

Citation for published version: Spotswood, F, Shankar, A & Piwek, L 2020, 'Changing emotional engagement with running through communal self-tracking: The implications of 'teleoaffective shaping' for public health,', *Sociology of Health and Illness*, vol. 42, no. 4, pp. 772-788. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9566.13057

DOI: 10.1111/1467-9566.13057

Publication date: 2020

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Spotswood, F., Shankar, A., & Piwek, L. (Accepted/In press). Changing emotional engagement with running through communal self-tracking: The implications of 'teleoaffective shaping' for public health, Sociology of Health and Illness., which has been published in final form at https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9566.13057. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving.

University of Bath

Alternative formats

If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact: openaccess@bath.ac.uk

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1 Changing emotional engagement with running through communal self-tracking:

2 The implications of 'teleoaffective shaping' for public health.

3 Introduction

4 The benefits of physical activity are well established and institutionalised 5 (Das and Horton 2016). Given the public health burden of obesity (Baum and Fisher 6 2014), low physical activity rates continue to be a key public health concern (Guthold, 7 Stevens et al. 2018). In this context, self-tracking of physical activity participation and 8 other 'healthy' behaviours is highlighted in public health research focused on the use of 9 technology (sometimes referred to as 'M-health' (mobile health) research) as having the 10 potential to prompt and sustain behavior change and subsequently to improve public 11 health (Piwek et al. 2016). This research tends to take an individualist perspective in 12 focusing on the benefits of self-tracking, reporting that it can enhance self-knowledge 13 and personal empowerment (Cox et al. 2013) and prompt change in associated 14 constructs such as health consciousness (Stiglbauer et al. 2019) and self-efficacy (van 15 Dijk et al. 2017). These insights are built on assumptions about individual capacity for 16 self-change if deficits can be overcome. Despite concern over unsupported industry 17 claims (Heneghan et al. 2012) and prior research that suggests engaging with M-health apps may not in fact cause sustained behaviour change (Allman-Farinelli, Partridge et al. 18 19 2016; Jakicic, Davis, et al. 2016; Svetkey, Batch et al. 2015), medical professionals 20 promote their potential to revolutionise and disrupt health care, self-care, medical 21 research, public health practice, and to reduce healthcare expenditure (Lupton 2014, 22 2017).

Understanding of the role of self-tracking technologies in health behaviour complianceor change has been explored at length through the 'M-health' lens (e.g. Mercer, Li, et al.

25	2016; Patel et al. 2015). This work seeks to understand how particular elements of self-
26	tracking, for example different 'motivational design components' (Hassan et al. 2019),
27	can trigger a range of measurable, individual-level health outcomes (Huang, Pham, et al.
28	2018; Zhang, Li, et al. 2019). One design component of particular interest to M-health
29	researchers is the social media connectivity features of an increasing number of self-
30	tracking consumer technologies (Comstock 2015). Strava, for example, which links to
31	many fitness-tracking wearables, claims that it lets you do "what we call social fitness -
32	connecting and competing with each other providing motivation and camaraderie"
33	(Strava 2019). In addition to writing comments and messages to each other, users can
34	offer 'kudos' by tapping the 'thumbs up' button as a way of appreciating others' efforts
35	and can see and acknowledge each other's successes on different pre-designated
36	segments or accumulated distance or elevation data. Studies have noted that
37	communicating with other Strava users, and sharing your own achievements, is an
38	inherent part of being a Strava user (Smith and Treem 2017). Sharing and
39	communicating on Strava is part of an overt 'social fitness' approach (Lupton 2017;
40	Stragier et al. 2015) and a key feature of the latest communal self-tracking 'boom' in self-
41	tracking consumption (Millington, 2016) that moves beyond the personal to the
42	'communal' (Lupton 2018). Existing research into communal self-tracking finds strong
43	evidence for the influence of social interaction on sustaining or changing behaviour
44	(Benetoli et al. 2017; Goodyear and Armour 2018; Oduor et al. 2014; Ploderer et al.
45	2014; Purpura et al. 2011; Wang et al. 2012).
46	In addition to 'M-health' studies is a well-established body of work that critiques self-
47	tracking as voluntary self-ontimization. In this view, self-tracking is an example of neo-
48	liberal governmentality where power is diffused through a myriad of mediating
49	mechanisms and discursive regimes producing subjectivities consonant with the
マノ	meenamono and discursive regimes producing subjectivities consonant with the

50	pervading political ideology (Viseu and Suchman 2010). Related critiques include: self-
51	tracking can be 'imposed' as a form of behaviour change (Lupton 2016); can be used to
52	mine data for commercial and political ends (Lupton 2016); and can have negative
53	implications as self-trackers lose bodily sensations through reliance on objective
54	monitoring (Toner 2018).
55	There is also body of work exploring how self-tracking has emerged in the context of
56	socio-material and technological developments of consumption (Pantzar and
57	Ruckenstein 2015; Pink and Fors 2017) and how it permeates and colours people's
58	everyday routines and embodied experiences (Charitsis et al. 2018; Mol and Law 2004;
59	Oxlund 2012; Ruckenstein 2014). It has been noted that we know little about people's
60	practical engagement with self-tracking (Didziokaite et al. 2017; Lomborg and Frandsen,
61	2016) and that more investigation is needed (Lupton and Smith 2018). Taking up this
62	challenge, studies have begun to explore the everyday, often mundane practices of self-
63	tracking (Didžiokaitė et al. 2017; Gorm and Shklovski 2019), often by those managing
64	illness or for leisure-time athletes, rather than self-measurement enthusiasts. These
65	studies explore how self-tracking comes to be meaningful and might be, or fail to be,
66	integrated into everyday routines (Lupton and Smith 2018). These studies move away
67	from individualist accounts of self-tracking that emphasise behavioural and psychological
68	outcomes and assume self-tracking can overcome individual deficits and help with
69	achieving politically approved self-management and risk reduction goals. Rather, practice
70	approaches emphasise the 'ordinary' (Didžiokaitė et al. 2017; Lomborg et al. 2018),
71	routine, unreflexive and integrated experience of self-tracking as it permeates everyday
72	life (Pink and Fors 2017); and explore how self-trackers collectively negotiate and
73	reconstitute the conventions relating to different practices through their engagement
74	with it (Gorm and Shklovski 2019; Smith and Treem 2017). Furthermore, self-trackers

and their self-tracking practices (including the materials and technology) are seen as equal
partners in the constitution and reconstitution of understandings and routinized
enactments of self-tracking (Lupton and Smith 2018).

