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#### Abstract

A regular chain group $N$ is the set of integral vectors orthogonal to rows of a matrix representing a regular matroid, i.e., a totally unimodular matrix. Introducing canonical forms of an equivalence relation generated by $N$ and a special basis of $N$, we improve several results about polynomials counting elements of $N$ and find new bounds and formulas for these polynomials.


## 1. Introduction

Regular matroids are representable by totally unimodular matrices. Other equivalent characterizations are in [22, Chapter 13] or [8, 23, 28, 27]. A regular chain group $N$ on a finite set $E$ consists of integral vectors (called chains) indexed by $E$ and orthogonal to rows of a totally unimodular matrix (i.e., a representative matrix of a regular matroid). Suppose that $Q(N ; k)$ denotes the number of chains from $N$ with values from $\{ \pm 1, \ldots, \pm(k-1)\}$. Moreover, if the coordinates with negative values are indexed by elements of $X \subseteq E($ resp. are considered $\bmod k)$, denote this number by $Q(N, X ; k)$ (resp. $P(N ; k)$ ). It is known that $Q(N ; k)$ and $P(N ; k)$ are polynomials in variable $k$ and are sums of $Q(N, X ; k)$ where $X$ runs through different subsets of $E$ (determined by an equivalence relation $\sim$ on the powerset of $E$ ). Furthermore $Q(N, X ; k)$ is an Ehrhard polynomial of an integral polytope and $P(N ; k)$ is the characteristic polynomial of the dual of the matroid accompanied with $N$.

Basic properties of regular chain groups are surveyed in the second section. In the last section we introduce a canonical representation of equivalence classes of the relation $\sim$, characterize $k$ for which all nontrivial $Q(N, X ; k)$ are nonzero and find a basis satisfying a triangular condition and consisting of chains such that all coordinates with negative values are covered by a

[^0]fixed set. Using these results we establish inequalities between polynomials $Q(N ; k)$ and $P(N ; k)$ and introduce formulas expressing growth of $Q(N ; k)$, $P(N ; k)$, and the Tutte polynomial of regular matroids.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section we recall some basic properties of regular matroids and regular chain groups presented in $[2,22,24,25,26,28]$.

Throughout this paper, $E$ denotes a finite nonempty set. The collection of mappings from $E$ to a set $S$ is denoted by $S^{E}$. If $R$ is a ring, the elements of $R^{E}$ are considered as vectors indexed by $E$ and we will use the notation $f+g,-f$, and $s f$ for $f, g \in R^{E}$ and $s \in R$. A chain on $E$ (over $R$, or simply an $R$-chain) is $f \in R^{E}$ and the support of $f$ is $\sigma(f)=\{e \in E ; f(e) \neq 0\}$. We say that $f$ is proper if $\sigma(f)=E$. The zero chain (denoted by 0 ) has null support. Given $X \subseteq E$ and $f \in R^{E}$, let $\rho_{X}(f) \in R^{E}$ be defined so that for each $e \in E$,

$$
\left[\rho_{X}(f)\right](e)=\left\{\begin{aligned}
-f(e) & \text { if } e \in X, \\
f(e) & \text { if } e \notin X
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

and let $\rho_{X}(Y)=\left\{\rho_{X}(f), f \in Y\right\}$ for any $Y \subseteq R^{E}$. Furthermore, define by $f^{\backslash X} \in R^{E \backslash X}$ such that $f^{\backslash X}(e)=f(e)$ for each $e \in E \backslash X$.

A matroid $M$ on $E$ of rank $r(M)$ is regular if there exists an $r \times n(r=$ $r(M), n=|E|$ ) totally unimodular matrix $D$ (called a representative matrix of $M$ ) such that independent sets of $M$ correspond to independent sets of columns of $D$. For any basis $B$ of $M, D$ can be transformed to a form $\left(I_{r} \mid U\right)$ such that $I_{r}$ corresponds to $B$ and $U$ is totally unimodular. The dual of $M$ is a regular matroid $M^{*}$ with a representative matrix $\left(-U^{T} \mid I_{n-r}\right)$ (where $I_{n-r}$ corresponds to $E \backslash B$ ).

By a regular chain group $N$ on $E$ (associated with $D$ ) we mean a set of chains on $E$ over $\mathbb{Z}$ that are orthogonal to each row of $D$ (i.e., are integral combinations of rows of a representative matrix of $M^{*}$ ). The set of chains orthogonal to every chain of $N$ is a chain group called orthogonal to $N$ and denoted by $N^{\perp}$ (clearly, $N^{\perp}$ is the set of integral combinations of rows of $D)$. By rank of $N$ we mean $r(N)=n-r(M)=r^{*}(M)$. Then $r\left(N^{\perp}\right)=$ $n-r(N)=r(M)$.

