

Henry Ford Health System

## Henry Ford Health System Scholarly Commons

---

Emergency Medicine Articles

Emergency Medicine

---

11-1-2020

### In reply

Richard M. Nowak

*Henry Ford Health System, rnowak1@hfhs.org*

William F. Peacock

Christopher R. deFilippi

Follow this and additional works at: [https://scholarlycommons.henryford.com/emergencymedicine\\_articles](https://scholarlycommons.henryford.com/emergencymedicine_articles)

---

### Recommended Citation

Nowak RM, Peacock WF, and deFilippi CR. In reply. *Ann Emerg Med* 2020; 76(5):692-693.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Emergency Medicine at Henry Ford Health System Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Emergency Medicine Articles by an authorized administrator of Henry Ford Health System Scholarly Commons.

is time to promote the use of the 0/1-hour algorithm to lessen ED congestion and reduce the risk of nosocomial coronavirus disease 2019 infection.

*Cho-Han Chiang*  
Department of Medicine  
College of Medicine  
National Taiwan University  
Taipei, Taiwan

*Cho-Hung Chiang*  
Department of Medicine  
College of Medicine  
Fu-Jen Catholic University  
Taipei, Taiwan

*Gin Hoong Lee, MD*  
Department of Medicine  
College of Medicine  
National Taiwan University  
Taipei, Taiwan

*Frank Qian, MD, MPH*  
Department of Medicine  
Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center  
Harvard Medical School  
Boston, MA

*Shyr-Chyr Chen, MD, MBA*  
Department of Emergency Medicine  
National Taiwan University Hospital  
Taipei, Taiwan

*Chien-Chang Lee, MD, ScD*  
Department of Emergency Medicine  
National Taiwan University Hospital  
Taipei, Taiwan  
Health Data Science Research Group  
National Taiwan University Hospital  
The Centre for Intelligent Healthcare  
National Taiwan University Hospital

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annemergmed.2020.05.038>

**Funding and support:** By *Annals* policy, all authors are required to disclose any and all commercial, financial, and other relationships in any way related to the subject of this article as per ICMJE conflict of interest guidelines (see [www.icmje.org](http://www.icmje.org)). The authors have stated that no such relationships exist.

1. Nowak RM, Christenson RH, Jacobsen G, et al. Performance of novel high-sensitivity cardiac troponin I assays for 0/1-hour and 0/2- to 3-hour

evaluations for acute myocardial infarction: results from the HIGH-US study. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2020;76:1-13.

2. Chiang CH, Chiang CH, Lee GH, et al. Safety and efficacy of the European Society of Cardiology 0/1-hour algorithm for diagnosis of myocardial infarction: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Heart.* <https://doi.org/10.1136/heartjnl-2019-316343>.
3. Chew DP, Lambrakis K, Blyth A, et al. A randomized trial of a 1-hour troponin T protocol in suspected acute coronary syndromes: the Rapid Assessment of Possible Acute Coronary Syndrome in the Emergency Department With High-Sensitivity Troponin T study (RAPID-TnT). *Circulation.* 2019;140:1543-1556.
4. Anand A, Shah ASV, Beshiri A, et al. Global adoption of high-sensitivity cardiac troponins and the universal definition of myocardial infarction. *Clin Chem.* 2019;65:484.
5. Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, et al. Clinical characteristics of 138 hospitalized patients with 2019 novel coronavirus-infected pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *JAMA.* 2020;323:1061-1069.

*In reply:*



We thank Chiang et al<sup>1</sup> for their meta-analysis and resulting recommendation for the global use of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) 0/1-hour algorithm for the rapid assessment of patients presenting to the emergency department (ED) with symptoms suspicious for acute myocardial infarction and also for its update that has incorporated the results of the High Sensitivity Cardiac Troponin I in the United States study.<sup>2</sup> Although we agree with the overall recommendation that the use of the ESC 0/1-hour algorithm for the rapid assessment of patients presenting with symptoms suspicious for acute myocardial infarction should be more broadly implemented in the ED in countries around the world, we suggest some precautions. Data from Europe, the United States, Australasia,<sup>1</sup> and South America<sup>3</sup> support the overall use of this ESC algorithm. However, there is no information available about how it might perform in other individuals (race, size, diet, comorbidities, etc) such as those in Asia, India, and Africa. In these other populations, the ESC algorithm should be the preferred one used until further studies are completed and the algorithm is either validated or an alternative one recommended.

