

Oral microbiota and vitamin D impact on oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinogenesis: a narrative literature review

Journal:	Critical Reviews in Microbiology
Manuscript ID	BMCB-2020-0236.R2
Manuscript Type:	Reviews
Keywords:	Bacterial, fungal and epitheliotropic viral oral pathogens, oral microbiota, vitamin D, health, oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma



1		
2		
3 4	1	Review
5	•	
6	2	litte
7	h	Ovel misselpiete and vitamin D impact on evenhammageal equamous call equations
8	3	Oral microbiota and vitamin D impact on oropharyngeal squamous cen carcinogenesis: a
) 10	1	narrative literature review
11	-	
12	5	
13		
15	6	
16		
17	7	
18		
20	8	
21		
22	9	
23 24	10	
24 25	10	
26	11	
27		
28	12	
29 30		
31	13	
32		
33 24	14	
34 35		
36	15	
37	16	
38	10	
39 40	17	
41	17	
42	18	
43		
44 45	19	
46		
47	20	
48		
49 50	21	
51	22	
52	22	
53	22	
54 55	20	
56	24	
57		
58 50	25	
59 60		
	26	

27 Word count: 6685

28 Abstract

<u>An</u> emerging body of research is revealing the microbiota pivotal involvement in determining the
 health or disease state of several human niches, and that of vitamin D also in extra-skeletal regions.
 Nevertheless, much of the oral microbiota and vitamin D reciprocal impact in oropharyngeal
 squamous cell carcinogenesis (OPSCC) is still mostly unknown.

On this premise, starting from an *in-depth* scientific bibliographic analysis, this <u>narrative</u> literature review aims to show a detailed view of the state of the art on their contribution in the pathogenesis of this cancer type.

Significant differences in the oral microbiota species quantity and quality have been detected in
OPSCC affected patients; in particular, <u>mainly high-risk human papillomaviruses</u> (<u>HR-HPVs</u>), *Fusobacterium nucleatum, Porphyromonas gingivalis, Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and Candida spp.
seem to be highly represented.

Vitamin D prevents and fights infections promoted by the above identified pathogens, thus
confirming its homeostatic function on the microbiota balance. However, its antimicrobial and
antitumoral actions, well-described for the gut, have not been fully documented for the oropharynx
yet.

Deeper investigations of the mechanisms <u>that link</u> vitamin D levels, oral microbial diversity and
 inflammatory processes <u>will</u> lead to a better definition of OPSCC risk factors <u>for the</u> optimization of
 specific prevention and treatment strategies.

50 Keywords:

58 51 Bacterial, fungal and epitheliotropic viral oral pathogens, oral microbiota, <u>oropharyngeal squamous</u>
 59
 60 52 <u>cell carcinoma (OPSCC)</u>, vitamin D, health.

INTRODUCTION

Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma (HNSCC) is the sixth most common malignancy in the world with 600,000 new cases/year [1]. Although it mainly affects older tobacco and alcohol users, in recent years its incidence is also increasing in young people due to changes in sexual habits that predispose to Human Papillomavirus (HPV) infection, mainly to its 16 genotype at high risk of transformation [2–4].

Although surgical and therapeutic treatments have improved the overall survival (OS) rate of patients (approximately 66% at 5 years) [5], the diagnosis is still late, making it necessary to develop more suitable prevention, diagnosis and treatment measures to better improve detection and life expectancy [6].

Recent growing evidences are increasingly highlighting the pivotal involvement of the microbiota in determining the healthy or disease status of several human districts, such as those mental, respiratory, cutaneous and hepatic [7,8], and that of the vitamin D in several extra-skeletal regions [9–13]. Therefore, research is redirecting its attention towards the deepening of the knowledge on these themes in order to evaluate their reciprocal relation and assess their possible use as potential prognostic biomarkers for prevention, diagnosis and prognosis of many tumor types, including that of the oropharynx [14].

On these bases, this narrative literature review aims to evidence the state of the art regarding oral microbiota and vitamin D contribution in the pathogenesis of oropharyngeal squamous cell earcinomas (OPSCC), in order to summarize and highlight how vitamin D prevents and opposes infections, and clarify its homeostatic function on the microbiota balance, its cellular effects on human oral cancer cells in *in vitro* studies, and HNSCC patients' clinical features related with its deficiency, to better optimize specific prevention and treatment strategies in the near future.

54 101 56 102

METHODS

By using the journal citation electronic databases of the MEDLINE U.S. National Library of Medicine (NLM) from PubMed, Scopus, Google Scholar, and the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (CDSR), the following terms "oral microbiota", "oral virota", "virus", "epitheliotropic virus", "Human Papillomavirus (HPV)", "Epstein-Barr virus (EBV)", "oral bacteriota", "bacteria", "Phylum Firmicutes", "Phylum Fusobacteria", "Phylum Bacteroidetes", "Fusobacterium nucleatum", "Porphyromonas gingivalis", "Streptococcus mitis", "Streptococcus salivarius", "oral mycobiota", "mycetes", "Candida spp", "tobacco", "alcohol", "head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC)", "oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC)", "oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma (OPSCC)", "tonsillar squamous cell carcinoma (TSCC)", "oral cavity squamous cell carcinoma (OCSCC)", "vitamin D3", "vitamin D2", "cholecalciferol", "ergocalciferol", "reactive oxygen species (ROS)", "cytokines", "IL-6", "IL-1beta", "CCL2", "CXCL2", "CXCL8", "CSF3", "precision medicine", "immune system", both in single and/or mutually combined, have been searched, by also using the MeSH vocabulary system, in titles and abstracts in order to find indexed most pertinent articles and reviews; only original researches published in peer-reviewed journals have been considered. The literature search has been limited to the scientific publications in English language of the last 15 years, from the beginning of January 2006 until the end of November 2020, since most of the articles on these topics have had a considerable and exponential increase in this time frame; 620 appropriate abstracts and full papers have been carefully read, screened and reviewed according to the selected and adopted inclusion and exclusion criteria reported in Figure 1; therefore, one hundred and thirty-one have been finally selected, detailed, included and critically commented in the text.

NORMAL ORAL MICROBIOTA

103 More than 700 bacterial species populate the oral cavity [15], which is ideal for their growth due to 104 its temperature (about 37°C), pH value (between 6.5 and 7.5), and presence of saliva that keeps them hydrated and fed [15]. Fascinating is that each oral cavity niche is characterized by a peculiar microenvironment [16] that harbours a site-specific microbiota [15]. In particular, the tongue has the highest microbial diversity and contributes to the colonization of the other oral regions [15]. Regarding the salivary microbiota, it is mainly represented by *Streptococcus, Prevotella* and *Veillonella* genera, with no gender differences between males and females [16]. Interestingly, a similarity between salivary and oropharynx microbiota has been observed in relation to Firmicutes, Proteobacteria and Bacteroidetes (Figure 2), with each site dominated by distinct families within these phyla [16]. In particular, the prevalent microbes of the oropharynx are *Streptococcus pyogenes, S. pneumoniae, Haemophilus influenzae* and *H. parainfluenzae* (Figure 2), while *S. faecalis, Eikenella corrodens*, Enterobacteriaceae, *Actinomyces, Lactobacilli, Veillonella* and *Treponema* are dominant in the oral cavity [15]. Yokoyama and coll. have evidenced how bacteria can differ in *in vivo* and *in vitro* conditions, reinforcing the fact that many factors influence their behaviour [17]. Moreover, changes in the local environmental conditions can favour the <u>increase of</u> the disease potential aggressiveness of pathogenic bacteria [15].

Regarding the oral cavity, the bacterial genera present in healthy people are <u>mainly</u> Actinomyces,
 *Capnocytophaga, Eikenella, Eubacteria, Fusobacterium, Haemophilus, <u>Lactobacillus</u>, Leptotrichia,
 Neisseria, Porphyromonas, Prevotella, Propionibacterium, Peptostreptococcus, Streptococcus,
 Staphylococcus, Veillonella and Treponema, with a predominance of communities belonging to* Firmicutes, Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes and Fusobacteria phyla [15,18].

This niche is also colonized by commensal epitheliotropic viruses such as HPVs, which have also been detected in gingival biopsies, reservoirs of the virus [19], and by non-pathogenic Candida spp veast forms [20]. The dynamic balance between the oral microbiota components and the immune system is at the basis of the host oral health; therefore, when a reduction in their number and variety occurs, stronger pathogenic HPVs and *C. albicans* mold forms can become more prevalent and persistent [21,22].

1

<u>In such a context, the role of probiotics can be</u> determinant for <u>the prevention</u> of such imbalances and crucial for a better prognosis in several diseases<u>. For instance</u>, since they can enhance the effectiveness of immunotherapies <u>with-based on the use of</u> checkpoint inhibitors; as an example, the oral administration of *Bifidobacteria* can control the tumour growth with the same efficiency of PD-L1 specific antibody therapy [23]. Moreover<u>, probiotics</u> can also reduce the mutagenic effects of harmful substances, by modulating the expression of proteins involved in cell proliferation, apoptosis, inflammation or immune system activation [24].

138 OROPHARYNGEAL SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA (OPSCC)

HNSCC accounts for about 5% of all human tumours and represents the sixth most common malignancy worldwide [1,25]. OPSCC, whose annual incidence is estimated to be around 230.000 new cases in the world [26], is the most frequent histological type of HNSCC and originates from 141 the epithelium covering the upper aero-digestive tract, which includes the sino-nasal cavities, the oral cavity, the oropharynx, the hypopharynx and the larynx [27]. Human Papillomavirus (HPV) 144 infection. Moreover, it is showing an increased trend over the past 3 decades due to a rising rate in HPV infection [28], with high geographic heterogeneity of positive HNSCC affected cases that goes from about 50% in Europe to more than 70% in North America [29]. These different epidemiological data could be justified by some confounding factors, such as associated smoking and alcohol habits, different sampling methods and HPV infection detection modalities. As well known, the etiological role played by specific high-risk HPV (HR-HPV) genotypes in a subset of 150 OPSCC is now well established [30]. HPV is in fact responsible for the most common sexually transmitted infections and can be detected in oral and oropharyngeal mucosa in about 7% of 14-69 151 years old people, with a male/female ratio of 3:1. While in most cases mucosal HPV infection selfclearances in 6-24 months, a persistence of HR-HPVs infection (especially of cancerogenic 16 and 154 18 genotypes), which often occurs with an unbalanced microbiota, can ultimately lead to OPSCC

Page 7 of 47

1 2 3

4 5

155	[31]. On the contrary	/, low-risk	<u>HPV ar</u>	e associated	with	benign	lesions,	-such-	as	<u>mucosal</u>
						-				
156	oropharyngeal papillon	1as [31].								

The tonsils and the base of the tongue are the most common involved sites, corresponding to about 157 46% and 47% of OPSCC, respectively [31]. Patients with HPV-related OPSCC have a younger 10 158 159 median age with a white males prevalence and show in most cases non-keratinizing, undifferentiated aspects with basaloid features, high propensity for neck metastases and different 15 160 biologic behavior [31]. Neck metastases in HPV-positive subjects are characterized by an early 17 161 onset and peculiar pathological features. Symptoms related to OPSCC are persistent sore throat, 162 dysphagia, sensation of pharyngeal lump, ear pain and painless neck masses that frequently ₂₂ 163 constitute an early sign of disease. HPV-positive OPSCC must be regarded as a distinct molecular 24 164 ²⁶ 165 and clinical-pathological entity related to HPV infection [28,30,32]; especially HPV16 genotype is estimated to be the main carcinogenic agent in upper airways [33-35]. The peculiar anatomical site 166 31 167 of cancer onset, rich of lymphoid tissue (i.e. Waldeyer ring), probably explains the different patterns 33 168 of immune response to tumor cells and the better prognosis of OPSCC. Moreover, HPV-related OPSCC is considered genetically distinct from tobacco-associated carcinomas with differentially 169 ₃₈ 170 expressed genes and lower mutational burden [33]. When conditions favour a microbiota depletion, 40 171 HR-HPVs can become prevalent and inhibit the cellular response to stress, thanks to the action of 172 their viral E6 and E7 oncoproteins, thus leading to DNA-damaged cells uncontrolled proliferation 45 173 and to a higher risk of cancer onset and progression [21,30,34–36]. While sexual behaviors represent a specific risk factor for increasing HPV-related tumors, smoking 47 174 175 and alcohol consumption are well-established conventional risk factors for OPSCC [37]. HPVpositive OPSCC are more common among patients with a lower number of cumulative pack-year 176 tobacco smoking and less alcohol consumption compared to HPV-negative ones [37].

Prognostic factors and stratification risk. HPV status is considered an independent prognostic factor with better treatment responses, higher OS rates and persistence-free survival rates in HPV-

2		
3 4	180	positive compared to HPV-negative OPSCC. However, OS is reduced in HPV-positive smoking
5 6	181	patients (considered cut-off < 10 pack-year) [38].
7 8 0	182	For HPV-related OPSCC, a new classification and a separate staging system have been established
9 10 11	183	in the 8th edition of the American Joint Committee on Cancer-Tumor-Nodes-Metastasis (AJCC-
12 13	184	TNM), especially regarding nodal status [39]. The new staging system has been introduced in order
14 15	185	to improve treatment strategies, especially for HPV-related OPSCC. According to observed survival
16 17	186	data, OPSCC patients are categorized in three prognostic groups: low, intermediate and high risk of
18 19 20	187	death in relation to risk factors (HPV status, smoking and alcohol history, tumor and nodal stages)
21 22	188	[38]. Three-years survival rates range from 46 to 93% [30]. From a clinical point of view, HPV-
23 24	189	related OPSCC are often diagnosed in early-moderate tumor stages of the disease, according to the
25 26 27	190	new classification system, but with a high nodal spread. Nodal metastases frequently show cystic
28 29	191	features at computed tomography scan and nuclear magnetic resonance imaging [30].
30 31	192	HPV-positive OPSCC have distinct risk factors profiles and oncological outcomes compared to
32 33 34	193	HPV-negative cases. Favorable prognostic factors related to HPV infection are younger age, better
34 35 36	194	performance status and smaller primary tumors [30]. The well-recognized intrinsic high radio-
37 38	195	sensitivity of HPV-related OPSCC could be explained by specific molecular features such as the
39 40	196	activation of wild-type p53, the downregulation of cyclin D1, the lack of EGFR amplification and
41 42 43	197	differences in the tumor microenvironment (TME) [30,40 42]. A different tumor-infiltrating
44 45	198	lymphocytes pattern with better recurrence-free survival has been described in HPV-positive
46 47	199	OPSCC [32]. A high CD8+ T cell infiltration and an increased PD-1 expression are associated with
48 49 50	200	improved survival rates in HPV-related tumors. The favorable outcomes of patients with HPV-
51 52	201	related OPSCC can be explained by a stronger immune response against these tumors [43]. A better
53 54	202	knowledge of the interaction between HPV and the host's immune system could improve treatment
55 56	203	strategies with tailored oncological protocols [44]. Taken as a whole, HPV-related OPSCC
57 58	204	distinguish for better oncological outcomes regardless of treatment strategy, since the 5-year
59 60		

survival rates are of 75-80% and 45-50% in HPV-positive and HPV-negative tumors, respectively
 [38].

