



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Review

Powder Bed Fusion Additive Manufacturing using CRM materials: a review

Vladimir V. Popov ^{1*}, Maria Luisa Grilli ², Andrey Koptyug ³, Lucyna Jaworska ⁴, Alexander Katz-Demyanetz ¹, Damjan Klobčar ⁵, Sebastian Balos ⁶, Bogdan O. Postolnyi ^{7,8} and Saurav Goel ^{9,10,11}

- ¹ Israel Institute of Metals, Technion R&D Foundation, 3200003, Haifa, Israel, <u>vvp@technion.ac.il</u>
- ² ENEA–Italian National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development, Casaccia Research Centre, Via Anguillarese 301, 00123 Rome, Italy, <u>marialuisa.grilli@enea.it</u>
- ³ SportsTech Research Center, Mid Sweden University, Akademigatan 1, Östersund, Sweden, <u>Andrey.Kop-tyug@miun.se</u>
- ⁴ Faculty of Non-Ferrous Metals, AGH University of Science and Technology, 30-059 Krakow, Poland, <u>ljaw@agh.edu.pl</u>
- ⁵ Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, University of Ljubljana, Aškerčeva c. 6, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia, <u>Dam-jan.Klobcar@fs.uni-lj.si</u>
- ⁶ Department of Production Engineering, Faculty of Technical Science, University of Novi Sad, Novi Sad, Serbia, <u>sebab@uns.ac.rs</u>
- ⁷ IFIMUP Institute of Physics for Advanced Materials, Nanotechnology and Photonics, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Faculty of Sciences, University of Porto, 687 Rua do Campo Alegre, 4169-007 Porto, Portugal, <u>b.postolnyi@gmail.com</u>
- ⁸ Department of Nanoelectronics and Surface Modification, Sumy State University, 2 Rymskogo-Korsakova st., 40007 Sumy, Ukraine, <u>b.postolnyi@gmail.com</u>
 - School of Engineering, London South Bank University, London, SE10AA, UK, GoeLs@Lsbu.ac.uk
- ¹⁰ School of Aerospace, Transport and Manufacturing, Cranfield University, Cranfield, MK43 0AL, UK, <u>GoeLs@Lsbu.ac.uk</u>
- ¹¹ Department of Mechanical Engineering, Shiv Nadar University, Gautam Budh Nagar, 201314, India, <u>GoeLs@Lsbu.ac.uk</u>
- * Correspondence: vvp@technion.ac.il

Abstract: The term "Critical Raw Materials" (CRMs) refers to various metals and non-metals that 28 are crucial to Europe's economic progress. Modern technologies enabling effective use and recycla-29 bility of CRMs are in critical demand for the EU industry. The use of CRMs especially in the field of 30 biomedical, aerospace, electric vehicles, and energy application is almost irreplaceable. Additive 31 Manufacturing (also referred to as 3D printing) is one of the key enabling technologies in the field 32 of manufacturing which underpins the fourth Industrial Revolution. Not only does 3D printing 33 suppresses waste but it also provides an efficient buy-to-fly ratio and possesses the potential to en-34 tirely change supply and distribution chains significantly reducing costs and revolutionizing all lo-35 gistics. This review provides comprehensive new insights into CRM-containing materials processed 36 by modern AM techniques and outlines the potential for increasing the efficiency of CRM utilisation 37 and reducing the dependence on CRMs through wider industrial incorporation of AM and specifics 38 of powder-bed AM methods making them prime candidates for such developments. 39

Keywords: Additive Manufacturing; Critical Raw Materials; CRM; recyclability; powders for addi-40tive manufacturing; Powder Bed Fusion41

42

43

1. Introduction

There is a growing global concern about securing access to metals and minerals 44 needed for developing economic production. The dependence of industrial sectors on 45 scarce materials, in many cases almost entirely dependent on remote sources, represents 46

Materials 2021, 14, x. https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxxx

a threat to the future competitiveness of highly import-dependent industrialised countries47such as the European Union (EU), Japan and the USA. It is also complemented by the48additional challenge of sustainable management of all resources starting from raw mate-49rials through manufacturing and logistics to waste treatment and end-of-life product re-50cycling.51

Critical raw materials (CRMs) are raw materials of high importance to the global 52 economy. Their supply is at risk, as defined in the current methodology for raw materials 53 assessment published by the European Commission (EC) in 2017 [1]. European legislators 54 were already pointing out the criticality of the raw material for quite a while, indicating 55 that this issue is both economical as well as political. In 2007, the EU Council declared the 56 Conclusions on Industrial Policy requesting the Commission to develop a coherent ap-57 proach about raw materials supplies to EU industries. The corresponding approach 58 should cover all relevant areas of policy (foreign affairs, trade, environmental, develop-59 ment and research and innovation policy) and identify appropriate measures for cost-ef-60 fective, reliable and environmentally-friendly access to and exploitation of natural re-61 sources, secondary raw materials and recyclable waste, especially concerning third-coun-62 try markets [2]. In response, the first European Raw Materials Initiative was launched by 63 the EC in 2008 to provide a fair and sustainable supply of raw materials from international 64 markets and the EU, while promoting resource efficiency and circular economy [3]. The 65 first CRMs list was released in 2011, which contained 41 candidates and 14 CRMs were 66 selected [4] as supercritical. In 2014, the CRMs list was updated, and 20 CRMs were iden-67 tified out of 54 candidates [5]. A third CRMs list with 26 raw materials and groups of raw 68 materials out of the 78 candidates was released in 2017 [6]. The last CRMs list was released 69 in 2020 which contains 30 elements [7]. Bauxite, Lithium, Titanium and Strontium were 70 added to the CRMs list for the first time, while Helium, critical in 2017, was removed from 71 the list due to a decline in its economic importance. The CRMs list is updated every three 72 years, to account for the production, market and technological developments. A summary 73 of the four CRMs listed above is presented in Fig. 1, where elements listed in 2011, 2013, 74 2017 and 2020 as CRMs are marked in different colors. From the table, the evolution of 75 criticality of each element or material since 2011 is evident. It is worth noting that many 76 other raw materials, even when not classed as critical, are important to the EU economy 77 and are continuously monitored by EC. 78



Critical Raw Materials (CRMs) for the EU

Figure 1. Critical raw materials list for 2011–2020 overlaid on the periodic table of the elements (adapted from [8]).

European initiatives were broadening, and in 2011 EU together with Japan and the 81 US started a trilateral dialogue to promote cooperation in the field of critical materials, 82 identifying the main areas of cooperation in collecting raw materials data, analysing trade, 83 waste recycling and options for CRM substitution. Representatives of the European Com-84 mission (EC), the US Department of Energy (DOE), and Japan's Ministry of Economy, 85 Trade and Industry (METI) and the New Energy and Industrial Technology Development 86 Organization for Japan, decided to meet annually since 2011 for discussing CRM issues 87 via a Trilateral Conference. 88

The growing interest of researchers in solving the problem associated with the sup-89 ply risk of raw materials can be testified by the increasing number of publications pub-90 lished during the last decade covering topics such as CRMs applications, manufacturing, 91 recycling and life cycle analysis. A simple search through research databases for the key-92 words, "critical raw materials" returns 330 publications as of February 2021 in the Scopus 93 database alone, with first publication reported in 1975 [9] and annual publication numbers 94 increasing considerably since 2012. Results also indicate that the publications mostly focus 95 on recycling, substitution, circular economy and rare earth elements. This search concerns 96 only the publications specifically addressing as keyword "critical raw materials", while 97 other publications on manufacturing technologies, industrial applications and disposal of 98 individual elements coupled with the keyword CRM would yield even more papers. 99

The corresponding report on the assessment of the methodology for establishing the 100 EU CRMs list screened 212 communications dealing with critical raw materials: around 101 233 organisations were identified as being involved in criticality studies. Among these, 72 102 developed their methodology and 58 organizations developed their CRMs lists [10]. In 103 2018, the Department of the Interior of the United States published the list of 36 critical 104 minerals and elements (including aluminum, arsenic, barite, beryllium, bismuth, cesium, 105 chromium, cobalt, fluorspar, gallium, germanium, graphite, hafnium, helium, indium, 106 lithium, magnesium, manganese, niobium, platinum group metals, potash, the rare earth 107 elements group, rhenium, rubidium, scandium, strontium, tantalum, tellurium, tin, tita-108 nium, tungsten, uranium, vanadium, and zirconium), and declared their 100% import re-109 liance on 14 minerals [11,12]. 110

Currently, known approaches to address the problem of CRMs are summarized in 111 Fig. 2. They are related to securing the supply chain (through raw materials diplomacy 112 and developing own mining and recycling), extending the lifetime of the products con-113 taining CRMs, developing more sustainable production methods for materials containing 114CRMs and introducing new CRM-free materials. In absence of having immediate availa-115 bility of raw materials, novel solutions for improving raw material production, recycling, 116 reducing the consumption and substitution of CRMs move to the top of the agenda 117 [8,13,14]. 118



Figure 2. Primary solutions to tackle and address the issue of critical raw materials.

One of the technologies capable of solving some of the discussed challenges is Addi-121 tive Manufacturing (AM) of metals and non-metallic materials. AM adds a material layer-122 by-layer in contrast to the traditional methods of subtractive manufacturing, removing 123 material from large ingots by turning, drilling and milling. Unique advantages of AM 124 methods include achieving unprecedented freedom in the shape, significant reduction of 125 waste and, in many cases, reduction of energy consumption [15–17]. Specific processing 126 conditions characteristic to AM allow for developing new materials with unique proper-127 ties not possible to manufacture by other methods, including bulk metallic glasses [18,19], 128 high entropy alloys [20–22] and different composites [23,24]. Due to these reasons, Addi-129 tive Manufacturing was identified as an essential part of the upcoming 4th industrial rev-130 olution, and in particular, as an effective and promising method to reduce CRM used in a 131 wide variety of industrial production processes [8,13]. 132

Today, AM technologies are capable of utilising a variety of different materials. This133review focuses on the AM methods capable of working with metallic and ceramic materi-134als, most relevant to the CRMs. This review also aims to outline emerging possibilities135provided by AM capable to mitigate critical CRM challenges, and to highlight the recent136trends in AM of CRMs.137

A carefully designed and developed methodology was used to screen the materials 138 presented in this review paper. As such three separate lines of the search were performed 139 from the openly available research publications and legislature documents. Correspond-140 ing databases used were Google Scholar, Science Direct (Scopus), Springer Link, Wiley 141 Online Library, EU public document and decision databases (Public Register Europa - 142 Europa EU, Documents and publications by EU - Consilium.europa.eu) and open Google 143 search.

The first line of search concerned the issues related to CRMs and corresponding future 145 challenges. The material obtained from this search pattern is the basis of Chapter 1. The 146 second line of search concerned the use of CRMs in additive manufacturing- as individual 147 elements and as parts of alloys. Particular focus was on the precursor material manufac-148 turing methods, AM methodology and material recycling, which has informed the writing 149 of Chapters 2 and 3. The third line of the search was partially based on own databases of 150 the research publications, and on additional search on the advantages of AM and future 151 trends in AM development relevant to solving critical issues and future challenges for 152 CRMs. 153

Since the primary scope for this research is focused on the additive manufacturing of 154 CRMs, corresponding approach is material- and technology-focused. From this point of 155 view, a full initial database of the publications involved results of all three searches. Corresponding inclusion criteria were official documents and open scientific publications 157 from peer-reviewed sources. The corresponding initial database was split into three subsets referring to three lines of the search described earlier. One should note, that some of 159 the papers were presented in two or even all three subsets. 160

2. Powder materials used for Additive Manufacturing

Powder precursor materials are the base for a large family of AM technologies currently used in industry, such as: 163

- 1. Powder Bed Fusion (PBF) including Selective Laser Sintering (SLS), Selective Laser
 164

 Melting (SLM) and Electron Beam Melting (EBM);
 165
- 2. Nano-Particle Jetting (XJET process);1663. Binder Jetting Printing (BJP);167
- 4. Laser Engineering Net Shaping (LENS).

The requirements for powder precursor materials depend on specific AM technology 169 (see Fig.3). The fundamental requirements to metal and ceramic powders include grain 170 shape (spherical, irregular, granulated); grain size (nano-, submicron-, or micron powder); 171

161



composition (pre-alloyed or blended); gas infusions; powder flowability; tendency to oxidise; sintering/melting conditions; etc. 173

Figure 3. Crucial powder characteristics in additive manufacturing

Fig.3 illustrates the typical powder grain size distribution required by different AM 176 systems by taking an example of titanium alloy powders produced by gas atomization 177 [25]. EBM uses a nominal particle size distribution between 45–106 μ m, whilst SLM uses 178 finer powders between 15–45 μ m. Particle size distribution has a serious impact on the 179 capabilities of the corresponding AM technology. Powders with finer grains allow to 180 achieve better control on the layer thickness which aids to improve print resolution while 181 reducing the as-printed roughness of the components [26]. On the other hand, thicker lay-182 ers with larger size grain powder potentially allow faster manufacturing. The presence of 183 finer powder fractions in the distribution allows for higher packing density since small 184 particles help in filling the voids between larger ones increasing the volume of solid metal 185 produced from the powder layer. Small particles (smaller than 15-10 µm) reduce the flow-186 ability of the powder and increase the risks during powder handling. So a trade-off in the 187 particle size distribution is needed to obtain high packing density and good flow proper-188 ties [27,28]. 189

Powder bed AM technologies, such as SLM, EBM and LENS predominantly rely on using individual elemental or pre-alloyed powders. During atomization, processing, intermediate handling and subsequent shipping at air atmosphere, the metal powder can become contaminated adsorbing gases such as argon from atomization, oxygen, nitrogen and moisture from the air. 194

Surface oxide of metal powders (composition, phases/inclusions and their distribu-195 tion, thickness etc.) is connected to the cooling rate and other conditions during atomiza-196 tion, the particle size and secondary dendrite arm spacing, the type of atomization (e.g., 197 water-, gas-atomization, or rotating electrode process), and the oxygen availability [29,30]. 198 The undesirable entrapped or adsorbed gases and moisture become the source of pores in 199 manufactured components and can react during the AM process forming oxide or nitride 200 inclusions and layers at the microstructure boundary surfaces. These oxides result in thin, 201 inherently weak grain boundaries and limit the bonding forces between individual pow-202 der particles during AM processing. A powder thermal pre-treatment, which involves de-203 gassing the powder at an elevated temperature in a vacuum, is one of the possible ways 204

174

226

243

of dealing with the issue. With laser-based AM technologies, it becomes a common addi-205tion to the main process, while in EBM it happens inside the machine as one of the essen-206tial process stages. Without powder thermal pre-treatment, other undesired effects during207melting can also occur, including the formation of the "balls" fused randomly to the top208of a processed solid layer severely distorting the process [31].209

The powder particle size and shape are quite critical. Together with the powder ele-210 mental content and level of purity, they play a crucial role in powder selection for AM 211 [32]. It is quite difficult to obtain high-density products with the powders, having irregu-212 lar grains strongly deflecting from spherical, or having large numbers of so-called "satel-213 lites" (smaller particles fused with the main grain). In such a case, it commonly results in 214 materials with high porosity and heterogeneity of the microstructure and even anisotropy 215 of properties. In addition, powder particles with a specific surface have a greater tendency 216 to adsorb gases and humidity from the atmosphere. An important parameter for the over-217 all quality of AM parts is the apparent density of powder before sintering or melting. 218 Though it is not definite, a common "rule of thumb" for example for EBM suggests that 219 the apparent density of the loose powders should generally be between 50 and 75% for 220 the solidified material. Studies have shown that the control and selection of powder par-221 ticle shape and size distribution can increase the apparent density of the powder depos-222 ited in a layer. Experiments show that the apparent density of thin powder layers in-223 creases from 53% to 63% of solid material when adding 30 volume % of fine powder to 224 the coarse one [33]. 225

2.1. Metal powders for Additive Manufacturing

Metallic elemental and alloy powders grain shape, size distribution, surface morphology and composition, and overall purity are of great importance in the production of good quality and fully dense components [34]. It is valid not only for the freshly manufactured powders but for the powders after storage and recycling. Even for the materials having no tendency to easily react with oxygen, the presence of surface oxide can strongly impact the property of additively manufactured components (e.g. [35,36]).

The characterisation of powders is commonly made by using different analytical 233 techniques including X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS), Auger Electron Spectros-234 copy (AES), scanning/transmission electron microscopy (SEM/TEM), electron backscat-235 tered diffraction (EBSD) and X-ray Diffraction (XRD). These techniques are limited in 236 terms of either spatial or lateral resolution or chemical information of phases and hence 237 are often required to be done together to obtain more meaningful information [37]. Both 238 the characteristics of the metal powder and the type of the AM process determine the 239 properties of the product. Since during AM, powder is commonly recycled, characteriza-240tion of its properties is performed not only for the as-received samples, but also at regular 241 intervals throughout the manufacturing process. 242

2.2. Production of metal powders for Additive Manufacturing

Metal powders can be produced using several methods, some of which are solid-state 244 reduction, milling, electrolysis, chemical processes, and atomisation [38]. Atomisation so 245 far is the most common route for producing metal powders for AM, dominating the mar-246 ket for powder-bed AM. Corresponding atomization technologies are well established. 247 They allow producing powders with different grain sizes in adequate shapes from a vari-248 ety of metallic materials. These methods are quite cost-competitive and allow for bulk 249 production of powders for both AM and traditional powder metallurgy. The first stage of 250 the overall production chain involves traditional mining and extraction of an ore to form 251 a pure or alloyed bulk metal product (ingot, wire, rod). The second stage is powder pro-252 duction itself (atomization process) followed by sifting into different fractions, size and 253 shape classification and validation. For PBF, additional flow tests are commonly added to 254 the validation protocol. 255

The specific atomization process can be different depending on the chosen AM tech-256 nology. Gas and plasma atomization producing particles of quite regular, close to spheri-257cal shape with rather small porosity and high uniformity are the most relevant ones for 258 the powder-based AM (see Fig.4a-b). Annual powder production using water atomisation 259 so far well exceeds the volumes produced by gas atomization. However, water atomiza-260 tion results in particles with a highly irregular morphology as the particles solidifies faster 261 than their spheroidization time. The resulting powders can contain trapped water and, 262 with some metallic materials, metal hydrides. This renders the water atomization process 263 unsuitable for AM [26]. 264

All atomization processes consist of three main integrated steps: melting, atomiza-265 tion and solidification. Melting can be accomplished by different techniques such as vac-266 uum induction melting, plasma arc melting, induction drip melting, or direct plasma heat-267 ing [39]. Though ideal powder grain shape is near spherical, depending on the method of 268 powder production used, non-spherical particles, joined particles and particles with dif-269 ferent intrinsic morphology (e.g., "tear-drop" shapes) and irregularly shaped ones may 270 occur [32]. In some cases, fractions of irregular-shaped grains can be accepted for AM 271 precursor materials if they do not strongly disturb the powder flowability or apparent 272 density. 273



Figure 4. Production of metal powders: a – gas atomization; b – plasma atomization; c – plasma rotating electrode process.

2.2.1. Gas Atomisation

In Gas Atomization (GA), the feedstock elemental metal or alloy is melted in a fur-277 nace, usually in a vacuum induced melting (VIM) one. The furnace is positioned above 278 the atomisation chamber for direct material discharge into the atomiser. In gas atomiza-279 tion, the stream of liquid metal is broken by a high velocity gas flow (air, nitrogen, argon, 280 or helium) (Fig.4a). Air is commonly used for the atomization of ferrous alloys, and inert 281 gases are used for non-ferrous ones [40,41]. A high solidification rate characteristic for this 282 method results in powders with good material microstructure and quite a homogeneous 283 composition. The particle size distribution can be modulated to a certain extent by adjust-284 ing the ratio of gas to melt flow rate. Commercial gas atomized powders commonly have 285 near to spherical grains with small numbers of attached satellites. Median particle size is 286 in the range of 50 µm to 300 µm. For a given particle size, cooling rates are about one order 287 of magnitude lower than in water atomization. Some of the powder materials produced 288 by gas atomisation are nickel, iron, aluminium, titanium and cobalt. The characteristic 289 particle size plays a crucial role in the micro-morphology, porosity and gas content of the 290 atomized powders. Pore size and pore presence within powders gradually increase with 291 the increase of average grain size [42]. Although the yield of the fine powder prepared by 292 the GA method is high, such powders are generally characterized by wide particle size 293 distribution and high fraction of hollow powders, which is detrimental to the performance 294

276

274

of resultant AM products. Consequently, the yield of the powder with a defined selected grain size fraction after sieving can become significantly lower.

