

Literature Review

The Zika virus in the United States: A comprehensive review

Daniel R. Lindsey, MD Candidate, and Martin H. Greenberg, MD

Mercer University School of Medicine, Savannah, GA

Corresponding Author: Daniel R Lindsey • 1250 East 66th St Savannah, GA 31404 • (912)429-5735 • dlindsey245@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Background: With a series of outbreaks spanning the globe, the Zika virus has transitioned, in a short time, from an obscure virus to a public health emergency. Locally transmitted Zika has reached the United States, leading to increased concern regarding further transmission and the potential impact on public health.

Methods: The present study was conducted to examine the propagation and effects of Zika in the United States by reviewing published literature regarding Zika in conjunction with updates from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. To gauge the regional response, including prevention and control efforts, interviews were conducted with public health and mosquito control officials.

Results: Exposure to Zika may be through vectors, sexual activity with an infected partner, or congenitally to the unborn fetus. Regardless of the mode of transmission, Zika infection may result in serious neurological consequences in adults and especially in fetuses.

Conclusions: Prevention of Zika infection is key to successful control of the virus. Vector control and surveillance as well as personal protection from virus exposure are necessary to avoid the potentially devastating effects of the virus. In an effort to prevent further spread, public health authorities are implementing strategies for public education, prevention, and containment.

Key words: Zika virus, Guillain-Barré syndrome, microcephaly, Aedes aegypti, vector control

Statement of Student-Mentor Relationship: The author of this report, Daniel R. Lindsey, a doctor of medicine student at Mercer University School of Medicine, worked with his mentor, Dr. Martin Greenberg, in a summer project to complete this work.

https://doi.org/10.21633/jgpha.6.2s13

INTRODUCTION

In a short time, the Zika virus has moved from a relatively obscure virus to a cause of international concern. Zika virus was first isolated in 1947 in the Zika Forest of Uganda during a yellow fever surveillance project (Dick, 1952). Since its discovery, Zika has remained relatively benign, causing only sporadic, isolated cases. In 2007, this changed as Zika re-emerged, causing epidemic outbreaks in various islands of the Pacific (Duffy et al., 2009; Ioos et al., 2014). In the Americas, February 2015 marked the beginning of a Zika pandemic that has sparked international alarm. The outbreak began in Brazil and quickly spread throughout South America and the Caribbean. A high incidence of neurological effects among Zika-infected adults and fetuses led to a call for action to combat

further spread of the virus. Across the United States (US), hundreds of travel-related and multiple sexually transmitted cases have been reported (ECDPC, 2015; CDC: Case counts in the US, 2016). In July 2016, cases identified in Florida marked the first local transmission of Zika in the continental US (CDC: Case Counts in the US, 2016; CDC: FL investigation, 2016). Relative to these outbreaks, this review examines the propagation and potential effects of the Zika virus in the US, including responses by front-line public health authorities to prevent transmission of the virus and to initiate protocols for preparedness in the event of an outbreak.

METHODS

This review was conducted by assessing published literature regarding the Zika virus as

well as current and updated guidance from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) via its website. In addition, unstructured interviews were conducted with the administrator and lead epidemiologist at the Georgia Department of Public Health, Coastal District, to investigate regional response protocols and prevention efforts. Further, the director and the lead entomologist of Chatham County Mosquito Control were interviewed and were joined in the field to examine vector control and surveillance efforts currently underway.