More recently, human microbiota perturbations, which also contribute to HPV infection and persistence [21], have earned a position of primary importance in several cancer types and also in immune and oral disorders. In the very last years, the first steps are moving towards knowledge of their involvement in the genesis and progression of OPSCC and new literature data are evidencing that during OPSCC development important dysbiosis occur [45]. To this regard, a peculiar role for vitamin D also in extra-skeletal regions has been suggested, even if its antimicrobial and antitumoral actions, well-described for the gut, have not been fully documented for the oropharynx yet [46–48].

16 ORAL MICROBIOTA AND OPSCC

As outlined, the balance in terms of number and variety of commensal and pathogenic bacterial strains is one of the factors that can significantly contribute to oral cancer development and progression. Some <u>pathogens are involved</u> in chronic inflammation <u>through</u> metabolic activities that lead to the production of sulphur compounds, acids and free radicals, <u>thus inducing</u> pro-tumorigenic damages [15,24]. Besides these, several <u>other</u> substances and mechanisms are involved in the initiation and progression of the oncogenic process: <u>in fact</u>, bacterial endotoxins, metabolic byproducts and increased enzymatic activities can lead to somatic mutations and signalling pathway alterations [49]. Moreover, inflammatory cells or cytokines, released in the <u>tumour</u> <u>microenvironment (TME)</u> in response to bacterial unbalance, can lead to the production of radical oxygen and nitrogen species, ending in DNA alterations [49]. <u>Additionally, as observed</u> in 2018 by Yost *et al.* <u>assessed that</u> the oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC)-associated microbiota secretome is enriched with pro-inflammatory molecules such as LPS, flagella and peptidases, while pro-DNA repair factors are absent [50]. <u>In line with these findings</u>, Hooper *et al.*, <u>via a</u> Fluorescent *In Situ* Hybridization (<u>FISH</u>) analysis and <u>a</u> 16S rRNA sequencing of OSCC samples surface, <u>revealed</u> that

their microbiota was mainly composed of Clavibacter michiganensis, Fusobacterium naviforme, Ralstonia insidiosa and Prevotella spp. According to the authors opinion, the bacteria selection was driven by an acidic and hypoxic microenvironment. However, it remains unclear if this they didn't 10 234 clarify if this latter selection was a consequence or a leading factor for tumour development [51]. To aggravate the situation, some bacterial species such as Streptococcus salivarius, S. intermedius, 15 236 S. mitis and non-pathogenic Neisseria subspecies, other than Candida spp., produce alcohol 17 237 dehydrogenase, which, as a consequence of due to ethanol metabolism, is responsible for the production of carcinogenic molecules, such as acetaldehyde (ACH), hydroxyl ethyl- and hydroxyl-radicals; these species among them are included (Figure 2) [24,52]. MoreoverIn fact, Porphyromonas gingivalis, Fusobacterium nucleatum, Prevotella intermedia and Aggregatibacter 24 240 ²⁶ 241 actinomycetemcomitans generate volatile sulphur compounds, such as the genotoxic and mutagenic agents hydrogen sulphide and methyl mercaptan, that induce chronic inflammation, cell 31 243 proliferation, migration, invasion and tumour angiogenesis [15]. 33 244 By focusing on the microbial communities that have been related to oral cancer, a very huge amount of papers and research approaches have been reported, thus revealing a quite complex, ₃₈ 246 variegated and sometimes controversial scenario. As an example, the pilot study presented by Wolf et al., who compared the microbial species present in the saliva of oral cavity (OP)- and OP-SCC 40 247 affected patients with those of healthy subjects, evidenced through a sequencing analysis, that an early high prevalence of Firmicutes was present has been observed earlier in tumour patients respect to the healthy group, although the importance of the result obtained must strongly be resized due to 47 250 the limited sample size [14]. The review of La Rosa and colleagues identified, this time in a slightly larger number of cases, a panel of bacteria, including Capnocytophaga, Corynebacterium, 54 253 Haemophilus, Oribacterium, Paludibacter, Porphyromonas and Rothia, to discern oral cavity (OC-56 254)/OP-SCC_affected-patients and healthy controls; in particular, Capnocytophaga gingivalis, Peptostreptococcus spp., P. gingivalis, Prevotella spp., and Streptococcus spp. were oral microorganisms the mostly associated with saliva samples from OSCC [24]. In another report

Streptococcus mutans, Lactobacillus fermentum, L. salivarius and L. rhamnosus have been described to be higher in OPSCC patients (Figure 2) [15]. More in general, Guerrero-Preston's group found that the presence of Lactobacillus or the loss of Haemophilus, Neisseria, Gemellaceae or Aggregatibacter in saliva could be considered as a HNSCC biomarker; this is the first time that an association between Lactobacillus, tumour samples and advanced TNM stage has been evidenced. Moreover, by comparing the saliva microbiome of OPSCC and OCSCC patients with healthy controls, they showed that the relative abundance within the genera Streptococcus, Dialister, and Veillonella can be useful to discriminate tumoral from control samples; in addiction, cancer samples lost Neisseria, Aggregatibacter (Proteobacteria), Haemophilus (Firmicutes) and Leptotrichia (Fusobacteria) [49]. In another study, the same authors determined a decrease in Streptococcus and an increase in L. salivarius, L. fermentum, L. gasseri/johnsonii and L. vaginalis (Figure 2) with the progression of the TNM stage [53]. These authors are the few ones who reported observable oral microbiota differences potentially useful as oral cancer biomarkers. Yang et al. also showed how bacterial communities dynamically change during OCSCC progression; 5 major phyla differed among healthy and OSCC groups. Firmicutes were the dominant phylum in oral rinse samples (58.40% in healthy individuals, 59.65% OSCC stage 1, 59.76% OSCC stage 2 and 3, 58.43% OSCC stage 4) with a relative abundance of 25% in tumour lesions and 35% in the saliva of OSCC patients. Other differences are that Moreover, stage 4 OSCC showed significantly more oral Fusobacteria than healthy individuals [54], as however also observed by La Rosa *et al.* also found that Fusobacteria are significantly higher in OCSCC [24]. Therefore, considering that each individual possesses his own characteristic oral microbiota and

based on the approach of Zhang et al., that found significant microbiota differences between cancer sites and normal tissues [55], it will be likely possible to identify new markers for personalised treatment targets [55]. In particularfact, specific bacterial taxa, such as Veillonella, Fusobacterium, Prevotella, Porphyromonas, Actinomyces, Clostridium, Haemophilus, Enterobacteriaceae and

Streptococcus spp. are seem to be strongly related to oral cancer and epithelial precancerous lesions [56].

Oral microbiota and OPSCC risk factors. If on one side microbial communities can be altered by 10 285 several factors such as age, pH, oxygen levels, nutrients, lifestyle as dietary habits, oral hygiene, tooth loss, periodontal disease, tobacco, alcohol consumption and HPV status [15,57,58], on the other hand the microbiota imbalance itself may facilitate HR-HPV infection and persistence [58].

These significant microbiota differences have been mainly detected in advanced stages of OPSCCs 17 288 [59]. As an example, Guerrero-Preston and coworkers observed a significant presence of Gemellaceae and Leuconostoc in HPV-positive compared to HPV-negative HNSCC cases [49] and Banerjee and collaborators identified a specific microbial signature within OCSCC and OPSCC, 24 291 ²⁶ 292 using a pan-pathogen assay [60]. Interestingly, Lim et al. proposed a microbiota panel as a biomarker to predict OCSCC and OPSCC (in HPV-positive and -negative subsets) in a clinical 31 294 setting. The authors observed that, based on the oral microbiota composition, it is possible to 33 295 discriminate cancer patients from healthy subjects, with reported sensibility and specificity of 100% for OCSSC and 90% for OPSCC [61]. In HPV-positive oral cancer patients, members of ₃₈ 297 Actinomyces, Granulicatella, Oribacterium and Campylobacter genera, as well as Veillonella dispar, Rothia mucilaginosa and Haemophilus parainfluenzae significantly increased, while 40 298 Streptococcus anginosus, Peptoniphilus and Mycoplasma significantly decreased [57].

The virota has been also recently studied in early stages of tonsillar cancers (TSCC) and neck metastases by Carey and coll. [62]. Conversely, Lactobacillus, Bifidobacterium, Atopobium, 47 301 Prevotella, Streptococcus and Veillonella genera increased, while Rothia, Neisseria and Lautropia significantly decreased [57]. The oral microbiota composition of smokers and non-smokers was 54 304 analysed by Rodriguez-Rabassa and coll. in saliva samples [63]. Five phyla resulted most abundant 56 305 in smokers: Proteobacteria (40%), Firmicutes (29%), Bacteroidetes (23%), Fusobacteria (5%) and Actinobacteria (2%), representing the 99% of the sequences found. In non-smokers, the most represented were Firmicutes (66%), followed by Bacteroidetes (16%), Actinobacteria (5%),

Fusobacteria (5%) and Proteobacteria (4%), representing the 96% of all sequences. At a genus 308 level, Streptococcus resulted the most abundant in both groups (15% in smokers and 35% in non-309 smokers) [63]. The authors also examined the expression patterns of pro- and anti-inflammatory 310 cytokines, finding how IL-2, IL-4 and adrenocorticotropic hormone were significantly higher in smokers' samples, while macrophage-derived chemokine, IL-5, IL-7, IL-10, insulin and leptin were 312 down-modulated compared to non-smokers [63]. In another work, a higher abundance of F. nucleatum was detected in smokers [15]. Conversely, Fan and co-workers determined how, in the American population, the overall oral cavity microbiota composition differs based on alcohol 315 consumption. In fact, a decreased abundance in Lactobacillales is associated to alcohol consumption and, thus, to a reduced capacity to metabolize ACH to less toxic forms. Alcohol impairs neutrophils function contributing to bacterial overgrowth, increased permeability, microbes penetration, and inflammatory cytokine production from monocytes, thus allowing microbial proliferation [64]. The 319 assessment of the alterations in the oral microbial communities, at the time of diagnosis and during oncological treatments, may be therefore associated to oral tumor risk factors and therefore it was 322 expected to be useful as a prognostic and surveillance biomarker [65]. HPV16 persistence has been often revealed in cancers of the tongue of non-smokers, non-drinkers

OPSCC affected patients [16]. Since it is the prevalent genotype in 98% of the OCSCC/OPSCC [60], it could be used as a potential diagnostic and prognostic biomarker. Other HPV, such as genotypes 1, 2, 6b, 18, 26, and 34, have been less detected [60].

Epstein-Barr Virus (EBV) has been also retrieved in OCSCC [60]. Despite Broccolo et al. 47 327 328 evidenced a HPV16/EBV coinfection in about 15-20% of HNSCC in the Italian population, HPV16 329 appears to play a role mostly in OPSCC, while EBV in OCSCC [66]. HR-HPV oncoproteins can in 54 330 fact initiate and/or amplify epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) [67], the hallmark of cancer 56 331 progression and metastasis [68], by cooperating with EBV (Figure 3). Regarding this last virus, its 57 58 332 latent membrane protein 1 (LMP1) promotes cell growth, protects cells from apoptosis, enhances 59 60 333 cell mobility, stimulates angiogenesis and matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)-9 expression and

downregulates E-cadherin expression, while LMP2A and EBNA-1 increase cells invasive/migration ability [68]. Differently from HPV-positive OPSCC affected patients, EBV status seems to show a statistically significant negative impact on their prognosis [69]. In fact, despite it generally causes benign lesions, it may be involved in lymphomas and malignancies of several different human sites, such as the oral niche. Thanks to its latency, persistence properties and ability to target B cells and keratinocytes of the head and neck region [70], it may immortalize them, thus acting as a tumour progression co-factor rather than a cancer initiator [71].

342 PERIODONTAL PATHOGENS AND ORAL CANCER

By considering oral cancer affected patients with a history of periodontal disease, a significant increase in the genera Fusobacterium, Eikenella and Capnocytophaga and in the Leptotrichiaceae family has been detected [57]. In particular, P. gingivalis and F. nucleatum are noteworthy able to induce inflammatory cytokines production, cell proliferation, invasion, migration and inhibition of apoptosis, by causing host cell genomic alterations; moreover, they are both involved in chronic periodontitis which, in turn, correlates with malignant tumour development [15,56].