2.2.2. Plasma Atomization

Plasma atomization (PA) has been developed to produce fine, spherical powders. PA 298 utilises multiple direct-current arc plasma steps to accelerate the atomization gas. In the 299 PA process, metal wires are fed into the apex of the gas plasma flow, where they melt and 300 are atomized in a single step (see Fig.4b). This process offers a unique ability to produce 301 spherical powders of reactive metals with a typical average particle size of 40 µm and the 302 particle size distribution from nanometres to 250 µm [43]. Plasma Atomization produces 303 premium quality spherical powders of reactive and high melting point materials such as 304 titanium, nickel, zirconium, molybdenum, niobium, tantalum, tungsten and their alloys. 305 This process offers the highest purity powders with trueness in spherical shape of the 306 particles and minimal satellite content. The powder obtained using this method exhibits 307 exceptionally flow ability and good packing properties [44]. 308

2.2.3. Plasma rotating electrode process

A more specialised method called plasma rotating electrode process (PREP) makes 310 use of a rotating bar instead of a wire as the source of metal, whereby on entry to the 311 atomisation chamber, the bar extremity is melted by the plasma torches and solidifies be-312 fore reaching the encompassing walls of the chamber [45] (see Fig.4c). This process results 313 in powders of high purity, with quite spherical grains, and fine particle sizes (from several 314 nanometres to 100 µm). Titanium and exotic materials can be produced by PREP [26,46]. 315 The PREP powder is widely recognized to have very high purity and near-perfect spher-316 ical shape. Certain presence of satellites on powder grains not only reduces the fluidity of 317 the powder but also adversely affects the performance of the final products. Present re-318 search on Ti-6Al-4V, 316L austenitic stainless steel and Co-Cr-Mo alloy, suggests that it is 319 barely possible to avoid the presence of satellites and joined powder grains during PREP 320 in its present shape [46]. 321

2.2.4. Mechanical spheroidization of metal powders

Certain strategies for improving the powders having irregular shapes after atomiza-323 tion were reported, including mechanical spheroidization of the grains [47]. The flow abil-324 ity of irregularly shaped powders can be significantly improved by tapering sharp edges 325 on the particles through high-speed blending or high shear milling. Nonetheless, the par-326 ticles produced by this method are only quasi-spherical, which may limit the applications 327 of such powders. In addition, this method should be used with certain care, because of 328 potential mechanical and mechano-chemical effects including particle surface strengthen-329 ing and compaction, the formation of oxide and nitride surface films, and changes in ma-330 terial microstructure. Such changes can affect the AM process parameters and the quality 331 of manufactured material and components. 332

2.3. Metal powders processed in Additive Manufacturing

There is a wide range of metallic powders that are already used in AM. Their choice 334 depends on the desired properties of the product and employed AM technology. Some of 335 the common metal powders utilised in AM are nickel, steel, aluminium, cobalt-chromium, 336 and titanium alloys. This publication describes issues regarding materials, most of which, 337 do not belong to the group of critical materials. However, it should be remembered that 338 not all alloying elements for these materials belong to the critical materials, and in many 339 cases, the CRMs share in such alloys is relatively small. However, with growing demand 340 for the additive manufacturing of such alloys, they are widely accepted by the industry, 341 when produced by more traditional methods. 342

2.3.1. Tungsten alloys

296 297

309

295

333

343

Tungsten (W) has the highest melting and boiling point among other elements and 344 the lowest thermal expansion coefficient (CTE) among metals [48]. It is mainly produced 345 from wolframite and scheelite and the main producer is China having about 50% of the 346 world's reserves. 347

Cemented carbides, WC-Co is the main application of tungsten [49]. Studies are re-348 ported on additive manufacturing of WC-12%Co using BJP [50]. The additively manufac-349 tured parts passed high-temperature sintering (1485 °C) under the pressure of 1.83 MPa 350 with resulted density near to theoretical one - 14.1 to 14.2 g/cm³. 351

Another application of tungsten is as an alloying element in high-speed steels for 352 working, cutting and forming metal components. As an alloying element, W has been 353 used in nickel and cobalt-based superalloys for aircraft engines and turbine blades, be-354 cause of their high-temperature strength, creep strength, high thermal fatigue resistance, 355 good oxidation resistance and excellent hot corrosion resistance [49]. Another application 356 is in light bulb filaments, electrodes, wires, a component of X-ray and cathode-ray tubes, 357 heat and radiation shielding, heating elements in furnaces, etc., which accounts for about 358 10% of the W market [49]. 359

Tungsten and its alloys can be processed by PBF AM techniques having high energy 360 density beams [51,52]. For these alloys, the initial apparent powder density is crucial for 361 the resulted final density of the manufactured components. That means that selection of 362 a proper powder feedstock has a significant impact on the mechanical properties of the 363 manufactured components and should be taken into account during the process parame-364 ter optimization. 365

2.3.2. Chromium and Cobalt alloys

Despite strategic importance and widespread use, chromium was not included in the CRM lists released in 2011 and 2017. The main chromium producers are South Africa 368 (about two-fifths of the chromite ores and concentrates), together with Kazakhstan (pro-369 duces one-third of Cr). India, Russia and Turkey are also substantial producers of Cr. 370

Significant chromium demand comes for the production of iron-based alloys. As one 371 of the major alloying elements in stainless steel, Cr content range between a mass fraction 372 of 10.5% and 30% [13]. Owing to its strong reactivity with oxygen, it provides the ability 373 to passivate the surface by an adherent, insoluble, ultrathin layer that protects the under-374 lying metal against attacks of the corrosive agents, mainly acids and/or chloride-contain-375 ing environments. Cr is also responsible for surface self-healing in presence of oxygen [13]. 376

Another widespread use of Cr is in surface coatings, such as conversion chromate 377 coatings [53], hard chrome [54,55] and PVD CrN-containing coatings [56–59]. Such coat-378 ings are used to improve the resistance to high temperature, corrosion and wear of sub-379 strates. However, electroplated Cr and conversion chromate coatings present health issues 380 and are banned in many applications, with some exceptions for military and aerospace 381 ones. These coatings contain hexavalent Cr, which recognized to have carcinogenic effects. 382

In additive manufacturing, Cr is widely used in alloys such as CoCrMo. These alloys 383 are of high demand for specific biomedical implant elements, where high fatigue and wear resistance are of high importance (e.g. knee joints) [60]. 385

The main producer of cobalt worldwide is the Democratic Republic of Congo. Cobalt 386 (Co) is a metal used in several commercial, industrial, and military applications [61]. Co is 387 rarely used as a structural material in its pure form but rather is employed as an alloying 388 element [61]. 389

Stellite is one of the most popular examples of Co-based superalloys. Patented in 390 1907, originally developed to produce fine cutlery, the Stellite alloys have found wide-391 spread applications as tool material for cutting, high speed machining, etc. Cobalt-based 392 super-alloys have higher melting points than nickel-based ones and retain their strength 393 at high temperatures. They also show superior weldability, and better hot corrosion and 394

366

367

thermal fatigue resistance than nickel-based alloys, making them suitable for use in tur-395 bine blades for gas turbines and jet aircraft engines. Stellite can be additively manufac-396 tured using Direct Energy Deposition processes [62]. 397

As it was mentioned above, Co is used in WC-Co cemented carbides that could be 398 processed by BJP [50]. Around 12% of the consumed Co is for this application, where Co 399 is used as the metal binder due to its excellent wetting, adhesion and mechanical proper-400 ties. Also, Co is recognized to have genotoxic and cancerogenic activity. 401

2.3.3. Natural graphite and graphite –derived materials

Graphite is a carbon mineral, where atoms are arranged in layers with relatively 403 weak bonds between them, which confer it high anisotropy in thermal and electrical 404 transport and quite specific mechanical properties [63]. It is used in numerous applica-405 tions ranging from electrical machines and vehicles, refractories, foundries, construction 406 industry, lubricating agent etc. Natural graphite is mined in three different shapes: vein, 407 flake and microcrystalline [64]. The bulk producer of graphite is China. Production of syn-408 thetic graphite is mainly concentrated in the US, EU and Japan, and the forecast indicates 409 for synthetic graphite market an increasing trend, owing to an increase in demand from 410 the steel and electric battery industry. 411

Additive Manufacturing using graphite- derived materials (GDM), such as carbon 412 nanotubes, graphene, graphene oxide and reduced graphene oxide, is one of the perspec-413 tives, intensively developing modern trends [65–69]. It is experimentally shown that the 414 addition of relatively small (up to 10 volume %) amount of carbon nanotubes and espe-415 cially graphene can significantly improve the mechanical properties and abrasion re-416 sistance of metallic materials (e.g. [70–72]). The majority of the experiments were carried 417 out using the blends of the main metallic material and fine GDM powders. In these cases, 418 special complicated procedures such as dispersion-based/wet-mixing process were used 419 to provide a uniform dispersion of GDM through the powder blend [68,73–75]. Unfortu-420 nately blending of the powders with so dissimilar apparent densities leads to the deterio-421 ration in GDM distribution uniformity after recycling. However, modern technologies al-422 ready allow for the effective manufacturing of the GDM-coated powders well suitable for 423 the powder-bed AM [76]. 424

2.3.4. Titanium alloys

Commercial spherical *Ti* powder production methods include gas atomization (GA), 426 plasma atomization (PA), and plasma rotating electrode process (PREP). The require-427 ments of the particle size distribution (PSD) vary with applications, for example, 20-45 428 μ m for SLM, 10–45 μ m for cold spraying, and 45–175 μ m for EBM. Most applications re-429 quire the oxygen content in *Ti* powder to be less than 0.15 wt.% [39]. *Ti-6Al-4V* (*Ti64*) is a 430 widely used $\alpha+\beta$ alloy known for its enhanced processability and high strength at moder-431 ate to high temperatures [77,78]. Aluminium stabilizes the α -phase whereas vanadium 432 stabilizes the β -phase. Due to the high cooling rates during PBF, the β -phase solidifies into 433 primarily α' -martensite microstructures. This leads to embrittlement and decreasing elon-434 gation of particles [79,80]. The martensitic phase has the same chemical composition as 435 the β -phase but its crystalline structure is hexagonal pseudo-compact resulting in high 436 residual stresses [81]. The α -phase increases hardness and strength, though also leads to 437 a more brittle sample, whereas the β -phase improves ductility whilst reducing hardness 438 and tensile strength. So far, no comprehensive studies contains a full life cycle analysis of 439 the titanium-based powders used for AM. However, certain conclusions can be drawn 440 from the analysis carried out on the traditional industrially used *Ti* powders [82]. 441

2.3.5. Zirconium, Niobium, Tantalum

The promising application of zirconium (Zr) is related to titanium-based alloys. Bi-443 nary and ternary Ti-based alloys with zirconium, niobium and tantalum are regarded as 444 the most promising substitution of the *Ti64* for biomedical applications [83], showing sig-445 nificantly better biocompatibility and having mechanical properties much closer to that of 446

442

402

the human bones [16,17,84–87]. Growing demand for the prosthesis and implants and the 447 ability of additive manufacturing to functionalize them will determine the demand for Zras an alloying element rather than an individual material.

Zr and Zr alloys are a promising new class of biomaterials. In the past, the main prob-450 lem of using the powder metallurgy of Zr and Zr-alloys was the absence of adequate pow-451 der that is possible to use in AM. Patented solutions were not sufficient to introduce this 452 manufacturing technique into the production of zirconium parts. There are many meth-453 ods for producing Zr metal and Zr powder. The following ones are suitable for powder 454 production: reduction of zirconium dioxide with Ca, Al, Mg or C; reduction of ZrCl4 with 455 *Ca, Na, Mg* or *Al*; reduction of *Na*₅*Zr*₂*F*₁*3, K*₂*ZrF*₆ with *Na, K, Al*; electrolysis of molten mix-456 tures of K_2ZrF_6 and electrolytes; and hydrogenation of zirconium sponge or zirconium 457 lump [88]. However, the powders manufactured using these methods are often character-458 ized by elongated shape grains and a high content of impurities. At present, most zirco-459 nium products are obtained by foundry methods. New technologies such as direct laser 460 sintering and microwave sintering, used to manufacture high-quality components, re-461 quire spherical powders with narrow particle size distribution as this affects the packing 462 density and sintering mechanism [89]. Zr, especially in the state of powder, has a very 463 strong activity and strong chemical affinity for oxygen, nitrogen and hydrogen, so it must 464 be prepared, handled and processed in tightly controlled technological conditions such as 465 high vacuum, and atmosphere of extra-pure inert gas [90]. Nevertheless, the progress of 466 gas atomization methods already allows for the manufacturing of complex AM-grade 467 powders such as highly biocompatible HEA *TiNbTaZrMo* ones [91,92]. The powders for 468 manufacturing of components from pure Zr also should be chemically pure because the 469 impurities such as H, O, C, N, S can cause brittleness. These impurities have a significant 470 influence on metal properties such as tensile strength, hardness and ductility and increase 471 surface tension during processing. New metal powder processes developed for zirconium 472 synthesis (and the spheroidization) have been developed over the past few years. For ex-473 ample, The South African Nuclear Energy Corporation produces Zr powders for the nu-474 clear industry via a plasma process [93]. 475

2.3.6. Steels and iron-based alloys

Some steels and cast iron alloying elements (Chromium, Niobium, Tungsten, and 477 Hafnium) are CRMs or near CRMs. Thus, iron-based pre-alloyed powders for AM are also 478 the focus of the present paper. Such powders are typically fabricated using advanced 479 powder fabrication techniques such as EIGA (Electrode Induction Melting Gas Atomiza-480tion), VIGA (Vacuum Induction Melting Inert Gas Atomization), or plasma atomisation. 481 Corresponding powders are high purity ones and have spherical shape grains. In the 482 EIGA process, the metal is melted from an induction-heated rod, from which the liquid 483 metal drops into the atomization nozzle without any contact with the surrounding walls. 484 In the VIGA method, the materials are melted using electromagnetic induction, which 485 delivers heating power into the crucible/material under vacuum or in the inert gas atmos-486 phere without contact with any potentially contaminating material. Once the desired melt 487 homogeneity and chemical composition are achieved, the material is poured into a tun-488 dish by crucible tilting. A high-pressure, inert-gas jet atomizes the metal stream flowing 489 from the tundish orifice into the atomization nozzle system. The combination of molten 490 metal and gas jet creates a spray of micro-droplets which solidifies in the atomization 491 tower and form fine powder with spherical grains [94]. Not all AM techniques are suitable 492 for processing iron-based materials. Specific solidification conditions, including thermal 493 gradients in and around melt pool, and different solidification rates characteristic to AM 494 processes result in different material microstructure. This leads to the differences in phase 495 composition (austenite or martensitic), grain dimension and alignment, and carbide pre-496 cipitation in the grain boundaries of the additively manufactured steels and high carbon 497 content alloys, as compared to the materials processed by traditional methods. Neverthe-498

11 of 43

448 449

less, proper optimisation of the AM processing parameters can lead to materials with superior microstructure and better mechanical properties as compared to traditional manufacturing of the same constituent materials (e.g. [95–98]). 501

Iron-based powder grains are typically covered with a relatively homogeneous oxide 502 layer formed by the main element (iron oxide in case of stainless or tool steels). The thick-503 ness of the oxide layer is between 1 and 4 nm, depending on alloy composition, powder 504 manufacturing method and powder handling. The rare presence of the particulate oxide 505 features with size up to 20 nm, rich in oxygen-sensitive elements, was also observed [99]. 506 In many cases presence of a thin oxide layer does not impact the quality of manufactured 507 components, but successive powder recycling, especially in presence of air humidity, it 508 can limit the effective lifetime of the iron-based powders. Mechanical properties and per-509 formance of additively-manufactured components can also be improved by post-manu-510 facture heat-treatment [96,100]. Other classes of iron-based alloys leading to the amor-511 phous materials that have the potential of reducing CRM consumption are discussed in 512 a separate paragraph related to the upcoming trends. 513

2.3.7. Aluminium alloys

Although Aluminum does not belong to critical raw materials currently, a part of 515 alloying elements forming a high number of important aluminum alloys, namely silicon, 516 magnesium, and scandium, are listed as CRM. Moreover, Al is of high economic im-517 portance and even though it so far has low supply risks, it deserves consideration, already 518 being listed as CRM by the US authorities and as a potential CRM for EU in near future. 519 At present, gas atomization (GA) is the main commercial production method for alumin-520 ium and its alloy powders [101,102]. Due to high affinity of aluminium to oxygen, caution 521 should be taken in preventing any possible ignition of the powder or explosion of fine 522 powder fraction suspended in the air. Atomization in air leads to immediate partial oxi-523 dation of the liquid material and prevents the liquid metal from transforming into a spher-524 ical shape making the powder unsuitable for additive manufacturing processes. The GA 525 technology for aluminium is a dangerous process and special safety measures are re-526 quired, which considerably raises the manufacturing costs [103]. The high thermal con-527 ductivity of aluminium and its alloys makes them difficult to cast and weld. For Powder 528 bed AM technologies, things get worse: aluminium powders are inherently light and have 529 a poor flow ability during recoating. They are also highly reflective making problems for 530 laser-based AM and have a high thermal conductivity when compared to other materials 531 [104]. Nevertheless, continuing research on the PBF-AM of Al is going forward. It is shown 532 that microstructure of Al-Si (AlSi7Mg, AlSi10Mg) parts produced by laser methods are char-533 acterized by finer grain size in the microstructure as compared to that of cast or wrought 534 parts. 535

2.4. Production of metal powders for Additive Manufacturing

Additive manufacturing has already successfully incorporated ceramic materials. 537 According to the form of the precursor, these technologies can generally be divided into 538 slurry-based, powder-based and bulk solid-based methods (laminated object manufactur-539 ing). The mechanical properties of resulting materials depend significantly on the degree 540 of neck growth between grains, as well as porosity and pore size in the resulting material. 541 Regardless of the specific method, additive manufacturing of ceramics mainly uses mate-542 rials such as Al2O3, ZrO2, SiO2, Y2O3, TiC, TiN, TiB, AlN, SiC, Si3N4, WC, Ti3SiC2, CaCo3 and 543 others. Out of the elements used in the mentioned ceramic materials only silicon, cobalt 544 and tungsten are on the CRM list, with zirconium and aluminum expected to be on the 545 CRM list in the near future. However, ceramic and ceramic-containing materials have po-546 tential in substituting some of the CRM-dependent ones and thus deserve corresponding 547 analysis. 548

In solid-phase reaction synthesis of ceramic powders, there are three types of chemical reactions: oxidation or reduction of a solid, thermal decomposition of a solid, and 550

536

solid-state reaction between two types of solid. With liquid phase synthesis of ceramic 551 powders, there are five different methods: drying of a liquid, precipitation, sol-gel syn-552 thesis, hydrothermal synthesis, and reactions of a liquid metal melt with gas to give a solid 553 ceramic. There are three operational principles for precipitation: temperature change, 554 evaporation, and chemical reaction. These methods are generally broken into three cate-555 gories: solid phase reactant, liquid phase reactant, gas phase reactant synthesis and the 556 later is essentially a precipitation method; however, the solid precipitated is of nanometer 557 size and can be organized into a gel network or sol particle depending on conditions. Hy-558 drothermal synthesis methods use high pressure to make a specific solid phase insoluble. 559 Gas phase ceramic powder synthesis methods include evaporation-condensation and 560 chemical reactions in the gas phase. These gas phase reactions include thermal decompo-561 sition, oxidation or, reduction, as well as chemical combination reactions [105]. The most 562 common is the use of AM for Al_2O_3 and ZrO_2 [106]. It is known that when using free sin-563 tering or pressure sintering methods, the highest relative density values and thus the best 564 mechanical properties are obtained for very fine powders, preferably sub-micrometer 565 ones (Fig. 5a). Commercial powders are available usually in the form of weak agglomer-566 ates or granules prepared from very fine powders (Fig.5.b). Isometric shape particles and 567 granules of ceramic powders are preferred, in free and pressure sintering processes be-568 cause of the better formation and consolidation of the grains. Many multicomponent 569 nano-sized ceramic powders have been prepared using an aqueous sol-gel method. 570



Figure 5. Morphology of powders: a – Al₂O₃ submicron powders, mean particles size 0.7 μm, prod. Alcoa A16SG, specific surface area 8.9 m2/g, shape factor β =1.42; b - ZrO₂ granules TZ-3Y, partiallystabilized zirconia powder with a uniform dispersion of 3 mol % yttria, 40 nm, prod. Tosoh Corpo-574 ration. 575

In industrial production, the granulation methods of ceramic powders mainly in-576 clude dry roller granulation, cold isostatic pressing and spray granulation. In the case of 577 free and pressure sintering, small amounts of additives, e.g. MgO to Al2O3, Y2O3 to ZrO2 578 or carbon for SiC sintering are introduced into the powders. These additives limit grain 579 growth, stabilize selected phases that we want to keep or improve the stoichiometry of 580 the product and facilitate sintering by lowering the sintering temperature. 581

A large part of the research conducted in the field of ceramics - AM is based on pow-582 ders with a larger size of 40-100 microns. These powders are characterized by lower rela-583 tive density, and this determines the lower strength of the sintered contacts (necks) after 584 sintering (using AM methods), which is the basic problem of using ceramics indirect AM 585 manufacturing. For this reason, in order to increase the density of AM ceramic products, 586 finer size powder is fed to the process of granulation or functionalization of their surface 587 in order to improve the flow ability and sintering performance of these powders. High 588 values of particle spheroidization and fractional composition homogeneity are achieved 589 after plasma treatment. A comparative study of thermal barrier coatings based on yttria-590

572 573

14 of 43

591 592 593

594

614

630

2.5. Ceramic powders for direct additive manufacturing

rable to a high-quality commercial powder [107].