Throughout this paper, we always assume that a regular chain group $N$ is associated with a matrix $D=D(N)$ representing a matroid $M=M(N)$.

For any $X \subseteq E$, define by

$$
\begin{align*}
& N-X=\left\{f^{\backslash X} ; f \in N, \sigma(f) \cap X=\emptyset\right\}, \\
& N / X=\left\{f^{\backslash X} ; f \in N\right\} . \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Clearly, $M(N-X)=M-X$ and $D(N-X)$ arises from $D(N)$ after deleting the columns corresponding to $X$. Furthermore $(N-X)^{\perp}=N^{\perp} / X$, $(N / X)^{\perp}=N^{\perp}-X$, and $M(N / X)=M / X$.

A chain $f$ of $N$ is elementary if there is no nonzero $g$ of $N$ such that $\sigma(g) \subset \sigma(f)$. An elementary chain $f$ is called a primitive chain of $N$ if the coefficients of $f$ are restricted to the values 0,1 , and -1 . (Notice that the set of supports of primitive chains of $N$ is the set of circuits of $M(N)$.) We say that a chain $g$ conforms to a chain $f$, if $g(e)$ and $f(e)$ are nonzero and have the same sign for each $e \in E$ such that $g(e) \neq 0$. By [25, Section 6.1]),

> every chain $f$ of $N$ can be expressed as a sum of primitive chains in $N$ that conform to $f$.

Let $A$ be an Abelian group with additive notation. We shall consider $A$ as a (right) $\mathbb{Z}$-module such that the scalar multiplication $a \cdot z$ of $a \in A$ by $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ is equal to 0 if $z=0, \sum_{1}^{z} a$ if $z>0$, and $\sum_{1}^{-z}(-a)$ if $z<0$. Similarly if $a \in A$ and $f \in \mathbb{Z}^{E}$ then define $a \cdot f \in A^{E}$ so that $(a \cdot f)(e)=a \cdot f(e)$ for each $e \in E$. If $N$ is a regular chain group on $E$, define by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A(N)=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} \cdot f_{i} ; a_{i} \in A, f_{i} \in N, m \geq 1\right\} \\
& A[N]=\{f \in A(N) ; \sigma(f)=E\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that $A(N)=N$ if $A=\mathbb{Z}$. By $[2$, Proposition 1],

$$
\begin{gather*}
g \in A^{E} \text { is from } A(N) \text { if and only if for each } f \in N^{\perp} \\
\sum_{e \in E} g(e) \cdot f(e)=0 \tag{2.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $P(N, A)=|A[N]|$ and denote by $P(N ; k)=P\left(N, \mathbb{Z}_{k}\right)=\left|\mathbb{Z}_{k}[N]\right|$.
We will denote by $\mathbb{Z}_{+}$the set of positive integers. Define by

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{k} & =\{f \in N ; 1 \leq|f(e)| \leq k-1 \text { for each } e \in E\} \\
N_{k}(X) & =\left\{f \in N_{k} ; \rho_{X}(f) \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{E}\right\}, \quad X \subseteq E
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $Q(N ; k)=\left|N_{k}\right|$ and $Q(N, X ; k)=\left|N_{k}(X)\right|, X \subseteq E$. Clearly, $N_{k}$ is equal to the (disjoint) union of $N_{k}(X)$ where $X$ runs through all subsets of $E$.

We denote by $\mathcal{P}(E)$ the set of subsets of $E$. For any $X \subseteq E$ denote by $\chi_{X} \in \mathbb{Z}^{E}$ such that $\chi_{X}(e)=1$ (resp. $\chi_{X}(e)=0$ ) for each $e \in E$ (resp. $e \in E \backslash X)$.

Define the equivalence relation $\sim$ on $\mathcal{P}(E)$ by: $X, X^{\prime} \in \mathcal{P}(E)$ satisfies $X \sim X^{\prime}$ if and only if $\chi_{X}-\chi_{X^{\prime}} \in N$. The set of the equivalence classes will be denoted by $\mathcal{P}(E) / \sim$. By [2, Proposition $3(\mathrm{a})]$,
(2.4) for each $\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{P}(E) / \sim$ and $X, X^{\prime} \in \mathcal{X}, Q(N, X ; k)=Q\left(N, X^{\prime} ; k\right)$.

