



# JRC SCIENCE AND POLICY REPORT

# 2014 EMAS Environmental Statement 2013 Results

Institute for Energy and Transport



B. Eriksen, N. Wagenaar, P. Bruin



Report EUR 26968 EN

#### **European Commission**

Joint Research Centre Institute for Energy and Transport

#### **Contact information**

Brian Eriksen Address: Joint Research Centre, Institute for Energy and Transport, P.O. Box 2, NL-1755 ZG Petten, The Netherlands E-mail: Brian.eriksen@ec.europa.eu Tel.: +31 224 56 5438

https://ec.europa.eu/jrc

#### Legal Notice

This publication is a Science and Policy Report by the Joint Research Centre, the European Commission's in-house science service. It aims to provide evidence-based scientific support to the European policy-making process. The scientific output expressed does not imply a policy position of the European Commission. Neither the European Commission nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission is responsible for the use which might be made of this publication.

All images © European Commission

JRC92987

EUR 26968 EN

ISBN 978-92-79-44447-0 (PDF)

ISSN 1831-9424 (online)

doi: 10.2790/007160

Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union, 2014

© European Union, 2014

Reproduction is authorised provided the source is acknowledged.

Printed in The Netherlands

#### Abstract

This report is the Environmental Annual Report 2014, with the results of 2013 of the Institute for Energy and Transport (IET) of the JRC. The report includes description of the organisational systems and structures together with the planned activities and the achieved goals. This report only refers to the activities of the JRC-Petten site of the Institute.

# Table of contents

Table of contents

| 1  | Gle    | ossary  | 2  |
|----|--------|---|----|
| 2  | Int    | roduction   | 3  |
| 3  | Ex     | ecutive Summary   | 3  |
| 4  | Ba     | ckground  | 3  |
| 5  | EN     | 1AS   | 5  |
| 6  | Sit    | e Activities and performance  | 6  |
|    | 6.1    | Overview of core indicators at Brussels since 2005                                | 6  |
|    | 6.2    | Description of JRC Petten activities  | 6  |
|    | 6.3    | Environmental impact of JRC Petten activities                                     | 8  |
|    | 6.4    | More efficient use of natural resources   | 10 |
|    | 6.5    | Reducing emissions of CO <sub>2</sub> , other greenhouse gases and air pollutants | 12 |
|    | 6.6    | Improving waste management and sorting  | 14 |
|    | 6.7    | Protecting biodiversity   | 16 |
|    | 6.8    | Green Public Procurement  | 17 |
|    | 6.9    | Demonstrating legal compliance  | 17 |
|    | 6.10   | Internal communication (and training)   | 18 |
|    | 6.11   | Transparent dialogue with external partners                                       | 19 |
|    | 6.12   | EMAS costs (and savings)  | 20 |
| Ap | pendic | es  |    |
| 1  | •      | JRC Petten data tables  | 21 |

1

# 1 Glossary

|            | Dutch                         | English                           |
|------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| ANVS       | Autoriteit Nucleaire          | Department of Nuclear Safety,     |
|            | Veiligheid en                 | Security and Safeguards           |
|            | Stralingsbescherming          |                                   |
| BHV        | Bedrijfshulpverlening         | In-company Emergency              |
|            |                               | Response Team                     |
| BSI        | British Standards Institute   | British Standards Institute       |
| CPR        | Commissie voor de Preventie   | Committee for the prevention of   |
|            | van Rampen door gevaarlijke   | disasters by hazardous substances |
|            | stoffen                       | 2                                 |
| ECN        | Energieonderzoek Centrum      | Energy Research Centre of the     |
|            | Nederland                     | Netherlands                       |
| EMAS       | Eco-Management and Audit      | Eco-Management and Audit          |
|            | Systeem                       | Scheme                            |
| EMS        | MilieuManagement Systeem      | Environmental Management          |
|            |                               | System                            |
| EPBD       | Europese richtlijn            | Energy Performance of Buildings   |
|            | energiepresentatie gebouwen   | Directive                         |
| EPC        | Energieprestatiecertificaat   | Energy Performance Certificate    |
| GHG        | Broeikasgassen                | Greenhouse gases                  |
| GHS        | Globally Harmonized System    | Globally Harmonized System (of    |
|            | (of Classification and        | Classification and Labeling of    |
|            | Labeling of Chemicals)        | Chemicals)                        |
| HFR        | Hoge Flux Reactor             | High Flux Reactor                 |
| HSC        | Commissie voor Veiligheid,    | Health and Safety Committee       |
|            | Gezondheid en Milieu          |                                   |
| IET        | Instituut voor Energie en     | Institute for Energy and          |
|            | Transport                     | Transport                         |
| IenM       | Ministerie van Infrastructuur | Ministry of Infrastructure and    |
|            | en Milieu                     | Environment                       |
| INO        | Intern Noodplan Onderzoek     | Internal Emergency Plan           |
|            | Locatie Petten                | Research Site Petten              |
| ISO        | Internationale Organisatie    | International Organisation for    |
| ma         | voor Standaardisatie          | Standardization                   |
| JRC        | Gemeenschappelijk Centrum     | Joint Research Centre             |
| 17DI       | voor Underzoek (GCU)          | V. D. C. L. L.                    |
| KPI<br>NDC | Hoordindicatoren              | Key Performance Indicators        |
| NKG        | Nuclear Research and          | Nuclear Research and              |
| OUGAG      | Handlaiding yoor bet          | Occupational Health and Safety    |
| UNSAS      | opzetten van oon APBO         | Assessment Series                 |
|            | managementsysteem (vrij       | Assessment Series                 |
|            | vertaald)                     |                                   |
| D & D      | Onderzoek & Ontwikkeling      | Research & Development            |
|            | Peactor                       | Reactor Safety Committee          |
| KSC        | Veiligheidscommissie          | Reactor Safety Committee          |
| SCBA       | Adembalingstoestel            | Self-contained breathing          |
| SCDA       | Adeninamigstoester            | apparatus                         |
| SES        | Veiligheid Milieu en          | Safety Environment and Security   |
| 525        | Beveiliging (Sector)          | (Sector)                          |
| SSO        | Veiligheidsverantwoordelijke  | Site Safety Officer               |
| VOC        | Vluchtige Organische          | Volatile Organic Compounds        |
|            | Stoffen                       | , onane organie compounds         |
| Wabo       | Wet algemene bepalingen       | Environmental Licensing           |
|            | omgevingsrecht                | (General Provisions) Bill         |
| Ww         | Waterwet                      | Water act                         |
|            |                               |                                   |

### **2** Introduction

This is the JRC-Petten EMAS Environmental Statement 2014, reporting on the results of 2013.

Companies who participate on a voluntary basis with EMAS - 'Eco Management and Audit Scheme' aim to enhance the environmental performance of their organisation by way of continuous improvement. The yearly EMAS Statement is much like an annual report on environmental performance of the organization. It does not only describe the current state of environmental impact of the organization, but also reports on new developments and successes or failures in achieving targets with respect to all environmental activities by JRC-Petten in the 2013 calendar year.

The statement is annually prepared by the Safety, Environment & Security (SES) department of JRC-Petten, based on information provided by other company-internal departments. The statement has been verified by AENOR.

### **3 Executive Summary**

JRC-Petten conducts scientific and technical research activities in the domains of energy technology, renewable energy, energy efficiency, security of energy supply and nuclear reactor safety, some of which require experimental facilities and laboratories.

The JRC-Petten site uses a certified environmental management system (ISO14001:2004), which assures compliance with requirements in terms of licenses and regulations, legislation and charters through operational control of environmental issues.

Based on the results of the environmental analysis and determination of its significant environmental aspects, JRC Petten is taking measures to prevent pollution and to reduce its environmental impact by reducing use of natural resources (mainly energy, water and paper) or by reliance of alternatives (renewable energy). This is achieved by environmental objectives, Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) and actions to improve the environmental performance. The results are shown in the tables in Chapter 6 of this statement. Obtained results by JRC Petten in regards to the consumption of energy, water and other resources is subject to heavy influence by the executed research projects executed by the different units.

### 4 Background

The research activities of the Institute during this reporting period were carried out under the 7th Framework Programme (2007 to 2013) of the Commission. The 7<sup>th</sup> Framework Programme was followed up by an 8<sup>th</sup> Programme, better known as Horizon 2020. This program runs from 2014 to 2020 and also focuses on Research and Innovation. The Framework Programme outlines in general terms the main priorities for Research and Development (R&D) funded by the European Union and forms the legal basis for the work of the JRC and thus also of the Institute for Energy and Transport. Nuclear R&D is approved by the European Council, whereas non-nuclear R&D is approved by a codecision between the European Council and the European Parliament. Since the 7th Framework Programme no significant changes have been made to the activities and focal points of the Institute for Energy and Transport in Petten. However since 2010, there is an increase in the desk top type research with the creation of a new unit which deals with the area of Energy Security. This change has no noteworthy impact on safety, health and environmental issues at the Institute.

JRC-IET Petten has experienced a gradual development towards an Integrated Management System; including quality, environment and safety; over the past decade as these areas receive continuous attention within the European Commission and at JRC-IET. The Environmental Management System (EMS) saw its first certification to ISO14001 awarded in 2004 and has since seen subsequent renewal of certification every 3 years.

