

УДК 517.55

Boundary Version of the Morera Theorem for a Matrix Ball of the Second Type

Gulmirza Kh. Khudayberganov*

Zokirbek K. Matyakubov†

National University of Uzbekistan

Vuzgorodok, Tashkent, 100174

Uzbekistan

Received 24.05.2014, received in revised form 26.08.2014, accepted 15.09.2014

In this article we prove a boundary Morera theorem for a matrix ball of the second type.

Keywords: matrix ball, automorphism, Poisson kernel, Morera theorem.

In this article we consider a boundary version of the Morera theorem for a matrix ball of the second type. Our starting point is Nagel and Rudin's result (see [1]), which says that if f is a continuous function on the boundary of a ball in \mathbb{C}^n and the integral

$$\int_0^{2\pi} f(\psi(e^{i\varphi}, 0, \dots, 0)) e^{i\varphi} d\varphi = 0,$$

for all (holomorphic) automorphisms ψ of a ball, then the function f is holomorphically extends to the ball. For classical domains an analog of a boundary Morera theorem was obtained in [7].

Let $\mathbb{C}[m \times m]$ be the space of $[m \times m]$ -matrices with complex elements. We denote by $\mathbb{C}^n[m \times m]$ the Cartesian product of n copies of $\mathbb{C}[m \times m]$:

$$\mathbb{C}^n[m \times m] = \mathbb{C}[m \times m] \times \dots \times \mathbb{C}[m \times m].$$

Set (see, for example [2])

$$B_I = \{Z \in \mathbb{C}^n[m \times m] : I - \langle Z, Z \rangle > 0\},$$

where $\langle Z, Z \rangle = Z_1 Z_1^* + Z_2 Z_2^* + \dots + Z_n Z_n^*$ is a 'scalar' product, I is the identity matrix $[m \times m]$, $Z_\nu^* = \overline{Z}'_\nu$ is the adjoint and transposed matrix to Z_ν , $\nu = 1, 2, \dots, n$. B_I is called a *matrix ball* (of the first type). Here $I - \langle Z, Z \rangle > 0$ means that the Hermite matrix $I - \langle Z, Z \rangle$ is positively defined, i.e. all eigen values are positive.

The skeleton of B_I is the set

$$X_I = \{Z \in \mathbb{C}^n[m \times m] : \langle Z, Z \rangle = I\}.$$

The domain B_{II} in spaces $\mathbb{C}^n[m \times m]$:

$$B_{II} = \{Z \in \mathbb{C}^n[m \times m] : I - \langle Z, Z \rangle > 0, Z'_\nu = Z_\nu, \nu = 1, 2, \dots, n\}, \quad (1)$$

where I is, as usual, the identity matrix of order m , is called a *matrix ball of the second type* (see [3]).

The skeleton of this domain is the following manifold:

$$X_{II} = \{Z \in \mathbb{C}^n[m \times m] : \langle Z, Z \rangle = I, Z'_\nu = Z_\nu, \nu = 1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

*gkhudaiberg@mail.ru

†zokirbek.1986@mail.ru

Lemma 1. *The domain B_{II} has the following properties:*

- 1) B_{II} is bounded;
- 2) B_{II} is a complete circular domain;
- 3) B_{II} and its skeleton X_{II} are invariant under unitary transformations.

Proof. **1.** The definition of the domain implies that each diagonal element of the matrix $\langle Z, Z \rangle$ is positive and less than 1, and the sum of the squares of the modules of all elements in Z_ν , $\nu = 1, \dots, n$, does not exceed m . This implies that the matrix ball of the second type is bounded.

2. If $Z \in B_{II}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $|\alpha| \leq 1$, then

$$I - \langle \alpha Z, \alpha Z \rangle = I - |\alpha|^2 \langle Z, Z \rangle = I(1 - |\alpha|^2) + |\alpha|^2 (I - \langle Z, Z \rangle) > 0.$$