Thus we can define $Q(N, \mathcal{X} ; k)$ to be equal $Q(N, X ; k)$ for some $X \in \mathcal{X}$.
We say that $X \subseteq E$ is positive if $\rho_{X}(N) \cap \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{E} \neq \emptyset$. We say $\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{P}(E) / \sim$ is positive whenever some element of $\mathcal{X}$ is positive (because by (2.4) every element of $\mathcal{X}$ will be positive). We shall denote the set of positive
elements of $\mathcal{P}(E)$ (resp. of $\mathcal{P}(E) / \sim$ ) by $O(N)^{+}$(resp. $\left.\mathcal{O}(N)^{+}\right)$. By Propositions $3,7,10$, and 15 from [2] we have
if $X \in O(N)^{+}$, then $Q(N, X ; k)$ is a polynomial in $k$ of degree $r(N)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|O(N)^{+}\right|=(-1)^{r(N)} P(N ;-1),  \tag{2.5}\\
& \left|\mathcal{O}(N)^{+}\right|=(-1)^{r(N)} P(N ; 0), \\
& P(N ; k)=\sum_{\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{P}(E) / \sim} Q(N, \mathcal{X} ; k)=\sum_{\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{O}(N)^{+}} Q(N, \mathcal{X} ; k) .
\end{align*}
$$

For a chain $f$ of $N$ we shall call it a $k$-chain if $0 \leq f(e) \leq k-1$ for each $e \in E$. For any $X \subseteq E$, denote by $\bar{N}_{k}(X)$ the set of all $k$-chains of $\rho_{X}(N)$ and define $\bar{Q}(N, X ; k)=\left|\bar{N}_{k}(X)\right|$. Furthermore, $\bar{Q}(N, X ; k)=\bar{Q}\left(N, X^{\prime} ; k\right)$ if $X, X^{\prime} \in \mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{P}(E) / \sim$, and we can define $\bar{Q}(N, \mathcal{X} ; k)=\bar{Q}(N, X ; k)$ for some $X \in \mathcal{X}$. By Propositions 9 and 12-14 from [2] we have

$$
\begin{align*}
Q(N, X ;-k) & =(-1)^{r(N)} \bar{Q}(N, X ; k+1), \text { for each } X \in O(N)^{+}, \\
|\mathcal{X}| & =\bar{Q}(N, \mathcal{X} ; 2), \text { for each } \mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{O}(N)^{+}, \\
P(N ; k) & =\sum_{X \in O(N)^{+}} \frac{Q(N, X ; k)}{\bar{Q}(N, X ; 2)},  \tag{2.6}\\
P(N ;-k) & =(-1)^{r(N)} \sum_{X \in O(N)^{+}} \frac{\bar{Q}(N, X ; k+1)}{\bar{Q}(N, X ; 2)} \\
& =(-1)^{r(N)} \sum_{\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{O}(N)^{+}} \bar{Q}(N, \mathcal{X} ; k+1) .
\end{align*}
$$

The reciprocity law expressed in the first row of (2.6) follows from the fact that $Q(N, X ; k)$ is an Ehrhart polynomial of an integral polytope (for more details see [2, Section III.2] and [12, Theorem 5.1, Corollary B.1, p.23]). From definition of $Q(N ; k),(2.4)$, and (2.6) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
Q(N ; k) & =\sum_{X \in O(N)^{+}} Q(N, X ; k)=\sum_{\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{O}(N)^{+}} Q(N, \mathcal{X} ; k) \bar{Q}(N, \mathcal{X} ; 2), \\
Q(N ;-k) & =(-1)^{r(N)} \sum_{X \in O(N)^{+}} \bar{Q}(N, X ; k+1)  \tag{2.7}\\
& =(-1)^{r(N)} \sum_{\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{O}(N)^{+}} \bar{Q}(N, \mathcal{X} ; k+1) \bar{Q}(N, \mathcal{X} ; 2) .
\end{align*}
$$

## 3. Properties of chain polynomials

Let $N$ be a regular chain group on $E$. Then $e$ is called a loop (resp. isthmus) of $N$ if $\chi_{e} \in N$ (resp. $\chi_{e} \in N^{\perp}$ ), i.e., if $e$ is a loop (resp. isthmus) of $M(N)$.

