KOLMOGOROV EQUATION ASSOCIATED TO THE STOCHASTIC REFLECTION PROBLEM ON A SMOOTH CONVEX SET OF A HILBERT SPACE

BY VIOREL BARBU,[1] GIUSEPPE DA PRATO[2] AND LUCIANO TUBARO[2]

University Al. I. Cuza, Scuola Normale Superiore and University of Trento

We consider the stochastic reflection problem associated with a self-adjoint operator A and a cylindrical Wiener process on a convex set K with nonempty interior and regular boundary Σ in a Hilbert space H. We prove the existence and uniqueness of a smooth solution for the corresponding elliptic infinite-dimensional Kolmogorov equation with Neumann boundary condition on Σ.

1. Introduction. Let us consider a stochastic differential inclusion in a Hilbert space H,

$$(1.1) \qquad \begin{cases} dX(t) + \big(AX(t) + N_K(X(t))\big)\,dt \ni dW(t), \\ X(0) = x. \end{cases}$$

Here $A : D(A) \subset H \to H$ is a self-adjoint operator, $K = \{x \in H : g(x) \le 1\}$, where $g : H \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex and of class C^∞, $N_K(x)$ is the normal cone to K at x and $W(t)$ is a cylindrical Wiener process in H (see Hypothesis 1.1 for more precise assumptions). Obviously the expression in (1.1) is formal and its precise meaning should be defined.

When H is finite-dimensional a solution to (1.1) is a pair of continuous adapted processes (X, η) such that X is K-valued, η is of bounded variation with $d\eta$ concentrated on the set of times where $X(t) \in \Sigma$ (the boundary of K) and

$$X(t) + \int_0^t AX(s)\,ds + \eta(t) = x + W(t), \qquad t \ge 0, \ \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.},$$

$$\int_0^T \big(d\eta(t), X(t) - z(t)\big) \ge 0, \qquad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.},$$

for all $z \in C([0, T]; K)$. The existence and uniqueness of a solution (X, η) to latter equation was first proven by Cépa in [5]. (See also [3] for a slightly different formulation.)

Therefore, under the assumptions of [3] or [5], one can construct a transition semigroup in $C(K)$ by the usual formula

$$P_t\varphi(x) = \mathbb{E}[\varphi(X(t,x))], \qquad t \geq 0, \ \varphi \in C(K).$$

The infinitesimal generator L of P_t is the Kolmogorov operator

$$L\varphi = \tfrac{1}{2}\Delta\varphi + \langle Ax, D\varphi\rangle$$

equipped with a Neumann condition at the boundary Σ of K. (See, e.g., [3], where the more general case of oblique derivative boundary conditions were also considered.)

Let us go now to the infinite-dimensional situation. In this context (1.1) was first studied by Nualart and Pardoux [18], when $H = L^2(0, 1)$, A is the Laplace operator with Dirichlet or Neumann boundary conditions and K is the convex set of all nonnegative functions of $L^2(0, 1)$; see also [13].

The Kolmogorov operator in this situation was described by Zambotti [21], in the space $L^2(H, \nu)$ where ν is the law of the $3D$-Bessel Bridge which coincides with the unique invariant measure of (1.1). Zambotti was able to show that the Dirichlet form

$$a(u, v) = \int_K \langle Du, Dv\rangle \, dv$$

is closable by proving a suitable integration by parts formula and to construct the corresponding Markov semigroup.

Except the situation mentioned above, no existence and uniqueness results for (1.1) are known for the infinite-dimensional equation (1.1). Also it was so far open the characterization of the of the domain of the corresponding Kolmogorov operator.

In this paper we shall consider a regular convex set K with nonempty interior and, though this does not cover the case considered by [21], we are able, however, to get sharp informations on the Kolmogorov generator for a quite general class of convex sets K. In this way, though we are not able to approach directly the stochastic variational problem (1.1), we can instead find a regular solution of the corresponding infinite-dimensional Kolmogorov equation equipped with the Neumann boundary condition,

$$(1.2) \qquad \begin{cases} \lambda\varphi - \tfrac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}[D^2\varphi] - \langle x, AD\varphi\rangle = f, & x \in K, \\ \langle D\varphi, N_K(x)\rangle = 0, & \forall x \in \Sigma, \end{cases}$$

where $\lambda > 0$ and $f \in L^2(K, \nu)$.

In this way we obtain a Markov semigroup P_t which by the results of [16] provides a process corresponding to a martingale solution of (1.1) (see also the forthcoming paper [1]).

A basic tool we are using is a co-area formula from Malliavin; see [17] valid for g of class C^∞. Moreover, in the Appendix we present a direct proof of this

formula when g is C^2 and fulfills some additional conditions which are covered in several situations, for instance when K is a ball; in that case the co-area formula was proved (1979) by Hertle [14].

Let us explain the content of this paper. As we said, we take a convex set of the form $K = \{x \in H : g(x) \leq 1\}$ where $g : H \to \mathbb{R}$ is of class C^∞ and with second order derivative $D^2 g$ positive definite. Then we consider the probability measure ν given for any Borel set I of K by

$$\nu(I) = \frac{\mu(I)}{\mu(K)},$$

where μ is the Gaussian measure (corresponding to the linear problem without reflection) of mean 0 and covariance $Q = \frac{1}{2} A^{-1}$.

In Section 2, by exploiting a basic infinite-dimensional co-area formula, see [17], we are able to prove an integration by parts formula for ν. This allows us to show in Section 3 that the Dirichlet form

$$a(u, v) = \int_K \langle Du, Dv \rangle \, d\nu$$

is closable (see also [1] for a different approach). In this way, by the usual variational theory, we can define its generator N and construct the corresponding Markov transition semigroup P_t, which is reversible since N is self adjoint.

In Section 4 we study the Kolmogorov equation (1.2) by the classical method of penalization

$$(1.3) \qquad \lambda \varphi_\varepsilon - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}[D^2 \varphi_\varepsilon] + \langle x, AD\varphi_\varepsilon \rangle + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \langle x - \Pi_K(x), D\varphi_\varepsilon \rangle = f, \qquad x \in H,$$

where $\Pi_K(x)$ is the projection of x on K. We show that $\{\varphi_\varepsilon\}$ strongly converges to the solution $\varphi = (\lambda I - N)^{-1} f$ of (1.2) and that

$$D(N) \subset \left\{ \varphi \in W^{2,2}(K, \nu) : \int_K |A^{1/2} D\varphi|^2 \, d\nu < +\infty \right.$$

(1.4)

$$\left. \text{and } \langle D\varphi, N_K(x) \rangle = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma \right\}.$$

These results seem to be new in infinite dimensions; see [2, 3, 7] for the finite-dimensional case.

Finally, Section 5 is devoted to equations of the form

$$(1.5) \qquad \begin{cases} dX(t) + \big(AX(t) + F(X(t)) + N_K(X(t))\big) \, dt \ni dW(t), \\ X(0) = x, \end{cases}$$

where $F : H \to H$ is a nonlinear perturbation of A.

In Section 5.1 we assume that $F = DV$ where $V : H \to \mathbb{R}$ is a regular potential. This case is an easy generalization of the previous one (i.e., when $F = 0$), namely measure ν is replaced by the following one:

$$\zeta(dx) = \frac{e^{-2V(x)}}{\int_K e^{-2V(y)} \nu(dy)} \nu(dx).$$

This extension is briefly described in that section.

In Section 5.2 the case of a bounded Borel function F, not necessarily of potential type, is considered. Here we can solve the Kolmogorov equation

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{cases} \lambda\varphi - \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}[D^2\varphi] + \langle x, AD\varphi \rangle - \langle F(x), D\varphi \rangle = f, & x \in K, \\ \langle D\varphi, N_K(x) \rangle = 0, & \forall x \in \Sigma \end{cases}$$

by a straightforward perturbation argument, taking avantage of the inclusion (1.4). In this way we obtain a solution $\varphi \in D(N)$ of (1.6) only for λ sufficiently large. Also, obviously, measure ν is not invariant for the corresponding semigroup Q_t. However, using the fact that operator Q_t is compact in $L^2(K, \nu)$, we can show the existence of an invariant measure ζ for Q_t so that the extension of Q_t to $L^1(K, \zeta)$ is the natural transition semigroup associated with (1.5). Notice, however, that this semigroup is not reversible (when F is not of potential type).

We conclude this section by precising assumptions and notation which will be used throughout in what follows.

Assumptions. We are given a real separable Hilbert space H (with scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and norm denoted by $|\cdot|$). Concerning A, K and W we shall assume that:

HYPOTHESIS 1.1. (i) $A: D(A) \subset H \to H$ is a linear self-adjoint operator on H such that $\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq \delta |x|^2, \forall x \in D(A)$ for some $\delta > 0$. Moreover, A^{-1} is of trace class.

(ii) There exists a convex C^∞ function $g: H \to \mathbb{R}$ with $D^2 g$ positively defined, that is, $\langle D^2 g(x)h, h \rangle \geq \gamma |h|^2, \forall h \in H$ where $\gamma > 0$, such that

$$K = \{x \in H : g(x) \leq 1\}, \qquad \Sigma = \{x \in H : g(x) = 1\}.$$

(iii) W is a cylindrical Wiener process on H of the form

$$W(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta_k(t)e_k, \qquad t \geq 0,$$

where $\{\beta_k\}$ is a sequence of mutually independent real Brownian motions on a filtered probability spaces $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ (see, e.g., [8]) and $\{e_k\}$ is an orthonormal basis in H which will be taken as a system of eigen-functions for A for simplicity, that is,

$$Ae_k = \alpha_k e_k \qquad \forall k \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $\alpha_k \geq \delta$.

We notice that the interior $\mathring{K}$ is nonempty since $D^2 g$ is positive definite.

Notation. We denote by $\mathcal{B}(H)$ [resp. $\mathcal{B}(K)$] the σ-field of all Borel subsets of H (resp. K) and by $\mathcal{P}(H)$ [resp. $\mathcal{P}(K)$] the set of all probability measures on

$(H, \mathscr{B}(H))$ [resp. $(K, \mathscr{B}(K))$].

Everywhere in the following $D\varphi$ is the derivative of a function $\varphi: H \to \mathbb{R}$. By $D^2\varphi: H \to L(H, H)$ we shall denote the second derivative of φ. We shall denote also by $C_b(H)$ and $C_b^k(H)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the spaces of all continuous and bounded functions on H and, respectively, of k-times differentiable functions with continuous and bounded derivatives. The space $C^k(K)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, is defined as the space of restrictions of functions of $C_b^k(H)$ to the subset K.