P. gingivalis is one of the Bacteroidetes phylum members able to convert ethanol into ACH and induce DNA damage, mutagenesis and epithelium hyperproliferation [72]. An association among increased risk of oro-digestive cancer mortality, severity of periodontal disease and its serum IgG levels has been found (Figure 3) by Ahn et al. [73]. P. gingivalis, together with F. nucleatum and the oral carcinogen 4-nitroquinoline-1-oxide, allows the transformation of OSCC and increases the signalling along the TLR2-IL-6-STAT3 axis [72,74-76]. In other experiments, human immortalized oral epithelial cells, persistently exposed to P. gingivalis at low multiplicity of infection, showed morphological changes, increased proliferation, migration and invasion [72]. In support of this evidence, several authors suggested that chronic periodontitis is one of the main factors that contributes to the metastatic progression of oral cancer in-many oral cancer cells. Cho et al. since they observed that P. gingivalis-infected YD10B OSCC cells had an increased invasiveness and

1

Page 15 of 47

1

EMT-like changes [77]. Other authors demonstrated that prolonged and repeated infection with P. 360 gingivalis (twice a week for 5 weeks) enhanced the invasiveness of Ca9-22 OSCC cells through the 361 acquisition of cancer stemness and EMT characteristics, while the short term infection determined morphologic changes (loss of adhesiveness and polygonal shape) in YD10B cells, expression of cancer stemness markers (CD44 and CD133) and EMT [77-79]. In YD10B cells, P. gingivalis also demonstrated to increase the expression of MMPs, main effectors of neighbouring tissues invasion [77,80] and to lead It leads to cytokines production, in particular of IL-8, by the epithelial cells, contributing to the inflammatory response (Figure 3) [72,77]. P. gingivalis stimulates ZEB1 expression, that influences multiple stages of carcinogenesis, including such as the initial transformation, progression and EMT, thus leading to metastasis and resistance to therapy [81]. It upregulates the expression of B7-H1 and B7-DC on human cancer cells, favouring the production of IL-1, IL-6, IL-8 and tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF- α) [15,72]. B7-H1 can also interact with PD-1 receptors on tumour infiltrating lymphocytes, by blocking their cytotoxic activity against the cancerous epithelial cells [72]. Park et al. showed how IgG against P. gingivalis and lower serum IL-6 levels positively correlate with the 5-years OS in OSCC patients, thus they might be accurate diagnostic/prognostic biomarkers for OSCC [82].

F. nucleatum triggers reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation leading to NADPH oxidase activation, in particular the NOX1 and NOX2 isoforms; interesting, IL-6, IL-8 and SOD2 gene expression increases in gingival fibroblasts after *F. nucleatum* infection [83]. *F. nucleatum* can induce cellular DNA damages, indicated by up-regulation of the DNA damage sensor histone variant γ H2A.X [84].

In their meta-analysis, Bronzato *et al.* highlighted that *Fusobacterium* has 2.93-fold higher chance to be present in tumour lesions and a 6% higher abundance in HNSCC compared to non-tumoral areas. They assessed that it promotes OSCC cells proliferation and disrupts adherence junctions on human tongue dysplasia cells; in addition, it can favour *C. albicans* and *P. gingivalis* colonization (Figure 3) [85]. Recently and for the first time, *F. nucleatum* and *P. aeruginosa* have been assessed

1

as the 1st and 2nd prevalent microorganisms in HNSCC, respectively (Figure 3) [85]. Since in oesophageal cancer tissues *F. nucleatum* has been associated with a shorter survival time, it has the potential to become a prognostic biomarker [86]. *F. nucleatum* is abundant in OSCC patients; MMP-9 and MMP-13, produced after its infection, together with IL-1a, IL-1b, IL-6, IL-8 through the NF-kB pathway, have been used in monitoring and detecting a metastatic phenotype (Figure 3) [4,77,88].

P. aeruginosa triggers DNA breaks in epithelial cells, thus causing chromosomal instability; this Gram-negative Proteobacteria member possesses LPS, flagella and exotoxin U, with potent proinflammatory activities, that, like for *F. nucleatum*, result in neutrophils recruitment through NF-kB signalling pathway. It is also able to disrupt adherent junctions (Figure 3), even if its role in initiation and/or progression of OSCC has not actually been proved [87].

Candida spp. Subjects with *Candida* infection have a two-fold increased risk of developing cancer in mouth, tongue, oropharynx and oesophagus (Figure 3). <u>Candida</u> species are prevalent in oral cancer immunocompromised patients, due to the underlying disease and treatments [16,88]. <u>Candida</u> spp. cause systemic infections in about 74% of OSCC affected patients; the most frequent is *C. albicans* (84%), followed by non-*albicans* strains (23%) [88,89]. In particular, *C. glabrata* metabolizes ethanol to ACH, while other non-*albicans* strains degrade junctional and basement membrane proteins, such as fibronectin and claudins [88], with a proteolytic activity higher than that of *C. albicans* [88]. To this regard, fibronectin and claudins can be important predictive biomarkers for both metastatization and recurrence; in particular, CLDN4 is a potential marker for predicting the outcome of OSCC affected patients [88].

Subjects with higher microbial load and lower salivary flow had more <u>Candida</u> spp. growth, but
without any association with a lower OS [89]. Moreover, healthy smokers possess a higher number
of <u>Candida</u> spp. compared to non-smokers (28.2% and 13.3%, respectively) [89].

⁸ 410 Human beta-defensin-2 (hBD-2) has a potent antimicrobial activity against *C. albicans* and its ⁹ 411 highest expression has been detected in lung, trachea and tonsils; *in vivo* it is also expressed in

TSCC and in oral epithelial cells [90]. *C. albicans* induces hBD-2 mRNA expression in a dose- and time-dependent manner; but its expression is lower in TSCC and OPSCC than in hyperplastic and healthy tonsils [90]. In the study from Bertolini *et al.*, it has been shown that immunosuppression coupled with *C. albicans* colonization results in a bacterial dysbiosis which, in turn, promotes the fungal virulence [22]. In particular, *Enterococcus*, in a rate below 20% in healthy adults, increases up to 82% in chemotherapy-treated patients or with systemic disease. It has also been assessed that the immunosuppression type influences the state of dysbiosis associated with oral candidiasis [22].

420 PROINFLAMMATORY CYTOKINES AND OPSCC

A gene expression profile, conducted in three different OSCC cell lines by Rao *et al.*, showed an up-regulation of genes involved in proliferation and angiogenesis, and a down-regulation of those ones involved in apoptosis regulation, tumour inhibition and keratinisation respect to human oral normal keratinocytes cells; also cytokines, such as IL-8, VEGF, EGFR, STAT CXCL10, CCL5, TGFB2, TNFSF10, as well as VEGF, are 4-fold up-regulated, suggesting that inflammation may play important roles in OSCC [91]. Regarding IL-10, its mRNA expression levels may also independently predict the survival and relapse rates of HPV-positive OSCC patients, thus emphasising its crucial role in the tumoral progression [92]. For IL-8, recognised as an autocrine regulator of OSCC growth and a cell mobility enhancer, an increase expression of its high and low affinity receptor CXCR1 and CXCR2 in oral cancer has been observed; therefore, this salivary cytokine has been proposed to be a discriminative biomarker for oral cancer [80].

3 VITAMIN D

Vitamin D is a liposoluble steroid hormone, well known for its beneficial role in bone metabolism, calcium/phosphorus homeostasis maintenance and immune function [93]. Its antioxidant effect has been also investigated [94]. The vitamin D2 isoform (ergocalciferol) is produced in plants and yeasts and can be absorbed from the diet or introduced by supplementation; conversely, vitamin D3

(cholecalciferol) can be endogenously synthesized from 7-dehydrocholesterol in sunlight-exposed
skin. Vitamin D2 and D3 are inactive pro-hormones, which require a two-step hydroxylation to be
converted into a fully active vitamin D form. They are transformed in the liver into 25- hydroxy
vitamin D (25[OH]D), which is further activated in the kidney to 1α,25 dihydroxy vitamin D
(1,25[OH]₂D, calcitriol). Calcitriol has high affinity for the vitamin D receptor (VDR), a nuclear
steroid hormone receptor that regulates a variety of genes [95,96]. Bound to its receptor, vitamin D
translocates into the nucleus, where, together with the nuclear accessory factor retinoid X receptor
(RXR), binds to vitamin D response elements (VDREs) on DNA, resulting in a direct gene
transcription activation [97,98].
<u>VDR is also located in the cytosol, modulating vitamin D action via non-genomic mechanisms</u>
characterized by rapid activation of intracellular signaling molecules, including kinases, lipases,

449 <u>second messengers and Ca²⁺ and Cl⁻ channels, with antiproliferative properties and by inducing</u>
 450 <u>apoptosis without gene transcription changes [93,99]. The VDR is also present in cancer cells,</u>
 451 where it modulates target genes involved in cellular growth, differentiation and apoptosis [100],
 452 suggesting a pivotal role of vitamin D in cancer growth and progression [101,102].

In fact vitamin D, which is downregulated in tumor tissues, generally prevents cancer incidence and
progression through the inhibition of cell proliferation, angiogenesis, metastasis and the induction
of apoptosis and differentiation [17,18,19].

47 457 VITAMIN D AND OPSCC

⁴⁹ 458 <u>Meta-analyses of observational studies have shown a positive association between low blood</u>
 ⁵¹ 52 459 <u>25[OH]D levels and less survival</u> of patients with cancer in several body sites such as colorectal,
 ⁵³ 100 lung, breast, prostate, head and neck, esophageal, pancreatic, kidney, ovarian and hematologic ones
 ⁵⁴ 460 [103].

⁵⁸ 462 <u>The main vitamin D effects, that can be involved in the prevention of cancer incidence and</u> ⁶⁰ 463 progression, include the inhibition of cell proliferation, angiogenesis and metastasis and the Page 19 of 47

1 2

Critical Reviews in Microbiology

³ 464 4	induction of apoptosis and differentiation [17,18,23]. A possible role for vitamin D in preventing
5 6 465	cancer growth and progression is also suggested by the presence of VDR in cancer cells, in which it
7 8 466 9	can modulate target genes involved in cellular growth, differentiation and apoptosis [100-102].
10 467 11	Regarding the possible association between vitamin D and HNSCC/OPSCC development, only few
12 13 468	studies have been developed. According to the in vitro studies analyzed, vitamin D3 and 13-cis
14 15 469 16	retinoic acid have been shown to have equipotent antiproliferative effects on tongue squamous cell
17 470 18	carcinoma (SCC-25) cells [104]; 1,25[OH] ₂ D has been shown to inhibit the OPSCC growth by
¹⁹ 471 20	upregulating the cell cycle inhibitor p18 expression [105]; moreover, several tumoral cells produce
21 22 472 23	1,25[OH] ₂ D to regulate their own growth [106]. To this regard, it has been recently demonstrated
24 473 25	that OPSCC cells express high levels of cytochrome P450 2R1 (CYP2R1), which is involved in the
26 474 27	conversion of inactive into active vitamin D [107]. Moreover, polymorphisms in CYP27B1 and
28 29 475 30	CYP24A1 genes, other cytochrome P450 family members involved in vitamin D metabolism
31 476	pathway, seem to affect susceptibility to OPSCC [108], while single nucleotide polymorphisms in
32	
32 33 477 34	the VDR gene have been associated to increase the risk of OPSCC [109].
32 33 477 34 35 36 37	the VDR gene have been associated to increase the risk of OPSCC [109]. With respect to the vitamin D effect on cancer patients, recently, the vitamin D status, intake, and
32 33 477 34 35 478 36 37 38 479 39	the VDR gene have been associated to increase the risk of OPSCC [109]. With respect to the vitamin D effect on cancer patients, recently, the vitamin D status, intake, and metabolism have been considered associated with their outcome [47]. It has in fact been
32 33 477 34 35 478 36 37 38 479 39 40 480 41	the VDR gene have been associated to increase the risk of OPSCC [109]. With respect to the vitamin D effect on cancer patients, recently, the vitamin D status, intake, and metabolism have been considered associated with their outcome [47]. It has in fact been demonstrated that HNSCC patients with lower levels of vitamin D intake are at higher risk of
32 33 477 34 35 478 36 37 38 479 39 40 480 41 42 481 43 44	the VDR gene have been associated to increase the risk of OPSCC [109]. With respect to the vitamin D effect on cancer patients, recently, the vitamin D status, intake, and metabolism have been considered associated with their outcome [47]. It has in fact been demonstrated that HNSCC patients with lower levels of vitamin D intake are at higher risk of recurrence, suggesting that vitamin D supplementation may be an appropriate intervention for
32 33 477 34 35 478 36 37 38 479 39 40 480 41 42 481 43 44 45 482 46	the VDR gene have been associated to increase the risk of OPSCC [109]. With respect to the vitamin D effect on cancer patients, recently, the vitamin D status, intake, and metabolism have been considered associated with their outcome [47]. It has in fact been demonstrated that HNSCC patients with lower levels of vitamin D intake are at higher risk of recurrence, <u>suggesting that vitamin D supplementation</u> may be <u>an appropriate</u> intervention for recurrences prevention [46,110] <u>and for OS improvement [103,110,111]. In addition, vitamin D</u>
32 33 477 34 35 478 36 37 38 479 39 40 480 41 42 481 43 44 482 46 47 483 48	the VDR gene have been associated to increase the risk of OPSCC [109]. With respect to the vitamin D effect on cancer patients, recently, the vitamin D status, intake, and metabolism have been considered associated with their outcome [47]. It has in fact been demonstrated that HNSCC patients with lower levels of vitamin D intake are at higher risk of recurrence, suggesting that vitamin D supplementation may be an appropriate intervention for recurrences prevention [46,110] and for OS improvement [103,110,111]. In addition, vitamin D supplementation in esophageal cancer patients has been associated with a longer disease-free
32 33 477 34 35 478 36 478 37 38 479 39 40 480 41 42 481 43 44 482 46 47 483 48 49 484 50 51	the VDR gene have been associated to increase the risk of OPSCC [109]. With respect to the vitamin D effect on cancer patients, recently, the vitamin D status, intake, and metabolism have been considered associated with their outcome [47]. It has in fact been demonstrated that HNSCC patients with lower levels of vitamin D intake are at higher risk of recurrence, suggesting that vitamin D supplementation may be an appropriate intervention for recurrences prevention [46,110] and for OS improvement [103,110,111]. In addition, vitamin D supplementation in esophageal cancer patients has been associated with a longer disease-free survival [112], while in HNSCC patients with a higher or adequate pre-diagnostic plasma vitamin D
32 33 477 34 35 478 36 37 38 479 39 40 480 41 42 481 43 44 45 482 46 47 483 48 49 484 50 51 485 53	the VDR gene have been associated to increase the risk of OPSCC [109]. With respect to the vitamin D effect on cancer patients, recently, the vitamin D status, intake, and metabolism have been considered associated with their outcome [47]. It has in fact been demonstrated that HNSCC patients with lower levels of vitamin D intake are at higher risk of recurrence, suggesting that vitamin D supplementation may be an appropriate intervention for recurrences prevention [46,110] and for OS improvement [103,110,111]. In addition, vitamin D supplementation in esophageal cancer patients has been associated with a longer disease-free survival [112], while in HNSCC patients with a higher or adequate pre-diagnostic plasma vitamin D concentration, it has been reported a notable risk decrease and an improved OS [113]. All these
32 33 477 34 35 478 36 37 38 479 39 40 480 41 42 481 43 44 482 46 47 483 48 49 484 50 51 485 52 485 53 54 486 55	the VDR gene have been associated to increase the risk of OPSCC [109]. With respect to the vitamin D effect on cancer patients, recently, the vitamin D status, intake, and metabolism have been considered associated with their outcome [47]. It has in fact been demonstrated that HNSCC patients with lower levels of vitamin D intake are at higher risk of recurrence, suggesting that vitamin D supplementation may be an appropriate intervention for recurrences prevention [46,110] and for OS improvement [103,110,111]. In addition, vitamin D supplementation in esophageal cancer patients has been associated with a longer disease-free survival [112], while in HNSCC patients with a higher or adequate pre-diagnostic plasma vitamin D concentration, it has been reported a notable risk decrease and an improved OS [113]. All these studies suggest a possible prognostic role for vitamin D in the HNSCC context.
32 33 477 34 35 478 36 37 38 479 39 40 480 41 42 481 43 44 482 46 47 483 48 49 484 51 485 52 485 53 54 486 55 56 487 57 57	the VDR gene have been associated to increase the risk of OPSCC [109]. With respect to the vitamin D effect on cancer patients, recently, the vitamin D status, intake, and metabolism have been considered associated with their outcome [47]. It has in fact been demonstrated that HNSCC patients with lower levels of vitamin D intake are at higher risk of recurrence, suggesting that vitamin D supplementation may be an appropriate intervention for recurrences prevention [46,110] and for OS improvement [103,110,111]. In addition, vitamin D supplementation in esophageal cancer patients has been associated with a longer disease-free survival [112], while in HNSCC patients with a higher or adequate pre-diagnostic plasma vitamin D concentration, it has been reported a notable risk decrease and an improved OS [113]. All these studies suggest a possible prognostic role for vitamin D in the HNSCC context. Despite the known relation between human papillomavirus (HPV) and fundamental micronutrients,
32 33 477 34 35 478 36 47 38 479 39 40 480 41 42 481 44 45 482 46 47 483 48 49 484 51 485 52 485 53 54 486 55 56 487 57 58 488 60	the VDR gene have been associated to increase the risk of OPSCC [109]. With respect to the vitamin D effect on cancer patients, recently, the vitamin D status, intake, and metabolism have been considered associated with their outcome [47]. It has in fact been demonstrated that HNSCC patients with lower levels of vitamin D intake are at higher risk of recurrence, suggesting that vitamin D supplementation may be an appropriate intervention for recurrences prevention [46,110] and for OS improvement [103,110,111]. In addition, vitamin D supplementation in esophageal cancer patients has been associated with a longer disease-free survival [112], while in HNSCC patients with a higher or adequate pre-diagnostic plasma vitamin D concentration, it has been reported a notable risk decrease and an improved OS [113]. All these studies suggest a possible prognostic role for vitamin D in the HNSCC context. Despite the known relation between human papillomavirus (HPV) and fundamental micronutrients, that one made by vitamin D to protect from viral infections, especially in oral cancer has not been