Lemma 3.1. $P(N ; k)=P(N, A)$ for any Abelian group of order $k$. If $N$ has an isthmus, then $P(N ; k)=0$ and $P(N ; k)$ has degree $r(N)$ otherwise. Furthermore,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
P(N ; k)=(k-1) P(N-e ; k) & \text { if } e \in E \text { is a loop in } N, \\
P(N ; k)=P(N / e ; k)-P(N-e ; k) & \text { if } e \in E \text { is not a loop in } N .
\end{array}
$$

Proof. We use induction on $|E|$. Formally we allow $E=\emptyset$ and define $P(N ; k)=P(N, A)=1$ in this case. If $e$ is a loop (resp. isthmus) of $N$ then from $(2.3), P(N, A)=(k-1) P(N-e, A)($ resp. $P(N, A)=P(N / e, A)-$ $P(N-e, A)), N-e=N / e, r(N-e)=r(N / e)=r(N)-1$, and the statement holds true by the induction hypothesis.

If $e$ is neither an isthmus nor a loop of $N$, then there exists $f \in N^{\perp}$ such that $f(e) \neq 0$ and $f \neq \chi_{e}$. Given $g \in A^{E \backslash e}$ and $x \in A$ let $g_{x} \in A^{E}$ be defined so that $g_{x} e=g$ and $g_{x}(e)=x$. If $g \in A[N / e]$, then by (2.1) there exists $a \in A$ such that $g_{a} \in A(N)$. By (2.3), $g_{a}$ must be orthogonal to $f$, whence $a$ is unique. Furthermore, if $a=0$ (resp. $a \neq 0$ ) then by (2.1), $g \in A[N-e]$ (resp. $g_{a} \in A[N]$ ), i.e., $g \mapsto g_{a}$ is a bijection from $A[N / e]$ to the disjoint union of $A[N]$ and $A[N-e]$. Thus $P(N / e, A)=P(N, A)+P(N-e, A)$, $r(N / e)=r(N)=r(N-e)+1$, and the statement holds true by the induction hypothesis.

By Lemma 3.1, $P(N ; k)$ is the characteristic polynomial of $M(N)^{*}$ (see [1, 29]). Thus the characteristic polynomial of regular matroid $M(N)^{*}$ counts the number of proper $A$-chains for any Abelian group $A$ of order $k$.

Let $H \subseteq E$ and $\ell$ be a labeling of elements of $E$ by pairwise different integers. For any $f \in N$ denote by $e_{f} \in E$ such that

$$
\ell\left(e_{f}\right)=\min \{\ell(e) ; e \in \sigma(f)\}
$$

We say that $f$ is $(H, \ell)$-compatible if $f\left(e_{f}\right)$ and $\left(\chi_{H}-\chi_{E \backslash H}\right)\left(e_{f}\right)$ have the same sign. Denote by $O_{H, \ell}(N)$ the subset of $O(N)^{+}$consisting of sets $X$ such that each $c \in \bar{N}_{2}(X)$ is ( $H, \ell$ )-compatible.

Lemma 3.2. $\left|O_{H, \ell}(N) \cap \mathcal{X}\right|=1$ for each $\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{O}(N)^{+}$.
Proof. For each $X \in O\left(N^{+}\right)$define

$$
\ell_{X}=\min \left\{\ell\left(e_{c}\right) ; c \in \bar{N}_{2}(X), c\left(e_{c}\right) \neq\left(\chi_{H}-\chi_{E \backslash H}\right)\left(e_{c}\right)\right\}
$$

and write $\ell_{X}=\infty$ if each $c \in \bar{N}_{2}(X)$ is $(H, \ell)$-compatible. If $\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{O}(N)^{+}$, choose $X \in \mathcal{X}$ with the maximal $\ell_{X}$. If $\ell_{X}<\infty$, there exists $c \in \bar{N}_{2}(X)$ such that $\ell\left(e_{c}\right)=\ell_{X}$ and (applying the definition of $\sim$ ) choose $X^{\prime} \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $\chi_{X^{\prime}}-\chi_{X}=c$. Thus $-c \in \bar{N}_{2}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ is $(H, \ell)$-compatible, whence $\ell_{X^{\prime}}>\ell_{X}$, a contradiction with the choice of $X$. Therefore $\ell_{X}=\infty$, i.e., $X \in O_{H, \ell}(G)$. On the other hand if $X^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{X}$ and $X^{\prime \prime} \neq X$, then $c^{\prime \prime}=\chi_{X^{\prime \prime}}-\chi_{X} \in \bar{N}_{2}(X)$ is $(H, \ell)$-compatible and $-c \in \bar{N}_{2}\left(X^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is not $(H, \ell)$-compatible. Therefore $O_{H, \ell}(G) \cap \mathcal{X}=\{X\}$.

