Journal of Siberian Federal University. Mathematics & Physics 2014, 7(2), 204-210

# удк 519.17 On Distance-Regular Graphs with $\lambda = 2$

#### Alexander A. Makhnev<sup>\*</sup>

Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics, Ural Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Kovalevskaja, 16, Ekaterinburg, 620990,

Russia

Marina S. Nirova Kabardino-Balkarian State University, Chernyshevskogo, 28, Nalchik, 360000,

Russia

Received 24.12.2013, received in revised form 25.01.2014, accepted 26.02.2014

V.P. Burichenko and A.A. Makhnev have found intersection arrays of distance-regular graphs with  $\lambda = 2$ ,  $\mu > 1$ , having at most 1000 vertices. Earlier, intersection arrays of antipodal distance-regular graphs of diameter 3 with  $\lambda \leq 2$  and  $\mu = 1$  were obtained by the second author. In this paper, the possible intersection arrays of distance-regular graphs with  $\lambda = 2$  and the number of vertices not greater than 4096 are obtained.

Keywords: distance-regular graph, nearly n-gon.

# Introduction

We consider undirected graphs without loops and multiple edges. Given a vertex a in a graph  $\Gamma$ , we denote by  $\Gamma_i(a)$  the subgraph induced by  $\Gamma$  on the set of all vertices, that are at a distance i from a. The subgraph  $[a] = \Gamma_1(a)$  is called the *neighborhood of the vertex a*.

We denote by  $k_a$  the degree of a vertex a, i. e. the number of vertices in [a]. A graph  $\Gamma$  is said to be regular with degree k, if  $k_a = k$  for every vertex a of  $\Gamma$ . A graph  $\Gamma$  is called a strongly regular graph with parameters  $(v, k, \lambda, \mu)$ , if  $\Gamma$  is regular with degree k on v vertices, in which every edge is placed in precisely  $\lambda$  triangles, and for any two non-adjacent triangles and any non-adjacent vertices a, b one has  $|[a] \cap [b]| = \mu$ . A graph with a diameter d is called antipodal, if the relation on the set of its vertices – to coincide or to be at a distance d – is an equivalence relation. Classes of this relation are called the antipodal classes.

If vertices u, w are at a distance i in  $\Gamma$ , then we denote by  $b_i(u, w)$  (by  $c_i(u, w)$ ) the number of vertices in the intersection of  $\Gamma_{i+1}(u)$  (of  $\Gamma_{i-1}(u)$ ) with [w]. A graph  $\Gamma$  of diameter d is said to be distance-regular with the intersection array  $\{b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_{d-1}; c_1, \ldots, c_d\}$ , if the values of  $b_i(u, w), c_i(u, w)$  do not depend on the choice of vertices u and w separated by a distance i in  $\Gamma$ , and are equal to  $b_i, c_i$  for  $i = 0, \ldots, d$ . Let  $a_i = k - b_i - c_i$ . Note that a distance-regular graph is amply regular with  $k = b_0, \lambda = k - b_1 - 1$  and  $\mu = c_2$ , by definition  $c_1 = 1$ . Further, we denote by  $p_{ij}^l(x, y)$  the number of vertices in the subgraph  $\Gamma_i(x) \cap \Gamma_j(y)$  for vertices x, y that are at a distance l in the graph  $\Gamma$ . In a distance-regular graph, the numbers  $p_{ij}^l(x, y)$  are independent of the choice of the vertices x, y; they are denoted by  $p_{ij}^l$  and are called the intersection numbers of the graph  $\Gamma$ .

V. P. Burichenko and A. A. Makhnev found [1] the intersection arrays for distance-regular graphs with  $\lambda = 2, \mu > 1$ , such that the number of vertices is not greater than 1000.

<sup>\*</sup>makhnev@imm.uran.ru

<sup>©</sup> Siberian Federal University. All rights reserved

Note here that the arrays  $\{9, 6, 3; 1, 2, 3\}$  of Hemming's graph H(3, 4) with v = 64, and  $\{19, 16, 15, 9; 1, 2, 3, 4\}$  of Hemming's graph H(4, 4) with v = 256, and the array  $\{45, 42, 1; 1, 14, 45\}$  were omitted from the consideration of [1]. However, there is an additional array  $\{13, 10, 7; 1, 2, 7\}$  (according to [2], a graph with such an intersection array should not exist). In [3], there were found intersection arrays for antipodal distance-regular graphs of diameter 3 with  $\lambda \leq 2$  and  $\mu = 1$ . In the present paper, the possible intersection arrays of distance-regular graphs with  $\lambda = 2$  and 4096 vertices at most are obtained.