The boundary of K will be denoted by Σ. $N_K(x)$ is the normal cone to K at x, that is,

$$N_K(x) = \{z \in H : \langle z, y - x \rangle \leq 0, \forall y \in K\}.$$

Moreover, we shall denote by $d_K(x)$ the distance of x from K and by I_K the indicator function of K,

$$I_K(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \in K, \\ +\infty, & \text{if } x \notin K. \end{cases}$$

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, U_ε will represent the Moreau approximation of I_K given by

$$U_\varepsilon(x) = \inf\left\{ I_K(y) + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon}|x - y|^2, y \in H \right\} = \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} d_K(x)^2, \qquad x \in H.$$

It is well known that

$$DU_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(x - \Pi_K(x)), \qquad x \in H, \varepsilon > 0,$$

where $\Pi_K(x)$ is the projection of x over K. In particular, we have

(1.7) $$D(d_K^2(x)) = x - \Pi_K(x) \qquad \forall x \in K^c,$$

(K^c is the complement of K) which implies

(1.8) $$Dd_K(x) = \frac{x - \Pi_K(x)}{d_K(x)} \qquad \forall x \in K^c.$$

We denote by $\mathbf{n}(\Pi_K(x))$ the exterior normal at $\Pi_K(x)$,

$$\mathbf{n}(\Pi_K(x)) = \frac{x - \Pi_K(x)}{d_K(x)} \qquad \forall x \in K^c.$$

From (1.8) we deduce that

(1.9) $$D\big(x - \Pi_K(x)\big) = Dd_K(x) \otimes Dd_K(x) + d_K(x)D^2 d_K(x) \qquad \forall x \in K^c.$$

Finally, μ will represent the Gaussian measure in H with mean 0 and covariance operator

$$Q := \tfrac{1}{2} A^{-1}.$$

Since A is strictly positive μ is nondegenerate and full. We set

$$\lambda_k = \frac{1}{2\alpha_k} \qquad \forall k \in \mathbb{N},$$

so that

$$Qe_k = \lambda_k e_k \qquad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{E}_A(H)$ the space of all real and imaginary parts of exponential functions $e^{\pm i \langle h, x \rangle}, h \in D(A)$. Then the operator $D : \mathcal{E}_A(H) \subset L^2(H, \mu) \to L^2(H, \mu; H)$ is closable in $L^2(H, \mu)$ and the domain of its closure is denoted by $W^{1,2}(H, \mu)$ (the Sobolev space).

The following integration by parts formula for the measure μ is well known (see, e.g., [9]). For any $\varphi, \psi \in W^{1,2}(H, \mu)$ and $z \in H$,

$$(1.10) \quad \int_H \langle D\varphi, Q^{1/2}z \rangle \psi \, d\mu = -\int_H \langle D\psi, Q^{1/2}z \rangle \varphi \, d\mu + \int_H W_z \varphi \psi \, d\mu,$$

where W_z represents the *white noise* function,

$$(1.11) \qquad W_z(x) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_k}} \langle x, e_k \rangle \langle z, e_k \rangle \qquad \forall z \text{ and } \mu\text{-a.e. } x \in H.$$

We recall that W_z is a Gaussian random variable in $L^2(H, \mu)$ with mean 0 and covariance $|z|^2$.

2. The measure μ conditioned to K. We denote by ν the Gaussian measure μ conditioned to K, that is,

$$\nu(I) = \frac{\mu(K \cap I)}{\mu(K)} \qquad \forall I \in \mathcal{B}(H).$$

Since μ is full and $\mathring{K}$ is nonempty, this definition is meaningful. We notice that, thanks to Hypothesis 1.1(ii) the surface measure μ_Σ is well defined (see [17]).

We want now to prove an integration by parts formula with respect to measure ν which generalizes (1.10). For this it is convenient to introduce a sequence of approximating measures $\{\nu_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon > 0}$ defined by,

$$(2.1) \qquad \nu_\varepsilon(dx) = \rho_\varepsilon(x) \mu(dx), \qquad x \in H,$$

where,

$$(2.2) \qquad \rho_\varepsilon(x) = Z_\varepsilon^{-1} e^{-1/\varepsilon \, d_K^2(x)}$$

and

$$(2.3) \qquad Z_\varepsilon = \int_H e^{-1/\varepsilon \, d_K^2(y)} \mu(dy).$$

Notice that, by the dominated convergence theorem,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} Z_\varepsilon = Z_0 = \mu(K), \tag{2.4}$$

whereas

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \rho_\varepsilon(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in K, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin K. \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

So, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \nu_\varepsilon = \nu \qquad \text{weakly in } \mathcal{P}(H). \tag{2.6}$$

Moreover

$$D\rho_\varepsilon(x) = -\frac{2}{\varepsilon}\rho_\varepsilon(x)\big(x - \Pi_K(x)\big), \tag{2.7}$$

so that $\rho_\varepsilon \in W^{1,2}(H, \mu)$.

We shall denote by $L^2(K, \nu)$ the space of all ν-square-integrable functions on K with the scalar product

$$\langle u, v \rangle_{L^2(K,\nu)} = \int_K u(x)v(x)\nu(dx)$$

and the norm $|u|^2_{L^2(K,\nu)} = \langle u, u \rangle_{L^2(K,\nu)}$.

2.1. *The integration by parts formula.* Here we are going to derive from (1.10), an integration by parts formula for the measure ν_ε. Let $\varphi \in C^1_b(H)$, $z \in H$, then, since $\rho_\varepsilon \in W^{1,2}(H, \mu)$, we find from (1.10) that

$$\int_H \langle D\varphi, Q^{1/2}z \rangle \, d\nu_\varepsilon = \int_H \langle D\varphi, Q^{1/2}z \rangle \rho_\varepsilon \, d\mu$$
$$= -\int_H \varphi \langle D \log \rho_\varepsilon, Q^{1/2}z \rangle \, d\nu_\varepsilon + \int_H W_z \varphi \, d\nu_\varepsilon.$$

Since,

$$D \log \rho_\varepsilon(x) = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon}(x - \Pi_K x),$$

we find the formula

$$\int_H \langle D\varphi, Q^{1/2}z \rangle \nu_\varepsilon(dx) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_H \varphi(x)\langle x - \Pi_K(x), Q^{1/2}z \rangle \nu_\varepsilon(dx) \tag{2.8}$$
$$+ \int_H W_z(x)\varphi(x)\nu_\varepsilon(dx).$$

LEMMA 2.1.   *Let $\varphi \in C_b^1(H)$, $z \in H$. Then there exists the limit*

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} J_\varepsilon^z(\varphi) := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_H \varphi(x)\langle x - \Pi_K x, Q^{1/2}z\rangle \nu_\varepsilon(dx)
$$

(2.9)

$$
= \int_\Sigma \varphi(y)\langle \mathbf{n}(y), Q^{1/2}z\rangle \mu_\Sigma(dy),
$$

where $\mathbf{n}(y) = \nabla g(y)/|\nabla g(y)|$ is the exterior normal to Σ at y and μ_Σ is the surface measure on Σ induced by μ (see [17]).

PROOF.   First we notice that

$$
J_\varepsilon^z(\varphi) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon Z_\varepsilon} \int_{\{d_K(x)>0\}} \varphi(x) d_K(x) \langle \mathbf{n}(\Pi_K(x)), Q^{1/2}z\rangle e^{-d_K^2(x)/\varepsilon} \mu(dx).
$$

By the co-area formula (see [17], page 140) (see also Theorem A.5 below) we have

(2.10)
$$
\int_H f\mu(dx) = \int_0^\infty \left[\int_{\Sigma_r} f(y)\mu_{\Sigma_r}(dy) \right] dr.
$$

Notice that the surface measure is defined for all $r \geq 0$ taking into account ([17], Theorem 6.2, Chapter V); moreover ([17], Theorem 1.1, Corollary 6.3.2, Chapter V), give the continuity property in Theorem 6.3.1 of [17], Chapter V. Setting in (2.10)

$$
f = (1 - \mathbb{1}_K)\varphi(x) \, d_K(x) \langle \mathbf{n}(\Pi_K(x)), Q^{1/2}z\rangle e^{-d_K^2(x)/\varepsilon},
$$

we get

$$
J_\varepsilon^z(\varphi) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon Z_\varepsilon} \int_0^{+\infty} \xi e^{-\xi^2/\varepsilon} \, d\xi \int_{\Sigma_{\xi+1}} \varphi(y)\langle \mathbf{n}(\Pi_K(x)), Q^{1/2}z\rangle \mu_{\Sigma_\xi}(dy).
$$

Hence, setting $\xi = \sqrt{\varepsilon}s$, yields

$$
J_\varepsilon^z(\varphi) = \frac{1}{Z_\varepsilon} \int_0^\infty s e^{-s^2} \, ds \int_{\Sigma_{\sqrt{\varepsilon}s+1}} \varphi(y)\langle \mathbf{n}(\Pi_K(y)), Q^{1/2}z\rangle \mu_{\Sigma_{\sqrt{\varepsilon}s}}(dy).
$$

So (2.9) follows.   □

We are now in position to prove the announced integration by parts formula.

THEOREM 2.2.   *Let $\varphi \in C_b^1(H)$, $z \in H$. Then for any $z \in H$ we have*

$$
\int_K \langle D\varphi(x), Q^{1/2}z\rangle \nu(dx) = \frac{1}{2\mu(K)} \int_\Sigma \varphi(y)\langle \mathbf{n}(y), Q^{1/2}z\rangle \mu_\Sigma(dy)
$$

(2.11)

$$
+ \int_K W_z(x)\varphi(x)\nu(dx).
$$

PROOF.   The conclusion of the theorem follows letting $\varepsilon \to 0$ in (2.8) and taking into account Lemma 2.1.   □

2.2. *The Sobolev space* $W^{1,2}(K, \nu)$. We shall define space $W^{1,2}(K, \nu)$ by proving, as it is usual, closability of the gradient. For this we need a lemma.

LEMMA 2.3. *The space*
$$C_0^1(K) := \{\varphi \in C^1(K) : \varphi = 0 \ on \ \Sigma\}$$
is dense in $L^2(K, \nu)$.

PROOF. It is enough to show that if $\varphi \in C^1(K)$ then there exists a sequence $\{\varphi_\alpha\} \subset C_0^1(K)$ such that

(2.12) $$\lim_{\alpha \to 0} \varphi_\alpha = \varphi \qquad \text{in } L^2(K, \nu).$$

Let $\{\chi_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in (0,1)} \subset C^1(\mathbb{R})$ be a sequence such that,
$$\chi_\alpha(r) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } r \in [0, 1 - \alpha], \\ 0, & \text{for } r \geq 1. \end{cases}$$
Setting now
$$\varphi_\alpha(x) = \chi_\alpha(g(x))\varphi(x) \qquad \forall \alpha \in (0, 1),$$
we see that $\{\varphi_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in (0,1)} \subset C_0^1(K)$ and (2.12) follows from the dominated convergence theorem. $\square$

PROPOSITION 2.4. *The mapping*
$$D : C^1(K) \subset L^2(K, \nu) \to L^2(K, \nu; H), \qquad \varphi \to D\varphi,$$
is closable.