Notice that $\mathbb{Z}_{k}[N, X] \neq \emptyset$ for each $X \in O_{H, \ell}(N)$ if and only if $k \geq|\sigma(\tilde{g})|$ where $\tilde{g}$ is a primitive chain in $N^{\perp}$ with the maximal $|\sigma(\tilde{g})|$. This follows from the following statement.

Proposition 3.3. $Q(N, X ; k) \neq 0$ for each $X \in O(N)^{+}$if and only if $k \geq|\sigma(g)|$ for each primitive chain $g$ of $N^{\perp}$.
Proof. The proof is trivial if $O(N)^{+}=\emptyset$. Assume that $O(N)^{+} \neq \emptyset$.
For $f \in \mathbb{Z}^{E}$, denote by $\sigma^{+}(f)\left(\sigma^{-}(f)\right)$ the number of positive (negative) coefficients of $f$, i.e., $\sigma^{+}(f)+\sigma^{-}(f)=|\sigma(f)|$. Assume that $D(N)$ has the form $\left(I_{r} \mid U\right)$ and let $D_{X}$ be the matrix arising from $\left(I_{r} \mid U\right)$ after changing the signs of all entries from the columns corresponding to $X$. Then $\rho_{X}\left(N_{k}(X)\right)$ is the set of chains on $E$ that are orthogonal to all rows of $D_{X}$ and have coordinates from 1 to $k-1$. In other words, $\left|N_{k}(X)\right|=\left|\rho_{X}\left(N_{k}(X)\right)\right| \neq 0$ if and only if the integral polyhedron

$$
\mathbf{P}_{k}=\left\{\mathbf{y} ; \mathbf{1} \leq \mathbf{y} \leq \mathbf{k}-\mathbf{1}, D_{X} \mathbf{y}=\mathbf{0}\right\}
$$

is nonempty. With respect to the construction of $D_{X}$, (arising from $\left(I_{r} \mid U\right)$ after changing the signs of all entries from the columns corresponding to $X$ ), $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}$ are $\{0, \pm 1\}$-vectors satisfying $\mathbf{u} D_{X}=\mathbf{v}$ if and only if $\mathbf{v}$ is from the set

$$
C_{1}=\left\{\rho_{X}(f) ; f \in N^{\perp},|f(e)| \leq 1 \text { for each } e \in E\right\}
$$

Thus by [24, Corollary 21.3a] (see also [14, Section 3]), $\mathbf{P}_{k} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\sigma^{-}(c) \leq(k-1) \sigma^{+}(c)$ for every $c \in C_{1}$. Hence by (2.2), $\mathbf{P}_{k} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\sigma^{-}\left(\rho_{X}(g)\right) \leq(k-1) \sigma^{+}\left(\rho_{X}(g)\right)$ for each primitive chain $g$ in $N^{\perp}$ (notice that by (2.3), $\sigma^{+}\left(\rho_{X}(g)\right), \sigma^{-}\left(\rho_{X}(g)\right)$ must be nonzero for $\left.X \in O(N)^{+}\right)$. Thus if $\tilde{g}$ is a primitive chain in $N^{\perp}$ with the maximal $|\sigma(\tilde{g})|$, then $N_{k}(X) \neq \emptyset$ for each $k \geq|\sigma(\tilde{g})|$ and $X \in O(N)^{+}$.

Denote by $\tilde{E}=\sigma(\tilde{g}), E^{\prime}=E \backslash \tilde{E}, Y=\{e \in E ; \tilde{g}(e)<0\}$, and $\tilde{N}=N / E^{\prime}$. Then $\tilde{N}^{\perp}=N^{\perp}-E^{\prime}$, whence by (2.1), $\tilde{g} \backslash E^{\prime}$ is the unique primitive chain in $\tilde{N}^{\perp}$ and thus by (2.3), $\left\{\left(\rho_{Y}\left(\chi_{e}-\chi_{e^{\prime}}\right) \backslash E^{\prime} ; e, e^{\prime} \in \tilde{E}, e \neq e^{\prime}\right)\right\} \subseteq \tilde{N}$. Choose $\tilde{e} \in \tilde{E}$ and define by $\tilde{X}=Y \backslash \tilde{e} \cup \tilde{e} \backslash Y$. Then $\left(\rho_{\tilde{X}}(\tilde{g})\right) \backslash E^{\prime}=\left(\chi_{\tilde{E} \backslash \tilde{e}}-\chi_{\tilde{e}} \backslash E^{\prime}\right.$,