3 serum 25[OH]D levels and the cervicovaginal HPV infection in sexually active women., thus 490 4 5 491 suggesting that low vitamin D levels could be one of the reasons for HPV persistence [114]. 6 7 Additionally, vitamin D may also be inversely associated with lymphatic metastasis and a negative 492 8 9 10 493 HPV status, already known to be a negative prognostic factor [46]. Moreover, the same authors 11 12 494 have shown that a severe vitamin D deficiency alters intra- and peri-tumoral immune cell infiltrate 13 14 15 495 levels, while vitamin D administration trigger the cytotoxic activity of patient's NK cells [46]. 16 17 496 1,25[OH]₂D also modulates the levels of several cytokines in the plasma from patients with 18 19 497 HNSCC [115]; this suggests a role for vitamin D in the immune system modulation, also supported 20 21 by the evidence that it can favor antitumoral immune responses if used as adjuvant of immune 498 22 23 24 499 therapies based on cetuximab and nivolumab [46]. 25 ²⁶ 500 Considering that other authors suggest that a clinically relevant protective effect of 25[OH]D on 27 28 oral and OPSCC risk is unlikely and supplementation of the general population with 25[OH]D is 501 29 30 not beneficial in preventing these cancer types [116], further research is needed to elucidate the 31 502 32 33 503 potential effect of vitamin D on OPSCC progression. 34 35 504 36 37 ₃₈ 505 VITAMIN D, MICROBIOTA, PERIODONTAL PATHOGENS AND PROBIOTICS 39 40 506 Although a pivotal role for vitamin D in the intestinal homeostasis is well established, less is known 41 ⁴² 507 regarding its importance in the oral compartment. The intestinal effect is exerted via many 43 44 45 ⁵⁰⁸ regulatory activities such as calcium and phosphate absorption, protection against infection, anti-46 inflammatory action and modulation of the gut microbiota [48]. In fact, vitamin D ensures 47 509 48 49 510 appropriate levels of antimicrobial peptides in the intestinal lumen [48] and the maintenance of the 50 51 epithelial integrity by modulating the intracellular tight junctions (TJ), real barriers against toxins 511 52 53 and enteric pathogens [117,118]. The importance of vitamin D/VDR signaling in intestinal 54 512 55 56 513 homeostasis is also evidenced during the development of a chronic inflammatory state, when this 57 58 514 signaling system is disrupted [119]. 59

60

Critical Reviews in Microbiology

Vitamin D can directly control the immune system via the VDR in activated or naïve CD4 and CD8 515 T cells, B cells, neutrophils, macrophages and dendritic cells [117]. In particular, CD4 positive IL-516 17 or IL-10 producing T cells are common in the gut, where their balance is essential to maintain 517 10 518 tolerance and immunity to the resident microbiota [120]. The 1,25[OH]₂D VDR RXR complex 519 downregulates IL-17 and -23 production, promotes IL-10 production in human B cells and increases chemotaxis and phagocytosis in the innate immune cells [117]. The microbiota also stimulates the 15 520 17 521 maturation and differentiation of T and B cells and promotes IL-10-producing B-cells [121,122]. Therefore, vitamin D and gut microbiota are interdependent, since they control together the immune 522 ₂₂ 523 response of gut and intestinal eubiosis [123]. Interestingly, it has been reported that the expression 24 524 and activity of VDR is under the control of short-chain fatty acids such as sodium butyrate ²⁶ 525 produced by microbiota [124]. Butyrate has potent health-promoting effects, which results from the fermentation process of indigestible polysaccharides (fibers) from colon microbiota [125]. 526

31 527 Overall, these evidences show the strong connection between vitamin D, immune system and gut 33 528 eubiosis. Since an oral-gut microbiota axis does exist, as has been confirmed by several authors 529 [126], and since vitamin D downregulates NF-kB signaling and proinflammatory cytokines ₃₈ 530 production, protects TJ and inhibits MMPs in OSCC [127], it is reasonable to assume that it could also indirectly preserve oral eubiosis (Figure 3), as indeed pointed out by Robles-Vera et al. at least 40 531 ⁴² 532 in rats [128].

Not much is known on the specific antimicrobial pathogens mechanisms of vitamin D, although some evidences are pointing out a possible indirect role in infection prevention, mainly due to its 47 534 48 ⁴⁹ 535 immune system regulatory capabilities. This is the case of the recent discoveries made by De 50 51 536 51 Filippis et al. that have shown the growth- and adhesion-inhibitory effects of vitamin D towards 53 oral pathogens such as *P. gingivalis*. This hormone inhibited human gingival epithelial (HGE) and 54 537 55 56 538 periodontal ligament (HPL) cells infection through the modulation of hBD-3 and the reduction of 57 58 539 TNF- α , IL-8 and IL-12 production [129]. 59

60

1

In a study from Nouari *et al.*, authors have shown that the bioactive vitamin D3 isoform increases M1 monocytes-derived macrophage polarization and their protective phagocytotic and bactericidal activity towards *P. aeruginosa*, by exerting strong immunotherapeutic properties [130]. The antimicrobial properties of vitamin D, also due to its liposolubility, have been also demonstrated against *C. albicans*, without the severe side effects which are conversely exerted by Amphotericin B, the gold standard antifungal treatment [131].

Finally, in an *in vitro F. nucleatum*-driven colorectal carcinoma mice model, vitamin D supplementation has demonstrated to reduce cancer incidence [132]. In fact, the gastrointestinal niche is one of the most important target organs of vitamin D, as demonstrated by the local synthesis of 1,25[OH]₂D and VDR expression in most gut cell types [48]. Moreover, subjects with higher 25[OH]D concentration has reduced relative amount of Firmicutes phylum and Clostridia class [133]. Finally, the oral vitamin D supplementation in healthy volunteers has decreased the relative amount of *Escherichia, Shigella* spp., *Helicobacter* spp. and *Pseudomonas* spp. [134].

553 <u>While several studies have shown</u> that certain pathogens downregulate VDR expression, while 554 others can also cause its increase in the colon [135], probiotic treatment with *L. plantarum* and *L.* 555 <u>rhamnosus enhances</u> the levels of VDR protein in human and mouse intestinal epithelial cells, and 556 prevents Salmonella-induced colitis in wild-type mice, but not in VDR -/- mice [136].

In a multicentric study, double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomized, oral supplementation with probiotic *L. reuteri* NCIMB 30242 has improved circulating 25[OH]D levels relative to placebo [137].

All these evidences suggest that the role exerted by vitamin D on the oral cavity is most probably due to an indirect effect mediated by the immune system stimulation. Considering the positive effects of probiotics on vitamin D circulating levels, the supplementation of specific probiotic strains together with vitamin D may be valuable in deficient HNSCC affected patients.

565 CONCLUSION

Page 23 of 47

Critical Reviews in Microbiology

Overall, the different experimental study models found in the literature <u>have</u>-evidenced the key role of some microorganisms in oropharyngeal tumorigenesis: both *P. gingivalis* and *F. nucleatum* induce an inflammatory state, together with *P. aeruginosa*, HPV-16 and Candida spp., reinforcing their link to several diseases of the oral niche.

An important role for vitamin D is beginning to be glimpsed also in this specific context. In fact, oral pathogens presence seems to be mediated by extra-skeletal vitamin D effects, with eubiosis as a prerequisite for well-being, and dysbiosis as an antechamber for carcinogenesis. Nevertheless, up to now, while <u>a direct</u> vitamin D antimicrobial protective role in gut health has been already confirmed, it has not been fully recognized for the oropharynx yet.

575 Further and deeper functional characterization studies and investigations of the mechanisms and 576 factors that condition microbial diversity in the oral niche are therefore required to *i*) fully 577 understand how <u>single or</u> combined oral microbiota shifting and vitamin D levels influence cancer 578 development and *ii*) better define the risk factors and the tumoral biomarkers useful to establish 579 specific OPSCC prevention strategies and optimize clinical practice.

1 2	
³ 592	
4 5 593	
6 ⁵⁵⁵ 7	
8 594 9	
10 595	
¹² 596	
13 14	
15 597 16	
17 598 18	
¹⁹ 599	
20 21	
22 ⁶⁰⁰ 23	
24 601 25	
²⁶ 602	
²⁷ ²⁸ 603	
29 ⁰⁰³ 30	
31 604 32	
33 605	
³⁴ ³⁵ 606	
36 37	
38 607 39	
40 608	
⁴² 609	
43 44 610	
45 ⁰¹⁰ 46	
47 611 48	
⁴⁹ 612	
50 51 613	
52 ⁰¹⁵ 53	
54 614 55	ABBREVIATIONS
56 615	ACH, Acetaldehyde; EBV, Epstein-Barr Virus; EMT, Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition; EISH,
58 59 616	Fluorescent In Situ Hybridization; HNSCC, Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma; HPV,
60 617	Human Papillomavirus; HR-HPV, High-Risk HPV; IL, Interleukin; MMP, Matrix

3 618 4	Met	alloproteinase; OCSCC, Oral Cavity SCC; OPSCC, Oropharyngeal SCC; OS, Overall Survival;
5 6 619	ROS	S, Reactive Oxygen Species; RXR, Retinoid X Receptor; TJ, Tight Junctions; TME, Tumor
7 8 620	Mic	roenvironment; TNF-α, Tumour Necrosis Factor Alpha; TSCC, Tonsillar SCC; VDR, Vitamin
9 10 621	D R	eceptor.
11		
13 622		
14 15	DEI	
16 623	KEI	TERENCES
17 18 624	1.	Vigneswaran N. & Williams M.D. (2014) Epidemiologic trends in head and neck cancer
19 20 625		and aids in diagnosis. Oral Maxillofac. Surg. Clin. North Am., 26 (2), 123–41.
²² ₂₃ 626		doi:10.1016/j.coms.2014.01.001.
23		
₂₅ 627	2.	Jethwa A.R. & Khariwala S.S. (2017). – Tobacco-related carcinogenesis in head and neck
26		
2/ 628		cancer. Cancer Metastasis Rev., 36 (3), 411–423. doi:10.1007/s10555-017-9689-6.
²⁰ ²⁹ 30 629	3.	Koneva L.A., Zhang Y., Virani S., Hall P.B., McHugh J.B., Chepeha D.B., Wolf G.T., Carey
31		
₃₂ 630		T.E., Rozek L.S. & Sartor M.A. (2018). – HPV Integration in HNSCC Correlates with
33		Service 1 October 2011 December 2011 December 2011 Constants
34 631 35		Survival Outcomes, Immune Response Signatures, and Candidate Drivers. Mol. Cancer Res.,
³⁶ 632 37		16 (1), 90–102. doi:10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-17-0153.
³⁸ 39 633	4.	Maasland D.H.E., Brandt P.A. van den, Kremer B., Goldbohm R.A. & Schouten L.J. (2014).
40		Alashal consumption signature smaking and the rick of subtypes of head neak concern
41 034		- Alconol consumption, eigarette smoking and the fisk of subtypes of head-neck cancer.
43 635		results from the Netherlands Cohort Study BMC Cancer 14 (1) 187 doi:10.1186/1471-
44		results from the rechemanas conore study. Dire cancer, 11 (1), 107. doi:10.1100/11/1
⁴⁵ 636		2407-14-187.
40 47		
48 637	5.	Okoye C.C., Bucher J., Tatsuoka C., Parikh S.A., Oliveira G.H., Gibson M.K., Machtay M.,
49		
50 638		Yao M., Zender C.A. & Dorth J.A. (2017). – Cardiovascular risk and prevention in patients
51		
52 639 53		with head and neck cancer treated with radiotherapy. <i>Head Neck</i> , 39 (3), 527–532.
54 640		doi:10.1002/bed.24646
55 040		doi:10.1002/ficd.24040.
оо 57 641	6	Xu C Z Shi R J Chen D Sun Y Y Wu O W Wang T & Wang P H (2013) – Potential
58	0.	
⁵⁹ 642		biomarkers for paclitaxel sensitivity in hypopharynx cancer cell. Int. J. Clin. Exp. Pathol., 6
60		