The direct additive manufacturing of ceramic components is still at an early phase of 595 development, although it was attempted by Lakshminarayan et al. [108] in 90s. For some 596 AM processes to produce ceramic parts, cracks are still the most critical flaws that com-597 promise the mechanical strength. During single-step processes, i.e. direct energy deposi-598 tion and single step PBF processes, thermal cracks are generally caused by thermal shocks 599 introduced by the laser-beam heating [109]. The direct AM process is very challenging 600 due to the ceramic material properties, such as high melting temperature, high melt vis-601 cosity and poor thermal shock resistance. Sources like a focused laser, electron and infra-602 red beams are used as a heating-sintering tool. The process of heating allows the powder 603 to take the shape of the intended object. This greatly improves the productivity of addi-604 tively manufactured ceramic components because the time-intensive debinding and sin-605 tering phases characteristic of indirect methods are not necessary. The use of granulate 606 composed of micrometric yttria-stabilized zirconia with sub-micrometric alumina im-607 proved the homogeneity of the microstructure. Thermal post-processing in some cases 608 can improve the mechanical properties of the resulting material. For example, it allows 609 the amorphous alumina in corresponding ceramic parts to crystallize [110]. An important 610 phenomenon that should be taken into account during ceramic powder consolidation by 611 direct AM methods is the formation of the glassy phase, which can affect the fragility of 612 products. 613

stabilized zirconium oxide powder demonstrated that deposited coating thickness, pow-

der dispersion degree and material efficiency of plasma spheroidized powder is compa-

2.6. Ceramic powders for additive manufacturing of MCC

One of the promising application of ceramic powders in AM is using them together 615 with metallic ones for producing metal-ceramic composites (MCCs) in AM processes ini-616 tially developed entirely for metal precursors. Experiments carried out using different AM 617 technologies [111–114] indicate that this method allows improving mechanical properties 618 and abrasion resistance of the basic alloys. Experiments were carried out both using pow-619 der blends in which ceramics phase was a very fine powder, and using different technol-620 ogies providing agglomerated grains containing both ceramic and metal powders (e.g. 621 [115]). Different mechanisms responsible for the property improvement were suggested, 622 including the ability of sub-micrometre ceramic inclusions to act as dislocation traps. The 623 resulting microstructure strongly depends on the melting temperature of the ceramic and 624 the temperatures reached in the melting pool, and on the wettability of the ceramics in the 625 molten metallic material. At current stages of research, it is not possible to forecast which 626 combination of materials in MCC-AM will be successful in producing materials with su-627 perior properties. However, definitely, this line of development has potential in relation 628 to sparing CRM in industrial applications. 629

2.7. Ceramic powders for slurry based methods

Slurry-based ceramic 3D printing technologies generally involve fine ceramic particles dispersed in liquid or binder, in the form of relatively low viscosity inks or viscous pastes. The slurry content can be additively manufactured by either photopolymerisation, inkjet printing or extrusion [116]. All slurry methods are commonly multi-step ones, initially producing the non-dense semi-finished parts that are commonly called "green bodies", followed by de-binding and firing processes yielding final components. 631

Binder jetting is an additive manufacturing process in which a liquid bonding agent 637 is selectively deposited to join powder materials [117]. Currently, the density of the ceramic parts made by binder jetting is rather low and their mechanical properties are far 639 from adequate. The main reason comes from the low sinterability of current powder feed-640 stock due to large particle size (10–100 μ m) and the inability to deposit a smooth layer of 641 the precursor. The coarse powder exhibits good flow ability, and the fine powder that 642 can provide better sintering has poor flow ability [118]. Many studies have reported that 643 the quality of parts using binder jetting is significantly different when coarse powders are 644 used. Studies have shown that the accuracy and strength of ceramic parts are closely re-645 lated to powder and binder choice, printing parameters, equipment, and post-treatment. 646 Studies have focused on the optimization of binder jetting employing multimodal filler 647 particles for improving the strength and performance of binder-jetted parts [119]. One of 648 the solutions to improve the compaction of the material is the use of nano-powders. 649 Smaller particles as densifiers occupy the inter-granular pores in the powder and improve 650 the density of green printed parts but the applied nano-suspension can quickly clog the 651 jetting nozzles [120]. The shape of ceramic powders mainly affects the flow ability of 652 slurry, the tap density, the powder bed (packing) density, the pore structure of the green 653 body, and the contact mode between the particles. Generally speaking, spherical particles 654 have better flow ability in the slurry and higher tap densities than irregular ones. How-655 ever, during the printing process, the powders will be spread by the roller, which means 656 that the powders will not be compacted; thus, the contribution of spherical morphology 657 to packing density will be reduced. In contrast, irregular powders have a relatively high 658 packing density [121]. Suwanprateeb et al. [122] reported that irregular hydroxyapatite 659 has a higher packing density than spherical shapes powder. This is because the spherical 660 particles undergo a low uniaxial pressure, and their good flow ability causes the particles 661 to roll towards each other. Although the particles are re-arranged and slipped, they are 662 still in point contact and, thus, cannot effectively reduce the pore volume. For irregular 663 particles, after being re-arranged and slipped, the larger internal friction causes them to 664 combine and become compact, while the point contact between some of the particles be-665 comes surface contact, which can effectively reduce the pore volume. Therefore, the irreg-666 ular powders will result in a higher green density than the spherically shaped ones. The 667 green body density is usually positively correlated with green strength. This higher green 668 strength improves the handling characteristic of the as-fabricated green body. The original 669 morphology of as-purchased hydroxyapatite powders prior to preparation commonly ex-670 hibit agglomerates of needle-like crystals [122]. 671

A new powder surface modification method, i.e., particle coating, sol-gel process was used to synthesize the amorphous phase material and applied to increase the powder sinterability and the part strength. Specifically, coarse crystalline alumina particles (70 and 10 mm on average) were coated with amorphous alumina, in which the micro-sized core was designed to provide the high flow ability and the amorphous shell to promote sintering due to its high activity [123]. The coarse crystalline core can help to maintain the high flow ability and the amorphous shell core its high activity [123]. 678

2.8. Ceramic powders for porous bone implants

While research on ceramic scaffolds for bone regeneration has progressed rapidly, 680 the clinical outcome of these synthetic bone implants remains limited, especially for major 681 load-bearing applications. Not only should these scaffolds provide adequate mechanical 682 support, but also possess sufficient porosity to facilitate nutrient/metabolite transporta-683 tion and bone tissue ingrowth [124]. At the same time, ceramic implant-scaffolds have a 684 great potential of substituting metallic ones due to their advanced biocompatibility, re-685 ducing the dependence on certain CRMs traditionally used in metallic implants in future 686 orthopaedics. 687

One of the additive manufacturing techniques, direct ink writing (DIW), also known as robocasting, has attracted considerable attention in bone tissue engineering. In the robocasting fabrication method, a filament or ink is extruded through a nozzle in a layerwise fashion and ultimately forms a 3-D mesh structure with interpenetrating struts. After 691

the initial layer is created, the X-Y stage is incremented in the Z-direction and another 692 layer is deposited. This process is repeated until the desired scaffold structure is created. 693 While robocasting can fabricate regular and controllable patterns in the X-Y plane, its abil-694 ity to maintain high precision with sophisticated structures in the Z direction is restricted 695 due to depositing ceramic struts on top of one another [125]. This technique has been used 696 to fabricate scaffolds with a wide variety of ceramic materials such as bioactive glass [126], 697 hydroxyapatite (HA), calcium phosphates [127], calcium silicate (CSi) and Sr-HT Gahnite 698 [128], as well as other composite materials, exhibiting significant potentials. Polylactide or 699 polycaprolactone scaffolds with pore sizes ranging between 200-500 µm and hydroxyap-700 atite contents up to 70 wt. %, and scaffolds containing bioactive glasses were also 3D-701 printed [129,130]. 702

Ceramic scaffolds and implants for osteogenesis are based mainly on hydroxyapatite since this is the inorganic component of bone. The usual fabrication technique for ceramic implants is the sintering of the ceramic powder at high temperatures.

Porosity control in ceramic additive manufacturing is quite challenging. One should 706 separate what is the scaffold porosity, and what is a material porosity. Scaffold porosity 707 mainly relates to the ratio of the solid material and free space in the manufactured scaffold 708 or "porous" implant section. This property is strongly related to the part design and abil-709 ity of the material and chosen AM method to produce the part without deflecting from 710 the designed shape. As a thumb rule, it is very hard to design holes in AM structures 711 smaller than five times the average size of the powder grains. Pores (micro-pores) in re-712 sulting solid materials (e.g., struts in the porous-by-design lattice scaffolds) are mainly 713 related to the material, AM technology, and process parameters. These micro-pores com-714 monly have different shapes and sizes, and their distribution is not uniform. The micro-715 pore morphology can be partly influenced by controlling the size distribution and mor-716 phology of the precursor powder. The porosity of materials can also be controlled by an 717 appropriate selection of sintering conditions (time, temperature, pressure, atmosphere) 718 [131,132]. For example, hydroxyapatite samples additively manufactured from milled 719 powders are significantly stronger than samples manufactured from spray-dried pow-720 ders. This is a combination of the specifics of the manufacturing and the difference in 721 morphology of the prepared powders. In the case of milled powders, these factors induce 722 better packing and rearrangement in the green state and improve densification and pore 723 characteristics in the sintered state. Although the spray drying technique of powder prep-724 aration is more convenient and faster, the grinding route is preferable when the greater 725 strength of fabricated components is considered [122]. Another ceramic material for bone 726 implants is bioglass (materials with different compositions of SiO_2 , CaO_1 , Na_2O_2 , and P_2O_5) 727 [133]. 728

2.9. Powder handling safety issues

Safety precautions in handling CRM-containing powders used in AM are always 730 mandatory. Many of the CRM- containing materials are listed as "dangerous" in quite 731 different ways, so studying the safety precautions and safety data sheets related to the 732 involved chemical elements and materials are advised. Handling with care is always ad-733 vised, avoiding spillage and anything promoting contaminating the air with fine material 734 powders. Fine particles can cause severe dysfunctions, skin problems, lung diseases, can-735 cer when exposed to or directly inhaled. Some of the metals in prolonged exposures were 736 linked to the onset of Alzheimer's disease [134]. Special powder-safe respirators should 737 be used to prevent small particles from reaching the bronchus and lungs, powder-free 738 gloves should protect the hands. 739

In addition to potential health risks, metal powders are combustible, flammable, and 740 when aerated present a risk for explosion. Facilities, where metallic powders are kept or 741 handled, should have proper protection from electrostatic and electrical sparks (including 742

729

703

704

non-static flooring, special clothing and shoes for the staff, grounding wires, special vac-743 uum cleaners- as the majority of domestic ones have spark-producing electric motors, 744 etc.). Also, only specialised fire extinguishers rated for combusting metals should be used 745 in such facilities. 746

At the same time, with correctly deployed preventive measures and proper handling 747 protocol implementation in corresponding AM facilities no higher levels of danger than 748 many common industrial facilities are found. 749

3. Additive Manufacturing processes

The main consolidation mechanism of AM technologies are partial melting, full melt-751 ing and solid-state sintering, which might act together, and it is not always obvious what 752 consolidation mechanism is dominating [135]. 753

The role of additive manufacturing is going to increase with the world entering the 754 fourth industrial revolution. Following key advantages of the AM methods will define 755 their fundamental role in future manufacturing. Additive manufacturing is capable of ex-756 tremely high flexibility in producing small and medium series of complex parts, comple-757 mented by seamless switching to the manufacturing of the parts with a completely differ-758 ent design. Manufacturing capacity with the AM methods is highly scalable, which would 759 be beneficial to both industrial giants and SMEs. AM methods allows not only to achive a 760 higher flexibility to achieve any desirable shape but also for the cost- and time- effective 761 functionalization and individualization of the parts. The digital nature of the design for 762 AM allows for reducing cost and time for component modification which reduces the 763 need for inventory as they can be manufactured on demand using the library of digital 764 files. With the development of the 'service points' for additive manufacturing across the 765 world, there is a potential for a significant reduction of the transportation costs for the raw 766 materials and especially- manufactured components. Recycling of the precursor powders 767 can be almost completely performed at the manufacturing sites. The high degree of recy-768 clability of the powders along with other aspects of material and energy saving allows for 769 significantly decreasing environmental impacts of industrial production. Along with the 770 possibility of reducing the amounts of CRMs per component, and with newly developed 771 materials- with the ability to avoid using them, additive manufacturing will be a major 772 contributing factor in solving the CRMs problem and reaching the goals set by EU Com-773 mission.

3.1. Industrial Additive Manufacturing for CRM-containing materials

Perez et al. [136] presented the general AM standards related to terminology, data 776 formats, design rules, qualification guidance and generally outlined different additive 777 manufacturing technologies. A comprehensive overview of AM processes and standards 778 with emphasis on materials, processing and testing methods is given by Riipinen et al. 779 [137]. The well-established additive manufacturing processes are classified according to 780 ISO/ASTM 52900-2017 into single step and multi-step AM processes [117]. These are fur-781 ther divided into processes where AM is done as a fusion of similar materials, or as adhe-782 sion of dissimilar materials. In both groups, processes are divided according to used ma-783 terial classes into metallic, polymer, ceramics, and composites, while also secondary pro-784 cessing such as sintering and infiltration is mentioned. Figure 6 presents the most mature 785 and widely used AM processes such as Vat Photo Polymerisation (VPP), Binder Jetting 786 (BJ), Powder Bed Fusion (PBF), Material Jetting (MJ), Direct Energy Deposition (DED), 787 Sheet Lamination (SL), and Material Extrusion (ME), together with materials that can be 788 used in a particular process. A more complete interactive map of additive manufacturing 789 processes, which also includes materials as cement, hydrogels and bioinks, with a direc-790 tory of more than 800 companies manufacturing AM hardware can be accessed at 3dprint-791 ingmedia.net [138]. 792

750

774



Figure 6. Additive manufacturing processes as defined in [139]

Today, the most promising AM technologies from the CRM point of view are Powder 795 Bed Fusion, PBF, and Direct Energy Deposition, DED, which are also the most widely 796 used in the industry. These are followed by Binder Jetting and Material Extrusion (ME) 797 [140,141]. The less industrially known AM technologies, which uses ultrasonic, friction 798 and friction stir welding, together with thermal spraying (e.g. cold spraying) also present 799 high potential [142–144]. Their main advantages compared to PBF and DED are in the 800 possibilities to join dissimilar materials, some better energy efficiency and smaller heat 801 input, a protective chamber or atmosphere is generally not needed, and the processes have 802 a promising buy-to-fly ratio. 803

Within PBF-AM, one can distinguish SLM and EBM technologies. Both of them uses804powder precursors, and the manufacturing is performed in a closed chamber in an inert805atmosphere (SLM) or high vacuum (EBM). In both PBF-AM technologies selective melting806of desired parts of layer of powder by intense beam, with a non-melted powder enabling807partial support of manufactured components and acts as a heat insulator, makes the prod-808uct. The process continues by adding and melting consecutive layers of powder.809

Laser-based PBF-AM methods allow for industrial manufacturing of functional parts 810 and tools with complex shapes from metals (stainless steels, tool steels, *Co-Cr* alloys, *Ni* 811 based superalloys, *Ti* alloys, *Al* alloys) and ceramic powders. The component surfaces 812 have excellent to moderate finish in an as-manufactured state, good functional properties, 813 and can be micro- and nano-structured. The products are usually made from one material, 814 which enables high recyclability of the powder. Powder preheating is done using infrared 815 heaters or beams of lower intensity, and laser beam deflection is done using mirrors. 816

Electron beam melting generally enables working beams of higher intensity and 817 higher (up to 60 cc/h) material deposition rate but is generally limited only to the electri-818 cally conductive powders. This defines the quite limited selection of materials available 819 for industrial EBM manufacturing, including Ti (grades 1, 2, 23 and 5), nickel base alloys, 820 aluminium alloys (Al-Si), stainless steel (316L, M300, 17-4 PH) and CoCrMo [44]. It also 821 enables the production of functional parts of complex geometry, but its resolution is lower 822 and surface roughness of components is higher than that provided by the laser-based 823 methods due to the larger average grain sizes of precursor powders. The process is carried 824 out in a deep vacuum, which enables close to 95 % energy-efficiency, which is 5-10 times 825 higher than that for laser-based PBF. The vacuum is also perfect for processing reactive 826 metals like Ti and Al, maintaining the chemical composition, and reducing the heat loss 827 to the environment. The additional advantage is due to the powder bed preheating. Being 828

an essential prerequisite for the conductive powder melting (partially sintering it and preventing forming clouds of charged particles in the working chamber), it efficiently removes moisture and gases adsorbed on the powder surfaces. EBM- manufactured parts also exhibit significantly lower internal stress, as the process is carried out at elevated temperatures, continuously annealing the produced material.

Corresponding disadvantages of the electron beam PBF as compared to the laser-834 based ones are smaller available working volumes (and thus maximum component di-835 mensions), complications of the semi- sintered powder recovery from holes and crevices, 836 higher surface roughness of components in as manufactured state, and longer times for 837 reloading the machines. Additionally, laser-based AM technologies are already strongly 838 incorporated into the Hybrid Manufacturing chains using precise machining after AM, 839 and can provide higher technology readiness levels of as-manufactured parts. At the same 840 time, it should be noted that both laser- and electron beam-based PBF AM technologies 841 are continuously developing and are slowly overcoming their current limitations. 842

SLM, another PBF-AM representative, enables the production of complex parts with 843 high technology readiness levels (TLR) for aerospace (TLR 5-7), tooling (TLR 9), automo-844 tive (TLR 4-5), and medical industries (TLR 9-10). Typical products for the aerospace in-845 dustry are fuel injection components, structural elements and turbine blades. In the tool-846 ing industry, inserts are made, usually with complex internal cooling channels that enable 847 efficient cooling/heating and prolongation of tool life. In the automotive industry, differ-848 ent structural and functional components are successfully manufactured in low series. In 849 the medical industry medical instruments, artificial hip joints, different implants for re-850 constructive surgery, crowns and copings in dentistry, are produced [141,142,145–148]. 851

Another powder- using AM technology, DED, is less widespread for metal AM as 852 compared to PBF ones due to lower accuracy and requirement of post-processing. How-853 ever, it enables higher deposition rates, production of bigger parts without limitation to 854 small chamber size, component reparations, and production of functionally graded mate-855 rials, as well as multi-materials components. Corresponding equipment includes a feed-856 ing "nozzle" mounted on a multi-axis arm or robotic system with an external rotating 857 table and protecting chamber in case of manufacturing using reactive metals. The material 858 in powder or wire form is supplied through the nozzle and is melted by the electron or 859 laser beam together with the surface of the product. The wire is a cheaper precursor ma-860 terial, it enables higher deposition rates but such systems have lower accuracy compared 861 to powder-based ones. Materials in powder form are more expensive, and the atomization 862 process of powder production is less energy efficient (11-59 %). In contrast to wire-based 863 systems, powder ones can return into processing from 20 to 90-98 % of precursor directly 864 or after sifting [136, 143–146]. However, the wire is cheaper, more widely available in 865 larger quantities, and demands less stringent safety precautions in handling concerning 866 metal powder. Wire with its much lower surface to volume ratio is less prone to absorbing 867 moisture, nitrogen and oxygen and other undesired elements from the atmosphere, and 868 thus it affects the deposition process much less and provides materials with lower num-869 bers of residual pores, as compared to powder-based technologies. An interesting new 870 technology that will soon be industrially available is the so-called "Joule Printing". It is 871 quite similar to wire-based DED AM technology but uses resistive heating (as in the weld-872 ing process) instead of electron or laser beams. Its advantages are lower energy consump-873 tion and low heat input (1.4 -1.6 Wh/cc), which only turns the precursor material up to a 874 mushy state avoiding the formation of the melt pool. The process enables producing near-875 net-shape structures with high deposition speed (1000 cc/hr), which is 2-10 times faster 876 than DED-Powder, and similar rate to DED-ARC, while the resolution is compared to 877 DED-Powder [153]. Manufacturing can be done in demanding environments, with high 878 material efficiency, using commercially available welding wires, while the output mate-879 rial properties are close to wrought/cast metal ones [153]. 880

20 of 43

In general, DED enables the production of less complex parts as compared to PBF. 881 DED parts usually need post-processing (e.g. heat treatment), mechanical treatment and 882 machining to obtain the desired shape and mechanical properties. DED is typically used 883 for repair of worn components, modification of tooling for re-use, for rapid prototyping 884 of bigger parts and direct manufacturing of large components. DED processes are generally classified as Electron Beam Additive Manufacturing (EBAM), Laser metal deposition 886 (LMD wire/powder) and Wire and Arc Additive Manufacturing (WAAM) [145,153–157]. 887

EBAM uses precursor material in the wire form. It produces bigger near-net-shaped parts of the highest quality inside the vacuum chamber. Parts are made with high deposition rates ranging from 3 to 11 kg/h. In the process, a variety of materials can be used: titanium and titanium alloys, Inconel, nickel alloys, stainless steel, aluminium alloys, cobalt alloys, zircalloy, tantalum, tungsten, niobium, and molybdenum [158]. During the EBAM and post-machining overall high material efficiency is achieved [157].

