ARCHIVE

Department of the Environment for Northern Ir 1985/000 STUDY OF WATER DEMAND AND SUPPLY IN NORTHERN IRELAND

Volume 2 Supporting Studies August 1984

SIR ALEXANDER GIBB & PARTNERS in association with DELOITTE, HASKINS & SELLS MANAGEMENT CONSULTANTS

l

1

ľ

Department of the Environment for Northern Ireland

STUDY OF WATER DEMAND AND SUPPLY IN NORTHERN IRELAND

Volume 2 Supporting Studies August 1984



SIR ALEXANDER GIBB & PARTNERS in association with DELOITTE, HASKINS & SELLS MANAGEMENT CONSULTANTS

I ł

Í

ľ

•

DEPARTMENT OF THE ENVIRONMENT FOR NORTHERN IRELAND

STUDY OF WATER DEMAND AND SUPPLY IN NORTHERN IRELAND

VOLUME 2

SUPPORTING STUDIES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE NO. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY (i)

CHAPTER 1 SOURCE YIELDS

1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	River Flow Records	1
1.3	Meteorological Data	10
1.4	Record Extension	14
1.5	Regional Storage Yield Relationship	21
1.6	Yield Estimation	27
1.7	River Abstractions	35
1.8	Severity of Period (1970-1983)	37
1.9	Lough Neagh	40
1. 10	Groundwater	41
1.11	Conclusions and Recommendations	42

CHAPTER 2	HOUSEHOLD SURVEY	45.
•		
	2.1 Findings of the Survey	45
	2.2 Household Survey Questionnaire	45

CHAPTER 3 DETAILS OF METERED DISTRICTS

61

PAGE NO.

CHAPTER 4	ADJUSTMENT OF METERED CONSUMPTION TO ACCOUNT FOR	•
	NON-METERED CONSUMERS	63
		6.2
	4.1 Agriculture	63
· .	4.2 Industry	65
	4.3 Construction	65
	4.4 Commerce and Other Services	65
CHAPTER 5	LEAKAGE IN SUPPLY SYSTEMS	70
	5.1 Objective	7.0
	5.1 Objective	70
	5.2 Base Year	70
	5.3 Method	70
	5.4 Night Flow Rates	71
	5.4.1 General	71
•	5.4.2 Eastern Division	74
	5.4.3 Northern Division	74
	5.4.4 Southern Division	74
	5.4.5 Western Division	75
	5.5 Estimation of Leakage	76
	5.5.1 Distribution System	76
	5.5.2 Trunk Main Leakage	76
	5.5.3 Total Leakage	79

LIST OF TABLES

PAGE NO.

TABLE	1.1	Summary of Flow Data from Northern Ireland	3
TABLE	1.2	Available Records for Annalong Catchment	4
TABLE	1.3	Red, Blue, Green and Control Monthly Average	
		Catchment Rainfall	7
TABLE	1.4	Red, Blue, Green and Control Monthly Average	
		Catchment Runoff	8
TABLE	1.5.	Summary of Flow Data from Republic of Ireland	9
TABLE	1.6	Summary of Flow Data from South West Scotland	9
TABLE	1.7	Annual Catchment Rainfall for Northern Ireland	
		Catchments .	11
TABLE	1.8	Rainfall Ratios for Silent Valley and Annalong	
		Raingauges	12
TABLE	1.9	SAAR (1941-70) for Silent Valley and Annalong	
		Catchment	12
TABLE	1.10	Definition of Variables used for Record Extension	16
TABLE	1.11	Correlation Matrix for Annalong Record Extension	17
TABLE	1.12	Correlation Matrix for Woodburn Record Extension	17
TABLE	1.13	Storage Requirement for 50 year Return Period	24
TABLE	1.14	Yields of Reservoirs in Northern Ireland	28
TABLE	1.15	Yield of River Derg at Tievenny	38
TABLE	1.16	Data and Rank of Highest Deficit in Period 1970-1983	38
TABLE	1.17	Return Period of Armagh Rainfall for Selected	
		Durations	39
TABLE	2.1	Ownership of Water Using Appliances	46
TABLE	2.2	Household Characteristics by Tensure Status	47
TABLE	4.1	Concentration of Agricultural Water Usage	64
TABLE	4.2	Concentration of Industrial Water Usage	66
TABLE	4.3	Concentration of Construction Water Usage	67
TABLE	4.4	Concentration of Service Water Usage	68
TABLE	5.1	Metered Minimum Night Flows in Selected Areas	72
TABLE	5.2	Metered Legitimate Night Flows in Selected Areas	73
TABLE	5.3	Night Flow Rates and Leakage	77

