AFEE

ADBU Journal of Electrical and Electronics Engineering (AJEEE) | Volume 3, Issue 2 | November 2019

Evaluation and Improvement of Distribution System Reliability Indices using ETAP Software

K. Raju¹, P. Praveen Kumar², G.N. Srinivas³

^{1,2}Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, TKR College of Engineering and Technology, Meerpet, Balapur, Hyderabad. ¹kadururaju@tkrcet.com*, praveenkumarpentamalla@gmail.com²

³Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, JNTUH College of Engineering, Hyderabad. ³gnsgns.srinivas785@gmail.com

Abstract: Reliability assessment is the most important factor in designing and planning of distribution system that should operate in an economical manner with minimal interruption of customer loads. This is due to the fact that the distribution system provides the final link between a utility transmission system and its customers. It is observed that more than 80% of all customer interruptions occur (i.e., power quality issues) due to component failures in the distribution system. That report quantifies the expected reliability indices such as interruption frequency and interruption duration during the entire year. Many research findings are out there to assess the reliability of the power system. Further, due to the wide growth of distributed generation in electrical power, investigating their impact on system reliability, it becomes an attractive area of research. In this paper, the reliability evaluation of distribution system using a minimal cut set method based on the FMEA technique is described and applied to the IEEE RBTS Bus-2 and Indian practical distribution system (33/11 kV). Development of ETAP software is presented for calculating reliability indices. Further, improvement of reliability with introducing of Distributed Generation is presented. Reliability indices are load point indices and system indices which includes, System Average Interruption Frequency Indices (SAIFI), System Average Interruption Duration Indices (SAIDI), Customer Average Interruption Frequency Indices (CAIFI), Customer Average Interruption Duration Indices (CAIDI), Energy Not Supplied (ENS), Average Energy Not Supplied (AENS), etc. These indices are shows the reliability performance of the system.

Keywords: Distributed Generation; IEEE RBTS BUS 2; Indian practical Parigi distribution system (33/11 kV); Reliability indices of Distribution System.

Open Access. Copyright ©Authors(s). Distributed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC-BY). Article history- Received: 16 August 2019 and Accepted: 11 October 2019.

1. Introduction

The distribution device is a hyperlink related among to the transmission line and load point. The energy failure occurs came about due to the supply system failure and cargo point customers failures. In beyond years distribution device turned into supplied less and terrible strength to the patron's call for the environment and society maximum impact due to the generated energy. The electricity system has a purpose of supplying the electricity without any interruption, satisfactory energy, customers fulfil, users demand and customers proper price. The unavailability of the purchaser delivers within the distribution of system failure. The updated, new generation, improvement, financial growth inside the electricity system many countries are targeted at the distribution device reliability. The transmission and distribution structures are the generated strength is supplied to the reliable strength to customers call for pride and

with none running price. The many cases deliver failure, bad energy best, and client interruption passed off because of the distribution system are imparting the unreliable energy to customers; then purchaser was given much less satisfactory electricity.

Energy outage is impacting at the monetary and software of the customers. The unreliable energy supply without delay effects on the energy nice, environments, economic and customers. The distribution reliable strength development many authors were investigated and plenty of one of a kind technic using many software programs, loss of equipment, manipulate and hold the reliable power and energy highquality. In view of the above problems, distributed generation is introduced in the distribution system.

The distribution reliability indices of the electric power distribution device reliability

strength carried out by using the distribution device strength and distribution automation system it's supplied the device-extensive status and health tracking [1]. This implement using the (R-APDRP) restructured extended power improvement and reforms program and (IPDS) incorporated energy improvement scheme by means of the usage of this distribution system networks utility of electrical non-compulsory issues have been the reduced. This implements the managed exceptional and reliable of the delivery. The drawbacks of previous work based totally on that used software for calculating reliability indices. The interruption amassed from the log e-book of the feeder Indian Electrical application and modelled the CYMDIST software program. Using this software program discussed the effects analyzed.

Based on the climate circumstance of the substation modelled the reliability, the reliability of substation parameters operating weather situations [2]. In this the low voltage, the feeder becomes converted to the excessive voltage feeder and it turned into reliability overall performance became evaluated. In this FMEA technique was used to assess the load factor and system overall performance reliability indices.

The distribution system reliability impacts the clients [3]. Each consumer scattering case becomes analyzed for determined reliability indices using the device with distribution generators and the system without distribution generator and the outcomes had been compared. The outcomes confirmed that point changed into laid low with the optimum region of distribution generator hooked up various client scattering and recuperation. In this, reliability assessment taken into consideration time calculated each load point with distribution generator and circle of relatives positioned. The fault befell in a segment of the feeder the circuit breaker changed into mechanically opened. Improve the system reliability in electrical distribution networks approached the most useful allocation of distribution generator, genetic set of the rule's optimization approach. The interruption fee supply electricity to the customers that considered distinctive consuming devices.

The fundamentals of the electric distribution system for training purposes, educate the energy system reliability indices assessment [4]. This was applied a sensible system of fundamental elements of the device, reliability checking out device is nearly carried out to the substation system modelled and evaluated the reliability indices, the effects discussed and analyzed.

The modern electricity system improves the reliability energy with the aid of adding the distribution generator at load it's far minimized some of the interruptions inside the distribution device network [5]. The distribution generator is the opportunity supply of distributed system network and load factor, the interruption time of system distribution generator supply to loads. The distribution issue of system fuses, disconnectors, and distribution generators brought to the device to enhance the reliability of electricity. Performance measure reliability, keep cash accurately and purchaser election. The electrical enterprise evolved to degree device and reliability overall performance of the system. This is mentioned distribution system reliability indices observe and analysis.

Reliability indices of electrical distribution assessment dependability network system evaluation of appropriation foundation based unwavering quality measurements on being registered in accordance with the field information accumulated for an investigation period [6]. Both account and quantitative unwavering quality portrayals of dispersion infrastructural expenses ought to be utilized so as to proffer sound operational methods of reasoning went for protecting productive, secure, solid and amazing power conveyance to purchasers. We along these lines emphasize the significant centrality of a dependable dispersion system tied down on sound arranging theory and usage procedure just as the appropriation of present-day dissemination computerization framework.

Electricity Act 2003 / Electricity (Amendment) Act, 2014, Government of India, Ministry of Law and justice accompanying Demonstration of Parliament got the consent of the President on the 26th May 2003 and is thus distributed for general data [7]. A Demonstration to solidify the laws identifying with age, transmission, appropriation, exchanging and utilization of power and for the most part for taking estimates helpful for advancement of power industry, advancing challenge in that, securing enthusiasm of purchasers and supply of power to all regions, legitimization of power duty, guaranteeing straightforward arrangements with respect to endowments, advancement of effective and ecologically generous strategies, constitution of Focal Power Specialist, Administrative Commissions and foundation of Redrafting Council what's more, for issues associated therewith or coincidental.