LMD uses the material in a wire or powder form, which is fed through the nozzle. 894 The fabrication can be done in a local protective atmosphere or an inert gas chamber. Deposition layer thickness varies between 0.1 mm and ~ 3 mm, deepening if a high-resolution 896 printing or fast manufacturing rate is targeted. Deposition rates for wire LMD are much 897 higher than for SLM or powder- LMD. A vast variety of different materials and alloys can 898 be used for processing. Good metallurgical bonding with a low dilution level can be 899 achieved with low impact on base material properties [157]. 900

WAAM uses an electrical arc from power sources typical for welding like Gas Metal 901 Arc (GMA), Tungsten Inert Gas (TIG), Plasma (PL) or their combination together with the 902 precursor material in a wire form. The process achieves high deposition rates from 1 to 10 903 kg/h, with moderate surface finish and low to medium part complexities. Major benefits 904 are lower equipment and precursor material cost, a big variety of precursor materials and 905 high material efficiency [155,156]. This makes the process extremely well suited for the 906 manufacturing of large parts with up to medium complexity, at a much lower price com-907 pared to other AM processes. The main drawback is potentially higher residual stresses, 908 distortions and coarse grain microstructure as the consequence of higher temperature gra-909 dients. Additional post-processing with mechanical treatment, heat treatment and/or ma-910 chining may be needed to obtain industry- acceptable products [95,96,145,154-156,159-911 161]. 912

Table 1 presents a comparison for most common PBF and DED additive manufactur-913ing processes in terms of processing conditions, component complexity and qualities, dep-914osition rates and capability of multi-material processing. Inability of multi-material pro-915cessing stated for SLM and EBM is related to the industrial-grade processing, although916experimental confirmations for its feasibility are already demonstrated experimentally.917

| | PBF | | | DED | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|------------|----------------|----------|
| | SLM | EBM | LMD - powder | LMD - wire | EBAM - wire | WAAM |
| Type of atmosphere | Inert (Ar, N) | Vac- uum | Inert | Inert | Vacuum | Inert |
| Relative part density | ≥99,5 | | ≥ 98 | ≥ 98 | | ≥98 |
| Typical layer thickness [µm] | 10-100 | 50-200 | 10 - 100 (250 - 1000) | 130 – 1000 | 3000 | 3000 |
| Part complexity | almost un- limited | some limited | limited | Limited | limited | limited |
| Minimal wall thickness [mm] | ≥ 0.1 mm | $\geq 0.x$ mm | ≥ 1 mm | ≥ 1.5 mm | ≥ 1.5 mm | ≥ 1.5 mm |

Table 1: Comparison of most common metal PBF and DED additive manufacturing processes [95,137,145,153,155,157–159,161–170]. 918

| | PBF | | | DED | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | SLM | EBM | LMD - powder | LMD - wire | EBAM - wire | WAAM |
| Surface roughness Ra [µm] | 5 - 15 | ~ 20 | 2-91 | 10 – 91 | 20 | 20 - 100 |
| Deposition rate [cm³/h] | 10-25 (100 for multi-la- ser) | 3 - 11 kg/h | < 70 | 100 - 200 (<500); | 100 - 200 (<500) | 100 - 200 (<500); 1 - 10 kg/h |
| Multi-material | no* | no* | possible | possible | possible | possible |
| Process energy density [Wh/cm ³] | | | 17.4 | 9.2 | 36 | 4.9 |
| Energy efficiency [%] | 10-20 | 95 | | 2-5 | 15-20 | < 90 |

3.2. Recycling of metal powders for Additive Manufacturing

Powder recyclability is a crucial parameter of powder lifecycle and overall manufac-920 turing efficiency. In metal-based processes (PBF, LENS) the microstructure of the virgin 921 (not recycled) powder has a certain tendency to change due to repetitive re-use and recy-922 cling [14,171,172]. The flow ability and powder morphology can change because of ther-923 mal cycling during processing and mechanical impacts during layer deposition, powder 924 recovery and sifting. Increased temperatures of the powder in the AM process can force 925 surfaces of powder to react with ambient atmospheric gases or their residual content in 926 the protection volume. An increase of oxygen content in the powder often results in re-927 ducing the mechanical performance of the printed metal parts [14,171]. Most often, pow-928 ders are passed through a sieve before being used again. That may cause particle defor-929 mation and breakage of the grains that formed joining necks. Recycled powders show a 930 minor decrease in the amount of fine (< 10 μm) particles, a slight increase in average par-931 ticle diameter, and a slightly wider grain size distribution [14,173,174]. Sieving also can 932 remove some of the satellites, leading in some cases to better flow ability of the recycled 933 powders. 934

Additional contamination, either through impurities coming from the sieves and ves-935 sels, foreign bodies or interstitial elements may be introduced to the powder as a result of 936 handling during pre-processing or post-processing stages [26]. It is clear that the amount 937 of powder belonging to each separate virgin batch is constantly decreasing as part of it 938 forms solid components. At some point, the volume of the powder becomes lower than 939 the minimum demanded by the AM machine. Three strategies are commonly used: top-940 ping up the reused powder with virgin one, saving small batches of recycled powders and 941 mixing them for further manufacturing, using recycled powder from virgin batch without 942 topping or mixing at all. The third option is preferable for manufacturing parts destined 943 for critical applications. However, there is no consensus on which of the first two options 944 should be preferred and in which cases. Nevertheless, it is agreed that each recycled pow-945 der should have its "passport" stating the date of virgin batch purchase and its initial 946 elemental content, history of the builds using it, number of recycling procedures, and re-947 sults of regular powder analysis. It is also clear that for increasing powder lifetime, the 948 recycling process and storage conditions for the powder should be strictly regulated, in-949 cluding humidity and temperature control, and specialized ventilation with filtering of 950 the incoming air control in the operating rooms. 951

An interesting approach to use beam-based technologies for material recycling is also starting to develop. Recently, some authors have investigated the feasibility of turning recycled powders that are not fit for further use due, for example, to some excessive agglomeration into metal bars [175]. Powder-bed machine manufactures thin-walled cylinders with the powder enclosed in them. This does not require high purity of the precursor and is not depending on the high spatial resolution. This is followed by HIP-treatment of 957

the cylinders resulting in solid metal bars. Studies have shown that it is quite realistic 958 when using EBM, as the processing happens in a vacuum and powder inside the sealed 959 cylinders does not have any encapsulated gases. This approach is inspired by the recycling 960 pathway using laser or electron beam- based equipment with simplified control of the 961 beam used just for melting scrap material into ingots [176–178], but has an advantage of 962 essentially full recycling at the manufacturing site without any need of costly and hazardous transporting of powders to a specialized recycling site. 964

4. Modern and future trends in Additive Manufacturing of CRM-based materials

Table 2 outlines the modern status of additive manufacturing of CRM and CRM-con-966taining materials. Further progress in solving CRM-related challenges belongs to the de-967veloping trends in AM and its deep integration with other processing modalities. Several968AM-related possibilities to reduce and optimize the use of CRMs and CRM- based mate-969rials are discussed in this review:970

- use of Hybrid Manufacturing [137,144,179],
- production of multi-material components [180,181],
- production of functionally graded materials (FGMs) [156,164,182,183]
- repairing and remanufacturing i.e. using additive manufacturing[141,184–187]. This review also provides a brief overview of laser-shock peening, as one of the potential industrial post- processing routes allowing for reducing residual stresses in addi-

tential industrial post- processing routes allowing for reducing residual stresses in addi-976tively manufactured components and increasing their value as CRM-reducing and CRM-977sparing manufacturing routes.978

| CRM | Material extru- sion (FDM) | PBF | DED | Vat photo polymerization | Sheet Lami- nation | Binder Jetting | Main application |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|
| Ti - alloys | | [188] | [189] | | | [190,191] | Aerospace & bio-medicine |
| Ni-alloys | | [188,192,193] | [193,194] | | | [195,196] | Aerospace |
| Al-alloys | [197] | [98,198] | [165] | | [199,200] | | Aerospace |
| Cu-alloys | [197] | [201–203] | | [204] | | [205,206] | Electric- magnetic |
| Mg | | [207,208] | | | | | Medical |
| W | | [51,52,209] | [210] | | | [52] | Nuclear reactor |
| RE-based materials | [211,212] | [213,214] | | [214] | | [215] | Permanent magnets |
| Si / SiC / SiO2 | [216] | [217] | | [216] | [218] | [219] | Tooling, op- tics, medical |
| Au | | [220,221] | | | | | Jewelry |
| Co-Cr alloys | | [60,222,223] | | | | [223] | Bio-medicine |
| Nb/Zr/Ta contain- ing alloys | | [224,225] | [89] | | | | Bio-medicine |
| Graphite | [197] | | - | [66] | - | [63,226] | Thermal |

 Table 2. Applied AM technologies for CRM and CRM-containing materials

980

4.1. Hybrid manufacturing technologies

Hybrid manufacturing is commonly described as the combination of additive manufacturing and subtractive manufacturing in a single machine or a set of closely linked 983

965

971

972

973

974

975

979

machines in a single production line [227,228]. Manufacturing of the component in such a 984 case could start from a billet or a plate, followed by pre-machining, manufacturing and 985 post-machining stages, when one or more of these stages uses some AM technologies. 986 Each of the stages most efficiently uses its best advantages, thus combining in final prod-987 uct high complexity, high precision and best surface finish. In hybrid manufacturing 988 mode parts with precise complex geometry, multi-material components, parts with con-989 formal cooling channels, multi-material-tools etc. can be produced with high efficiency 990 and optimized use of precursor materials. Lightweight structures, turbine blades, differ-991 ent housings, structural elements and multi-material components for the aerospace and 992 automotive industry can be manufactured by optimising the use of CRMs and increasing 993 the buy-to-fly ratio [229]. Different medical tools, fixation elements and implants with pa-994 tient-specific and functionally optimized geometries and elements for optimal tissue in-995 growth, biocompatible and bacteria hostile surfaces and with suitable mechanical proper-996 ties can be efficiently produced by AM, while their precision elements (threaded holes, 997 sliding balls with mirror surface finish etc.) are effectively completed by traditional ma-998 chining. Many of the post-processing stages such as additional machining, polishing, heat 999 treatment and surface modifications that today are separated from AM technology can be 1000 included in the hybrid manufacturing systems. 1001

Reduced consumption of CRMs can also be obtained using repair welding and repair additive manufacturing of worn out or damaged products, so the need for new parts is significantly reduced [230,231]. This option is increasingly utilized in the industrial tooling sector, where an improved repair welding (cladding) technology and proper selection of materials can lead to restoration of the tool several times. This approach not only reduces the use of CRMs but also saves other resources and reduces the waste and greenhouse gas footprint of the manufacturing.

4.2. In-situ alloying

CRMs used in aerospace and biomedical industries are main constituents of compo-1010 sition wise and microstructurally complicated alloys with mechanical, physical and ther-1011 mal properties adjusted to the service conditions of the critical components. Today pre-1012 alloyed powders are the main precursor for additive manufacturing of CRM-containing 1013 materials. However, low availability and high cost of quality-produced atomized spheri-1014 cal pre-alloyed powders may be a vulnerable point in the production chain of the critical 1015 components. In addition, not all desired materials can be alloyed effectively in stationary 1016 conditions, further limiting the possibilities of additive manufacturing of CRM-containing 1017 materials. Despite numerous advantages of AM, it hampers the development of technol-1018 ogy implementation as a preferential production route for CRM-containing components 1019 [95,232–236]. In-situ alloying of blended/elemental powders during AM process enables 1020 overcoming this obstacle [237]. 1021

Titanium based alloys belong to the most popular CRM-based ones used in aero-1022space, automotive and biomedical applications with the domination of precursor material1023in a form of pre-alloyed spherical powders [188,238]. Certain attempts to perform PBF-1024AM of the microstructurally complicated *Ti*-based materials via successful in-situ alloying1025of elemental powder blends were performed during the last years and reported in the1026literature.1027

Vrancken et al. [239] studied SLM in-situ alloying of *Ti64* and 10% *Mo* powders. Mo-1028lybdenum powder with 5–10 μ m sized particles was used. SLM- produced alloy have a1029good combination of high strength (919 MPa), excellent ductility (20.1%) and low Young's1030modulus (73 GPa).1031

Dzogbewu et al. [240] reported SLM manufacturing of *Ti15Mo* alloy for biomedical 1032 applications using *Ti* and *Mo* elemental powders as raw materials. Various blend compositions and various scanning strategies were studied, and the obtained as-printed materials were characterized to optimize the process parameters. It was concluded that although 1035

achieving the final product with good homogeneity remains a challenge, in-situ alloving 1036 involving beam-based AM like SLM has a high potential for developing new materials. 1037

Yadroitsev et al. [241] reported on the trials to alloy *Ti15Mo* and *Ti64* with *Cu* and *Mo* 1038 introduced as elemental powders. The effect of the process parameters, i.e., energy input 1039 and scanning strategy were studied. The viability of the in-situ alloying assisted SLM as a 1040 production route has been confirmed.

Fischer et al. [242] investigated and reported the microstructure and mechanical properties of *Ti-26Nb* alloy synthesized by powder bed SLM form of a mixture of *Ti* and Nb elemental powders. 1044

Surmeneva et al. [224] reported on the in-situ alloying of binary *Ti10at%Nb* by EBM 1045 of elemental powders. Despite quite unfavorable grain size distribution and shape of used 1046 *Nb* powder, it was possible to achieve test samples of reasonable quality. At the same time, 1047 the uneven distribution of Nb fraction through the material led to the local gradients in 1048 the Ti-Nb mixing ratios. Though unfavorable for industrial process, this allowed for stud-1049 ying the microstructure of EBM-processed *Ti-Nb* materials with different elemental con-1050 tent. It was concluded that for effective in-situ alloying and production of porosity-free 1051 Ti-Nb alloys further process parameter optimization, and agglomerated powders in ad-1052 justed mixing ratios are essential. 1053

Nickel and Iron based alloys belong to high-performance structural materials, 1054 which are widely used in aggressive environments and at elevated temperatures. The ur-1055 gent need for components having compositional and functional gradient combined with 1056 high geometrical complexity causes a growing interest in the implementation of AM man-1057 ufacturing routes for these alloys [243]. 1058

Li et al. [243] studied and reported on in-situ alloying assisted SLM synthesis and 1059 characterisation of Fe-Cr-Ni alloy from pre-mixed blend of elemental metal powders. The 1060 authors investigated precursor materials (blending ratios and particle sizes) and manu-1061 facturing parameters (heat input and scanning strategy). Phase compositions and micro-1062 structural formation were thermodynamically calculated and predicted, and compared 1063 with the obtained experimental results. The corrosion resistance of the synthesized func-1064 tionally graded material was examined, and the applicability of the in-situ assisted ther-1065 mal AM-SLM route has been confirmed. 1066

Li et al. [244,245] synthesized and investigated a novel heterogeneous material, al-1067 loying Ti64 and SS316 with multi-metallic fillers. The authors concluded that the studied 1068 material is promising for critical spacecraft components, which require lightweight, high 1069 strength-weight-ratio and corrosion resistance. 1070

Shah et al. [246] synthesized an Inconel-stainless steel-based functionally graded ma-1071 terial with strong corrosion resistance at high temperatures. This material should be used 1072 as a critical raw material in light-water reactors subjected to a large variety of high tem-1073 peratures, pressures and stresses [247]. 1074

High entropy alloys (HEA) are a novel promising class of materials, in which the 1075 formation of a single-phase solid solution is thermodynamically preferable over the for-1076 mation of intermetallic compounds [248]. Refractory metals containing HEAs are usually 1077 composed of body-centered cubic (BCC) solid solutions [249] and have a high potential to 1078 substitute presently used critical raw materials due to their high-temperature mechanical 1079 strength [249]. Sometimes, full of partial substitution of refractory elements like W, Ta and 1080 Mo by transition metals [250,251], or Al [252] is performed to decrease the specific weight 1081 of the alloy and to improve corrosion resistance. Although this substitution is useful for 1082 achieving the mentioned aims, it may result in a poor mixing of the raw constituents, 1083 which causes low homogeneity of the finally obtained material. Furthermore, since the 1084 conventional way to produce HEAs is a vacuum arc melting [253-256] the main problem 1085 arises due to the difference in melting points and vapor pressures of the alloying elements 1086 at high temperatures. Additionally, oxidation resistance of the alloying refractory ele-1087 ments required an extremely high operating vacuum, at which low-melting constituents 1088

usually evaporate. The problems mentioned above bring PBF AM technologies into focus 1089 as the most promising manufacturing technology for this class of materials. However, 1090 some of them contain CRMs, but there is a high potential of an overall reduction of their 1091 use when HEA substitutes more conventionally manufactured CRM-containing materials 1092 with similar properties. Since the production of HEA-parts often requires complicated, 1093 expensive and low-available pre-alloyed precursor powders, in-situ alloying of pre-mixed 1094 elemental powder blends seems the most promising synthesizing way for these class of 1095 materials. In this regard, molecular dynamics simulation can be used as an efficient pre-1096 dictive tool to investigate the mechanical and deposition properties of HEA and other 1097 materials [257]. 1098

Bulk metallic glasses (BMGs) are a class of materials that can significantly benefit 1099 from in-situ alloying. As with HEAs, manufacturing of these materials should strongly 1100 benefit from the PBF-AM production route, as BMGs lose their most attractive properties, 1101 such as high corrosion resistance, very special elastic properties and hardness if heated 1102 above glass transition temperatures and subjected to slow cooling [18,19]. Thus, beam-1103 based AM methods including PBF ones providing extreme melting and solidification rates 1104 are very promising BMG manufacturing options. Many of the BMG materials introduced 1105 for industrial applications contain CRMs [18,258,259]. However, the recent introduction 1106 of the BMG compositions without CRM content together with their in situ alloying man-1107 ufacturing possibilities allow for CRM-sparing manufacturing of these materials [19,259– 1108 262]. 1109

Li [263] discussed the prospects of AM routes for the production of HEAs and BMG. 1110 SLM is one of the presented possible approaches for HEA fabrication. The author men-1111 tioned that SLM of powders blends could be used for HEAs synthesizing, including man-1112 ufacturing of advanced composite alloys. 1113

Ocelik et al. [264] synthesised three-layered coatings made of HEA by SLM from pre-1114 mixed elemental powder. The authors found solidification conditions to be the most crit-1115 ical parameter for successful HEA processing, while high-power laser beam with regu-1116 lated power density and speed is mentioned as a unique advantage of the used additive 1117 technology. 1118

Haase et al. [265] reported on successful simulation and experiments made using 1119 SLM of HEA from the blend of elemental powders. The authors mentioned this approach 1120 as very attractive due to the ease of modifying target material composition. HEAs pro-1121 duced by this route demonstrated high strength and homogeneous composition. The au-1122 thors noted the importance of proper adjustment of the laser beam power and scan strategy for obtaining high homogeneity of the final as-printed components. 1124

Dobbelstein et al. [266] reported on direct metal deposition assisted synthesis of 1125 *MoNbTaW* refractory HEA. The applied experimental set-up permitted to perform in-situ 1126 alloying of the pre-mixed powder blend. The authors also discussed the effect of process 1127 parameters on final product oxidation and the formed microstructure and mechanical 1128 properties. 1129

Joseph et al. [267] reported on a comparison of microstructure and mechanical prop-1130 erties of the direct laser fabricated (DLF) and arc-melted Al_xCoCrFeNi HEA. The process 1131 and the effect of the production parameters on phase formation, oxidation behavior and 1132 mechanical properties of the final product, are described in detail. The authors concluded 1133 that the DLF production route permits obtaining materials with the microstructure and 1134 properties similar to those obtained by conventional processing, i.e. arc melting. 1135

Cui et al. [20] discussed a thermodynamic approach permitting to predict the stability 1136 of HEAs. Several examples for successful attempts of laser-based additive manufacturing 1137 of these multi-component alloys from blended elemental Al, Co, Cr, Fe, Ni and Cu powders 1138 are given. 1139

Popov et al. [21] reported on a successful trial synthesizing Alos CrMoNbTaos high en-1140 tropy alloy by EBM and comparing the test sample microstructure and homogeneity with 1141

the one for material synthesized by a conventional arc melting route. Although the ob-1142 tained product's microstructure should be more homogeneous, i.e., that the production 1143 process must be improved, the authors conclude about a principal possibility to synthe-1144 size HEAs by AM routes. 1145

4.3. 3D Laser Shock Peening

As mentioned, the SLM process is a very attractive technology for fabricating com-1147 ponents with very complex spatial shapes, such as near-net-shape parts that are impossi-1148ble or prohibitively complicated to produce through conventional production routes 1149 [268]. Indeed, optimization of the AM processing of such components resulted in achiev-1150 ing only slightly lower static mechanical properties than those obtained with conventional 1151 processes. However, as with other processes that include layer-by-layer crystallization 1152 and solidification, the generation of Tensile Residual Stresses (TRS) can be considered one 1153 of the major deficiencies of many AM methods. In such processes, external energy is sup-1154 plied with high local density to the last processed layer, leading to the temperature gradi-1155 ents and anisotropic partial annealing of the processed components. Except for the case of 1156 EBM, where the build is kept at a strongly elevated temperature, it results in significant 1157 accumulation of TRS or even considerable component distortion [269]. A considerable ef-1158 fort was devoted to the reduction of TRSs in laser-based technologies, including in-situ 1159 heating (preheating or high-energy laser re-melting) or post-annealing. This strategy is 1160 successful to some degree and up to 70 % reduction of TRS with annealing was reported 1161 by Mercelis and Kruth [270]. The main drawback of these methods is that they cannot 1162 completely remove either tensile or compressive residual stresses (CRS). Alternative ap-1163 proaches were tested, and several options emerged: Shot Peening (SP), Laser Shock Peen-1164 ing (LSP) and 3D Laser Shock Peening (3D LSP) [269]. 1165

LSP, similar to SP, deforms the surface layer of the part, by the application of the 1166 shock wave induced by the localized plasma pressure on the component. To enhance this 1167 effect, water or solid (glass) confinement is used, together with a corresponding laser 1168 wavelength setup of 532 and 1064 nm, respectively. It was soon realised that LSP could be 1169 performed during the laser-based AM process itself. 3D LSP process, patented by the La-1170 boratory of Thermomechanical Metallurgy (LMTM) [268] refers to the combination of the 1171 SLM process with LSP. LSP treatment is used after several completed layers so that CRS 1172 can be handled throughout the component. To achieve this, the LSP setup must be inte-1173 grated into the SLM device [271]. Several publications proved the benefits of this ap-1174 proach, which can mainly improve the fatigue, wear and corrosion properties, as well as 1175 improve geometrical accuracy of parts fabricated by this hybrid manufacturing system, 1176 significantly increasing the service life of parts. 1177

Bending fatigue properties of 316L produced by a combination of SLM and 3D LSP 1178 (hybrid SLM-LSP) were significantly higher than manufactured samples and convention-1179 ally produced in both machined and non-machined conditions [272]. It was shown that 1180 by employing 3D LSP fatigue life is increased more than 14 times compared to AM sam-1181 ples, and 57 times over that of conventionally produced material. 1182

It was reported that LSP with solid confinement does increase the microhardness near the surface region, through the accelerated recrystallization kinetics upon heat treat-1184 ment, which results in refined equiaxed grains [273]. 1185

Kalentics et al. [268] successfully applied the SLM-LSP process for Ti64 alloy bridge-1186 like samples. It was shown that LSP has reduced the distortion angle by up to 75 % com-1187 pared to as-built specimens. Furthermore, 3D LSP was used for nickel-based alloy pro-1188 duced by SLM [274]. A 95 % reduction of the number of cracks in this very crack-prone 1189 alloy wiring welding has been observed. 1190

Thus, Laser Shock Peening's introduction is following a general trend of Hybrid 1191 Manufacturing, and the development of additive manufacturing technology integrating 1192

1146

AM with other important technologies not only into the same processing line but essen-1193 tially into the same process. 1194

5. Conclusions

Additive manufacturing (AM) technologies are becoming critical to manufacture and 1196 repair to achieve sustainable use of Critical Raw Materials, vital to the European Indus-1197 tries. The wider introduction of AM technologies in their present shape, their develop-1198 ment and incorporation into the hybrid manufacturing production chain along with the 1199 development of smart recycling routes for the components using CRMs and CRM-con-1200 taining alloys is one of the developing trends in sparing utilisation of critical materials. 1201 The key advantages of AM such as shape optimisation and possibilities of on-demand 1202 manufacturing present the nearest opportunities to the manufacturing industries. The ad-1203 ditional opportunity presented by modern AM is in the development of newer composi-1204 tions with unique properties reducing or even eliminating the use of CRMs. In this aspect, 1205 beam-based PBF AM seems to be the most promising technique since it generates unique 1206 conditions of fast-melting and solidification, beam energy manipulation possibilities for 1207 microstructure engineering, in-situ alloying, and possibilities of metal-metal and metal-1208 ceramic composite manufacturing. Fast melting and solidification are capable of preserv-1209 ing the unique metastable microstructure of materials, which is not possible with tradi-1210 tional manufacturing methods. This opens wide possibilities for manufacturing materials 1211 with unique properties including high entropy alloys and bulk metallic glasses as well as 1212 new composite materials for aerospace and biomedical industries. Development of new 1213 alloys for AM, specifically targeting preservation of metastable microstructure already 1214 shows possibilities in reducing the consumption of CRMs. An additional benefit of vary-1215 ing beam energy application rates, not only layer from layer but within each layer, char-1216 acteristic to beam-based AM, promises further possibilities for microstructural and prop-1217 erty enhancement along all three dimensions, allowing for material savings. 1218

