

Nesting Biology of Lesser Canada Geese, *Branta canadensis parvipes*, along the Tanana River, Alaska

CRAIG R. ELY¹, JOHN M. PEARCE¹, and ROGER W. RUESS²

¹Alaska Science Center, U.S. Geological Survey, 4210 University Drive, Anchorage, Alaska 99508 USA

²Institute of Arctic Biology, 211 Irving Building, University of Alaska, Fairbanks, Alaska 99775 USA

Ely, Craig R., John M. Pearce, and Roger W. Ruess. 2008. Nesting biology of Lesser Canada Geese, *Branta canadensis parvipes*, along the Tanana River, Alaska. *Canadian Field-Naturalist* 122(1): 29-33.

Lesser Canada Geese (*Branta canadensis parvipes*) are widespread throughout interior regions of Alaska and Canada, yet there have been no published studies documenting basic aspects of their nesting biology. We conducted a study to determine reproductive parameters of Lesser Canada Geese nesting along the Tanana River near the city of Fairbanks, in interior Alaska. Fieldwork was conducted in May of 2003, and consisted of locating nests along the riparian corridor between Fairbanks and Northpole, Alaska. Nests were found on gravel islands and shore habitats along the Tanana River, and were most commonly observed among driftwood logs associated with patches of alder (*Alnus* spp.) and willow (*Salix* spp.). Peak of nest initiation was 3-8 May, with a range from 27 April to 20 May; renesting was likely. Clutches ranged in size from 2 to 7 eggs and averaged 4.6 eggs. There was a negative correlation between clutch size and date of nest initiation. Egg size (\bar{x} mass = 128 g) was similar to other medium-sized Canada Geese. A positive correlation between egg size and clutch size was likely related to female age. Nineteen of 28 nests (68%) were active when visited; nests located on islands with nesting Mew Gulls (*Larus canus*) were more likely to be active than nests located elsewhere. Evidence at nest sites implicated Bald Eagles (*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*) and Red Foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*) as nest predators.

Key Words: Lesser Canada Goose, *Branta canadensis parvipes*, clutch, eggs, nesting ecology, Tanana River, Alaska.

Of the different subspecies of Canada Geese (*Branta canadensis*) that breed in Alaska and across northern Canada, the Lesser Canada Goose (*B. c. parvipes*) occupies the largest geographic area. Although the extent of the nesting distribution of Lesser Canada Geese is not completely known, their core breeding range is presumed to be interior Alaska, through Yukon Territory, northern British Columbia, northern Alberta, and western Northwest Territories [NWT] (Figure 1; Grieb 1970; Bellrose 1976; Johnson et al. 1979; Mowbray et al. 2002; Pearce and Bollinger 2003; Scribner et al. 2003). Lesser Canada Geese overlap with the breeding distribution of Taverner's Cackling Geese (*B. hutchinsii taverneri*) in northern and western Alaska, and with Richardson's Cackling Geese (*B. h. hutchinsii*) across northern and eastern NWT and Nunavut (Dickson 2000; Hines et al. 2000; U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2003). Despite this wide breeding distribution, there have been no published investigations of the nesting ecology of Canada Geese in interior regions of northern Canada or Alaska (Bellrose 1976; Mowbray et al. 2002). Until this study, information on the reproductive attributes of *B. c. parvipes* has largely been inferred from studies in northern Canada of coastal-nesting *B. h. hutchinsii* (MacInnes 1962; MacInnes et al. 1974; Carriere et al. 1999). An increased understanding of the breeding biology of Canada Geese nesting in interior areas of Alaska and northwestern Canada will extend our appreciation of the diversity and adaptability of this ubiquitous goose and eventually lead to population management that can account for factors influencing reproductive performance.

Study Area and Methods

The study area was located in the Tanana River floodplain ecosystem, near Fairbanks, Alaska (64°45'N 147°27'W), in the Fairbanks Northstar borough (Figure 1). Annual spring flooding scours the waterway and deposits driftwood on gravel bars and islands, braids stream channels, and maintains an early succession vegetation structure.

We visited the study area once in May 2003. Nests were located by travelling along the river by jet boat. Based on the number of geese and nests observed during initial searches, we focused subsequent search efforts within likely habitat areas using subjective criteria. A nest without feathers or eggs was not deemed active in the current nesting season unless the nest bowl was well developed and contained enough new nest material to cover an egg, as geese commonly make more than one scrape while selecting a suitable nest site but do not add grass to the bowl until an egg is laid (Mowbray et al. 2002). We determined the age of the eggs in the nest either by candling the eggs (Weller 1956) or by floating them to determine air cell volume (Westerskov 1950; Walter and Rusch 1997). When floating eggs, we identified 10 different float stages (four more divisions than Walter and Rusch 1997); estimates of nest age likely were accurate within < 3 days (C. Ely, unpublished data on *B. h. minima*). Nest initiation dates were calculated by back-dating, assuming an incubation period of 26 days and one egg laid every 30 hours (Mowbray et al. 2002).