78 We seek to extend our understanding of how people enact communal self-tracking to 79 shape their associations with the practice being tracked. Like others (Lupton and Smith 80 2018), we view communal self-tracking as a number of related 'practices'. At a minimum 81 these entangled self-tracking social practices include the gathering of data and the activity 82 from which the data originates (Lupton 2014), but also the practices of measuring, 83 analyzing and planning based on the data, and socializing or communicating around data 84 in different ways for different purposes (Smith and Vonthethoff 2017). We explore how 85 these practices, and the practices being tracked, co-evolve through routine, repeated and 86 collective practitioner enactments and how meanings relating to the tracked activity are 87 co-created and digitally mediated via communal self-tracking. Specifically we focus on 88 the entangled teleoaffective structures (Schatzki 2002) of self-tracking and running; that 89 is, the emotional associations and understandings of purpose that co-ordinate how the 90 practices of running and self-tracking are performed by indicating what is to be achieved 91 and why. A practice lens illuminates how routine, communal self-tracking actively shapes 92 teleoaffective structures - associations of purpose and emotion - of the tracked activity. 93 Our findings have implications for the way self-tracking is understood to 'work' in 94 maintaining physical activity participation.

95 Self-tracking as health practice

96 Prior sociology of health studies highlight that activities relating to health more

97 accurately manifest as a multiplicity of intersecting practices that co-evolve (Blue et al.

- 98 2016). This is in contrast to viewing health 'behaviours' as discrete activities (Cohn 2014)
- 99 individually enacted. Practice theory emphasises that over time and through repetition,

100 socio-material routines become entangled with others (Schatzki et al. 2001) in a 'bundle' -101 separate practices but inherently entangled. The trajectory of different parts of a bundle 102 of practices will impact on other parts in complex ways (Schatzki 2017) and important 103 insights into the context of particular 'health' practices emerge from this view. For 104 example Blue et al. (2016) show how smoking is not a discrete behaviour but is shaped 105 into different forms by being integrated with related practices, such as socialising, 106 working, eating and drinking. Similarly, drinking alcohol is shaped into different practices 107 according to its interconnectedness with different socialising or celebratory practices 108 (Ally et al 2016; Meier et al. 2017).

109 A focus on the way bundled practices are integrated and influence each other is useful 110 for illuminating how self-tracking and the practices being tracked interrelate, particularly 111 in terms of the teleoaffective structure of the tracked activity, experienced as emotional 112 intensities. Although it has been noted that the theorisation of this emotional 113 connectivity between self-tracking and tracked activities has received little attention 114 (Lupton 2017), the nature of the associations with tracked activities emerging from self-115 tracking has been repeatedly noted. For example, participants gain pleasure from noticing 116 how their physical activities contributed to a 'good' data reading (Ruckenstein 2014). 117 Ruckenstein's respondents began to 'cherish' the steps they had taken and develop a 118 more affective relationship either with their walking or the steps taken, creating a 119 feedback loop. Positive experiences of heart-rate tracking have also been noted to lead to 120 a renewed relationship with one's heart as an object of emotional attachment (Pantzar 121 and Ruckenstein 2015). In the tracking of everyday movement, Ruckenstein (2014) 122 found that tracking the physical activity of housework meant that people gained 'new 123 value' from their chores. Other studies found that self-tracking provides activity with 124 purpose that is lost when tracking ceases (Pink and Fors 2017). More negatively, studies

125 also find that for some tracking itself can be unpleasant (Bergroth 2019), especially when 126 the results of self-tracking are unexpected or undesirable leading to anxiety and fragility. 127 Lupton (2013) also finds that when people find digital interactions 'tiresome', they can 128 respond by 'resisting' the obligations expected of them, while some 'play the system' or 129 withdraw. Pink and Fors' (2017) respondents note that when self-tracking technology 130 fails, activities like soccer or running can feel 'like a waste'.

131 These studies illuminate that self-tracking is entangled emotionally with the practices 132 being tracked. This is often presented in Latourian terms; of technology or data 'acting 133 on' emotional and sensory experiences relating to the tracked activity (Charitsis et al. 134 2017; Klauser and Albrechtslund 2014; Mol 2000), or even acting on embodied instincts 135 (Smith and Vonthethof 2017) and undertandings of what is valued (Ruckenstein 2014). 136 Runners become more competitive because their data will be compared with others 137 (Lupton et al. 2018). Physical activity is perceived as a constant demand, as the absence 138 of data will be noted by followers (Charitsis et al. 2017). When self-tracking is perceived 139 as failing to make people become 'better people', behavioural attrition can result (Etkin 140 2016). The controlling (Lupton et al. 2018) nature of self-tracking is emphasised, with 141 people responding emotionally, such as enjoying the perceived benevolence and validity 142 afforded by devices and data, or resisting the 'tiresome' aspects of self-tracking 143 (Ruckenstein 2014).

These studies offer an important starting point for examining the emotional entanglement between communal self-tracking and tracked activities. However, the concept of teleoaffective shaping which emerged from the current study allows this research to advance the theorization of this entanglement by examining the more coconstitutive ways that practitioners use self-tracking to actively shape their routine engagement with tracked activities. In order to examine the role of communal self-

tracking on the associations that people have towards tracked activities, we explored the use of the social fitness app 'Strava' by leisure-time runners, asking how self-tracking might encourage sustained healthy physical activity. The practice theory concept of 'teleoaffective structures' (Schatzki 2002) was used to sensitise the data. Teleoaffective structures refer to the inherent purpose and collectively agreed emotional associations of practices, which help to coordinate their enactment. As Schatzki (2001, pp.52-3) explains:

"The teleoaffective structure... provides both goals and emotive aspirations: [It
includes] a range of acceptable or correct ends, acceptable or correct tasks to
carry out for these ends, acceptable or correct beliefs (etc.) given which specific
tasks are carried out for the sake of these ends, and even acceptable or correct
emotions out of which to do so."

161 Teleoaffective structures are 'of the practice' template in that they guide practice
162 performance, but they manifest as emotional associations and understandings of the
163 goals and purpose of practice. Practitioners draw on these associations in their enactment
164 of practice, and they can be implicated in failures to continue with a practice. Our

165 analysis identifies how communal self-tracking, bundled tightly with the running it tracks,

166 can actively shape the collectively-held teleoaffective structure of running through a set

167 of mechanisms afforded by the functionality of Strava. We call this active and co-

168 constitutive emotional integration between practices 'teleoaffective shaping'.