668

³ 643 4		(12), 2745–56. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24294361.
5 6 644	7.	Wang B., Yao M., Lv L., Ling Z. & Li L. (2017) The Human Microbiota in Health and
7 8 645		Disease. Engineering, 3 (1), 71-82. doi:10.1016/J.ENG.2017.01.008.
9 10 646	8.	Squarzanti D.F., Zavattaro E., Pizzimenti S., Amoruso A., Savoia P. & Azzimonti B. (2020).
¹² 13 647		- Non-Melanoma Skin Cancer: news from microbiota research. Crit. Rev. Microbiol., , 1-17.
14 15 648		doi:10.1080/1040841X.2020.1794792.
16 17 649	9.	Molinari C., Rizzi M., Squarzanti D.F., Pittarella P., Vacca G. & Renò F. (2013) 1a,25-
19 20 50		Dihydroxycholecalciferol (Vitamin D3) Induces NO-Dependent Endothelial Cell
21 22 651		Proliferation and Migration in a Three-Dimensional Matrix. Cell. Physiol. Biochem., 31 (6),
23 24 652		815–822. doi:10.1159/000350099.
25 26 653	10.	Pittarella P., Squarzanti D.F., Molinari C., Invernizzi M., Uberti F. & Renò F. (2015). – NO-
27 28 29 654		dependent proliferation and migration induced by Vitamin D in HUVEC. J. Steroid Biochem.
30 31 655		Mol. Biol., 149, 35–42. doi:10.1016/j.jsbmb.2014.12.012.
32 33 656	11.	Prodam F., Zanetta S., Ricotti R., Marolda A., Giglione E., Monzani A., Walker G.E.,
³⁴ ³⁵ 36657		Rampone S., Castagno M., Bellone S., Petri A., Aimaretti G. & Bona G. (2016) Influence
37 38 658		of Ultraviolet Radiation on the Association between 25-Hydroxy Vitamin D Levels and
39 40 659		Cardiovascular Risk Factors in Obesity. J. Pediatr., 171, 83-89.e1.
41 42 42 660		doi:10.1016/j.jpeds.2015.12.032.
43 44 45 661	12.	Sustova H., Feudis M. De, Reano S., Alves Teixeira M., Valle I., Zaggia I., Agosti E.,
46 47 662		Prodam F. & Filigheddu N. (2019). – Opposing effects of 25-hydroxy- and
48 49 663		1α ,25-dihydroxy-vitamin D 3 on pro-cachectic cytokine-and cancer conditioned
50 51 52 664		medium-induced atrophy in C2C12 myotubes. Acta Physiol., 226 (3), e13269.
52 53 54 665		doi:10.1111/apha.13269.
55 56 666	13.	Somma C. Di, Scarano E., Barrea L., Zhukouskaya V. V., Savastano S., Mele C., Scacchi
57 ⁵⁸ 667	·	M., Aimaretti G., Colao A. & Marzullo P. (2017) – Vitamin D and neurological diseases: An
59 ⁰⁰⁷ 60		

endocrine view. Int. J. Mol. Sci. doi:10.3390/ijms18112482.

1 2			
2 3 4	669	14.	Wolf A., Moissl-Eichinger C., Perras A., Koskinen K., Tomazic P. V. & Thurnher D. (2017).
5 6	670		- The salivary microbiome as an indicator of carcinogenesis in patients with oropharyngeal
7 8	671		squamous cell carcinoma: A pilot study. Sci. Rep., 7 (1), 5867. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-
9 10 11	672		06361-2.
12 13	673	15.	Chattopadhyay I., Verma M. & Panda M. (2019) Role of Oral Microbiome Signatures in
14 15	674		Diagnosis and Prognosis of Oral Cancer. Technol. Cancer Res. Treat., 18,
16 17	675		153303381986735. doi:10.1177/1533033819867354.
18 19 20	676	16.	Bars P. Le, Matamoros S., Montassier E., Vacon F. Le, Potel G., Soueidan A., Jordana F. &
20 21 22	677		La Cochetière M.F. de (2017) The oral cavity microbiota: between health, oral disease,
23 24	678		and cancers of the aerodigestive tract. Can. J. Microbiol., 63 (6), 475-492. doi:10.1139/cjm-
25 26	679		2016-0603.
27	680	17.	Yokoyama S., Takeuchi K., Shibata Y., Kageyama S., Matsumi R., Takeshita T. &
30 31	681		Yamashita Y. (2018). – Characterization of oral microbiota and acetaldehyde production. J.
32 33	682		<i>Oral Microbiol.</i> , 10 (1), 1492316. doi:10.1080/20002297.2018.1492316.
34 35	683	18.	Schmidt B.L., Kuczvnski J., Bhattacharva A., Huev B., Corby P.M., Oueiroz E.L.S.,
36 37	684		Nightingale K., Kerr A.R., DeLacure M.D., Veeramachaneni R., Olshen A.B. & Albertson
30 39 40	685		DG (2014) – Changes in Abundance of Oral Microbiota Associated with Oral Cancer
41 42	686		PLoS One 9 (6) = 98741 doi: 10.1371/iournal.none.0098741
43 44	600	10	Hormia M. Willberg I. Ruckonen H. & Syriänen S. (2005) Marginal Periodontium as a
45 46	007	<u>17.</u>	Detential Decements of Hammer Devillementing in Oral Masses - L. Devia devial - 76 (2) 259
47 48 40	688		Potential Reservoir of Human Papillomavirus in Oral Mucosa. J. Periodontol., 16 (3), 358–
49 50 51	689	•	<u>363. doi:10.1902/jop.2005.76.3.358.</u>
52 53	690	<u>20.</u>	<u>Cho T., Nagao J.I., Imayoshi R. & Tanaka Y. (2014). – Importance of diversity in the oral</u>
54 55	691		microbiota including candida species revealed by high-throughput technologies. Int. J. Dent.
56 57	692		<u>doi:10.1155/2014/454391.</u>
58 59	693	21.	Chung M., York B.R. & Michaud D.S. (2019) Oral Health and Cancer. Curr. Oral Heal.
60	694		Reports, 6 (2), 130-137. doi:10.1007/s40496-019-0213-7.

3 Bertolini M. & Dongari-Bagtzoglou A. (2019). - The Relationship of Candida albicans with 695 22. 4 5 the Oral Bacterial Microbiome in Health and Disease. . In Advances in Experimental 696 6 Medicine and Biology. pp 69–78doi:10.1007/978-3-030-28524-1 6. 697 8

1 2

7

9

25

32

41

- 10 698 Sivan A., Corrales L., Hubert N., Williams J.B., Aquino-Michaels K., Earley Z.M., 23. 11 12 Benyamin F.W., Man Lei Y., Jabri B., Alegre M.L., Chang E.B. & Gajewski T.F. (2015). -699 13 14 ₁₅ 700 Commensal Bifidobacterium promotes antitumor immunity and facilitates anti-PD-L1 16 efficacy. Science (80-.)., 350 (6264), 1084–1089. doi:10.1126/science.aac4255. 17 701 18
- 19 Rosa G. La, Gattuso G., Pedullà E., Rapisarda E., Nicolosi D. & Salmeri M. (2020). -24. 702 20 21 Association of oral dysbiosis with oral cancer development (Review). Oncol. Lett., 19 (4), 703 22 23 3045-3058. doi:10.3892/ol.2020.11441. 24 704
- ²⁶ 705 Liu G., Zheng J., Zhuang L., Lv Y., Zhu G., Pi L., Wang J., Chen C., Li Z., Liu J., Chen L., 25. 27 28 Cai G. & Zhang X. (2018). - A Prognostic 5-IncRNA Expression Signature for Head and 706 29 30 Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma. Sci. Rep., 8 (1), 15250. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-33642-1. 31 707
- 33 708 Ferlay J., Soerjomataram I., Dikshit R., Eser S., Mathers C., Rebelo M., Parkin D.M., 26. 34 35 709 Forman D. & Bray F. (2015). - Cancer incidence and mortality worldwide: Sources, methods 36 37 and major patterns in GLOBOCAN 2012. Int. J. Cancer, 136 (5), E359-E386. ₃₈ 710 39 doi:10.1002/ijc.29210. 40 711
- ⁴² 712 Pai S.I. & Westra W.H. (2009). - Molecular Pathology of Head and Neck Cancer: 27. 43 44 45 713 Implications for Diagnosis, Prognosis, and Treatment. Annu. Rev. Pathol. Mech. Dis., 4 (1), 46 49-70. doi:10.1146/annurev.pathol.4.110807.092158. 47 714
- 49 Perri F., Ionna F., Longo F., Vittoria Scarpati G. Della, Angelis C. De, Ottaiano A., Botti G. 715 28. 50 51 & Caponigro F. (2020). - Immune Response Against Head and Neck Cancer: Biological 716 52 53 54 717 Mechanisms and Implication on Therapy. Transl. Oncol., 13 (2), 262–274. 55 56 718 doi:10.1016/j.tranon.2019.11.008. 57
- 58 Morbini P. & Benazzo M. (2016). - Human papillomavirus and head and neck carcinomas: 719 29. 59 60 720 focus on evidence in the babel of published data. Acta Otorhinolaryngol. Ital., 36 (4), 249-

1			
2 3 4	721		258. doi:10.14639/0392-100X-853.
5 6	722	30.	Taberna M., Mena M., Pavón M.A., Alemany L., Gillison M.L. & Mesía R. (2017). – Human
/ 8 9	723		papillomavirus-related oropharyngeal cancer. Ann. Oncol., 28 (10), 2386–2398.
10 11	724		doi:10.1093/annonc/mdx304.
12 13	725	31.	PDQ Screening and Prevention Editorial Board (2002) Oral Cavity, Pharyngeal, and
14 15	726		Laryngeal Cancer Prevention (PDQ®): Health Professional Version. Bethesda (MD).
16 17 18	727		Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26389416.
19 20	728	32.	Poropatich K., Hernandez D., Fontanarosa J., Brown K., Woloschak G., Paintal A., Raparia
21 22	729		K. & Samant S. (2017) Peritumoral cuffing by T-cell tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes
23 24 25	730		distinguishes HPV-related oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma from oral cavity
25 26 27	731		squamous cell carcinoma. J. Oral Pathol. Med., 46 (10), 972–978. doi:10.1111/jop.12605.
28 29	732	33.	Martinez I., Wang J., Hobson K.F., Ferris R.L. & Khan S.A. (2007) Identification of
30 31	733		differentially expressed genes in HPV-positive and HPV-negative oropharyngeal squamous
32 33 34	734		cell carcinomas. Eur. J. Cancer, 43 (2), 415-432. doi:10.1016/j.ejca.2006.09.001.
35 36	735	<u>34.</u>	Lechien J.R., Descamps G., Seminerio I., Furgiuele S., Dequanter D., Mouawad F., Badoual
37 38	736		C., Journe F. & Saussez S. (2020) HPV Involvement in the Tumor Microenvironment and
39 40	737		Immune Treatment in Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinomas. Cancers (Basel)., 12 (5),
41 42 43	738		1060. doi:10.3390/cancers12051060.
44 45	739	<u>35.</u>	Plzák J., Bouček J., Bandúrová V., Kolář M., Hradilová M., Szabo P., Lacina L., Chovanec
46 47	740		M. & Smetana K. (2019) The head and neck squamous cell carcinoma microenvironment
48 49 50	741		as a potential target for cancer therapy. Cancers (Basel). doi:10.3390/cancers11040440.
50 51 52	742	<u>36.</u>	Pevsner-Fischer M., Tuganbaev T., Meijer M., Zhang S.H., Zeng Z.R., Chen M.H. & Elinav
53 54	743		E. (2016). – Role of the microbiome in non-gastrointestinal cancers. World J. Clin. Oncol., 7
55 56	744		(2), 200. doi:10.5306/wjco.v7.i2.200.
57 58 59	745	37.	Tumban E. (2019) A Current Update on Human Papillomavirus-Associated Head and
60	746		Neck Cancers. Viruses, 11 (10), 922. doi:10.3390/v11100922.
			22

3 Ang K.K., Harris J., Wheeler R., Weber R., Rosenthal D.I., Nguyen-Tân P.F., Westra W.H., 747 38. 4 5 Chung C.H., Jordan R.C., Lu C., Kim H., Axelrod R., Silverman C.C., Redmond K.P. & 748 6 7 Gillison M.L. (2010). - Human papillomavirus and survival of patients with oropharyngeal 749 8 9 10 750 cancer. N. Engl. J. Med., 363 (1), 24-35. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa0912217. 11