The ecology friendliness and sustainable nature of AM technologies make further 1219 research and development in this area critical for further progress in the field of "material-1220 oriented manufacturing" and "solid freeform fabrication". 1221

Author Contributions: V.P., M.L.G., and A.K. conceived the work. V.P. organized the work and 1222 focused on additive manufacturing review and recycling aspects; A.K., D.K. focused on advanced 1223 metal 3D printing techniques; A.K., A.K.-D. and B.O.P. focused on bulk metallic glasses and high 1224 entropy alloys; L.J. focused on powders methodology and ceramics processing techniques; V.P. 1225 A.K., S.B., D.K., S.G. and A.K.-D. focused on modern trends in additive manufacturing, B.O.P. made 1226 Figures 1, 2, and together with MLG contributed to the CRM issues review. Writing was performed 1227 by all the authors. Review and editing was performed by A.K. and S.G. All authors have read and 1228 agreed to the published version of the manuscript. 1229

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Data Availability Statement: As this is a review paper, no new data was generated for this paper. 1231

Acknowledgments: This article is based on work from COST Action 15102 "Solutions for Critical 1232 Raw Materials under Extreme Conditions" and COST INNOVATORS' GRANT IG15102 "Innovative 1233 and sustainable TecHnologies for reducing critical raw mAterials dependence for Cleaner transpor-1234 tation Applications", supported by COST (European Cooperation in Science and Technology). All 1235 authors greatly acknowledge the financial support provided by the UKRI via Grants No. 1236 EP/L016567/1, EP/S013652/1, EP/S036180/1, EP/T001100/1 and EP/T024607/1, Royal Academy of En-1237 gineering via Grants No. IAPP18-19\295, TSP1332 and EXPP2021\1\277, EU Cost Action (CA15102, 1238 CA18125, CA18224 and CA16235) and Newton Fellowship award from the Royal Society 1239 (NIF\R1\191571). SG is particularly thankful to European Regional Development Funds (ERDF) 1240 sponsored A2i project at LSBU that have catalysed several industrial partnerships. This work was 1241 supported by the Ministry of Higher Education, Science and Technology of the Republic of Slovenia, 1242 research program P2-0270. Part of this work was supported by EU Regional Development Fund 1243 through the Interreg Sweden-Norway program, a grant from the Rolf and Gunilla Enströms Trust 1244

1195

| | and a grant Nr 20202610 from Swedish Agency for Economic and Regional Growth. BP acknowl- edges that part of the contributions for this research was funded and supported by the Ukrainian state budget via research project number 0120U100475. | 1245 1246 1247 |
|------|--|----------------------|
| | Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests. | 1248 |
| Refe | rences | 1249 |
| [1] | European Commission, Methodology for establishing the EU list of critical raw materials, Brussels, 2017. | 1250 |
| | https://doi.org/10.2873/769526. | 1251 |
| [2] | General Secretariat of the EU Council, Outcome of proceedings of the Council (Competitiveness) 21-22 May 2007 - | 1252 |
| | Industrial Policy, 2007. | 1253 |
| [3] | Commission of the European Communities, The raw materials initiative: meeting our critical needs for growth and jobs in | 1254 |
| | Europe, (2008). | 1255 |
| [4] | European Commission, Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European | 1256 |
| | Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions: Tackling the Challenges in Commodity Markets and | 1257 |
| | on Raw Materials, (2011). | 1258 |
| [5] | European Commission, Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European | 1259 |
| | Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions: On the Review of the List of Critical Raw Materials for | 1260 |
| | the EU and the Implementation of the Raw Materi, (2014). | 1261 |
| [6] | European Commission, Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European | 1262 |
| | Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions on the 2017 list of Critical Raw Materials for the EU, | 1263 |
| | (2017). | 1264 |
| [7] | S. Bobba, S. Carrara, J. Huisman, F. Mathieux, C. Pavel, Critical Raw Materials for Strategic Technologies and Sectors in the | 1265 |
| | EU - a Foresight Study, 2020. https://doi.org/10.2873/58081. | 1266 |
| [8] | A. Rizzo, S. Goel, M.L. Grilli, R. Iglesias, L. Jaworska, V. Lapkovskis, P. Novak, B.O. Postolnyi, D. Valerini, The critical raw | 1267 |
| | materials in cutting tools for machining applications: A review, Materials (Basel). 13 (2020). | 1268 |
| | https://doi.org/10.3390/ma13061377. | 1269 |
| [9] | A.W. Connar, Steel Capacity and Critical Raw Materials: an Outlook for Growth, SAE Trans. 84 (1975) 494–506. | 1270 |
| | http://www.jstor.org/stable/44681946. | 1271 |
| [10] | G.A. Blengini, D. Blagoeva, J. Dewulf, C. Torres de Matos, V. Nita, B. Vidal-Legaz, C.E.L. Latunussa, Y. Kayam, L. Talens | 1272 |
| | Peirò, C. Baranzelli, S. Manfredi, L. Mancini, P. Nuss, A. Marmier, P. Alves-Dias, C. Pavel, E. Tzimas, F. Mathieux, D. | 1273 |
| | Pennington, C. Ciupagea, Assessment of the Methodology for Establishing the EU List of Critical Raw Materials, | 1274 |
| | Luxemburg, 2017. https://doi.org/10.2760/73303. | 1275 |
| [11] | Department of the Interior of the US, Final List of Critical Minerals 2018, Fed. Regist. 83 (2018) 23295–23296. | 1276 |
| [12] | J.A. Ober, Mineral commodity summaries 2018, Reston, VA, 2018. https://doi.org/10.3133/70194932. | 1277 |
| [13] | M.L. Grilli, T. Bellezze, E. Gamsjäger, A. Rinaldi, P. Novak, S. Balos, R.R. Piticescu, M.L. Ruello, Solutions for critical raw | 1278 |
| | materials under extreme conditions: A review, Materials (Basel). 10 (2017) 1–23. https://doi.org/10.3390/ma10030285. | 1279 |
| [14] | V. Popov, A. Katz-Demyanetz, A. Garkun, M. Bamberger, The effect of powder recycling on the mechanical properties and | 1280 |
| | microstructure of electron beam melted Ti-6Al-4 V specimens, Addit. Manuf. 22 (2018). | 1281 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2018.06.003. | 1282 |
| [15] | M. Baumers, C. Tuck, R. Wildman, I. Ashcroft, R. Hague, Shape Complexity and Process Energy Consumption in Electron | 1283 |
| | Beam Melting: A Case of Something for Nothing in Additive Manufacturing?, J. Ind. Ecol. 21 (2017) S157–S167. | 1284 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1111/jiec.12397. | 1285 |
| [16] | Z. Liu, Q. Jiang, F. Ning, H. Kim, W. Cong, C. Xu, H.C. Zhang, Investigation of energy requirements and environmental | 1286 |

1328

| | performance for additive manufacturing processes, Sustain, 10 (2018), https://doi.org/10.3390/su10103606, | 1287 |
|------|--|------|
| [17] | F. Le Bourhis, O. Kerbrat, JY. Hascoet, P. Mognol, Sustainable manufacturing: evaluation and modeling of environmental | 1288 |
| | impacts in additive manufacturing, Int. J. Adv. Manuf. Technol. 69 (2013) 1927–1939. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-013- | 1289 |
| | 5151-2. | 1290 |
| [18] | L. Krämer, Y. Champion, R. Pippan, From powders to bulk metallic glass composites, Sci. Rep. 7 (2017) 6651. | 1291 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-06424-4. | 1292 |
| [19] | C. Suryanarayana, A. Inoue, Iron-based bulk metallic glasses, Int. Mater. Rev. 58 (2013) 131–166. | 1293 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1179/1743280412Y.0000000007. | 1294 |
| [20] | W. Cui, X. Zhang, F. Liou, Additive manufacturing of high-entropy alloys: A review, Proc. 28th Annu. Int. Solid Free. Fabr. | 1295 |
| | Symp. e An Addit. Manuf. Conf. 20 (2017) 712–724. https://doi.org/10.3390/e20120937. | 1296 |
| [21] | V. Popov, A. Katz-Demyanetz, A. Koptyug, M. Bamberger, Selective electron beam melting of Al0.5CrMoNbTa0.5 high | 1297 |
| | entropy alloys using elemental powder blend, Heliyon. 5 (2019) e01188. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e01188. | 1298 |
| [22] | V. Buranich, V. Rogoz, B. Postolnyi, A. Pogrebnjak, Predicting the Properties of the Refractory High-Entropy Alloys for | 1299 |
| | Additive Manufacturing-Based Fabrication and Mechatronic Applications, in: 2020 IEEE 10th Int. Conf. Nanomater. Appl. | 1300 |
| | Prop., 2020: pp. 1–5. https://doi.org/10.1109/NAP51477.2020.9309720. | 1301 |
| [23] | T.D. Ngo, A. Kashani, G. Imbalzano, K.T.Q.Q. Nguyen, D. Hui, Additive manufacturing (3D printing): A review of | 1302 |
| | materials, methods, applications and challenges, Compos. Part B Eng. 143 (2018) 172–196. | 1303 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2018.02.012. | 1304 |
| [24] | A. Bandyopadhyay, B. Heer, Additive manufacturing of multi-material structures, Mater. Sci. Eng. R Reports. 129 (2018) 1– | 1305 |
| | 16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mser.2018.04.001. | 1306 |
| [25] | Wohlers Associates, Wohlers Report 2018, (2018) 276. https://doi.org/ISBN 978-0-9913332-0-2. | 1307 |
| [26] | J. Dawes, R. Bowerman, R. Trepleton, Introduction to the additive manufacturing powder metallurgy supply chain, | 1308 |
| | Johnson Matthey Technol. Rev. 59 (2015) 243–256. https://doi.org/10.1595/205651315X688686. | 1309 |
| [27] | S. Cacace, A.G. Demir, Q. Semeraro, Densification Mechanism for Different Types of Stainless Steel Powders in Selective | 1310 |
| | Laser Melting, in: Procedia CIRP, The Author(s), 2017: pp. 475–480. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2016.06.010. | 1311 |
| [28] | D. Bourell, A.B. Spierings, N. Herres, G. Levy, Influence of the particle size distribution on surface quality and mechanical | 1312 |
| | properties in AM steel parts, Rapid Prototyp. J. 17 (2011) 195–202. https://doi.org/10.1108/13552541111124770. | 1313 |
| [29] | L. Nyborg, M. Norell, I. Olefjord, Surface studies of powder metallurgical stainless steel, Surf. Interface Anal. 19 (1992) 607- | 1314 |
| | 614. https://doi.org/10.1002/sia.7401901113. | 1315 |
| [30] | Y. Hedberg, M. Norell, J. Hedberg, P. Szakálos, P. Linhardt, I. Odnevall Wallinder, Surface characterisation of fine inert gas | 1316 |
| | and water atomised stainless steel 316L powders: Formation of thermodynamically unstable surface oxide phases, Powder | 1317 |
| | Metall. 56 (2013) 158–163. https://doi.org/10.1179/1743290112Y.0000000041. | 1318 |
| [31] | B. Engel, D.L. Bourell, Titanium alloy powder preparation for selective laser sintering, Rapid Prototyp. J. 6 (2000) 97–106. | 1319 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1108/13552540010323574. | 1320 |
| [32] | J.A. Slotwinski, E.J. Garboczi, P.E. Stutzman, C.F. Ferraris, S.S. Watson, M.A. Peltz, Characterization of metal powders used | 1321 |
| | for additive manufacturing, J. Res. Natl. Inst. Stand. Technol. 119 (2014) 460–493. https://doi.org/10.6028/jres.119.018. | 1322 |
| [33] | G. Egger, P.E. Gygax, R. Glardon, N.P. Karapatis, Optimization of powder layer density in selective laser sintering, in: 10th | 1323 |
| | Solid Free. Fabr. Symp., 1999: pp. 255–263. http://infoscience.epfl.ch/record/153069. | 1324 |
| [34] | S. Vock, B. Klöden, A. Kirchner, T. Weißgärber, B. Kieback, Powders for powder bed fusion: a review, Prog. Addit. Manuf. | 1325 |
| | 4 (2019) 383–397. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40964-019-00078-6. | 1326 |
| [35] | N.E. Gorji, R. O'Connor, D. Brabazon, XPS, XRD, and SEM characterization of the virgin and recycled metallic powders for | 1327 |

3D printing applications, IOP Conf. Ser. Mater. Sci. Eng. 591 (2019) 12016. https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899x/591/1/012016.

| [36] | W.A. Grell, E. Solis-Ramos, E. Clark, E. Lucon, E.J. Garboczi, P.K. Predecki, Z. Loftus, M. Kumosa, Effect of powder | 1329 |
|------|--|------|
| | oxidation on the impact toughness of electron beam melting Ti-6Al-4V, Addit. Manuf. 17 (2017) 123–134. | 1330 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2017.08.002. | 1331 |
| [37] | Y. Hedberg, M. Norell, P. Linhardt, H. Bergqvist, I. Odnevall Wallinder, Influence of surface oxide characteristics and | 1332 |
| | speciation on corrosion, electrochemical properties and metal release of atomized 316L stainless steel powders, Int. J. | 1333 |
| | Electrochem. Sci. 7 (2012) 11655–11677. | 1334 |
| [38] | P. Novak, Advanced Powder Metallurgy Technologies, Materials (Basel). (2020) 13–15. | 1335 |
| [39] | P. Sun, Z.Z. Fang, Y. Zhang, Y. Xia, Review of the Methods for Production of Spherical Ti and Ti Alloy Powder, JOM. 69 | 1336 |
| | (2017) 1853–1860. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11837-017-2513-5. | 1337 |
| [40] | C.F. Yolton, Method for producing titanium particles, 5,084,091, 1992. https://patents.justia.com/inventor/charles-f-yolton. | 1338 |
| [41] | J.J. Dunkley, Advances in atomisation techniques for the formation of metal powders, in: I. Chang, Y.B.TA. in P.M. Zhao | 1339 |
| | (Eds.), Woodhead Publ. Ser. Met. Surf. Eng., Woodhead Publishing, 2013: pp. 3–18. | 1340 |
| | https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1533/9780857098900.1.3. | 1341 |
| [42] | G. Chen, S.Y. Zhao, P. Tan, J. Wang, C.S. Xiang, H.P. Tang, A comparative study of Ti-6Al-4V powders for additive | 1342 |
| | manufacturing by gas atomization, plasma rotating electrode process and plasma atomization, Powder Technol. 333 (2018) | 1343 |
| | 38–46. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.powtec.2018.04.013. | 1344 |
| [43] | M. Entezarian, F. Allaire, P. Tsantrizos, R.A.L. Drew, Plasma atomization: A new process for the production of fine, | 1345 |
| | spherical powders, JOM. 48 (1996) 53–55. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03222969. | 1346 |
| [44] | GE Additive, Plasma Atomization Technology, (2020). https://www.ge.com/additive/plasma-atomization-technology. | 1347 |
| [45] | A.R. Kaufmann, Method and Apparatus for Making Powder, 3-099–041, 1963. | 1348 |
| | https://patentimages.storage.googleapis.com/ce/e9/ee/7aae8cc72f866d/US3099041.pdf. | 1349 |
| [46] | Y. Nie, J. Tang, B. Yang, Q. Lei, S. Yu, Y. Li, Comparison in characteristic and atomization behavior of metallic powders | 1350 |
| | produced by plasma rotating electrode process, Adv. Powder Technol. (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apt.2020.03.006. | 1351 |
| [47] | G. Gai, Y. Yang, L. Jin, X. Zou, Y. Wu, Particle shape modification and related property improvements, Powder Technol. | 1352 |
| | 183 (2008) 115–121. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.powtec.2007.11.026. | 1353 |
| [48] | S. Goel, G. Cross, A. Stukowski, E. Gamsjäger, B. Beake, A. Agrawal, Designing nanoindentation simulation studies by | 1354 |
| | appropriate indenter choices: Case study on single crystal tungsten, Comput. Mater. Sci. 152 (2018) 196–210. | 1355 |
| | https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2018.04.044. | 1356 |
| [49] | K. Pulidindi, A. Prakash, Tungsten Market Size By Application (Tungsten Carbide, Metal Alloys, Mill Products), By End- | 1357 |
| | use (Automotive Parts, Aerospace Components, Drilling, Boring & Cutting Equipment, Logging Equipment, Electrical & | 1358 |
| | Electronics Appliances), Industry Analysis Repor, 2018. https://www.gminsights.com/industry-analysis/tungsten-market. | 1359 |
| [50] | R.K. Enneti, K.C. Prough, T.A. Wolfe, A. Klein, N. Studley, J.L. Trasorras, Sintering of WC-12%Co processed by binder jet | 1360 |
| | 3D printing (BJ3DP) technology, Int. J. Refract. Met. Hard Mater. 71 (2018) 28-35. | 1361 |
| | https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrmhm.2017.10.023. | 1362 |
| [51] | A.C. Field, L.N. Carter, N.J.E. Adkins, M.M. Attallah, M.J. Gorley, M. Strangwood, The Effect of Powder Characteristics on | 1363 |
| | Build Quality of High-Purity Tungsten Produced via Laser Powder Bed Fusion (LPBF), Metall. Mater. Trans. A Phys. | 1364 |
| | Metall. Mater. Sci. 51 (2020) 1367–1378. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11661-019-05601-6. | 1365 |
| [52] | J. Wright, Additive Manufacturing of Tungsten via Selective Laser Melting and Electron Beam Melting, The University of | 1366 |
| | Sheffied, 2020. | 1367 |
| [53] | M. Doerre, L. Hibbitts, G. Patrick, N.K. Akafuah, Advances in automotive conversion coatings during pretreatment of the | 1368 |
| | body structure: A review, Coatings. 8 (2018). https://doi.org/10.3390/COATINGS8110405. | 1369 |
| [54] | E. Bozyazı, M. Ürgen, A.F. Çakır, Comparison of reciprocating wear behaviour of electrolytic hard chrome and arc-PVD | 1370 |

| | CrN coatings, Wear. 256 (2004) 832-839. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1648(03)00523-4. | 1371 |
|------|--|------|
| [55] | B.O. Postolnyi, O.V. Bondar, K. Zaleski, E. Coy, S. Jurga, L. Rebouta, J.P. Araujo, Multilayer Design of CrN/MoN Superhard | 1372 |
| | Protective Coatings and Their Characterisation, in: A. Pogrebnjak, V. Novosad (Eds.), Adv. Thin Film. Nanostructured | 1373 |
| | Mater. Coatings, Springer Singapore, 2019. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-6133-3_2. | 1374 |
| [56] | Y. Xiao, J. Xiong, Z. Guo, J. Liu, L. Zhou, J. Ye, W. Zhao, Microstructures and properties of PVD TiAlN coating deposited | 1375 |
| | on cermets with different Ti(C, N) grain size, J. Cent. South Univ. 27 (2020) 721–735. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11771-020- | 1376 |
| | 4326-x. | 1377 |
| [57] | S.K. Singh, S. Chattopadhyaya, A. Pramanik, S. Kumar, A.K. Basak, S.M. Pandey, Q. Murtaza, S. Legutko, G. Litak, | 1378 |
| | Tribological Properties of Chromium Nitride on the Cylinder Liner under the Influence of High Temperature, Mater. | 1379 |
| | (Basel, Switzerland). 13 (2020) 4497. https://doi.org/10.3390/ma13204497. | 1380 |
| [58] | O. V Maksakova, R.F. Webster, R.D. Tilley, V.I. Ivashchenko, B.O. Postolnyi, O. V Bondar, Y. Takeda, V.M. Rogoz, R.E. | 1381 |
| | Sakenova, P. V Zukowski, M. Opielak, V.M. Beresnev, A.D. Pogrebnjak, Nanoscale architecture of (CrN/ZrN)/(Cr/Zr) | 1382 |
| | nanocomposite coatings: Microstructure, composition, mechanical properties and first-principles calculations, J. Alloys | 1383 |
| | Compd. 831 (2020) 154808. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2020.154808. | 1384 |
| [59] | B. Postolnyi, O. Bondar, M. Opielak, P. Rogalski, J.P. Araújo, Structural analysis of multilayer metal nitride films CrN/MoN | 1385 |
| | using electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD), in: Proc.SPIE, 2016. https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2243279. | 1386 |
| [60] | F. Jiang, W. Zhu, C. Zhao, Y. Li, P. Wei, T. Wan, H. Ye, S. Pan, F. Ren, A strong, wear- and corrosion-resistant, and | 1387 |
| | antibacterial Co–30 at.% Cr–5 at.% Ag ternary alloy for medical implants, Mater. Des. 184 (2019) 108190. | 1388 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MATDES.2019.108190. | 1389 |
| [61] | K.B. Shedd, A. Hwang, Mineral Industry Surveys: Cobalt, 2020. https://prd-wret.s3.us-west- | 1390 |
| | 2.amazonaws.com/assets/palladium/production/atoms/files/mis-202006-cobal.pdf. | 1391 |
| [62] | M. Moradi, A. Ashoori, A. Hasani, Additive manufacturing of stellite 6 superalloy by direct laser metal deposition – Part 1: | 1392 |
| | Effects of laser power and focal plane position, Opt. Laser Technol. 131 (2020) 106328. | 1393 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1016/j.optlastec.2020.106328. | 1394 |
| [63] | V. Popov, A. Fleisher, G. Muller-Kamskii, A. Shishkin, A. Katz-Demyanetz, N. Travitzky, S. Goel, Novel hybrid method to | 1395 |
| | additively manufacture denser graphite structures using Binder Jetting, Sci. Rep. 11 (2021) 2438. | 1396 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-81861-w. | 1397 |
| [64] | Critical Raw Materials Innovation Network (CRM_InnoNet), Substitution of Critical Raw Materials, Critical Raw Materials | 1398 |
| | Substitution Profiles., n.d. http://www.criticalrawmaterials.eu/wp-content/uploads/D3.3-Raw-Materials-Profiles-final- | 1399 |
| | submitteddocument.pdf. | 1400 |
| [65] | E. García-Tuñon, S. Barg, J. Franco, R. Bell, S. Eslava, E. D'Elia, R.C. Maher, F. Guitian, E. Saiz, Printing in Three | 1401 |
| | Dimensions with Graphene, Adv. Mater. 27 (2015) 1688-1693. https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201405046. | 1402 |
| [66] | H. Guo, R. Lv, S. Bai, Recent advances on 3D printing graphene-based composites, Nano Mater. Sci. 1 (2019) 101-115. | 1403 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nanoms.2019.03.003. | 1404 |
| [67] | Y. Li, Z. Feng, L. Huang, K. Essa, E. Bilotti, H. Zhang, T. Peijs, L. Hao, Additive manufacturing high performance | 1405 |
| | graphene-based composites: A review, Compos. Part A Appl. Sci. Manuf. 124 (2019) 105483. | 1406 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesa.2019.105483. | 1407 |
| [68] | A. Azhari, E. Marzbanrad, D. Yilman, E. Toyserkani, M.A. Pope, Binder-jet powder-bed additive manufacturing (3D | 1408 |
| | printing) of thick graphene-based electrodes, Carbon N. Y. 119 (2017) 257–266. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2017.04.028. | 1409 |
| [69] | W. Zhou, X. Sun, K. Kikuchi, N. Nomura, K. Yoshimi, A. Kawasaki, Carbon nanotubes as a unique agent to fabricate | 1410 |
| | nanoceramic/metal composite powders for additive manufacturing, Mater. Des. 137 (2018) 276–285. | 1411 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2017.10.034. | 1412 |
| | | |