RESULTS

Exposure

Although the Zika virus can be transmitted in various ways, the primary route is via mosquito vectors. During this vector transmission of Zika, the virus in the saliva of an infected female mosquito is transferred into the skin of a human during a blood meal. The virus is deposited in the epidermis and dermis of the host, infecting several cell types that provide a haven for viral replication and allow dissemination throughout the body (Hamel et al., 2015). Although Zika may be transmitted by several mosquito species, in the Americas, Aedes aegypti, commonly known as the yellow fever mosquito, appears to serve as the main vector (Tilak et al., 2016). A. aegypti is primarily an urban mosquito, meaning that it prefers a habitat in close contact with humans. The mosquito typically dwells around or in homes, increasing the chances of viral transmission. Females deposit their eggs in small, stagnant bodies of water, preferring household containers. Since adult A. aegypti mosquitoes are relatively poor fliers, they tend to dwell relatively close to their hatch site if a food source is available, making them a persistent nuisance once established around a home. In recent years, the distribution of A. aegypti in the US has declined, mainly due to the arrival of the more competitive A. albopictus species. Although some populations are still present in the southern US, great numbers of A. aegypti are primarily found only in Florida and regions along the Gulf Coast (Zettel & Kaufman, 2013; CDC: Dengue entomology and ecology, 2016; Heusel & Moulis, communication). Despite the wider distribution of A. albopictus, this species is a less efficient vector of Zika and less likely to cause a major outbreak (Chouin-Carneiro et al., 2016; Hotez, 2016).

In addition to vector transmission, Zika can be transmitted by other means, leading to increased

concern regarding control of the virus. Sexually transmitted cases have been reported across the US (CDC: Case counts in the US, 2016). Zika viral RNA has been detected in semen up to 93 days after the patient's symptomatic period of illness, indicating possible transmission to a sexual partner months after infection (Musso, Roche, Robin et al., 2015; Atkinson et al., 2016; Brooks et al., 2016; Mansuy et al., 2016). A case of probable sexual transmission from an infected female to her male sexual partner has also been reported (Davidson et al., 2016; Moreira et al., 2016). In Brazil, there has been probable transmission of Zika by transfusion of blood products from an infected donor (Barjas-Castro et al., 2016). The possibility of transmission of Zika by transfusion has been suspected, as other related viruses have been transmitted in this manner (Lanteri et al., 2016; Musso, Stamer et al., 2016).

Although up to ninety percent of individuals infected with Zika will be asymptomatic, those developing symptoms generally suffer mild flulike symptoms of short duration that may be accompanied by a maculopapular rash (Duffy et al., 2009; Ioos et al., 2014; CDC: Zika virus symptoms, 2016; Musso & Gubler, 2016). In addition, Zika-infected individuals may be at a greater risk for development of Guillain-Barré syndrome (GBS). After the epidemics in the Pacific and Brazil, an unusually high incidence of new cases of GBS was reported for Zikainfected individuals (Arujo et al., 2016; Musso & Gubler, 2016; Silva & Souza, 2016). GBS is an acute-onset, autoimmune-mediated peripheral polyneuropathy that can result subsequent to a variety of infections or as a result of idiopathic causes. The exact mechanism of GBS in the context of Zika infection is unclear, but it has been hypothesized that, during viral replication, the virus may incorporate host gangliosides into its makeup, eliciting an immune response that cross-reacts with normal gangliosides of the host causing self-reactivity against the peripheral nervous system. The resulting demyelination causes disruption in neural signaling and potential axonal degradation (Anaya et al., 2016; Cao-Lormeau et al., 2016). In severe cases, muscle weakness and dysfunction, which are commonly experienced, may compromise breathing (Cao-Lormeau et al., 2016, Musso & Gubler, 2016).

Vertical transmission of Zika from an infected mother to her fetus during pregnancy has been linked to congenital fetal Zika infections. Recent evidence, derived with animal models, has suggested that the virus is transmitted to the fetus across the placenta. Although the exact mechanism by which the virus crosses the placental membrane is uncertain, it has been hypothesized that the virus crosses from the maternal bloodstream in the chorionic villi to the placenta, where it is amplified before spreading into the fetal circulation and moving to infect neural progenitor cells (NPCs) (Miner et al., 2016; Quicke et al., 2016; Silva & Souza, 2016; Wu et al., 2016).

Congenital Zika infection of the developing fetus may result in serious neurological defects, including microcephaly, along with other developmental anomalies primarily affecting the central nervous system (Driggers et al., 2016; Hazin et al., 2016; Kleber et al., 2016; Mlakar et al., 2016; Musso & Gubler, 2016; Silva & Souza, 2016). In the US, as of July 2016, there have been at least twelve newborns with congenital birth defects due to Zika, with more cases likely to follow (CDC: Outcomes of pregnancies, 2016). It is thought that the Zika virus is associated more with a disruption in neural development, rather than neural destruction (Hazin et al., 2016). Cortical NPCs, which would normally develop into the cerebral cortex, are a key target for the virus. Infection of these cell populations with Zika results in dysregulation of the cell cycle and in attenuation of neural development (Cugola et al., 2016; Li et al., 2016; Tang et al., 2016). In Zika-infected fetuses, abnormalities, intrauterine ocular restriction, and fetal demise have also been reported (Brasil et al., 2016; de Miranda et al., 2016; de Paula Freitas et al., 2016; Mlakar et al., 2016; Sarno et al., 2016).