169 Methodology

170 In order to explore the ways that communal self-tracking and running are dynamically

171 entangled, this study deployed a multi-stage methodology, all parts of which were

approved by the appropriate university ethics committee. To begin with, one of the

173 researchers (author one) joined a local running club in the South-West of England and

174 participated in weekly evening runs for six months. In addition, she joined the club's

175 Facebook group and three other running communities on Facebook to observe online 176 interactions during the six months of her club membership. Online posts were read on 177 average twice per week and key themes were noted, particularly relating to self-tracking 178 and Strava usage. The running club was selected for its non-elite ethos. Some club 179 members were new runners while others were more experienced. The researcher also 180 joined Strava to track her own running, as this was the foremost self-tracking app used in 181 the club. She then connected via social media with a selection of the other runners in the club, with their consent, to observe their use of Strava, thereby 'hanging out' with 182 183 runners both in person and online (Molander and Hartmann 2018) in order to access a 184 range of practitioner responses, interactions and emotions.

185 Strava uses GPS to track running and cycling, and is one of many apps that can be 186 synchronised with wearable self-tracking devices or mobile phones to log and analyze 187 personal physical activity. Strava is also a platform for user-user engagement, and is 188 designed so that a user's Strava 'feed' can easily be shared via other social media 189 platforms. Strava users can compare their performance across different, user-defined 190 'segments' that make up a running or cycling route. Users can win 'cups' for being top 191 ten on a segment, receive notifications about personal records, rank themselves against 192 others, and analyze, download and follow other people's routes.

This first phase of practice immersion highlighted two areas of interest; the variable nature of runners' engagement with running, and the variable way they engaged with self-tracking. To explore these topics further, a series of in-depth interviews were conducted. The result of this staged data collection was rich qualitative reflection by runners about how and why they use self-tracking and what role self-tracking plays in their engagement with running.

Runners were purposively recruited from the running club and online fora. All runners self-identified as self-trackers. In total, seventeen face-to-face interviews (eight women and nine men) were conducted. Follow-up discussions were conducted with some participants, mediated by Strava messenger. Interviewees self-tracked using a range of wearable devices

204 Interviews took place in Spring 2016 and lasted between 50 and 150 minutes. All 205 interviews were digitally recorded. Each was conducted using a topic guide that centered on probing the interviewee to explain the detail of how, as well as why, they use self-206 207 tracking, and the role that running played in their lives both at the present time and in 208 their past. All interviews were transcribed verbatim. Transcriptions were open and axially 209 coded, and emergent themes identified using NVIVO11. Coding was done in an iterative 210 process whereby researchers interrogated the data, identified emergent themes, 211 considered theoretical implications, and went back to the data to further contextualise

212 emerging theoretical ideas.

213 Findings

214 The teleoaffective associations that participants have with running, manifesting as 215 different emotional intensities (Molander and Hartman 2018), are an important context 216 for understanding the way self-tracking interacts with running. The associations inscribed 217 in the practice of running are variable and matter enormously to practitioners. They are 218 experienced as volatile engagements which colour their running 'career' (Shove and 219 Pantzar 2007). Self-tracking practices are tightly bundled with running and act to shape 220 these emotional experiences in a process of 'teleoaffective shaping'. After contextualising 221 the findings with an overview of the experienced emotional intensities of running, we 222 present examples of how our participants used various self-tracking practices to actively

shape the teleoaffective structure of running and facilitate their ongoing engagement withthe practice.

225 Variable engagements with the teleoaffective structure of running emerged in our data 226 through the emotive language used to describe running; as something 'hated' or 'loved' 227 or something done in the "grim and dark" but also something positive that respondents 228 would "never stop talking about". Here, Bronwen (age 36, runner for 4 years) explains 229 how her early running experiences were painful and emotionally volatile: "I hated every minute of it. The only good thing about it, it was dark, it was in 230 231 October so... nobody could see me... [I was] at the back, huffing and puffing 232 but determined to do it. It was really, really tough". 233 Other respondents also described running as difficult, even long after running had 234 become an established routine for them. Anne (age 28, runner for 5 years) explains how 235 she would tell her friends how much she hated running: "I would say to all the other 236 people in my running club: 'You don't understand! This is so much harder for me than it is for you because you guys enjoy it." Bronwen described sobbing in her husband's car 237 238 when he dropped her off at running club because she desperately did not want to go. 239 However, emotional associations with running were not straightforward and evolved for 240 practitioners through each engagement, and through engagement with related practices 241 such as socializing with running club members and taking part in races. Over time, a 242 number of emotions associated with running would run counter to each other (Woerman 243 and Rokka 2015). Running was associated with personal and public pride, 244 accomplishment and joy. Anne explained how an ex-boyfriend told her she would never 245 be a runner due to her body shape but now she runs regularly. Anne describes feelings of 246 accomplishment which she accesses through her enactment, which she draws on to 247 protect her loyalty to the practice.

248 Entwined with the emotional associations of running is its teleology, or purpose. 249 Running is described by different participants as a way to overcome embodied 250 difficulties, to overcome psychological obstacles (Wiltshire et al. 2017), to represent 251 achievement and model commitment. Some mentioned the purpose of running as being 252 for health or fitness and for many it was a way to socialise. Bronwen ran for instrumental 253 reasons initially, to demonstrate commitment to her teenage son. Then it became a way 254 spend time as a family, a way to socialise and a way to mentor others. For Anne, part of 255 the reason she continues to run is because it proves her ex-boyfriend wrong and so 256 provides a sense of personal pride as well as a means to create her desired body shape. 257 Through ongoing repeat performance of running in different contexts, and through 258 interaction about running, the anticipated teleoaffective structure of running is constantly 259 re-constituted. Teleoaffective structures are not static, but are subject to change as 260 practitioners experience actualised emotional episodes, assess these and amend their 261 ongoing engagement with running (Molander and Hartman 2018). In other words, 262 practitioners actively shape their future engagement with running by creating, enhancing 263 or protecting particular versions of it. For example, Zak explains how he managed to 264 change how he thinks about running in line with his colleague's advice; moving away 265 from focusing on how hard it is towards the enjoyment and achievement associated in 266 completing a half marathon:

"I was speaking to a colleague... and we were talking about running and I said I
have always fancied doing a half marathon. And she was like, 'well you need to
change how you think about running. Rather thinking about it as something
that's hard work, think about it as time away from stuff and that opportunity to
get your thoughts to yourself and all that stuff'. And I literally... I decided I was
going to run the [local] half marathon in the September..."

273 Zak's story illustrates the active involvement of practitioners in their future engagement 274 with running through actively shaping associations relating to its purpose and meaning. 275 Our study focuses particularly on the way practitioners use communal self-tracking to 276 shape their future engagement and the running practice template, positioning communal 277 self-tracking as a collective means of meaning production (Lomborg and Frandsen 2016). 278 The mechanisms we have identified below are examples of the way that self-tracking 279 affords the active teleoaffective shaping of running by enabling practitioners to work on 280 their collectively held emotional and purpose-related associations with running.