| [70] | D. Lin, C. Richard Liu, G.J. Cheng, Single-layer graphene oxide reinforced metal matrix composites by laser sintering: | 1413 |
|------|---|------|
| | Microstructure and mechanical property enhancement, Acta Mater. 80 (2014) 183–193. | 1414 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actamat.2014.07.038. | 1415 |
| [71] | S. Wen, K. Chen, W. Li, Y. Zhou, Q. Wei, Y. Shi, Selective laser melting of reduced graphene oxide/S136 metal matrix | 1416 |
| | composites with tailored microstructures and mechanical properties, Mater. Des. 175 (2019) 107811. | 1417 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2019.107811. | 1418 |
| [72] | M. Li, X. Wu, Y. Yang, Q. Wei, C. Yan, C. Cai, J. Liu, W. Li, Y. Shi, TiAl/RGO (reduced graphene oxide) bulk composites | 1419 |
| | with refined microstructure and enhanced nanohardness fabricated by selective laser melting (SLM), Mater. Charact. 143 | 1420 |
| | (2018) 197–205. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matchar.2018.05.040. | 1421 |
| [73] | J. Cui, M. Kramer, L. Zhou, F. Liu, A. Gabay, G. Hadjipanayis, B. Balasubramanian, D. Sellmyer, Current progress and | 1422 |
| | future challenges in rare-earth-free permanent magnets, Acta Mater. 158 (2018) 118–137. | 1423 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ACTAMAT.2018.07.049. | 1424 |
| [74] | A. Azhari, E. Toyserkani, C. Villain, Additive Manufacturing of Graphene–Hydroxyapatite Nanocomposite Structures, Int. | 1425 |
| | J. Appl. Ceram. Technol. 12 (2015) 8–17. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijac.12309. | 1426 |
| [75] | Z. Chen, P. Wei, S. Zhang, B. Lu, L. Zhang, X. Yang, K. Huang, Y. Huang, X. Li, Q. Zhao, Graphene reinforced nickel-based | 1427 |
| | superalloy composites fabricated by additive manufacturing, Mater. Sci. Eng. A. 769 (2020) 138484. | 1428 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2019.138484. | 1429 |
| [76] | M. Taher, A hybrid ionic graphene nanocomposite with layered structure, WO2019054931, 2017. | 1430 |
| | https://www.graphmatech.com/. | 1431 |
| [77] | A.G. Illarionov, A.G. Nezhdanov, S.I. Stepanov, G. Muller-Kamskii, A.A. Popov, Structure, Phase Composition, and | 1432 |
| | Mechanical Properties of Biocompatible Titanium Alloys of Different Types, Phys. Met. Metallogr. 121 (2020) 367–373. | 1433 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1134/S0031918X20040055. | 1434 |
| [78] | C. Liu, S. Goel, I. Llavori, P. Stolf, C.L. Giusca, A. Zabala, J. Kohlscheen, J.M. Paiva, J.L. Endrino, S.C. Veldhuis, G.S. Fox | 1435 |
| | Rabinovich, Benchmarking of several material constitutive models for tribology, wear, and other mechanical deformation | 1436 |
| | simulations of Ti6Al4V, J. Mech. Behav. Biomed. Mater. 97 (2019) 126–137. | 1437 |
| | https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmbbm.2019.05.013. | 1438 |
| [79] | K. Dietrich, J. Diller, S. Dubiez-Le Goff, D. Bauer, P. Forêt, G. Witt, The influence of oxygen on the chemical composition | 1439 |
| | and mechanical properties of Ti-6Al-4V during laser powder bed fusion (L-PBF), Addit. Manuf. 32 (2020). | 1440 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2019.100980. | 1441 |
| [80] | C. Leyens, M. Peters, Titanium and Titanium Alloys. Fundamentals and Applications, WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. | 1442 |
| | KGaA, Weinheim, 2003. | 1443 |
| [81] | G.W. Boyer, Military Handbook - Titanium and Titanium Alloys, Department, Washington, D.C., 1974. | 1444 |
| [82] | T.E. Norgate, G. Wellwood, The potential applications for titanium metal powder and their life cycle impacts, JOM. 58 | 1445 |
| | (2006) 58–63. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11837-006-0084-y. | 1446 |
| [83] | S. Goel, B. Beake, CW. Chan, N. Haque Faisal, N. Dunne, Twinning anisotropy of tantalum during nanoindentation, | 1447 |
| | Mater. Sci. Eng. A. 627 (2015) 249–261. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2014.12.075. | 1448 |
| [84] | L.Y. Chen, Y.W. Cui, L.C. Zhang, Recent development in beta titanium alloys for biomedical applications, Metals (Basel). 10 | 1449 |
| | (2020) 1–29. https://doi.org/10.3390/met10091139. | 1450 |
| [85] | M.T. Mohammed, Z.A. Khan, A.N. Siddiquee, Beta Titanium Alloys: The Lowest Elastic Modulus for Biomedical | 1451 |
| | Applications: A Review Surface Modifications through FSP View project MACHINING View project, Int. J. Chem. Nucl. | 1452 |
| | Metall. Mater. Eng. 8 (2014) 726–731. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/265396160. | 1453 |
| [86] | A. Bansiddhi, T.D. Sargeant, S.I. Stupp, D.C. Dunand, Porous NiTi for bone implants: A review, Acta Biomater. 4 (2008) | 1454 |

| | 773–782. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2008.02.009. | 1455 |
|-------|--|------|
| [87] | Y.U. Loginov, S.I. Stepanov, E. V Khanykova, Effect of pore architecture of titanium implants on stress-strain state upon | 1456 |
| | compression, Solid State Phenom. 265 SSP (2017) 606–610. https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/SSP.265.606. | 1457 |
| [88] | H.H. Hausner, H.S. Kalish, R.P. Angier, Powder Metallurgy of Zirconium, JOM. 3 (1951) 625–633. | 1458 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03397356. | 1459 |
| [89] | A. Harooni, M. Iravani, A. Khajepour, J.M. King, A. Khalifa, A.P. Gerlich, Mechanical properties and microstructures in | 1460 |
| | zirconium deposited by injected powder laser additive manufacturing, Addit. Manuf. 22 (2018) 537–547. | 1461 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2018.05.037. | 1462 |
| [90] | L. Jaworska, J. Panasiuk, P. Putyra, M. Stępień, P. Noga, P. Pałka, A. Zwoliński, The influence of powder characteristics on | 1463 |
| | the sintering behaviour and impurity content of spark-plasma-sintered zirconium, Int. J. Refract. Met. Hard Mater. 82 | 1464 |
| | (2019) 259–267. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrmhm.2019.04.018. | 1465 |
| [91] | T. Hori, T. Nagase, M. Todai, A. Matsugaki, T. Nakano, Development of non-equiatomic Ti-Nb-Ta-Zr-Mo high-entropy | 1466 |
| | alloys for metallic biomaterials, Scr. Mater. 172 (2019) 83–87. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scriptamat.2019.07.011. | 1467 |
| [92] | T. Ishimoto, R. Ozasa, K. Nakano, M. Weinmann, C. Schnitter, M. Stenzel, A. Matsugaki, T. Nagase, T. Matsuzaka, M. | 1468 |
| | Todai, H.S. Kim, T. Nakano, Development of TiNbTaZrMo bio-high entropy alloy (BioHEA) super-solid solution by | 1469 |
| | selective laser melting, and its improved mechanical property and biocompatibility, Scr. Mater. 194 (2021) 113658. | 1470 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1016/i.scriptamat.2020.113658. | 1471 |
| [93] | H. Bissett, I.I. Van Der Walt, I.L. Havenga, I.T. Nel, Titanium and zirconium metal powder spheroidization by thermal | 1472 |
| | plasma processes, J. South. African Inst. Min. Metall. 115 (2015) 937–942. https://doi.org/10.17159/2411- | 1473 |
| | 9717/2015/v115n10a6. | 1474 |
| [94] | ALD Vacuum Technologies, VIGA Systems, (n.d.), https://www.ald-vt.com. | 1475 |
| [95] | W.E. Frazier, Metal additive manufacturing: A review, I. Mater. Eng. Perform, 23 (2014) 1917–1928. | 1476 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1007/s11665-014-0958-z. | 1477 |
| [96] | I.I. Lewandowski, M. Seifi, Metal Additive Manufacturing: A Review of Mechanical Properties, Annu. Rev. Mater. Res. 46 | 1478 |
| | (2016) 151–186. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurey-matsci-070115-032024. | 1479 |
| [97] | M. Oian, W. Xu, M. Brandt, H.P. Tang, Additive manufacturing and postprocessing of Ti-6Al-4V for superior mechanical | 1480 |
| L' J | properties, MRS Bull. 41 (2016) 775–784. https://doi.org/DOI: 10.1557/mrs.2016.215. | 1481 |
| [98] | T.M. Mower, M.I. Long, Mechanical behavior of additive manufactured, powder-bed laser-fused materials, Mater, Sci. Eng. | 1482 |
| [] | A. 651 (2016) 198–213. https://doi.org/10.1016/i.msea.2015.10.068. | 1483 |
| [99] | E. Hryba, R. Shyab, H. Gruber, A. Leicht, L. Nyborg, Surface oxide state on metal powder and its changes during additive | 1484 |
| [] | manufacturing: An overview, in: Proc. Euro PM 2017 Int. Powder Metall. Congr. Exhib., 2018 | 1485 |
| [100] | V. Popov, A. Katz-Demvanetz, A. Garkun, G. Muller-Kamskii, E. Strokin, H. Rosenson, Effect of Hot Isostatic Pressure | 1486 |
| | treatment on the Electron-Beam Melted Ti-6Al-4V specimens, Procedia Manuf, 21 (2018) 125–132. | 1487 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1016/i.promfg.2018.02.102. | 1488 |
| [101] | A. Gromov, A. Ilvin, U. Förter-Barth, U. Teipel, Characterization of Aluminum Powders: II. Aluminum Nanopowders | 1489 |
| [] | Passivated by Non-Inert Coatings, Propellants, Explos, Pyrotech, 31 (2006) 401–409 | 1490 |
| | https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/prep.200600055. | 1491 |
| [102] | H. Khaing, T.T. Kywe, Production of Fine Aluminum Powder from Metallic Aluminum, in: First Int. Conf. Interdiscip. Res. | 1492 |
| [-~-] | Dev., Thailand, 2011. | 1493 |
| [103] | OD Neikov. Production of Aluminum Allov Powders 2nd ed Elsevier Ltd 2019 https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-08- | 1494 |
| [100] | 100543-9 00016-6. | 1495 |
| [104] | L. Langnau, Will aluminum become the new hot material in metal additive manufacturing? Makes Parts Fast Netw. (2018) | 1496 |
| [104] | 2. Earging, win automatin become the new not material in metal automive manufacturing; , makes i arts rast Netw. (2010). | 1470 |

| | https://www.makepartsfast.com/will-aluminum-become-new-hot-new-material-in-metal-additive-manufacturing/. | 1497 |
|-------|--|------|
| [105] | T.A. Ring, Fundamentals of Ceramic Powder Processing and Synthesis, Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, California, 1996. | 1498 |
| | https://www.elsevier.com/books/fundamentals-of-ceramic-powder-processing-and-synthesis/ring/978-0-12-588930-8. | 1499 |
| [106] | Y. Oh, V. Bharambe, B. Mummareddy, J. Martin, J. McKnight, M.A. Abraham, J.M. Walker, K. Rogers, B. Conner, P. Cortes, | 1500 |
| | E. MacDonald, J.J. Adams, Microwave dielectric properties of zirconia fabricated using NanoParticle Jetting TM , Addit. | 1501 |
| | Manuf. 27 (2019) 586–594. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2019.04.005. | 1502 |
| [107] | K. Nagulin, R. Nazarov, I. Efimochkin, A. Gilmutdinov, Optimization of radio-frequency plasma parameters for | 1503 |
| | spheroidization of zirconium oxide powders, Surf. Coatings Technol. 382 (2020). | 1504 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surfcoat.2019.125196. | 1505 |
| [108] | U. Lakshminarayan, S. Ogrydiziak, H.L. Marcus, Selective Laser Sintering of Ceramic materials, in: 1st Solid Free. Fabr. | 1506 |
| | Symp, 1990: pp. 16–26. http://sffsymposium.engr.utexas.edu/Manuscripts/1990/1990-03-Lakshiminarayan.pdf. | 1507 |
| [109] | J. Wilkes, Y.C. Hagedorn, W. Meiners, K. Wissenbach, Additive manufacturing of ZrO2-Al2O3 ceramic components by | 1508 |
| | selective laser melting, Rapid Prototyp. J. 19 (2013) 51–57. https://doi.org/10.1108/13552541311292736. | 1509 |
| [110] | F. Verga, M. Borlaf, L. Conti, K. Florio, M. Vetterli, T. Graule, M. Schmid, K. Wegener, Laser-based powder bed fusion of | 1510 |
| | alumina toughened zirconia, Addit. Manuf. 31 (2020) 100959. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2019.100959. | 1511 |
| [111] | C.L.A. Leung, S. Marussi, M. Towrie, J. del Val Garcia, R.C. Atwood, A.J. Bodey, J.R. Jones, P.J. Withers, P.D. Lee, Laser- | 1512 |
| | matter interactions in additive manufacturing of stainless steel SS316L and 13-93 bioactive glass revealed by in situ X-ray | 1513 |
| | imaging, Addit. Manuf. 24 (2018) 647–657. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2018.08.025. | 1514 |
| [112] | W.H. Yu, S.L. Sing, C.K. Chua, C.N. Kuo, X.L. Tian, Particle-reinforced metal matrix nanocomposites fabricated by selective | 1515 |
| | laser melting: A state of the art review, Prog. Mater. Sci. 104 (2019) 330–379. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmatsci.2019.04.006. | 1516 |
| [113] | F. Brueckner, M. Riede, M. Müller, F. Marquardt, R. Willner, A. Seidel, E. Lopéz, C. Leyens, E. Beyer, Enhanced | 1517 |
| | manufacturing possibilities using multi-materials in laser metal deposition, J. Laser Appl. 30 (2018) 32308. | 1518 |
| | https://doi.org/10.2351/1.5040639. | 1519 |
| [114] | J. Shi, Y. Wang, Development of metal matrix composites by laser-assisted additive manufacturing technologies: a review, | 1520 |
| | J. Mater. Sci. 55 (2020) 9883–9917. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10853-020-04730-3. | 1521 |
| [115] | L. Portolés Griñán, J.R. Blasco Puchades, M. Sanchez, M. Martinez, NANOTUN3D: Development of the complete workflow | 1522 |
| | for producing and using a novel nanomodified Ti-based alloy for additive manufacturing in special applications., in: Euro | 1523 |
| | PM2018 Congr. Exhib., 2018. https://www.epma.com/epma-free-publications/product/ep18-3992724. | 1524 |
| [116] | Z. Chen, Z. Li, J. Li, C. Liu, C. Lao, Y. Fu, C. Liu, Y. Li, P. Wang, Y. He, 3D printing of ceramics: A review, J. Eur. Ceram. | 1525 |
| | Soc. 39 (2019) 661–687. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeurceramsoc.2018.11.013. | 1526 |
| [117] | ASTM International, F2792-12a - Standard Terminology for Additive Manufacturing Technologies, 2013. | 1527 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1520/F2792-12A.2. | 1528 |
| [118] | K. Lu, M. Hiser, W. Wu, Effect of particle size on three dimensional printed mesh structures, Powder Technol. 192 (2009) | 1529 |
| [] | 178–183. https://doi.org/10.1016/i.powtec.2008.12.011. | 1530 |
| [119] | M. Lanzetta, E. Sachs, Improved surface finish in 3D printing using bimodal powder distribution, Rapid Prototyp, I. 9 | 1531 |
| | (2003) 157–166. https://doi.org/10.1108/13552540310477463. | 1532 |
| [120] | S. Huang, C. Ye, H. Zhao, Z. Fan, Additive manufacturing of thin alumina ceramic cores using binder-ietting, Addit. | 1533 |
| [] | Manuf. 29 (2019) 100802. https://doi.org/10.1016/i.addma.2019.100802. | 1534 |
| [121] | X Ly, F. Ye, L. Cheng, S. Fan, Y. Liu, Binder jetting of ceramics: Powders, binders, printing parameters, equipment, and | 1535 |
| [+] | post-treatment. Ceram. Int. 45 (2019) 12609–12624. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2019.04.012. | 1536 |
| [122] | I. Suwanprateeb, R. Sanngam, T. Panyathanmaporn, Influence of raw powder preparation routes on properties of | 1537 |
| [] | hydroxyapatite fabricated by 3D printing technique Mater Sci Eng C 30 (2010) 610-617 | 1538 |
| | ny arony aparate interference of the printing technique, materia ber, Eng. C. 50 (2010) 010-017. | 1000 |

| 3 |
|---|
| |

| | https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2010.02.014 | 1530 |
|-------|---|------|
| [122] | W Du X Ron C Ma Z Poi Coramic hinder jotting additive manufacturing: Particle coating for increasing neuroder | 1539 |
| [123] | cinterability and part strongth. Mater, Lett. 224 (2010) 227, 220. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matlet.2018.00.118 | 1540 |
| [104] | V. Karageorgiau, D. Kaplan, Deresity of 2D biometerial scaffolds and estageorgia. Biometerials, 26 (2005) 5474–5401 | 1541 |
| [124] | v. Karageorgiou, D. Kapian, Forosity of 5D bioinaterial scanolus and osteogenesis, bioinateriais. 20 (2003) 5474–5491. | 1542 |
| [105] | L Bussies E Saiz & Deville K Crup C Liv B K Nelle A B Tempie Febrication and in vitro characterization of three | 1543 |
| [125] | J. Russias, E. Saiz, S. Devine, R. Gryn, G. Liu, R.R. Nana, A.F. Tomsia, Fabrication and in vitro characterization of three- | 1544 |
| | dimensional organic/inorganic scarrolds by robocasting, J. Blomed. Mater. Res. Part A. 83A (2007) 434–445. | 1545 |
| [10/] | $\frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{1000} \frac{1}{10000} \frac{1}{10000} \frac{1}{10000} \frac{1}{100000} \frac{1}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$ | 1546 |
| [126] | W. Xiao, M.A. Zaeem, B.S. Bal, M.N. Rahaman, Creation of bloactive glass (13–93) scatfolds for structural bone repair using | 1547 |
| | a combined finite element modeling and rapid prototyping approach, Mater. Sci. Eng. C. 68 (2016) 651–662. | 1548 |
| [405] | https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2016.06.011. | 1549 |
| [127] | R.C. Richard, R.N. Oliveira, G.D.A. Soares, R.M.S.M. Thire, Direct-write assembly of 3D scatfolds using colloidal calcium | 1550 |
| | phosphates inks, Rev. Mater. 19 (2014) 61–67. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1517-70/62014000100009. | 1551 |
| [128] | S.I. Roohani-Estahani, P. Newman, H. Zreiqat, Design and Fabrication of 3D printed Scatfolds with a Mechanical Strength | 1552 |
| | Comparable to Cortical Bone to Repair Large Bone Defects, Sci. Rep. 6 (2016) 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep19468. | 1553 |
| [129] | H.W. Kim, J.C. Knowles, H.E. Kim, Hydroxyapatite porous scaffold engineered with biological polymer hybrid coating for | 1554 |
| | antibiotic Vancomycin release, J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Med. 16 (2005) 189–195. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10856-005-6679-y. | 1555 |
| [130] | Q.Z. Chen, I.D. Thompson, A.R. Boccaccini, 45S5 Bioglass®-derived glass–ceramic scaffolds for bone tissue engineering, | 1556 |
| | Biomaterials. 27 (2006) 2414–2425. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2005.11.025. | 1557 |
| [131] | Academic Press, Handbook of Advanced Ceramics. 2nd Edition. Materials, Applications, Processing, and Properties, | 1558 |
| | Academic Press, Inc., 2013. https://www.elsevier.com/books/handbook-of-advanced-ceramics/somiya/978-0-12-385469-8. | 1559 |
| [132] | M. Fukushima, Y. Zhou, H. Miyazaki, Y.I. Yoshizawa, K. Hirao, Y. Iwamoto, S. Yamazaki, T. Nagano, Microstructural | 1560 |
| | characterization of porous silicon carbide membrane support with and without alumina additive, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 89 | 1561 |
| | (2006) 1523–1529. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1551-2916.2006.00931.x. | 1562 |
| [133] | I.D. Xynos, M.V.J. Hukkanen, J.J. Batten, L.D. Buttery, L.L. Hench, J.M. Polak, Bioglass ®45S5 stimulates osteoblast | 1563 |
| | turnover and enhances bone formation in vitro: Implications and applications for bone tissue engineering, Calcif. Tissue | 1564 |
| | Int. 67 (2000) 321–329. https://doi.org/10.1007/s002230001134. | 1565 |
| [134] | L. Gridelet, P. Delbecq, L. Hervé, P. Boissolle, D. Fleury, S. Kowal, G. Fayet, Proposal of a new risk assessment method for | 1566 |
| | the handling of powders and nanomaterials, Ind. Health. 53 (2015) 56–68. https://doi.org/10.2486/indhealth.2014-0046. | 1567 |
| [135] | J. Deckers, J. Vleugels, J.P. Kruth, Additive manufacturing of ceramics: A review, J. Ceram. Sci. Technol. 5 (2014) 245–260. | 1568 |
| | https://doi.org/10.4416/JCST2014-00032. | 1569 |
| [136] | M. Puerto Perez-Perez, M.A. Sebastián, E. Gómez, Análisis y propuesta para la utilización de los contenidos en normas | 1570 |
| | técnicas para la enseñanza de la Fabricación Aditiva Teaching innovation on industrial technologies in productive areas | 1571 |
| | View project Innovation in Materials Selection and Evaluation for, in: CNIM, XXII Congr. Natl. Inegenieria Mecahnica, | 1572 |
| | 2018: pp. 286–299. | 1573 |
| [137] | T. Riipinen, V. Kujanpää, E. Komi, P. Kilpeläinen, M. Savolainen, P. Puukko, J. Vihinen, E. Coatanea, H. Mokhtarian, | 1574 |
| | Industrialization of hybrid and additive manufacturing - Implementation to Finnish industry (HYBRAM), 2018. | 1575 |
| [138] | D. Sher, The 3dpbm interactive map of commercially available AM technologies By material families and key AM | 1576 |
| | hardware manufacturers, (2019). | 1577 |
| [139] | ASTM International, Standard Terminology for Additive Manufacturing – General Principles – Terminology, 2015. | 1578 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1520/F2792-12A.2. | 1579 |
| [140] | Digital Alloys' Guide to Metal Additive Manufacturing, Metal Binder Jetting, (2019). | 1580 |
| | | |