PROOF. Let $(\varphi_n) \subset C^1(K)$ be such that
$$\varphi_n \to 0 \qquad \text{in } L^2(K, \nu), \qquad D\varphi_n \to F \qquad \text{in } L^2(K, \nu; H)$$
as $n \to \infty$. We have to show that $F = 0$. Let $\psi \in C_0^1(K)$ and $z \in H$. Then by (2.11) with $\varphi_n \psi$ replacing φ (see Theorem 2.2) we have that

$$\int_K \langle D\varphi_n(x), Q^{1/2}z \rangle \psi(x) \nu(dx)$$

$$= -\int_K \langle D\psi(x), Q^{1/2}z \rangle \varphi_n(x) \nu(dx)$$

(2.13) $$+ \frac{1}{2\mu(K)} \int_\Sigma \varphi_n(y)\psi(y)\langle \mathbf{n}(y), Q^{1/2}z \rangle \mu_\Sigma(dy)$$

$$+ \int_K W_z(x)\varphi_n(x)\psi(x)\nu(dx)$$

$$= -\int_K \langle D\psi(x), Q^{1/2}z \rangle \varphi_n(x)\nu(dx) + \int_K W_z(x)\varphi_n(x)\psi(x)\nu(dx),$$

since ψ vanishes on Σ. Letting $n \to \infty$ we find that

$$\int_H \langle F(x), Q^{1/2}z \rangle \psi(x)\mu(dx) = 0.$$

This implies $F = 0$ in view of the arbitrariness of ψ and z [recall Lemma 2.3 and that $Q^{1/2}(H)$ is dense in H]. $\quad\square$

We shall still denote by D the closure of D and by $W^{1,2}(K, \nu)$ its domain of definition. $W^{1,2}(K, \nu)$ is a Hilbert space with the scalar product

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{W^{1,2}(K,\nu)} = \int_K [\varphi\psi + \langle D\varphi, D\psi \rangle]\, d\nu.$$

2.3. *The trace of a function of $W^{1,2}(K, \nu)$.* In order to define the trace of a function $\varphi \in W^{1,2}(K, \nu)$ we need a technical lemma.

LEMMA 2.5. *Assume that $\varphi \in C_b^1(H)$. Then the following estimate holds,*

$$(2.14) \qquad \begin{aligned} &\int_\Sigma |Q^{1/2}\mathbf{n}(y)|^2 \varphi^2(y)\mu_\Sigma(dy) \\ &\qquad \leq C\left(\int_K \varphi^2(x)\nu(dx) + \int_K |D\varphi(x)|^2\nu(dx)\right), \end{aligned}$$

where C is a suitable constant.

PROOF. Here we follow [12]. Let $\varphi \in C^1(K)$. Set $F(x) = Dg(x)$. In particular $F(x) = |Dg(x)|\mathbf{n}(x)$ for $x \in \Sigma$. Then, replacing in (2.11) φ with $\lambda_k F_k \varphi^2$ and z with e_k, one gets

$$\int_K \lambda_k D_k F_k \varphi^2\, d\nu + 2\lambda_k \int_K F_k \varphi D_k \varphi\, d\nu$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\mu(K)} \int_\Sigma \lambda_k |Dg(y)|\langle \mathbf{n}(y), e_k \rangle^2 \varphi^2(y)\mu_\Sigma(dy) + \int_K x_k F_k \varphi^2 \nu(dx).$$

It follows that

$$\frac{1}{2\mu(K)} \int_\Sigma \lambda_k |Dg(y)|\langle \mathbf{n}(y), e_k \rangle^2 \varphi^2(y)\mu_\Sigma(dy)$$

$$\leq \int_K \lambda_k D_k^2 g \varphi^2\, d\nu + \frac{1}{2} \int_K F_k^2 \varphi^2 \nu(dx) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_k^2 \int_K |D_k\varphi|^2 \nu(dx)$$

$$- \int_K x_k F_k \varphi^2 \nu(dx).$$

Now the conclusion follows summing up over k, since $|Dg|$ is bounded below on Σ. $\quad\square$

Now we can define the *trace* $\gamma(\varphi)$ on Σ of a function $\varphi \in W^{1,2}(K, \nu)$. Let us consider a sequence $\{\varphi_n\} \subset C^1(K)$ strongly convergent to φ in $W^{1,2}(K, \nu)$. Then by (2.14) it follows that the sequence $\{|Q^{1/2}\mathbf{n}(y)|(\varphi_n)_\Sigma\}$ is convergent in $L^2(\Sigma, \mu_\Sigma)$ to some element $\tilde{\gamma}(\varphi) \in L^2(\Sigma, \mu_\Sigma)$. Then we set

$$\gamma(\varphi)(y) = \frac{1}{|Q^{1/2}\mathbf{n}(y)|}\tilde{\gamma}(\varphi)(y), \qquad \mu_\Sigma\text{-a.s.}$$

By inequality (2.14) it follows that this definition is consistent, that is, is independent of the sequence $\{\varphi_n\}$ and the map $\varphi \to |Q^{1/2}\mathbf{n}(y)|\gamma(\varphi)$ is continuous from $W^{1,2}(K, \nu) \to L^2(\Sigma, \mu_\Sigma)$. Notice also that though $|Q^{1/2}\mathbf{n}(y)| > 0$ for all $y \in \Sigma$ it is not however bounded from below in infinite dimensions. Now the following result is an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.5 and the density of $C_b^1(H)$ in $W^{1,2}(K, \nu)$.

PROPOSITION 2.6. *Assume that $\varphi \in W^{1,2}(K, \nu)$. Then*:

(i) $|Q^{1/2}\mathbf{n}(y)|\gamma(\varphi) \in L^2(\Sigma, \mu_\Sigma)$,
(ii) *the following estimate holds*,

(2.15)
$$\int_\Sigma |Q^{1/2}\mathbf{n}(y)|^2\varphi^2(y)\mu_\Sigma(dy)$$
$$\leq C\left(\int_K \varphi^2(x)\nu(dx) + \int_K |D\varphi(x)|^2\nu(dx)\right).$$

We notice that if H is finite-dimensional and $Q = I$ formula (2.15) reduces to a classical result since $|Q^{1/2}\mathbf{n}(y)| = 1$ on Σ.

2.4. *Compactness of embedding $W^{1,2}(K, \nu) \subset L^2(K, \nu)$.* We first show the log-Sobolev estimate for ν.

PROPOSITION 2.7. *For all $\varphi \in W^{1,2}(H, \nu)$ we have*

(2.16) $\quad \int_K \varphi^2 \log(\varphi^2)\, d\nu \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_1}\int_H |D\varphi|^2\, d\nu + \|\varphi\|^2_{L^2(H,\nu)} \log(\|\varphi\|^2_{L^2(H,\nu)}).$

PROOF. It is enough to show (2.16) for $\varphi \in C^1(H)$. By [6] (see also [9] and [10]) we know that the log-Sobolev estimate holds for the measure ν_ε,

(2.17) $\quad \int_H \varphi^2 \log(\varphi^2)\, d\nu_\varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_1}\int_H |D\varphi|^2\, d\nu_\varepsilon + \|\varphi\|^2_{L^2(H,\nu_\varepsilon)} \log(\|\varphi\|^2_{L^2(H,\nu_\varepsilon)}).$

Now the conclusion follows by (2.6) letting ε tend to 0. $\quad\square$

We can now prove the following result.

PROPOSITION 2.8. *The embedding $W^{1,2}(K, \nu) \subset L^2(K, \nu)$ is compact.*

PROOF.   Let $\{\varphi_n\}$ be a sequence in $W^{1,2}(K, \nu)$ such that

(2.18) $$\int_K (\varphi_n^2 + |D\varphi_n|^2)\, d\nu \leq C.$$

We have to show that there exists a subsequence of $\{\varphi_n\}$ convergent in $L^2(K, \nu)$. For this we proceed as in [6] noticing that, thanks to the log-Sobolev inequality (2.16), $\{\varphi_n\}$ is uniformly integrable and so, it is enough to find a subsequence of $\{\varphi_n\}$ pointwise convergent to an element of $L^2(K, \nu)$. Let $\{\chi_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in (0,1)} \subset C^1(\mathbb{R})$ be such that,
(i) we have

$$\chi_\alpha(r) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } r \in [0, 1 - 2\alpha], \\ 0, & \text{for } r \geq 1 - \alpha. \end{cases}$$

(ii) $|\chi_\alpha'(r)| \leq \frac{2}{\alpha}, \forall \alpha > 0$.
Set now

$$\varphi_n^\alpha(x) = \chi_\alpha(g(x))\varphi_n(x) \qquad \forall \alpha \in (0, 1/2).$$

We claim that for each $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$ the sequence $\{\varphi_n^\alpha\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $W^{1,2}(H, \mu)$. We have in fact

$$\int_H |\varphi_n^\alpha|^2\, d\mu = \int_H |\varphi_n^\alpha|^2\, d\nu \leq C$$

and, since

$$D\varphi_n^\alpha(x) = \chi_\alpha(g(x))D\varphi_n(x) + \chi_\alpha'(g(x))\varphi_n(x)Dg(x),$$

we have

$$|D\varphi_n^\alpha(x)| \leq |D\varphi_n(x)| + \frac{2}{\alpha}|Dg|_\infty|\varphi_n(x)|.$$

Therefore, there is a positive constant C_α' such that

$$\int_H |D\varphi_n^\alpha|^2\, d\mu \leq C_\alpha'.$$

Recalling that the embedding $W^{1,2}(H, \mu) \subset L^2(H, \mu)$ is compact (see, e.g., [8]), we can construct a subsequence $\{\varphi_{n_k(\alpha)}^\alpha\}$ which is convergent in $L^2(H, \mu)$ and then another subsequence which is pointwise convergent. This implies that for each $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$, $\{\varphi_{n_k(\alpha)}\}$ is μ-a.e. convergent on $K_\alpha = \{x : g(x) \leq 1 - 2\alpha\}$.

Now, by a standard diagonal procedure we can find a subsequence $\{\varphi_{n_k}\}$ pointwisely convergent as required. $\square$

2.5. *The Sobolev space $W^{2,2}(K, \nu)$.* It is easily seen that for all $h, k \in \mathbb{N}$ the linear operator

$$D_h D_k : C^2(K) \subset L^2(K, \nu) \to L^2(K, \nu), \qquad \varphi \mapsto D_h D_k \varphi,$$

is closable. If φ belongs to the domain of the closure of $D_h D_k$ (which we shall still denote by $D_h D_k$) we shall say that $D_h D_k \varphi$ belongs to $L^2(K, \nu)$. Now we define $W^{2,2}(K, \nu)$ as the space of all functions $\varphi \in W^{1,2}(K, \nu)$ such that $D_h D_k \varphi \in L^2(K, \nu)$ for all $h, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\sum_{h,k=1}^{\infty} \int_H |D_h D_k \varphi(x)|^2 \nu(dx) < +\infty.$$

$W^{2,2}(K, \nu)$ is a Hilbert space with the inner product

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{W^{2,2}(K,\nu)} = \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{W^{1,2}(K,\nu)} + \sum_{h,k=1}^{\infty} \int_K D_h D_k \varphi(x) D_h D_k \psi(x) \nu(dx).$$

If $\varphi \in W^{2,2}(K, \nu)$ we can define a Hilbert–Schmidt operator $D^2 \varphi(x)$ on K for ν-almost all $x \in K$ by setting

$$\langle D^2 \varphi(x) y, z \rangle = \sum_{h,k=1}^{\infty} D_h D_k \varphi(x) \langle y, e_h \rangle \langle z, e_k \rangle \qquad \forall y, z \in H.$$

We show now that if $\varphi \in W^{2,2}(K, \nu)$, then one can define the trace on Σ of $D\varphi$. Similarly to the definition of the trace of φ on Σ we define $|Q^{1/2}\mathbf{n}(y)|\gamma(D\varphi) = \lim_{n\to\infty} |Q^{1/2}\mathbf{n}(y)|\gamma(D\varphi_N)$ in $L^2(\Sigma, \mu_\Sigma)$ for all $\{\varphi_n\} \subset C^2(K)$, $\varphi_n \to \varphi$ in $W^{2,2}(K, \nu)$.