DISCUSSION

Prevention

With the potential of a major Zika outbreak in the continental US, many state and local agencies have stepped up efforts to prevent spread of the virus. As the primary route of transmission is via mosquito vectors, many of these efforts are centered on mosquito control. Effective control, however, is often challenging. In most areas of the US, mosquito control is dealt with on a local level with funding and organization provided by local governments. This can cause discontinuities across a region or state, as many areas lack professional mosquito control entities or may be poorly funded.

Effective and efficient mosquito control is dependent upon mosquito surveillance programs, which allow for identification of the specific mosquito species and their population numbers in a particular area. This information can ensure that mosquitoes, especially those that may serve as disease vectors, can be targeted by control efforts. Information collected from surveillance may affect the type and amount of pesticide applied, the method and time of application, and area in which it is applied. In areas that lack a professional mosquito agency, mosquito surveillance is often nonexistent (Heusel & Moulis, communication).

In preparation for the Zika threat, some states have taken a proactive approach to address the lack of surveillance. The Georgia Department of Public Health (GDPH), for example, has hired new environmental health employees to conduct surveillance in areas of the state where it is lacking. This surveillance primarily follows A. albopictus and A. aegypti, the two known vectors of Zika in the US (Heusel & Moulis, communication; McCall & Thornton, communication). Surveillance is conducted using specially designed traps, such as the widely used Biogents Sentinel® trap, that target both of these Aedes species (Cornel et al., 2016; Heusel & Moulis, communication). Once identified, the species may be targeted by a combination of adulticides and larvicides. Since both A. albopictus and A. aegypti usually dwell in close vicinity to homes and breed in household containers, pesticide applications that target these areas is essential for effective control (Rios & Maruniak, 2011; Zettel & Kaufman, 2013; CDC: Surveillance and control, 2016; Heusel & Moulis, communication). In many areas, aerial application may be beneficial, as this approach is not limited by roadway access. This method, however, may not be effective in treating container-breeding sites in congested urban areas (Heusel & Moulis, communication).

Controlling the vectors of Zika depends heavily upon public involvement to help eliminate mosquito-breeding sites around homes. In response, public health officials urge the public to become involved in the control effort. To spread awareness, the GDPH has instituted a public education campaign known as "Tip and Toss." The campaign urges citizens to be involved in reducing mosquito-breeding sources around their homes by emptying or eliminating water-holding containers (GDPH: Tip 'n toss, 2016; Rey & Connelly, 2016; McCall &

Thornton, communication). Involvement of entire communities is essential for the success of such programs. Unfortunately, in some cases, there may be residents who are reluctant or refuse to participate. This allows mosquitobreeding sources to remain on properties and may undermine the community effort (Petersen et al., 2016; Heusel & Moulis, communication). In addition to eliminating breeding sites. authorities are striving to increase public awareness concerning ways to prevent mosquito bites through public outreach campaigns and strategically placed informational signage, such as in airports. To prevent mosquito bites, officials advise wearing, while outdoors, lightweight clothing that covers exposed areas of skin and recommend, if outdoors for long periods, treating clothing with permethrin to deter mosquitoes (DeRaedt et al., 2015; CDC: Zika virus prevent mosquito bites, 2016; GDPH: Zika virus awareness campaign, 2016; McCall & Thornton, communication). Perhaps the most effective way to prevent mosquito bites is to apply an effective insect repellant before going outdoors. The most recommended personal repellants are those containing DEET (20-30%), although others containing picardin, Bayrepel, icardin, and IR3535 are also approved by the Environmental Protection Agency and CDC (CDC: Zika virus prevent mosquito bites, 2016; GDPH: Zika virus awareness campaign, 2016). For those seeking a natural alternative to synthetics, repellants containing oil of lemon eucalyptus are effective (CDC: Zika virus prevent mosquito bites, 2016).