Predicting distribution system performance So as to guarantee that the changing utility condition does not unfavourably influence



the unwavering quality of electric power provided to clients, a few state administrative offices have begun to endorse unwavering quality measures least dependability levels to be kept up by electric power dispersion organizations [8]. The gauges depend on unwavering quality files registered from authentic blackout information. The unwavering quality files shift from year to year on the grounds that of the factual variety in the number of client intrusion, what's more, the length of such intrusions. To be successful, the unwavering quality models embraced must distinguish feeders that reliably perform inadequately, while being obtuse toward those that infrequently have poor dependability. In this utilizes a term-based Monte Carlo recreation to investigate the anticipated effect of different unwavering quality benchmarks on a huge down to earth dissemination framework. The affectability of various guidelines to contrasts in framework size and segment disappointment rate is likewise investigated.

Modeling and Analysis of Distribution Reliability Indices Evaluation of client power supply unwavering quality is a significant piece of conveyance framework activity and arranging [9]. Monte Carlo reenactments can be utilized to locate the factual conveyance of the unwavering quality files, alongside their mean and standard deviation. The standard deviation of the unwavering quality records furnishes circulation engineers with data on the normal scope of the yearly qualities. Be that as it may, the Monte Carlo recreation more often than not is a tedious calculation. Further, a productive Monte Carlo recreation technique for appropriation framework unwavering quality evaluation is introduced. Examination of blackout information from a handy dispersion framework is performed to decide the disappointment and fix models proper for use in the Monte Carlo recreation. The affectability of the unwavering quality lists to the decision of model is displayed. At long last, the effect of insurance systems on the factual dissemination of Framework Normal Intrusion Recurrence Record (SAIFI) for a down to earth conveyance feeder is introduced.

System Reliability Concept Framework approach to demonstrating and examination has been increasing much significance in the course of recent decades [10]. Frameworks Society of India has likewise been started long back and the creator is additionally an individual from the general public. The creator has done his exploration work in the region of framework unwavering quality applications to control framework systems. He has the chance to broaden the work to different fields of utilizations like Mechanical Designing, Software engineering, Transportations issues, and so on. In mechanical building issues, the maximal-stream insignificant cut hypothesis has been utilized which depends on the cut sets of systems. Cut sets are widely utilized in creating hypotheses of electrical circuit examination at first. Cut sets are likewise valuable in framework examination of the likelihood of disappointment. Further, real points of interest of the cutest approach are that they legitimately speak to the disappointment methods of the frameworks. In this way, not just frameworks can be dissected utilizing cut set, and criticality examination can likewise be managed.

Assessment of Reliability in the power distribution system it is a calculation accessible to assess the circulation arrange dependability in the downtown region as per the present activity conditions [11]. New sensible records are displayed to compute the circulation arrange dependability and an appraisal result is given remove a portion of Beijing downtown zone for instance. A quick and compelling strategy to pass judgment on the feeders' extra limits and to improve the administration reclamation is given, which prompts discover the frail focuses in the dispersion arrange and gives the advisers for the improvement of the power supply security and unwavering quality.

The impact of distributed energy resources on the reliability of smart distribution system the incorporation of Disseminated Vitality Assets (DER) in power frameworks can give the chance to supply power to clients all the more proficiently and viably [12]. The DER incorporates Disseminated Age (DG) and Request Side Administration (DSM). In a keen framework consolidating computerized control and appropriated vitality frameworks, a successful DSM can ease the pinnacle burden and move some portion of the interest to off-crest hours. The point of this exploration is to evaluate the effect of the DG and the DSM on the dependability of a brilliant appropriation framework by breaking down various Contextual investigations. Roy Billinton test framework RBTS Bus2 is utilized to approve contextual analyses which are executed as a piece of this paper. For progressively down to earth contemplations a change of the RBTS Bus2 model is created to survey the framework unwavering quality.

The appropriation framework is inclined to disappointments and unsettling influences due to component disappointments [13]. Disseminated age (DG) goes about as a reinforcement source to guarantee the unwavering quality of electric power supplies. In this research, the authors proposed an investigative technique, which is a restrictive likelihood approach. This method used to and the unwavering quality lists of RBTS Transport with various DGs. The estimation of DG situating as a



AJEEE

reinforcement generator is measured as far as its commitment to the unwavering quality improvement in a circulation organize. The unwavering quality improvement is watched dependent on dependability lists that incorporate SAIFI, SAIDI, CAIDI, and ENS. Furthermore, the estimation of DG installed at different areas on the feeder from the substation, just as the effects of introducing, accumulated DGs and numerous DGs are presented.

In this paper, we determine the reliability indices of distribution systems with distributed generation using FMEA method and ETAP software. This paper can be summarized in the following way: section 2 discusses the reliability indices. Section 3 describes the IEEE RBTS Bus 2 model and Indian PARIGI Distribution system research system model that is used in case studies in this research. Section 4 summarizes the results of the different case studies that all conduct in this paper. Section 5 presents the conclusion.

2. Reliability indices

The system reliability indices evaluation is classed into 3 types they're; sections, lateral distribution and load points. The distribution system community consists of the extraordinary components, deliver, busbars, circuit breakers, transformers, switches, disconnectors, reclosers and fuses; all components are required to connect system [14].

2.1 Reliability Indices of Distribution System

2.1.1 Load Point Indices

Annual foundation or normally determine the load point indices. The calculating indices took any particular year values or random values, and features of the failure of the component quote repair time, switching time and restoration time in the 12 months. The load point indices are three parts load factor average failure rate (λ_s) , common annual outage time (U_s) and common outage time (r_s) .

A. Average failure rate (λ_s)

The average failure rate is calculated by means of the all phase failure price of distribution system feeders.

Average failure rate (λ_s) = Total sum of section failure rate

Average failure rate $(\lambda_s) = \sum_i \lambda i$ (failure/year) (2.1)

B. Average annual outage time (u_s)

The common failure charge is calculated through the all segment failure rate and repair of time of distribution system feeders. Average annual outage time (U_s) = Section failure rate * Repair of time Average annual outage time (U_s)

 $= \sum_{i} \lambda_{i} * r_{i} \text{ (hours / year)}$ (2.2)

C. Average outage time (r_s)

The average outage time is calculated through the ratio of the Average annual outage time (U_s) and Average failure rate (λ_s) of distribution system feeders.

Average outage time (r_s) = $\frac{\text{Average annual outage time (U_s)}}{\text{Average failure rate }(\lambda_s)}$

Average outage time (r_s) = $\frac{U_S}{\lambda_S} = \frac{\sum_i \lambda_i * r_i}{\sum_i \lambda_i}$ (hours/ interruption) (2.3)

2.1.2 System Reliability Indices

The customer factor of distribution system indices affected by the overall system supply, overall performance, responses, and behaviour. The distribution system primary reliability indices are the following:

D. System average interruption frequency index (SAIFI)

The index constitutes the common value of interruption frequency within the distribution system that consequences customers inside the 12 months. In a location modified in the enclosed the variety of purchasers and interruption revel in. SAIFI is the average fee of interruption frequency inside the system that affects clients all through the yr. The equation is shown system common interruption frequency index.