The development of a Safety Management System had been completed in 2008 to such an extent, that certification according to OHSAS standard 18001 was achieved in 2009.

Both the ISO14001-conformant EMS and the OHSAS18001-certified Safety Management System are integrated into the overall Integrated Management System of the JRC-IET. As a part of these management systems, JRC-IET does not only assure compliance to environmental, safety and occupational health legislation, but also commits to continually improving its performance in these 3 areas, thus creating a safer and environmental sounder workplace and habitat for species that live on the site.

For reporting purposes, the geographically separated units of the JRC-IET (located in Ispra, Italy) are excluded from this report, since their safety, health and environment related activities are managed by the Ispra Site Management Directorate and since they have no influence the environmental performance of the site in Petten. Where 'Institute' or 'IET' is used in this report it refers solely to the JRC-IET Petten site.

Organization-wise the EMS and Safety Management System are organised and spearheaded from the Safety, Environment and Security (SES) sector, which is part of the Site Management Unit. The SES sector advises the Director and Staff of IET regarding the regulations of occupational health and safety, radiation protection, environmental protection and is monitoring the compliance with the applicable regulations.

The Head of the SES sector is the overall responsible for the sector and ensures monitoring of legislation and acts as the liaison officer with the Dutch and local authorities in regards to environmental and safety issues. He is in charge of communication of safety and environmental related issues towards the management and staff at JRC-IET Petten.

The Site Safety Officer (SSO) manages the occupational incident register and organises accident investigations whenever an incident or accident has occurred. The SSO further coordinates safety and environmental training of staff, liaises with the on-site fire brigade, and provides support to risk assessments as well as advise to staff on a day-to-day basis. During all these tasks the SSO assures that incident prevention and hazard avoidance form the overall guideline for the Safety Programme at the Site. In addition, the SSO supports the management in safety/environmental tours and has a direct line of communication to the Director.

Timely preventive maintenance and legally required inspection of health-and-safety critical equipment (e.g. safety cupboards, hoisting equipment) is performed in close cooperation between the SES sector (identification and verification of requirements) and the Infrastructure sector (organisation of the actual maintenance/inspection).

## 5 EMAS

EMAS stands for 'Eco-Management and Audit Scheme' and is a voluntary scheme for organisations willing to commit themselves to evaluate and improve their environmental performance. Following a pilot study started in 2001, the Commission decided in 2009 to extend this environmental management system to all its activities and buildings in Brussels and Luxembourg as described in Commission Decision C(2009) 6873.



The JRC has stated that it will take into account the Commission-wide policy towards EMAS, starting with ISO14001-certification for all sites.

The Institute for Energy and Transport has been ISO 14001-certified for several years now and will continue to improve in this area. The additional registration for EMAS in 2013 has imposed some changes in our way of work and these changes are documented in our management system.

### **6 SITE ACTIVITIES AND PERFORMANCE**

JRC-Petten (hereafter referred to as Petten) conducts scientific and technical activities in the domains of energy technology, renewable energy, energy efficiency, security of energy supply and nuclear reactor safety, some of which require experimental facilities and laboratories.

#### 6.1 Overview of core indicators at Petten since 2005

Petten has been collecting site data on core indicators since 2010 and the variation in some of the main indicators is shown in Table 5.1.

| Parameter                                  | From:   | To:        | From:   | To:        | Target |
|--|---------|------------|---------|------------|--------|
|  | 2010    | 2013       | 2012    | 2013       | 2013   |
|  | Overall | % per year | Overall | % per year | %      |
| Energy bldgs (KWh/p)                       | -15,0   | -5,00      | 26,3    | 26,3       | -1,00  |
| Energy bldgs (KWh/m <sup>2</sup> )         | -7,4    | -2,46      | 24,9    | 24,9       | -1,00  |
| Water use (l/p)                            | 67,4    | 22,47      | -32,8   | -32,8      | 0,00   |
| Water use (l/m <sup>2</sup> )              | 82,3    | 27,45      | -33,6   | -33,6      | 0,00   |
| Office paper (kg/person)                   | -50,3   | -16,77     | -31,0   | -31,0      | -1,00  |
| Office paper (Shts/person/da               | -50,3   | -16,77     | -31,0   | -31,0      | -1,00  |
| CO <sub>2</sub> bldgs (kg/p)               | -18,6   | -6,19      | 25,4    | 25,4       | -1,00  |
| CO <sub>2</sub> bldgs (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) | -11,3   | -3,77      | 24,0    | 24,0       | -1,00  |
| Non haz.waste (kg/p)                       | 59,8    | 19,93      | 18,6    | 18,6       | -1,00  |

 Table 5.1: Percentage changes in certain core indicators at JRC Petten since 2010

Since 2010 all parameters have decreased significantly except generation of non-hazardous waste. However from 2012 to 2013, in addition to increased waste generation, there was a significant increase in energy consumption which inevitably is accompanied an increase in  $CO_2$  emissions.

#### 6.2 Description of JRC Petten activities

The site is continuously adapting to changes to meet future needs. Current core competences are in the domains of energy technology, renewable energy, energy efficiency, security of energy supply and nuclear reactor safety. Petten has research laboratories for the testing, characterisation and analysis of different products, components, materials and processes. As a reference laboratory, IET is also validating several types of testing methods.

One of Petten's important activities is the training of EU Member State and candidate country scientists. The IET disseminates scientific results by organising scientific events, participating in conferences and workshops and by writing articles for publication in scientific journals. Through research networks, the results are disseminated to national authorities and research centres, industry, and other interest groups. Furthermore, the Institute represents the EC in several energy issue-related committees. Information on the research projects' objectives and results is available on the internet pages of the Commission, JRC and IET.

The site location and layout of buildings is presented below in Figure 5.1.



Figure 6.1: JRC Petten: Site location and layout

The EC owns the High Flux Reactor (HFR) located at the site. However it is operated by Dutch company NRG which also holds the operational licence and consequently is outside the EMAS scope. Buildings 113 (the radiographic laboratory), and 114 (a storage container for redundant components) located adjacent to the HFR, but outside the continuous perimeter of the remainder of the Petten site, are included within EMAS, although the latter was removed in 2013 and the process of transferring building 113 under the license to NRG is still ongoing. A description of the buildings is presented below:

| Bu | ile | di | n  | Ø  | $(\mathbf{s})$ | 1 |
|----|-----|----|----|----|----------------|---|
| Du | 11  |    | 11 | В١ | 3              | , |

#### **Description (and/or status)**

| 308, 309 | Office buildings   |
|----------|--|
| 310      | Large experimental hall  |
| 312, 325 | Office building with some smaller laboratories                               |
| 313      | Offices, central store, mechanical workshop, storage, library, gym           |
| 314/319  | Office, laboratory, workshop   |
| 320      | Offices  |
| 300      | Security, entrance to HFR, operated by the Dutch company NRG, located on HFR |
| 315      | Security   |
| 340      | Storage (maintenance, cars, workshop)  |
| 113/114  | Laboratory, to be transferred to NRG, located on the HFR site                |

#### 6.3 Environmental impact of JRC Petten activities

The results of the analysis of environmental aspects at Petten are summarised in the table below, which is reviewed and updated every year.

| Aspect<br>Group         | Environmental Aspect   | Environmen<br>-tal Impact  | Location/Activity/Product/Service  |         |
|-------------------------|--|--|--|---------|
|                         | Emission of gases (argon, carbon monoxide, etc.)   | FCTEST (fuel cell testing)General, Hydrogen Product<br>Transport and mobility (r<br>commuting, service cars)HySaST SolTeF (Hydrogen<br>Storage and Transport, Sc<br>laboratory). AMALIA lab (r<br>Materials under the effect<br>environmentally assisted<br> | FCTEST (fuel cell testing)   |         |
|                         | Emissions of combustion gases (CO2 and NOx)  |  | General, Hydrogen Production,<br>Transport and mobility (missions,<br>commuting, service cars)   |         |
|                         | Emissions of testing gases   |  | HySaST SolTeF (Hydrogen Safety for<br>Storage and Transport, SolTef-<br>laboratory). AMALIA lab (Ageing of<br>Materials under the effect of<br>environmentally assisted stress<br>corrosion cracking). |         |
| Air,<br>Energy<br>(gas, | Welding (smoke), emission of aerosols to<br>the air (VOC, volatile organic<br>compounds)                           |  | Assembly Room, workshop  |         |
| electricity,<br>fuel)   | Cleaning chemicals, emissions of solvents to the air (VOC)   |  | Workshop   |         |
|                         | Energy for building heating, climate<br>control, steam generator, machines,<br>household utilities, lightning etc. |  |  | General |
|                         | Energy saving measurements taken into<br>account for putting up new buildings or<br>rebuilding existing buildings  |  | Infrastructure   |         |
|                         | Energy consuming hardware: purchase of materials, equipment and machines   |  | IT-service, Infrastructure   |         |
|                         | Geothermal cooling, use of groundwater for cooling process with Fuel cell testing                                  | Warming of groundwater   | FCTEST   |         |
|                         | H(C)FC emissions   | Destruction  | Climate control buildings  |         |

| Table 6.2 – Summary of significant environmental aspects for the Petten |
|---|
|---|