281 Mechanism for teleoaffective shaping: Labelling

282 Our data highlights the way that Strava affords the labelling of running engagements as a 283 mechanism for establishing, changing or emphasizing practitioner-held meanings and 284 emotions associated with running. Strava auto-assigns running logs with simple labels 285 such as 'afternoon run', 'morning run' and so on, depending on the time of day. The user 286 is always easily able to change the name of a run should they wish. Some of our 287 respondents reflected that they would change these labels to explain when expectations 288 of performance were not met; for example to denote illness, slow-paced recovery after a 289 race or a slower running partner. However, labelling was also used to assign runs with 290 particular personal value. Some respondents were adamant that everyday commutes to 291 work should not "litter" the Strava feed of people they follow, and mostly only used 292 Strava to record fun, social runs or hard training runs because these were the runs that 293 "counted". However, others, like Mark (age 30, runner for 15 years), logged every run 294 but simply changed the name of 'proper' runs such as interval sessions and club training 295 to reflect their intensity or significance. Similarly, Ralph (age 38, runner for 8 years) 296 explained that he changes the name of runs when he has been somewhere interesting. He 297 tends to ignore the daily commute, which he tracks for completeness.

The following list of labelled runs is taken from Hettie's Strava feed over the course ofthree weeks:

300	"Evening easy run ventured over some trails thanks to a v bright moon"
301	"Couldn't face being sociable tonight so lone run it was"
302	"4.5/5 miles easy chat run with Kathy"
303	"Long run route with the team"

304 "Club run with cool down"

305 Hettie (age 23, runner for 5 years) actively assigns purpose, emotion, projects and goals 306 to the practice of running through these labels (Schatzki 2002). She positions running as 307 sociable, an opportunity for time alone, an opportunity for relaxation or as part of the 308 routine performance of club membership. The labels both create a record of what 309 running meant in each unique enactment, but also creates meaning itself, as labels 310 become anchors for understandings about engagements with future running. Labelling 311 opens up the possibility for runners that enacting running can draw on a number of 312 associations. The purposeful creation of meaning through labelling can be taken forward 313 to organise future enactments.

As well as helping to organise ongoing individual practitioner engagements, labelling was enacted in the context of 'social fitness' to provide a visible and shared label around which associations can be collectively negotiated. For example, labels might actively invite affirmation that running is tough and as such represents an enjoyed shared moment of triumph amongst a tight group. Glen's label for a hard training run illustrates this: "Some sort of masochistic hill torture session – loved it! Cheers fellas" (Glen, age 42, runner for 10 years). Glen is creating emotional associations and purpose for his own

321 engagement with a version of running that most appeals. However, he is also inviting 322 interaction around the toughness of the training session and creating a sense of 323 exclusivity amongst the 'fellas' with whom he shared the engagement. His label reframes 324 the run from being just 'tough' to being collectively and individually appealing in its 325 toughness. Similarly, other labels invite interaction around the fun of running, as when 326 Rose's labelled a run as "Tough run with the Tuesday crowd. Thanks guys!" (Rose, age 327 31, runner for 4 years). The interactions prompted by these labels affords collective affirmation about the purpose, expectation and moods associated with the running 328 329 practice template which are constituted and reconstituted via interactions facilitated by 330 the self-tracking app as much as they are by the repeated performances of these versions 331 of running practice.

332 Mechanism for teleoaffective shaping: Reward

333 Strava provides rewards for achievements for top ten achievements in different segments 334 in the form of trophies that automatically appear in a user's feed. However, runners also 335 proactively *use* Strava to reward engagements with running and this repositions running 336 positively; away from any actualised sense of embodied struggle. In the Strava interaction 337 extract below, club members self-refer as a 'gang' and reward fellow members through 338 mutual appreciation, comments of support and multiple offerings of 'kudos' (visible 339 through the number of 'thumbs ups' per comment):



The run, however it was in fact experienced, is positioned through this rewarding activity as social, 'chatty' and 'lovely', which is reinforced through the collective 'kudos' and cocreated narrative. The teleoaffective associations of 'running as social', repeatedly created through such collective rewarding, can be carried forward into future engagements with running.





352 Hettie responds to the comment from her 'follower' within the hour, showing how the 353 comments function in Strava also facilitates an opportunity for assessing the bygone 354 performance of running and for guiding its future practical enactment (Molander and 355 Hartmann 2018). She does this by shaping emotional associations away from hardship or 356 guilt and, in this case, towards accomplishment. The reward offered by followers in this 357 vein allows the practitioner to continue with running even if difficult, because it has been repositioned as worthwhile. Indeed, the very difficulty often becomes part of the 358 359 routinised and anticipated appealing aspects of running. Runners know that the reward will come once the run appears on Strava, and this may explain some of the 'devastation' 360 our participants described when their self-tracking technology failed, because they will 361 362 miss the rewards.

As demonstrated by the number of 'kudos' rewards in the above extracts, Strava's kudos button represents a popular way of demonstrating reward, and a useful cue for followers and trackers to scan for clusters of collective appreciation. Bronwen, for example talks about the 'kudos' button, noting that, "There is a lot of support for each other because we all know how hard it can be sometimes to do it." For Bronwen, receiving multiple 'kudos' for a run reframes her effort as worthwhile in the eyes of a social network of other runners, even if no comments are written. For Ralph, social affirmation through 370 the kudos function is a way of starting a conversation in Strava about particular runs or 371 future plans. He explained that he "likes to see what people are noticing" and he will 372 often "follow up with my own comments". For him, 'kudos' carries a lot of meaning; of 373 respect and interest, and it positions his activity as having been noted by particular 374 'followers', which he will check, so that he might follow up with a comment or direct 375 message to individuals. It is also significant how many thumbs up an activity attracts, and 376 Ralph explained that he will notice the volume of support for particular runs and often 377 'click' the kudos button himself. Jane (age 38, runner for 5 years) explains that often she 378 barely engages with her actual running data, but routinely scans the Strava feed for shows 379 of support. She explains that 'kudos' is an 'automatic' or routinised part of interacting 380 through the Strava:

381 "There is always the kudos, always the thumbs up, that is almost an automatic.
382 You don't always look at [the run feed] but you give a thumbs up."

383 Providing reward for others is part of the self-tracking bundle of practices that is

facilitated by Strava (Lupton 2017). The labelling and 'kudos' and comment functions

385 allow runners to easily and collectively create a narrative around the practice of running

that ameliorates individualised experiences of enactment. In this way, running becomes a

387 socially constructed and dynamically negotiated activity. It is both a rewarded and

388 rewarding activity facilitated through these easy, automated virtual interactions and

389 recreated through every repeated ongoing engagement with running.