Proposition 2.9 below shows that this trace is well defined.

PROPOSITION 2.9. *Assume that $\varphi \in W^{2,2}(K, \nu)$. Then*:

  (i) $|Q^{1/2}\mathbf{n}(y)||\gamma(D\varphi)| \in L^2(\Sigma, \mu_\Sigma)$,
  (ii) *the following estimate holds,*

(2.19)
$$\int_\Sigma |Q^{1/2}\mathbf{n}(y)|^2 |\gamma(D\varphi(y))|^2 \mu_\Sigma(dy)$$
$$\leq C\left(\int_K |D\varphi(x)|^2 \nu(dx) + \int_K |\operatorname{Tr}[(D^2\varphi(x))^2]| \nu(dx) \right).$$

PROOF. Let $\varphi \in W^{2,2}(K, \nu)$ and let $\{\varphi_n\} \subset C^2(K)$ strongly convergent to φ in $W^{2,2}(K, \nu)$. For $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we apply (2.15) to $D_i \varphi_n$. We have

$$\int_\Sigma |Dg(y)||Q^{1/2}\mathbf{n}(y)|^2 |D_i \varphi_n(y)|^2 \mu_\Sigma(dy)$$
$$\leq C\left(\int_K |D_i \varphi_n(x)|^2 \nu(dx) + \int_K |DD_i \varphi_n(x)|^2 \nu(dx) \right).$$

Summing up on i yields

$$\int_{\Sigma} |Dg(y)||Q^{1/2}\mathbf{n}(y)|^2 |D\varphi_n(y)|^2 \mu_{\Sigma}(dy)$$

$$\leq C\left(\int_K |D\varphi_n(x)|^2 \nu(dx) + \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \int_K |D_j D_i \varphi_n(x)|^2 \nu(dx)\right).$$

Then letting $n \to \infty$ we see that $\{Q^{1/2}\mathbf{n}(y)|\gamma(D\varphi_n)\}$ is strongly convergent in $L^2(K, \nu)$ and so (i) and (ii) follow. $\square$

When it will be no danger of confusion we shall simply set $D\varphi$ instead of $\gamma(D\varphi)$.

2.6. *The Sobolev space* $W_A^{1,2}(K, \nu)$. We define $W_A^{1,2}(K, \nu)$ as the space of all functions $\varphi \in W^{1,2}(K, \nu)$ such that

$$\sum_h^{\infty} \lambda_h \int_H |D_h\varphi(x)|^2 \nu(dx) < +\infty.$$

It is easy to see that $W_A^{1,2}(K, \nu)$ is a Hilbert space with the inner product

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{W_A^{1,2}(K,\nu)} = \int_K \varphi(x)\psi(x)\nu(dx) + \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \lambda_h \int_K D_h\varphi(x) D_h\psi(x) \nu(dx).$$

If $\varphi \in W_A^{1,2}(K, \nu)$ we can define an element of K, $A^{1/2}D\varphi(x)$ for ν-almost all $x \in K$ by setting

$$\langle A^{1/2} D\varphi(x), y \rangle = \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \lambda_h D_h\varphi(x)\langle y, e_h \rangle \qquad \forall y \in H.$$

3. The Dirichlet form associated to ν. We define the symmetric Dirichlet form

$$a(\varphi, \psi) = \int_K \langle D\varphi, D\psi \rangle \, d\nu \qquad \forall \varphi, \psi \in D(a) = W^{1,2}(K, \nu) \times W^{1,2}(K, \nu).$$

Since, as seen earlier, D is closed in $L^2(K, \nu)$ we infer that the form a is closed in the sense of [15], page 315, and as a matter of fact the form a is the closure of $a_0(\varphi, \psi) = \int_K \langle D\varphi, D\psi \rangle \, d\nu, \forall \varphi, \psi \in C_b^1(H)$.

By the Lax–Milgram theorem there exists an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{N} : W^{1,2}(K, \nu) \to (W^{1,2}(K, \nu))^*$$

[where $(W^{1,2}(K, \nu))^*$ is the dual space of $W^{1,2}(K, \nu)$] such that

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle + a(\varphi, \psi) = \langle \mathcal{N}\varphi, \psi \rangle \qquad \forall \varphi, \psi \in W^{1,2}(K, \nu).$$

(Here $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ means the duality between $W^{1,2}(K, \nu)$ and $(W^{1,2}(K, \nu))^*$ which coincides with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L^2(K,\nu)}$ on $L^2(K, \nu)$.) We can identify $L^2(K, \nu)$ with its dual and, so, we have the well-known continuous and dense inclusions

$$W^{1,2}(K, \nu) \subset L^2(K, \nu) \subset (W^{1,2}(K, \nu))^*.$$

Now we define a linear operator $N : D(N) \subset L^2(K, \nu) \to L^2(K, \nu)$ as follows. We say that $\varphi \in D(N)$ if it belongs to $W^{1,2}(K, \nu)$ and that there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$(3.1) \qquad \left| \int_K \langle D\varphi, D\psi \rangle \, d\nu \right| \le C |\psi|_{L^2(K,\nu)} \qquad \forall \psi \in W^{1,2}(K, \nu).$$

This inequality implies that $\mathcal{N}\varphi \in L^2(K, \nu)$. Finally, if $\varphi \in D(N)$ we set

$$N\varphi = \tfrac{1}{2}(I - \mathcal{N})\varphi.$$

In other words,

$$(3.2) \qquad \langle N\varphi, \psi \rangle = -\tfrac{1}{2} a(\varphi, \psi) \qquad \forall \varphi, \psi \in W^{1,2}(K, \nu).$$

THEOREM 3.1. *Operator N is self adjoint in $L^2(K, \nu)$ and ν is an invariant measure for N,*

$$(3.3) \qquad \int_K N\varphi \, d\nu = 0 \qquad \forall \varphi \in D(N).$$

PROOF. By the closedness and symmetry of a it follows that N is closed and symmetric. Moreover, by the Lax–Milgram theorem, applied to symmetric bilinear form $(u, v) \to \lambda \langle u, v \rangle + a(u, v)$, we see that the range $R(\lambda I - N)$ of $\lambda I - N$ coincides with $L^2(K, \nu)$ for all $\lambda > 0$. Notice also that by (3.1)

$$(3.4) \qquad \langle N\varphi, \varphi \rangle = -\tfrac{1}{2} |D\varphi|^2_{L^2(K,\nu)} \qquad \forall \varphi \in D(N).$$

As regards (3.3) it is immediate by definition of N. $\square$

It is useful to notice also that for each $f \in L^2(K, \nu)$,

$$(\lambda I - N)^{-1} f = \{ \varphi : \lambda \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{L^2(K,\nu)} + \tfrac{1}{2} a(\varphi, \psi)$$

$$= \langle f, \psi \rangle_{L^2(K,\nu)}, \forall \psi \in W^{1,2}(K, \nu) \}.$$

4. The penalized problem. We are here concerned with the penalized equation

$$(4.1) \qquad \begin{cases} dX_\varepsilon(t) + \big(A X_\varepsilon(t) + \beta_\varepsilon(X_\varepsilon(t))\big) \, dt = dW_t, \\ X_\varepsilon(0) = x, \end{cases}$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$, and

$$\beta_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\big(x - \Pi_K(x)\big) \qquad \forall x \in H.$$

Since β_ε is Lipschitz, (4.1) has a unique mild solution $X_\varepsilon(t, x)$.

The corresponding Kolmogorov operator reads as follows,

$$(4.2) \qquad N_\varepsilon \varphi = L\varphi - \langle \beta_\varepsilon(x), D\varphi \rangle, \qquad \varphi \in \mathcal{E}_A(H), \ \varepsilon > 0,$$

where L is the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck operator

$$L\varphi = \tfrac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}[D^2\varphi] - \langle x, AD\varphi \rangle, \qquad \varphi \in \mathcal{E}_A(H).$$

It is well known that ν_ε [defined in (2.1)–(2.3)] is an invariant measure for N_ε and that

$$(4.3) \qquad \int_H N_\varepsilon \varphi \psi \, d\nu_\varepsilon = -\frac{1}{2} \int_H \langle D\varphi, D\psi \rangle \, d\nu_\varepsilon \qquad \forall \varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{E}_A(H).$$

Moreover, since β_ε is Lipschitz continuous, operator N_ε is essentially m-dissipative in $L^2(H, \nu_\varepsilon)$ (we still denote by N_ε its closure) and $\mathcal{E}_A(H)$ is a core for N_ε see [9].

Section 4.1 below is devoted to prove several estimates for the $(\lambda I - N_\varepsilon)^{-1} f$ where $f \in L^2(H, \nu_\varepsilon)$. Then these estimates are used in Section 4.2 to prove that $(\lambda I - N_\varepsilon)^{-1} f$ converges as $\varepsilon \to 0$ for any $f \in L^2(K, \nu)$ to $(\lambda I - N)^{-1} f$. Moreover we shall end up the section giving sharp informations about the domain of N.

4.1. *Estimates for $(\lambda I - N_\varepsilon)^{-1} f$.* We need a lemma.