Egg volume (mL) was determined using the equation $L \times B^2 \times K$, where K is an egg-shape constant




FIGURE 1. Location of Lesser Canada Goose study area on the Tanana River, Alaska, and breeding distribution of *B. canadensis parvipes* (after Grieb 1970; Bellrose 1976; Johnson et al. 1979; Mowbray et al. 2002).

determined to be 0.000556 for Canada Geese (Hoyt 1979; Mowbray et al. 2002). We tested for differences in nesting success between locations using a Fisher's Exact Test modification of a Chi-Square analysis (SAS Institute 1989). The relationship between clutch size and laying date was tested using regression analysis (SAS Institute 1989).

Results and Discussion

Nest Initiation

Timing of initiation of first nests varied from 27 April to 20 May, with a peak of 3-8 May (Figure 2). Timing of nesting in northern geese varies with habitat conditions (Raveling 1978). Habitat conditions during our study were likely similar to an average year. River ice broke on the Tanana River at Nenana, Alaska (about 80 km downstream from the study area) on 29 April in 2003, similar to the long-term average (\bar{x} = 1 May, range 21 April – 15 May; 1990 – 2002;

National Snow and Ice Data Center 2003). Our sample of nest initiation dates hence likely represents an average year. Extended periods of nest initiation are common in Cackling and Canada goose populations that frequently renest, and we suspect that nests initiated after 12 May were re-nests (see below).

Nest Sites and Habitat

Geese selected sites on large (>100 m) islands within the Tanana flood plain. Island substrates were largely composed of gravel, sand and small boulders, with little organic matter accumulation due to a high frequency of dynamic flooding. Vegetation on islands reflected soil types and was dominated by early succession alder (*Alnus* spp.), willow (*Salix* spp.), cottonwood and poplar (*Populus* spp.). Soils were well-drained and hence few graminoids were present. White Spruce (*Picea glauca*) was abundant on the perimeter of the flood plain. Goose nests were commonly




FIGURE 2. Timing of nest initiation of Lesser Canada Geese along the Tanana River, Alaska in 2003.




FIGURE 3. Distribution of clutch sizes of Lesser Canada Geese nesting along the Tanana River, Alaska in 2003.

found among driftwood logs that had been deposited during high water on the Tanana River. A few islands of slightly higher elevation were dominated by large cottonwoods; on those islands organic soils supported a thick understory of vegetation, which often included wild rose (*Rosa* spp.) and various grasses and forbs. Nests on those islands were located on the perimeter of the island – presumably to improve predator detection.

Use of riverine habitats by nesting Canada Geese is common throughout their breeding range, and has been particularly noted for *B. c. moffitti* in the Pacific Northwest (Culbertson and Buss 1971; Reese et al. 1987). T. Barry (*in* Grieb 1970) reported that *B. c. parvipes* nesting in the Mackenzie drainage of Northwest Territories and northern Alberta used mostly streambanks, islands in rivers, and Beaver (*Castor canadensis*) ponds, and stated that they “definitely prefer faster moving water to nest by”. In contrast, *B. h. hutchinsii* and *B. h. taverneri* generally nest on coastal flats or tundra habitat (Grieb 1970; Johnson et al. 1979). However, much needs to be learned about the distribution of the different subspecies of northern-nesting Canada and Cackling geese before any variation in habitat preferences can be fully understood, as the breeding range of *B. c. parvipes* abuts (and overlaps with?) *B. h. taverneri* in the west and *B. h. hutchinsii* in northeastern Alaska, northern Yukon, Northwest Territories, and Nunavut (Mowbray et al. 2002).

Clutch Size

The mean clutch size was 4.58 ± 0.33 (SE) eggs (Figure 3). Clutches were slightly larger than reported for *B. h. hutchinsii* in Canada (4.3 eggs; MacInnes et al. 1974), and similar to clutch sizes of *B. h. taverneri* in Alaska (4.5 – 4.6 eggs on Colville River Alaska, 4.6 eggs on Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta, and 5.9 on the Seward Peninsula; Mowbray et al. 2002). Early nesting birds laid more eggs than birds nesting later, which led to a seasonal decline in clutch size ($F_{1,16} = 6.60$, $P = 0.02$; Figure 4). Such a phenomenon is common

in waterfowl and thought to be related to female age (older females generally nest earlier than inexperienced breeders; Aldrich and Raveling 1983) and the lesser energy reserves later-nesting females have available to devote to egg formation (Alisauskas and Ankney 1992).

