

Berner Fachhochschule Haute école spécialisée bernoise Bern University of Applied Sciences

D UNIVERSITÄT BERN

Inequality and Taxation

Insights into the redistributive effects of the Swiss tax system

Dr. Rudolf Farys, University of Bern

- Prof. FH Dr. Oliver Hümbelin, Bern University of Applied Science
- Prof. Dr. Ben Jann, University of Bern

115th ASA Annual Meeting. Power, Inequality, and Resistance at Work August 8-10, 2020, San Francisco, CA

- **1** Introduction: Welfare States on the Retreat? What we can learn from tax data
- **2** Data&Methods: Decomposition of redistribution effects based on Swiss tax data
- **3** Results: Redistribution in Switzerland. Effects of the visible and hidden instruments of the welfare state
- 4 Summary & Conclusion

Introduction: Increase in inequality of disposable income as a result of less redistribution



Source: Orsett Causa & Mikkel Hermansen, 2018. Income Redistribution Through Taxes and Transfers across OECD Countries, LIS Working papers 729, LIS Cross-National Data Center in Luxembourg

Farys&Hümbelin&Jann

Inequality and Taxation

The OECD points out that the recent increase in disposable income inequality is mainly because of a retreat of governmental redistribution. It is therefore important to fully understand the redistribution mechanics of a welfare state.

- The OECD points out that the recent increase in disposable income inequality is mainly because of a retreat of governmental redistribution. It is therefore important to fully understand the redistribution mechanics of a welfare state.
- An important element of income inequality reduction are progressive direct taxes. On average 25% of the overall redistribution can be attributed to income taxes (Caminada et al. 2019).

- The OECD points out that the recent increase in disposable income inequality is mainly because of a retreat of governmental redistribution. It is therefore important to fully understand the redistribution mechanics of a welfare state.
- An important element of income inequality reduction are progressive direct taxes. On average 25% of the overall redistribution can be attributed to income taxes (Caminada et al. 2019).
- Yet many countries also provide options for claiming deductions that alter the redistributive effect of taxes. This latter aspect however is often neglected since data usually only reports on taxes paid.

- The OECD points out that the recent increase in disposable income inequality is mainly because of a retreat of governmental redistribution. It is therefore important to fully understand the redistribution mechanics of a welfare state.
- An important element of income inequality reduction are progressive direct taxes. On average 25% of the overall redistribution can be attributed to income taxes (Caminada et al. 2019).
- Yet many countries also provide options for claiming deductions that alter the redistributive effect of taxes. This latter aspect however is often neglected since data usually only reports on taxes paid.
- By using administrative tax data we are able to evaluate the visible (taxes & benefits) and hidden (deductions) instruments of the welfare state

Farys&Hümbelin&Jann

Inequality in Switzerland - an ongoing research project



http://inequalities.ch/

Inequality and Taxation

As opposed to many other European countries where the levying of taxes is centralised, the tax system in Switzerland mirrors the historically evolved federal structure, giving a lot of power to the sub-state levels, namely the cantons and municipalities (ESTV, 2013). A total of 26 tax laws exist, with each canton having its own tax law and the municipalities and the federal state also levying taxes.

- As opposed to many other European countries where the levying of taxes is centralised, the tax system in Switzerland mirrors the historically evolved federal structure, giving a lot of power to the sub-state levels, namely the cantons and municipalities (ESTV, 2013). A total of 26 tax laws exist, with each canton having its own tax law and the municipalities and the federal state also levying taxes.
- ▶ We use tax data from a large canton in Switzerland.

- As opposed to many other European countries where the levying of taxes is centralised, the tax system in Switzerland mirrors the historically evolved federal structure, giving a lot of power to the sub-state levels, namely the cantons and municipalities (ESTV, 2013). A total of 26 tax laws exist, with each canton having its own tax law and the municipalities and the federal state also levying taxes.
- We use tax data from a large canton in Switzerland.
- Bern is the 2nd largest canton in Switzerland (1 Million inhabitants), with respect to economic inequality, it is a bit below Swiss average and direct taxes are comparably higher.

Data: Linking Tax Data for Inequality Studies

Data linkage



Inequality and Taxation

 Reynolds & Smolensky (1977) concept of measuring redistribution

 Reynolds & Smolensky (1977) concept of measuring redistribution

$$RS = G_x - G_{x-t} \tag{1}$$

 Reynolds & Smolensky (1977) concept of measuring redistribution

$$RS = G_{x} - G_{x-t} \tag{1}$$

The overall effect differentiates the effect into an effect of progression/Kakwani-Index (Kakwani, 1977) and the average tax burden.