In addition to preventing mosquito bites, care should be taken to prevent sexual transmission of Zika. All sexual couples in which one or both partners live in areas of ongoing local transmission or have traveled to these areas should take precautions before engaging in any form of sexual activity. This is especially important for pregnant women and women who are planning to become pregnant. Due to the longevity of Zika particles in semen and their unknown duration in vaginal fluids, the CDC currently recommends that, for at least six months after the symptomatic infection (or suspected infection) of a partner, couples utilize barriers before any type of intercourse. For couples in which neither has experienced symptoms, barriers are suggested for at least eight weeks after travel to an area of local transmission. Couples living in an area of ongoing transmission should use barriers as long as there is Zika reported in their area. Pregnant women with a partner who has traveled to or lives in a Zika area should utilize barriers throughout the duration of pregnancy or abstain from sexual activity to prevent potential infection of the mother and fetus (Brooks et al., 2016; CDC: Zika virus protect yourself during sex, 2016).

The discovery, in Brazil, of probable Zika virus transmission by blood transfusions has prompted a federal effort to protect the US blood supply. To prevent the distribution of infected blood products, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has issued guidelines for blood collection centers, including immediate testing of all donated blood products collected in the US (FDA: FDA advises testing, 2016). Testing may be conducted on individual blood donations by use of a newly developed nucleic acid test. Donated plasma and apheresis platelets may be treated with pathogen reduction technology (Kuehnert et al., 2016).

Containment

While prevention of Zika infection is the goal, rapid identification and containment after an infection occurs is essential to prevent an isolated outbreak from developing into an epidemic. With the arrival of Zika to the continental US, federal, state, and local authorities have prepared containment plans in the event that an outbreak does occur. The first, and perhaps the most important, step after an infection is an accurate and timely diagnosis, which is largely dependent on healthcare providers. Upon examining a patient with suspected Zika infection, providers are directed to notify the Department of Public Health immediately (CDC: Interim CDC Zika response plan, 2016; McCall & Thornton, communication). Despite the fact that molecular and serological testing has been made available to state public health laboratories, accurate diagnosis of Zika infection is difficult. Testing may not yield a correct result depending on the time of sampling and the high degree of cross reactivity between related viruses. In many cases, a series of tests requiring considerable time, especially for laboratories with large test loads, must be conducted to reach a definitive result of infection status (CDC: Revised diagnostic testing, 2016; Musso & Gubler, 2016; Plourde & Bloch, 2016; McCall & Thornton, communication).

In the state of Georgia, once a suspected case is reported, the GDPH contacts the patient as soon

as possible, even if results of Zika testing are still pending. The GDPH advises patients on methods to prevent further local transmission, including avoidance of unprotected sexual contact and prevention of mosquito bites, which could spread the virus to others. The GDPH (or local mosquito control entities) may also conduct a home visit and mosquito surveillance around the index case site. If the area is conducive to mosquito breeding, public authorities may intervene to reduce the risk of transmission from the index case (CDC: Interim CDC Zika response plan, 2016; Ndeffo-Mbah et al., 2016; Heusel & Moulis, communication; McCall & Thornton, communication). The GDPH also distributes educational materials throughout the surrounding area, warning the residents of possible Zika transmission and outlining steps to protect themselves (GDPH: Zika virus awareness campaign, 2016; McCall & Thornton. communication). The CDC, also notified in the case of potential local transmission, offers support to local authorities. If needed, the CDC activates emergency response teams to aid in surveillance, vector control, communications, and technical support at the scene (CDC: Interim CDC Zika response plan, 2016).

Future outlook

No specific treatment or vaccine for Zika infection currently exists, but researchers are develop these life-saving interventions. Investigators and public health authorities are hopeful that a Zika vaccine is attainable, as vaccines have been developed for other flaviviruses (Dowd et al., 2016). Several experimental vaccines have already entered phase I clinical trials or are scheduled to begin soon, including bacterial DNA-based vaccines and a more traditional vaccine containing inactivated virus (Inovio, 2016; NIH, 2016; Pellerin, 2016). A recent study with murine models has found both DNA-based and inactivated viral vaccines to be effective and shows promise for use in humans (Larocca et al., 2016).