**Theorem.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a distance-regular graph with  $\lambda = 2$ ,  $\mu = 1$ , having 4096 vertices at most. Then  $\Gamma$  has one of the following intersection arrays:

- (1)  $\{21, 18; 1, 1\}$  (v = 400);
- (2) {6,3,3,3;1,1,1,2} (Γ is a generalized octagon of order (3,1), v = 160), {6,3,3;1,1,2} (Γ is a generalized hexagon of order (3,1), v = 52), {12,9,9;1,1,4} (Γ is a generalized hexagon of order (3,3), v = 364), {6,3,3,3,3,3;1,1,1,1,1,2} (Γ is a generalized dodecagon of order (3,1), v = 1456);
- (3) {18, 15, 9; 1, 1, 10}  $(v = 1 + 18 + 270 + 243 = 532, \Gamma_3 \text{ is a strongly regular graph});$ {21, 18, 12, 4; 1, 1, 6, 21}  $(v = 1 + 21 + 378 + 756 + 144 = 1300, q_{3,4}^4 = 0).$

**Corollary.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a distance-regular graph of diameter greater than 2, with  $\lambda = 2$ , and having at most 4096 vertices. Then one of the following assertions holds:

- (1)  $\Gamma$  is a primitive graph with the intersection array {6,3,3;1,1,2}, {9,6,3;1,2,3}, {12,9,9;1,1,4}, {15,12,6;1,2,10}, {18,15,9;1,1,10}, {19,16,8;1,2,8}, {24,21,3;1,3,18}, {33,30,15;1,2,15}, {35,32,8;1,2,28}, {42,39,1;1,1,42}, {51,48,8;1,4,36};
- (2)  $\Gamma$  is an antipodal graph with  $\mu = 2$  and the intersection array  $\{2r+1, 2r-2, 1; 1, 2, 2r+1\}, r \in \{3, 4, ..., 44\} \{10, 16, 28, 34, 38\}$  and v = 2r(r+1);
- $\begin{array}{l} (3) \ \ \Gamma \ is \ an \ antipodal \ graph \ with \ \mu \geqslant 3 \ and \ the \ intersection \ array \\ \{15, 12, 1; 1, 4, 15\}, \ \{18, 15, 1; 1, 5, 18\}, \ \{27, 24, 1; 1, 8, 27\}, \ \{35, 32, 1; 1, 4, 35\}, \\ \{45, 42, 1; 1, 6, 45\}, \ \{42, 39, 1; 1, 3, 42\}, \ \{63, 60, 1; 1, 4, 63\}, \ \{75, 72, 1; 1, 12, 75\}, \\ \{99, 96, 1; 1, 4, 99\}, \ \{108, 105, 1; 1, 5, 108\}, \ \{143, 140, 1; 1, 20, 143\}, \ \{147, 144, 1; 1, 16, 147\}, \\ \{171, 168, 1; 1, 12, 171\}; \end{array}$
- (4)  $\Gamma$  is a primitive graph with the intersection array {6,3,3,3;1,1,1,2}, {19,16,15,9;1,2,3,4}, {21,18,12,4;1,1,6,21}, {15,12,9,6,3;1,2,3,4,5}, {6,3,3,3,3;1,1,1,1,1,2}, {18,15,12,9,6,3;1,2,3,4,5,6}.

We note that only arrays of some generalized polygons, Hemming's graphs H(n, 4), two graphs with  $\mu = 1$ , the array  $\{33, 30, 15; 1, 2, 15\}$ , and arrays of antipodal graphs of diameter 3 have been added to the list of Burichenko and Makhnev.

Now we prove the Theorem. Let  $\Gamma$  be a distance-regular graph of diameter d with  $\lambda = 2$ ,  $\mu = 1$ , having 4096 vertices at most. Let a be a vertex in the graph  $\Gamma$  and  $k_i = |\Gamma_i(a)|$ . Then [a] is the union of t + 1 isolated 3-cliques, k = 3(t + 1) and  $t \leq 20$ . Otherwise,  $v > 1 + 66 + 66 \cdot 63$ , a contradiction.

**Lemma 1.** The following assertions hold:

- (1) if the diameter of  $\Gamma$  is 2, then  $\Gamma$  possesses the parameters (400, 21, 2, 1);
- (2) if  $\Gamma$  is a generalized 2n-gon, then  $\Gamma$  has the intersection array from the Corollary.

*Proof.* If the diameter of  $\Gamma$  is equal to 2, then, according to [5],  $\Gamma$  has the parameters (400, 21, 2, 1). Assume that the diameter of  $\Gamma$  is greater than 2.