SAIFI =
$$\frac{Total \text{ number of customers interrptions}}{Total \text{ number of customers served}}$$

$$SAIFI = \frac{\sum \lambda_i N_i}{\sum N_i}$$
 (interruptions/customer year) (2.4)

E. System average interruption duration index (SAIDI)

The index represents the device average interruption of consumer period in a year. SAIDI is the common fee of outage length inside the system that affects customers at some stage in the year. The equation for the system average interruption duration index is

$$SAIDI = \frac{\text{Total number of customer served}}{SAIDI} = \frac{\Sigma U_i N_i}{N_T} \text{ (hours/ customers year)} \quad (2.5)$$

where N_i is the number of customers and U_i is the annual outage time for location i, and N_T is the total number of customers served.

F. Customer average interruption duration index (CAIDI)

The index represents the system customer common interruption duration in a year. CAIDI is the ratio of the SAIDI and SAIFI. CAIDI is the average price of outage length time in the system that affects customers in step with interruption. The equation is shown the patron common interruption duration index.

$$CAIDI = \frac{\text{Sum of customer interruptions durations}}{Total \text{ number of customer interruptions}}$$

$$CAIDI = \frac{\sum U_i N_i}{\sum \lambda_i N_i}$$
 (hrs/customer interruption) (2.6)

G. Average service availability index (ASAI)

The index represents the common service availability index. The Average Service Availability Index (ASAI) is a reliability index commonly used by electric power utilities. ASAI is calculated as

$$ASAI = \frac{Customer hours of available service}{Customer hours demanded}$$

$$ASAI = \frac{\sum N_i * 8760 - \sum U_i N_i}{\sum N_i * 8760} \text{ (hr/customer yr)} \quad (2.7)$$

where N_i is the number of customers and U_i is the annual outage time (in hours) for location i.

H. Average service unavailability index (ASUI)

The index represents the average service unavailability index. To calculate the ASUI, the equation below provides the unavailability index.

$$ASUI = 1\text{-}ASAI = \frac{\text{Customer hours of unavailable service}}{\text{Customer hours demanded}}$$
$$ASUI = \frac{\Sigma U_i Ni}{\Sigma N_i * 8760} \quad (\text{hours/customer year}) \qquad (2.8)$$

I. Energy is not supplied index (ENS)

The index constitutes the energy isn't provided with the aid of the system, the calculation of ENS is proven equation energy no longer supplied. ENS = Total energy not supplied by the system

$$ENS = \sum L_{a(i)} U_i$$
 (KWH/ year) (2.9)

J. Average energy not supplied (AENS)

The index represents the average strength now not furnished by means of the system, the calculation of AENS is proven equation average energy not supplied.

$$AENS = \frac{Total \text{ energy not supplied}}{\text{Total number of customers served}}$$

$$AENS = \frac{\sum L_{a(i)} U_i}{\sum N_i} (\text{KWH/ customer year}) \quad (2.10)$$

3. Case Studies

In all the case studies consider IEEE RBTS BUS2 and PARGI distribution system with disconnectswith fuse- with alternative supply- with the repair of the transformer. The following case studies have been conducted.

- Case study-1: IEEE RBTS BUS2, with disconnects- with fuse- with alternative supply- with the repair of the transformer.
- Case Study-2: Modeling of IEEE RBTS Bus2 Using ETAP Software.
- Case Study-3: Reliability Indices of PARGI Distribution System, with disconnects- with fuse- with alternative supply- with the repair of the transformer.
- Case Study-4: Modeling of PARGI Distribution System Using ETAP Software.
- Case Study-5: IEEE RBTS BUS-2 Feeders with Distributed Generation Using ETAP Software
- Case Study-6: PARGI Distribution System with Distributed Generation Using ETAP Software

A HE F F



3.1 Development of algorithm for calculating IEEE RBTS BUS2 and PARGI DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM Reliabilty indices

Step1: Select the community system to a check.

- Step2: Identification of feeders, urban feeder, commercial feeder, Rural feeder, agriculture feeder, industrial feeder and residential feeder from the network system.
- Step3: Survey of the network system.
- Step4: Collect the interruption records, failure rate, restore time and additives information.
- Step5: Model the single line diagram of the network system.
- Step6: Reliability indices are calculated using the FMEA method.
- Step7: System network is modeled in ETAP software, Enter the failure rate, repair time, switch time, duration of feeder, score values of additives in the ETAP software program.
- Step8: Run the reliability assessment in the ETAP software.
- Step9: Calculation of reliability indices the usage of the ETAP software program.
- Step10: Reliability indices are calculated with distributed generation using FMEA method and ETAP software.
- Step11: Calculate all feeder reliability indices of the network system.
- Step12: The results of the reliability indices of the FMEA method compared to ETAP software results all feeder network system.
- 3.2 Case study-1 IEEE RBTS BUS2,with disconnects-with fuse-with alternative supply-with the repair of the transformer

Results obtained are tabulated in Tables 3 and 4.

PARGI distribution system without and

with distributed Generator.

Figure 2: Single line diagram of RBTS BUS2 system.

Table 1:	Results of load point indices RBTS BUS-
	2 FEEDER-4

	21000			
S. No.	LOAD	λ_{Lpi}	U_{LPi}	$\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{Lpi}}$
	POINT			
1	1	0.240	3.58	14.90
2	2	0.253	3.64	14.40
3	3	0.253	3.64	14.40
4	4	0.240	3.58	14.90
5	5	0.253	3.64	14.40
6	6	0.250	3.63	14.51
7	7	0.253	3.60	14.24
8	8	0.140	0.542	3.890
9	9	0.140	0.503	3.604
10	10	0.243	3.58	14.73
11	11	0.253	3.64	14.40
12	12	0.256	3.66	14.29
13	13	0.253	3.59	14.19
14	14	0.256	3.61	14.08
15	15	0.243	3.58	14.73
16	16	0.253	3.64	14.40
17	17	0.243	3.59	14.78
18	18	0.243	3.58	14.73
19	19	0.256	3.65	14.24
20	20	0.256	3.65	14.24
21	21	0.253	3.59	14.19
22	22	0.256	3.61	14.08

 Table 2: Results of system performance indices of IEEE RBTS BUS2

S.N0.	FEEDER NAME	SAIFI (interruption/ year)	SAIDI (hours/ year)	CAIDI (hours/interru ption)	ASAI (hours/ year)	ASUI (hours/ year)	ENS (KWH/ year)	AEN (KWH/ year)
1	F1	0.248	3.62	14.5349	0.999	0.000	1.31	0.20
					587	412	94	23
2	F2	0.140	0.52	3.7375	0.999	0.000	1.12	0.56
			3		402	597	49	24
3	F3	0.250	3.62	14.4667	0.999	0.000	11.2	0.01
					586	414	12	77
4	F4	0.247	3.61	14.38	0.999	0.000	12.2	0.09
					587	412	37	16

Table 2 shows that, the system performance of IEEE RBTS BUS2, F1, F2, F3 and F4. Indices are calculated using the FMEA technique.