Despite rapid development and advancement to clinical trials, an approved vaccine for Zika could still be years away. Investigational vaccines must be vetted for safety and effectiveness through rigorous clinical testing before being considered for FDA approval. The complex approval process may take up to two years. Vaccine applications granted priority status, such as Zika is likely to receive, may undergo expedited review but can still take

several months to receive approval (Marshall & Baylor, 2011; Pickering & Walton, 2013; Singh & Mehta, 2016).

CONCLUSIONS

The Zika virus has recently emerged onto the world stage, causing epidemic outbreaks circumventing the globe. With the arrival of Zika transmission to the US, public health authorities and healthcare providers are preparing for new outbreaks. Controlling mosquito vectors and protecting people from exposure through possible routes of transmission are key to successful prevention. These efforts are of paramount importance to prevent possible infection of adults and developing fetuses. With an effective vaccine possibly years away and no specific treatment for Zika infection, prevention and containment must be initiated immediately to avoid the potentially devastating neurological effects, including GBS in adults microcephaly in developing fetuses.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Jeffrey Heusel, Robert Moulis, Scott Yackel, Laura Peaty, and Cory Steward of Chatham County Mosquito Control; and Randy McCall and Robert Thornton of the Georgia Department of Public Health for Zika-related information and assistance. The authors also thank Mercer University School of Medicine Research Scholars Program for providing funding. DRL thanks Hilary Lindsey for her patience and support.

References

Anaya JM, Ramirez-Santana C, Salgado-Castaneda I, Change C, Ansari A, Gershwin ME. Zika virus and neurologic autoimmunity: the putative role of gangliosides. BMC Medicine 2016. DOI: 10.1186/s12916-016-0601-y.

Arujo LM, Ferreira ML, Nascimento OJ. Guillain-Barré syndrome associated with the Zika virus outbreak in Brazil. Arquivos de Neuro-Psiquiatria 2016;74:253-255.

Atkinson B, Hearn P, Afrough B, Lumley S, Carter D, Aarons EJ, et al. Detection of Zika virus in semen. Emerging Infectious Diseases 2016;22:940.

Barjas-Castro ML, Angerami RN, Cunha MS, Suzuki A, Nogueira JS, Rocco IM, et al. Probable transfusion-transmitted Zika virus in Brazil.

Transfusion 2016:56:1684-1688.

Brasil P, Pereira JP Jr, Raja Gabaglia C, Damasceno L, Wakimoto M, Ribeiro Nogueira RM, et al. Zika virus infection in pregnant women in Rio de Janeiro – preliminary report. New England Journal of Medicine 2016. March 4. (Epub ahead of print).

Brooks JT, Friedman A, Kachur RE, LaFlam M, Peters PJ, Jamieson DJ. Update: interim guidance

