestyen SD, Shanley JB, Boyer EW (2009) Using high-frequency sampling to

4   detect effects of atmospheric pollutants on stream chemistry. In: Webb RMT,

5   Semmens DJ (eds), Proceedings of the Third Interagency Conference on

6   Research in the Watersheds: Planning for an Uncertain Future: Monitoring,

7   Integration and Adaptation. US Geological Survey, Washington DC, pp 171-

8   176

9   Silva SR, Kendall C, Wilkison DH, Ziegler AC, Chang CCY, Avanzino RJ (2000) A

10   new method for the collection of nitrate from fresh water and the analysis of

11   nitrogen and oxygen isotope ratios. J.Hydrol. 228: 22-36

12   Snider DM, Spoelstra J, Schiff SL, Venkiteswaran JJ (2010) Stable oxygen isotope

13   ratios of nitrate produced from nitrification: ^{18}O-labeled water incubations of

14   agricultural and temperate forest soils. Environmental Science and Technology,

15   44: 5358-5364

16   Spoelstra J, Schiff SL, Elgood RJ, Semkin RG, Jeffries DS (2001) Tracing the sources

17   of exported nitrate in the Turkey Lakes Watershed using ^{15}N/^{14}N and ^{18}O/^{16}O

18   isotopic ratios. Ecosystems 4: 536-544

19   Spoelstra J, Schiff SL, Hazlett PW, Jeffries DS, Semkin RG (2007) The isotopic

20   composition of nitrate produced from nitrification in a hardwood forest floor.

21   Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 71: 3757-3771

22   Tallis JH (1987) Fire and flood at Holme Moss: erosion processes in an upland

23   blanket mire. J. Ecol. 75: 1099-1129

1 Van der Salm C, de Vries W, Reinds GJ, Dise NB (2007) N leaching across European

2   forests: derivation and validation of empirical relationships using data from

3   intensive monitoring plots. Forest Ecol Man 238: 81-91

4 Williard KWJ, DeWalle DR, Edwards PJ, Sharpe WE (2001) ^{18}O isotopic separation

5   of stream nitrate sources in mid-Appalachian forested watersheds. J. Hydrol.

6   252: 174-188

7 Zeileis A (2004) Econometric computing with HC and HAC covariance matrix

8   estimators. Journal of Statistical Software 11(10): 1-17

9

10

11

1

Figure 1: Location, catchment outlines and sampled subcatchments streams at a) Scoat Tarn, b)

Lochnagar, c) Afon Gwy and d) River Etherow. Subcatchments of sampled streams are shaded.

Contour intervals in metres above sea level.

1
2

3   **Figure 2: Inorganic nitrogen concentrations in bulk deposition (NH_4^+, NO_3^-) and surface waters**

4   **(NO_3^-)**

5

6

1

2

Figure 3: Box and whisker plot of δ^{15}N-NO$_3^-$ in bulk deposition at the four study sites. Boxes

represent median and inter-quartile range, whiskers extend to 1.5 × inter-quartile range and

**individual points represent data outside this range**

6

7

8

9

1

2

3

4

5

6 **Figure 4: Box and whisker plot of δ^{18}O-NO$_3^-$ in bulk deposition at the four study sites (see Fig. 3**

7 **for explanation)**

8

9

10

11

Figure 5: Results of dual isotope analysis (δ^{15}N vs SMOW and δ^{18}O vs Air, ‰) of NO_3^- in surface

water, soilwater and bulk deposition samples

1
2

**Figure 6: Seasonal variation in contribution of atmospheric NO$_3^-$ to surface waters**

3

4

5

6

1

2

3

Figure 7: Box and whisker plot of δ^{18}O-NO$_3^-$ in streamwaters at the four study catchments (see

Fig. 3 for explanation)

6

7

8

9

1

2

3
4

Figure 8: Box and whisker plot of $\delta^{18}O$-NO_3^- in bulk deposition, inflow streams and lake

outflows at a) Scoat Tarn and b) Lochnagar (see Fig. 3 for explanation)

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1   **Table 1: Description of study sites with selected long-term mean surface water**

2   **chemistry, April 1998 – March 2006 (from Monteith and Shilland 2007) and bulk**

3   **deposition chemistry (1999-2006; source – Lawrence et al. 2008)**

4

Site:	Afon Gwy	River Etherow	Scoat Tarn	Lochnagar
Sampling location	Flume	Main channel	Outflow	Outflow
OS grid Ref	SN82400, 85350	SK11557, 99691	NY15819, 10344	NO25317, 86268
Altitude (m)	385	280	595	788
Max. altitude	741	633	841	1155
Catchment area (ha)	389	1295	87	109
Bare ground	<2%	4%	<2%	24%
Sampled inflows / tributaries	LB7a: SN81450, 86500	Rose Clough: SK12181, 99532	1 (Scoat Fell): NY15866, 10423	Spring: NO25279, 85770
		Swan Clough: SK11909, 99453	2 (Red Pike): NY15935, 10503	
Rainfall (mm)	2021	1004	2313	1279
Concentration (μeq l^{-1})				
NO_3^- (water body)	6.9	42.0	15.5	18.5
NO_3^- (bulk depn.)	9.5	28.3	14.7	20.8
NH_4^+ (bulk depn.)	11.8	31.8	18.3	17.0
Deposition flux (kgN ha^{-1} yr^{-1})				
NO_3^-	2.7	3.9	4.8	3.8
DIN (NO_3^- + NH_4^+)	6.0	8.4	10.6	6.7

5

6

7

1 **Table 2: Mean concentrations (µeq l^{-1}) and fluxes (kgN ha^{-1} yr^{-1}) of N species in surface waters**

2 **and bulk deposition. Figures in parenthese indicate flow-weighting (surface waters) or volume**

3 **weighting (bulk deposition). No flow data were available at the River Etherow or Scoat Tarn.**

4 **NH$_4^+$ is negligible in surface waters. See text for further details.**

5

Site	Sampling Period	Stream / lake NO$_3^-$	Rainfall (mm)	ET (%)	NO$_3^-$ flux	Bulk deposition concentration			Deposition flux		
						NH$_4^+$	NO$_3^-$	DIN	NH$_4^+$	NO$_3^-$	DIN
Afon Gwy	07/9/04- 06/9/05	6.0 (6.8)	2050	15	1.4	19.4 (12.7)	15.5 (10.1)	22.8	3.6	2.9	6.5
River Etherow	10/8/05- 08/8/06	39.6 (n/a)	984	29	3.9	45.2 (30.7)	37.8 (25.4)	56.1	4.2	3.5	7.7
Scoat Tarn	9/8/05- 04/8/06	13.8 (n/a)	2316	19	3.6	28.4 (21.1)	24.1 (15.4)	36.5	6.9	5.0	11.9
Lochnagar	10/8/05- 10/8/06	15.8 (15.2)	1099	20	2.0	15.3 (14.4)	20.4 (17.7)	32.1	2.2	2.8	5.0

6

7

8