LEMMA 4.1. *Let $\lambda > 0$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_A(H)$ and set*

$$(4.4) \qquad f_\varepsilon = \lambda \varphi - N_\varepsilon \varphi.$$

Then the following estimates hold

$$(4.5) \qquad \int_H \varphi^2 \, d\nu_\varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \int_H f_\varepsilon^2 \, d\nu_\varepsilon,$$

$$(4.6) \qquad \int_H |D\varphi|^2 \, d\nu_\varepsilon \leq \frac{2}{\lambda} \int_H f_\varepsilon^2 \, d\nu_\varepsilon,$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(4.7) \quad & \lambda \int_H |D\varphi|^2 \, d\nu_\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2} \int_H \operatorname{Tr}[(D^2\varphi)^2] \, d\nu_\varepsilon + \int_H |A^{1/2} D\varphi|^2 \, d\nu_\varepsilon \\
& + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{K^c} \langle (I - D\Pi_K(x)) D\varphi, D\varphi \rangle \nu_\varepsilon \leq 4 \int_H f_\varepsilon^2 \, d\nu_\varepsilon.
\end{aligned}
$$

PROOF. Multiplying both sides of (4.4) by φ, taking into account (4.3) and integrating in ν_ε over H, yields

$$(4.8) \qquad \lambda \int_H \varphi^2 \, d\nu_\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2} \int_H |D\varphi|^2 \, d\nu_\varepsilon = \int_H \varphi f_\varepsilon \, d\nu_\varepsilon.$$

Now (4.5) and (4.6) follow easily from the Hölder inequality. To prove (4.7) let us differentiate in the direction of e_k both sides of (4.4). We obtain

$$\lambda D_k\varphi - N_\varepsilon D_k\varphi + \alpha_k D_k\varphi + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\sum_{h=1}^{\infty}(\delta_{h,k} - \langle \Pi_K(x)e_h, e_k\rangle)D_h\varphi = D_k f_\varepsilon.$$

Multiplying both sides of (4.4) by $D_k\varphi$, taking into account (4.3), integrating in ν_ε over H and then summing up over k, yields

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} &\lambda \int_H |D\varphi|^2 \, d\nu_\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}\int_H \mathrm{Tr}[(D^2\varphi)^2] \, d\nu_\varepsilon + \int_H |A^{1/2}D\varphi|^2 \, d\nu_\varepsilon \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_{K^c}\langle(I - D\Pi_K(x))D\varphi, D\varphi\rangle \, d\nu_\varepsilon = \int_H \langle D\varphi, Df_\varepsilon\rangle \, d\nu_\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Noting finally that, again in view of (4.3),

$$\int_H \langle D\varphi, Df_\varepsilon\rangle \, d\nu_\varepsilon = 2\int_H f_\varepsilon^2 \, d\nu_\varepsilon - 2\lambda\int_H f_\varepsilon\varphi \, d\nu_\varepsilon \le 4\int_H f_\varepsilon^2 \, d\nu_\varepsilon,$$

the conclusion follows. $\square$

Now we are able to prove the announced estimates.

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Let* $\lambda > 0$, $f \in L^2(H, \nu_\varepsilon)$ *and let* φ_ε *be the solution of the equation*

$$(4.10) \qquad\qquad\qquad \lambda\varphi_\varepsilon - N_\varepsilon\varphi_\varepsilon = f.$$

Then $\varphi_\varepsilon \in W^{2,2}(H, \nu_\varepsilon)$, $A^{1/2}D\varphi_\varepsilon \in L^2(H, \nu_\varepsilon)$ *and the following estimates hold*

$$(4.11) \qquad\qquad\qquad \int_H \varphi_\varepsilon^2 \, d\nu_\varepsilon \le \frac{1}{\lambda^2}\int_H f^2 \, d\nu_\varepsilon,$$

$$(4.12) \qquad\qquad\qquad \int_H |D\varphi_\varepsilon|^2 \, d\nu_\varepsilon \le \frac{2}{\lambda}\int_H f^2 \, d\nu_\varepsilon,$$

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{aligned} &\lambda\int_H |D\varphi_\varepsilon|^2 \, d\nu_\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}\int_H \mathrm{Tr}[(D^2\varphi_\varepsilon)^2] \, d\nu_\varepsilon + \int_H |A^{1/2}D\varphi_\varepsilon|^2 \, d\nu_\varepsilon \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_{K^c}\langle(I - D\Pi_K(x))D\varphi_\varepsilon, D\varphi_\varepsilon\rangle \, d\nu_\varepsilon \le 4\int_H f^2 \, d\nu_\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Inequality (4.11) is obvious since N_ε is dissipative. Let us prove (4.12). Let $\lambda > 0$, $f \in L^2(H, \nu_\varepsilon)$ and let φ_ε be the solution of (4.10). Since $\mathcal{E}_A(H)$ is a core for N_ε there exists a sequence $\{\varphi_{\varepsilon,n}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{E}_A(H)$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\varphi_{\varepsilon,n} \to \varphi_\varepsilon, \qquad \lim_{n\to\infty}N_\varepsilon\varphi_{\varepsilon,n} \to N_\varepsilon\varphi_\varepsilon \qquad \text{in } L^2(H, \nu_\varepsilon).$$

Set $f_{\varepsilon,n} = \lambda\varphi_{\varepsilon,n} - N_\varepsilon\varphi_{\varepsilon,n}$. Clearly, $f_{\varepsilon,n} \to f$ as $n \to \infty$ in $L^2(H, \nu_\varepsilon)$.

We claim that $\varphi_\varepsilon \in W^{1,2}(H, \nu_\varepsilon)$ and that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} D\varphi_{\varepsilon,n} \to D\varphi_\varepsilon \qquad \text{in } L^2(H, \nu_\varepsilon; H).$$

Let in fact $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, then by (4.6) it follows that

$$\int_H |D\varphi_{\varepsilon,n} - D\varphi_{\varepsilon,m}|^2 \, d\nu_\varepsilon \le \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \int_H |f_{\varepsilon,n} - f_{\varepsilon,m}|^2 \, d\nu_\varepsilon.$$

Therefore the sequence $\{\varphi_{\varepsilon,n}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy in $W^{1,2}(H, \nu_\varepsilon)$ and the claim follows. Estimate (4.13) can be proved similarly. $\square$

We conclude this section with an integration by parts formula needed later. We set

$$V = \{\psi \in W^{1,2}(K, \nu) : |Q^{1/2}\mathbf{n}|\psi \in L^2(\Sigma, \mu_\Sigma)\}.$$

LEMMA 4.3.   *Let $\varphi \in D(N_\varepsilon)$ and $\psi \in V$. Then the following identity holds.*

(4.14)
$$\int_K N_\varepsilon \varphi \psi \, d\nu = -\frac{1}{2} \int_K \langle D\varphi, D\psi \rangle \, d\nu$$
$$+ \frac{1}{\mu(K)} \int_\Sigma \langle \gamma(D\varphi), \mathbf{n}(y) \rangle \psi \, d\mu_\Sigma.$$

PROOF.   Taking in account that $\mathcal{E}_A(H)$ is a core for N_ε, it is sufficient to prove (4.14) for $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_A(H)$. By the basic integration by parts formula we deduce, for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\psi \in V$ that

$$\int_K D_i\varphi D_i\psi \, d\nu = -\int_K D_i^2\varphi \psi \, d\nu + \frac{1}{\mu(K)} \int_\Sigma \gamma(D_i\varphi)(\mathbf{n}(y))_i \psi \, d\mu_\Sigma$$
$$+ \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \int_K x_i D_i\varphi \psi \, d\nu.$$

Now, summing up on i yields

$$\int_K \langle D\varphi, D\psi \rangle \, d\nu = -\int_K \text{Tr}[D^2\varphi]\psi \, d\nu + \frac{1}{\mu(K)} \int_\Sigma \langle \gamma(D\varphi), \mathbf{n}(y) \rangle \psi \, d\mu_\Sigma$$
$$+ 2\int_K \langle x, AD\varphi \rangle \psi \, d\nu.$$

That is nothing else but (4.14). $\square$

4.2. *Convergence of $\{\varphi_\varepsilon\}$.*   We are going to show that the sequence $\{\varphi_\varepsilon\}$ is convergent in $L^2(K, \nu)$. We first note that for $f \in C_b(H)$ we have

(4.15)
$$\varphi_\varepsilon(x) = \mathbb{E} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} f(X_\varepsilon(t, x)) \, dt \qquad \forall x \in H.$$

Now, by a standard argument it follows that from (4.15) that if $f \in C_b^1(H)$ we have

$$(4.16) \qquad \sup_{x \in H} |D\varphi_\varepsilon(x)| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \|Df\|_{C_b(H)} \qquad \forall \varepsilon, \lambda > 0.$$

Theorem 4.4 is the main result of this section.

THEOREM 4.4. *Let* $\lambda > 0$, $f \in L^2(K, \nu)$ *and let* φ_ε *be the solution of* (4.10). *Then* $\{\varphi_\varepsilon\}$ *is strongly convergent in* $L^2(K, \nu)$ *to* $\varphi = (\lambda I - N)^{-1} f$ *where* N *is defined by* (3.1).

Moreover, the following statements hold:

   (i) $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} D\varphi_\varepsilon = D\varphi$ *in* $L^2(K, \nu; H)$,
  (ii) $\varphi \in W_A^{1,2}(H, \nu) \cap W^{2,2}(K, \nu)$,
 (iii) φ *fulfills the Neumann condition*

$$(4.17) \qquad \frac{d\varphi}{dn}(x) = \langle D\varphi(x), \mathbf{n}(x) \rangle = 0 \qquad on \; \Sigma,$$

where $\langle D\varphi(x), \mathbf{n}(x) \rangle$ *is defined by Proposition* 2.9 *and* $|Q^{1/2}\mathbf{n}(x)|\langle D\varphi(x), \mathbf{n}(x) \rangle \in L^2(\Sigma, \mu_\Sigma)$.

PROOF. Without danger of confusion we shall denote again by f the restriction $f|_K$ of f to K. In fact each $f \in L^2(K, \nu)$ can be extended by 0 outside K to a function in $L^2(H, \nu)$. By this convention, everywhere in the sequel $(\lambda I - N)^{-1} f$ for $f \in L^2(H, \nu)$ means $(\lambda I - N)^{-1} f|_K$.

Step 1. We have

$$(4.18) \qquad \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varphi_\varepsilon = (\lambda I - N)^{-1} f \qquad in \; L^2(K, \nu).$$

In fact by (4.11), (4.12) and the compactness of the embedding of $W^{1,2}(K, \nu)$ in $L^2(K, \nu)$ it follows that there exist a sequence $\{\varepsilon_k\} \to 0$ and $\varphi \in W^{1,2}(K, \nu)$ such that

$$\varphi_{\varepsilon_k} \to \varphi \qquad \text{strongly in } L^2(K, \nu),$$

$$D\varphi_{\varepsilon_k} \to D\varphi \qquad \text{weakly in } L^2(K, \nu).$$

Let $\psi \in C_b^1(H)$ and consider the identity

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_H \langle D\varphi_\varepsilon, D\psi \rangle \, d\nu_\varepsilon = \int_H (f - \lambda\varphi_\varepsilon)\psi \, d\nu_\varepsilon,$$

which is equivalent to

$$(4.19) \qquad \frac{1}{2} \int_K \langle D\varphi_\varepsilon, D\psi \rangle \, d\nu + \frac{1}{2} \int_{K^c} \langle D\varphi_\varepsilon, D\psi \rangle \, d\nu_\varepsilon = \int_H (f - \lambda\varphi_\varepsilon)\psi \, d\nu_\varepsilon.$$

Since, we have

$$\left| \int_{K^c} \langle D\varphi_\varepsilon, D\psi \rangle \, dv_\varepsilon \right|^2 \leq \int_H |D\varphi_\varepsilon|^2 \, dv_\varepsilon \int_{K^c} |D\psi|^2 \, dv_\varepsilon$$

$$\leq \frac{2}{\lambda} \int_H f^2 \, dv_\varepsilon \int_{K^c} |D\psi|^2 \, dv_\varepsilon \to 0$$

as $\varepsilon \to 0$, we deduce, letting $\varepsilon \to 0$ in (4.19) that

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_K \langle D\varphi, D\psi \rangle \, dv = \int_K (f - \lambda\varphi)\psi \, dv \qquad \forall \psi \in C_b^1(H).$$

Obviously, this identity extends to all $\psi \in W^{1,2}(H, v)$, which implies that $\varphi = (\lambda I - N)^{-1} f$ and that $\varphi_\varepsilon \to \varphi$ strongly in $L^2(K, v)$.