 Reynolds & Smolensky (1977) concept of measuring redistribution

$$RS = G_{x} - G_{x-t} \tag{1}$$

The overall effect differentiates the effect into an effect of progression/Kakwani-Index (Kakwani, 1977) and the average tax burden.

$$RS = G_{x} - G_{x-t_{i}} = K_{i} * \frac{t_{i}}{1-t_{i}}$$
(2)

 Reynolds & Smolensky (1977) concept of measuring redistribution

$$RS = G_{x} - G_{x-t} \tag{1}$$

The overall effect differentiates the effect into an effect of progression/Kakwani-Index (Kakwani, 1977) and the average tax burden.

$$RS = G_{x} - G_{x-t_{i}} = K_{i} * \frac{t_{i}}{1 - t_{i}}$$
(2)

(1) Identify effect of taxes (and benefits)

 Reynolds & Smolensky (1977) concept of measuring redistribution

$$RS = G_{x} - G_{x-t} \tag{1}$$

The overall effect differentiates the effect into an effect of progression/Kakwani-Index (Kakwani, 1977) and the average tax burden.

$$RS = G_x - G_{x-t_i} = K_i * \frac{t_i}{1 - t_i}$$
(2)

- (1) Identify effect of taxes (and benefits)
- (2) To identify the effect of deductions a post tax income distribution without any deductions was created (G_z). The effect of every single deduction (u_i) was identified by comparing post tax income distributions applying deduction i (G_{u_i}) to G_z.

Results: Redistributive effect of taxes and benefits



Note: Income inequality before and after taxes and benefits, working age population, Canton of Bern, equivalence-scaled income

Farys&Hümbelin&Jann

Inequality and Taxation

Results: Which income groups profit from deductions?



Farys&Hümbelin&Jann

Inequality and Taxation

9 / 13

Results: Effect of deductions (via taxes) on income inequality



While most studies focus only on direct taxes paid, we expand the perspective by providing insight into the mitigating effect of the often hidden part of the fiscal welfare state; deductions.

- While most studies focus only on direct taxes paid, we expand the perspective by providing insight into the mitigating effect of the often hidden part of the fiscal welfare state; deductions.
- Our administrative data based study showed however that deductions mitigate the redistributive effect of taxes drastically. In Switzerland there are deductions that favour low income households (social deductions) while from others primarily wealthy people profit (real estate, extra-mandatory pension plans). All in all deductions increase inequality.

- While most studies focus only on direct taxes paid, we expand the perspective by providing insight into the mitigating effect of the often hidden part of the fiscal welfare state; deductions.
- Our administrative data based study showed however that deductions mitigate the redistributive effect of taxes drastically. In Switzerland there are deductions that favour low income households (social deductions) while from others primarily wealthy people profit (real estate, extra-mandatory pension plans). All in all deductions increase inequality.
- Political debates arise around deductions. Right now, there is a voting on increase child deductions, that is subject of fierce debate in Switzerland.

- While most studies focus only on direct taxes paid, we expand the perspective by providing insight into the mitigating effect of the often hidden part of the fiscal welfare state; deductions.
- Our administrative data based study showed however that deductions mitigate the redistributive effect of taxes drastically. In Switzerland there are deductions that favour low income households (social deductions) while from others primarily wealthy people profit (real estate, extra-mandatory pension plans). All in all deductions increase inequality.
- Political debates arise around deductions. Right now, there is a voting on increase child deductions, that is subject of fierce debate in Switzerland.
- It is therefore important for inequality and policy analysis to investigate on the effect of deductions and not only on tax rates.

Thank you for your attention! oliver.huembelin@bfh.ch / rudolf.farys@soz.unibe.ch Caminada, K., Goudswaard, K, Wang, Ch., Wang, J. (2019). Has the redistributive effect of social transfers and taxes changed over time across countries? International Social Security Review, 72(1), 3-31

ESTV (2013). Das schweizerische Steuersystem. Bern: Eidgenössische Steuerverwaltung.

Kakwani, N. C. (1977). Measurement of Tax Progressivity: An International Comparison. The Economic Journal, 87(345), 71-80.

OECD (2008). Growing Unequal? Income Distribution and Poverty in OECD Countries. Paris: OECD Publishing.

OECD (2011). Divided We Stand. Why Inequality Keeps Rising. OECD Publishing.

OECD (2015). In It Together: Why Less Inequality Benefits All. Paris: OECD Publishing.

Orsetta C. & M, Hermansen (2018). Income Redistribution Through Taxes and Transfers across OECD Countries, LIS Working papers 729, LIS Cross-National Data Center in Luxembourg

Reynolds, M., & Smolensky, E. (1977). Post-fisc distributions of income in 1950, 1961, and 1970. Public Finance Review, 5(4), 419-438.