Let  $\Gamma$  be a regular almost *n*-gon. Then s = 3, and in accordance with [4, Theorem 6.4.1] we have  $b_i = k - 3c_i$  for i = 0, 1, ..., d - 1,  $k \ge 3c_d$ , here n = 2d if  $k = 3c_d$ , and n = 2d + 1 if not. If  $\Delta$  is a pointwise graph of a generalized polygon of order (s, t), then  $k_i = s^i t^{i-1}(t+1)/c_i$ . In the case of n = 6, the number of its vertices is  $(s+1)(s^2t^2+st+1)$ . Therefore  $v = 4(9t^2+3t+1)$ and  $t \le 10$ . If t > 1, then, in view of [4, Theorem 6.5.1], the number st is a square, hence t = 3. If n = 8 and t > 1, then, according to [4, Theorem 6.5.1], the number 2st is a square, and so  $t \ge 6$  and v > 4096, a contradiction. If n = 12, then t = 1 and  $v = 1 + 6 + 18 + \cdots = 1456$ .  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\Gamma$  be not a generalized 2n-gon. Then the following assertions hold:

- (1) if the diameter of  $\Gamma$  is 3, then  $\Gamma$  has the intersection array  $\{18, 15, 9; 1, 1, 10\}$ ;
- (2) if the diameter of  $\Gamma$  is greater than 4, then  $k \leq 45$ .

*Proof.* Let the diameter of  $\Gamma$  be equal to 3.

If k = 63, then  $\Gamma$  has the intersection array  $\{63, 60, b_2; 1, 1, c_3\}$ ,  $b_2 \leq 4$  and  $c_3$  divides  $3^3140b_2$ . In any case, there is no valid intersection array. In a similar way one considers the cases  $57 \leq k \leq 30$ .

If k = 27, then  $\Gamma$  has the intersection array  $\{27, 24, b_2; 1, 1, c_3\}$ ,  $c_3$  divides  $3^48b_2$ . Here arise interesting intersection arrays  $\{27, 24, 8; 1, 1, 16\}$ , v = 1000 with integer eigenvalues 7, 2, -5, but 2 and -5 have fractional multiplicity, and  $\{27, 24, 4; 1, 1, 24\}$ , v = 784 with integer eigenvalues 6, -1, -5, where 6 and -5 have fractional multiplicity. In all cases, there is no admissible intersection array.

If k = 24, then  $\Gamma$  has intersection array  $\{24, 21, b_2; 1, 1, c_3\}$ ,  $c_3$  divides  $3^256b_2$ . Interesting intersection array  $\{24, 21, 11; 1, 1, 18\}$ , v = 837 with integer eigenvalues 6, -3, -7 arise, but 6 and -7 have fractional multiplicity, and there is also  $\{24, 21, 7; 1, 1, 18\}$ , v = 725 with integer eigenvalues 6, -1, -5, but 6 and -5 have fractional multiplicity. In any case, there is no admissible intersection array.

If k = 21, then  $\Gamma$  has the intersection array  $\{21, 18, b_2; 1, 1, c_3\}$ ,  $c_3$  divides  $3^314b_2$ . There arises an interesting intersection array  $\{21, 18, 10; 1, 1, 12\}$ , v = 715 with integer eigenvalues 6, -1, -5, but -1 and -5 have fractional multiplicity. In any case, there is no admissible intersection array.

If k = 18, then  $\Gamma$  has the intersection array  $\{18, 15, b_2; 1, 1, c_3\}$ ,  $c_3$  divides  $3^310b_2$ . There arise interesting intersection arrays  $\{18, 15, 13; 1, 1, 6\}$ , v = 874 with integer eigenvalues 6, -1, -5, having fractional multiplicity,  $\{18, 15, 5; 1, 1, 18\}$ , v = 364 with integer eigenvalues 5, -3, -6, but 5 and -6 have fractional multiplicity, and the array  $\{18, 15, 9; 1, 1, 10\}$  with the spectrum  $18^1$ ,  $(1 + \sqrt{105})/2^{171}$ ,  $-1^{189}$ ,  $(1 - \sqrt{105})/2^{171}$ . There are no other admissible intersection arrays.

If k = 15, then  $\Gamma$  has the intersection array  $\{15, 12, b_2; 1, 1, c_3\}$ ,  $c_3$  divides  $3^2 20b_2$ . There arise interesting intersection arrays  $\{15, 12, 8; 1, 1, 10\}$ , v = 340 with integer eigenvalues 5, -2, -5, but where 5 and -2 have fractional multiplicity, and  $\{15, 12, 6; 1, 1, 10\}$ , v = 304 with integer eigenvalues 5, -1, -4, but 5 and -4 have fractional multiplicity. In any case, there are no admissible intersection arrays.

If k = 12, then  $\Gamma$  has the intersection array  $\{12, 9, b_2; 1, 1, c_3\}$ ,  $c_3$  divides  $3^34b_2$ . There arise interesting intersection arrays  $\{12, 9, 3; 1, 1, 6\}$ , v = 175 with integer eigenvalues 5, 2, -3, but 5 and -3 are with fractional multiplicity, and  $\{12, 9, 1; 1, 1, 12\}$ , v = 130 with integer eigenvalues 4, -1, -3, but 4 and -3 have fractional multiplicity. In any case, there are no admissible intersection arrays.