3.3 Case Study-2 : Modelling of IEEE RBTS BUS2 USING ETAP software

Development of reliability modeling of IEEE RBTS BUS2, feeder1, feeder2, feeder3 and feeder4 are developed using ETAP software as shown in Fig. 3. The obtained results are tabulated in Table3.

Figure 3: IEEE RBTS BUS-2 FEEDER-2 modeled in ETAP software

S	FE	SAI	SA	CAI	AS	AS	Е	Α
	ED	FI	ID	DI	AI	UI	NS	Е
Ν	ER	(inte	Ι	(hour	(ho	(ho	(K	Ν
	NA	rrupt	(ho	s/inte	urs	urs/	W	(K
	Μ	ion/	urs	rrupti	/	yr)	H/	W
	Е	yr)	/	on)	yr)	-	yr)	H/
			yr)				-	yr)
1	F1	0.24	3.6	14.53	0.9	0.00	1.3	0.2
		8	2	49	99	041	19	02
					58	2	4	3
					7			
2	F2	0.14	0.5	3.737	0.9	0.00	1.1	0.5
		0	23	5	99	059	24	62
					40	7	9	4
					2			
3	F3	0.25	3.6	14.46	0.9	0.00	11.	0.0
		0	2	67	99	041	21	17
					58	4	2	7
					6			
4	F4	0.24	3.6	14.38	0.9	0.00	12.	0.0
		7	1		99	041	23	91
					58	2	7	6
					7			

 Table 3: Results of performance indices of IEEE

 RBTS BUS2

Table 3 shows that the performance indices of IEEE RBTS BUS2, F1, F2, F3, and F4 are calculated using ETAP software they obtained results are compared with FMEA technique, these results are the same.

3.4 Case Study-3: Reliability indices of PARGI distribution system

Consider PARGI distribution system (33/11KV) with disconnects- with fuse- with alternative supply- consider the repair of the transformer. The practical PARGI distribution sub-station length of the 11 kV feeder sections and 0.4 kV distribution component data are shown in Table 23. Calculated the reliability indices of the PARGI distribution system in the PARGI distribution system, 33/11KV it has the 154 no. of distribution transformer, 154no. of loads, 154 no. of fuses. PARGI distribution system has six feeders. The PARGI distribution system reliability indices calculated using the FMEA method. The obtained results are tabulated in table 4.

Table 4: Results of Reliability indices the PARGI distribution system including F1, F2, F3, F4, F5, and F6.

S	FEE	SA	SAI	CAI	AS	AS	Е	AE
	DER	IFI	DI	DI	AI	UI	NS	Ν
Ν	NAM	(int	(hou	(hou	(h	(h	(K	(K
	Ε	erru	rs/	rs/in	ou	ou	W	WH
		ptio	yr)	terru	rs/	rs/	Η/	/ yr)
		n/		ptio	yr)	yr)	yr)	
		yr)		n)				
1	F1,	0.1	3.42	19.1	0.9	0.0	18.	0.00
	IND	791	88	41	99	00	85	606
	UST				6	39	84	95
	ERIA							
	L							
2	F2,	0.4	3.99	8.60	0.9	0.0	24	0.09
	AGR	640	47	9	99	04	3.6	153
	ICUL				5	6	76	47
	TUR						7	
	AL							
3	F3,	0.5	4.19	7.80	0.9	0.0	18	0.15
	COM	370	05	3	99	04	4.3	718
	MER				5	8	82	841
	CIAL							
4	F4,	0.7	4.46	6.03	0.9	0.0	21	0.17
	RUR	408	96	4	99	00	4.5	789
	AL				5	51	40	453
							8	
5	F5,	0.6	4.17	6.95	0.9	0.0	37	0.13
	URB	005	35	0	99	04	1.4	033
	AN				5	8	41	035
							5	
6	F6,	0.5	4.13	8.17	0.9	0.0	21	0.18
	RESI	053	19	7	99	00	0.7	166
	NDE				5	47	26	112
	TIAL						9	

3.5 Case study-4: Modelling of PARGI distribution system using ETAP software

Development of reliability modeling of PARGI distribution system with disconnects, with fuses, with alternative supply and repair of the transformer using ETAP software. The obtained results are tabulated in Table 5.

 Table 5: Results of PARGI distribution system

 Performance indices using ETAP

S	FEE	SAI	SA	CA	AS	AS	Е	Α
	DER	FI	ID	IDI	AI	UI	NS	Е
Ν	NA	(int	Ι	(ho	(ho	(h	(K	Ν
	ME	erru	(h	urs/i	urs	ou	W	(K
		ptio	ou	nter	/	rs/	H/	W
		n/	rs/	rupt	yr)	yr)	yr)	Η/
		yr)	yr)	ion)				yr)
1	F1,	0.17	3.4	19.1	0.9	0.0	18.	0.0
	IND	91	28	41	99	00	85	06
	UST		8		6	39	84	06
	ERI							95
	AL							

2	F2,	0.46	3.9	8.60	0.9	0.0	24	0.0
	AGR	40	94	9	99	04	3.6	91
	ICU		7		5	6	76	53
	LTU						7	47
	RAL							
3	F3,	0.53	4.1	7.80	0.9	0.0	18	0.1
	CO	70	90	3	99	04	4.3	57
	MM		5		5	8	82	18
	ERC							84
	IAL							1
4	F4,	0.74	4.4	6.03	0.9	0.0	21	0.1
	RUR	08	69	4	99	00	4.5	77
	AL		6		5	51	40	89
							8	45
								3
5	F5,	0.60	4.1	6.95	0.9	0.0	37	0.1
	URB	05	73	0	99	04	1.4	30
	AN		5		5	8	41	33
							5	03
								5
6	F6,	0.50	4.1	8.17	0.9	0.0	21	0.1
	RESI	53	31	7	99	00	0.7	81
	NDE		9		5	47	26	66
	TIA						9	11
	T.							2

The PARGI distribution system F1, F2, F3, F4, F5, and F6 are calculated using ETAP software. The obtained results are compared with the FMEA method and the results are the same.