$$
\left\{\left(\rho_{\tilde{X}}\left(\chi_{\{\tilde{e}, e\}}\right)\right)^{\backslash E^{\prime}} ; e \in \tilde{E} \backslash \tilde{e}\right\} \subseteq \tilde{N}
$$

and $\tilde{f}=\rho_{\tilde{X}}\left(\sum_{e \in \tilde{E} \backslash \tilde{e}} \chi_{\{\tilde{e}, e\}}\right)$ satisfies $\sigma(\tilde{f})=\tilde{E}$ and $\tilde{f} \backslash E^{\prime} \in \tilde{N}$. Hence $\tilde{X} \in$ $O(\tilde{N})^{+}$. Consider a proper chain $\bar{f} \in N$ (that exists because $\left.O(N)^{+} \neq \emptyset\right)$. Then $f^{\prime}=\bar{f}+\left(1+\sum_{e \in E}|\bar{f}(e)|\right) \tilde{f}$ is proper and $\left(\rho_{\tilde{X}}\left(f^{\prime}\right)\right) \backslash E^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{\tilde{E}}$, whence $X^{\prime}=\left\{e \in E ; f^{\prime}(e)<0\right\} \in O(N)^{+}$and $X^{\prime} \cap \tilde{E}=\tilde{X}$. If $f \in N_{k}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$, then $\rho_{X^{\prime}}(f) \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{E}$ and by (2.3), $\rho_{X^{\prime}}(f)$ is orthogonal to $\rho_{X^{\prime}}(\tilde{g})=\chi_{\tilde{E} \backslash \tilde{e}}-\chi_{\tilde{e}}$, i.e., $|f(\tilde{e})| \geq|\tilde{E} \backslash \tilde{e}|=|\sigma(\tilde{g})|-1$. Thus $N_{k}\left(X^{\prime}\right)=\emptyset$ for each $k \leq|\sigma(\tilde{g})|-1$, concluding the proof.

We say that a sequence of primitive chains $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{r} \in \bar{N}_{2}(X)(r=r(N)$, $\left.X \in O(N)^{+}\right)$is a triangular $X$-basis of $N$ if there exist $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{r} \in E$ such that $e_{i} \in \sigma\left(c_{i}\right), e_{i} \notin \sigma\left(c_{j}\right)$ for each $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, r\}, i<j$. Clearly, any
triangular $X$-basis is a basis of the linear hull of $N$. Therefore for each $f \in N$ there are numbers $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{r}$ such that $f=\sum_{i=1}^{r} z_{i} c_{i}$ and thus $z_{1}=f\left(e_{1}\right)$, $z_{2}=f\left(e_{2}\right)-z_{1}, \ldots, z_{r}=f\left(e_{r}\right)-z_{1}-\cdots-z_{r-1}$ are integral.
Lemma 3.4. For each regular chain group $N$ on $E$ and $X \in O(N)^{+}$there exists a triangular $X$-basis of $N$.
Proof. Choose a primitive chain $c_{1} \in \bar{N}_{2}(X)$ and $e_{1} \in E$ such that $c_{1}\left(e_{1}\right)=$ 1. Let $E^{\prime} \subseteq E$ be defined so that $e_{1} \in E^{\prime}$ and $E^{\prime} \backslash e_{1}$ is the set of isthmuses in $N-e_{1}$. Notice that $N$ has no isthmus because $O(N)^{+} \neq \emptyset$. Thus by (2.1), $N^{\perp}$ must contain a chain of form $\chi_{e_{1}} \pm \chi_{e}$ for each $e \in E^{\prime} \backslash e_{1}$. Since each chain from $N^{\perp}$ is orthogonal to $c_{1}$, we have $\rho_{X}\left(\chi_{e_{1}}-\chi_{e}\right) \in N^{\perp}$. Then by (2.3), $\left[\rho_{X}(f)\right]\left(e_{1}\right)=\left[\rho_{X}(f)\right](e)$ for every $e \in E^{\prime} \backslash e_{1}$ and $f \in N$, whence $r\left(N-E^{\prime}\right)=r(N)-1$. Thus applying the induction hypothesis on $N-E^{\prime}$ and $X \backslash E^{\prime} \in O\left(N-E^{\prime}\right)^{+}$we can extend $c_{1}$ and $e_{1}$ into a triangular $X$-basis of $N$ (considering chains from $N-E^{\prime}$ as chains from $N$ after setting the undefined coordinates to be 0 ).