1 2

23

32

- 12 751 39. Amin M.B., Edge S.B., Greene F.L., Byrd D.R., Brookland R.K., Washington M.K., 13 14 Gershenwald J.E., Compton C.C., Hess K.R., Sullivan D.C., Jessup J.M., Brierley J.D., ₁₅ 752 16 Gaspar L.E., Schilsky R.L., Balch C.M., Winchester D.P., Asare E.A., Madera M., Gress 17 753 18 19 D.M. & Meyer L.R., eds. (2017). - AJCC Cancer Staging Manual. Springer International 754 20 21 Publishing, Cham. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-40618-3. 755 22
- 24 756 40. Kimple R.J., Smith M.A., Blitzer G.C., Torres A.D., Martin J.A., Yang R.Z., Peet C.R., 25
 26 757 Lorenz L.D., Nickel K.P., Klingelhutz A.J., Lambert P.F. & Harari P.M. (2013). Enhanced
 27
 28 758 Radiation Sensitivity in HPV-Positive Head and Neck Cancer. *Cancer Res.*, **73** (15), 4791– 30
 31 759 4800. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-13-0587.
- ³³ 760
 ³⁴ Dok R., Asbagh L.A., Limbergen E.J. Van, Sablina A. & Nuyts S. (2016). Nuclear
 ³⁵ 761
 ³⁶ p16INK4a expression predicts enhanced radiation response in head and neck cancers.
 ³⁷ 762
 Oncotarget, 7 (25), 38785–38795. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.9609.
- Young R.J., Rischin D., Fisher R., McArthur G.A., Fox S.B., Peters L.J., Corry J., Lim A., 40 763 42. 41 42 764 Waldeck K. & Solomon B. (2011). Relationship between Epidermal Growth Factor 43 44 Receptor Status, p16INK4A, and Outcome in Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma. 765 45 46 Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev., 20 (6), 1230–1237. doi:10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-10-47 766 48 49 767 1262. 50
- 51 Lechien J.R., Seminerio I., Descamps G., Mat Q., Mouawad F., Hans S., Julieron M., 768 43. 52 53 54 769 Dequanter D., Vanderhaegen T., Journe F. & Saussez S. (2019). - Impact of HPV Infection 55 56 770 on the Immune System in Oropharyngeal and Non-Oropharyngeal Squamous Cell 57 58 771 Carcinoma: A Systematic Review. Cells, 8 (9), 1061. doi:10.3390/cells8091061. 59
- ⁶⁰ 772 44. Bastian T., Lang S. & Kansy B.A. (2020). Vergleich der T-Zell-Antwort im Tumormilieu

2 3 773		von Patienten mit HPV+- und HPVKopf-Hals-Karzinom. HNO, 68 (2), 80-86.
5 6 774		doi:10.1007/s00106-019-00804-0.
7 8 775	<u>45.</u>	Ganly I., Yang L., Giese R.A., Hao Y., Nossa C.W., Morris L.G.T., Rosenthal M., Migliacci
9 10 776 11		J., Kelly D., Tseng W., Hu J., Li H., Brown S. & Pei Z. (2019) Periodontal pathogens are a
12 13 777		risk factor of oral cavity squamous cell carcinoma, independent of tobacco and alcohol and
14 15 778 16		human papillomavirus. Int. J. Cancer. doi:10.1002/ijc.32152.
17 779 18	<u>46.</u>	Bochen F., Balensiefer B., Körner S., Bittenbring J.T., Neumann F., Koch A., Bumm K.,
¹⁹ 780 20		Marx A., Wemmert S., Papaspyrou G., Zuschlag D., Kühn J.P., Kadah B. Al, Schick B. &
21 22 781		Linxweiler M. (2018). – Vitamin D deficiency in head and neck cancer patients – prevalence,
23 24 782 25		prognostic value and impact on immune function. Oncoimmunology, 7 (9), e1476817.
26 783 27		doi:10.1080/2162402X.2018.1476817.
28 29 784	47.	Kingsley K., Bergman C., Keiserman M. & Mobley C. (2013) Oral cancer risk and
30 31 785 32		vitamin D status, intake and supplementation: A review. OA Cancer, 1 (1), 6.
33 786 34		doi:10.13172/2053-3918-1-1-545.
³⁵ 787 36	48.	Fakhoury H.M.A., Kvietys P.R., AlKattan W., Anouti F. Al, Elahi M.A., Karras S.N. &
37 38 788		Grant W.B. (2020). – Vitamin D and intestinal homeostasis: Barrier, microbiota, and immune
40 789 41		modulation. J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol., 200, 105663. doi:10.1016/j.jsbmb.2020.105663.
42 43 790	49.	Guerrero-Preston R., Godoy-Vitorino F., Jedlicka A., Rodríguez-Hilario A., González H.,
44 45 791		Bondy J., Lawson F., Folawiyo O., Michailidi C., Dziedzic A., Thangavel R., Hadar T.,
40 47 792 48		Noordhuis M.G., Westra W., Koch W. & Sidransky D. (2016) 16S rRNA amplicon
49 793 50		sequencing identifies microbiota associated with oral cancer, human papilloma virus
51 52 794		infection and surgical treatment. Oncotarget, 7 (32), 51320–51334.
53 54 795 55		doi:10.18632/oncotarget.9710.
56 796 57	50.	Yost S., Stashenko P., Choi Y., Kukuruzinska M., Genco C.A., Salama A., Weinberg E.O.,
⁵⁸ 797 59		Kramer C.D. & Frias-Lopez J. (2018) Increased virulence of the oral microbiome in oral
60 798		squamous cell carcinoma revealed by metatranscriptome analyses. Int. J. Oral Sci., 10 (4),

46

53

799 32. doi:10.1038/s41368-018-0037-7.

- ⁵ 800 51. Hooper S.J., Crean S.J., Lewis M.A.O., Spratt D.A., Wade W.G. & Wilson M.J. (2006). –
 ⁷ 8 801 Viable Bacteria Present within Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma Tissue. *J. Clin. Microbiol.*,
 ⁹ 44 (5), 1719–1725. doi:10.1128/JCM.44.5.1719-1725.2006.
- Perera M., Al-hebshi N.N., Speicher D.J., Perera I. & Johnson N.W. (2016). Emerging role
 of bacteria in oral carcinogenesis: a review with special reference to perio-pathogenic
 bacteria. J. Oral Microbiol., 8 (1), 32762. doi:10.3402/jom.v8.32762.
- Guerrero-Preston R., White J.R., Godoy-Vitorino F., Rodríguez-Hilario A., Navarro K., 53. 806 ₂₂ 807 González H., Michailidi C., Jedlicka A., Canapp S., Bondy J., Dziedzic A., Mora-Lagos B., Rivera-Alvarez G., Ili-Gangas C., Brebi-Mieville P., Westra W., Koch W., Kang H., 24 808 25 ²⁶ 809 Marchionni L., Kim Y. & Sidransky D. (2017). - High-resolution microbiome profiling 27 28 uncovers Fusobacterium nucleatum, Lactobacillus gasseri/johnsonii, and Lactobacillus 810 29 30 vaginalis associated to oral and oropharyngeal cancer in saliva from HPV positive and HPV 31 811 32 33 812 negative patients treated with surgery an Oncotarget, 8 (67), 110931-110948. 34 35 813 doi:10.18632/oncotarget.20677. 36
- ³⁷₃₈ 814 54. Yang C.Y., Yeh Y.M., Yu H.Y., Chin C.Y., Hsu C.W., Liu H., Huang P.J., Hu S.N., Liao
 ⁴⁰ 815 C.T., Chang K.P. & Chang Y.L. (2018). Oral Microbiota Community Dynamics
 ⁴² 816 Associated With Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma Staging. *Front. Microbiol.*, 9, 862.
 ⁴⁴ 45 817 doi:10.3389/fmicb.2018.00862.
- Zhang L., Liu Y., Zheng H.J. & Zhang C.P. (2020). The Oral Microbiota May Have 47 818 55. 48 ⁴⁹ 819 Influence Oral Cancer. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol., 9. 476. on 50 51 52 ⁸²⁰ doi:10.3389/fcimb.2019.00476.
- 54 82156.Chang C., Geng F., Shi X., Li Y., Zhang X., Zhao X. & Pan Y. (2019). The prevalence rate5556 822of periodontal pathogens and its association with oral squamous cell carcinoma. Appl.575882358823Microbiol. Biotechnol., 103 (3), 1393–1404. doi:10.1007/s00253-018-9475-6.
- ⁶⁰ 824 57. Börnigen D., Ren B., Pickard R., Li J., Ozer E., Hartmann E.M., Xiao W., Tickle T., Rider J.,

2			
3 g 4	325		Gevers D., Franzosa E.A., Davey M.E., Gillison M.L. & Huttenhower C. (2017)
5 6	826		Alterations in oral bacterial communities are associated with risk factors for oral and
7 8 8	327		oropharyngeal cancer. Sci. Rep., 7 (1), 17686. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-17795-z.
9 10 g 11	328	58.	Robayo D.A.G., Erira H.A.T., Jaimes F.O.G., Torres A.M. & Galindo A.I.C. (2019)
12 13	329		Oropharyngeal Squamous Cell Carcinoma: Human Papilloma Virus Coinfection with
14 15 8	830		Streptococcus anginosus. Braz. Dent. J., 30 (6), 626–633. doi:10.1590/0103-6440201902805.
16 17 8	331	59.	Wang H., Funchain P., Bebek G., Altemus J., Zhang H., Niazi F., Peterson C., Lee W.T.,
18 19 20	332		Burkey B.B. & Eng C. (2017). – Microbiomic differences in tumor and paired-normal tissue
20 21 22 ⁸	333		in head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. Genome Med., 9 (1), 14. doi:10.1186/s13073-
23 24 8	334		017-0405-5.
25 26 g	335	60.	Baneriee S., Tian T., Wei Z., Peck K.N., Shih N., Chalian A.A., O'Malley B.W., Weinstein
27 28 ç	836		GS Feldman M D. Alwine L & Robertson F S. (2017) – Microbial Signatures Associated
29 ° 30	550		(1.5., 1.6)
31 8 32	337		with Oropharyngeal and Oral Squamous Cell Carcinomas. Sci. Rep., 7 (1), 4036.
33 g 34	838		doi:10.1038/s41598-017-03466-6.
35 36	339	61.	Lim Y., Fukuma N., Totsika M., Kenny L., Morrison M. & Punyadeera C. (2018) The
37 38 8	340		Performance of an Oral Microbiome Biomarker Panel in Predicting Oral Cavity and
39 40 8 41	341		Oropharyngeal Cancers. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol., 8, 267.
42 43	342		doi:10.3389/fcimb.2018.00267.
44 45 8	343	<u>62.</u>	Carey R.M., Rajasekaran K., Seckar T., Lin X., Wei Z., Tong C.C.L., Ranasinghe V.J.,
46 47 8	344		Newman J.G., O'Malley B.W., Weinstein G.S., Feldman M.D. & Robertson E.S. (2020).
48 49 g	345		The virome of HPV-positive tonsil squamous cell carcinoma and neck metastasis.
50 51 52 8	346		Oncotarget, 11 (3), 282-293. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.27436.
52 53 54 8	347	63.	Rodríguez-Rabassa M., López P., Rodríguez-Santiago R., Cases A., Felici M., Sánchez R.,
55 56 8	348		Yamamura Y. & Rivera-Amill V. (2018) Cigarette Smoking Modulation of Saliva
57 58 s	349		Microbial Composition and Cytokine Levels Int. J. Environ Res. Public Health 15 (11)
59 60			2470 doi:10.2200/jjornh15112470
٤	220		24/7. doi.10.3370/1jcipii131124/7.

3 Fan X., Peters B.A., Jacobs E.J., Gapstur S.M., Purdue M.P., Freedman N.D., Alekseyenko 851 64. 4 5 A. V., Wu J., Yang L., Pei Z., Hayes R.B. & Ahn J. (2018). - Drinking alcohol is associated 852 6 7 with variation in the human oral microbiome in a large study of American adults. 853 8 9 10 854 *Microbiome*, **6** (1), 59. doi:10.1186/s40168-018-0448-x. 11

1 2

30

46

- 12 Orlandi E., Iacovelli N.A., Tombolini V., Rancati T., Polimeni A., Cecco L. De, Valdagni R. 855 65. 13 14 & Felice F. De (2019). - Potential role of microbiome in oncogenesis, outcome prediction 15 856 16 and therapeutic targeting for head and neck cancer. Oral Oncol., 99, 104453. 17 857 18 19 doi:10.1016/j.oraloncology.2019.104453. 858 20
- Broccolo F., Ciccarese G., Rossi A., Anselmi L., Drago F. & Toniolo A. (2018). Human
 papillomavirus (HPV) and Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) in keratinizing versus non- keratinizing
 squamous cell carcinoma of the oropharynx. *Infect. Agent. Cancer*, **13** (1), 32.
 doi:10.1186/s13027-018-0205-6.
- Squarzanti D.F., Sorrentino R. & Azzimonti B. (2019). High-risk HPVs, microbiota and
 epithelial carcinogenesis: state of the art and research contribution of in vitro 3D models. J.
 Cancer Metastasis Treat., 5, 73. doi:10.20517/2394-4722.2019.19.
- ³⁷₃₈ 866 68. Cyprian F.S., Al-Farsi H.F., Vranic S., Akhtar S. & Moustafa A.E. Al (2018). Epstein–Barr
 ⁴⁰ 867 Virus and Human Papillomaviruses Interactions and Their Roles in the Initiation of
 ⁴² 868 Epithelial–Mesenchymal Transition and Cancer Progression. *Front. Oncol.*, **8**, 111.
 ⁴⁴ 45 869 doi:10.3389/fonc.2018.00111.
- 47 870 69. Deng Z., Uehara T., Maeda H., Hasegawa M., Matayoshi S., Kiyuna A., Agena S., Pan X.,
 48
 49 871 Zhang C., Yamashita Y., Xie M. & Suzuki M. (2014). Epstein-Barr Virus and Human
 51 872 Papillomavirus Infections and Genotype Distribution in Head and Neck Cancers. *PLoS One*,
 53 9 (11), e113702. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0113702.
- ⁵⁶ 874 70. Prabhu S.R. & Wilson D.F. (2016). EVIDENCE OF EPSTEIN-BARR VIRUS
 ⁵⁸ 875 ASSOCIATION WITH HEAD AND NECK CANCERS: A REVIEW. J. Can. Dent. Assoc.,
 ⁶⁰ 876 82, g2. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27548665.

878

71.

5 6

cancers. Oral Dis., 24 (4), 497-508. doi:10.1111/odi.12656.

