See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/228714667

The Presence of Anti Thyroid and Anti Ovarian Auto-Antibodies in Familial Premature Ovarian Failure

Article in International Journal of Fertility & Sterility · November 2007



Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:

Project

Ovarian tissue cryopreservation and transplantation View project

infertility View project

The Presence of Anti Thyroid and Anti Ovarian Auto-Antibodies in Familial Premature Ovarian Failure

Mahnaz Ashrafi, M.D.^{1,2*}, Masoumeh Fallahian, M.D.³, Babak Eshrati, M.D., Ph.D.^{4, 5}, Reza Salman Yazdi, M.L.D.⁶

1. Endocrinology and Female Infertility Department, Reproductive Medicine Research Center, Royan Institute, ACECR, Tehran, Iran

2. Gynecology and Obstetrics Department, Faculty of Medicine, Iran University of Medical Sciences and Health Services, Tehran, Iran

3. Infertility and Reproductive Health Research Centre, Shahid Beheshti University (MC), Tehran, Iran 4. Epidemiology Department, Reproductive Medicine Research Center, Royan Institute, ACECR, Tehran, Iran 5. Paramedical Faculty, Arak University of Medical Sciences, Arak, Iran

6. Andrology Department, Reproductive Medicine Research Center, Royan Institute, ACECR, Tehran, Iran

Abstract.

Background: Premature ovarian failure (POF) is a disorder of multi causal etiology. Autoimmunity has been proposed as a mechanism for some cases of ovarian follicle dysfunction which is evident in POF. The aim of this study was to identify the level of auto-antibodies in POF and familial POF patients.

Materials and Methods: In this study, auto-antibodies including anti-ovarian antibody (AOA), anti thyroid peroxidase (TPO) and anti thyroglobulin (TG) antibodies were assessed in the sera of 43 cases with spontaneous POF including 12 cases affected by familial POF. The control samples were obtained from sera of 39 women with normal ovulatory or post menopause women.

Results: AOA were detected in 46.5% of the POF group, 41.7% of the familial POF group and 41% of the control group without significant statistical difference between the three groups. Thyroid peroxidase (TPO) antibody was found in 32.6% of the POF group, 41.6% of the familial POF group and 10.3% of the control group. Anti TPO was detected significantly high in both POF and familial POF groups (p<0.02 and p<0.01, respectively). Thyroglobulin (TG) antibody was found in 48.8% of the POF group, 75% of the familial POF group and 23.1% of the control group with meaningful difference (p<0.02 and p<0.001, respectively). TG antibody was significantly higher in familial POF group in comparison to POF group (p<0.03).

Conclusion: Although measurement of AOA is not a reliable method for diagnosis of auto-immune POF, but existence of anti thyroid antibodies in familial POF (mainly anti TG) can potentially represent an autoimmune mechanism. It is possible to propose a genetic component for developing autoimmune POF supported by presence of anti thyroid antibodies in familial POF.

Keywords: Auto-antibodies, Premature Ovarian Failure, Familial POF

Introduction

Premature ovarian failure (POF) is a non physiological cessation of menstrual cycle before the age of 40 years and after puberty (hence, in fact, secondary amenorrhea) (1). Women with POF have a hyper gonadotropichypoestrogenic hormone profile (2, 3). POF is a heterogeneous disorder with a multi causal pathogenesis. Chromosomal (4), genetic (5), enzymatic (6), iatrogenic (7) or infectious (8) factors may all form the basis for the disappearance of ovarian follicles (2).

A large proportion of women with primary ovarian failure have no identified causes .The etiology of POF can be divided into two broad categories: ovarian follicle depletion and ovarian follicle dysfunction .Ovarian autoimmunity is a possible cause of both afollicular and follicular forms of POF and both follicle depletion and dysfunction occur (2, 9).