If k = 9, then  $\Gamma$  has the intersection array  $\{9, 6, b_2; 1, 1, c_3\}$ ,  $c_3$  divides  $3^3 2b_2$ . There arises an interesting intersection array  $\{9, 6, 4; 1, 1, 6\}$ , v = 100 with integer eigenvalues 4, -1, -3, but 4 and -3 have fractional multiplicity. In any case, there are no admissible intersection arrays.

If k = 6, then  $\Gamma$  has the intersection array  $\{6, 3, b_2; 1, 1, c_3\}$ ,  $c_3$  divides  $3^2 2b_2$ . An interesting intersection array  $\{6, 3, 1; 1, 1, 6\}$ , v = 28 with integer eigenvalues 3, -1, -2 arises here, but 3 and -2 have fractional multiplicity. In any case, there are no admissible intersection arrays.

Assertion (1) is proved.

Let now the diameter of  $\Gamma$  be greater than 4. Then  $b_i \ge c_{5-i}$  and  $k_3 \ge k_2$ . It follows that  $4096 \ge v \ge 2(1 + k + k(k - 3))$ , and taking into account the divisibility of k by 3, we see that  $k \le 45$ . The Lemma is proved.

Let the diameter of  $\Gamma$  be greater than 3, and  $\Gamma$  be not a generalized 2*n*-gon. Considering admissible intersection arrays with  $\lambda = 2$  from [4], we obtain only the array {21, 18, 12, 4; 1, 1, 6, 21}. The Theorem is thus proved.

Let us prove the Corollary. If  $\Gamma$  is not an antipodal graph of diameter 3, then considering admissible intersection arrays with  $\lambda = 2$  from [4], we obtain only the arrays from the Corollary.

**Lemma 3.** If  $\Gamma$  is an antipodal graph of diameter 3 with  $\lambda = \mu = 2$ , then  $\Gamma$  has the intersection array  $\{2r + 1, 2r - 2, 1; 1, 2, 2r + 1\}, r \in \{3, 4, ..., 44\} - \{10, 16, 28, 34, 38\}.$ 

*Proof.* By the assumption,  $\Gamma$  has the intersection array  $\{2r + 1, 2r - 2, 1; 1, 2, 2r + 1\}$  and v = r(2r + 2) vertices. If  $r \ge 45$ , then  $v \ge 4 \cdot 45 \cdot 23$ , a contradiction with  $v \le 4096$ . In view of [4, Proposition 1.10.5], if r is even, then k = 2r + 1 is the sum of squares of two integers, therefore  $r \in \{3, 4, ..., 44\} - \{10, 16, 28, 34, 38\}$ . The Lemma is proved.

In Lemmata 4–9 it is supposed that  $\Gamma$  is an antipodal graph of diameter 3 with  $\lambda = 2 < \mu$ . Therefore,  $\Gamma$  has the spectrum  $k^1, n^f, -1^k, -m^g$ , where n, -m are integers, that are the roots of the equation  $x^2 - (\lambda - \mu)x - k = 0$ , f = m(r-1)(k+1)/(m+n), g = n(r-1)(k+1)/(m+n) and  $r = (k + \mu - 3)/\mu$ . If r = 2, then  $\Gamma$  is Taylor's graph and  $\mu = k - 3$ . In this case, k = 6, n = 2, m = 3, a contradiction with the fact that  $f = 3 \cdot 7/5$ . Consequently, r > 2, and the condition  $q_{33}^3 \ge 0$  gives  $m \le n^2$ .

**Lemma 4.** If  $\mu \leq 5$ , then  $\Gamma$  has one of the following intersection arrays:

- $(1) \{42, 39, 1; 1, 3, 42\};$
- (2)  $\{4u^2 1, 4u^2 4, 1; 1, 4, 4u^2 1\}, u \in \{2, 3, 4, 5\};$
- $(3) \{18, 15, 1; 1, 5, 18\} or \{108, 105, 1; 1, 5, 108\}.$

*Proof.* Let  $\mu = 3$ . Then  $4k + 1 = (2n + 1)^2$ , and so, k = n(n + 1), m = n + 1 and r = k/3. If n = 3s, then  $f = (3s + 1)(3s^2 + s - 1)(9s^2 + 3s + 1)/(6s + 1)$ . In this case,  $(6s + 1, 9s^2 + 3s + 1)$  divides 3 and  $(6s + 1, 3s^2 + s - 1) = (6s + 1, s - 2)$  divides 13, therefore, s = 2 and  $\Gamma$  has the intersection array  $\{42, 39, 1; 1, 3, 42\}$ .