Guidry J., Birdwell C. & Scott R. (2018). - Epstein-Barr virus in the pathogenesis of oral

_			
7 8 8	79	72.	Olsen I. & Yilmaz Ö. (2019) Possible role of Porphyromonas gingivalis in orodigestive
9 10 8	80		cancers. J. Oral Microbiol., 11 (1), 1563410. doi:10.1080/20002297.2018.1563410.
12 13 13	81	73.	Ahn J., Segers S. & Hayes R.B. (2012) Periodontal disease, Porphyromonas gingivalis
14 15 8	82		serum antibody levels and orodigestive cancer mortality. Carcinogenesis, 33 (5), 1055–1058.
16 17 8	83		doi:10.1093/carcin/bgs112.
18 19 20	84	74.	Gallimidi A.B., Fischman S., Revach B., Bulvik R., Maliutina A., Rubinstein A.M.,
21 22 8	85		Nussbaum G. & Elkin M. (2015) Periodontal pathogens Porphyromonas gingivalis and
23 24 8	86		Fusobacterium nucleatum promote tumor progression in an oral-specific chemical
25 26 8	87		carcinogenesis model. Oncotarget, 6 (26), 22613–22623. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.4209.
27 28 29 8	88	75.	Wu J.S., Zheng M., Zhang M., Pang X., Li L., Wang S. sha, Yang X., Wu J. biao, Tang Y.J.,
30 31 8	89		Tang Y. ling & Liang X. hua (2018). – Porphyromonas gingivalis Promotes 4-
32 33 8	90		Nitroquinoline-1-Oxide-Induced Oral Carcinogenesis With an Alteration of Fatty Acid
34 35 36 8	91		Metabolism. Front. Microbiol., 9, 2081. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2018.02081.
37 38 8	92	76.	Kang W., Sun T., Tang D., Zhou J. & Feng Q. (2020) Time-Course Transcriptome
39 40 8	93		Analysis of Gingiva-Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells Reveals That Fusobacterium
41 42 43 8	94		nucleatum Triggers Oncogene Expression in the Process of Cell Differentiation. Front. Cell
44 45 8	95		Dev. Biol., 7, 359. doi:10.3389/fcell.2019.00359.
46 47 8	96	77.	Cho B.H., Jung Y.H., Kim D.J., Woo B.H., Jung J.E., Lee J.H., Choi Y.W. & Park H.R.
48 49 8	97		(2018) Acetylshikonin suppresses invasion of Porphyromonas gingivalis-infected YD10B
50 51 52 8	98		oral cancer cells by modulating the interleukin-8/matrix metalloproteinase axis. Mol. Med.
53 54 8	99		<i>Rep.</i> , 17 (2), 2327–2334. doi:10.3892/mmr.2017.8151.
55 56 9	00	78.	Woo B.H., Kim D.J., Choi J. Il, Kim S.J., Park B.S., Song J.M., Lee J.H. & Park H.R.
57 58 59	01		(2017) Oral cancer cells sustainedly infected with Porphyromonas gingivalis exhibit
60 9	02		resistance to Taxol and have higher metastatic potential. Oncotarget, 8 (29), 46981-46992.
			35

36

2 3 doi:10.18632/oncotarget.16550. 903 4 5 79. Ha N.H., Woo B.H., Kim D.J., Ha E.S., Choi J. II, Kim S.J., Park B.S., Lee J.H. & Park H.R. 904 6 7 (2015). - Prolonged and repetitive exposure to Porphyromonas gingivalis increases 905 8 9 10 906 aggressiveness of oral cancer cells by promoting acquisition of cancer stem cell properties. 11 12 907 Tumor Biol., 36 (12), 9947–9960. doi:10.1007/s13277-015-3764-9. 13 14 15 908 Sahingur S.E. & Yeudall W.A. (2015). - Chemokine Function in Periodontal Disease and 80. 16 Oral Cavity Cancer. Front. Immunol., 6, 214. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2015.00214. 17 909 18 19 Sztukowska M.N., Ojo A., Ahmed S., Carenbauer A.L., Wang Q., Shumway B., Jenkinson 81. 910 20 21 ₂₂ 911 H.F., Wang H., Darling D.S. & Lamont R.J. (2016). - Porphyromonas gingivalis initiates a 23 24 912 mesenchymal-like transition through ZEB1 in gingival epithelial cells. Cell. Microbiol., 18 25 ²⁶ 913 (6), 844–858. doi:10.1111/cmi.12554. 27 28 Park D.G., Woo B.H., Lee B.J., Yoon S., Cho Y., Kim Y.D., Park H.R. & Song J.M. (2019). 914 82. 29 30 - Serum Levels of Interleukin-6 and Titers of Antibodies against Porphyromonas gingivalis 31 915 32 33 916 Could Be Potential Biomarkers for the Diagnosis of Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma. Int. J. 34 35 917 Mol. Sci., 20 (11), 2749. doi:10.3390/ijms20112749. 36 37 ₃₈ 918 Kang W., Jia Z., Tang D., Zhang Z., Gao H., He K. & Feng Q. (2019). - Fusobacterium 83. 39 nucleatum Facilitates Apoptosis, ROS Generation, and Inflammatory Cytokine Production by 40 919 41 ⁴² 920 Activating AKT/MAPK and NF- κ B Signaling Pathways in Human Gingival Fibroblasts. 43 44 45⁹²¹ Oxid. Med. Cell. Longev., 2019, 1-22. doi:10.1155/2019/1681972. 46 Geng F., Zhang Y., Lu Z., Zhang S. & Pan Y. (2020). - Fusobacterium nucleatum Caused 47 922 84. 48 ⁴⁹ 923 DNA Damage and Promoted Cell Proliferation by the Ku70 / p53 Pathway in Oral Cancer 50 51 924 51 Cells. DNA Cell Biol., 39 (1), 144-151. doi:10.1089/dna.2019.5064. 53 54 925 85. Bronzato J.D., Bomfim R.A., Edwards D.H., Crouch D., Hector M.P. & Gomes B.P.F.A. 55 56 926 (2020). - Detection of Fusobacterium in oral and head and neck cancer samples: A 57 ⁵⁸ 927 systematic meta-analysis. Arch. Biol.. 104669. review and Oral 112. 59 60 doi:10.1016/j.archoralbio.2020.104669. 928

1 2		
3 929 4	86.	Yamamura K., Baba Y., Nakagawa S., Mima K., Miyake K., Nakamura K., Sawayama H.,
5 6 930		Kinoshita K., Ishimoto T., Iwatsuki M., Sakamoto Y., Yamashita Y., Yoshida N., Watanabe
7 8 931		M. & Baba H. (2016) Human Microbiome Fusobacterium Nucleatum in Esophageal
9 10 932 11		Cancer Tissue Is Associated with Prognosis. Clin. Cancer Res., 22 (22), 5574-5581.
12 13 933		doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-16-1786.
14 15 934 16	87.	Al-hebshi N.N., Nasher A.T., Maryoud M.Y., Homeida H.E., Chen T., Idris A.M. & Johnson
17 935 18		N.W. (2017) Inflammatory bacteriome featuring Fusobacterium nucleatum and
¹⁹ 936 20		Pseudomonas aeruginosa identified in association with oral squamous cell carcinoma. Sci.
21 22 937		<i>Rep.</i> , 7 (1), 1834. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-02079-3.
23 24 938 25	88.	Nawaz A., Mäkinen A., Pärnänen P. & Meurman J.H. (2018). – Proteolytic activity of non-
26 939 27		albicans Candida and Candida albicans in oral cancer patients. New Microbiol., 41 (4), 296-
28 29 940		301. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30311625.
30 31 941 32	89.	Mäkinen A., Nawaz A., Mäkitie A. & Meurman J.H. (2018) Role of Non-Albicans
33 942 34		Candida and Candida Albicans in Oral Squamous Cell Cancer Patients. J. Oral Maxillofac.
³⁵ 36 943		Surg., 76 (12), 2564–2571. doi:10.1016/j.joms.2018.06.012.
37 38 944	90.	Meyer J.E., Harder J., Görögh T., Weise J.B., Schubert S., Janssen D. & Maune S. (2004)
39 40 945 41		Human beta-defensin-2 in oral cancer with opportunistic Candida infection. Anticancer Res.,
⁴² 946		24 (2B), 1025–30. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15161058.

⁴⁴ 947 91. Rao S.K., Pavicevic Z., Du Z., Kim J.G., Fan M., Jiao Y., Rosebush M., Samant S., Gu W.,
⁴⁶ Pfeffer L.M. & Nosrat C.A. (2010). – Pro-inflammatory Genes as Biomarkers and
⁴⁹ 949 Therapeutic Targets in Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma. *J. Biol. Chem.*, 285 (42), 32512–
⁵¹ 950 32521. doi:10.1074/jbc.M110.150490.

⁵³ 951 92. Chuang C.Y., Sung W.W., Wang L., Lin W.L., Yeh K.T., Su M.C., Hsin C.H., Lee S.Y., Wu
⁵⁶ 952 B.C., Cheng Y.W. & Lee H. (2012). – Differential Impact of IL-10 Expression on Survival and Relapse between HPV16-Positive and -Negative Oral Squamous Cell Carcinomas. *PLoS One*, 7 (10), e47541. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047541. Feldman D., Krishnan A. V., Swami S., Giovannucci E. & Feldman B.J. (2014). – The role
of vitamin D in reducing cancer risk and progression. *Nat. Rev. Cancer*, 14 (5), 342–357.
doi:10.1038/nrc3691.

1 2

9

18

24 964

27

30

39

46

55

- ¹⁰ 958 94. Uberti F., Lattuada D., Morsanuto V., Nava U., Bolis G., Vacca G., Squarzanti D.F., Cisari
 ¹² 959 C. & Molinari C. (2014). Vitamin D Protects Human Endothelial Cells From Oxidative
 ¹⁴ 15 960 Stress Through the Autophagic and Survival Pathways. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab., 99 (4),
 ¹⁶ 1367–1374. doi:10.1210/jc.2013-2103.
- ¹⁹ 962 95. Haussler M.R., Jurutka P.W., Mizwicki M. & Norman A.W. (2011). Vitamin D receptor
 ²¹ 22 963 (VDR)-mediated actions of 1α,25(OH)2vitamin D3: Genomic and non-genomic mechanisms.
- 25
 ²⁶ 965 96. Carlberg C. & Muñoz A. (2020). An update on vitamin D signaling and cancer. Semin.

Best Pract. Res. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab., 25 (4), 543-559. doi:10.1016/j.beem.2011.05.010.

- ²⁸₂₉ 966 *Cancer Biol.*, **S1044-579X** (20), 30114–0. doi:10.1016/j.semcancer.2020.05.018.
- 967 97. Negri M., Gentile A., Angelis C. de, Montò T., Patalano R., Colao A., Pivonello R. &
 ³²
 ³³ 968 Pivonello C. (2020). Vitamin D-Induced Molecular Mechanisms to Potentiate Cancer
 ³⁵ 969 Therapy and to Reverse Drug-Resistance in Cancer Cells. *Nutrients*, **12** (6), 1798.
 ³⁷ doi:10.3390/nu12061798.
- 40 97198.Christakos S., Dhawan P., Verstuyf A., Verlinden L. & Carmeliet G. (2016). Vitamin D:4142972Metabolism, Molecular Mechanism of Action, and Pleiotropic Effects. *Physiol. Rev.*, 96 (1),4445973365–408. doi:10.1152/physrev.00014.2015.
- 47 974 99. Hii C. & Ferrante A. (2016). The Non-Genomic Actions of Vitamin D. *Nutrients*, 8 (3),
 48
 49 975 135. doi:10.3390/nu8030135.
- ⁵¹₅₂ 976 100. Carlberg C. (2017). Molecular endocrinology of vitamin D on the epigenome level. *Mol.* ⁵³₅₄ 977 *Cell. Endocrinol.*, **453**, 14–21. doi:10.1016/j.mce.2017.03.016.
- ⁵⁶ 978 101. Zheng Y., Trivedi T., Lin R.C., Fong-Yee C., Nolte R., Manibo J., Chen Y., Hossain M.,
 ⁵⁷ Horas K., Dunstan C., Zhou H. & Seibel M.J. (2017). Loss of the vitamin D receptor in
 ⁶⁰ 980 human breast and prostate cancers strongly induces cell apoptosis through downregulation of

1 2 3

4

5 6	982	102.	Anderso
7 8	983		of VDR
9 10	984		234–240
12 13	985	103.	Akutsu 7
14 15	986		D and C
16 17	987		with Car
18 19	088	104	Fneneki
20 21	588	104.	
22 23	989		of RAR-
24 25	990		vitro.
26 27	991		http://ww
28 29	992	105.	Gedlicka
30 31	993		M. (200
32 33	994		p18 in a
34 35	005		472 479
36 37	995		4/2-4/8
38 39	996	106.	Schwartz
40 41	997		Human
42 43	998		Cancer
44 45	999		http://ww
46 47	1000	107.	Sundara
48 49	1001		Dihydro
50 51	1002		Carainar
52 53	1002		Carcinor
54 55	1003	108.	Zeljic K
56 57	1004		Vitamin
58 59	1005		cancer r
60	1006		0714 201

Wnt/β-catenin signaling. *Bone Res.*, **5** (1), 17023. doi:10.1038/boneres.2017.23.