3.6 Case Study-5: IEEE RBTS BUS-2 Feeders with Distributed Generation Using ETAP Software

Improvement of reliability IEEE RBTS BUS-2 feeders with distributed generation using ETAP software is presented. The capacity of DG is 1MW and its working 100% reliable. The DG is connected to IEEE RBTS BUS2 and DG location at A, B, C, and D. The system is shown in Fig 4. The obtained results are tabulated in Tables 6, 7, 8 and 9.

Figure 4: Improvement of reliability indices of IEEE RBTS BUS-2 FEEDER-1 with DG at location A, B, C and D modeled in ETAP software

Table 6:Improvement of reliability indices ofIEEE RETS BUS 2 feeder1 with DG

		1121		S D C D .		i wittii	
S	DG	DI	SAIF	SAI	CAI	AS	AS
	LO	S	Ι	DI	DI	AI	UI
Ν	CA	Т	(inter	(hou	(hour	(ho	(ho
	TIO	Α	rupti	rs/	s/inte	urs/	urs
	Ν	Ν	on/	yr)	rrupti	yr)	/
		С	yr)		on)		yr)
		E					
1	А	0.	0.239	3.65	15.25	0.99	0.0
		75	6	53	3	96	00
							42
2	В	1.	0.239	3.58	14.96	0.99	0.0
		5	6	59	4	96	00
							41
3	С	2.	0.239	3.57	14.93	0.99	0.0
		25	6	96	7	96	00
							41
4	D	2.	0.239	3.57	14.92	0.99	0.0
		85	6	66	5	96	00
							41

Table 7:	Improvement	of	reliability	indices	of
	IEEE RETS B	US	2 feeder2 w	ith DG	

S N	DG LOC ATI ON	DIS TAN CE	SAIF I (inter rupti on/ year)	S AI DI (h ou rs/ ye	CAIDI (hours/i nterrupti on)	A S AI (h ou rs/ ye	AS UI (ho urs / yea r)
1	A	0.75	0.139 8	ar) 0. 62 08	4.442	ar) 0. 99 99	0.0 00 07
2	В	1.35	0.139 8	0. 52 33	3.744	0. 99 99	0.0 00 06

Table 8	Improvement	of	reliability	indices	of
	IEEE RETS B	US	2 feeder3 w	ith DG	

S	DG	DIS	SAIF	S	CAIDI	Α	AS
	LOC	TAN	Ι	AI	(hours/i	S	UI
Ν	ATI	CE	(inter	DI	nterrupti	AI	(ho
- '	ON		rupti	(h	on)	(h	urs
			on/	ou		ou	/
			yr)	rs/		rs/	yr)
				yr)		yr)	
1	А	0.75	0.249	3.	15.059	0.	0.0
			9	76		99	00
				36		96	43
2	В	1.55	0.249	3.	14.535	0.	0.0
			9	63		99	00
				25		96	41
3	С	2.15	0.249	3.	14.507	0.	0.0
			9	62		99	00
				57		96	41
4	D	2.9	0.249	3.	14.498	0.	0.0
			9	62		99	00
				23		96	41

 Table 9: Improvement of reliability indices of

 IEEE RETS BUS 2 feeder4 with DG

S	DG	DIS	SAIF	S	CAIDI	Α	AS
	LOC	TAN	Ι	AI	(hours/i	S	UI
N	ATI	CE	(inter	DI	nterrupti	AI	(ho
1,	ON		rupti	(h	on)	(h	urs
			on/	ou		ou	/
			yr)	rs/		rs/	yr)
				yr)		yr)	
1	Α	0.8	0.247	3.	15.179	0.	0.0
			0	61		99	00
				30		96	43
2	В	1.55	0.247	3.	14.622	0.	0.0
			0	47		99	00
				52		96	41
3	С	2.3	0.247	3.	14.607	0.	0.0
			0	47		99	00
				14		96	41
4	D	2.9	0.247	3.	14.593	0.	0.0
			0	46		99	00
				80		96	41

3.7 Case Study-6: PARGI Distribution System with Distributed Generation Using ETAP Software

Improvement of reliability PARGI distribution system feeders such as industrial, agricultural, commercial, rural, urban, residential feeders with distributed generation using ETAP software is presented. The capacity of DG is 1MW and its working 100% reliable. The DG is connected to PARGI distribution system with DG at location A, B, C, D, E and F the system shown in Fig 5. The obtained results are tabulated in Tables 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 and 15.

- Figure 5: Improvement of reliability indices of PARGI distribution industrial feeder with DG at location A,B,C,D,E and Fmodeled in ETAP software
- Table 10:Improvement of reliability indices of
PARGI distribution system industrial
feeder with DG at location A,B,C,D,E
and F.

S N	DG LO CA TI ON	DIS TA NC E	SAIF I (inte rrup tion/ yr)	SAI DI (ho urs/ yr)	CAID I (hours /interr uption)	AS AI (h ou rs/ yr)	ASUI (hour s/ yr)
1	А	0.3	0.179 1	3.42 88	19.141	0.9 99 6	0.000 39
2	В	0.9	0.179 1	3.38 48	18.896	0.9 99 6	0.000 39

3	С	0.4	0.179 1	3.36 96	18.811	0.9 99 6	0.000 38
4	D	0.5	0.179 1	3.35 28	18.717	0.9 99 6	0.000 38
5	E	0.95	0.179 1	3.33 23	18.603	0.9 99 6	0.000 38
6	F	1.55	0.179 1	3.31 17	18.488	0.9 99 6	0.000 38

Table 11: Improvement of reliability indices of PARGI distribution system Agricultural with DG at location A,B,C,D,E and F.

S N	DG LO CA TIO N	DIS TA NC E	SAI FI (inte rrup tion/ year)	SAI DI (ho urs/ yr)	CA IDI (ho urs/ inte rru ptio n)	AS AI (h ou rs/ yr)	ASUI (hours / yr)
1	A	0.4	0.46 40	3.99 47	8.60 9	0.9 99 6	0.0004 6
2	В	0.6	0.46 40	3.89 49	8.39 4	0.9 99 6	0.0004 4
3	С	0.9	0.46 40	3.84 86	8.29 4	0.9 99 6	0.0004 4
4	D	1.2	0.46 40	3.78 74	8.16 2	0.9 99 6	0.0004 3
5	E	1.4	0.46 40	3.75 24	8.08 7	0.9 99 6	0.0004 3
6	F	1.9	0.46 40	3.74 01	8.06 0	0.9 99 6	0.0004

Table 12: Improvement of reliability indices of
PARGI distribution system Commercial
feeder with DG at A.B.C.D.E and G.