POF is frequently associated with autoimmune disorders, particularly autoimmune thyroid disease. In the absence of clinically overt disease, some patients have serological evidence of autoimmunity mainly against thyroid (10). These observations suggest that autoimmunity may be an important cause of idiopathic POF. Using microsomal ovarian antibodies and oocyte antibodies, an autoimmune basis was detected in as many as 69% of women (11). The aim of this study was to assay the presence of circulating AOA, anti TPO and



Royan Institue International Journal of Fertility and Sterility Vol 1, No 4, Feb-Mar 2008, Pages: 171-174

^{*} Corresponding Address: P.O.Box: 19395-4644, Endocrinology and Female Infertility Department, Reproductive Medicine Research Center, Royan Institute, ACECR, Tehran, Iran Email: ashrafim@royaninstitute.org

anti TG antibodies in the POF and familial POF cases in comparison to the control group.

Materials and Methods

This case control study was performed between 2004 and 2005. Sera from 43 women with spontaneous POF (12 cases with familial POF) were assessed for the presence of AOA, anti TPO and anti TG antibodies. These cases referred sequentially to Royan institute and gynecology clinic in Taleghani teaching hospital affiliated to Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences in Tehran, Iran. All patients in case group had cessations of menstrual cycles before the age of 40 in association with raised serum levels of FSH more than 10 IU/ml (12). All of cases had secondary amenorrhea. Familial POF was defined as existence of POF in the first or second degree relatives.

The control group consisted of 39 women including 31 women with normal ovulation referred for choosing some contraceptive methods and the other 8 women were in post menopausal period.

The project was approved by Royan Institute ethics committee. All cases and controls signed the consent form. None of them had clinical evidence of autoimmune disease. All laboratory tests were done in the laboratory of Royan Institute.

Inclusion criteria included: spontaneous cessation of menstrual cycle before 40 year with secondary amenorrhea. Exclusion criteria were surgical menopause or POF due to chemotherapy or radiotherapy. Neither cases nor control had overt autoimmune disease. None of the cases and controls had familial relationship with each other. SPSS version 13 was used for data entry. The chi-square test on 2×2 contingency tables was used for statistical analysis. The subjects were screened for immunological markers associated with autoimmune disease including anti TPO, TG, AOA and also were evaluated for some related hormonal and biochemical agents such as FSH, LH, Prolactin, TSH.

Blood samples were allowed to clot; sera were separated by centrifugation and stored at -20°C until assayed. The subjects were assessed for the presence of auto-antibodies raised against thyroid (anti TPO and TG antibodies) and ovaries (AOA) by using ELISA method. Values were equal or greater than 10 IU for AOA, 75 IU for anti TPO and 100 IU for anti TG considered as positive.

Serum samples were evaluated for the presence of autoantibodies raised against thyroid (Thyroid Peroxidase Antibody; ELISA, GENESIS, Cambridge shire CB6 1SE, UK, anti Thyroglobulin antibody; ELISA, GEN-ESIS, Cambridge shire CB6 1SE, UK.) and human ovarian tissues (Anti-Ovary antibody, ELISA, Bioserv Diagnostics, Rostock, Germany). They were also evaluated for measurement of Follicle Stimulating Hormone concentration (FSH, IRMA, Pooyesh Tashkhis, Tehran, Iran), Luteizing Hormone concentration (LH, IRMA, Pooyesh Tashkhis, Tehran, Iran), Prolactin concentration (PRL, IRMA, Pooyesh Tashkhis, Tehran, Iran), and Thyroid Stimulating Hormone concentration (TSH, IRMA, Pooyesh Tashkhis, Tehran, Iran).

Results

In this study, 43 women with POF were compared with 39 women as the control group. Mean age of patients in POF group was 27.87 ± 3.1 (15-39 year). Mean values of FSH were 71.24±9.42 for the POF group and 6.8 ± 0.63 IU for the control group. TSH and Prolactin levels were within normal limits in both groups.