| Aspect<br>Group                        | Aspect<br>Group Environmental Aspect Environmen<br>-tal Impact   |   | Location/Activity/Product/Service   |
|--|--|---|---|
|  |  | of the ozone<br>layer   |   |
| External<br>Safety<br>(hydrogen,       | Hydrogen in production/testing facilities,<br>adequate ventilation and gas detection<br>equipment  | Disturbing /  | FCTEST, HySaST SolTeF, Hydrogen<br>Production   |
| storage<br>dangerous                   | Storage of hazardous substances  | living<br>environment.  | Micro Structured Analysis (MAS),<br>Sample Preparation, Central Store   |
| substances,<br>pressure,               | Use and storage of gas bottles and (high)<br>pressure equipment  | Health risks.   | FCTEST, AMALIA lab, Assembly Room,<br>Workshop, HySaST SolTeF   |
| radiation                              | Radioactive material   |   | Assembly Room, Commissioning area   |
| Local<br>aspects                       | Noise, dust (PM), soil (prevention and history)  | Noise, air and<br>soil pollution,<br>health risks   | FCTEST, Hydrogen Production,<br>HySaST SolTeF, Laboratory ,<br>grinding room, workshop  |
| Waste                                  | Various waste (e.g. packaging material, paper and cardboard, metals)   | Exploitation  | General   |
| Waste<br>(chemical,<br>dangerous)      | Chemical Waste, 'Klein Chemisch Afval'<br>(e.g. batteries), scrap from material used,<br>hazardous waste mainly from<br>Metallography, TEM and SEM                         | materials,<br>producing<br>waste  | Grinding room, Wire-erosion, HySaST<br>SolTeF, MAS,<br>Sample Preparation, Central Store  |
|  | Waste water (housekeeping: cleaning, sanitation and installations)   |   | General   |
| Waste                                  | Salted water, production of deionized water by reversed osmoses  | Risk of<br>eutrophicatio<br>n, pollution<br>of water  | FCTEST, Hydrogen Production   |
| water                                  | Cleaning / rinsing water, cleaning of testing materials and equipment  |   | Micro Structured Analysis (MAS)   |
|  | Heavy metals, waste water contains<br>heavy metals due to grinding   | of water  | Grinding room, wire-erosion   |
| Water (use<br>of)                      | Water for Sanitation and installations, water consumption  | Drying of<br>ground,<br>waste water   | General   |
|  | Choice of ingredients and their origin   | Weakening of<br>ecosystems  | Research and process/activities on site   |
| Bio –<br>diversity                     | Site selection and type of buildings   | Destruction<br>of the natural<br>habitat of the<br>relief.<br>Visual<br>pollution                 | The (real estate/environmental) policy of the EC and JRC Petten site  |
|  | Fossil fuel consumption (heating, cooling, ventilation, electrical equipment and transportation)   | Decrease in   |   |
| Resources                              | Use of paper (office, printing,<br>communication needs)  | natural<br>resources  | General   |
|  | Water consumption (health and technical equipment. i.e. Geothermal installation)   |   |   |
| Procureme<br>nt, funding<br>(indirect) | Indirect environmental aspects of<br>programs to finance. Environmental<br>performance of contractors.<br>Sustainability and impacts of products<br>and services selected. | Impacts on<br>the<br>environment<br>caused by<br>third parties,<br>products and<br>in the 'chain' | 'Sustainable' purchasing: taking<br>account of the environment in the<br>selection and evaluation of projects.<br>Integration of environmental clauses in<br>contracts. |

The environmental aspects in the table above are significant, based on the results of the environmental analysis. Petten is taking measures to prevent pollution and to achieve more efficient use of natural resources (mainly energy, water and paper). A majority of the impacts are followed through the monitoring of indicators.

#### 6.4 More efficient use of natural resources

#### 6.4.1 Energy consumption

#### a) Buildings

Because Petten is a scientific site the consumption of energy and water depends to a significant extent on the activities in laboratories: energy-intensive experiments in one year may be followed by less energy-demanding experiments in the following year. This can give rise to sharp increases or decreases from year to year. Currently no distinction is made between energy and water consumption in offices and in laboratories.



Figures 5.2 and 5.3 illustrate that total energy consumption for buildings (indicator 1a) fell considerably between 2010 to 2012. In 2013 it increased by approximately 20% per person and per square metre as a result of an unusually cold period from January to May 2013. Overall there was a significantly larger number of both hot and cold degree days in 2013 than in 2012 as shown below:

| Comparison of Hot Degree Days (HDD, winter) and Cold Degree Days (CDD, summer) |         |       |         |         |       |         |
|--|---------|-------|---------|---------|-------|---------|
|  | 2012    |       |         | 2013    |       |         |
|  | HDD     | CDD   | Total   | HDD     | CDD   | Total   |
| JRC Petten   | 2,117.0 | 272.0 | 2,389.0 | 2,301.0 | 290.0 | 2,591.0 |
| % change 2012-13   |         |       |         | 8.7     | 6.6   | 8.5     |

In 2013 the air treatment and cooling installations in building 308 operated continuously giving rise to extra gas and electricity consumption. The Fuel Cell laboratory (building 301) consumed more gas for steam production due to specific experiments than is normally the case.

The **2013 target** of a 1% reduction in per capita (and per square metre) energy consumption was not met due to the above mentioned cold period. The **2014 target** is to maintain 2013 levels. Initiatives for continued improvement identified in management approved action plans for are summarised below and registered in the IET Environmental plan 2014.

| Since | Description (and reference)  | Progress<br>in 2013       | Expectations in 2014,<br>and end date (if app) |
|-------|--|---------------------------|--|
| 2013  | Photovoltaic installation at JRC Petten.<br>Installation of photovoltaic panels on the roof of building 310 -<br>120 kWp.  | Installation<br>of 24 kWp | Installation of 120 kWp to be complete in 2014 |
| 2013  | Assess automated information on energy and water usage by<br>the building management system and introducing a way for<br>evaluation and reporting to the units.  | Started                   | To continue in 2014                            |
| 2013  | Study for (additional) environmental measures, with the focus<br>on renewable energy and reduction of the energy and water<br>consumption. The outcome will be ready medium 2014. The<br>results will be translated to concrete projects and carried out in<br>the second half of 2014 and in 2015 and 2016. | Started                   | To continue in 2014                            |

#### b) Site vehicles

Petten has a fleet of just two diesel and one petrol vehicle. There there was no **2013 target** for improved performance. The total energy consumption for vehicles (new indicator 1b) was equivalent to 23 kWh/person, approxiantely 0.001 % of that for buildings. The **2014 target** is to reduce fleet consumption by 2%.

#### c) Renewable energy use in buildings and vehicles

The **2013 target** for overall renewable energy use of buildings (indicator 1c) represented was 1.6% of total buildings energy consumption to be supplied by photovoltaic cells installed onsite. The **2014 target** is to increase this to 2.3%.

#### 6.4.2 Water consumption (indicator 1d)



Figure 6.4 illustrates that water consumption after increasing in 2011 has reduced in 2013. The peak observed in 2011 and 2012 was due to faulty valve control in the water treatment plant of the Fuel cell laboratory in building 310. The Fuel cell laboratory required less water in leading to lower overall water 2013 consumption than in the two previous years. Site water consumption is strongly influenced by activities in building 310, where it is used as process water in technical installations. In 2013 there were periods of very low water consumption on site due to repairs in building 310.

The **2013 target** of not exceeding the 2012 consumption levels was easily achieved with an actual reduction of 34%. The **2014 target** is to not exceed 2013 levels.

#### 6.4.3 Office paper (indicators 1e)



Figure 5.5 shows that paper consumption has reduced considerably since 2010, with the 2013 value representing only 50% of the initial figure. The apparent peak in 2012 may not be real, as paper is purchased infrequently and in large quantities.

The **2013 target** of reducing office paper consumption by 1% was met with an actual reduction of 32%, although as mentioned above this may be due to purchasing patterns rather than those of usage. The **2014 target** is not to exceed the 2013 level of consumption.

2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 The following initiative was identified in a management approved action to more accurately determine paper consumption:

| Since | Description (and reference)                                 | Progress in<br>2013 | Expectations in<br>2014, and end<br>date |
|-------|---|---------------------|--|
| 2013  | Implement a plan to more accurately measure paper inventory | Started             | To continue in 2014                      |

#### 6.5 Reducing emissions of CO<sub>2</sub>, other greenhouse gases and air pollutants

#### 6.5.1 CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from buildings

The following table shows the breakdown of  $CO_2$  emissions by source. These are mainly the result of the reduction of buildings emissions. Refrigerants losses and vehicles emissions, expressed as  $CO_2$  equivalent, are minor in relation, accounting for less than 1% of buildings emissions.

| Table 5.3: Percentage of CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from different sources in 2013 (kg/person) |          |            |  |  |  |  |
|---|----------|------------|--|--|--|--|
| Source  | Quantity | % of total |  |  |  |  |
| Buildings (EMAS)  | 11.789   | 99,78      |  |  |  |  |
| Refrigerants loss   | 20,25    | 0,17       |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicles, all Commission  | 5,53     | 0,05       |  |  |  |  |
| Missions (excluding vehicles)   |          | 0,00       |  |  |  |  |
| Total   | 11.815   | 100,00     |  |  |  |  |

#### a) Buildings (energy consumption)



Figure 6.6 illustrates that  $CO_2$  emissions have reduced since 2010; the 2013 per capita value representing 81% of the initial figure. This is in line with the reduction in energy consumption and therefore to be expected.