If n = 3s - 1, then  $f = 3s(3s^2 - s - 1)(9s^2 - 3s + 1)/(6s - 1)$ . In this case,  $(6s - 1, 9s^2 - 3s + 1)$  divides 3 and  $(6s - 1, 3s^2 - s - 1) = (6s - 1, s + 2)$  divides 13, consequently, s = 11, a contradiction with the fact that 5 does not divide  $33 \cdot 351 \cdot 1057$ .

Let  $\mu = 4$ . Then r = (k+1)/4,  $k+1 = 4u^2$ , and so,  $k = 4u^2 - 1$ , n = 2u - 1 and m = 2u + 1. Further,  $f = (2u+1)4u^2(u^2-1)/(4u)$ ,  $g = (2u-1)u(u^2-1)$  and  $v = 4u^4 \leq 4096$ , therefore,  $\Gamma$  has the intersection array  $\{4u^2 - 1, 4u^2 - 4, 1; 1, 4, 4u^2 - 1\}$ ,  $u \in \{2, ..., 5\}$ .

Let  $\mu = 5$ . Then r = (k+2)/5,  $4k+9 = (2u+1)^2$ , and hence,  $k = u^2 + u - 2$ , n = u - 1 and m = u + 2. Further,  $f = (u+2)((u^2+u)/5 - 1)(u^2+u - 1)/(2u+1)$ , (2u+1, u+2) divides 3 and  $(u^2+u-1, 2u+1) = (u-2, 2u+1)$  divides 5.

If u = 5s, then  $(10s + 1, 5s^2 + s - 1) = (10s + 1, s - 2)$  divides 21. In this case, 10s + 1 divides 63, therefore, s = 2 and  $\Gamma$  has the intersection array  $\{108, 105, 1; 1, 5, 108\}$ .

If u = 5s - 1, then  $(10s - 1, 5s^2 - s - 1) = (10s - 1, s + 2)$  divides 21. In this case 10s - 1 divides 63, hence s = 1, and  $\Gamma$  has the intersection array  $\{18, 15, 1; 1, 5, 18\}$ .

**Lemma 5.** If  $6 \leq \mu \leq 8$ , then  $\Gamma$  has one of the following intersection arrays:

- $(1) \{45, 42, 1; 1, 6, 45\};$
- (2) {27, 24, 1; 1, 8, 27}.

*Proof.* Let  $\mu = 6$ . Then r = (k+3)/6,  $k+4 = (2u+1)^2$ , and so,  $k = 4u^2 + 4u - 3$ , n = 2u - 1 and m = 2u + 3. Further,  $f = (2u+3)(2u^2 + 2u - 1)((4u^2 + 4u)/6 - 1)/(2u+1)$ ,  $(2u+1, 4u^2 + 4u - 2) = (2u+1, 2u-2)$  divides 3.

If u = 3s, then  $f = (6s+3)(18s^2+12s-2)(6s^2+2s-1)/(6s+1)$ . In this case,  $(6s+1, 6s^2+2s-1) = (6s+1, s-1)$  divides 7, therefore 6s+1 divides 21, s = 1 and  $\Gamma$  has the intersection array  $\{45, 42, 1; 1, 6, 45\}$ .

If u = 3s - 1, then  $f = (6s + 1)(18s^2 - 6s - 1)(6s^2 - 2s - 1)/(6s - 1)$ . In this case  $(6s - 1, 6s^2 - 2s - 1) = (6s - 1, s + 1)$  divides 7 and 6s - 1 divides 21, a contradiction.

Let  $\mu = 7$ . Then r = (k+4)/7,  $4k + 25 = (2u+1)^2$ , hence  $k = u^2 + u - 6$ , n = u - 2 and m = u + 3. Further,  $f = (u+3)((u^2 + u - 2)/7 - 1)(u^2 + u - 5)/(2u+1)$ , (2u+1, u+3) divides 5 and  $(2u+1, u^2 + u - 5) = (2u+1, u-5)$  divides 11.

If u = 7s + 1, then  $(14s + 3, 7s^2 + 3s - 1) = (14s + 3, 3s - 2)$  divides 37. In this case, 14s + 3 divides  $5 \cdot 11 \cdot 37$ , a contradiction.

If u = 7s + 5, then  $(14s + 11, 7s^2 + 11s + 3) = (14s + 11, 11s + 6)$  divides 37. In this case 14s + 11 divides  $5 \cdot 11 \cdot 37$ , a contradiction.

Let  $\mu = 8$ . Then r = (k+5)/8,  $k+9 = 4u^2$ , therefore  $k = 4u^2 - 9$ , n = 2u - 3 and m = 2u + 3. Further,  $f = (2u+3)((u^2-1)/2-1)(u^2-2)/u$ , (u, 2u+3) divides 3 and  $(u^2-2, u)$  divides 2. Consequently, u = 2s + 1,  $(2s+1, 2s^2+2s-1)$  divides 3 and 2s + 1 divides 9, and so, s = 1 and  $\Gamma$  has the intersection array  $\{27, 24, 1; 1, 8, 27\}$ .