S	DG	DIS	SAIF	S	CAIDI	Α	AS
	LOC	TAN	Ι	AI	(hours/i	S	UI
N	ATI	CE	(inter	DI	nterrupti	AI	(ho
1	ON		rupti	(h	on)	(h	urs
			on/	ou		ou	/
			year)	rs/		rs/	yr)
				yr)		yr)	
1	А	0.3	0.537	4.	7.803	0.	0.0
			0	19		99	00
				05		95	48
2	В	0.8	0.537	4.	7.664	0.	0.0
			0	11		99	00
				58		95	48
3	С	1.3	0.537	3.	7.340	0.	0.0
			0	94		99	00
				21		96	45

4	D	1.9	0.537	3.	7.312	0.	0.0
			0	92		99	00
				68		96	45
5	Е	2.8	0.537	3.	7.143	0.	0.0
			0	83		99	00
				61		96	44
6	F	3.3	0.537	3.	7.082	0.	0.0
			0	80		99	00
				35		96	43
7	G	3.7	0.537	3.	7.047	0.	0.0
			0	78		99	00
				44		96	43

Table 13: Improvement of reliability indices of
PARGI distribution system rural feeder
with DG at location A,B,C,D,E,F,G and
H.

S N	DG LOC ATI ON	DIS TA NC E	SA IFI (int err upti on/ vr)	SAI DI (ho urs/ yr)	CAI DI (hou rs/in terru ptio n)	AS AI (ho urs/ yr)	AS UI (ho urs/ yr)
1	А	0.5	0.7 408	4.46 96	6.03 4	0.99 95	0.0 005 1
2	В	0.9	0.7 408	4.35 56	5.88 0	0.99 95	0.0 005 0
3	С	1.3	0.7 408	4.28 53	5.78 5	0.99 95	0.0 004 9
4	D	1.8	0.7 408	4.22 85	5.70 8	0.99 95	0.0 004 8
5	E	2.4	0.7 408	4.17 43	5.63 5	0.99 95	0.0 004 8
6	F	2.9	0.7 408	4.12 53	5.56 9	0.99 95	0.0 004 7
7	G	3.3	0.7 408	4.10 10	5.53 6	0.99 95	0.0 004 7
8	Н	4.1	0.7 408	4.08 51	5.51 4	0.99 95	0.0 004 7

Table 14: Improvement of reliability indices of
PARGI distribution system urban feeder
with DG at location A,B,C,D,E,F,G and
H

		11.					
S	DG	DIS	SA	SA	CAI	Α	ASU
	LOC	ТА	IFI	ID	DI	S	Ι
Ν	ATI	NC	(int	Ι	(hour	AI	(hour
1,	ON	Ε	err	(ho	s/inte	(h	s/ yr)
			upti	urs	rrupti	ou	
			on/	/	on)	rs/	
			yr)	yr)		yr)	
1	А	0.6	0.6	4.1	6.950	0.	0.000
			005	73		99	48
						95	

2	В	0.9	0.6	4.1	6.872	0.	0.000
			005	26		99	47
				67		95	
3	С	1.2	0.6	4.0	6.768	0.	0.000
			005	64		99	46
				1		95	
4	D	1.6	0.6	4.0	6.677	0.	0.000
			005	09		99	46
				3		95	
5	Е	2.1	0.6	3.9	6.588	0.	0.000
			005	55		99	45
				9		95	
6	F	2.3	0.6	3.9	6.498	0.	0.000
			005	01		99	45
				6		95	
7	G	2.5	0.6	3.8	6.473	0.	0.000
			005	86		99	44
				7		96	
8	Н	2.75	0.6	3.8	6.450	0.	0.000
			005	73		99	44
				2		96	

Table 15: Improvement of reliability indices of
PARGI distribution system Residential
type feeder with DG at location
A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I and J.

S	DG	DIS	SA	SAI	CAI	AS	AS
•	LOC	TA		DI	DI		UI (ha
Ν		NC	(int	(no	(nou	(no	(no
	ON	E	err	urs/	rs/1n	urs/	urs/
			upu	yr)	terru	yr)	yr)
			on/		puo		
1	•	0.5	yr)	4.12	n)	0.00	0.0
1	А	0.5	0.5	4.13	8.17	0.99	0.0
			053	19	/	95	004 7
2	В	0.8	0.5	4.11	8.14	0.99	0.0
			053	78	9	95	004
							7
3	С	0.9	0.5	4.02	7.95	0.99	0.0
			053	20	9	95	004
							6
4	D	1.2	0.5	3.94	7.80	0.99	0.0
			053	31	3	95	004
							5
5	Е	1.6	0.5	3.89	7.71	0.99	0.0
			053	82	4	96	004
							5
6	F	2.1	0.5	3.84	7.61	0.99	0.0
			053	98	8	96	004
							4
7	G	2.4	0.5	3.79	7.51	0.99	0.0
			053	81	6	96	004
							3
8	Н	3.3	0.5	3.77	7.47	0.99	0.0
			053	69	4	96	004
							3
9	Ι	3.2	0.5	3.74	7.41	0.99	0.0
			053	76	6	96	004
							3
1	J	4.1	0.5	3.72	7.37	0.99	0.0
0			053	75	6	96	004
							3

4. **Results of different case studies**

4.1 Comparison of Case Study-1 and Case Study-2

Table 16 shows the results of system performance indices in Case study-1: IEEE RBTS BUS2 using FMEA method and ETAP software. The obtained results the same for both methods.

 Table 16: Results of system performance indices of IEEE RBTS BUS2 using FMEA method and ETAP software

			CA	SE STUD	Y-1				CASE STUDY-2						
S.	FEE	SAIFI	SA	CAID	AS	AS	EN	AE	SAI	SAI	CAI	AS	AS	EN	AE
Ν	DE	(interr	ID	Ι	AI	UI	S	Ν	FI	DI	DI	AI	UI	S	Ν
	R	uption	Ι	(hours	(hou	(hou	(K	(K	(inte	(hou	(hour	(hou	(hou	(K	(KW
	NA	/ year)	(ho	/	rs/	rs/	W	WH	rrupt	rs/	s/	rs/	rs/	WH	Η/
	ME		urs	interr	yr)	yr)	H/	/	ion/	yr)	interr	yr)	yr)	/ yr)	yr)
			/	uption			yr)	yr)	yr)		uptio				
			yr))							n)				
1	F1	0.248	3.6	14.53	0.99	0.00	1.3	0.2	0.24	3.62	14.53	0.99	0.00	1.31	0.20
			2	49	958	041	194	023	8		49	958	041	94	23
					7	2						7	2		
2	F2	0.140	0.5	3.737	0.99	0.00	1.1	0.5	0.14	0.5	3.73	0.9	0.0	1.1	0.56
			23	5	940	059	24	624	0	23	75	994	005	249	24
					2	7	9					02	97		

4.2 Comparison of Case Study-1 and Case Study-5

Table 17 shows the improvement of system performance indices of IEEE RBTS BUS2 without and with DG at different locations.