- 982 102. Anderson M.G., Nakane M., Ruan X., Kroeger P.E. & Wu-Wong J.R. (2006). Expression
 983 of VDR and CYP24A1 mRNA in human tumors. *Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol.*, 57 (2),
 984 234–240. doi:10.1007/s00280-005-0059-7.
- ² 985 103. Akutsu T., Kitamura H., Himeiwa S., Kitada S., Akasu T. & Urashima M. (2020). Vitamin
 ⁴ 5 986 D and Cancer Survival: Does Vitamin D Supplementation Improve the Survival of Patients
 ⁶ 7 987 with Cancer? *Curr. Oncol. Rep.*, **22** (6), 62. doi:10.1007/s11912-020-00929-4.
- 104. Enepekides D.J., Black M.J. & White J.H. (1999). The independent and combined effects
 of RAR-, RXR-, and VDR-selective ligands on the growth of squamous cell carcinoma in
 vitro. J. Otolaryngol., 28 (2), 83–9. Available at:
 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10212874.
- ²⁸ 992 105. Gedlicka C., Hager G., Weissenbock M., Gedlicka W., Knerer B., Kornfehl J. & Formanek M. (2006). 1,25(OH)2Vitamin D3 induces elevated expression of the cell cycle inhibitor
 ³⁰ p18 in a squamous cell carcinoma cell line of the head and neck. J. Oral Pathol. Med., 35 (8),
 ³⁵ 995 472–478. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0714.2006.00407.x.
- ⁹⁷ 996 106. Schwartz G.G., Whitlatch L.W., Chen T.C., Lokeshwar B.L. & Holick M.F. (1998). –
 ⁸⁹ Human prostate cells synthesize 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 from 25-hydroxyvitamin D3.
 ⁴¹ *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev.*, 7 (5), 391–5. Available at:
 ⁴⁴ http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9610788.
- 71000107.Sundaram K., Sambandam Y., Tsuruga E., Wagner C.L. & Reddy S. V. (2014). 1α ,25-891001Dihydroxyvitamin D3 Modulates CYP2R1 Gene Expression in Human Oral Squamous Cell01Carcinoma Tumor Cells. Horm. Cancer, 5 (2), 90–97. doi:10.1007/s12672-014-0170-5.
- I08. Zeljic K., Supic G., Stamenkovic Radak M., Jovic N., Kozomara R. & Magic Z. (2012). –
 Vitamin D receptor, CYP27B1 and CYP24A1 genes polymorphisms association with oral
 cancer risk and survival. J. Oral Pathol. Med., 41 (10), 779–787. doi:10.1111/j.16000714.2012.01164.x.

³ 1007 Małodobra-Mazur M., Paduch A., Lebioda A., Konopacka M., Rogoliński J., Szymczyk C., 109. 4 1008 Wierzgoń J., Maciejewski A., Chmielik E., Jonkisz A., Półtorak S. & Dobosz T. (2012). -6 VDR gene single nucleotide polymorphisms and their association with risk of oral cavity 8 1009 9 101010 carcinoma. Acta Biochim. Pol., 59 (4), 627-30. doi:10.18388/abp.2012 2102. 11

1 2

5

7

18

12 1011 13 110. Yokosawa E.B., Arthur A.E., Rentschler K.M., Wolf G.T., Rozek L.S. & Mondul A.M. 14 151012 (2018). - Vitamin D intake and survival and recurrence in head and neck cancer patients. 16 171013 Laryngoscope, 128 (11), E371–E376. doi:10.1002/lary.27256.

- ¹⁹1014 20 Maalmi H., Ordóñez-Mena J.M., Schöttker B. & Brenner H. (2014). - Serum 25-111. 21 22¹⁰¹⁵ hydroxyvitamin D levels and survival in colorectal and breast cancer patients: Systematic 23 241016 review and meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. Eur. J. Cancer, 50 (8), 1510-1521. 25 ²⁶1017 27 doi:10.1016/j.ejca.2014.02.006.
- 28 29¹⁰¹⁸ Wang L., Wang C., Wang J., Huang X. & Cheng Y. (2016). - Longitudinal, observational 112. 30 study on associations between postoperative nutritional vitamin D supplementation and 311019 32 331020 clinical outcomes in esophageal cancer patients undergoing esophagectomy. Sci. Rep., 6 (1), 34 ³⁵1021 36 38962. doi:10.1038/srep38962.
- 37 38<mark>1022</mark> Fanidi A., Muller D.C., Midttun Ø., Ueland P.M., Vollset S.E., Relton C., Vineis P., 113. 39 401023 Weiderpass E., Skeie G., Brustad M., Palli D., Tumino R., Grioni S., Sacerdote C., Bueno-41 ⁴²1024 43 de-Mesquita H.B., Peeters P.H., Boutron-Ruault M.C., Kvaskoff M., Cadeau C., Huerta J.M., 44 45¹⁰²⁵ Sánchez M.J., Agudo A., Lasheras C., Quirós J.R., Chamosa S., Riboli E., Travis R.C., Ward 46 H., Murphy N., Khaw K.T., Trichopoulou A., Lagiou P., Papatesta E.M., Boeing H., Kuehn 471026 48 ⁴⁹1027 T., Katzke V., Steffen A., Johansson A., Brennan P. & Johansson M. (2016). - Circulating 50 51 52¹⁰²⁸ vitamin D in relation to cancer incidence and survival of the head and neck and oesophagus 53 541029 in the EPIC cohort. Sci. Rep., 6 (1), 36017. doi:10.1038/srep36017. 55
- 561030 Özgü E., Yılmaz N., Başer E., Güngör T., Erkaya S. & Yakut H.I. (2016). – Could 25-OH 114. 57 ⁵⁸1031 59 vitamin D deficiency be a reason for HPV infection persistence in cervical premalignant 60 1032 lesions? Ther. *Oncol.*, 11 (3), J. Exp. 177 - 180.Available at:

1		
2 3 ₁₀₃₃ 4		http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28471122.
5 6 1034	115.	Walker D.D., Reeves T.D., Costa A.M. de, Schuyler C. & Young M.R.I. (2012)
7 8 1035		Immunological modulation by 1α ,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 in patients with squamous cell
9 10 <u>1</u> 036 11		carcinoma of the head and neck. <i>Cytokine</i> , 58 (3), 448–454. doi:10.1016/j.cyto.2012.03.002.
12 13 13	116.	Dudding T., Johansson M., Thomas S.J., Brennan P., Martin R.M. & Timpson N.J. (2018)
14 151038		Assessing the causal association between 25-hydroxyvitamin D and the risk of oral and
16 171039 18		oropharyngeal cancer using Mendelian randomization. Int. J. Cancer, 143 (5), 1029-1036.
¹⁹ 1040 20		doi:10.1002/ijc.31377.
21 22 ¹⁰⁴¹	117.	Malaguarnera L. (2020) Vitamin D and microbiota: Two sides of the same coin in the
23 241042		immunomodulatory aspects. Int. Immunopharmacol., 79 , 106112.
25 26 ₁₀₄₃ 27		doi:10.1016/j.intimp.2019.106112.
28 29 ¹⁰⁴⁴	118.	Dong S., Singh T.P., Wei X., Yao H. & Wang H. (2018) Protective Effect of 1,25-
30 311045		Dihydroxy Vitamin D3 on Pepsin-Trypsin-Resistant Gliadin-Induced Tight Junction
32 331046 34		Injuries. Dig. Dis. Sci., 63 (1), 92–104. doi:10.1007/s10620-017-4738-0.
³⁵ 1047 36	119.	Zhang Y.G., Wu S. & Sun J. (2013) Vitamin D, vitamin D receptor and tissue barriers.
37 38 ¹⁰⁴⁸		Tissue Barriers, 1 (1), e23118. doi:10.4161/tisb.23118.
39 401049 41	120.	Saito K., Pignon P., Ayyoub M. & Valmori D. (2015) Modulation of Cytokine Secretion
⁴² 1050 43		Allows CD4 T Cells Secreting IL-10 and IL-17 to Simultaneously Participate in Maintaining
44 45 ¹⁰⁵¹		Tolerance and Immunity. <i>PLoS One</i> , 10 (12), e0145788. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0145788.
46 471052 48	121.	Kabat A.M., Srinivasan N. & Maloy K.J. (2014) Modulation of immune development and
48 491053 50		function by intestinal microbiota. <i>Trends Immunol.</i> , 35 (11), 507–517.
51 52 ¹⁰⁵⁴		doi:10.1016/j.it.2014.07.010.
53 541055	122.	Lazar V., Ditu L.M., Pircalabioru G.G., Gheorghe I., Curutiu C., Holban A.M., Picu A.,
55 561056 57		Petcu L. & Chifiriuc M.C. (2018) Aspects of Gut Microbiota and Immune System
⁵⁸ 1057 59		Interactions in Infectious Diseases, Immunopathology, and Cancer. Front. Immunol., 9,
60 1058		1830. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2018.01830.

³ 1059 123. Sun J. (2010). – Vitamin D and mucosal immune function. *Curr. Opin. Gastroenterol.*, **26** ⁵ 1060 (6), 591–595. doi:10.1097/MOG.0b013e32833d4b9f.

1 2

16

34

41

- 7 Schwab M., Reynders V., Loitsch S., Steinhilber D., Stein J. & Schröder O. (2007). -8 1061 124. 9 101062 Involvement of different nuclear hormone receptors in butyrate-mediated inhibition of 11 12 13 1063 Immunol., inducible NF_KB signalling. Mol. 44 (15),3625-3632. 14 151064 doi:10.1016/j.molimm.2007.04.010.
- 171065 125. Kimura I., Ichimura A., Ohue-Kitano R. & Igarashi M. (2020). Free Fatty Acid Receptors in Health and Disease. *Physiol. Rev.*, **100** (1), 171–210. doi:10.1152/physrev.00041.2018.
- 126. Klimesova K., Jiraskova Zakostelska Z. & Tlaskalova-Hogenova H. (2018). Oral Bacterial
 and Fungal Microbiome Impacts Colorectal Carcinogenesis. *Front. Microbiol.*, 9, 774.
 doi:10.3389/fmicb.2018.00774.
- Fathi N., Ahmadian E., Shahi S., Roshangar L., Khan H., Kouhsoltani M., Maleki Dizaj S. &
 Sharifi S. (2019). Role of vitamin D and vitamin D receptor (VDR) in oral cancer. *Biomed. Pharmacother.*, **109**, 391–401. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2018.10.102.
- Robles-Vera I., Callejo M., Ramos R., Duarte J. & Perez-Vizcaino F. (2019). Impact of
 Vitamin D Deficit on the Rat Gut Microbiome. *Nutrients*, **11** (11), 2564.
 doi:10.3390/nu11112564.
- 42_{1076}
43129. Filippis A. De, Fiorentino M., Guida L., Annunziata M., Nastri L. & Rizzo A. (2017). – 44_{45}
1077
45Vitamin D reduces the inflammatory response by Porphyromonas gingivalis infection by
modulating human β-defensin-3 in human gingival epithelium and periodontal ligament cells. 48_{49}
 49_{1079} Int. Immunopharmacol., 47, 106–117. doi:10.1016/j.intimp.2017.03.021.
- ⁵¹₅₂1080
 130. Nouari W., Ysmail-Dahlouk L. & Aribi M. (2016). Vitamin D3 enhances bactericidal
 activity of macrophage against Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Int. Immunopharmacol.*doi:10.1016/j.intimp.2015.11.033.
- ⁵⁸1083 131. Bouzid D., Merzouki S., Bachiri M., Ailane S.E. & Zerroug M.M. (2017). Vitamin D 3 a
 ⁶⁰ new drug against Candida albicans. J. Mycol. Med. doi:10.1016/j.mycmed.2016.10.003.

1		
2 ³ 1085 4	132.	Perillo F., Amoroso C., Strati F., Giuffrè M.R., Díaz-Basabe A., Lattanzi G. & Facciotti F.
5 6 1086		(2020) Gut microbiota manipulation as a tool for colorectal cancer management: Recent
7 8 1087		advances in its use for therapeutic purposes. Int. J. Mol. Sci. doi:10.3390/ijms21155389.
9 10 <u>1088</u> 11	133.	Bashir M., Prietl B., Tauschmann M., Mautner S.I., Kump P.K., Treiber G., Wurm P.,
¹² 1089 13		Gorkiewicz G., Högenauer C. & Pieber T.R. (2016) Effects of high doses of vitamin D3
14 151090		on mucosa-associated gut microbiome vary between regions of the human gastrointestinal
16 17 <u>1091</u>		tract. Eur. J. Nutr., 55 (4), 1479–1489. doi:10.1007/s00394-015-0966-2.
18 19 1092	134.	Guo L., Chen W., Zhu H., Chen Y., Wan X., Yang N., Xu S., Yu C. & Chen L. (2014)
21 22 ¹⁰⁹³		Helicobacter pylori Induces Increased Expression of the Vitamin D Receptor in Immune
23 241094		Responses. Helicobacter, 19 (1), 37-47. doi:10.1111/hel.12102.
25 261095	135.	Kim J.H., Yamaori S., Tanabe T., Johnson C.H., Krausz K.W., Kato S. & Gonzalez F.J.
27 28 291096		(2013). – Implication of intestinal VDR deficiency in inflammatory bowel disease. <i>Biochim</i> .
30 311097		Biophys. Acta - Gen. Subj., 1830 (1), 2118–2128. doi:10.1016/j.bbagen.2012.09.020.
32 331098	136.	Wu S., Yoon S., Zhang Y.G., Lu R., Xia Y., Wan J., Petrof E.O., Claud E.C., Chen D. & Sun
³⁴ ³⁵ 36		J. (2015). – Vitamin D receptor pathway is required for probiotic protection in colitis. Am. J.
37 38 <mark>1100</mark>		Physiol. Liver Physiol., 309 (5), G341-G349. doi:10.1152/ajpgi.00105.2015.
39 401101	137.	Jones M.L., Martoni C.J. & Prakash S. (2013) Oral Supplementation With Probiotic L.
41 ⁴² 1102		reuteri NCIMB 30242 Increases Mean Circulating 25-Hydroxyvitamin D: A Post Hoc
43 44 ₄₅ 1103		Analysis of a Randomized Controlled Trial. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab., 98 (7), 2944–2951.
46 471104		doi:10.1210/ic.2012-4262.
48 491105		
50 51		
52 ¹¹⁰⁰ 53		
541107 55		
56 <u>1108</u> 57		
⁵⁸ 1109		
60 1110		

³ 1111 **Figure legends**

- 6 Figure 1. Flow-chart of the criteria adopted for the narrative literature review.
- Figure 2. Healthy and tumoral oropharyngeal microenvironment. 8 1113
- Figure 3. Microbial effects on oral epithelial cells and possible vitamin D-mediated 11115 13 oropharyngeal cancer protection mechanisms.



47x63mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 2. Healthy and tumoral oropharyngeal microenvironment.

113x115mm (300 x 300 DPI)





Figure 3. Microbial effects on oral epithelial cells and possible vitamin D-mediated oropharyngeal cancer protection mechanisms.

87x40mm (600 x 600 DPI)