The **2013 target** of a 1% reduction in  $CO_2$  emissions was not met with an actual expansion of 24%, mostly due to extra gas and electricity use in the cold period from January to May 2013. The **2014 target** is to maintain the 2013 level of emissions.

#### b) Buildings other greenhouse gases (refrigerants)

The **2013 target** under the IET environmental plan was to reduce GHG emissions by 1%, and this was not achieved. The **2014 objective** is for these emissions not to exceed the 2013 levels. Petten has the following management approved plan in place to phase out installations with R22:

| Since | Description   | Progress in<br>2013 | Expectations in<br>2014, and end<br>date |
|-------|---|---------------------|--|
| 2014  | Phase out all the R22 containing air conditioning<br>units located within the institute before 2014 | Ongoing             | To finish in 2014                        |

Under this (and previous action plans) the number of equipment units to phase out is as follows:

| Table 5.4: | Phase out of | equipment | (with HCFC, | R22), n | umber of | units left | at end o | f year |
|------------|--------------|-----------|-------------|---------|----------|------------|----------|--------|
|            |              |           |             |         |          |            |          |        |

|       | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
|-------|------|------|------|------|
| Total | 15   | 10   | 7    | 4    |

#### 6.5.2 CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from vehicles

#### *a) JRC Petten vehicle fleet*

The **2013 target** of reducing emissions from its five vehicles (of which one electric) by 1% was not met. The manufacturer's actual fleet emissions recorded for 2013 as 242.4 gCO2/km. The **2014 target** for emissions is to reduce the energy consumption of service cars by 2% in relation to 2013.

#### b) Missions (excluding Commission vehicle fleet)

There were no specific targets in 2013 or 2014 or management approved action plans to reduce  $CO_2$  emissions from missions.

#### c) Commuting (and mobility)

There were no specific Petten targets in 2013 or 2014 or management approved action plans to reduce  $CO_2$  emissions from commuting.

#### 6.5.3 Total air emissions of other air pollutants (S0<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, PM, VOC)

The **2013 target** was to reduce atmospheric emissions of  $SO_2$ ,  $NO_x$  and PM expressed in kg/year by 1%. The **objective for 2014** is to reduce (or at least not exceed) the 2013 emissions levels. Both PM10 and  $SO_2$  were below the limit of detection in 2013, similar to 2012. VOC emissions were 21 kg in 2013, down from 25 kg in 2012 due to reduced use of solvents.

NOx emissions from heating installations were 779 kg in 2013 compared with 660 kg in 2012. This represented an 18% increase due to higher gas consumption of heating installations during the cold period lasting from January to May 2013. The NOx emission factors of the gas heating equipment of buildings 310, 311 and 320 are based on technical documentation and account for about 50% of total NOx emissions as was the case in 2012. The NOx emission factors of the gas heating equipment of all other buildings are based on NOx measurements. The logbooks record measured emissions as being within the legal limits.

For emissions to air of  $NO_{x}$ , PM, VOC and  $SO_{2}$ , there were no actions implemented in 2013 and no specific actions planned for 2014. The targeted reductions will be achieved through campaigns of general awareness reminding staff of the importance of reducing resource consumption.

#### 6.6 Improving waste management and sorting



#### 6.6.1 General waste

Figure 6.7 shows that household and paper/carton waste make up a large percentage of the waste and with quantities generated remaining almost stable over the last three years. There has been a greater than 20% increase in the total amount of general waste generated in each of the last three years compared with 2011.

This is due to the collection of large amounts of scrap (particularly in 2013), as several installations reached the end of their life span. Unlike in previous years no electrical equipment waste was generated in 2013, a large clean up having occurred in 2012. Though some waste electrical equipment was gathered throughout the year, this was placed into temporary warehouse storage and there was no need for waste disposal.

The **2013 target** of a 1% reduction in total waste generation was not met with an actual increase of 19%. The **2014 target** is not to exceed the 2013 waste generation levels. There are no specific management approved **actions** for continued improvement.



6.6.2 Controlled Waste

Figure 6.8 indicates total controlled waste has averaged 2kg to 3kg per person in recent years. Laboratory mixed waste became the largest contributor in 2013 because several laboratories were cleaned during the year. There **2013 target** was for a 1% reduction in controlled waste, with the **2014 target** to maintain the level of 2013.

#### 6.6.3 Waste sorting

| Table 5.5: Percentage of waste sorted at JRC Petten |      |      |      |      |  |  |  |
|---|------|------|------|------|--|--|--|
|   | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |  |  |  |
| Percentage of waste sorted                          | 78,2 | 74,3 | 70,1 | 76,1 |  |  |  |

Table 6.5 shows that the proportion of total waste sorted has remained between 70 and 80% over the last four years, however a 9% improvement was recorded in 2013. There was no specific **2013 target** for sorting waste, and the **2014 target** is to achieve the 2013 level of performance.

#### a) Discharges to wastewater

Petten discharges wastewater under its Environmental Permit and is required to undertake sampling on a regular basis, results of which are shown in Table 5.6.

| Table 5.6 Control of discharge  | es to wastewa<br>Limit | <b>o wastewater</b> Concentration (mg/m3)<br>Limit |              |               |            |      |
|---|------------------------|--|--------------|---------------|------------|------|
| Substance   | mg/m3                  | Inorga   | anic emissic | ons to the se | wer system |      |
|   |                        | 2  | 2010         | 2011          | 2012       | 2013 |
| Chloride (Cl-)  | -                      | :  | 260          | 200           | 170        | 210  |
| Evolution %   |                        |  | 0            | -23,1         | -15        | 24   |
| Release of heavy metals to the  |                        |  |              |               |            |      |
| sewer system  | 0                      |  |              |               |            |      |
| Mercury (Hg) - Limit 10mg/m3  | 10                     |  | <0,3         | 0,14          | <0.1       | <0.1 |
| Δ%  |                        |  | 0            | 0             | 0          | 0    |
| Cadmium (Cd) - Limit 20mg/m3  | 20                     | •  | <0,4         | <0,4          | 0,71       | <0.4 |
| Δ%  | 0                      |  | 0            | 0             | 0          | 0    |
| Zinc (Zn)   |                        |  | 180          | 140           | 300        | 300  |
| Δ%  |                        |  | 0            | -22           | 114        | 0    |
| Copper (Cu)   |                        |  | 190          | 220           | 130        | 160  |
| Δ%  |                        |  | 0            | 16            | -41        | 23   |
| Nickel (Ni)   |                        |  | 14           | <5,0          | 11         | 5    |
| Δ%  | The sum of 5           |  | 0            | 0             | 0          | -55  |
| Chromium (Cr)   | metals:                |  | 15           | 6,3           | 5          | 5    |
| Δ%  | 5,000                  |  | 0            | -58           | -21        | 0    |
| Lead (Pb)   |                        |  | <5           | <5,0          | 14         | 5    |
| Δ%  | -                      |  | 0            | 0             | 0          | -64  |
| Arsenic (As)  |                        |  | <2           | <1,5          | 1,7        | 1,5  |
| Δ%  |                        |  | 0            | 0             | 0          | -12  |
| Metals: the sum of the 5 highest  |                        |  |              |               |            |      |
| values - 5000 mg/m3   | 0                      | :  | 399          | 366           | 462        | 475  |
| Δ%  |                        |  | 0            | -8            | 26         | 3    |
| *Exceeding the legal limit as a result of building 113. Corrective actions have been taken.   |                        |  |              |               |            |      |
| **collected in separate tanks an  | d emptied by a         | n external certified com                           | npany, in m3 |               |            |      |
| ***Equals the consumption of water plus the water FCTEST facility (489 m3), minus water collected from chemical laboratories in 312 |                        |  |              |               |            |      |

The data indicate the concentrations in wastewater are below license limits, and therefore demonstrate that Petten complies with the sampling requirements of its wastewater licence (which now forms a part of the environmental licence), for the determinants mentioned above. Although the wastewater permit requires sampling once per year, in order to establish a more complete data series and to better be able to evaluate and react more quickly where necessary to adverse trends, Petten carries out the measurements twice per year. The verification audit of 2013 indicated that better on site record keeping was required including maintaining a logbook.

The action planned for 2013 to adjust the scope of analysis to include mineral oil and EOX (Extractable Organic Halogen compounds) was completed.

#### **6.7 Protecting biodiversity**

The constructed area of buildings (footprint at ground level) in Petten is 13,365  $m^2$ , equivalent to 51  $m^2$  for each staff member. The total area of the site is 305,554  $m^2$ , so the "natural" proportion of the site represents approximately 97% of the total.