3. Invariance under unitary transformations means that if U is a unitary matrix of order m , then for $Z \in B_{II}$ we have $UZ \in B_{II}$ and $ZU \in B_{II}$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} I - \langle UZ, UZ \rangle &= I - UZ_1\overline{Z_1}U^* - UZ_2\overline{Z_2}U^* - \dots - UZ_n\overline{Z_n}U^* = \\ &= I - U(Z_1\overline{Z_1} + Z_2\overline{Z_2} + \dots + Z_n\overline{Z_n})U^* = I - U\langle Z, Z \rangle U^* = U(I - \langle Z, Z \rangle)U^* > 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\langle ZU, ZU \rangle = \langle Z, Z \rangle.$$

The invariance of the skeleton is proved similarly. □

We consider normalized Lebesgue measures μ in B_{II} and σ on the skeleton X_{II} , i.e.

$$\int_{B_{II}} d\mu(Z) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{X_{II}} d\sigma(Z) = 1.$$

We define the space $H^1(B_{II})$ as follows: a function f belongs to $H^1(B_{II})$ if it is holomorphic in B_{II} and

$$\sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_{X_{II}} |f(rZ)| d\sigma(Z) < \infty.$$

We fix a point $\Lambda^0 \in X_{II}$ ($\Lambda^0 = (\Lambda_1^0, \dots, \Lambda_n^0)$) and consider the following embedding of a unit disk Δ in the domain B_{II}

$$\{W \in \mathbb{C}^n [m \times m] : W_\nu = \xi \Lambda_\nu^0, |\xi| < 1, \nu = 1, \dots, n, \}. \tag{2}$$

By this embedding the boundary T of the disk Δ transforms into the disk on X_{II} . If ψ is an automorphism of the domain B_{II} , then the set (2) under the action of this automorphism becomes some analytic disk with the boundary on X_{II} .

Theorem 1. *Let f be a continuous function on X_{II} . If f satisfies*

$$\int_T f(\psi(\xi \Lambda^0)) d\xi = 0 \tag{3}$$

for all automorphisms ψ of the domain B_{II} , then the function f has a holomorphic extension F in B_{II} of the class $C(\overline{B_{II}})$.

Proof. On X_{II} the subgroup of the automorphisms leaving 0 fixed acts transitively (see [3]). Since X_{II} is invariant with respect to unitary transformations, the condition (3) is satisfied for any point $\Lambda \in X_{II}$.

First of all, we parametrize manifold X_{II} as follows: for $Z \in X_{II}$ we put $Z = e^{i\theta}U$, where $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$, and in the matrix U_1 the element $u_{11}^{(1)}$ in the left top corner is positive. We denote the

manifold of such matrices by X^+ . This way we parametrize not the whole set X_{II} , but some smaller set, which differs from X_{II} by a set of zero measure.

The normalized Lebesgue measure $d\sigma$ can be written as (Lemma 8.4 in [2])

$$d\sigma = \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} d\sigma_1(U) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{d\xi}{\xi} d\sigma_1(U),$$

where $\xi = e^{i\theta}$, and the measure σ_1 is positive on X^+ .

Multiplying equality (3) by $d\sigma_1$ and integrating over X^+ , from (3) we obtain

$$\int_{X_{II}} f(\psi(Z)) z_{pq}^\nu d\sigma(Z) = 0, \tag{4}$$

where z_{pq}^ν are components of vector $Z = (Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_n)$, $p, q = 1, \dots, m$, $\nu = 1, \dots, n$.

We consider the automorphism ψ_A translating the point $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ from B_{II} into 0 (see [3]). It is defined up to a generalized unitary transformation.

Then we substitute the automorphism ψ_A^{-1} in (4) instead of ψ and change variables $W = \psi_A^{-1}(Z)$. We get

$$\int_{X_{II}} f(W) \psi_{pq}^{A,\nu}(W) d\sigma(\psi_A(W)) = 0, \tag{5}$$

where $\psi_{pq}^{A,\nu}$ are components of the automorphism ψ_A .

By Corollary 7.7 from [2] we have

$$d\sigma(\psi_A(W)) = P(A, W) d\sigma(W),$$

where $P(A, W)$ is an invariant Poisson kernel for the matrix ball B_{II} of the second type.

Then, from the condition (5) we have that

$$\int_{X_{II}} f(W) \psi_{pq}^{A,\nu}(W) P(A, W) d\sigma(W) = 0 \tag{6}$$

for all points $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ from B_{II} and all $p, q = 1, \dots, m$, $\nu = 1, \dots, n$.