Step 2. We have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} D\varphi_\varepsilon = D\varphi \qquad \text{in } L^2(K, v; K).$$

We first assume that $f \in C_b^1(H)$. Let us start from the identity (4.8),

(4.20)
$$\frac{1}{2} \int_H |D\varphi_\varepsilon|^2 \, dv_\varepsilon = \int_K (\lambda\varphi_\varepsilon - f)\varphi_\varepsilon \, dv_\varepsilon,$$

which implies

(4.21)
$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2} \int_H |D\varphi_\varepsilon|^2 \, dv_\varepsilon = \int_K (\lambda\varphi - f)\varphi \, dv$$

$$= -\langle N\varphi, \varphi \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \int_K |D\varphi|^2 \, dv.$$

Here we have used the fact that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{K^c} |D\varphi_\varepsilon|^2 \, dv_\varepsilon(x) = 0,$$

which follows taking into account (4.16).

Therefore there exists a sequence $\{\varepsilon_k\}$ such that

$$\varphi_{\varepsilon_k} \to \varphi, \qquad \text{strongly in } L^2(K, v),$$

$$D\varphi_{\varepsilon_k} \to D\varphi, \qquad \text{weakly in } L^2(K, v; H),$$

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \int_K |D\varphi_{\varepsilon_k}|^2 \, dv = \int_K |D\varphi|^2 \, dv.$$

This implies that $D\varphi_{\varepsilon_k} \to D\varphi$ strongly in $L^2(K, v; H)$.

We finally assume that $f \in L^2(H, v)$. Since $C_b^1(H)$ is dense in $L^2(K, v)$, there exists a sequence $\{f_n\} \subset C_b^1(H)$ strongly convergent in $L^2(K; v)$ to f. Set $\varphi_{n,\varepsilon} = (\lambda I - N_\varepsilon)^{-1} f_n$. By (4.12) we have

$$\int_H |D\varphi_\varepsilon - D\varphi_{n,\varepsilon}|^2 \, dv_\varepsilon \leq \frac{2}{\lambda} \int_K |f - f_n|^2 \, dv,$$

which implies

$$\int_K |D\varphi_\varepsilon - D\varphi_{n,\varepsilon}|^2 \, d\nu \le \frac{2}{\lambda} \int_K |f - f_n|^2 \, d\nu.$$

So, again $D\varphi_{\varepsilon_k} \to D\varphi$ strongly in $L^2(K, \nu; H)$.

Step 3. We have

$$(4.22) \qquad\qquad \varphi \in W_A^{1,2}(K, \nu; H) \cap W^{2,2}(K; \nu).$$

By estimate (4.13) we have that $\{\varphi_\varepsilon\}$ is bounded in $W^{2,2}(K, \nu)$. Therefore there is a subsequence, still denoted $\{\varphi_\varepsilon\}$ which converges to φ in $W^{2,2}(K, \nu)$. In the same way we see that $\varphi \in W_A^{1,2}(K, \nu; H)$.

Step 4. Checking the Neumann condition for φ.

From (4.14) we get

$$\int_K N_\varepsilon \varphi_\varepsilon \psi \, d\nu = -\frac{1}{2} \int_K \langle D\varphi_\varepsilon, D\psi \rangle \, d\nu + \frac{1}{\mu(K)} \int_\Sigma \psi \langle \gamma(D\varphi_\varepsilon), \mathbf{n}(y) \rangle \, d\mu_\Sigma.$$

Recalling that $N_\varepsilon \varphi_\varepsilon = \lambda \varphi_\varepsilon - f \longrightarrow \lambda \varphi - f = N\varphi$ in $L^2(K, \nu)$ and that $|Q^{1/2}\mathbf{n}(y)| \langle \gamma(D\varphi_\varepsilon), \mathbf{n}(y) \rangle \to |Q^{1/2}\mathbf{n}(y)| \langle \gamma(D\varphi), \mathbf{n}(y) \rangle$ in $L^2(\Sigma, \mu_\Sigma)$ by Proposition 2.9, by (i) and by (3.4) we obtain

$$\int_\Sigma \langle \gamma(D\varphi), \mathbf{n}(y) \rangle \psi \, d\mu_\Sigma = 0 \qquad \forall \psi \in V,$$

which implies (4.17) as claimed. [The set $\{\gamma(\psi) : \psi \in V\}$ is dense in $L^2(\Sigma, \mu_\Sigma)$.] This completes the proof. $\square$

In particular, taking into account that $D(N)$ is equal to the range of $(\lambda I - N)^{-1}$ we derive by Theorem 4.4 the following result, which gives a sharp information on the structure of the domain of N.

COROLLARY 4.5. *We have*

$$(4.23) \qquad D(N) \subset \left\{ \varphi \in W_A^{1,2}(H, \nu) \cap W^{2,2}(K, \nu) : \frac{d}{dn} \varphi(x) = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma \right\}.$$

We notice also that for $\varphi \in D(N)$ regular $N\varphi$ is the classical elliptic differential operator in H. More precisely, we have

COROLLARY 4.6. *If* $\mathrm{Tr}\, D^2\varphi \in L^2(K, \nu)$, $\langle x, AD\varphi \rangle \in L^2(K, \nu)$ *and* $\frac{d\varphi}{dn}(y) = 0, \forall y \in \Sigma$ *then* $\varphi \in D(N)$ *and*

$$(4.24) \qquad\qquad N\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Tr}\, D^2\varphi - \langle x, AD\varphi \rangle \qquad \forall x \in \mathring{K}.$$

PROOF.   By integration by parts formula (2.11) we see that

$$
\int_K \langle D\varphi, D\psi\rangle \nu(dx) = -\int_K \left(\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr} D^2\varphi - \langle x, AD\varphi\rangle\right)\nu(dx)
$$

(4.25)

$$
+ \frac{1}{\mu(K)}\int_\Sigma \psi(y)\frac{d\varphi}{dn}(y)\mu_\Sigma(dy) \qquad \forall\psi \in V,
$$

which in virtue of (iv) and (3.2) implies (4.24) as claimed.   □

REMARK 4.7.   We conjecture that in Corollary 4.5 one has equality in relation (4.23), but we failed to prove it. This happens when N is replaced by the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck generator L and ν by the Gaussian measure μ (see [9]).

Notice also that if $\varphi \in D(N)$ we cannot conclude that $\operatorname{Tr} D^2\varphi \in L^2(K, \nu)$ and $\langle x, AD\varphi\rangle \in L^2(K, \nu)$. This is obviously true if H is finite-dimensional.

5. Perturbation results.

5.1. *Perturbation by a regular gradient.*   Let us consider the stochastic differential inclusion,

(5.1)
$$
\begin{cases} dX(t) + \big(AX(t) + DV(X(t)) + N_K(X(t))\big)\,dt \ni dW(t), \\ X(0) = x, \end{cases}
$$

where A, K and W are as before and $V : H \to \mathbb{R}$ is a C^2 function such that $DV \in C_b^1(H; H)$.

Let us introduce a probability measure $\zeta \in \mathcal{P}(K)$ by setting

$$
\zeta(dx) = Z_\zeta^{-1}e^{-2V(x)}\nu(dx),
$$

where

$$
Z_\zeta = \int_K e^{-2V(y)}\nu(dy).
$$

Arguing as in the proof of (2.11), we can show the following integration by parts formula.

THEOREM 5.1.   *Let $\varphi \in C_b^1(H)$. Then for any $z \in H$ we have*

$$
\int_K \langle D\varphi(x), Q^{1/2}z\rangle\zeta(dx)
$$

$$
= \int_K \varphi(x)\langle DV(x), Q^{1/2}z\rangle\zeta(dx)
$$

(5.2)

$$
+ \frac{1}{2\mu(K)Z_\zeta}\int_\Sigma \varphi(y)\langle \mathbf{n}(y), Q^{1/2}z\rangle e^{-2U(y)}\mu_\Sigma(dy)
$$

$$
+ \int_K W_z(x)\varphi(x)\zeta(dx).
$$

Now all considerations of Sections 2, 3 and 4 can be easily generalized. In particular, estimate (4.7) reads as follows

$$\lambda \int_H |D\varphi|^2 \, d\zeta_\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2} \int_H \operatorname{Tr}[(D^2\varphi)^2] \, d\zeta_\varepsilon + \int_H |A^{1/2} D\varphi|^2 \, d\zeta_\varepsilon$$

$$(5.3) \qquad + \int_H \langle D^2 V \cdot D\varphi, D\varphi \rangle \, d\zeta_\varepsilon + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{K^c} \langle (I - D\Pi_K(x)) D\varphi, D\varphi \rangle \, d\zeta_\varepsilon$$

$$\leq 4 \int_H f_\varepsilon^2 \, d\zeta_\varepsilon.$$

In conclusion, we arrive at the following result.

THEOREM 5.2. *The operator N (defined as in Section 3 with the Dirichlet form induced by ζ) is self adjoint in $L^2(K, \zeta)$ and ζ is an invariant measure for N,*

$$(5.4) \qquad \int_K N\varphi \, d\zeta = 0 \qquad \forall \varphi \in D(N).$$

Moreover, we have

$$(5.5) \qquad D(N) \subset \left\{ \varphi \in W_A^{1,2}(H, \zeta) \cap W^{2,2}(K, \zeta) : \frac{d}{dn}\varphi(x) = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma \right\}.$$

(Details are omitted.)

5.2. *Perturbation by a bounded Borel drift.* Let $F : H \to H$ be bounded and Borel and consider the stochastic differential inclusion,

$$(5.6) \qquad \begin{cases} dX(t) + \big(AX(t) + F(X(t)) + N_K(X(t))\big) \, dt \ni dW(t), \\ X(0) = x. \end{cases}$$

Let moreover G be the linear operator in $L^2(K, \nu)$ defined as

$$(5.7) \qquad G\varphi = N\varphi + \langle F(x), D\varphi \rangle, \qquad \varphi \in D(N).$$

PROPOSITION 5.3. *G is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous compact semigroup Q_t on $L^2(K, \nu)$. Moreover its resolvent $(\lambda I - G)^{-1}$ is given by*

$$(5.8) \qquad (\lambda I - G)^{-1} = (\lambda I - N)^{-1}(1 - T_\lambda)^{-1}, \qquad \lambda > \lambda_0,$$

where

$$(5.9) \qquad \lambda_0 = 2\|F\|_0^2 = 2 \sup_{x \in H} |F(x)|^2$$

and

$$(5.10) \qquad T_\lambda \psi(x) = \langle F(x), D(\lambda I - N)^{-1}\psi(x) \rangle, \qquad \psi \in L^2(K, \nu), \ x \in K.$$

PROOF.   Let $\lambda > 0$, $f \in L^2(K, \nu)$. Consider the equation

$$(5.11) \qquad\qquad \lambda\varphi - N\varphi - \langle F(x), D\varphi \rangle = f.$$

Setting $\psi = \lambda\varphi - N\varphi$ (5.11) becomes

$$(5.12) \qquad\qquad \psi - T_\lambda \psi = f,$$

where T_λ is defined by (5.10).