**Lemma 6.** If  $9 \leq \mu \leq 11$ , then there is no admissible intersection array.

*Proof.* Let  $\mu = 9$ . Then r = (k+6)/9,  $4k + 49 = (2u+1)^2$ , therefore  $k = u^2 + u - 12$ , n = u - 3 and m = u + 4. Further,  $f = (u+4)(u^2 + u - 11)((u^2 + u - 6)/9 - 1)/(2u+1)$ , (2u+1, u+4) divides 7, and  $(2u+1, u^2 + u - 11) = (2u+1, u-22)$  divides 45.

If u = 9s + 2, then  $(18s + 5, 9s^2 + 5s - 1) = (18s + 5, 5s - 2)$  divides 61. In this case, 18s + 5 divides  $35 \cdot 61$ , a contradiction.

If u = 9s - 3, then  $(18s - 5, 9s^2 - 5s - 1) = (18s - 5, 5s + 2)$  divides 61. In this case, 18s - 5 divides  $35 \cdot 61$ , a contradiction.

Let  $\mu = 10$ . Then r = (k+7)/10,  $k+16 = (2u+1)^2$ , therefore  $k = 4u^2 + 4u - 15$ , n = 2u - 3 and m = 2u+5. Further,  $f = (2u+5)(2u^2+2u-7)((2u^2+2u-4)/5-1)/(2u+1)$ , (2u+1, 2u+5) divides 4, and  $(2u+1, 2u^2+2u-7)$  divides 15.

If u = 5s + 1, then  $(10s + 3, 10s^2 + 6s - 1) = (10s + 3, 3s - 1)$  divides 19. In this case, 10s + 3 divides 57, a contradiction.

If u = 5s + 3, then  $(10s + 7, 10s^2 + 14s + 3) = (10s + 7, 7s + 3)$  divides 19. In this case, 10s + 7 divides 57, s = 5, u = 28, a contradiction with  $v \leq 4096$ .

Let  $\mu = 11$ . Then r = (k+8)/11,  $4k + 81 = (2u+1)^2$ , therefore  $k = u^2 + u - 20$ , n = u - 4 and m = u + 5. Further,  $f = (u+5)((u^2 + u - 12)/11 - 1)(u^2 + u - 19)/(2u+1)$ , (2u+1, u+5) divides 9 and  $(u^2 + u - 19, 2u + 1) = (u - 38, 2u + 1)$  divides 77.

If u = 11s + 3, then  $(22s + 7, 11s^2 + 7s - 1) = (22s + 7, 7s - 2)$  divides 93, and 22s + 7 divides  $27 \cdot 7 \cdot 31$ , a contradiction with the fact that  $v \le 4096$ .

If u = 11s - 4, then  $(22s - 7, 11s^2 - 7s - 1) = (22s - 7, 7s + 2)$  divides 93, and so, 22s - 7 divides  $27 \cdot 7 \cdot 31$ , that contradicts with  $v \leq 4096$ .

**Lemma 7.** If  $12 \le \mu \le 14$ , then  $\Gamma$  has either the intersection array  $\{75, 72, 1; 1, 12, 75\}$  or the intersection array  $\{171, 168, 1; 1, 12, 171\}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mu = 12$ . Then r = (k+9)/12,  $k+25 = 4u^2$ , therefore  $k = 4u^2 - 25$ , n = 2u - 5 and m = 2u + 5. Further,  $f = (2u+5)((u^2-4)/3 - 1)(u^2-6)/u$ , (2u+5,u) divides 5, and  $(u^2-6,u)$  divides 6.

If u = 3s + 1, then  $(3s + 1, 3s^2 + 2s - 2) = (3s + 1, s - 2)$  divides 7 and 3s + 1 divides 70, hence s = 2 and  $\Gamma$  has the intersection array {171, 168, 1; 1, 12, 171}.

If u = 3s - 1, then  $(3s - 1, 3s^2 - 2s - 2) = (3s - 1, s + 2)$  divides 7 and 3s - 1 divides 70, and so, s = 2 and  $\Gamma$  has the intersection array  $\{75, 72, 1; 1, 12, 75\}$ .

Let  $\mu = 13$ . Then r = (k+10)/13,  $4k + 121 = (2u+1)^2$ , therefore  $k = u^2 + u - 30$ , n = u - 5 and m = u + 6. Further,  $f = (u+6)((u^2 + u - 20)/13 - 1)(u^2 + u - 29)/(2u+1)$ , (2u+1, u+6) divides 11, and  $(2u+1, u^2 + u - 29) = (2u+1, u-58)$  divides 117.