Thus, taking into account the properties of the Poisson integral of continuous functions, Theorem 1 follows from the next assertion.

Theorem 2. *If for $f \in L^1(X_{II})$ the equality (6) holds for all automorphisms ψ_A of domain B_{II} , then f is a radial boundary value of some function $F \in H^1(B_{II})$.*

Proof. The invariant Poisson kernel for a matrix ball of the second type has the form

$$\begin{aligned} P(A, W) &= \frac{(\det(I - A_1 \bar{A}_1 - \dots - A_n \bar{A}_n))^{\frac{(m+1)n}{2}}}{|\det(I - A_1 \bar{W}_1 - \dots - A_n \bar{W}_n)|^{(m+1)n}} = \\ &= \frac{(\det(I - A_1 \bar{A}_1 - \dots - A_n \bar{A}_n))^{\frac{(m+1)n}{2}}}{(\det(I - A_1 \bar{W}_1 - \dots - A_n \bar{W}_n))^{\frac{(m+1)n}{2}} (\det(I - W_1 \bar{A}_1 - \dots - W_n \bar{A}_n))^{\frac{(m+1)n}{2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

We write the elements of matrices A and W in the vector form:

$$\begin{aligned} A &= (A_1, \dots, A_n) = (a_{11}^1, \dots, a_{1m}^1; \dots; a_{m1}^1, \dots, a_{mm}^1; \dots; a_{11}^n, \dots, a_{1m}^n; \dots \\ &\quad \dots; a_{m1}^n, \dots, a_{mm}^n) = (\|a_{pq}^1\|, \dots, \|a_{pq}^n\|), \\ W &= (W_1, \dots, W_n) = (w_{11}^1, \dots, w_{1m}^1; \dots; w_{m1}^1, \dots, w_{mm}^1; \dots; w_{11}^n, \dots, w_{1m}^n; \dots \end{aligned}$$

$$\dots; w_{m1}^n, \dots, w_{mm}^n) = (\|w_{pq}^1\|, \dots, \|w_{pq}^n\|),$$

where $\|a_{pq}^\nu\| = \|a_{qp}^\nu\|$, $\|w_{pq}^\nu\| = \|w_{qp}^\nu\|$, $p, q = 1, \dots, m$, $\nu = 1, \dots, n$.

We shall compute

$$\sum_{p,q=1}^m \sum_{\nu=1}^n \bar{a}_{pq}^\nu \frac{\partial P(A, W)}{\partial \bar{a}_{pq}^\nu}. \tag{7}$$

Denote

$$I - W_1 \bar{A}_1 - \dots - W_n \bar{A}_n = \|\alpha_{sj}\| \quad (s, j = 1, \dots, m),$$

where

$$\alpha_{sj} = \delta_{sj} - \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{\nu=1}^n w_{sk}^\nu \bar{a}_{jk}^\nu, \quad a_{jk}^\nu = a_{kj}^\nu, \quad w_{sk}^\nu = w_{ks}^\nu, \quad s, j = 1, \dots, m,$$

and δ_{sj} is the Kronecker symbol.

Calculations show that

$$\sum_{q=1}^m \sum_{\nu=1}^n \bar{a}_{pq}^\nu \frac{\partial \det(I - W_1 \bar{A}_1 - \dots - W_n \bar{A}_n)}{\partial \bar{a}_{pq}^\nu} =$$

$$= \det(I - W_1 \bar{A}_1 - \dots - W_n \bar{A}_n) - \det(I - W_1 \bar{A}_1 - \dots - W_n \bar{A}_n)[p, p],$$

where $\det(I - W_1 \bar{A}_1 - \dots - W_n \bar{A}_n)[p, p]$ denotes the cofactor of the element α_{pp} in the matrix $I - W_1 \bar{A}_1 - \dots - W_n \bar{A}_n$.