- for prevention of sexual transmission of Zika virus United States, July 2016. MMWR Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report 2016;65:745-747.
- Cao-Lormeau VM, Blake A, Mons S, Lastère S, Roche C, Vanhomwegen J, et al. Guillain-Barré syndrome outbreak associated with Zika virus infection in French Polynesia: a case-control study. Lancet 2016;387:1531-1539.
- CDC. Case counts in the US, http://www.cdc.gov/zika/geo/united-states.html; 2016 [accessed June 2016].
- CDC. Dengue entomology & ecology, http://www.cdc.gov/dengue/entomologyecology/; April 5, 2016 [accessed June 2016].
- CDC. Florida investigation links four recent Zika cases to local mosquito-borne virus transmission, http://www.cdc.gov/media/releases/2016/p0729-florida-zika-cases.html; July 29, 2016 [accessed July 2016].
- CDC. Interim CDC Zika response plan (CONUS and Hawaii): initial response to Zika virus, http://www.cdc.gov/zika/pdfs/zika-draft-interim-conus-plan.pdf; July 2016 [accessed July 2016].
- CDC. Outcomes of pregnancies with laboratory evidence of possible Zika virus infection in the United States, 2016, http://www.cdc.gov/zika/geo/pregnancy-outcomes.html; 2016 [accessed July 2016].
- CDC. Revised diagnostic testing for Zika, chikungunya, and dengue viruses in US public health laboratories, http://www.cdc.gov/zika/pdfs/denvchikvzikvtesting-algorithm.pdf; February 7,2016 [accessed July 2016].
- CDC. Surveillance and Control of *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* in the United States, http://www.cdc.gov/chikungunya/pdfs/surveillance-and-control-of-aedes-aegypti-and-aedes-albopictus-us.pdf; 2016 [accessed July 2016].
- CDC. Zika virus: prevent mosquito bites, http://www.cdc.gov/zika/prevention/preventmosquito-bites.html; 2016 [accessed July 2016].
- CDC. Zika virus: protect yourself during sex, http://www.cdc.gov/zika/prevention/protectyourself-during-sex.html; 2016 [accessed July 2016].
- CDC. Zika virus symptoms, http://www.cdc.gov/zika/symptoms/symptoms.html; 2016 [accessed July 2016].
- Chouin-Carneiro T, Vega-Rua A, Vazeille M, Yebakima A, Girod R, Goindin D, et al. Differential susceptibilities of *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* from the Americas to Zika virus. PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases 2016. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0004543.
- Cornel AJ, Holeman J, Nieman CC, Lee Y, Smith C, Amorino M, et al. Surveillance, insecticide resistance and control of an invasive *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae) population in California [version 2; referees: 2 approved]. F1000Research 2016;5:194.
- Cugola FR, Fernandes IR, Russo FB, Freitas BC, Dias JLM, Guimarães KP, et al. The Brazilian Zika virus

- strain causes birth defects in experimental models. Nature 2016;534:267-271.
- Davidson A, Slavinski S, Komoto K, Rakeman J, Weiss D. Suspected female-to-male sexual transmission of Zika virus – New York City, 2016. MMWR Morbidity Mortality Weekly Report 2016:65:716-717.
- de Miranda HA II, Costa MC, Frazão MA, Simão N, Franchischini S, Moshfeghi DM. Expanded spectrum of congenital ocular findings in microcephaly with presumed Zika infection. Opthalmology 2016;123:1788-1794.
- de Paula Freitas B, de Oliveira Dias JR, Prazeres J, Sacramento GA, Ko AI, Maia M, et al. Ocular findings in infants with microcephaly associated with presumed Zika virus congenital infection in Salvador, Brazil. JAMA Ophthalmology 2016;134:529-535.
- DeRaedt Banks S, Orsborne J, Gezan SA, Kaur H, Wilder-Smith A, Lindsey SW, et al. Permethrintreated clothing as protection against the dengue vector, *Aedes aegypti*; extent and duration of protection. PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases 2015. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0004109.
- Dick GWA. Zika virus II. Pathogenicity and physical properties. Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 1952;46:521-534.
- Dowd KA, DeMaso CR, Pelc RS, Speer SD, Smith ARY, Goo L, et al. Broadly neutralizing activity of Zika virus-immune sera identifies a single viral serotype. Cell Reports 2016;16:1-7.
- Driggers RW, Ho CY, Korhonen EM, Kuivanen S, Jääskeläinen AJ, Smura T, et al. Zika virus infection with prolonged maternal viremia and fetal brain abnormalities. New England Journal of Medicine 2016;374:2142-2151.
- Duffy MR, Chen TH, Hancock WT, Powers AM, Kool JL, Lanciotti RS, et al. Zika virus outbreak on Yap Island, Federated States of Micronesia. New England Journal of Medicine 2009;360:2536-2543.
- Dyer O. US probes first apparent non-sexual personto-person Zika transmission and first domestic outbreak. BMJ 2016;354:4107.
- European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Rapid risk assessment: Zika virus epidemic in the Americas: potential association with microcephaly and Guillain-Barré syndrome, http://ecdc.europa.eu/publications/Publications/zika-virus-americas-association-with-microcephaly-rapid-risk-assessment.pdf; December 10, 2015 [accessed June 2016].
- FDA. FDA advises testing for Zika virus in all donated blood and blood components in the US, http://www.fda.gov/NewsEvents/Newsroom/PressA nnouncements/ucm518218.htm; August 26, 2016 [accessed September 27, 2016].
- Georgia DPH. Tip 'n toss campaign to prevent spread of Zika in Georgia, https://dph.georgia.gov/press-releases/2016-04-06/tip-n-toss-campaign-prevent-spread-zika-georgia; March 30, 2016 [accessed July 2016].