| [141] | M. Schmidt, M. Merklein, D. Bourell, D. Dimitrov, T. Hausotte, K. Wegener, L. Overmeyer, F. Vollertsen, G.N. Levy, Laser | 1581 |
|-------|--|------|
| | based additive manufacturing in industry and academia, in: CIRP Ann., CIRP, 2017: pp. 561–583. | 1582 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cirp.2017.05.011. | 1583 |
| [142] | W.J. Sames, F.A. List, S. Pannala, R.R. Dehoff, S.S. Babu, The metallurgy and processing science of metal additive | 1584 |
| | manufacturing, Int. Mater. Rev. 61 (2016) 315–360. https://doi.org/10.1080/09506608.2015.1116649. | 1585 |
| [143] | Digital Alloys' Guide to Metal Additive Manufacturing, Cold Spray, (2020). | 1586 |
| [144] | A. Hehr, M. Norfolk, A comprehensive review of ultrasonic additive manufacturing, Rapid Prototyp. J. 26 (2019) 445–458. | 1587 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1108/RPJ-03-2019-0056. | 1588 |
| [145] | Jon Izeta, State of the art of additive manufacturing technologies: Technologies, trends, opportunitiues, challenges and | 1589 |
| | applications to the aerospatial sector, 2017. | 1590 |
| [146] | E. Sacco, S.K. Moon, Additive manufacturing for space: status and promises, Int. J. Adv. Manuf. Technol. 105 (2019) 4123- | 1591 |
| | 4146. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-019-03786-z. | 1592 |
| [147] | V. Popov, G. Muller-Kamskii, A. Katz-Demyanetz, A. Kovalevsky, S. Usov, D. Trofimcow, G. Dzhenzhera, A. Koptyug, | 1593 |
| | Additive manufacturing to veterinary practice: recovery of bony defects after the osteosarcoma resection in canines, | 1594 |
| | Biomed. Eng. Lett. 9 (2019) 97–108. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13534-018-00092-7. | 1595 |
| [148] | E. Kudryavtseva, V. Popov, G. Muller-Kamskii, E. Zakurinova, V. Kovalev, Advantages of 3D Printing for Gynecology and | 1596 |
| | Obstetrics: Brief Review of Applications, Technologies, and Prospects, in: 2020 IEEE 10th Int. Conf. Nanomater. Appl. | 1597 |
| | Prop., 2020: pp. 02SAMA09-1-02SAMA09-5. https://doi.org/10.1109/NAP51477.2020.9309602. | 1598 |
| [149] | J.M.C. Azevedo, A. CabreraSerrenho, J.M. Allwood, Energy and material efficiency of steel powder metallurgy, Powder | 1599 |
| | Technol. 328 (2018) 329–336. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.powtec.2018.01.009. | 1600 |
| [150] | Isaac Chang Yuyuan Zhao, ed., Advances in Powder Metallurgy, Properties, Processing and Applications, 1st Editio, | 1601 |
| | Woodhead Publishing, 2013. | 1602 |
| [151] | B. Barroqueiro, A. Andrade-Campos, R.A.F. Valente, V. Neto, Metal additive manufacturing cycle in aerospace industry: A | 1603 |
| | comprehensive review, J. Manuf. Mater. Process. 3 (2019) 1–21. https://doi.org/10.3390/jmmp3030052. | 1604 |
| [152] | J. Dunkley Dr, Advances in atomisation techniques for the formation of metal powders, Woodhead Publishing Limited, | 1605 |
| | 2013. https://doi.org/10.1533/9780857098900.1.3. | 1606 |
| [153] | Digital Alloys' Guide to Metal Additive Manufacturing – Part 13, Joule Printing™ Vs. Wire DED, (2019). | 1607 |
| [154] | D. Number, S.W.W. Waammat, A. Oct, Use of WAAM for manufacture of reconfigurable tooling with conformal features, | 1608 |
| | (2015). | 1609 |
| [155] | T.A. Rodrigues, V. Duarte, R.M. Miranda, T.G. Santos, J.P. Oliveira, Current status and perspectives on wire and arc | 1610 |
| | additive manufacturing (WAAM), Materials (Basel). 12 (2019). https://doi.org/10.3390/ma12071121. | 1611 |
| [156] | B. Wu, Z. Pan, D. Ding, D. Cuiuri, H. Li, J. Xu, J. Norrish, A review of the wire arc additive manufacturing of metals: | 1612 |
| | properties, defects and quality improvement, J. Manuf. Process. 35 (2018) 127–139. | 1613 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmapro.2018.08.001. | 1614 |
| [157] | D.G. Ahn, Direct metal additive manufacturing processes and their sustainable applications for green technology: A | 1615 |
| | review, Int. J. Precis. Eng. Manuf Green Technol. 3 (2016) 381–395. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40684-016-0048-9. | 1616 |
| [158] | Sciaky Inc., Benefits of Wire vs. Powder Metal 3D Printing, (n.d.). | 1617 |
| [159] | F. Xu, V. Dhokia, P. Colegrove, A. McAndrew, S. Williams, A. Henstridge, S.T. Newman, Realisation of a multi-sensor | 1618 |
| | framework for process monitoring of the wire arc additive manufacturing in producing Ti-6Al-4V parts, Int. J. Comput. | 1619 |
| | Integr. Manuf. 31 (2018) 785–798. https://doi.org/10.1080/0951192X.2018.1466395. | 1620 |
| [160] | J. Mehnen, J. Ding, H. Lockett, P. Kazanas, Design study for wire and arc additive manufacture, Int. J. Prod. Dev. 19 (2014) | 1621 |
| | 2–20. https://doi.org/10.1504/IJPD.2014.060028. | 1622 |

| [161] | J.R. Hönnige, P.A. Colegrove, S. Ganguly, E. Eimer, S. Kabra, S. Williams, Control of residual stress and distortion in | 1623 |
|-------|---|------|
| | aluminium wire + arc additive manufacture with rolling, Addit. Manuf. 22 (2018) 775–783. | 1624 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2018.06.015. | 1625 |
| [162] | J. Gonzalez-Gutierrez, S. Cano, S. Schuschnigg, C. Kukla, J. Sapkota, C. Holzer, Additive manufacturing of metallic and | 1626 |
| | ceramic components by the material extrusion of highly-filled polymers: A review and future perspectives, Materials | 1627 |
| | (Basel). 11 (2018). https://doi.org/10.3390/ma11050840. | 1628 |
| [163] | W.Y. Yeong, C.Y. Yap, M. Mapar, C.K. Chua, State-of-the-art review on selective laser melting of ceramics, in: High Value | 1629 |
| | Manuf. Adv. Res. Virtual Rapid Prototyp Proc. 6th Int. Conf. Adv. Res. Rapid Prototyping, VR@P 2013, 2014: pp. 65–70. | 1630 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1201/b15961-14. | 1631 |
| [164] | G. Marinelli, F. Martina, S. Ganguly, S. Williams, Development of Wire + Arc additive manufacture for the production of | 1632 |
| | large-scale unalloyed tungsten components, Int. J. Refract. Met. Hard Mater. 82 (2019) 329–335. | 1633 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrmhm.2019.05.009. | 1634 |
| [165] | J. Gu, B. Cong, J. Ding, S.W. Williams, Y. Zhai, WIRE+ARC additive manufacturing of aluminium, 25th Annu. Int. Solid | 1635 |
| | Free. Fabr. Symp. � An Addit. Manuf. Conf. SFF 2014. (2014) 451–458. | 1636 |
| [166] | J. Akram, P. Chalavadi, D. Pal, B. Stucker, Understanding grain evolution in additive manufacturing through modeling, | 1637 |
| | Addit. Manuf. 21 (2018) 255–268. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2018.03.021. | 1638 |
| [167] | T. Duda, L.V. Raghavan, 3D Metal Printing Technology, IFAC-PapersOnLine. 49 (2016) 103–110. | 1639 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifacol.2016.11.111. | 1640 |
| [168] | Digital Alloys' Guide to Metal Additive Manufacturing, Energy Consumption in Metal Additive Manufacturing, (2019). | 1641 |
| [169] | Digital Alloys' Guide to Metal Additive Manufacturing, Economics of Metal Additive Manufacturing, (2019). | 1642 |
| [170] | S. Balos, M. Dramicanin, P. Janjatovic, I. Zabunov, D. Klobcar, M. Busic, M.L. Grilli, Metal Oxide Nanoparticle-Based | 1643 |
| | Coating as a Catalyzer for A-TIG Welding: Critical Raw Material Perspective, Metals (Basel). (2019). | 1644 |
| | https://doi.org/10.3390/met9050567. | 1645 |
| [171] | G. Shanbhag, M. Vlasea, The effect of reuse cycles on Ti-6Al-4V powder properties processed by electron beam powder bed | 1646 |
| | fusion, Manuf. Lett. 25 (2020) 60–63. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mfglet.2020.07.007. | 1647 |
| [172] | D. Powell, A.E.W. Rennie, L. Geekie, N. Burns, Understanding powder degradation in metal additive manufacturing to | 1648 |
| | allow the upcycling of recycled powders, J. Clean. Prod. 268 (2020) 122077. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.122077. | 1649 |
| [173] | M.J. Heiden, L.A. Deibler, J.M. Rodelas, J.R. Koepke, D.J. Tung, D.J. Saiz, B.H. Jared, Evolution of 316L stainless steel | 1650 |
| | feedstock due to laser powder bed fusion process, Addit. Manuf. 25 (2019) 84–103. | 1651 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2018.10.019. | 1652 |
| [174] | P.A. Carroll, A.J. Pinkerton, J. Allen, W.U.H. Sved, H.K. Sezer, P. Brown, G. Ng, R. Scudamore, L. Li, The effect of powder | 1653 |
| | recycling in direct metal laser deposition on powder and manufactured part characteristics, in: Proc. AVT-139 Spec. Meet. | 1654 |
| | Cost Eff. Manuf. via Net Shape Process. NATO Res. Technol. Organ., 2006: pp. 1–8. http://eprints.lancs.ac.uk/59645/. | 1655 |
| [175] | A. Leicht, M. V. Sundaram, E. Hryha, L. Nyborg, M. Ahlfors, LE. Rännar, A. Koptyug, K. Frisk, As-HIP Microstructure of | 1656 |
| | EBM Fabricated Shell Components, in: World Powder Metall. 2016 Congr. Exhib., Hamburg; Germany, 2016. | 1657 |
| | https://www.epma.com/publications/euro-pm-proceedings/product/ep16-3297141. | 1658 |
| [176] | K. Vutova, V. Vassileva, Electron-Beam Melting and Reuse of Metallic Materials, Met. Sci. Heat Treat. 62 (2020) 345–348. | 1659 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1007/s11041-020-00566-5. | 1660 |
| [177] | K. Vutova, V. Vassileva, E. Koleva, N. Munirathnam, D.P. Amalnerkar, T. Tanaka. Investigation of tantalum recycling by | 1661 |
| r .1 | electron beam melting, Metals (Basel). 6 (2016) 19–21. https://doi.org/10.3390/met6110287. | 1662 |
| [178] | K. Vutova, V. Vassileva, V. Stefanova, D. Amalnerkar, T. Tanaka. Effect of electron beam method on processing of titanium | 1663 |
| r .1 | technogenic material, Metals (Basel). 9 (2019) 1–9. https://doi.org/10.3390/met9060683. | 1664 |
| | | |

| [179] | S. Singh, S. Ramakrishna, R. Singh, Material issues in additive manufacturing: A review, J. Manuf. Process. 25 (2017) 185– 200. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JMAPRO.2016.11.006. | 1665 1666 |
|-------|--|--------------|
| [180] | Y. Oh, C. Zhou, S. Behdad, Part decomposition and assembly-based (Re) design for additive manufacturing: A review, | 1667 |
| | Addit. Manuf. 22 (2018) 230–242. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2018.04.018. | 1668 |
| [181] | A. Mitchell, U. Lafont, M. Hołyńska, C. Semprimoschnig, Additive manufacturing – A review of 4D printing and future | 1669 |
| | applications, Addit. Manuf. 24 (2018) 606–626. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2018.10.038. | 1670 |
| [182] | L. Yan, Y. Chen, F. Liou, Additive manufacturing of functionally graded metallic materials using laser metal deposition, | 1671 |
| | Addit. Manuf. 31 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2019.100901. | 1672 |
| [183] | P.C. Collins, L.J. Bond, H. Taheri, T.A. Bigelow, M.R.B.M. Shoaib, L.W. Koester, Powder-based additive manufacturing - a | 1673 |
| | review of types of defects, generation mechanisms, detection, property evaluation and metrology, Int. J. Addit. Subtractive | 1674 |
| | Mater. Manuf. 1 (2017) 172. https://doi.org/10.1504/ijasmm.2017.10009247. | 1675 |
| [184] | M. Yakout, M.A. Elbestawi, S.C. Veldhuis, A review of metal additive manufacturing technologies, Solid State Phenom. 278 | 1676 |
| | SSP (2018) 1–14. https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/SSP.278.1. | 1677 |
| [185] | D. Klobčar, J. Tušek, B. Taljat, L. Kosec, M. Muhič, Suitability of maraging steel weld cladding for repair of die casting | 1678 |
| | tooling Part II: Influence of ageing during aluminium alloy die casting on maraging steel weld microstructure, mechanical | 1679 |
| | properties and crack growth, Int. J. Mater. Res. 99 (2008). https://doi.org/10.3139/146.101733. | 1680 |
| [186] | D. Klobčar, J. Tušek, L. Kosec, Suitability of maraging steel weld cladding for repair of die-casting tooling: Part I: Influence | 1681 |
| | of welding and aging heat treatment on 18 % Ni maraging steel weld microstructure and mechanical properties, Int. J. | 1682 |
| | Mater. Res. 100 (2009). https://doi.org/10.3139/146.110078. | 1683 |
| [187] | M. Pleterski, J. Tušek, D. Klobčar, L. Kosec, M. Muhič, The influence of laser pulse shape in repair welding of tool steels, in: | 1684 |
| | ICALEO 2009 - 28th Int. Congr. Appl. Lasers Electro-Optics, Congr. Proc., 2009. | 1685 |
| [188] | A. Katz-Demyanetz, V. Popov, A. Kovalevsky, D. Safranchik, A. Koptyug, Powder-bed additive manufacturing for | 1686 |
| | aerospace application: Techniques, metallic and metal/ceramic composite materials and trends, Manuf. Rev. 6 (2019) 5. | 1687 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1051/mfreview/2019003. | 1688 |
| [189] | B. Dutta, S. Palaniswamy, J. Choi, L.J. Song, J. Mazumder, Additive Manufacturing by Direct Metal Deposition, Adv. | 1689 |
| | Mater. Process. (2011) 33–36. www.asminternational.org/heattreat. | 1690 |
| [190] | P. Yadav, Z. Fu, M. Knorr, N. Travitzky, Binder Jetting 3D Printing of Titanium Aluminides Based Materials: A Feasibility | 1691 |
| | Study, Adv. Eng. Mater. n/a (2020) 2000408. https://doi.org/10.1002/adem.202000408. | 1692 |
| [191] | J.J.S. Dilip, H. Miyanaji, A. Lassell, T.L. Starr, B. Stucker, A novel method to fabricate TiAl intermetallic alloy 3D parts | 1693 |
| | using additive manufacturing, Def. Technol. 13 (2017) 72–76. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dt.2016.08.001. | 1694 |
| [192] | N.J. Harrison, I. Todd, K. Mumtaz, Reduction of micro-cracking in nickel superalloys processed by Selective Laser Melting: | 1695 |
| | A fundamental alloy design approach, Acta Mater. 94 (2015) 59–68. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actamat.2015.04.035. | 1696 |
| [193] | E. Hosseini, V.A. Popovich, A review of mechanical properties of additively manufactured Inconel 718, Addit. Manuf. 30 | 1697 |
| | (2019) 100877. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2019.100877. | 1698 |
| [194] | F. Liu, F. Lyu, F. Liu, X. Lin, C. Huang, Laves phase control of inconel 718 superalloy fabricated by laser direct energy | 1699 |
| | deposition via δ aging and solution treatment, J. Mater. Res. Technol. 9 (2020) 9753–9765. | 1700 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmrt.2020.06.061. | 1701 |
| [195] | A. Mostafaei, Y. Behnamian, Y.L. Krimer, E.L. Stevens, J.L. Luo, M. Chmielus, Effect of solutionizing and aging on the | 1702 |
| | microstructure and mechanical properties of powder bed binder jet printed nickel-based superalloy 625, Mater. Des. 111 | 1703 |
| | (2016) 482–491. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2016.08.083. | 1704 |
| [196] | M. Turker, D. Godlinski, F. Petzoldt, Effect of production parameters on the properties of IN 718 superalloy by three- | 1705 |
| | dimensional printing, Mater. Charact. 59 (2008) 1728–1735. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matchar.2008.03.017. | 1706 |

| [197] | P.M. Angelopoulos, M. Samouhos, M. Taxiarchou, Functional fillers in composite filaments for fused filament fabrication; a | 1707 |
|---------|--|------|
| [100] | P. Caltana M. Bichan initia C. Landa T.W. Cirana E.W. Bartad, C. Dishara B. Mastalarita Daviana landarita | 1708 |
| [198] | D. Saltzman, M. Bichnevicius, S. Lynch, 1.W. Simpson, E.W. Reutzel, C. Dickman, R. Martukanitz, Design and evaluation | 1709 |
| | of an additively manufactured aircraft heat exchanger, Appl. Therm. Eng. 138 (2018) 254–263. | 1710 |
| 54.000 | https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appithermaleng.2018.04.032. | 1711 |
| [199] | H.T. Fujii, M.R. Sriraman, S.S. Babu, Quantitative Evaluation of Bulk and Interface Microstructures in AI-3003 Alloy Builds | 1712 |
| | Made by Very High Power Ultrasonic Additive Manufacturing, Metall. Mater. Trans. A. 42 (2011) 4045–4055. | 1713 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1007/s11661-011-0805-x. | 1714 |
| [200] | Y. Zhang, X. He, S. Du, J. Zhang, Al2O3 Ceramics Preparation by LOM (Laminated Object Manufacturing), Int. J. Adv. | 1715 |
| | Manuf. Technol. 17 (2001) 531–534. https://doi.org/10.1007/s001700170154. | 1716 |
| [201] | P. Frigola, O. Harrysson, T. Horn, H. West, R. Aman, J. Rigsbee, D. Ramirez, F. Medina, R. Wicker, E. Rodriguez, | 1717 |
| | Fabricating Copper Components, Adv. Mater. Process. (2014) 20. | 1718 |
| [202] | S. Scudino, C. Unterdörfer, K.G. Prashanth, H. Attar, N. Ellendt, V. Uhlenwinkel, J. Eckert, Additive manufacturing of Cu- | 1719 |
| | 10Sn bronze, Mater. Lett. 156 (2015) 202–204. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matlet.2015.05.076. | 1720 |
| [203] | C. Silbernagel, L. Gargalis, I. Ashcroft, R. Hague, M. Galea, P. Dickens, Electrical resistivity of pure copper processed by | 1721 |
| | medium-powered laser powder bed fusion additive manufacturing for use in electromagnetic applications, Addit. Manuf. | 1722 |
| | 29 (2019) 100831. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2019.100831. | 1723 |
| [204] | Q. Wang, J.A. Jackson, Q. Ge, J.B. Hopkins, C.M. Spadaccini, N.X. Fang, Lightweight Mechanical Metamaterials with | 1724 |
| | Tunable Negative Thermal Expansion, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117 (2016) 175901. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.175901. | 1725 |
| [205] | B. Yun, W.C. B, An exploration of binder jetting of copper, Rapid Prototyp. J. 21 (2015) 177–185. https://doi.org/10.1108/RPJ- | 1726 |
| | 12-2014-0180. | 1727 |
| [206] | H. Miyanaji, D. Ma, M.A. Atwater, K.A. Darling, V.H. Hammond, C.B. Williams, Binder jetting additive manufacturing of | 1728 |
| | copper foam structures, Addit. Manuf. 32 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2019.100960. | 1729 |
| [207] | R. Karunakaran, S. Ortgies, A. Tamayol, F. Bobaru, M.P. Sealy, Additive manufacturing of magnesium alloys, Bioact. | 1730 |
| | Mater. 5 (2020) 44–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioactmat.2019.12.004. | 1731 |
| [208] | H. Hyer, L. Zhou, G. Benson, B. McWilliams, K. Cho, Y. Sohn, Additive manufacturing of dense WE43 Mg alloy by laser | 1732 |
| | powder bed fusion, Addit. Manuf. 33 (2020) 101123. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2020.101123. | 1733 |
| [209] | R.S. Khmyrov, V.A. Safronov, A. V Gusarov, Obtaining Crack-free WC-Co Alloys by Selective Laser Melting, Phys. | 1734 |
| | Procedia. 83 (2016) 874–881. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phpro.2016.08.091. | 1735 |
| [210] | W. Jeong, YS. Kwon, D. Kim, Three-dimensional printing of tungsten structures by directed energy deposition, Mater. | 1736 |
| | Manuf. Process. 34 (2019) 986–992. https://doi.org/10.1080/10426914.2019.1594253. | 1737 |
| [211] | C. Huber, C. Abert, F. Bruckner, M. Groenefeld, S. Schuschnigg, I. Teliban, C. Vogler, G. Wautischer, R. Windl, Di. Suess, | 1738 |
| | 3D Printing of Polymer-Bonded Rare-Earth Magnets with a Variable Magnetic Compound Fraction for a Predefined Stray | 1739 |
| | Field. Sci. Rep. 7 (2017) 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-09864-0 | 1740 |
| [212] | H.A. Khazdozian, I.S. Manzano, K. Gandha, I.I. Slowing, I.C. Nlebedim, Recycled Sm-Co bonded magnet filaments for 3D | 1741 |
| [=] | printing of magnets AIP Adv. 8 (2018) https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5007669 | 1742 |
| [213] | T Kolb F Huber B Akbulut C Dopocik N Urban D Maurer I Franke Laser Beam Melting of NdFeB for the | 1742 |
| [210] | production of rare earth magnets 2016 6th Int. Electr. Drives Prod. Conf. EDPC 2016. Proc. (2016) 34, 40 | 1743 |
| | https://dsi arg/10.1100/EDDC 2016 7851211 | 1744 |
| [214] | mps.//doi.org/10.1107/EDI C.2010./031311. | 1745 |
| [214] | c. muter, G. witteraniskogier, W. Goerner, I. Tendan, W. Groenereia, D. Suess, Additive manufactured isotropic NdFeB | 1746 |
| [04 -] | magnets by stereonthography, fused manent rabrication, and selective faser sintering, ArXiV. 1 (2019) 1–6. | 1/4/ |
| [215] | L. LI, A. Hrado, B.S. Conner, M. Chi, A.M. Elliott, O. Rios, H. Zhou, M.P. Paranthaman, A novel method combining | 1748 |