LIST OF FIGURES

Following Page No

FIGURE 1.1	Locations of Gauging Stations used in Study	1
FIGURE 1.2	Silent Valley System	3
FIGURE 1.3	Woodburn Complex	5
FIGURE 1.4	Relationship Between Potential and Actual	
	Evaporation	13
FIGURE 1.5	Storage/Yield Diagrams for Annalong Catchment	
	1895-1979	21
FIGURE 1.6	Relationship between 10 year Return Period	
	Storage and 80 Percentile Exceedance Discharge	
	for Republic of Ireland Catchments	22
FIGURE 1.7	Sensitivity of Storage/Yield to Period of	
	Record - Annalong	23
FIGURE 1.8	50 Year Return Period Storage/Yield Relationships	24
FIGURE 1.9	Regional Design Curves for Northern Ireland	25
FIGURE 1.10	Comparison of Regional Storage/Yield Relationships	25
FIGURE 1.11	Yield/Failure Relationship for Silent Valley	32
FIGURE 1.12	Return Period of Rationing for Given Yields	
· .	and Probability of Total Failure	34

INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

This volume of the report on the Study of the Water Demand and Supply in Northern Ireland comprises chapters which describe the work carried out on a number of fundamental topics which support the main study. These are summarised as follows:-

1. SOURCE YIELDS

This chapter comprises a review of the data that is available on water resources, particularly surface water sources, which are predominant in the Province. A regional storage/yield relationship is developed and used for the assessment of the reliable yields of all reservoired catchments in Northern Ireland at return periods of 1 in 20 and 1 in 50 years. For the Silent Valley system, an assessment is made of the available yield that would result from the imposition of restrictions in supply for a range of frequencies.

River abstraction and groundwater are also reviewed.

2. HOUSEHOLD SURVEY

A survey of 2500 households was carried out to ascertain the ownership of water using facilities and appliances. The results are summarized by type of household. A copy of the survey form is appended.

3. SURVEY OF METERED DISTRICTS

Details of the 76 metered districts which were identified for the purpose of assessing current water consumption are presented.

(i)

4. ADJUSTMENT OF METERED CONSUMPTION FIGURES TO TAKE ACCOUNT OF NON-METERED CONSUMERS

This chapter describes the adjustments that have been made to allow for non-metered consumers in agriculture, industry, construction, commerce and other services.

5. LEAKAGE IN SUPPLY SYSTEMS

An explanation is given of the way in which estimates have been made of leakage rates during the base year on a sub-divisional basis. Minimum night flow rates are available from wastewater meters or district meters for over 80% of the Province by supply. Legitimate night flows are deducted from minimum night flows to derive net night flows and leakage rates. Estimates of trunk main leakage are made on the basis of the results of a survey of data from UK Water Authorities.

CHAPTER 1

SOURCE YIELDS

1.1 INTRODUCTION

The objective of this aspect of the study is to assess the yield of existing and potential water sources in Northern Ireland. Although groundwater resources are included, the main emphasis of the work is on surface water resources and particularly the yield of impounding reservoirs and loughs. The approach to yield calculation for upland sources has been to develop a regional storage/yield relationship for Northern Ireland. For the Silent Valley source yields have also been estimated by carrying out a more detailed simulation of reservoir behaviour. Estimates have also been made of the yield of direct river abstractions, the hydrology of Lough Neagh and the groundwater resources of the province.