390 Mechanism for teleoaffective shaping: Materialising effort

391 Materialisation refers to the use of self-tracking to make permanent and concrete

392 otherwise intangible engagement with running, by visualising it materially. This drive to

393 capture physical accomplishments has been noted by others (Throsby 2016). When

394 reflecting on their logging activities, practitioners describe this logging as the

395 materialisation of the intangibility of running and it is highly significant for their ongoing 396 relationship with running. Materialisation was often underpinned by principles of 397 completeness and accuracy, and framed by rules and procedures for maintaining 398 completeness. For example, Sam (age 40), a daily logger and established runner of 17 399 years, explains that he logs every run, and indeed every bike ride and swim, that he 400 completes, no matter how short. Sam's commute to work on foot might only be a few 401 kilometers, but having a complete log feels important; to create the full picture of his 402 commitment. He writes notes to explain the context of particular efforts, and ensures 403 that no run is missed, however short. Sam's explanation for why he is preoccupied with 404 completeness is twofold. On the one hand he explains that he is "scared to miss a day; it 405 feels like I'm losing fitness", and on the other he uses his impressively crowded Strava 406 feed as the basis for friendly competition amongst his co-workers: "It's about who's 407 done the most and who's been fastest on the way in". Sam works to materialise his 408 running in order to make it meaningful for his understanding of his own fitness and as 409 an enduring record, which is a source of pride.

410 Zak is another respondent who works hard to ensure his Strava feed is fully and 411 materially illustrative of his efforts, and like Sam he uses this as a tangible account of his 412 commitment to running, and as a basis for engaging with an audience. In Zak's case the 413 audience is both on and offline. Zak (age 37, runner for 6 years) set a challenge for 414 himself to run every day in January, but this carried on and on until "at one point I was 415 saying I was on January the 79th; it was a resounding joke. It was always January". He 416 explained how soon he would have to announce at his running club that he was planning 417 on stopping because people would expect to see his Strava feed every day. If he failed to 418 log a run he would receive messages via Strava asking what happened. For both Sam and 419 Zak, self-tracking provides a means to create and protect an accumulated Strava profile

- 420 and to interact around this profile with an audience. This positions their engagement
- 421 with running as committed, extreme and consistent, which is meaningful to them and
- 422 shapes the teleoaffective associations of their future engagements with running.
- 423 The 'pride' in accomplishment over time is evident in Eliza's Facebook post about her
- 424 Strava profile:



Eliza (age 43, runner for 2 years) announces to her Facebook followers that she has
accomplished a huge achievement by accumulating 90km of running since 1st August
2017, which is illustrated through the screen shot from Strava that she shares on
Facebook. Eliza is 'shocked' at her feat and uses the materialisation of her achievement
as a basis for interaction. Specifically, she attracts social affirmation that serves to
position her relationship with running as accomplishment and success and to move it

away from, as one of the follow-up comments notes, an anticipated sense that it requires
'real effort' and happens in the 'grim and dark'. The visibility of her accumulated totals in
Strava allows runners like Eliza to associate unique engagements with running, which
may be 'grim' through the lens of a larger project which has tangible form and is made
visible for social reward.

437 Discussion

438 In developing the concept of 'teleoaffective shaping' as an outcome of communal self-439 tracking, we draw on Molander and Hartmann's (2018) focus on emotion in the 440 evolution of practice. They specify that alongside the routine way that practitioners draw 441 on anticipated teleoaffective associations in their actual enactment of practice, 442 practitioners also routinely assess emotional experiences and trigger "adjustment of 443 planned future behavior" (p.12). This assessment and shaping of future engagement 444 happens as part of the routine engagement with practice, i.e. the practice allows 445 practitioners to 'assess' their engagement in an ongoing process which involves 446 monitoring past engagements, understanding anticipated engagements and understanding 447 the desired outcomes of future engagements. Our findings suggest that our practitioners 448 are able to use the various practices that make up the communal self-tracking bundle to 449 'work on' the teleoaffective associations they hold with running, and shape their 450 anticipated teleoaffective engagement with running. These reworked teleoaffective 451 structures can be carried forward, repeated and reinforced through both communal selftracking interactions and actualised future experience. 452 453 Our study makes three important contributions to the existing body of research

454 exploring the potential of communal self-tracking for physical activity participation. First,

455 we illustrate a new way of understanding how communal self-tracking works to support

456 sustained physical activity, which may be experienced as unpleasant or have unappealing

457 associations. Our study illustrates that practitioners can change the way they engage with 458 running. Our respondents relabeled exhausted solo night runs to attract affirmation and 459 support for a version of running emphasizing self-discipline and commitment. They used 460 the kudos and comments to reaffirm informal 'club' membership and reposition running 461 as focusing on social bonds. They shared accumulated logs on Facebook to discuss their 462 achievement and invited a shared sense that running is a hard but a worthwhile 463 accomplishment. Through these interactions, running becomes reconstituted as a socially 464 shared and meaningful practice, manifesting as multiple versions, to which self-tracking 465 practitioners can align themselves. Our study therefore provides evidence for a 466 developed understanding of the way that the tight bundling of communal self-tracking 467 and physical activity might work for supporting physical activity. Communal self-tracking 468 provides mechanisms for acting upon the emotional and purpose-oriented associations 469 of physical activity in order to move it from having limited appeal to having dynamic 470 appeal that can more effectively retain practitioner attention and loyalty as careers 471 develop. Emotional entanglement between self-tracking and tracked activities has been 472 noted by others (Lupton 2013; Ruckenstein 2014), and our study provides a theoretical 473 mechanism for understanding this entanglement.