Then

$$\sum_{p,q=1}^m \sum_{\nu=1}^n \bar{a}_{pq}^\nu \frac{\partial \det(I - W_1 \bar{A}_1 - \dots - W_n \bar{A}_n)}{\partial \bar{a}_{pq}^\nu} =$$

$$= m \det(I - W_1 \bar{A}_1 - \dots - W_n \bar{A}_n) - \sum_{p=1}^m \det(I - W_1 \bar{A}_1 - \dots - W_n \bar{A}_n)[p, p].$$

Similarly

$$\sum_{p,q=1}^m \sum_{\nu=1}^n \bar{a}_{pq}^\nu \frac{\partial \det(I - A_1 \bar{A}_1 - \dots - A_n \bar{A}_n)}{\partial \bar{a}_{pq}^\nu} =$$

$$= m \det(I - A_1 \bar{A}_1 - \dots - A_n \bar{A}_n) - \sum_{p=1}^m \det(I - A_1 \bar{A}_1 - \dots - A_n \bar{A}_n)[p, p].$$

Therefore, the expression (7) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{m(m+1)}{2} nP(A, W) \left[\frac{\sum_{p=1}^m \det(I - W_1 \bar{A}_1 - \dots - W_n \bar{A}_n)[p, p]}{\det(I - W_1 \bar{A}_1 - \dots - W_n \bar{A}_n)} - \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{\sum_{p=1}^m \det(I - A_1 \bar{A}_1 - \dots - A_n \bar{A}_n)[p, p]}{\det(I^{(m)} - A_1 \bar{A}_1 - \dots - A_n \bar{A}_n)} \right] = \\ & = \frac{m(m+1)}{2} nP(A, W) [\text{Sp}(I - W_1 \bar{A}_1 - \dots - W_n \bar{A}_n)^{-1} - \text{Sp}(I - A_1 \bar{A}_1 - \dots - A_n \bar{A}_n)^{-1}]. \tag{8} \end{aligned}$$

Here Sp, as usual, is the matrix trace.

An automorphism of the domain B_{II} has the form (see [3])

$$\psi_A(W) = \bar{R}^{-1} (I - W_1 \bar{A}_1 - \dots - W_n \bar{A}_n)^{-1} \sum_{\nu=1}^n (W_\nu - A_\nu) R_{\nu k}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n,$$

where R is a block matrix satisfying the condition

$$R' (I - A_1 \bar{A}_1 - \dots - A_n \bar{A}_n) \bar{R} = I.$$

If the condition (6) is satisfied for the components of the map $\psi_A(W)$, the same condition is satisfied for the components of the map

$$\varphi_A(W) = (I - A_1 \bar{A}_1 - \dots - A_n \bar{A}_n)^{-1} (I - W_1 \bar{A}_1 - \dots - W_n \bar{A}_n)^{-1} \sum_{\nu=1}^n (W_\nu - A_\nu),$$

since matrices $R, (I - A_1 \bar{A}_1 - \dots - A_n \bar{A}_n)$ are nonsingular and depend only on A . Then from (6) we get

$$\int_{X_{II}} f(W) \varphi_{pq}^{A,\nu}(W) P(A, W) d\sigma(W) = 0, \tag{9}$$

where $\varphi_{pq}^{A,\nu}(W)$ are the components of the map $\varphi_A(W)$, $(p, q = 1, \dots, m, \nu = 1, \dots, n)$.

Now we compute the sum

$$\sum_{p,q=1}^m \sum_{\nu=1}^n \bar{a}_{pq}^\nu \varphi_{p,q}^{A,\nu}.$$

It is obvious that this expression is equal to $\text{Sp} \langle \varphi_A(W), A \rangle$, since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p,q=1}^m \sum_{\nu=1}^n \bar{a}_{pq}^\nu \varphi_{p,q}^{A,\nu} &= \text{Sp} \left[(I - A_1 \bar{A}_1 - \dots - A_n \bar{A}_n)^{-1} (I - W_1 \bar{A}_1 - \dots - W_n \bar{A}_n)^{-1} \times \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times (W_1 \bar{A}_1 + \dots + W_n \bar{A}_n - A_1 \bar{A}_1 - \dots - A_n \bar{A}_n) \right] = \\ &= \text{Sp} \left[(I - A_1 \bar{A}_1 - \dots - A_n \bar{A}_n)^{-1} (I - W_1 \bar{A}_1 - \dots - W_n \bar{A}_n)^{-1} \times \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times ((I - A_1 \bar{A}_1 - \dots - A_n \bar{A}_n) - (I - W_1 \bar{A}_1 - \dots - W_n \bar{A}_n)) \right] = \\ &= \text{Sp} \left[(I - W_1 \bar{A}_1 - \dots - W_n \bar{A}_n)^{-1} - (I - A_1 \bar{A}_1 - \dots - A_n \bar{A}_n)^{-1} \right], \tag{10} \end{aligned}$$