If u = 13s + 4, then  $(26s + 9, 13s^2 + 9s - 1) = (26s + 9, 9s - 2)$  divides 133 and 26s + 9 divides 99 · 133, a contradiction with that  $v \le 4096$ .

If u = 13s - 5, then  $(26s - 9, 13s^2 - 9s - 1) = (26s - 9, s + 2)$  divides 61, and 26s - 9 divides 99  $\cdot$  61, a contradiction with  $v \leq 4096$ .

Let  $\mu = 14$ . Then r = (k+11)/14,  $k+36 = (2u+1)^2$ , therefore  $k = 4u^2 + 4u - 35$ , n = 2u - 5 and m = 2u + 7. Further,  $f = (2u+7)((2u^2+2u-12)/7-1)(2u^2+2u-17)/(2u+1)$ , (2u+1, 2u+7) divides 6 and  $(2u^2+2u-17, 2u+1) = (u-17, 2u+1)$  divides 35.

If u = 7s + 2, then  $(14s + 5, 14s^2 + 10s - 1) = (14s + 5, 5s - 1)$  divides 39 and 14s + 5 divides  $15 \cdot 39$ , a contradiction with the condition  $v \le 4096$ .

If u = 7s - 3, then  $(14s - 5, 14s^2 - 4s - 1) = (14s - 5, s - 1)$  divides 9, and so, 14s - 5 divides 135, s = 1, n = 3, m = 15, a contradiction with  $m \le n^2$ .

**Lemma 8.** If  $15 \le \mu \le 17$ , then  $\Gamma$  has the intersection array  $\{147, 144, 1; 1, 16, 147\}$ .

Proof. Let  $\mu = 15$ . Then r = (k+12)/15,  $4k + 169 = (2u+1)^2$ , therefore  $k = u^2 + u - 42$ , n = u - 6 and m = u + 7. Further,  $f = (u + 7)((u^2 + u - 30)/15 - 1)(u^2 + u - 41)/(2u + 1)$ , (2u + 1, u + 7) divides 13 and  $(u^2 + u - 41, 2u + 1) = (u - 82, 2u + 1)$  divides 165.

If u = 15s, then  $(30s + 1, 15s^2 + s - 3) = (30s + 1, s - 6)$  divides 181 and 30s + 1 divides  $11 \cdot 13 \cdot 181$ , a contradiction with the condition  $v \leq 4096$ .

If u = 15s - 1, then  $(30s - 1, 15s^2 - s - 3) = (30s - 1, s + 6)$  divides 181 and 30s - 1 divides 11  $\cdot 13 \cdot 181$ , a contradiction with  $v \leq 4096$ .

If u = 15s + 5, then  $(30s + 11, 15s^2 + 11s - 1) = (30s + 11, 11s - 2)$  divides 181 and 30s + 11 divides  $11 \cdot 13 \cdot 181$ , a contradiction with the condition  $v \leq 4096$ .

If u = 15s - 6, then  $(30s - 11, 15s^2 - 11s - 1) = (30s - 11, 11s + 2)$  divides 181 and 30s - 11 divides  $11 \cdot 13 \cdot 181$ , a contradiction with  $v \leq 4096$ .

Let  $\mu = 16$ . Then r = (k+13)/16,  $k+49 = 4u^2$ , therefore  $k = 4u^2 - 49$ , n = 2u - 7 and m = 2u + 7. Further,  $f = (2u+7)((u^2-9)/4-1)(u^2-12)/u$ , (2u+7, u) divides 7 and  $(u, u^2-12)$  divides 12. Consequently, u = 2s + 1,  $(2s + 1, s^2 + s - 3) = (2s + 1, s - 6)$  divides 13 and 2s + 1 divides  $21 \cdot 13$ , hence, s = 3 and  $\Gamma$  has the intersection array  $\{147, 144, 1; 1, 16, 147\}$ .

Let  $\mu = 17$ . Then r = (k + 14)/17,  $4k + 225 = (2u + 1)^2$ , therefore  $k = u^2 + u - 56$ , n = u - 7 and m = u + 8. Further,  $f = (u + 8)((u^2 + u - 42)/7 - 1)(u^2 + u - 55)/(2u + 1)$ , (2u + 1, u + 8) divides 15 and  $(u^2 + u - 55, 2u + 1) = (u - 110, 2u + 1)$  divides 221. Hence, u = 7s - 1,  $(14s - 1, 7s^2 - s - 7) = (14s - 1, s + 14)$  divides 197 and 14s - 1 divides  $15 \cdot 221 \cdot 197$ , a contradiction with the condition  $v \leq 4096$ .