474 Second, our study emphasises the active part that practitioners play in the dynamic 475 creation and recreation of meanings relating to physical activity practice. Existing studies 476 tend to imagine users as 'responsive' to doses of the social networking functions of self-477 tracking apps (Hassan et al. 2019), or to the agency of self-tracking technology (Klauser 478 and Albrechtslund 2014). In positive terms, self-tracking is seen as boosting social 479 comparison, emotional support, enjoyment and empowerment, and ultimately increases 480 motivation to keep active. In contrast, our analysis foregrounds the recursive nature of 481 practices and practitioner performances, which is a strong theme in recent practice-

482 oriented consumption studies (Phipps and Ozanne 2017; Molander and Hartmann 2018) 483 with neither practitioner agency nor socially normative patterns of activity taking center 484 stage. Rather, practitioners are central in the dynamics of ongoing practice change 485 (Maller 2015). Our data illustrates how the appeal of running, and by implication ongoing 486 running 'careers', are the result of a dynamic evolution of the way running is experienced, 487 anticipated and worked on to become progressively more 'agreeable' in different ways. 488 Respondents describe hating running initially but now talking about it 'all the time', 489 sharing accomplishments and relishing in their career stories. Via Strava, adaptive 490 practitioners share their accumulated stats or the labels they assign to runs and invite 491 collective affirmation for the versions of running that are being emphasised through the 492 online narrative, and for those which are being mollified. The survival of running 493 practice is therefore the result of a dynamic interplay between collective practitioner 494 negotiations of meaning and ongoing performances in which those meanings are 495 enacted; both of which are routinised as part of the practice bundle of running and 496 communal self-tracking. This insight advances existing conceptualisations by moving 497 away from the notion of self-trackers as 'responsive' and, rather, foregrounding both the 498 entangled nature of self-tracking and running, and the entangled nature of performances 499 and practice.

Third, our findings also suggest potential lessons for digital health interventions seeking to support sustained physical activity because they highlight the multitude of ways that Strava facilitates interactions for teleoaffective shaping. Teleoaffective shaping is mediated in some cases by direct engagements with data, for example when practitioners concern themselves with accumulated totals. However, in some cases, practitioners bypass their data altogether and focus on the comments and kudos they receive for logging a run, irrespective of the data produced. Practitioners examine lists of 'kudos'

507 and pursue ongoing interactions with particular followers, or create labels that 'speak' to 508 particular groups with whom a run was in fact performed. Strava provides multiple ways 509 for users to interrelate, from leaderboards to kudos, comments, direct messages and 510 exporting data to other social platforms. Relating to a sense of the multiple, interrelated 511 practices of self-tracking, our findings concur with other studies (Mol 2000; Ruckenstein 512 2014) which have noted how practitioners engage with self-tracking in numerate ways 513 depending on personal projects and trajectories (Lupton and Smith 2018). Our findings 514 indicate that this flexibility and multiplicity in design underpins the sense of active 515 participation as opposed to loss of agency. We note that other studies have reported that 516 respondents can feel 'controlled' by wearable technology and self-tracking, which can 517 lead to attrition and protest (Ruckenstein 2014), or that social connectivity can feel 518 tokenistic (Fotopoulou et al. 2016) or part of a marketing agenda (Charitsis et al. 2018). 519 Strava, in providing a range of integrated ways through which teleoaffective shaping 520 projects can be undertaken, has created a diverse experience of social interaction and 521 meaning making, which in fact ties closely with the benefits of communal physical 522 activity found in other studies (Copelton 2010; Wiltshire et al. 2018). We note that device 523 manufacturers assume that users primarily are interested in 'getting more health-related 524 information' (Pantzar and Ruckenstein 2015), but in fact much of this 'health 525 optimization' data can fail to engage people (Ruckenstein 2014) and attrition from self-526 tracking is common (Lupton and Smith 2018). It may be that other features, including 527 the capacity for free-flowing communal dialogue, are most important for sustained 528 engagement with self-tracking and the tracked activity. It is therefore important to note 529 that a potential downside to the routinised social interaction afforded by Strava may 530 emerge from the entangled nature of enacting and sharing physical activity 531 accomplishments. In the event of technological failure, the inability to share and interact

around some tracks may have a disruptive or even reverse (negative) effect on theteleoaffective shaping of physical activity.

534 Finally, it is important to highlight a key limitation of our study, which is its particular 535 focus on the positive teleoaffective shaping of running by users of a social fitness app. 536 This was a useful context for a first exploration of the concept of teleoaffective shaping, 537 but future studies might consider the multiple ways that self-tracking affords 538 teleoaffective shaping of tracked practices. For example, future research might interrogate how teleoaffective shaping happens in private self-tracking, and might focus 539 540 on how a range of collective associations relating to particular practices are shaped and 541 evolve in different ways. Teleoaffective shaping might be implicated in how notions of 542 competitiveness, adventurousness or obsessiveness might be cultivated through self-543 tracking. We begin to see that interaction around Zak's data has begun to collectively 544 legitimise running every day, and possibly to the emergence of dangerous bodily practices 545 noted in other self-tracking studies (Charitsis et al. 2018). Similarly, other respondents in 546 our research seek and receive social affirmation for extreme or adventurous patterns of 547 running and in other cases, personal profiles are collectively rewarded for their volume. 548 Future research might explore a range of associations that may be shaped powerfully 549 through communal and other forms of self-tracking via mechanisms including, but 550 expanding beyond, those we have identified.

551

Acknowledgements: We would like to thank Gareth Wiltshire for reviewing an earlierversion of this manuscript.

555 **References**

- 556 Allman-Farinelli, M., Partridge, S. McGeechan, K. Balestracci, K., Hebden, L., Wong, A.
- 557 et al. (2016) A mobile health lifestyle program for prevention of weight gain in young
- adults (TXT2BFiT): Nine-month outcomes of a randomized controlled trial, JMIR M-
- health and uHealth, 4, 1-78
- 560 Ally, A.K., Lovatt, M., Meier, P.S., Brennan, A. and Holmes, J. (2016) Developing a
- 561 social practice-based typology of British drinking culture in 2009-2011: implications for
- alcohol policy analysis, Addiction, 111, 1568-79
- 563 Ancker, J., Witteman, H., Hafeez, B. (2015) "You get reminded you're a sick person":
- 564 personal data tracking and patients with multiple chronic conditions. J Med Internet Res,

- 566 Baum, F. and Fisher, I. (2014) Why behavioural health promotion endures despite its
- 567 failure to reduce health inequities, Sociology of Health and Illness, 36, 2, 213–25
- 568 Benetoli, A., Chen, F.T. and Aslani, P. (2017) Consumer health-related activities on social
- 569 media: Exploratory study, Journal of Medical Internet Research, 16, 5, e128
- 570 Bergroth, H. (2019) 'You can't really control life': dis/assembling self-knowledge with
- 571 self-tracking technologies, Distinktion: Journal of Social Theory, 20, 2, 190-20
- 572 Blue, S., Shove, E., Carmona, C. and Kelly, M.P. (2016) Theories of practice and public
- 573 health: understanding (un)healthy practices, Critical Public Health, 26, 1, 36–50
- 574 Charitsis, V., Yngfalk, A.F. and Skalen, P. (2018), 'Made the run': Biopolitical marketing
- and the making of the self-quantified runner, Marketing Theory, 1-20c