We report, as others have, that the ESC high sensitivity cardiac troponin I acute myocardial infarction rule-out cut points are also applicable to many subgroups of patients presenting to the ED, including those with symptoms onset in less than or equal to 3 hours. However, we do not know whether outcomes will be the same for patients presenting to the ED with even shorter symptoms onset ( $\leq 1$  hour) because few of these patients have been studied. Consequently, in our opinion, it remains prudent to consider a third high sensitivity cardiac troponin I test later for the rule-out of acute myocardial infarction in these very early presenters until more data concerning this patient population are available.

The good news is that using the ESC 0/1-hour acute myocardial infarction assessment algorithm in the

coronavirus disease 2019 era to rule out cardiac injury should decrease ED congestion, given its high negative predictive and sensitivity values for acute myocardial infarction rule-out. However, coronavirus disease 2019 patients with elevated high sensitivity cardiac troponin measurements reflecting acute cardiac injury will have more complex management because the cardiac injury may be caused by circulating cytokines from severe systemic inflammatory stress, leading to atherosclerotic plaque instability and rupture (type 1 acute myocardial infarction); caused by increased myocardial demand from the infection, causing a supply-demand mismatch (type 2 acute myocardial infarction); or possibly caused by acute myocarditis caused directly by the virus itself.<sup>4</sup> Although coronavirus disease 2019 patients with acute cardiac injury have a worse clinical prognosis,<sup>5</sup> it will be more difficult to determine the exact cause of the cardiac injury and hence what the optimal therapeutic approach should be for each patient.

Ultimately, we, along with Chiang et al, believe that the global implementation of a rapid high sensitivity cardiac troponin algorithm for the assessment of patients with possible acute myocardial infarction or cardiac injury will result in optimal patient assessments and outcomes. Last, the use of high sensitivity cardiac troponin in the ED is a rapidly evolving field, and so alternative algorithms for the rapid assessment of acute myocardial infarction in the ED may soon be recommended.

*Richard M. Nowak, MD*  
*Department of Emergency Medicine*  
*Henry Ford Health System*  
*Detroit, MI*

*William F. Peacock, MD*  
*Department of Emergency Medicine*  
*Baylor College of Medicine*  
*Houston, TX*

*Christopher R. deFilippi, MD*  
*Inova Heart and Vascular Institute*  
*Falls Church, VA*

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annemergmed.2020.05.037>

*Funding and support:* By *Annals* policy, all authors are required to disclose any and all commercial, financial, and other relationships in any way related to the subject of this article as per ICMJE conflict of interest guidelines (see [www.icmje.org](http://www.icmje.org)). Dr. Nowak has received fees from Siemens Healthineers as a consultant for the design and conduct of this trial. He has been or is a consultant for Siemens Healthineers, Roche Diagnostics, Beckman Coulter, Ortho Diagnostics, and Abbott. Dr. Peacock reports receiving research grants from Abbott, Braincheck, Immunarray, Janssen, Ortho Diagnostics, Relypsa, and Roche; being a consultant for Abbott, Astra-Zeneca, Bayer, Beckman, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Ischemia Care, Dx, Immunarray, Instrument Labs, Janssen, Nabriva, Ortho Diagnostics, Relypsa, Roche, Quidel, and Siemens Healthineers; providing expert testimony for Johnson & Johnson; and having stock/ownership interests in AseptiScope Inc., Brainbox Inc., Comprehensive Research Association LLC, Emergencies in Medicine LLC, and Ischemia DC LLC. Dr. deFilippi reports receiving research support from Inova; being a consultant for Abbott Diagnostics, FujiRebio, Metabolomics, Ortho Diagnostics, Roche Diagnostics, and Siemens Healthineers; receiving honoraria from WebMD; and receiving royalties from UpToDate. The HIGH-US study was supported/funded by Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics Inc.

1. Chiang CH, Chiang CH, Lee GH, et al. Time to implement the European Society of Cardiology 0/1-hour algorithm. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2020;76:693-695.
2. Nowak RM, Christenson R, Jacobsen G, et al. Performance of novel high-sensitivity cardiac troponin I assays for 0/1-hour and 0/2-3-hour evaluations for acute myocardial infarction: results from the HIGH-US study. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2020;76:1-13.
3. Twerenbold R, Costabel JP, Nestelberger T, et al. Outcomes of applying the ESC 0/1-hour algorithm in patients with suspected myocardial infarction. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2019;74:483-494.
4. Bonow RO, Fonarow GC, O'Gara PT, et al. Association of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) with myocardial injury and mortality. *JAMA Cardiol.* 2020;5:751-753.
5. Shi S, Qin M, Shen B, et al. Association of cardiac injury with mortality in hospitalized patients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China. *JAMA Cardiol.* 2020;5:802-810.