There was no specific **2013 target** in relation to biodiversity at the Petten site: the objective in 2012 having been to report on the Natura 2000 site in the Environmental Statement. The **2014 target** is to develop and implement a Natura 2000 Control Plan with the Dutch authorities according to the following management approved action.

| Since | Description (and reference)   | Progress in<br>2013 | Expectations in<br>2014, and end<br>date        |
|-------|---|---------------------|---|
| 2014  | Development and implementation of a NATURA 2000 Control Plan with the Dutch authorities | NA                  | Systematic<br>development and<br>implementation |

#### 6.8 Green Public Procurement

#### 6.8.1 Incorporating GPP into procurement contracts

No specific actions have been undertaken in 2013 but environmental criteria have systematically been considered when defining selection and award criteria, mandatory technical requirements, etc. for every relevant tender procedure. The **2014 target** will be to apply GPP measures developed for the EC and all JRC activities as identified in the following management approved action:

| Since | Description (and reference)   | Progress in<br>2013 | Expectations in<br>2014, and end<br>date |
|-------|---|---------------------|--|
| 2014  | Green Public Procurement will be developed for<br>the EC and all JRC activities. JRC Petten will<br>implement the GPP procedure when ready. | NA                  | Systematic<br>implementation             |

#### 6.8.2 Office supplies contract

There was no specific **2013 target** and no 2014 target for the number of "green" products in the office supply catalogue.

#### **6.9 Demonstrating legal compliance**

#### 6.9.1 Prevention and risk management

Petten conducts active risk and compliance control on analysis, verification planning, execution, registration and carries out a yearly task oriented full review of all legal requirements. The result is an overview of KPIs, results, effects and the status of compliance along with an appreciation of what is and isn't working well. Employee involvement is important, and several instruments are used including:

- Register of (legal) requirements and obligations;
- Annual licence compliance checks (self-assessments);
- Overview legal maintenance and inspections;
- Assurance matrix (implementation in 2014);

- Safety and Environmental Unit Tours (inspection by Unit Head and Site Safety Officer);
- Inspection, by site fire brigade, of the facilities for fire prevention, detection and of fire fighting equipment;
- Internal and external audits; and
- EMAS overview of accountability (checking that the quantitative and qualitative presented data and information in the EMAS Environmental Statement is correct).

#### 6.9.2 Maintaining the site's EMAS registration

The **2013 target** was re-certification of the existing ISO 14001 and EMAS verification for the first time, both of which were achieved. The 2014 target is to maintain the EMAS certification for the entire site. The following management approved action plans were identified to further improve performance.

| Since   | Description (and reference)  | Progress in<br>2013 | Expectations in 2014, and end date |
|---------|--|---------------------|------------------------------------|
| 2013    | Revision of the site's environmental license   | Ongoing             | Continue in 2014                   |
| 2013    | Development and implementation of an overview<br>of all legal requirements and other obligations,<br>and translation of the legal<br>requirements/obligations towards assurance<br>measurements and implement it in the<br>organization. | Ongoing             | Continue in 2014                   |
| 2013/14 | Environmental tours: include environmental aspects in the safety and environmental tours   | Ongoing             | Continue in 2014                   |
| 2013/14 | Environmental programme 2015-17: review the achievement of the environmental programme 2012-14 and prepare of the new 3 year programme (2015-17).  | Ongoing             | Continue in 2014                   |

#### 6.9.3 Compliance with EMAS

The number of (minor) non-conformities generated through EMAS external verifications reduced to one in 2013. Petten monitors the findings of EMAS internal audits and verification audits, and in cooperation with HR COORD ensures that non conformities as well as "scopes for improvement" are followed up.

#### **6.10 Internal communication (and training)**

#### 6.10.1 Internal communication

There have been several internal communication actions such as: EMAS newsletter to all staff in JRC-Petten, presentation of EMAS system during Unit and Management meetings and EMAS posters' campaigns in accordance to the corporate communication campaigns.

#### 6.10.2 Internal trainings



Figure 6.9 shows the evolution in site based training. There were specific awareness and training packages available in 2013, and the **2014 target** is to maintain these.

Regarding awareness, in 2013, the SES (safety, environment, security) unit participated in one Management Meeting, eight Unit meetings, seven newcomers trainings and four Health and Safety Committee meetings.

Regarding training: there were two sessions for BHV refreshment, two for lifting weights safely, one

on freeing passengers out of lifts, four on ergonomics at PC work places, one each on gas safety awareness, OHSAS 18001 auditing, self-contained breathing apparatuses and four first aid.

#### 6.11 Transparent dialogue with external partners

Petten enters into regular external communications, where environmental issues are on the agenda, including participating in meetings with the following stakeholders, contractors and suppliers:

- Gemeente Schagen, relevant in the context of the Omgevingsvergunning (Env.permit)
- Provincie Noord-Holland, relevant in the context of groundwater en 'koude warmte opslag' (Geothermal cooling, cold heat storage)
- Hoogheemraadschap Hollands Noorderkwartier, relevant in the context of wastewater pollution measurements/levy
- GEA Grenco Maintenance cooling equipment
- SITA waste
- Victoria Cleaning
- NUON Energy supply
- ECN + PWN water supply
- Cofely maintenance heating and ventilation equipment
- AMART wastewater pollution measurements 'afvalwaterputten'

#### 6.12 EMAS costs (and savings)

The following table indicates how costs have evolved for running EMAS and for expenditure on energy, water, paper consumption and waste disposal.

| Table 5.7 EMAS costs (and savings)         | Costs   |         |         | Cost savings compared to |         |
|--|---------|---------|---------|--------------------------|---------|
|  | 2010    | 2012    | 2013    | 2010                     | 2012    |
| Total Direct EMAS Cost                     | 0       | 66.000  | 66.000  | -66.000                  | 0       |
| Total Direct Cost per employee             | 0       | 248     | 251     | -251                     | -3      |
| Total buildings energy cost (Eur)          | 430.950 | 324.714 | 399.680 | 31.270                   | -74.966 |
| Total buildings energy cost (Eur/person)   | 1.858   | 1.221   | 1.520   | 338                      | -299    |
| Total fuel costs (vehicles) (Eur)          | 0       | 820     | 957     | -957                     | -137    |
| Total energy costs (Eur/person)            | 0       | 3       | 4       | -4                       | -1      |
| Total water costs (Eur)                    | 5.338   | 15.250  | 10.130  | -4.792                   | 5.120   |
| Water (Eur/person)                         | 23      | 57      | 39      | -16                      | 19      |
| Total paper cost (Eur)                     | 15.632  | 12.912  | 8.805   | 6.827                    | 4.107   |
| Total paper cost (Eur/person)              | 67      | 49      | 33      | 34                       | 15      |
| Waste disposal (general) - unit cost/tonne | 90      | 90      | 90      | 0                        | 0       |
| Waste disposal (general) - Eur/person      | 9       | 12      | 14      | -5                       | -2      |
| Waste disposal (hazardous) - unit cost/ton | 750     | 750     | 750     | 0                        | 0       |
| Waste disposal (hazardous) - Eur/person    | 2       | 5       | 2       | 0                        | 2       |

Costs associated with running EMAS include the combination of staff time and consultancy contracts which are recorded since 2012. In 2013 these were equivalent to 251 EUR per person, little changed from 2012.

Energy expenditure in 2013 was 338 EUR less per person than it had been in 2010. There had been a larger reduction in energy expenditure between 2010 and 2012 (over 500 EUR per person), but energy costs were significantly higher in 2013 when an additional 299 EUR per person was spent compared with the previous year. Vehicle fuel expenditure in 2013 was 137 EUR per person more than in 2012.

Water and paper costs were both lower in 2013 than they had been in 2012, with water costing 18 EUR less per person (but 16 EUR more than in 2010). Savings per employee for paper over the same period was greater, with paper cost per employee in 2013 being 34 EUR less than it had been in 2010, and 15 EUR less than in 2012.

Per capita costs for general waste disposal have risen to around 14 EUR, and remain far higher than those for hazardous waste disposal equivalent to approximately 2 EUR.