| | additive manufacturing and alloy infiltration for NdFeB bonded magnet fabrication, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 438 (2017) 163- | 1749 |
|-------|---|------|
| | 167. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmmm.2017.04.066. | 1750 |
| [216] | F. Liravi, E. Toyserkani, Additive manufacturing of silicone structures: A review and prospective, Addit. Manuf. 24 (2018) | 1751 |
| | 232–242. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2018.10.002. | 1752 |
| [217] | C.S. Ramesh, C.K. Srinivas, Friction and wear behavior of laser-sintered iron-silicon carbide composites, J. Mater. Process. | 1753 |
| | Technol. 209 (2009) 5429–5436. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmatprotec.2009.04.018. | 1754 |
| [218] | L. Weisensel, N. Travitzky, H. Sieber, P. Greil, Laminated Object Manufacturing (LOM) of SiSiC Composites, Adv. Eng. | 1755 |
| | Mater. 6 (2004) 899–903. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/adem.200400112. | 1756 |
| [219] | A. Fleisher, D. Zolotaryov, A. Kovalevsky, G. Muller-Kamskii, E. Eshed, M. Kazakin, V. Popov, Reaction bonding of silicon | 1757 |
| | carbides by Binder Jet 3D-Printing, phenolic resin binder impregnation and capillary liquid silicon infiltration, Ceram. Int. | 1758 |
| | 45 (2019) 18023–18029. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2019.06.021. | 1759 |
| [220] | U.E. Klotz, D. Tiberto, F. Held, Optimization of 18-karat yellow gold alloys for the additive manufacturing of jewelry and | 1760 |
| | watch parts, Gold Bull. 50 (2017) 111–121. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13404-017-0201-4. | 1761 |
| [221] | M. Khan, P. Dickens, Selective Laser Melting (SLM) of pure gold, Gold Bull. 43 (2010) 114–121. | 1762 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03214976. | 1763 |
| [222] | Z. Wang, S.Y. Tang, S. Scudino, Y.P. Ivanov, R.T. Qu, D. Wang, C. Yang, W.W. Zhang, A.L. Greer, J. Eckert, K.G. Prashanth, | 1764 |
| | Additive manufacturing of a martensitic Co-Cr-Mo alloy: Towards circumventing the strength-ductility trade-off, Addit. | 1765 |
| | Manuf. (2020) 101725. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2020.101725. | 1766 |
| [223] | A. Mostafaei, J. V Gordon, A.D. Rollett, Additive Manufacturing of Cobalt Alloys, Addit. Manuf. Process. 24 (2020) 0. | 1767 |
| | https://doi.org/10.31399/asm.hb.v24.a0006573. | 1768 |
| [224] | M.A. Surmeneva, A. Koptyug, D. Khrapov, Y.F. Ivanov, T. Mishurova, S. Evsevleev, O. Prymak, K. Loza, M. Epple, G. | 1769 |
| | Bruno, R.A. Surmenev, In situ synthesis of a binary Ti–10at% Nb alloy by electron beam melting using a mixture of | 1770 |
| | elemental niobium and titanium powders, J. Mater. Process. Technol. 282 (2020) 116646. | 1771 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmatprotec.2020.116646. | 1772 |
| [225] | C. Sungail, A.D. Abid, Additive manufacturing of tantalum – A study of chemical and physical properties of printed | 1773 |
| | tantalum, Met. Powder Rep. 75 (2020) 28–33. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mprp.2019.03.001. | 1774 |
| [226] | H. Miyanaji, J.M. Akbar, L. Yang, Fabrication and characterization of Graphite / Nylon 12 composite via binder Jetting | 1775 |
| | additive manufacturing, in: Proc. 28th Annu. Int. Solid Free. Fabr. Symp. – An Addit. Manuf. Conf., 2017: pp. 593–604. | 1776 |
| [227] | V.V. Popov, A. Fleisher, Hybrid additive manufacturing of steels and alloys, Manuf. Rev. 7, 6 (2020) 6. | 1777 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1051/mfreview/2020005. | 1778 |
| [228] | S.Z. Chavoshi, S. Goel, P. Morantz, Current trends and future of sequential micro-machining processes on a single machine | 1779 |
| | tool, Mater. Des. 127 (2017) 37–53. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2017.04.057. | 1780 |
| [229] | M. Godec, S. Malej, D. Feizpour, Č. Donik, M. Balažic, D. Klobčar, L. Pambaguian, M. Conradi, A. Kocijan, Hybrid additive | 1781 |
| | manufacturing of Inconel 718 for future space applications, Mater. Charact. 172 (2021) 110842. | 1782 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matchar.2020.110842. | 1783 |
| [230] | Y. Li, Q. Han, I. Horváth, G. Zhang, Repairing surface defects of metal parts by groove machining and wire + arc based | 1784 |
| | filling, J. Mater. Process. Technol. 274 (2019) 116268. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmatprotec.2019.116268. | 1785 |
| [231] | M. Praniewicz, T. Kurfess, C. Saldana, Adaptive geometry transformation and repair for hybrid manufacturing, in: | 1786 |
| | Procedia Manuf., Elsevier, 2018: pp. 228–236. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PROMFG.2018.07.031. | 1787 |
| [232] | D. Herzog, V. Seyda, E. Wycisk, C. Emmelmann, Additive manufacturing of metals, Acta Mater. 117 (2016) 371–392. | 1788 |
| - | https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actamat.2016.07.019. | 1789 |
| [233] | N. Guo, M.C. Leu, Additive manufacturing: Technology, applications and research needs, Front. Mech. Eng. 8 (2013) 215– | 1790 |
| - | | |

| | 243. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11465-013-0248-8. | 1791 |
|-------|--|------|
| [234] | I. Gibson, D. Rosen, B. Stucker, Additive manufacturing technologies: 3D printing, rapid prototyping, and direct digital | 1792 |
| | manufacturing, second edition, 2015. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-2113-3. | 1793 |
| [235] | A.A. Shapiro, J.P. Borgonia, Q.N. Chen, R.P. Dillon, B. McEnerney, R. Polit-Casillas, L. Soloway, Additive manufacturing | 1794 |
| | for aerospace flight applications, J. Spacecr. Rockets. 53 (2016) 952–959. https://doi.org/10.2514/1.A33544. | 1795 |
| [236] | G.B. Kannan, D.K. Rajendran, A Review on Status of Research in Metal Additive Manufacturing BT - Advances in 3D | 1796 |
| | Printing & Additive Manufacturing Technologies, in: D.I. Wimpenny, P.M. Pandey, L.J. Kumar (Eds.), Springer Singapore, | 1797 |
| | Singapore, 2017: pp. 95–100. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-0812-2_8. | 1798 |
| [237] | A. Katz-Demyanetz, A. Koptyug, V. V Popov, In-situ Alloying as a Novel Methodology in Additive Manufacturing, in: | 1799 |
| | 2020 IEEE 10th Int. Conf. Nanomater. Appl. Prop., 2020: pp. 02SAMA05-1-02SAMA05-4. | 1800 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1109/NAP51477.2020.9309652. | 1801 |
| [238] | S. Liu, Y.C. Shin, Additive manufacturing of Ti6Al4V alloy: A review, Mater. Des. 164 (2019) 107552. | 1802 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2018.107552. | 1803 |
| [239] | B. Vrancken, L. Thijs, JP. Kruth, J. Van Humbeeck, Microstructure and mechanical properties of a novel β titanium | 1804 |
| | metallic composite by selective laser melting, Acta Mater. 68 (2014) 150-158. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actamat.2014.01.018. | 1805 |
| [240] | T.C. Dzogbewu, I. Yadroitsev, P. Krakhmalev, I. Yadroitsava, A. Du Plessis, Optimal process parameters for in-situ alloyed | 1806 |
| | Ti15Mo structures by Direct Metal Laser Sintering, in: SSF 2017-The 28th Annu. Int. Solid Free. Fabr. Symp. Austin, August | 1807 |
| | 7-9, 2017., University of Texas, Austin, 2017: pp. 75–96. | 1808 |
| [241] | I. Yadroitsev, P. Krakhmalev, I. Yadroitsava, Titanium Alloys Manufactured by In Situ Alloying During Laser Powder Bed | 1809 |
| | Fusion, JOM. 69 (2017) 2725–2730. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11837-017-2600-7. | 1810 |
| [242] | M. Fischer, D. Joguet, G. Robin, L. Peltier, P. Laheurte, In situ elaboration of a binary Ti-26Nb alloy by selective laser | 1811 |
| | melting of elemental titanium and niobium mixed powders, Mater. Sci. Eng. C. 62 (2016) 852–859. | 1812 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2016.02.033. | 1813 |
| [243] | W. Li, X. Chen, L. Yan, J. Zhang, X. Zhang, F. Liou, Additive manufacturing of a new Fe-Cr-Ni alloy with gradually | 1814 |
| | changing compositions with elemental powder mixes and thermodynamic calculation, Int. J. Adv. Manuf. Technol. 95 | 1815 |
| | (2018) 1013–1023. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-017-1302-1. | 1816 |
| [244] | W. Li, L. Yan, S. Karnati, F. Liou, J. Newkirk, K.M.B. Taminger, W.J. Seufzer, Ti-Fe intermetallics analysis and control in | 1817 |
| | joining titanium alloy and stainless steel by Laser Metal Deposition, J. Mater. Process. Technol. 242 (2017) 39–48. | 1818 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmatprotec.2016.11.010. | 1819 |
| [245] | W. Li, S. Karnati, C. Kriewall, F. Liou, J. Newkirk, K.M. Brown Taminger, W.J. Seufzer, Fabrication and characterization of | 1820 |
| | a functionally graded material from Ti-6Al-4V to SS316 by laser metal deposition, Addit. Manuf. 14 (2017) 95–104. | 1821 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2016.12.006. | 1822 |
| [246] | K. Shah, I. Haq, A. ul Khan, S.A. Shah, M. Khan, A.J. Pinkerton, Parametric study of development of Inconel-steel | 1823 |
| | functionally graded materials by laser direct metal deposition, Mater. Des. 54 (2014) 531–538. | 1824 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2013.08.079. | 1825 |
| [247] | A. Hinojos, J. Mireles, A. Reichardt, P. Frigola, P. Hosemann, L.E. Murr, R.B. Wicker, Joining of Inconel 718 and 316 | 1826 |
| | Stainless Steel using electron beam melting additive manufacturing technology, Mater. Des. 94 (2016) 17–27. | 1827 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2016.01.041. | 1828 |
| [248] | J.W. Yeh, S.K. Chen, S.J. Lin, J.Y. Gan, T.S. Chin, T.T. Shun, C.H. Tsau, S.Y. Chang, Nanostructured high-entropy alloys | 1829 |
| | with multiple principal elements: Novel alloy design concepts and outcomes, Adv. Eng. Mater. 6 (2004) 299–303. | 1830 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1002/adem.200300567. | 1831 |
| [249] | O.N. Senkov, G.B. Wilks, J.M. Scott, D.B. Miracle, Mechanical properties of Nb25Mo25Ta25W25 and | 1832 |

| | V20Nb20Mo20Ta20W20 refractory high entropy alloys, Intermetallics. 19 (2011) 698–706. | 1833 |
|-------|--|------|
| | https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intermet.2011.01.004. | 1834 |
| [250] | O.N. Senkov, S.V. Senkova, C. Woodward, D.B. Miracle, Low-density, refractory multi-principal element alloys of the Cr- | 1835 |
| | Nb-Ti-V-Zr system: Microstructure and phase analysis, Acta Mater. 61 (2013) 1545–1557. | 1836 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ACTAMAT.2012.11.032. | 1837 |
| [251] | O.N. Senkov, J.M. Scott, S. V. Senkova, D.B. Miracle, C.F. Woodward, Microstructure and room temperature properties of a | 1838 |
| | high-entropy TaNbHfZrTi alloy, J. Alloys Compd. 509 (2011) 6043–6048. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2011.02.171. | 1839 |
| [252] | O.N. Senkov, S. V. Senkova, C. Woodward, Effect of aluminum on the microstructure and properties of two refractory | 1840 |
| | high-entropy alloys, Acta Mater. 68 (2014) 214–228. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actamat.2014.01.029. | 1841 |
| [253] | E. Eshed, N. Larianovsky, A. Kovalevsky, V. Popov, I.I. Gorbachev, V. Popov, A. Katz-Demyanetz, Microstructural | 1842 |
| | evolution and phase formation in 2nd-generation refractory-based high entropy alloys, Materials (Basel). 11 (2018). | 1843 |
| | https://doi.org/10.3390/ma11020175. | 1844 |
| [254] | N. Larianovsky, A. Katz-Demyanetz, E. Eshed, M. Regev, Microstructure, tensile and creep properties of | 1845 |
| | Ta20Nb20Hf20Zr20Ti20 high entropy alloy, Materials (Basel). 10 (2017). https://doi.org/10.3390/ma10080883. | 1846 |
| [255] | E. Eshed, N. Larianovsky, A. Kovalevsky, A. Katz Demyanetz, Effect of Zr on the Microstructure of Second- and Third- | 1847 |
| | Generation BCC HEAs, JOM. 71 (2019) 673-682. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11837-018-3198-0. | 1848 |
| [256] | A. Katz-Demyanetz, I.I. Gorbachev, E. Eshed, V. V Popov, V. V Popov, M. Bamberger, High entropy Al0.5CrMoNbTa0.5 | 1849 |
| | alloy: Additive manufacturing vs. casting vs. CALPHAD approval calculations, Mater. Charact. 167 (2020) 110505. | 1850 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matchar.2020.110505. | 1851 |
| [257] | S. Goel, M. Knaggs, G. Goel, X.W. Zhou, H.M. Upadhyaya, V.K. Thakur, V. Kumar, G. Bizarri, A. Tiwari, A. Murphy, A. | 1852 |
| | Stukowski, A. Matthews, Horizons of modern molecular dynamics simulation in digitalized solid freeform fabrication with | 1853 |
| | advanced materials, Mater. Today Chem. 18 (2020) 100356. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mtchem.2020.100356. | 1854 |
| [258] | J.J. Marattukalam, V. Pacheco, D. Karlsson, L. Riekehr, J. Lindwall, F. Forsberg, U. Jansson, M. Sahlberg, B. Hjörvarsson, | 1855 |
| | Development of process parameters for selective laser melting of a Zr-based bulk metallic glass, Addit. Manuf. 33 (2020) | 1856 |
| | 101124. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2020.101124. | 1857 |
| [259] | P. Bordeenithikasem, M. Stolpe, A. Elsen, D.C. Hofmann, Glass forming ability, flexural strength, and wear properties of | 1858 |
| | additively manufactured Zr-based bulk metallic glasses produced through laser powder bed fusion, Addit. Manuf. 21 | 1859 |
| | (2018) 312–317. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2018.03.023. | 1860 |
| [260] | P. Gargarella, A. Almeida, R.Vilar, C.R.M. Afonso, S. Peripolli, C.T. Rios, C. Bolfarini, W.J. Botta, C.S. Kiminami, Formation | 1861 |
| | of Fe-based glassy matrix composite coatings by laser processing, Surf. Coatings Technol. 240 (2014) 336-343. | 1862 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surfcoat.2013.12.049. | 1863 |
| [261] | Z. Mahbooba, L. Thorsson, M. Unosson, P. Skoglund, H. West, T. Horn, C. Rock, E. Vogli, O. Harrysson, Additive | 1864 |
| | manufacturing of an iron-based bulk metallic glass larger than the critical casting thickness, Appl. Mater. Today. 11 (2018) | 1865 |
| | 264–269. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmt.2018.02.011. | 1866 |
| [262] | A. Koptyug, LE. Rännar, M. Bäckström, R. Langlet, Bulk Metallic Glass Manufacturing Using Electron Beam Melting, in: | 1867 |
| | Int. Conf. Addit. Manuf. 3D Print., Nottingham, UK, 2013. http://urn.kb.se/resolve?urn=urn:nbn:se:miun:diva-20588. | 1868 |
| [263] | X. Li, Additive Manufacturing of Advanced Multi-Component Alloys: Bulk Metallic Glasses and High Entropy Alloys, | 1869 |
| | Adv. Eng. Mater. 20 (2018) 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1002/adem.201700874. | 1870 |
| [264] | V. Ocelík, N. Janssen, S.N. Smith, J.T.M. De Hosson, Additive Manufacturing of High-Entropy Alloys by Laser Processing, | 1871 |
| | JOM. 68 (2016) 1810–1818. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11837-016-1888-z. | 1872 |
| [265] | C. Haase, F. Tang, M.B. Wilms, A. Weisheit, B. Hallstedt, Combining thermodynamic modeling and 3D printing of | 1873 |
| | elemental powder blends for high-throughput investigation of high-entropy alloys – Towards rapid alloy screening and | 1874 |

| | design, Mater. Sci. Eng. A. 688 (2017) 180–189. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MSEA.2017.01.099. | 1875 |
|-------|---|------|
| [266] | H. Dobbelstein, M. Thiele, E.L. Gurevich, E.P. George, A. Ostendorf, Direct Metal Deposition of Refractory High Entropy | 1876 |
| | Alloy MoNbTaW, in: Phys. Procedia, 2016: pp. 624–633. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phpro.2016.08.065. | 1877 |
| [267] | J. Joseph, T. Jarvis, X. Wu, N. Stanford, P. Hodgson, D.M. Fabijanic, Comparative study of the microstructures and | 1878 |
| | mechanical properties of direct laser fabricated and arc-melted AlxCoCrFeNi high entropy alloys, Mater. Sci. Eng. A. 633 | 1879 |
| | (2015) 184–193. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2015.02.072. | 1880 |
| [268] | N. Kalentics, A. Burn, M. Cloots, R.E. Logé, 3D laser shock peening as a way to improve geometrical accuracy in selective | 1881 |
| | laser melting, Int. J. Adv. Manuf. Technol. 101 (2019) 1247–1254. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-018-3033-3. | 1882 |
| [269] | N. Kalentics, E. Boillat, P. Peyre, C. Gorny, C. Kenel, C. Leinenbach, J. Jhabvala, R.E. Logé, 3D Laser Shock Peening – A new | 1883 |
| | method for the 3D control of residual stresses in Selective Laser Melting, Mater. Des. 130 (2017) 350–356. | 1884 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2017.05.083. | 1885 |
| [270] | P. Mercelis, J.P. Kruth, Residual stresses in selective laser sintering and selective laser melting, Rapid Prototyp. J. 12 (2006) | 1886 |
| | 254–265. https://doi.org/10.1108/13552540610707013. | 1887 |
| [271] | N. Kalentics, R. Loge, E. Boillat, Method and Device for implementing laser shock peening or warm laser shock peening | 1888 |
| | during selective laser melting, 2017/0087670670 A1, 2017. | 1889 |
| [272] | N. Kalentics, M.O. V. de Seijas, S. Griffiths, C. Leinenbach, R.E. Logé, 3D laser shock peening – A new method for | 1890 |
| | improving fatigue properties of selective laser melted parts, Addit. Manuf. 33 (2020) 101112. | 1891 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2020.101112. | 1892 |
| [273] | N. Kalentics, K. Huang, M. Ortega Varela de Seijas, A. Burn, V. Romano, R.E. Logé, Laser shock peening: A promising tool | 1893 |
| | for tailoring metallic microstructures in selective laser melting, J. Mater. Process. Technol. 266 (2019) 612–618. | 1894 |
| | https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmatprotec.2018.11.024. | 1895 |
| [274] | N. Kalentics, N. Sohrabi, H.G. Tabasi, S. Griffiths, J. Jhabvala, C. Leinenbach, A. Burn, R.E. Logé, Healing cracks in selective | 1896 |
| | laser melting by 3D laser shock peening, Addit. Manuf. 30 (2019) 100881. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2019.100881. | 1897 |
| | | 1898 |