ELISA for anti-ovarian antibody revealed that 46.5% of the POF group (20 out of 43), 41.7% of the familial POF group (5 out of 12) and 41% of the control group (16 out of 39 patients) had AOA.

The difference between the case and control groups were not statistical meaningful. The POF group was divided into two subgroups based on the onset of POF (before or after the age of 25 year). Non-parametric test of Mann-Whitney did not show any difference in existence of AOA between the two subgroups.



Fig 1: Bar graph of AOA level distribution in the studied groups

Table 1: Auto-antibodies in POF and control groups						
Auto-antibody	POF cases	Controls	Risk Ratio Confidence Interval (%95)	P Value		
AOA	46.5%	41.0%	1.250(0.521-3.000)	0.617		
anti TG	48.8%	23.1%	3.182(1.224 - 8.270)	*0.02		
anti TPO	32.6%	10.3%	4.224(1.253-14.241)	*0.02		
Auto-antibodies	72.1%	56.4%	1.996(0.796-5.004)	0.14		

* Significant Difference

Auto antibodies	Familial POF	Non Familial POF	Risk Ratio Confidence Interval (%95)	P Value
AOA	41.7%	48.4%	0.762(0.198-2.929)	0.69
anti TG	75%	38.7%	4.750(1.067-21.144)	*0.03
anti TPO	41.7%	29%	1.746(0.437-6.976)	0.43
Auto antibodies	75%	71%	1.227(0.269-5.608)	0.79

Table 2: Auto-antibodies in POF and Familial POF

* Significant Difference

Table 3 . Auto-antibodies in Familial POF and Control groups						
Auto antibodies	Familial POF	Controls	Risk Ratio Confidence Interval (%95)	P Value		
AOA	41.7%	41%	1.027(0.276-3.817)	0.97		
anti TG	75%	23.1%	10.000(2.222-44.999)	*0.001		
anti TPO	41.7%	10.3%	6.250(1.333-29.301)	*0.01		
Auto antibodies	75%	56.4%	2.318(0.543-9.901)	0.23		

* Significant Difference



Fig 2: Bar graph of anti TPO level distribution in the studied groups



Fig 3: Bar graph of anti TG level distribution in the studied groups

Anti TPO antibody was detected in 32.6% of the POF group, 41.7% of the familial POF group and 10.3% of the control group .The difference between the POF and familial POF groups was statistically significant compared to the control group (p<0.02 and p<0.01, respectively).

Anti TG was found in 48.8% of the POF group, 77.5% in the familial POF group and 23.1% of the control group. There was significantly higher positive anti TG in the POF and familial POF groups compared to the control group; (p<0.02 and p<0.001, respectively). Anti TG antibody was detected more prevalent in the familial POF group compared to the POF group (p<0.03).The results are shown in the tables 1-3 and figures 1-3.

Discussion

Since fluorescent method has less specificity and sensitivity than ELISA (13), ELISA was used for the present study. Autoimmune POF has been considered to be a mechanism possibly responsible for primary idiopathic POF. Several studies revealed the association of AOA with POF. The incidence of AOA in patients with POF ranges widely (20-67%), according to the type of antibody, methods used for selection of patients and measurement of auto antibodies (14, 15).

It is possible that AOA has cross reaction with other tissues. Although one specific antigen is not determined, several antigens must be considered (14).

It is suggested that initiation of autoimmune process and production of AOA is secondary to every procedure causing invasion to ovaries such as IVF, ovarian biopsy or puncture and other mechanical procedures, although this trauma is unknown in primary POF (14).

Although there are evidences against autoimmune pathogenesis of POF because oophoritis or inflamma-

tion of ovaries is not seen in all cases of POF (it is seen only in less than 3%); in animal models being immunized against zona plucida, reduction of ovarian follicles and fibrosis (similarly to POF) are reported (2).

Massin et al (16) evaluated presence of ovarian follicles in POF patients by biopsy .Their results showed that ovarian follicles more than 2 mm were seen in 56% of POF patients. In present study, ovarian biopsy was not done because of its invasive nature.