# Appendix

## JRC Petten data tables

| Indicator       | Definition  | 2010    | 2011    | 2012         | 2013                |
|-----------------|---|---------|---------|--------------|---------------------|
| (Basic EMAS     | Population: total staff 'EMAS area'                                     | 232     | 229     | 266          | 263                 |
| parameters)     | Δ %   |         | -1,3    | 16,2         | -1,1                |
|                 | Population: total staff   | 232     | 229     | 266          | 263                 |
|                 | ⊿ %   |         | -1,3    | 16,2         | -1,1                |
|                 | No. buildings seeking EMAS registration                                 | 14      | 14      | 14           | 14                  |
|                 | ⊿ %   |         | 0,0     | 0,0          | 0,0                 |
|                 | Total number of buildings   | 14      | 14      | 14           | 14                  |
|                 | ⊿ %   |         | 0,0     | 0,0          | 0,0                 |
|                 | Total useful surface area all buildings in EMAS area, (m <sup>2</sup> ) | 18.400  | 18.400  | 19.150       | 19.150              |
|                 | <u> </u>  |         | 0,0     | 4,1          | 0,0                 |
|                 | Total useful surface area for all buildings, (m <sup>2</sup> )          | 18.400  | 18.400  | 19.150       | 19.150              |
|                 | Δ%  |         | 0,0     | 4,1          | 0,0                 |
|                 | Total site area, (m <sup>2</sup> )                                      | 305.554 | 305.554 | 305.554      | 305.554             |
| T) T 001 1      | <u> </u>  |         | 0,0     | 0,0          | 0,0                 |
| 1) Efficient us | se of resources   |         | 1       | r            | 1                   |
| Ia              | Total energy buildings (elec+gas +fuel) - MWh/yr                        | 8.690   | 6.665   | 6.746        | 8.373               |
|                 | 2 %   | 13,5    | -2,5    | 17,5         | 24,1                |
|                 | kWh/person  | 37.457  | 29.105  | 25.214       | 31.836              |
|                 | Δ%  | -4,9    | -13,9   | 0,0          | 26,3                |
|                 | kWh/m <sup>2</sup>  | 472     | 362     | 350          | 437                 |
|                 | Δ%  | -2,9    | -14,1   | 2,5          | 24,9                |
|                 | 1) of which total supplied electricity (MWh/yr)                         | 3.400   | 2.990   | 2.426        | 3.082               |
|                 | ⊿ %   |         | -12,1   | -18,9        | 27,0                |
|                 | kWh/person  | 14.655  | 13.057  | 9.120        | 11.719              |
|                 |   | 105     | -10,9   | -30,1        | 28,5                |
|                 | KWh/m²  | 185     | -12.1   | -22.0        | 101<br>27.0         |
|                 | comprising "green" (MWh/yr)   | 0       | 0       | 0            | 0                   |
|                 | Percentage of green electricity in mix                                  | 0       | 0       | 0            | 0                   |
|                 | kWh/person  | 0       | 0       | 0            | 0                   |
|                 | kWh/m <sup>2</sup>  | 0       | 0       | 0            | 0                   |
|                 | comprising non "green" (MWh/yr)   | 3.400   | 2.990   | 2.426        | 3.082               |
|                 | ⊿ %   |         | -12,1   | -18,9        | 27,0                |
|                 | Percentage of non green electricity in mix                              | 100     | 100     | 100          | 100                 |
|                 | ⊿ %   |         | 0,0     | 0,0          | 0,0                 |
|                 | kWh/person  | 14.655  | 13.057  | 9.120        | 11.719              |
|                 | ⊿ %<br>kWb/m2   | 185     | -10,9   | -30,1<br>127 | 28,5                |
|                 | 4 0/  | 105     | 10.5    | 22.0         | 27.0                |
|                 | Δ /0  |         | -12,1   | -22,0        | 27,0                |
|                 | ii) of which supplied gas (MWh/yr)                                      | 5.290   | 3.675   | 4.281        | 5.061               |
|                 | ⊿ %   |         | -14,9   | 25,7         | 18,2                |
|                 | kWh/person  | 22.802  | 16.048  | 16.094       | 19.243              |
|                 | Δ %   |         | -29,6   | 0,3          | 19,6                |
|                 | kWh/m <sup>2</sup>  | 288     | 200     | 224          | 264                 |
|                 | ⊿ %   |         | -30,5   | 11,9         | 18,2                |
|                 | iii) of which supplied diesel (MWh/yr)                                  | 0       | 0       | 0            | 0                   |
|                 | kWh/person  | 0       | 0       | 0            | 0                   |
|                 | kWh/m²  | 0       | 0       | 0            | 0                   |
|                 | iv) of which site generated "green" (MWh/yr) $\Delta \%$                | 0,0     | 0,0     | 38,7         | <b>230,0</b><br>495 |
|                 | Installed peak capacity (kWhp)  | 0       | 0       | 24.5         | 145.75              |
|                 | / %   |         |         | ,            | 495                 |
|                 | Assumed output (% of peak capacity)                                     | 18      | 18      | 18           | 18                  |
|                 | Δ%  |         | 0,0     | 0,0          | 0,0                 |

|                    | kWh/person   | 0          | 0              | 145       | 874       |
|--------------------|--|------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|
|                    | Δ%   |            |                |           | 502       |
|                    | <b>kW/b/m</b> 2  | 0          | 0              | 2         | 12        |
|                    | K VY WIII  | v          | U              | 2         | 12        |
| 11                 |  |            | 0              | ( )       | 494,9     |
| 10                 | Total energy service vehicles (petrol + diesel) - MWh/yr | 0          | 0              | 6,2       | 6,0       |
| Indicator          | Definition   | 2010       | 2011           | 2012      | 2013      |
|                    | Δ %  |            |                |           | -3,7      |
|                    | kWh/person   | 0          | 0              | 23        | 23        |
|                    | Δ %  |            |                |           | -2,6      |
|                    | kWh/m²   | 0          | 0              | 0,3       | 0,3       |
|                    | Δ%   |            |                |           | -3,7      |
|                    | Diesel used (litres)                                     |            |                | 400       | 0         |
|                    | Δ%   |            |                |           | -100,0    |
|                    | kWh of energy provided by one litre diesel               | 10,89      | 10,89          | 10,89     | 10,89     |
|                    | Petrol used (litres)                                     |            |                | 200       | 638       |
|                    | Δ%   |            |                |           | 219,0     |
|                    | kWh of energy provided by one litre petrol               | 9,42       | 9,42           | 9,42      | 9,42      |
|                    | Other fuel used if applicable (propane?)                 | 0          | 0              | 0         | 0         |
| 1c                 | Total renewable energy use (MWhr/yr)                     | 0          | 0              | 39        | 230       |
|                    | Δ %  |            |                |           | 494,9     |
|                    | Renewables as % of total energy use                      | 0,00       | 0,00           | 0,57      | 2,75      |
|                    | Δ %  |            |                |           | 379,3     |
| 1d                 | Onsite generated renewables as % of total energy use     | 0,00       | 0,00           | 0,57      | 2,75      |
|                    | $\varDelta$ %  |            |                |           | 379,3     |
|                    | Water consumption (m3)                                   | 2.669      | 6.520          | 7.625     | 5.065     |
|                    | Δ%   |            | 144,3          | 16,9      | -33,6     |
|                    | l/person   | 11.504     | 28.472         | 28.665    | 19.259    |
|                    | ⊿ %  |            | 147,5          | 0,7       | -32,8     |
|                    | l/m <sup>2</sup>   | 145        | 354            | 398       | 264       |
|                    | ⊿ %  |            | 144,3          | 12,4      | -33,6     |
| 1e                 | Paper consumption (tonnes)                               | 9,770      | 4,832          | 8,070     | 5,503     |
|                    | Δ %  |            | -50,5          | 67,0      | -31,8     |
|                    | Paper consumption (kg/person)                            | 42         | 21             | 30        | 21        |
|                    | Δ %  |            | -49,9          | 43,8      | -31,0     |
|                    | Paper Density (g/m <sup>2</sup> )                        | 80         | 80             | 80        | 80,0      |
|                    | Number of sheets per kg                                  | 200        | 200            | 200       | 200       |
|                    | Δ %  |            | 0,0            | 0,0       | 0,0       |
|                    | Number of sheets total                                   | 1.958.073  | 968.414        | 1.617.364 | 1.102.894 |
|                    |  | 0.440      | -50,5          | 67,0      | -31,8     |
|                    | Number of sheets per person                              | 8.440      | 4.229          | 0.080     | 4.194     |
|                    | Working days in the year                                 | 211        | 211            | 211       | 211       |
|                    | No. of sheets per person/ per day                        | 40         | 211            | 211       | 211       |
|                    | 100 of sinces per persons per day                        | 40         | -49.9          | 43.8      | -31.0     |
| 16                 | Offerst names computing (termso)                         | 0.0        | 0.0            | 0.0       | 0.0       |
| 11                 | Paper consumption (tonnes)                               | 0,0        | 0,0            | 0,0       | 0,0       |
| II) De las efferer | raper consumption (kg/person)                            | 0          | - 41           | - 1144    | 0         |
| II) Reduction      | in CO2 (including CO2 equivalent of greenhouse           | gases) and | other air p    | onutants  |           |
| 2a                 | Total building emissions CO <sub>2</sub> (tonnes/yr)     | 3.359      | 2.755          | 2.500     | 3.100     |
|                    | Δ %  |            | -18,0          | -9,3      | 24,0      |
|                    | kgCO <sub>2</sub> /person                                | 14.478     | 12.031         | 9.398     | 11.789    |
|                    | Δ%   |            | -16,9          | -21,9     | 25,4      |
|                    | kgCO <sub>2</sub> /m <sup>2</sup>                        | 183        | 150            | 131       | 162       |
|                    | Δ%   |            | -18,0          | -12,8     | 24,0      |
|                    | of which from electricity (tonnes/yr)                    | 2.281      | 2.006          | 1.628     | 2.068     |
|                    | $\Delta\%$   | 0.674      | -12,1          | -18,9     | 27,0      |
|                    | kgs CO <sub>2</sub> from 1 KWR of electricity            | 0,0/1      | 0,0/1<br>8 761 | 6 120     | 7 862     |
|                    |  | 7.034      | -10.0          | -20 1     | 7.005     |
|                    |  |            | -10,9          | -50,1     | 20,5      |
|                    | kgCO2/m <sup>2</sup>                                     | 124        | 109            | 85        | 108       |
| 1                  | Δ %  |            | -12,1          | -22,0     | 27,0      |