Using this, we get from (9)

$$\sum_{p,q=1}^m \sum_{\nu=1}^n \bar{a}_{pq}^\nu \frac{\partial F(A)}{\partial \bar{a}_{pq}^\nu} = 0, \tag{11}$$

where

$$F(A) = \int_{X_{II}} f(W) P(A, W) d\sigma(W) \tag{12}$$

is the Poisson integral of the function f .

The function $F(A)$ is real analytic in the domain B_{II} . We expand $F(A)$ in a Taylor series in a neighborhood of 0,

$$F(A) = \sum_{|\alpha|, |\beta| \geq 0} C_{\alpha, \beta} a^\alpha \bar{a}^\beta,$$

where $\alpha = (\|\alpha_{pq1}\|, \dots, \|\alpha_{pqn}\|)$ and $\beta = (\|\beta_{pq1}\|, \dots, \|\beta_{pqn}\|)$, $(p, q = 1, \dots, m)$ are matrices with nonnegative integer elements and

$$|\alpha| = \sum_{p,q=1}^m \sum_{\nu=1}^n \alpha_{pq\nu}, \quad a^\alpha = \prod_{p,q=1}^m \prod_{\nu=1}^n a_{pq\nu}^{\alpha_{pq\nu}}.$$

Then (11) implies

$$\sum_{p,q=1}^m \sum_{\nu=1}^n \bar{a}_{pq}^{\nu} \frac{\partial F(A)}{\partial \bar{a}_{pq}^{\nu}} = \sum_{|\alpha|, |\beta|} |\beta| C_{\alpha, \beta} a^{\alpha} \bar{a}^{\beta} = 0.$$

It follows that for $|\beta| > 0$ all coefficients $C_{\alpha, \beta}$ are equal to zero. So, the function $F(A)$ is holomorphic in B_{II} and belongs to the class $H^1(B_{II})$.

If f is continuous on X_{II} , then the function F belongs to $C(\bar{B}_{II})$ and its boundary values on X_{II} coincide with f . \square

The proof of this theorem shows that it remains true if the conditions (3) and (6) are satisfied only for those automorphisms ψ_A , for which the point $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ lies in some open set $V \subset B_{II}$. Therefore the following statement is true.

Theorem 3. *If a function $f \in L^1(X_{II})$ satisfies the condition (6) for all points lying in some open set $V \subset B_{II}$ and for all components of the automorphism ψ_A , then f is a radial boundary value for some function $F \in H^1(B_{II})$ on X_{II} .*

References

- [1] A.Nagel, W.Rudin, Moebius-invariant functions spaces on balls and spheres, *Duke Math. J.*, **43**(1976), no. 4, 841–865.
- [2] G.Khudayberganov, A.M.Kytmanov, B.Shaimkulov, Complex analysis in matrix domains, Krasnoyarsk, Siberian Federal University, 2011 (in Russian).
- [3] G.Khudayberganov, B.B.Hidirov, U.S.Rakhmonov, Automorphisms of matrix balls, *Doklady NUNUz*, (2010), no. 3, 205–210 (in Russian).
- [4] S.Kosbergenov, On multidimensional boundary Morera’s theorem for matrix ball, *Izvestiya VUZov. Matematika*, (2001), no. 4, 28–32 (in Russian).
- [5] P.Lankaster, The theory of matrices, Academic Press, New York–London, 1969.
- [6] F.R.Gantmakher, The theory of matrices, Chelsea Publition Company, 1977.
- [7] S.Kosbergenov, A.M.Kytmanov, S.G.Myslivets, On a boundary Morera theorem for the classical domains, *Sib. Math. J.*, **40**(1999), no. 3, 506–514.

Граничный вариант теоремы Морера для матричного шара второго типа

Гулмирза Х. Худайберганов
Зокирбек М. Матайкубов

В этой статье доказывается граничная теорема Морера для матричного шара второго типа.

Ключевые слова: матричный шар, автоморфизм, ядро Пуассона, теорема Морера.