We claim that for each regular chain group $N$ and $X \in O(N)^{+}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(N)+1 \leq \bar{Q}(N, X ; 2) \leq 2^{r(N)} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, $\bar{N}_{2}(X)$ contains the zero chain and at least $r(N)$ nonzero chains by Lemma 3.4. This implies the left hand side. The right hand side follows from the fact that each $c \in \bar{N}_{2}(X)$ is a linear combination of rows of a representative matrix of $M(N)^{*}$ having form $\left(-U^{T} \mid I_{r(N)}\right)$ such that $I_{r(N)}$ corresponds to a base $B^{*}$ of $M(N)^{*},\left|B^{*}\right|=r(N)$, and that $c(e) \in\left\{0,\left[\rho_{X}\left(\chi_{E}\right)\right](e)\right\}$ for every $e \in B^{*}$.

For example let $g$ be a chain on $E$ such that $g(\tilde{e})=-1$ for a fixed $\tilde{e} \in E$ and $g(e)=1$ for $e \in E, e \neq \tilde{e}$. Consider $N$ so that $g$ is the unique primitive chain of $N^{\perp}$. By (2.3), $\left\{ \pm \rho_{\tilde{e}}\left(\chi_{e}-\chi_{e^{\prime}}\right), e, e^{\prime} \in E, e \neq e^{\prime}\right\}$ is the set of primitive chains of $N$, whence $\bar{N}_{2}(\{\tilde{e}\})=\left\{\chi_{\emptyset}\right\} \cup\left\{\chi_{e, \tilde{e}} ; e \in E, e \neq \tilde{e}\right\}$. Thus $\bar{Q}(N,\{\tilde{e}\} ; 2)=|E|=r(N)+1$, i.e., the left hand side of (3.1) is tense.

If $N$ has $|E|$ loops, then $N_{2}(X)=\left\{\rho_{X}\left(\chi_{Y}\right) ; Y \subseteq E\right\}$, whence $Q(N, X ; 2)=$ $2^{|E|}=2^{r(N)}$ for each $X \subseteq E$. Thus the right hand side of (3.1) is tense.

Lemma 3.5. For each regular chain group $N$ and each integer $k>0$,

$$
(r(N)+1) P(N ; k) \leq Q(N ; k) \leq 2^{r(N)} P(N ; k) .
$$

Proof. The proof follows from the third row of (2.6), the first row of (2.7), and (3.1).

Proposition 3.6. For each regular chain group $N$ on $E$ and $k \geq 2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q(N, X ; k+1) & \geq Q(N, X ; k) k(k-1)^{-1}, \\
P(N ; k+1) & \geq P(N ; k) k(k-1)^{-1}, \\
Q(N ; k+1) & \geq Q(N ; k) k(k-1)^{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Let $X \in O(N)^{+}$. For each $f \in N_{k}(X)$ and each $c \in \bar{N}_{2}(X)$, $c$ not equal to the zero chain, there exists a unique integer $r>0$ such that $f+r c \in$
$N_{k+1}(X) \backslash N_{k}(X)$. We shall call this chain an $(f, c)$-lift (shortly a lift). In this way we can construct $s_{X}=Q(N, X ; k) \bar{Q}(N, X ; 2)$ (not necessary different) lifts. On the other hand each $f^{\prime} \in N_{k+1}(X) \backslash N_{k}(X)$ could be an $\left(f^{\prime}-i c, c\right)$ lift for $i=1, \ldots, s, 0 \leq s \leq k-1\left(s=0\right.$ if $f^{\prime}-i c \notin N_{k}(X)$ for each $\left.i \geq 1\right)$. Thus $f^{\prime}$ can be constructed as a lift at most $s_{X}^{\prime}=(k-1) \bar{Q}(N, X ; 2)$ times. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q(N, X ; k+1)-Q(N, X ; k) & =\left|N_{k+1}(X) \backslash N_{k}(X)\right| \\
& \geq s_{X} / s_{X}^{\prime}=Q(N, X ; k)(k-1)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies the first row of the formula for $X \in O(N)^{+}$. If $X \notin O(N)^{+}$, the first row of the formula is trivial because then $Q(N, X ; k+1)=Q(N, X ; k)=$ 0 . Hence the second and the third rows follow from the third row of (2.6) and the first row of (2.7), respectively.