|                | of which from gas (tonnes/yr)                       | 1.079  | 750    | 873   | 1.032        |
|----------------|---|--------|--------|-------|--------------|
|                | Δ%  |        | -30,5  | 16,5  | 18,2         |
|                | Kgs CO <sub>2</sub> from 1 kWh natural gas          | 0,204  | 0,204  | 0,204 | 0,204        |
|                | kgCQ2/nerson  | 4.652  | 3.274  | 3.283 | 3.926        |
|                | Δ%  |        | -29,6  | 0,3   | 19,6         |
| Indicator      | Definition  | 2010   | 2011   | 2012  | 2013         |
|                | kgCO2/m <sup>2</sup>                                | 59     | 41     | 46    | 54           |
|                | Δ %   |        | -30,5  | 11,9  | 18,2         |
|                | of which from diesel (tonnes/yr)                    | 0      | 0      | 0     | 0            |
|                | Kgs $CO_2$ from 1 kWh diesel                        | 0,264  | 0,264  | 0,264 | 0,264        |
|                | kgCO2/person  | 0      | 0      | 0     | 0            |
| 2b             | Charge total des refrigerants (kg)                  |        | 0      | 0     | 382          |
| 20             | Pertes totales des refrigerants (kg)                |        |        |       | 3            |
| data           | Emissions des autres gas en CO2 equivalent (tonnes) | 26,0   | 26,0   | 2,0   | 5,3          |
|                | Δ%  |        | 0,0    | -92,3 | 166,3        |
| Refrigerants   | kgCO2equiv/person                                   | 112,07 | 113,54 | 7,52  | 20,25        |
| coolants       | Δ%  |        | 1,3    | -93,4 | 169,3        |
| with high      | kgCO2equv/m <sup>-</sup>                            | 0,001  | 0,001  | 0,000 | 0,000        |
| Warming        | i) of which <b>B22</b> (kg)                         |        | 0,0    | -92,6 | 0.00         |
| Potential      | GWP   |        |        | 1.810 | 1.810        |
| (GWP)          | tCO2equiv   | 0,0    | 0,0    | 0,0   | 0,0          |
|                | ii) of which R410A (kg)                             |        |        | 1,20  | 0,00         |
|                | GWP   |        |        | 2.090 | 2.090        |
|                | tCO2equiv   |        |        | 2,51  | 0,00         |
|                | iii) of which R134A (kg)                            |        |        | 0,00  | 0,00         |
|                | GWP<br>tCO2equiv                                    |        |        | 1.430 | 1.430        |
|                | iv) of which R404a (kg)                             |        |        | 0,00  | 0,00         |
|                | tCO2equiv   |        |        | 0,00  | 0,00         |
|                | v) of which R407c (kg)                              |        |        | 0,00  | 3,00         |
|                | GWP   |        |        | 1.000 | 1.775        |
|                | tCO2equiv   |        |        | 0,00  | 5,33         |
| 2c             | Site vehicle CO <sub>2</sub> emissions (tonnes)     | 16     | 16     | 2     | 1,5          |
|                | ے کے %<br>kgCQ2/person                              | 69.0   | 60.0   | 75    | -27,3        |
|                | 1 %   | 03,0   | 0,,,   | 7,5   | -26.4        |
|                | of which from diesel                                |        |        | 1,07  | 0,00         |
|                | Δ%  |        |        | ,     | -100,0       |
|                | Kgs CO2 from one litre of diesel                    |        |        | 2,67  | 2,67         |
|                | of which from petrol                                |        |        | 0,46  | 1,45         |
|                | $\varDelta$ %                                       |        |        |       | 219,0        |
|                | Kgs CO2 from one litre of petrol                    |        |        | 2,28  | 2,28         |
|                | of which other fuel (eg propane)                    |        |        | 0,00  | 0,00         |
|                | gCO2/km (manufacturer)                              |        |        |       | 180,00       |
|                | Vehicle kms travelled                               |        |        | 6.000 | 6.000        |
|                | gCO2/km (actual)                                    |        |        | 333,3 | 242,4        |
|                | Δ %   |        |        |       | -27,3        |
|                | (Number of vehicles)                                |        |        | 5     | 5            |
|                | Δ%  |        |        |       | 0,0          |
|                | (kms/vehicle)                                       |        |        | 1.200 | <b>1.200</b> |
| 2d             | Total air emissions bldgs (kg)                      | 805    | 540    | 685   | 800          |
| (SO2, NOx, PM) | Δ%  |        | -32,9  | 26,9  | 16,8         |
| and others?    | of which NOx  | 772    | 540    | 660   | 779          |
|                | Δ %   |        | -30,1  | 22,2  | 18,0         |
|                | of which SO <sub>2</sub>                            | NM     | NM     | NM    | NM           |
|                | of which PM <sub>10</sub>                           | NM     | NM     | NM    | NM           |
|                | others (VOC)  | 33     |        | 25    | 21           |
|                |   |        |        |       |              |
|                |   |        | 1      |       | 1            |

| III) Waste management |   |        |        |               |            |  |
|-----------------------|---|--------|--------|---------------|------------|--|
| 3a                    | Total general waste (tonnes)                  | 22,550 | 34,070 | 34,830        | 40,847     |  |
|                       | ⊿ %   | -7,4   | 1,6    | -1,3          | 17,3       |  |
|                       | Total general waste (kg/person)               | 97     | 149    | 131           | 155        |  |
|                       | ⊿ %   |        | 53,1   | -12,0         | 18,6       |  |
|                       | Household waste (tonnes)                      | 5,080  | 9,120  | 10,630        | 10,208     |  |
|                       | Δ%  |        | 79,5   | 16,6          | -4,0       |  |
| Indicator             | Definition                                    | 2010   | 2011   | 2012          | 2013       |  |
|                       | Paper and cardboard (tonnes)                  | 4,890  | 11,550 | 13,940        | 17,087     |  |
|                       | Δ%  | 6.500  | 136,2  | 20,7          | 22,6       |  |
|                       | Wood (tonnes)                                 | 6,520  | 3,600  | 2,050         | 2,945      |  |
|                       |   | 0.200  | -44,8  | -43,1         | 43,7       |  |
|                       |   | 0,200  | -100,0 | n.a           | -6,7       |  |
|                       | Metal (scran)                                 | 1 300  | 6.000  | 4 800         | 10.075     |  |
|                       | 1 %   | 1,500  | 361 5  | -20.0         | 109.9      |  |
|                       | Electrical equipment (WEEE)                   | 4,560  | 3.800  | 2,840         | 0.000      |  |
|                       | Δ%  | ,      | -16,7  | -25,3         | -100,0     |  |
| 3b                    | Total dangerous waste (tonnes)                | 0,731  | 1,376  | 0,722         | 1,950      |  |
|                       | evolution %                                   |        | 88,2   | -47,5         | 170,1      |  |
|                       | Total dangerous waste (kg/person)             | 3,15   | 6,01   | 2,71          | 7,41       |  |
|                       | <u>A</u> %                                    | 0.278  | 90,7   | -54,8         | 173,2      |  |
|                       | A %   | 0,278  | -9.0   | -55.3         | -51.3      |  |
|                       | Laboratory mixed waste (tonnes)               | 0,000  | 0,216  | 0,080         | 0,365      |  |
|                       | ⊿ %   |        | n.a.   | -63,0         | 356,3      |  |
|                       | Waste oil (tonnes)                            | 0,235  | 0,000  | 0,210         | 0,207      |  |
|                       | ∠ %<br>Filters (toppes)                       | 0.007  | -100,0 | n.a.<br>0.015 | -1,4       |  |
|                       |   | 0,007  | 700.0  | 0,015<br>n a  | 133.3      |  |
|                       | Paint (tonnes)                                | 0.060  | 0.001  | 0.018         | 0.010      |  |
|                       | Δ %   | .,     | -98,3  | 1.700,0       | -44,4      |  |
|                       | Solvent (tonnes)                              | 0,064  | 0,144  | 0,072         | 0,000      |  |
|                       | Δ %   |        | 125,0  | -50,0         | 0,0        |  |
|                       | Spray cans (tonnes)                           | 0,014  | 0,000  | 0,014         | 0,003      |  |
|                       | Δ%  |        | -100,0 | n.a.          | -78,6      |  |
|                       | Medical waste (tonnes)                        | 0,012  | 0,007  | 0,011         | 0,006      |  |
|                       | $\varDelta$ %                                 |        | -41,7  | 57,1          | -45,5      |  |
|                       | Flourescent lamps (tonnes)                    | 0,000  | 0,000  | 0,134         | 0,000      |  |
|                       | ⊿ %<br>Fire extinguisher (tonnes)             | 0.043  | 0.000  | 0.000         | -100,0     |  |
|                       | 1 %   | 0,040  | -100.0 | n.a.          | 0,000      |  |
|                       | Lead-acid battery (tonnes)                    | 0,018  | 0,000  | 0,032         | 0,477      |  |
|                       | ⊿ %   |        | -100,0 | n.a.          | 1.390,6    |  |
|                       | Mercury containing objects (tonnes)           | 0,000  | 0,004  | 0,000         | 0,006      |  |
|                       | ⊿ %   |        | n.a.   | -100,0        |            |  |
|                       | Asbestos material (tonnes)                    | 0,000  | 0,000  | 0,023         | 0,000      |  |
|                       | $\Delta$ %                                    |        | n.a.   | n.a           | -100,0     |  |
|                       | Developer (tonnes)                            | 0,000  | 0,665  | 0,000         | 0,769      |  |
|                       | $\varDelta$ %                                 |        | n.a.   | -100,0        |            |  |
|                       | Cleanser (tonnes)                             | 0,000  | 0,030  | 0,000         | 0,017      |  |
| 2                     |   | 70.2   | n.a.   | -100,0        | 76 1F      |  |
| 30                    | rercentage of waste sorted                    | /8,2   | /4,3   | /0,1          | /0,15      |  |
|                       | Δ %   |        | -5,0   | -5,6          | 8,0        |  |
| IV) Protectin         | g biodiversity                                |        |        |               |            |  |
| 4a                    | Built surface area (m <sup>2</sup> )          |        | 13.365 | 13.365        | 13.365     |  |
|                       | Δ%  |        |        | 0,0           | 0,0        |  |
|                       | Built surface area m <sup>2</sup> /person     |        | 58     | 50            | 51         |  |
|                       | <u> </u>                                      |        |        | -13,9         | 1,1        |  |
|                       | Built surface area as % of site $\Lambda 0$ % |        | 4,4    | <b>4,4</b>    | <b>4,4</b> |  |
|                       | 2170  |        |        | 0,0           | 0,0        |  |