On the other hand, by (4.12) it follows that

$$\int_H |D(\lambda I - N)^{-1}\psi|^2 \, d\nu \leq \frac{2}{\lambda} \int_H \psi^2 \, d\nu,$$

so that

$$\|T_\lambda \psi\|_{L^2(H,\mu)} \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\lambda}} \|F\|_0 \|\psi\|_{L^2(H,\mu)}.$$

Therefore if $\lambda > \lambda_0$ (5.11) has a unique solution and the conclusion follows.

Finally, the compactness property of Q_t for $t > 0$ follows from (5.9) and the compactness of operator $(\lambda I - N)^{-1}$. $\square$

We want now to show that operator G possesses an invariant measure ζ absolutely continuous with respect to ν. For this let us consider the adjoint semigroup Q_t^*; we denote by G^* its infinitesimal generator, and by Σ^* the set of all its stationary points:

$$\Sigma^* = \{\varphi \in L^2(K, \nu) : Q_t^*\varphi = \varphi, t \geq 0\}.$$

Though the following lemma is standard, we give a proof, however, for reader's convenience. We shall denote by $\mathbb{1}$ the functions identically equal to 1.

LEMMA 5.4. Q_t^* has the following properties:

(i) *For all $\varphi \geq 0$ ν-a.e., one has $Q_t^*\varphi \geq 0$ ν-a.e.*
(ii) *Σ^* is a lattice, that is, if $\varphi \in \Sigma^*$ then $|\varphi| \in \Sigma^*$.*

PROOF.   Let $\psi_0 \geq 0$ ν-a.e. Then for all $\varphi \geq 0$ ν-a.e. and all $t > 0$ we have

$$\int_K Q_t\varphi\psi_0 \, d\nu = \int_K \varphi Q_t^*\psi_0 \, d\nu \geq 0.$$

This implies that $\psi_0 \geq 0$ ν-a.e., and (i) is proved.

Let us prove (ii). Assume that $\varphi \in \Sigma^*$, so that $\varphi(x) = Q_t^*\varphi(x)$. Then we have

$$(5.13) \qquad\qquad |\varphi(x)| = |Q_t^*\varphi(x)| \leq Q_t^*(|\varphi|)(x).$$

We claim that

$$|\varphi(x)| = Q_t^*(|\varphi|)(x), \qquad x - \nu \text{ a.s.}$$

Assume by contradiction that there is a Borel subset $I \subset K$ such that $\nu(I) > 0$ and

$$|\varphi(x)| < Q_t^*(|\varphi|)(x) \qquad \forall x \in I.$$

Then we have

(5.14) $$\int_K |\varphi(x)|\nu(dx) < \int_K Q_t^*(|\varphi|)(x)\nu(dx).$$

On the other hand,

$$\int_K Q_t^*(|\varphi|)\,d\mu = \langle Q_t^*(|\varphi|), \mathbb{1}\rangle_{L^2(K,\nu)} = \langle |\varphi|, \mathbb{1}\rangle_{L^2(K,\mu)} = \int_K |\varphi|\,d\mu,$$

which is in contradiction with (5.14). $\square$

The following result is a generalization of a similar result concerning the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck semigroup proved in [8].

PROPOSITION 5.5.   *There exists an invariant measure ζ of Q_t which is absolutely continuous with respect to ν. Moreover*

$$\rho := \frac{d\zeta}{d\nu} \in L^2(K, \nu).$$

PROOF.   Let $\lambda > 0$ be fixed. Clearly $\mathbb{1} \in D(G)$ and we have $G\mathbb{1} = 0$. Consequently $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ is an eigenvalue of $(\lambda I - G)^{-1}$ since

$$(\lambda I - G)^{-1}\mathbb{1} = \frac{1}{\lambda}\mathbb{1}.$$

Moreover $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ has finite multiplicity because $(\lambda I - G)^{-1}$ is compact. Therefore $((\lambda I - G)^{-1})^*$ is compact as well and $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ is an eigenvalue for $((\lambda I - G)^{-1})^*$. Consequently there exists $\rho \in L^2(K, \nu)$ not identically equal to zero such that

(5.15) $$(((\lambda I - G)^{-1})^*)\rho = \frac{1}{\lambda}\rho.$$

It follows that $\rho \in D(G)$ and $G^*\rho = 0$. Since Σ^* is a lattice, ρ can be chosen to be nonnegative and such that $\int_K \rho\,d\nu = 1$.

Now set

$$\zeta(dx) = \rho(x)\nu(dx), \qquad x \in K.$$

We claim that ζ is an invariant measure for Q_t. In fact taking the inverse Laplace transform in (5.15) we find that

$$Q_t^*\rho = \rho,$$

which implies that for any $\varphi \in L^2(K, \nu)$,

$$\int_K Q_t\varphi\,d\zeta = \int_K Q_t\varphi\rho\,d\nu = \int_K \varphi Q_t^*\rho\,d\nu = \int_K \varphi\,d\zeta.$$

The proof is complete. □

Notice now that, since $\frac{d\zeta}{d\nu} \in L^2(K, \nu)$ there is a natural inclusion of $L^2(K, \nu)$ in $L^1(K, \zeta)$ so, we can introduce the linear operator in $L^1(K, \zeta)$,

(5.16) $\qquad N_F : D(N) \subset L^2(K, \zeta) \to L^1(K, \zeta), \qquad N_F\varphi := G\varphi.$

This is the final result of the paper.

PROPOSITION 5.6. *Operator N_F defined by* (5.16) *is dissipative in $L^1(K, \zeta)$ and its closure is m-dissipative.*

PROOF. The dissipativity of operator N_F in $L^1(K, \zeta)$ follows from the fact that measure ζ is invariant for N_F and a standard argument; see [11]. Moreover the range of $\lambda I - N_F$ contains $L^2(K, \nu)$ for $\lambda > \lambda_0$ which is dense in $L^1(K, \zeta)$. So, the conclusion follows from the Lumer–Phillips theorem. □

6. An example.

EXAMPLE 6.1. Consider the stochastic equation

(6.1) $\quad \begin{cases} dX(t) - \Delta X(t)\,dt + N_K(X(t))\,dt \ni dW_t, & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \mathcal{O}, \\ X(t) = 0, & \text{on } \partial\mathcal{O}, \\ X(0) = x, & \text{in } \mathcal{O}, \end{cases}$

where $\mathcal{O}$ is a bounded and open interval of $\mathbb{R}$, and

$$K = \{x \in L^2(\mathcal{O}) : \|x\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})} \le \rho\}.$$

Then the previous results apply with $H = L^2(\mathcal{O})$, $A = -\Delta$, $D(A) = H_0^1(\mathcal{O}) \cap H^2(\mathcal{O})$.

Thus the Markov semigroup P_t generated by N in this case is given by $(P_t\varphi_0)(x) = \varphi(t, x)$ where

$$\varphi \in C^1([0, \infty); L^2(L^2(\mathcal{O}), \nu)) \cap C([0, \infty); W^{2,2}(K, \nu)) \cap W_A^{1,2}(K, \nu; L^2(\mathcal{O}))$$

is the solution to infinite-dimensional parabolic equation

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{d}{dt} \displaystyle\int_K \varphi(t, x)\psi(x)\nu(dx) \\ \qquad + \displaystyle\int_K \left(\int_{\mathcal{O}} D\varphi(t, x)(\xi) D\psi(x)(\xi)\,d\xi \right)\nu(dx), & \forall t \ge 0, \\ \varphi(0, x) = \varphi_0(x), & x \in L^2(\mathcal{O}) \end{cases}$$

for all $\psi \in W^{1,2}(K, \nu)$.

A more general case is that where

(6.2) $\qquad K = \left\{ x \in L^2(\mathcal{O}) : \displaystyle\int_{\mathcal{O}} j(x(\xi))\,d\xi \le \rho^2 \right\},$

where $j : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a C^∞ function such that $0 \le j(r) \le C_1 r^2$, $j''(r) \ge C_2 > 0$, $\forall r \in \mathbb{R}$. In this latter case

$$\Sigma = \left\{ x : \int_\mathcal{O} j(x(\xi)) \, d\xi = \rho^2 \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad N_K(x)(\xi) = \{\lambda \nabla j(x(\xi))\}_{\lambda > 0} \qquad \forall x \in \Sigma.$$

APPENDIX

Here we shall present for the reader's convenience a few results on co-aerea formula used in Section 2.1, under additional conditions on g, Hypothesis A.1.

A.1. The co-area formula. Let H be a separable Hilbert space and $\mu = N_Q$ a nondegenerate Gaussian measure in H. Let (e_k) be the complete orthonormal basis in H corresponding to the eigenvalues (λ_k), a sequence of positive numbers, that is, $Q e_k = \lambda_k e_k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let us recall the integration by parts formula

$$(A.1) \qquad \int_H D_h \varphi \psi \, d\mu = -\int_H D_h \psi \varphi \, d\mu + \frac{1}{\lambda_h} \int_H x_h \varphi \psi \, d\mu$$

for any φ bounded and Borel.

We are given a Borel bounded mapping $g : H \to \mathbb{R}$ of class C^2 such that

HYPOTHESIS A.1.

$$I_1 := \int_H \frac{\text{Tr}[Q D^2 g(x)]}{|Q^{1/2} D g(x)|^2} \mu(dx) < \infty,$$

$$(A.2) \qquad I_2 := \int_H \frac{\langle D^2 g(x) \cdot Q^{1/2} g(x), Q^{1/2} g(x) \rangle}{|Q^{1/2} D g(x)|^4} \mu(dx) < \infty,$$

$$I_3 := \int_H \frac{\langle x, D g(x) \rangle}{|Q^{1/2} D g(x)|^2} \mu(dx) < \infty.$$

REMARK A.2. Let ρ be a nonnegative C^2 real function such that for some $c > 0$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$|\rho'(r)| + |\rho''(r)| \le c(1 + r^m), \qquad |\rho'(r)| \ge c$$

and set $g(x) = \rho(|x|^2)$. Then we have

$$I_1 = \frac{2\rho''(|x|^2)|Q^{1/2} x|^2 + \rho'(|x|^2) \, \text{Tr} \, Q}{\rho'(|x|^2)|Q^{1/2} x|^2},$$

$$I_2 = \frac{4(2\rho''(|x|^2) + \rho'(|x|^2))}{\rho'(|x|^2)|Q^{1/2} x|^2},$$

$$I_3 = \frac{|x|^2}{\rho'(|x|^2)|Q^{1/2} x|^2}.$$

Then it is not difficult to see that Hypothesis A.1 is fulfilled. Let us check for instance that

(A.3) $$J_1 : \int_H \frac{1}{|Q^{1/2}x|^2} \mu(dx) < +\infty.$$

We have in fact

$$\frac{1}{|Q^{1/2}x|^2} = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-t|Q^{1/2}x|^2}\, dt,$$

so that

$$J_1 = \int_0^{+\infty} dt \int_H e^{-t|Q^{1/2}x|^2} \mu(dx) = \int_0^{+\infty} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+2t\lambda_k^2}}\, dt$$

$$\leq \int_0^{+\infty} \prod_{k=1}^{3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+2t\lambda_k^2}}\, dt < +\infty.$$

We denote by $\mu_g := g_\#\mu$ the law of g on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$. Then for any $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ it holds

(A.4) $$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(r)\mu_g(dr) = \int_H \varphi(g(x))\mu(dx).$$

We are going to show following [4] that, under Hypothesis A.1, $g_\#\mu \ll \ell$, where ℓ is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$, using the well-known sufficient condition

(A.5) $$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi'(r)\mu_g(dr) \right| = \left| \int_H \varphi'(g(x))\mu(dx) \right| \leq C\|\varphi\|_0 \qquad \forall \varphi \in C_b^1(H).$$

PROPOSITION A.3. *Assume that Hypothesis A.1 is fulfilled. Then $g_\#\mu = \mu_g \ll \ell$.*

PROOF.   We claim that

$$\int_H \varphi'(g(x))\mu(dx)$$

(A.6)
$$= -\int_H \varphi(g(x)) \frac{\mathrm{Tr}[QD^2g(x)]}{|Q^{1/2}Dg(x)|^2} \mu(dx)$$

$$- 2\int_H \varphi(g(x)) \frac{\langle D^2g(x) \cdot Q^{1/2}g(x),\, Q^{1/2}g(x)\rangle}{|Q^{1/2}Dg(x)|^4} \mu(dx)$$

$$+ \int_H \varphi(g(x)) \frac{\langle x,\, Dg(x)\rangle}{|Q^{1/2}Dg(x)|^2} \mu(dx),$$

which will yield the conclusion.