Conclusion

AOA was not a suitable marker for diagnosis of POF in our study, but thyroid auto-antibodies such as anti TPO and especially anti TG were detected more significantly in POF cases. Findings of our study revealed we need to detect some specific auto-antibodies except AOA and anti ZP antibodies for diagnosis of POF.

Presence of antithyroid antibodies in our study shows that in POF cases, one should consider other endocrinopathies not limited to ovary, like involvement of thyroid.

Acknowledgment

The authors wish to thank the staff of Endocrine and metabolism research centre in Shahid Beheshti University of Iran for storage and cryo-preservation of the Sera. Also, we like to thank the staff of Royan Institute for their collaboration. This work was supported financially by Royan Institute in Tehran, Iran.

References

1. de Moraes Ruehsen M, Jones GS.Premature ovarian failure. Fertil Steril, 1976; 18: 440-461

2. Hoek A, Schoemaker J, Drexage HA. Premature ovarian failure and ovarian autoimmunity; Endocrine Review; 1997; 18(1): 110

3. Goswami D, Conway GS. Premature ovarian failure. Hum Reprod Update. 2005; 11(4): 391-410

4. Fitch N, de Saint Victor J, Richer CL, Pinsky L, Sitahal S. Premature menopause due to small deletion in the long arm



of the X chromosome. A report of three cases and a review. Am J Obstet Gynecol; 1982; 142: 968-972

5. Smith A, Fraser IS, Noel M. Three siblings with premature gonadal failure. Fertil Steril, 1979; 32: 528-530

6. Chen YT, Mattison DR, Feigenbaum L, Fukui H, Schulman JD. Reduction in oocyte number following prenatal expose to a diet high in galactose. Science; 1981; 214: 1145-1147

7. Waxman J. Chemotherapy and the adult gonad: a review. JR Soc Med, 1983; 76: 144-148

8. Morrison JC, Givens JR, Wiser WL, Fish SA. Mumps oophoritis: a cause of premature menopause. Fertil Steril, 1975; 26: 655-559

9. Lebovic DI. Premature ovarian failure: Think 'autoimmune disorders', Sexuality. Reproduction & Menopause; 2004; 2(4): 230-235

10. LaBarbera AR, Miller MM, Oberand C, Rebar RW. Autoimmune etiology in premature ovarian failure. J Reprod Immunol. Microbial. 1988; 16: 115-122

11. Luborsky JL, Visintin I, Boyers S. Ovarian antibodies detected by immobilized antigen immunoassay in patients with premature ovarian failure. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 1990; 70: 69-75

12. Yen SS, Tsai CC, Vandenberg G, Rebar R. Gonadotropin dynamics in patients with gonadal dysgenesis: a model for the study of gonadotropin regulation. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 1972; 35: 897-904

13. Novosad JA, Kalantaridou SN, Tong ZB, Nelson LM. Ovarian antibodies as detected by indirect immunofluorescence are unreliable in the diagnosis of autoimmune premature ovarian failure: a controlled evalution. BMC Women Health. 2003; 3(1): 2

14. Fenichel P, Sosset C, Barbarino Monnier P, Gobert B, Hieronimus S, Bene MC, Harter M. Prevalence, specifity and significance of ovarian antibodies during spontaneous premature ovarian failure. Hum Reprod. 1997; 12(12): 2623-2628

15. Wheatcroft NJ, Salt C, Milford-Ward A, Cooke ID, Weetman AP. Identification of ovarian antibodies by immunofluorescence, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay or immunoblotting in premature ovarian failure. Hum Reprod. 1997; 12(12): 2617-2622

16. Massin N, Gougeon A, Meduri G, Thibaud E, Laborde K, Matuchansky C, et al. Significance of ovarian histology in the management of patients presenting a premature ovarian failure. Hum Reprod. 2004; 19(11): 2555-2560