Proposition 3.7. For each regular chain group $N$ on $E$ and $k \geq 2$,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
Q(N, X ; k+1)>Q(N, X ; k) & \text { if } Q(N, X ; k+1)>0, \\
P(N ; k+1)>P(N ; k) & \text { if } P(N ; k+1)>0, \\
Q(N ; k+1)>Q(N ; k)+r(N) & \text { if } Q(N ; k+1)>0 .
\end{array}
$$

Proof. The first two rows follows from Proposition 3.6 and the fact that $k(k-1)^{-1}>1$. The last row follows from the first one, the third row of (2.6), and (3.1).

Propositions 3.6 and 3.7 generalize [2, Proposition 6]. Polynomial $P(N ; k)$ (resp. $Q(N ; k)$ ) corresponds to a flow (resp. integral flow) polynomial if $N(M)$ is a graphic matroid and corresponds to a tension (resp. integral tension) polynomial if $N(M)$ is a congraphic matroid. Flow and tension polynomials (and their integral variants) were studied in [15, 16] where we proved Lemmas 3.1, 3.5, and Propositions 3.6, 3.7 for flows and tensions on graphs. Similar versions of Lemmas 3.2, 3.4, and Proposition 3.3 were proved in $[16,17,18,20]$. Several other generalizations of flow and tension polynomials are presented in $[3,4,5,6,7,9,10,11,13]$.

We can generalize Propositions 3.6 and 3.7 for $\bar{Q}(N, X ; k)$ and the Tutte polynomial of regular matroids. Assume that $N$ is a regular chain group on $E$ and $X \subseteq E$. Using (2.1) and the definitions of $N_{k}(X)$ and $N_{k}(X)$, it is easy to check that $\bar{N}_{k}(X)$ equals the disjoint union of $[N-Y]_{k}(X \backslash Y)$ where $Y$ runs through the powerset of $E$. Therefore by the definitions of $\bar{Q}(N, X ; k)$ and $Q(N, X ; k)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{Q}(N, X ; k)=\sum_{Y \subseteq E} Q(N-Y, X \backslash Y ; k) . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Proposition 3.6, for each $k \geq 2$ we have

$$
\sum_{Y \subseteq E} Q(N-Y, X \backslash Y ; k+1) \geq \sum_{Y \subseteq E} Q(N-Y, X \backslash Y ; k) k(k-1)^{-1},
$$

whence by (3.2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{Q}(N, X ; k+1) \geq \bar{Q}(N, X ; k) k(k-1)^{-1}, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{Q}(N, X ; k+1)>\bar{Q}(N, X ; k) \quad \text { if } \quad \bar{Q}(N, X ; k+1)>0 . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Tutte polynomial $T(M ; x, y)$ of a matroid $M$ on $E$ is (see cf. [9, 21])

$$
T(M ; x, y)=\sum_{X \subseteq E}(x-1)^{r(E)-r(X)}(y-1)^{|X|-r(X)} .
$$

If $M=M(N)$ is regular, $\ell$ is a labeling of elements of $E$ by the numbers $1, \ldots,|E|, H \subseteq E$, and $x, y \geq 2$ are integers, then by [21, Equation 16],

$$
\begin{gathered}
T(M(N) ; x, y)=\sum_{X \subseteq E}\left(\sum_{Y \in O_{H \backslash X, \ell}\left(N^{\perp}-X\right)} \bar{Q}\left(N^{\perp}-X, Y ; x\right)\right) \\
\left(\sum_{Y^{\prime} \in O_{H \cap X, \ell(N \mid X)}} \bar{Q}\left(N \mid X, Y^{\prime} ; y\right)\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Applying (3.3) on the right hand side of this equation we get that

$$
\begin{align*}
& T(M(N) ; x+1, y) \geq T(M(N) ; x, y) x(x-1)^{-1}, \\
& T(M(N) ; x, y+1) \geq T(M(N) ; x, y) y(y-1)^{-1},  \tag{3.5}\\
& T(M(N) ; x+1, y)>T(M(N) ; x, y) \text { if } T(M(N) ; x+1, y)>0, \\
& T(M(N) ; x, y+1)>T(M(N) ; x, y) \text { if } T(M(N) ; x, y+1)>0,
\end{align*}
$$

for any regular chain group $N$ and any pair of integers $x, y \geq 2$.
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