Since

$$\langle D\varphi(g(x)), QDg(x)\rangle = \varphi'(g(x))|Q^{1/2}Dg(x)|^2,$$

we have

$$\int_H \varphi'(g(x))\mu(dx) = \int_H \frac{1}{|Q^{1/2}Dg(x)|^2}\langle D\varphi(g(x)), QDg(x)\rangle\mu(dx)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^\infty \lambda_k \int_H D_k\varphi(g(x))\frac{D_k g(x)}{|Q^{1/2}Dg(x)|^2}\mu(dx).$$

Using (A.1) yields

$$\int_H \varphi'(g(x))\mu(dx) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \lambda_k \int_H D_k\varphi(g(x))\frac{D_k g(x)}{|Q^{1/2}Dg(x)|^2}\mu(dx)$$

$$= -\sum_{k=1}^\infty \lambda_k \int_H \varphi(g(x))D_k\left[\frac{D_k g(x)}{|Q^{1/2}Dg(x)|^2}\right]\mu(dx)$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_H x_k\varphi(g(x))\frac{D_k g(x)}{|Q^{1/2}Dg(x)|^2}\mu(dx).$$

But

$$D_k\left[\frac{D_k g(x)}{|Q^{1/2}Dg(x)|^2}\right] = \frac{D_k^2 g(x)}{|Q^{1/2}Dg(x)|^2} - 2\frac{\sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_j D_k D_j g(x)D_j g(x)}{|Q^{1/2}Dg(x)|^4}.$$

Therefore

$$\int_H \varphi'(g(x))\mu(dx)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^\infty \lambda_k \int_H D_k\varphi(g(x))\frac{D_k g(x)}{|Q^{1/2}Dg(x)|^2}\mu(dx)$$

$$= -\sum_{k=1}^\infty \lambda_k \int_H \varphi(g(x))\frac{D_k^2 g(x)}{|Q^{1/2}Dg(x)|^2}\mu(dx)$$

$$= -2\sum_{k=1}^\infty \lambda_k \int_H \varphi(g(x))D_k g(x)\frac{\sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_j D_k D_j g(x)D_j g(x)}{|Q^{1/2}Dg(x)|^4}\mu(dx)$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_H x_k\varphi(g(x))\frac{D_k g(x)}{|Q^{1/2}Dg(x)|^2}\mu(dx).$$

So, (A.5) follows. □

The following result can be proved similarly.

COROLLARY A.4. *Assume that Hypothesis* A.1 *is fulfilled and let* f *be bounded and Borel. Then* $\mu_{fg} \ll \ell$.

A.2. Surface measure.   We denote by K the closed set $K = \{g(x) \leq 1\}$ and set

$$\Sigma_r = \{g(x) = r\}, \qquad \Sigma = \Sigma_1.$$

We recall the disintegration formula, see, for example, [19, 20]. For any $\varphi : H \to \mathbb{R}$ bounded and Borel we have.

$$(A.7) \qquad \int_H \varphi(x)\mu(dx) = \int_0^{+\infty} \left[\int_{\Sigma_r} \varphi(x) m_r(dx) \right] \mu_g(dr),$$

where $(m_r)_{r \geq 0}$ is a family of Borel measures on $[0, +\infty)$ such that the support of m_r is included on Σ_r.

Set

$$\alpha(r) = \int_{\{g \leq r\}} d\mu = \mu_g([0, r]).$$

By Proposition A.3 α is a.e. differentiable on $(0, \infty)$. We set

$$\sigma_\mu(\Sigma_r) := \alpha'(r) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{2h} \int_{r-h \leq g(x) \leq r+h} \mu(dx).$$

Now let f bounded and Borel and set

$$\alpha_f(r) = \int_{\{g \leq r\}} f \, d\mu = (f\mu)_g([0, r]).$$

Then by Corollary A.4 it follows that α_f is a.e. differentiable. We set

$$\int_{\Sigma_r} f(y)\sigma_{\mu_r}(dy) := \alpha'_f(r) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{2h} \int_{r-h \leq g(x) \leq r+h} f(x)\mu(dx), \qquad \text{a.e. } r > 0.$$

We finally prove.

THEOREM A.5. *Let* $f \in B_b(H)$. *Then we have*

$$(A.8) \qquad \int_H f(x)\mu(dx) = \int_0^{+\infty} \left[\int_{\Sigma_r} f(\sigma)\sigma_{\mu_r}(d\sigma) \right] dr.$$

PROOF.   Using the disintegration formula (A.7) we have a.e. on $(0, \infty)$

$$\int_{\Sigma_r} f(\sigma)\sigma_{\mu_r}(d\sigma) =: \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{2h} \int_{r-h \leq g(x) \leq r+h} f(x)\mu(dx)$$

$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{2h} \int_{r-h}^{r+h} \left[\int_{g^{-1}(r)} f(x) m_r(dx) \right] \sigma_{\mu_r}(\Sigma_r) \, dr.$$

By Lebesgue's theorem we deduce that

$$\int_{\Sigma_r} f(\sigma)\sigma_{\mu_r}(d\sigma) = \int_{g^{-1}(r)} f(x)m_r(dx)\sigma_{\mu_r}(\Sigma_r), \qquad \text{a.e. } r > 0,$$

which yields

$$\int_{g^{-1}(r)} f(x)m_r(dx) = \frac{1}{\sigma_{\mu_r}(\Sigma_r)} \int_{\Sigma_r} f(\sigma)\sigma_{\mu_r}(d\sigma), \qquad \text{a.e. } r > 0.$$

Now the conclusion follows by substituting this into (A.7). $\square$

REFERENCES

[1] AMBROSIO, L., SAVARÉ, G. and ZAMBOTTI, L. (2008). Existence and stability for Fokker–Planck equations with log-concave reference measure. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*. In press.

[2] BARBU, V. and DA PRATO, G. (2005). The Neumann problem on unbounded domains of $\mathbb{R}^d$ and stochastic variational inequalities. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **30** 1217–1248. MR2180301

[3] BARBU, V. and DA PRATO, G. (2008). The generator of the transition semigroup corresponding to a stochastic variational inequality. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **33** 1318–1338. MR2450160

[4] BOGACHEV, V. I. (1998). *Gaussian Measures. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs* **62**. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI. MR1642391

[5] CÉPA, E. (1998). Problème de Skorohod multivoque. *Ann. Probab.* **26** 500–532. MR1626174

[6] DA PRATO, G., DEBUSSCHE, A. and GOLDYS, B. (2002). Invariant measures of non-symmetric dissipative stochastic systems. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **123** 355–380. MR1918538

[7] DA PRATO, G. and LUNARDI, A. (2004). Elliptic operators with unbounded drift coefficients and Neumann boundary condition. *J. Differential Equations* **198** 35–52. MR2037749

[8] DA PRATO, G. and ZABCZYK, J. (1996). *Ergodicity for Infinite-Dimensional Systems. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series* **229**. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge. MR1417491

[9] DA PRATO, G. and ZABCZYK, J. (2002). *Second Order Partial Differential Equations in Hilbert Spaces. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series* **293**. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge. MR1985790

[10] DEUSCHEL, J.-D. and STROOCK, D. W. (1989). *Large Deviations. Pure and Applied Mathematics* **137**. Academic Press, Boston, MA. MR997938

[11] EBERLE, A. (1999). *Uniqueness and Nonuniqueness of Semigroups Generated by Singular Diffusion Operators. Lecture Notes in Math.* **1718**. Springer, Berlin. MR1734956

[12] FUHRMAN, M. (1995). Analyticity of transition semigroups and closability of bilinear forms in Hilbert spaces. *Studia Math.* **115** 53–71. MR1347432

[13] HAUSSMANN, U. G. and PARDOUX, É. (1989). Stochastic variational inequalities of parabolic type. *Appl. Math. Optim.* **20** 163–192. MR998402

[14] HERTLE, A. (1980). Gaussian surface measures and the Radon transform on separable Banach spaces. In *Measure Theory, Oberwolfach* 1979 (*Proc. Conf., Oberwolfach*, 1979). *Lecture Notes in Math.* **794** 513–531. Springer, Berlin. MR577995

[15] KATO, T. (1976). *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*, 2nd ed. Springer, Berlin. MR0407617

[16] MA, Z. M. and RÖCKNER, M. (1992). *Introduction to the Theory of (Nonsymmetric) Dirichlet Forms*. Springer, Berlin. MR1214375

[17] MALLIAVIN, P. (1997). *Stochastic Analysis. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften* [*Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences*] **313**. Springer, Berlin. MR1450093

[18] NUALART, D. and PARDOUX, É. (1992). White noise driven quasilinear SPDEs with reflection. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **93** 77–89. MR1172940

[19] SCHWARZ, L. (1975). *Lectures on Disintegration of Measures*. Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay.

[20] SKOROHOD, A. V. (1974). *Integration in Hilbert Space*. Springer, New York. MR0466482

[21] ZAMBOTTI, L. (2002). Integration by parts formulae on convex sets of paths and applications to SPDEs with reflection. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **123** 579–600. MR1921014

V. BARBU
UNIVERSITY AL. I. CUZA
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OCTAV MAYER
BLVD. CAROL, 11
700506 IASI
ROMANIA
E-MAIL: vb41@uaic.ro

G. DA PRATO
SCUOLA NORMALE SUPERIORE
PIAZZA DEI CAVALIERI, 7
56126 PISA
ITALY
E-MAIL: daprato@sns.it

L. TUBARO
DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA
VIA SOMMARIVE, 14
38050 POVO (TRENTO)
ITALY
E-MAIL: tubaro@science.unitn.it