- 576 Comstock, J. (2015) IMS: 1 in 10 health apps connects to a device, 1 in 50 connects to
- 577 healthcare providers, *Mobi Health News* [online]. Available from
- 578 <u>https://www.mobihealthnews.com/46863/ims-1-in-10-health-apps-connects-to-a-</u>
- 579 <u>device-1-in-50-connects-to-healthcare-providers</u>
- 580 Cohn, S. (2014) From health behaviours to health practices: an introduction, Sociology
- 581 of Health and Illness, 36, 2, 157–62
- 582 Copelton, D.A. (2010) Output that counts: pedometers, sociability and the contested
- terrain of older adult fitness walking, Sociology of Health and Illness, 32, 2, 304-318
- 584 Cox, A.L., Bird, J. and Fleck, R. (2013) Digital Epiphanies: How self-knowledge can
- 585 change habits and our attitudes towards them, British HCI habits workshop
- 586 Das, P. and Horton, R. (2016) Physical activity-time to take it seriously and
- 587 regularly, The Lancet, 388, 10051, 1255–6
- 588 Didziokaite G, Saukko P and Greiffenhagen C (2017) The mundane experience of
- 589 everyday calorie trackers: beyond the metaphor of quantified self, New Media & Society,
- 590 20, 1470–1487.
- 591 Ellis, D. A., and Piwek, L. (2018) Failing to encourage physical activity with wearable
- technology: what next? Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine (JRSordinary M), 111, 9,
- 593 310-13.
- 594 Etkin, J. (2016) "The Hidden Cost of Personal Quantification, Journal of Consumer
- 595 Research, 42 (April), 967-84
- 596 Fotopoulou, A., O'Riordan, K. (2017) Training to self-care: fitness tracking, biopedagogy
- and the healthy consumer, Health Sociol Rev, 26, 54-68

- 598 Goodyear, V.A. and Armour, K.M. (2018) Young people's perspectives on and
- 599 experiences of health-related social media, apps, and wearable health devices, Social600 Sciences, 7, 137, 1-15
- 601 Gorm, N. and Shklovski, I. (2019) Episodic use: Practices of care in self-tracking, New
- 602 Media & Society, 1-17
- 603 Guthold, R., Stevens, A., Riley, L. and Bull, F. (2018) Worldwide trends in insufficient
- 604 physical activity from 2001 to 2016: a pooled analysis of 358 population-based surveys
- 605 with 1.9 million participants, The Lancet, 6, 10, e1077-86
- 606 Hassan, L., Dias, A. and Hamari, J. (2019) How motivational feedback increase user's
- 607 benefits and continued use: A study on gamification, quantified self and social
- networking, International Journal of Information Management, 46, 151-62
- 609 Heneghan, C., Howick, J., O'Neill, B., Gill, P. J., Lasserson, D. S., Cohen, D., and
- 610 Thompson, M. (2012) The evidence underpinning sports performance products: a
- 611 systematic assessment, BMJ Open, 2, 4, e001702
- 612 Huang, H.C., Pham, T.T.L., Wong, M.K., Chiu, H.Y., Yang, Y.H., Teng, C.I. (2018) How
- 613 to create flow experience in exergames? Perspective of flow theory, Telematics and
- 614 Informatics, 35, 5, 1288-96
- 615 Jakicic, J.M., Davis, K.K., Rogers, R.J., King, W.C., Marcus, M.D., Helsel, D., Rickman,
- A.D., Wahed, A.S. and Belle, S.H. (2016) Effect of Wearable Technology Combined with
- 617 a Lifestyle Intervention on Long-Term Weight Loss: the IDEA Randomized Clinical
- 618 Trial, JAMA, 316, 11, 1161–71

- 619 Klauser, F.R. and Albrechtslund, A. (2014) From self-tracking to smart urban
- 620 infrastructures: towards an interdisciplinary research agenda on Big Data, Surveillance
- 621 and Society, 12, 2, 273-286
- 622 Lomborg, S. and Frandsen, K. (2016) Self-tracking as communication, Information,
- 623 Communication and Society, 19,7, 1015–27
- 624 Lomborg, S., Thylstrup, N. B., & Schwartz, J. (2018). The temporal flows of self-
- tracking: Checking in, moving on, staying hooked. New Media & Society, 20, 12, 4590-4607.
- 627 Lupton, D. (2013) Quantifying the body: monitoring and measuring health in the age of
- 628 M-health technologies, Critical Public Health, 23, August, 393–403
- 629 Lupton, D. (2014) Self-tracking Cultures: Towards a Sociology of Personal Informatics.
- 630 In Proceedings of the 26th Australian Computer-Human Interaction Conference on
- 631 Designing Futures: The Future of Design, New York, NY: ACM, 77-86
- 632 Lupton, D. (2016) The diverse domains of quantified selves: self-tracking modes and
- dataveillance, Economy and Society, 45, 1, 101-122
- 634 Lupton, D. (2017) How does health feel? Towards research on the affective atmospheres
- 635 of digital health. Digital Health, 3, 1-11
- 636 Lupton, D. (2018) 'Lively Data, Social Fitness and Biovalue: the intersections of Health
- 637 Self-Tracking and Social Media. In Burgess, J., Marwick, A. and Poewell, P. (eds) The
- 638 SAGE Handbook of Social Media, chapter 31, SAGE: London

- 639 Lupton, D.; Pink, S.; Labond, C.H. and Sumartojo, S. (2018) Personal Data Contexts,
- 640 Data Sense, and Self-Tracking Cycling, International Journal of Communication, 12, 647-641 65
- 642 Lupton, D. and Smith, G.J.D. (2018) 'A Much Better Person': The Agential Capacities of
- 643 Self-tracking Practices, In Ajana, B (ed.), 'Metric Culture: Ontologies of Self-Tracking
- 644 Practices', Emerald, 57-75
- 645 Meier, P.S., Warde, A. and Holmes, J. (2017) All drinking is not equal: how a social
- 646 practice theory lens could enhance public health research on alcohol and other health
- behaviours, Society for the Study of Addiction, Addiction, 113, 206-213
- 648 Mercer K., Li, M., Giangregorio, L., Burns, C., Grindrod, K. (2016) Behavior change
- 649 techniques present in wearable activity trackers: a critical analysis, JMIR M-health
- 650 Uhealth; 4, 2, e40
- 651 Millington, B. (2016) Fit for prosumption: interactivity and the second fitness boom,
- 652 Media, Culture and Society, 38, 8, 1184–200.
- Mol, A. and Law, J. (2004) Embodied action, enacted bodies: the example of
- hypoglycaemia, Body and Society, 10, 43–62.
- 655 Molander, S. and Hartmann, B. (2018) Emotion and practice: Mothering, Cooking, and
- Teleoaffective Episodes, Marketing Theory, 18, 3, 371-390
- 657 Oduor, M., Alahaivala, T. and Oinas-Kukkonen, H. (2014) Persuasive software design
- 658 patterns for social influence, Pers Ubiquit Comput, 18, 1689-1704