Egg Size

Eggs had a mean length of 79.7 ± 0.39 mm (SE) and a width of 53.74 ± 0.17 mm. Mean egg volume of 128.12 mL was similar to eggs of *B. h. taverneri* from the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta (121 mL; B. McCaffery, personal communication; Mowbray et al. 2002), and the North Slope of Alaska (123 mL; Western Foundation of Vertebrate Zoology, unpublished data), and *B. c. parvipes* from Cook Inlet (125 mL; J. Hupp, unpublished data) and *B. h. hutchinsii* nesting in northern Nunavut (124 mL; MacInnes and Dunn 1988). Egg volume was positively correlated with clutch size ($r = 0.425$, $P < 0.0001$; $n = 80$ eggs in 19 nests), as might be expected given that both egg size and clutch size increase with female age in Canada Geese (Mowbray et al. 2002).

Nest Predation

Nineteen of 28 nests (68%) were active when visited. We visited nests only once, so estimates of nest success are only an index, and only indicative of true nesting success if: (1) active and inactive nests were equally likely to be detected, and (2) all nests active at the time of their discovery survived the remainder of the nesting period. It is unlikely that both these assumptions were met, so our estimates of nesting success are likely inflated. Evidence at destroyed nests implicated Bald Eagles (*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*) and Red Foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*) as nest predators; both are common predators of Canada Goose eggs in Alaska (Anthony et al. 2004). Additional predators that are common in the area and could cause nest loss included Wolves (*Canis lupus*), Coyotes (*Canis latrans*), Grizzly Bears (*Ursus horribilis*) and American Marten

- Johnson, D. H., D. E. Timm, and P. F. Springer.** 1979. Morphological characteristics of Canada Geese in the Pacific Flyway. Pages 56-80 in *Management and biology of Pacific Flyway geese*. Edited by R. L. Jarvis and J. C. Bartonek, Oregon State University Book Stores, Inc, Corvallis.
- MacInnes, C. D.** 1962. Nesting of small Canada Geese near Eskimo Point, Northwest Territories. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 26: 247-256.
- MacInnes, C. D., R. A. Davis, R. N. Jones, B. C. Loeff, and A. J. Pakulak.** 1974. Reproductive efficiency of McConnell River small Canada Geese. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 38: 686-707.
- MacInnes, C. D., and E. H. Dunn.** 1988. Components of clutch size variation in arctic-nesting Canada Geese. *Condor* 90: 83-89.
- Mowbray, T. B., C. R. Ely, J. S. Sedinger, and R. E. Trost.** 2002. Canada Goose (*Branta canadensis*). In *The Birds of North America*. (682) Edited by A. Poole and F. Gill. The Birds of North America, Inc., Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.** 2003. Alaska Pacific River Forecast Center. Tanana River at Fairbanks. National Weather Service. Silver Spring, Maryland.
- National Snow and Ice Data Center.** 2003. Nenana Ice Classic: Tanana River Ice Annual Breakup Dates. National Snow and Ice Data Center. Digital media. Boulder, Colorado.
- Pearce, J. M., and K. S. Bollinger.** 2003. Morphological characteristics of Pacific Canada Geese to aid identification and management. *Journal of Field Ornithology* 74: 357-369.
- Quinn, J. L., J. Prop, Y. Kokorev, and J. M. Black.** 2003. Predator protection or similar habitat selection in Red-breasted Goose nesting associations: extremes along a continuum. *Animal Behavior* 65: 297-307.
- Raveling, D. G.** 1978. The timing of egg laying by northern geese. *Auk* 95: 294-303.
- Reese, K. P., J. A. Kadlec, and L. M. Smith.** 1987. Characteristics of islands selected by nesting Canada Geese, *Branta canadensis*. *Canadian Field-Naturalist* 101: 539-542.
- S.A.S. Institute.** 1989. SAS/STAT user's guide. Release 6.04 ed. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, North Carolina.
- Scribner, K. T., S. L. Talbot, J. M. Pearce, B. J. Pierson, K. S. Bollinger, and D. V. Derksen.** 2003. Phylogeography of Canada Geese (*Branta canadensis*) in western North America. *Auk* 120: 889-907.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.** 2003. Waterfowl population status, 2003. U.S. Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C. 53 pages.
- Walter, S.E., and D. H. Rusch.** 1997. Accuracy of egg flotation in determining age of Canada Goose nests. *Wildlife Society Bulletin* 25: 854-857.
- Weller, M. W.** 1956. A simple field candler for waterfowl eggs. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 20: 111-113.
- Westerskov, K.** 1950. Methods for determining the age of game bird eggs. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 14: 56-67.

Received 28 April 2006

Accepted 5 September 2008