 Table 17: Improvement of system performance indices of IEEE RBTS BUS2 without and with DG at different locations

CA	SE STUDY	-1 IEEE I	RBTS E	BUS2 wi	ithout DO	G using	CASI	E STUI	DY-5 IE	EE RBT:	S BUS2	with I	DG
FM	EA method						using ETAP software						
S.	FEEDER	SAIFI	SAID	CAI	ASAI	ASUI	DG	DIS	SAIF	SAIDI	CAI	AS	ASUI
Ν	NAME	(interru	Ι	DI	(hours/	(hours/	LO	TAN	Ι	(hours/	DI	AI	(hour
		ption/	(hour	(hour	year)	year)	CA	CE	(interr	year)	(hour	(hou	s/ yr)
		year)	s/	s/inte			TIO	(KM)	uption		s/inte	rs/	
			year)	rrupti			Ν		/ yr)		rrupti	yr)	
				on)							on)		
1	F1	0.248	3.62	14.53	0.9995	0.00041	D	2.85	0.232	3.5766	14.92	0.99	0.000
				49	87	2			96		5	96	41
2	F2	0.140	0.523	3.737	0.9994	0.00059	В	1.35	0.139	0.5233	3.744	0.99	0.000
				5	02	7			8			99	06
3	F3	0.250	3.62	14.46	0.9995	0.00041	D	2.9	0.249	3.6223	14.49	0.99	0.000
				67	86	4			9		8	96	41
4	F4	0.247	3.61	14.38	0.9995	0.00041	D	2.9	0.247	3.4680	14.59	0.99	0.000
					87	2			0		3	96	41

By connecting distributed generation at different locations, ASAI and ASUI have same values. In case study-5, SAIFI value of F1 is 0.015% increased, SAIDI value of F1 is 4.34% increased. SAIDI value of F4 is 14.2% increased and SAIFI is constant. It is observed that, In F2 and F4, SAIFI and SAIDI are constant when conducting case study-1 and case study-5. The impact of DG in F1 and F4 with location D, because the DG location is the end of the feeder. % SAIDI improved means that, interruption duration is decreased at the load points.

4.3 Comparison of Case Study-3 And Case Study-6

Table 18 shows the improvement of system performance indices of PARGI distribution system without and with DG at different locations. In case study-6, PARGI distribution system with DG have SAIDI of the industrial

feeder at 11.71 %, the agricultural feeder is 25.46%, the commercial feeder is 40.61% the rural feeder is 38.45 %, the urban feeder is 34.1%, the residential feeder is 40.44% are improved as DG location changed, i.e., near to end of load points. % SAIDI improved means that, interruption duration at the load points is decreased. Once interruption duration is decreased, then the reliability can be improved at the customer load points. This improvement of reliability indices can be compared without DG of PARGI distribution system.

 Table 18: Improvement of system performance indices of PARGI distribution system without and with DG at different locations

CASE STUDY-3 of PARGI distribution system without							CASE STUDY-6 of PARGI distribution system without					
and with DG						and with DG						
FEED ER NAM E	SAIFI (interru ption/ year)	SAIDI (hours/ year)	CAIDI (hours/in terruptio n)	ASAI (hours / year)	ASUI (hours/ year)	DG LO CA TIO N	DIS TA NC E (KM)	SAIFI (interr uption / year)	SAIDI (hours / year)	CAIDI (hours /interr uption)	ASAI (hour s/ year)	ASUI (hours/ year)
Indust erial	0.1791	3.4288	19.141	0.9996	0.00039	F	1.55	0.1791	3.3117	18.488	0.999 6	0.0003 8
Agricu ltral	0.4640	3.9947	8.609	0.9995	0.0046	F	1.9	0.4640	3.7401	8.060	0.999 96	0.0004 3
Comm ercial	0.5370	4.1905	7.803	0.9995	0.0048	G	3.7	0.5370	3.7844	7.047	0.999 96	0.0004 3
Rural	0.7408	4.4696	6.034	0.9995	0.00051	Н	4.1	0.7408	4.0851	5.514	0.999 5	0.0004 7
Urban	0.6005	4.1735	6.950	0.9995	0.0048	Ι	3.7	0.6005	3.8325	6.382	0.999 6	0.0004 4
Reside ntial	0.5053	4.1319	8.177	0.9995	0.00047	J	4.1	0.5053	3.7275	7.376	0.999 6	0.0004 3

5. Conclusion

In this paper, IEEE RBTS Bus 2 and Indian practical PARGI distribution system (33/11 kV) reliability indices are evaluated. An analytical method for reliability evaluation of a distribution system with distribution generation has been presented. Development of reliability modeling through ETAP software is used to compare with the FMEA method. The study of the case-5 and case-6 of the research work proved that the Distributed Generation could enhance the reliability of IEEE RBTS Bus2 and Indian practical PARIGI distribution system. The impact of Distributed generation on distribution systems can decrease the interruption duration time greatly, and the distribution systems reliability was improved to a large extent.

References

 P. C. Sekhar, R. A. Deshpande and V. Sankar, "Evaluation and improvement of reliability indices of electrical power distribution system," *National Power Systems Conference (NPSC)*, Bhubaneswar, 2016, pp. 1-6. doi: 10.1109/NPSC.2016.7858838.

- [2] E. VidyaSagar, T. Kavitha, S. Deepti and A. P. Chandar, "Reliability Modeling and Analysis of Distribution System Considering Weather Effects On Substation and HVDC Concept On Feeder," International Journal of advanced engineering and research development (IJAERD), vol. 4, no. 12. pp. 398-404. December 2017. Available: http://www.ijaerd.com/papers/finished papers/Reliability%20Modelling%20and %20Analysis%20of%20Distribution%2 0System%20Considering%20Weather% 20Effects%20on%20Substation%20and %20HVDS%20Concept%20on%20Feed er-IJAERDV04I1217706.pdf
- P. [3] N. Chaiyabut and "Impact Damrongkulkamjorn, of customer scattering on distribution system reliability with distributed generation," TENCON 2010 - 2010 IEEE Region 10 Conference, Fukuoka,

2010, pp. 568-573. doi: 10.1109/TENCON.2010.5686745

- [4] R. N. Allan, R. Billinton, I. Sjarief, L. Goel and K. S. So, "A reliability test system for educational purposes-basic distribution system data and results," in *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 813-820, May 1991. doi: 10.1109/59.76730.
- [5] P. Mazidi and G. N. Sreenivas, "Reliability Analysis Of Radial Distribution Distributed Generation System," International Journal Of Electrical And Electronics Engineering (IJEEE), vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 82-88, 2003. Available: https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/1fe0/7b 584fb1d7e829db51425c3648e577ae1c1 1.pdf
- [6] P. U. Okorie, U.O. Aliyu, B. Jimoh, S. M. Sani, "Reliability indices of electrical distribution networks system assessment," *Journal of Electronics and Communication Engineering Research*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 1-6, 2015. Available: https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/f6b3/a4 ae85729043d04da3b9ad7aba09502aca8 8.pdf
- [7] "The Electricity Act 2003", Ministry of Law and Justice (Legislative Department), Government of India, 26 May 2003. [Online]. Available: http://www.cercind.gov.in/Act-withamendment.pdf [Accessed: 18 June 2019]
- [8] N. Balijepalli, S. S. Venkata and R. D. Christie, "Predicting distribution system performance against regulatory reliability standards," in *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 350-356, Jan. 2004. doi: 10.1109/TPWRD.2003.820192
- [9] N. Balijepalli, S. S. Venkata and R. D. Christie, "Modeling and analysis of distribution reliability indices," in *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 1950-1955, Oct. 2004. doi: 10.1109/TPWRD.2004.829144