**Lemma 9.** If  $18 \leq \mu \leq 20$ , then  $\Gamma$  has the intersection array  $\{143, 140, 1; 1, 20, 143\}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mu = 18$ . Then r = (k + 15)/18,  $k + 256 = (2u + 1)^2$ , hence  $k = 4u^2 + 4u - 255$ , n = 2u - 6 and m = 2u + 8. Further,  $f = (u + 4)((2u^2 + 2u - 120)/9 - 1)(4u^2 + 4u - 255)/(2u + 1)$ , (2u + 1, u + 4) divides 7 and  $(4u^2 + 4u - 255, 2u + 1) = (2u - 255, 2u + 1)$  divides 256.

If u = 9s + 2, then  $(18s + 5, 18s^2 + 10s - 13) = (18s + 5, 5s - 13)$  divides 259 and 18s + 5 divides  $7 \cdot 259$ , a contradiction with  $v \leq 4096$ .

If u = 9s - 3, then  $(18s - 5, 18s^2 - 10s - 13) = (18s - 5, 5s + 13)$  divides 259 and 18s - 5 divides  $7^2 \cdot 37$ , a contradiction with  $v \leq 4096$ .

Let  $\mu = 19$ . Then r = (k+16)/19,  $4k+289 = (2u+1)^2$ , therefore  $k = u^2 + u - 72$ , n = u - 8 and m = u + 9. Further,  $f = (u+9)((u^2 + u - 56)/19 - 1)(u^2 + u - 71)/(2u+1)$ , (2u+1, u+9) divides 17 and  $(2u+1, u^2 + u - 71)$  divides 285.

If u = 19s + 7, then  $(38s + 15, 19s^2 + 15s - 1) = (38s + 15, 15s - 2)$  divides 301 and 38s + 15 divides  $15 \cdot 17 \cdot 301$ , a contradiction with  $v \leq 4096$ .

If u = 19s - 8, then  $(38s - 15, 19s^2 - 15s - 1) = (38s - 15, 15s + 2)$  divides 301 and 38s - 15 divides  $15 \cdot 17 \cdot 301$ , a contradiction with  $v \leq 4096$ .

Let  $\mu = 20$ . Then r = (k + 17)/20,  $k + 81 = 4u^2$ , hence  $k = 4u^2 + 4u - 81$ , n = 2u - 9 and m = 2u + 9. Further,  $f = (2u + 9)((u^2 + u - 16)/5 - 1)(u^2 + u - 20)/u$ , (2u + 9, u) divides 9 and  $(u^2 + u - 20, u)$  divides 20. Consequently, u = 5s + 2,  $(5s + 2, 5s^2 + 5s - 3) = (5s + 2, 3s - 3)$  divides 21 and 5s + 2 divides  $21 \cdot 36$ , therefore s = 1 and  $\Gamma$  has the intersection array {143, 140, 1; 1, 20, 143}. The Lemma is proven.

Computer calculations show that there is no admissible intersection array in the case  $\mu \ge 21$ . The Theorem, and also the corresponding Corollary with it, are thus proven.

This work is partially supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (project No. 12-01-00012), by the joint RFBR-GFEN (China) grant # 12-01-91155, by Programs of the Branch of Mathematics of the Russian Academy of Sciences (project No. 12-T-1-1003), by the Joint Research Programs of the Ural Branch and the Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences (project No. 12-C-1-1018), and by a joint grant with the National Academy of Sciences of Belarus (project No. 12-C-1-1009).

### References

- V.P.Burichenko, A.A.Makhnev, On amply regular locally cyclic graphs, Modern problems of mathematics, Abstracts of the 42nd All-Russian Youth Conference, IMM UB RAS, Yekaterinburg, 2011, 11–14.
- [2] K.Coolsaeet, Local structure of graphs with  $\lambda = \mu = 2$ ,  $a_2 = 4$ , Combinatorica, 15(1971), no. 4, 481–487.
- [3] M.S.Nirova, On antipodal distance-regular graphs of diameter 3 with  $\mu = 1$ , Doklady Rossiiskoi Akademii Nauk, 448(2013), no. 4, 392–395 (in Russian).
- [4] A.E.Brouwer, A.M.Cohen, A.Neumaier, Distance-Regular Graphs, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1989.
- [5] R.C.Bous, T.A.Dowling, A generalization of Moore graphs of diameter 2, J. Comb. Theory (B), 11(1971), 213–226.

## О дистанционно–регулярных графах с $\lambda = 2$

Александр А. Махнев Марина С. Нирова

В.П. Буриченко и А.А. Махнев нашли массивы пересечений дистанционно регулярных графов с  $\lambda = 2, \mu > 1$  и числом вершин не большим 1000. Ранее вторым автором найдены массивы пересечений антиподальных дистанционно-регулярных графов диаметра 3 с  $\lambda \leq 2$  и  $\mu = 1$ . В данной статье найдены возможные массивы пересечений дистанционно-регулярных графов с  $\lambda = 2$  и не более 4096 вершинами.

Ключевые слова: дистанционно-регулярный граф, почти п-угольник.