- 659 Oxlund, B. (2012) Living by numbers: the dynamic interplay of asymptotic conditions
- and low cost measurement technologies in the cases of two women in the Danish
- 661 provinces, Suomen Antropologi, 37, 42–56
- 662 Pantzar, M. and Ruckenstein, M. (2015) The Heart of Everyday Analytics: Emotional,
- 663 Material and Practical Extensions in Self-tracking Market, Consumption Markets and
- 664 Culture, 18, 1, 92-109
- 665 Patel, M.S., Asch, D.A., Volpp, K.G. (2015) Wearable devices as facilitators, not drivers,
- of health behavior change. JAMA, 313, 5, 459-60
- 667 Phipps, M. and Ozanne, J. (2017) Routines Disrupted: Reestablishing Security through
- 668 Practice Alignment, Journal of Consumer Research, 44 (August), 361-80
- 669 Pink, S. and Fors, V. (2017) Being in a mediated world: self-tracking and the mind-body-
- 670 environment, Cultural Geography, 24, 375–388
- 671 Piwek, L., Ellis, D.A., Andrews, S., Joinson, A. (2016) The rise of consumer health
- 672 wearables: promises and barriers, PLoS Med, 13, 2), e1001953
- Pols, J. and Willems, D. (2011) Innovation and evaluation: taming and unleashing
- telecare technology, Sociology of Health and Illness, 33, 484-98
- 675 Purpura, S., et al. (2011) Fit4Life: the design of a persuasive technology promoting
- 676 healthy behavior and ideal weight, Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human
- 677 Factors in Computing Systems, Vancouver, 423-32
- 678 Ruckenstein, M. (2014) Visualized and interacted life: personal analytics and engagements
- 679 with data doubles. Societies 4, 1, 68–84

- 680 Sanders, R. (2017) Self-tracking in the digital era: biopower, patriarchy, and the new
- 681 biometric body projects, Body and Society, 23, 1, 36–63
- 682 Schatzki, T. (2001) Practice mind-ed orders. In Schatzki, T., Cetina, K.K. and von
- 683 Savigny, E. (eds) The Practice Turn in Contemporary Theory, London, UK: Routledge,
- 684 50-63
- 685 Schatzki, T. (2002) The Site of the Social, University Park, PA: Penn State University686 Press
- 687 Schatzki, T. (2017) Saying, Texts and Discursive Formations, In Hui, A., Schatzki, T. and
- 688 Shove, E. (eds) The Nexus of Practices: Connections, Constellations, Practitioners,
- 689 Abingdon: Routledge, 126-140
- 690 Shove, E. and Pantzar, M. (2007) Recruitment and reproduction: the careers and carriers
- 691 of digital photography and floorball, Journal of Human Affairs, 17, 2
- 692 Smith, G.J.D and Vonthethoff, B. (2017) Health by numbers: exploring the practice and
- 693 experience of datafied health, Health Sociology Review, 26, 6–21
- 694 Smith, W.R. and Treem, J. (2017) Striving to Be King of Mobile Mountains:
- 695 Communication and Organizing Through Digital Fitness Technology, Communication
- 696 Studies, 68, 2, 135-151.
- 697 Stiglbauer, B., Weber, S. and Batinic, B. (2019) Does your health really benefit from
- 698 using a self-tracking device? Evidence from a longitudinal randomized control
- 699 trial, Computers in Human Behavior, 94, 131-9
- 700 Stragier, J., Evens, T. and Mechant, P. (2015) Broadcast yourself: an exploratory study of
- sharing physical activity on social networking sites, Media Int Aus, 155, 120-9

- 702 Strava (2019). Strava | Run and Cycling Tracking on the Social Network for Athletes. [online]
- 703 Available at: https://www.strava.com/features [Accessed 29 Oct. 2019].
- 704 Svetkey, L.P., Batch, B.C., Intille, S.S., Corsino, L., Tyson, C.C., Bosworth, H.B,
- Grambow, S.C., Voil, C., Loria, C., Gallis, J.A., Schwager, J. and Bennett, G.B. (2015)
- 706 Cell Phone Intervention for You (CITY): A Randomized, Controlled Trial of Behavioral
- 707 Weight Loss Intervention for Young Adults Using Mobile Technology, Obesity, 23, 11,
- 708 2133
- 709 Throsby, K. (2016) Immersion: Marathon Swimming, Embodiment and Identity (New
- 710 Ethnographies), Manchester: Manchester University Press
- 711 Toner, J. (2018) Exploring the dark-side of fitness trackers: Normalization,
- 712 objectification and the anaesthetisation of human experience. Performance
- 713 Enhancement & Health, 6, 2, 75-81.
- van Dijk, K.E.T., Westerink, J.H.T.M., Beute, F. and IJsselsteijn, W.A. (2017) Personal
- 715 Informatics, Self-Insight, and Behavior Change: A Critical Review of Current
- 716 Literature, Human–Computer Interaction, 32, 5-6, 268-96
- 717 Viseu, A. and Suchman, L. (2010) Wearable Augmentations. In J. Edwards, P. Harvey &
- 718 P. Wade (eds) Technologized Images, Technologized Bodies: anthropological
- 719 approaches to a new politics of vision, Oxford, New York: Berghahn Books
- 720 Wang Y-C, Kraut R, Levine JM (2012) To stay or leave? The relationship of emotional
- and informational support to commitment in online health support groups. In
- 722 Proceedings of CSCW 2012. ACM, New York, 833–42

723	Wiltshire,	G.R.,	Fullagar, S.	and S	Stevinson,	C.	(2018)) Ext	ploring	parkrun	as a	l social
			0 /				· · ·					

724 context for collective health practices: running with and against the moral imperatives of

```
health responsibilisation, Sociology of Health and Illness, 40, 1, 3–17
```

- 726 Woermann, N. and Rokka, J. (2015) Timeflow: How Consumption Practices Shape
- 727 Consumers' Temporal Experiences, Journal of Consumer Research, 41 (April), 1486-508
- 728 Zhang, Y-D., Li, D-J., Zhang, C-b. and Zhang, H-l. (2019) Quantified or nonquantified:
- 729 How quantification affects consumers' motivation in goal pursuit, Journal of Consumer
- 730 Behaviour, 18, 2, 120-134

731

732 Data underpinning this study are not available to share, as participants did not consent733 for data sharing.