 [10] V. Sankar, System Reliability Concepts, 1st ed., Bombay, India, Himalaya Publishing House Pvt Ltd, 2015.

www.tinyurl.com/ajeee-adbu

- [11] K. V. Harikrishna, V. Ashok, P. Chandrasekhar, T. Raghunatha and V. Bharathi "Assessment of Reliability in Power Distribution System," in *National Conference on Power Distribution* (NCPD-2012), CPRI, Bangalore, 8-9 Nov. 2012.
- [12] M. M. Mahmoud, M. Elshahed and M. S. Elsobki, "The Impact of Distributed Energy Resources on the Reliability of Smart Distribution System," *Majlesi Journal of Electrical Engineering*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 1-14, 2018. Available: http://mjee.iaumajlesi.ac.ir/index/index. php/ee/article/view/2708
- K. Raju and G. N. Srinivas, "Evaluation of Distribution system Reliability in the presence of multiple DGs," *Mediterranean Journal of Modeling and Simulation*, vol. 10, pp. 17-28, 2018. Available: http://oaji.net/articles/2017/1983-1538373404.pdf
- [14] IEEE Power and Energy Society, "IEEE for Electric Power Distribution Reliability Indices", *IEEE Standard 1366 (2012)*.
- [15] R. N. Allan, R. Billinton, I. Sjarief, L. Goel and K. S. So, "A reliability test system for educational purposes-basic distribution system data and results," in *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 813-820, May 1991. doi: 10.1109/59.76730

Authors' Profiles

Raju KADURU was born in India, in 1985. He received the B.Tech. degree from Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University Hyderabad, India in 2007, the M.Tech. and a Ph.D. degree

from Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University Hyderabad College of Engineering, Hyderabad, India in 2011 and 2018. His area of interest includes power system, FACT, and distribution system reliability.

PENTAMALLA PRAVEEN KUMAR was born in India, in 1996. He received the B.Tech. degree from Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University Hyderabad 2017, and is currently pursuing M.Tech at

Technology

TKR College of Engineering Hyderabad, Telangana, India.

G. N. SRINIVAS was born in India, in 1973. He received the Bachelor Technical degree from Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University College of Engineering, Hyderabad, India in 1995, the M.E. degree from

Osmania University, Hyderabad, India. In 2001, and the Ph.D. degree in Electrical Engineering from Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University, Hyderabad, India in 2009. He has teaching and research experiences of about 19 years, published/presented more than 20 papers at National and International levels. Chaired technical sessions at National and International Conferences. Currently, he is a Professor in the Electrical Engineering Department, vice-principal JNTUH College of Engineering Hyderabad. His research interest includes Power quality and evaluation of distribution system reliability.

APPENDIX

COMPONENT	RATING OF COMPONENT	AVERAGE FAILURE RATE	AVERAGE REPAIR TIME (hr)
		(f/yr)	
TRANSFORMER	33/11KV	0.015	0
	11/0.415 KV	0.0150	200
CIRCUIT BREAKER	33	0.0015	4
	11	0.004	4
BUS BAR	33	0.001	2
	11	0.001	2
LINES	33	0.0460	2
	11	0.0650	2

Table 19: PARGI distribution substation system reliability data

 Table 20: PARGI distribution substation system customer data

S. N	NAME OF THE FEEDER	TYPE OF FEEDER	NUMBER OF DT'S	AVERAGE LOAD	PEAK LOAD	NUMBER OF CUSTOMERS	SECTION NUMBERS	LENGTH OF FEEDER
1	URBAN	Industrial	9	0.0066	0.0055	3107	1-9	3.2
2	AGRICULTURAL	Agriculture	29	0.061	0.12	2650	10-38	12.5
3	COMMERCIAL	Commercial	28	0.044	0.072	1173	39-66	14.2
4	GADISINAPIR	Rural	31	0.048	0.128	1206	67-97	18.5
5	URBAN	Urban	31	0.089	0.118	2850	98-128	14.85
6	NASKAL	Residential	26	0.51	0.078	1160	129-154	13.65

Table 21: PARGI distribution substation system components data

S.	Feeder Name	Length	Feeder Section Number
Ν		in KM	
1	F1,	0.1	5,6,9
	INDUSTRIAL	0.2	3,8
		0.25	12
		0.3	1,4,7
		0.35	10
		0.4	2
		0.6	11
	F2,	0.1	31,33,35
	AGRICULTURAL	0.2	14,15,17,18,20,23,26,27,28,32,36,37,38,40,
2			42,43,44,46,51,52,54,57,58,59,60
		0.3	16,19,21,22,24,25,29,30,34,39,45,48,49,53
		0.4	13,47,50,56,61
		0.5	41,55
3	F3,	0.1	74,75,86
	COMMERCIAL	0.2	63,68,69,70,71,76,79,85,100,103
		0.3	62,65,66,72,77,78,80,87,89,92,93,95,96,99,
			101,104,105
		0.4	67,73,82,83,84,88,102,106
		0.5	64,90,91,94,97,98
		0.6	81

4	F4,	0.2	117,119,125,127,128,135,137,138,
	RURAL		144,145,150,151,156,158,162,163
		0.3	109,111,113,116,118,122,124,130,
			131,134,136,139,143,148,152,155,157, 159, 161,
		0.4	108,110,112,114,115,120,121,123,126,
			132,142,147,149,153,154
		0.5	107,129,133,140,146,160
		0.6	141
5	F5,	0.05	210,212
	URBAN	0.1	165,215
		0.2	166,168,169,174,175,177,178,180,182183,
			187,188,189, 190,191,197,202,203,208,209, 211
		0.25	167
		0.3	170,171,172,176,184,185,186,199,201,204,
			206,207
		0.4	164,173,181,193,194,195,196,198,200,213,
			214
		0.5	179,192,205
		0.6	216
6	F6,	0.05	220
	RESIDENTIAL	0.1	247
		0.2	225,230,232,237,238,239,242,245,246,255,
			258
		0.3	219,222,226,228,234,243,244,250,251,252,253,256,257
		0.4	218,221,223,224,227,233,236,241,248,259
		0.5	217,229,235,240,249
		0.6	254