| V) Green procurement |   |                |         |                       |                 |  |  |  |
|----------------------|---|----------------|---------|-----------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| 5a                   | % contrats signé, critères "eco"  |                |         | NR                    | NR              |  |  |  |
| 5b                   | produits verts en catalogue (%)   |                |         | NR                    | NR              |  |  |  |
|                      | produits verts en catalogue fraction  |                |         | NR                    | NR              |  |  |  |
|                      | Valeur total de produits commandés du catalogue (EUR)                                     |                |         | NR                    | NR              |  |  |  |
|                      | Valeur de produits verts commandés (EUR)  |                |         | NR                    | NR              |  |  |  |
| VI) Legal con        | VI) Legal conformity  |                |         |                       |                 |  |  |  |
| / 8                  | % of EMAS registered buildings  |                |         |                       | 100             |  |  |  |
|                      |   |                |         |                       |                 |  |  |  |
| Indicator            | Definition  | 2010           | 2011    | 2012                  | 2013            |  |  |  |
|                      | m <sup>2</sup> of EMAS registered useful floorspace<br>EMAS verification non conformities |                |         |                       | 100<br>1        |  |  |  |
| VII) Commu           | nication  |                |         |                       | 1               |  |  |  |
| 7b                   | No. of difft trainings on offer   |                |         | 5                     | 3               |  |  |  |
| training at          |   |                |         | •                     | -40.0           |  |  |  |
| site level           | No of beneficiaries of training   |                |         | 78                    | 77              |  |  |  |
| she lever            |   |                |         | 70                    | -1.3            |  |  |  |
|                      | Beneficiaries as % of staff   |                |         | 29,3                  | 29,3            |  |  |  |
|                      | Δ%  |                |         |                       | -0,2            |  |  |  |
| VIII) Promot         | ing dialogue with external partners   |                |         |                       |                 |  |  |  |
| XX) ECONO            | MIC COSTS of EMAS and Virtual Value of Iden   | tified Savin   | gs      |                       |                 |  |  |  |
| Direct costs         | Total Direct EMAS Cost  | 0              | 0       | 66.000                | 66.000          |  |  |  |
|                      | Total Direct Cost per employee  | 0              | 0       | 248                   | 251             |  |  |  |
| Of which             | i) Annual direct staff costs (EUR)  | 0              | 0       | 66.000                | 66.000          |  |  |  |
|                      | Annual direct staff costs (time FTE)  | 0              | 0       | 0,5                   | 0,5             |  |  |  |
|                      | Annual cost of one FTE (EUR)  | 132.000        | 132.000 | 132.000               | 132.000         |  |  |  |
|                      | ii) Annual contract costs (EUR)   | 0              | 0       | 0                     | 0               |  |  |  |
|                      | iii) Annual Misc costs  | 0              | 0       | 0                     | 0               |  |  |  |
|                      | Total energy unit cost  |                |         |                       |                 |  |  |  |
| Energy (Bldgs)       | Electricity unit cost (Eur/kWh)   | 0,074          | 0,074   | 0,074                 | 0,074           |  |  |  |
|                      | Gas (Eur/kWh)   | 0.034          | 0.034   | 0.034                 | 0.034           |  |  |  |
|                      | Fuel (Eur/kWh)  | 0.10           | 0.10    | 0.10                  | 0.10            |  |  |  |
|                      | Total buildings energy cost (Eur/person)  | 1.858          | 1.510   | 1.221                 | 1.520           |  |  |  |
|                      | Electricity (Eur/person)  | 1082           | 964     | 674                   | 865             |  |  |  |
|                      | Gas (Eur/person)  | 775            | 546     | 547                   | 654             |  |  |  |
|                      | Fuel (Eur/person)   | 0,00           | 0,00    | 0,00                  | 0,00            |  |  |  |
|                      | Total buildings energy cost (Eur)   | 430.950        | 345.762 | 324.714               | 399.680         |  |  |  |
|                      |   | 1.20           | 1.20    | 1.20                  | 1.20            |  |  |  |
| (vehicles)           | Petrol unit cost- (Eur/litre)   | 1,50           | 1,50    | 1,50                  | 1,50            |  |  |  |
| ()                   | Total cost Diesel (Eur)   | 0              | 0       | 520                   | 0               |  |  |  |
|                      | Total cost petrol (Eur)   | 0              | 0       | 300                   | 957             |  |  |  |
|                      | Total energy costs (Eur/person)   | 0              | 0       | 3,08                  | 3,64            |  |  |  |
|                      | Total fuel costs (vehicles) (Eur)   | 0,00           | 0,00    | 820                   | 957             |  |  |  |
| Water                | Water unit cost (Eur/m <sup>3</sup> )   | 2,00           | 2,00    | 2,00                  | 2,00            |  |  |  |
|                      | Water (Eur/person)  | 23,01<br>5,338 | 56,94   | 57,33                 | 38,52<br>10,130 |  |  |  |
| Paper                | Paper (office) - unit cost/kg   | 1.60           | 1 60    | 1 60                  | 1 60            |  |  |  |
| ruper                | Paper (office) - unit cost/kg   | 8.00           | 8.00    | 8,00                  | 8,00            |  |  |  |
|                      | Paper (office) - Gur/Derson   | 67.38          | 33.76   | 8,00<br><b>18 5</b> 4 | 33.48           |  |  |  |
|                      | Paper (offset) - Eur/person   | 0.00           | 0.00    | 0.00                  | 0.00            |  |  |  |
|                      | Total paper (office) cost (Fur)   | 107.81         | 54.02   | 77.67                 | 53.57           |  |  |  |
|                      | Total paper cost (Eur/person)   | 67,38          | 33,76   | 48,54                 | 33,48           |  |  |  |
|                      | Total paper cost (Eur)  | 15.632         | 7.731   | 12.912                | 8.805           |  |  |  |
| Waste                | Waste disposal (general) - unit cost/tonne  | 90,00          | 90,00   | 90,00                 | 90,00           |  |  |  |
|                      | Waste disposal (general) - Eur/person   | 8.75           | 13.39   | 11.78                 | 13.98           |  |  |  |
|                      | Waste disposal (hazardous) - unit cost/tonne  | 750            | 750     | 750                   | 750             |  |  |  |
|                      | Waste disposal (hazardous) - Fur/person   | 2 36           | 4,51    | 2.04                  | 5.56            |  |  |  |
|                      | Total waste cost (Eur)  | 2.030          | 3.066   | 3.135                 | 3.676           |  |  |  |
|                      | onifin data   | -              | · · ·   | · ·                   | 1               |  |  |  |

|  | Δ%<br>Staffnon statutory<br>Δ%                | 35 | -6,1<br>44<br>25,7 | -15,1<br><b>109</b><br>147,7 | 15,9<br><b>81</b><br>-25,7 |
|--|---|----|--------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Phase out of equipment (with HCFC, R22), number of units left at end of year |   |    |                    |                              |                            |
|  | Phase out of equipment by the end of the year | 15 | 10                 | 7                            | 4                          |
| Notes  | NM: Not Measured                              |    |                    |                              |                            |

Europe Direct is a service to help you find answers to your questions about the European Union Freephone number (\*): 00 800 6 7 8 9 10 11 (\*) Certain mobile telephone operators do not allow access to 00 800 numbers or these calls may be billed.

A great deal of additional information on the European Union is available on the Internet. It can be accessed through the Europa server http://europa.eu.

#### How to obtain EU publications

Our publications are available from EU Bookshop (http://publications.europa.eu/howto/index\_en.htm), where you can place an order with the sales agent of your choice.

The Publications Office has a worldwide network of sales agents. You can obtain their contact details by sending a fax to (352) 29 29-42758.

European Commission EUR 26968 EN – Joint Research Centre – Institute for Energy and Transport

Title: 2014 EMAS Environmental Statement : 2013 Results

Authors: Brian Eriksen, Niels Wagenaar, Pauline Bruin

Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union

2014 – 26 pp. – 21.0 x 29.7 cm

EUR - Scientific and Technical Research series - ISSN 1831-9424 (online)

ISBN 978-92-79-44447-0 (PDF)

#### **JRC Mission**

As the Commission's in-house science service, the Joint Research Centre's mission is to provide EU policies with independent, evidence-based scientific and technical support throughout the whole policy cycle.

Working in close cooperation with policy Directorates-General, the JRC addresses key societal challenges while stimulating innovation through developing new methods, tools and standards, and sharing its know-how with the Member States, the scientific community and international partners.

Serving society Stimulating innovation Supporting legislation

doi:10.2790/007160 ISBN 978-92-79-44447-0