- Georgia DPH. Zika virus awareness campaign, https://dph.georgia.gov/zika-virus-awarenesscampaign; 2016 [accessed July 2016].
- Hamel R, Dejarnac O, Wichit S, Ekchariyawat P, Neyret A, Luplertlop N, et al. Biology of Zika virus infection in human skin cells. Journal of Virology 2015;89:8880-8896.
- Hazin AN, Poretti A, Turchi Martelli CM, et al. Computed tomographic findings in microcephaly associated with Zika virus. New England Journal of Medicine 2016;374:2193-2195.
- Heusel JL, Moulis RA. Zika virus. Chatham County Mosquito Control. Personal communication.
- Hotez PJ. Will Zika return to the 'Old World'?

 Microbes and Infection 2016 May 27 (Epub ahead of print).
- Inovio. Inovio Pharmaceuticals and GeneOne Life Science receive approval for first-in-man Zika vaccine clinical trial, http://ir.inovio.com/news/news-releases/newsreleases-details/2016/Inovio-Pharmaceuticals-and-GeneOne-Life-Science-Receive-Approval-for-Firstin-Man-Zika-Vaccine-Clinical-Trial/default.aspx;
- Ioos S, Mallet HP, Leparc Goffart I, Gauthier V, Cardoso T, Herida M. Current Zika virus epidemiology and recent epidemics. Médecine et maladies infectieuses 2014;44:302-307.

June 20, 2016 [accessed August 2016].

- Kleber de Oliveira W, Cortez-Escalante J, Tenório W, De Oliveira GH, do Carmo GM, Henriques CM, et al. Increase in reported prevalence of microcephaly in infants born to women living in areas with confirmed Zika virus transmission during the first trimester of pregnancy Brazil, 2015. MMWR Morbidity Mortality Weekly Report 2016;65:242-247
- Kuehnert MJ, Basavaraju SV, Moseley RR, Pate LL, Galel SA, Williamson PC, et al. Screening of blood donations for Zika virus infection – Puerto Rico, April 3 – June 11, 2016. MMWR Morbidity Mortality Weekly Report 2016;65.
- Lanteri MC, Kleinman SH, Glynn SA, Musso D, Hoots WK, Custer BS, et al. Zika virus: a new threat to the safety of the blood supply with worldwide impact and implications. Transfusion 2016;56:1907-1914.
- Larocca RA, Abbink P, Peron JPS, de A Zanotto PM, Iampietro MJ, Badamchi-Zadeh A, et al. Vaccine protection against Zika virus from Brazil. Nature 2016. June 28. (Epub ahead of print).
- Li C, Xu D, Ye Q, Hong S, Jiang Y, Liu X, et al. Zika virus disrupts neural progenitor development and leads to microcephaly in mice. Cell Stem Cell 2016;19:120-126.
- Mansuy JM, Dutertre M, Mengelle C, Fourcade C, Marchou B, Delobel P, et al. Zika virus: high infectious viral load in semen, a new sexually transmitted pathogen? Lancet Infectious Diseases 2016;16:405.
- Marshall V, Baylor NW. Food and drug administration regulation and evaluation of vaccines. Pediatrics 2011;127:S23-S30.

- McCall R, Thornton R. Zika virus. Georgia Department of Public Health, Coastal District. Personal communication.
- Miner JJ, Cao B, Govero J, Smith AM, Fernandez E, Cabrera OH, et al. Zika virus infection during pregnancy in mice causes placental damage and fetal demise. Cell 2016;165:1081-1091.
- Mlakar J, Korva M, Tul N, Popović M, Polijšak-Prijatelj M, Mraz J, et al. Zika virus associated with microcephaly. New England Journal of Medicine 2016;374:951-958.
- Moreira J, Lamas CC, Siqueira A. Sexual transmission of Zika virus: implications for clinical care and public health policy. Clinical Infectious Diseases 2016;63:141-142.
- Musso D, Gubler DJ. Zika virus. Clinical Microbiology Reviews 2016;29:487-524.
- Musso D, Roche C, Robin E, Nhan T, Teissier A, Cao-Lormeau VM. Potential sexual transmission of Zika virus. Emerging Infectious Diseases 2015;21:359-361.
- Musso D, Stamer SL, Busch MP. Zika virus: a new challenge for blood transfusion. Lancet 2016;387:1993-1994.
- Ndeffo-Mbah ML, Durham DP, Skrip LA, Nsoesie EO, Brownstein JS, Fish D, et al. Evaluating the effectiveness of localized control strategies to curtail chikungunya. Scientific Reports 2016;6:23997.
- NIH. NIH begins testing investigational Zika vaccine in humans, https://www.nih.gov/news-events/news-releases/nih-begins-testing-investigational-zika-vaccine-humans; August 3, 2016 [accessed August 2016].
- Pellerin C. Walter Reed scientists test Zika vaccine candidate, http://www.defense.gov/News/Article/Article/7952 26/walter-reed-scientists-test-zika-vaccine-candidate; June 9, 2016 [accessed August 2016].
- Petersen LR, Jamieson DJ, Powers AM, Honein MA. Zika virus. New England Journal of Medicine 2016;374:1552-1563.
- Pickering L, Walton L. Vaccines in the pipeline: the path from development to use in the United States. Pediatric Annals 2013;42:146-152.
- Plourde AR, Bloch EM. A literature review of Zika virus. Emerging Infectious Diseases 2016;22:1185-1192.
- Quicke KM, Bowen JR, Johnson EL, McDonald CE, Ma H, O'Neal JT, et al. Zika virus infects human placental macrophages. Cell Host and Microbe 2016;20:1-8.
- Rey JR, Connelly CR. Florida container mosquitoes, http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/in851; June 2016 [accessed July 2016].
- Rios L, Maruniak JE. Asian tiger mosquito, http://entnemdept.ufl.edu/creatures/aquatic/asian_ti ger.htm; October 2011 [accessed June 2016].
- Sarno M, Sacramento GA, Khouri R, do Rosário MS, Costa F, Archanjo G, et al. Zika virus infection and stillbirths: a case of hydrops fetalis, hydraencephaly and fetal demise. PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases 2016. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0004517.

- Silva LR, Souza AM. Zika virus: what do we know about the viral structure, mechanisms of transmission, and neurological outcomes? Revista da Sociedade Brasileira de Medicina Tropical 2016;49:267-273.
- Singh K, Mehta S. The clinical development process for a novel preventive vaccine: an overview.

 Journal of Postgraduate Medicine 2016;62:4-11.
- Tang H, Hammack C, Ogden SC, Wen Z, Qian X, Li Y, et al. Zika virus infects human cortical neural progenitors and attenuates their growth. Cell Stem Cell 2016;18:587-590.
- Tilak R, Ray S, Tilak VW, Mukherji S. Dengue, chikungunya... and the missing entity Zika fever: A new emerging threat. Medical Journal Armed Forces India 2016;72:157-163.
- Wu KY, Zuo GL, Li XF, Ye Q, Deng YQ, Huang XY, et al. Vertical transmission of Zika virus targeting the radial glial cells affects cortex development of offspring mice. Cell Research 2016;26:645-654.
- Zettel C, Kaufman P. Yellow fever mosquito, http://entnemdept.ufl.edu/creatures/aquatic/aedes_a egypti.htm; March 2013 [accessed June 2016].

© Daniel R. Lindsey and Martin H. Greenberg. Originally published in jGPHA (http://www.gapha.org/jgpha/) December 15, 2016. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial No-Derivatives License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work ("first published in the Journal of the Georgia Public Health Association...") is properly cited with original URL and bibliographic citation information. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on http://www.gapha.jgpha.org/, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.