1.2 RIVER FLOW RECORDS

The Water Data Unit (NI) has operated a total of 73 gauging stations, some of which record only levels whilst others have been discontinued. Records of mean daily flows are archived for 30 stations with ICS Computing Limited in Belfast. Given the time constraints of the present study only these archived records were considered in detail: these are listed on Table 1.1. Most of these records are rated river sections with cableways and the overall quality of the data is good.

Discussions with WDU identified some poorer quality flow records. These included stations where the natural flow regime is significantly influenced by artificial factors (such as reservoirs or canals), stations where, as a result of an unstable control, there is wide scatter of points on the rating curve and the flow record is of low accuracy; and stations having a better quality gauging station either up or downstream. These inferior (for the purpose of the current study) flow records amount to 8 of the 30 processed records and their station numbers are shown in parenthesis in Table 1.1. Figure 1.1 shows the location of each of the gauging stations used in the study.

-1-



It will be seen from Table 1.1 that all the standard hydrometric stations have short records: the average record length is 8 years with no data available before 1970. This data was therefore enhanced from the following sources:

Annalong gauge 1895-1979. (Figure 1.2). This gauge is a rectangular (i) plate weir with low flow notch, maintained in good condition, with little leakage and clean straight approach section. The calibration of the direct flow recorder is unknown as is the detailed history of datum checks on the weir. The site lacks a staff gauge. However, it is thought that the quality of record may well be equal to that of other long flow records in the British Isles and given that the catchment feeds the major source for Belfast, it was considered essential that an attempt was made to analyse this record. Manual abstractions of flows from the large, 4 ft square, logarithmic chart records was carried out by WDU (NI). These flows were read at three hourly intervals during rapid changes of river flow and at daily intervals during periods of relatively constant discharge. The data was then processed by the Institute of Hydrology to produce a mean daily flow record from 1895, although there are many missing periods (Table 1.2).

The Slieve Binnian tunnel was constructed in 1955 to divert the river Annalong flows to the Silent Valley reservoir and thereby increase its yield (Colebrook, 1955). The intake to the tunnel is constructed as a mass concrete stilling pool with two orifices to the tunnel and an overflow weir discharging water into the old river course. Flows down the tunnel are measured by a non-standard direct flow recorder using these rectangular orifices as a control. The detailed calibration is not known. Charts are available from 1957 to 1979 and manual abstractions of these charts has been carried out by the Institute of Hydrology to provide a record of mean daily flows.

To compute natural catchment flow post 1955 it is necessary to sum the tunnel diversion discharge and the flows at the original Annalong gauge. Unfortunately this is not possible because of gaps in the Annalong record. However, an examination of the tunnel charts

-2-

`

SUMMARY OF FLOW DATA FROM NORTHERN IRELAND

,

NUMBER	STATION	PERIOD	ADF	BFI	095	080	AREA	SAAR
			cumecs		& ADF	BADF	sqkm	
201002	Fairy Wuter at Dudgeon Bridge	1972-1980	4.780	0.266	6.63	12.53	161.2	1218
201005	Camowen at Camowen Terrace	1972-1980	6.386	0.424	15.44	25.44	274.6	1166
201006	Drumragh at Campsite Bridge	1972-1980	7.573	0.356	5.63	12.46	324.6	1155
201007	Burn Dennet at Burndennet Bridge	1976-1980	3.691	0.466	19.89	30.45	145.3	1175
201008	Derg at Castlederg	1976-1980	16.389	0.259	5.55	19.46	337.3	1500
202999	Altnaheglish Reservoir inflows	1926-1959	0.247	ı	22.82	47.31	7.3	1499
203010	Blackwater at Maydown Bridge	1970-1981	16.128	0.404	6.73	17.58	951.4	1043
203011	Main at Dromona	1970-1980	5.805	0.448	12.80	23.17	228.8	1234
203012	Ballinderry at Ballinderry Bridge	1970-1980	8.874	0.461	16.93	26.19	419.5	1087
203013	Main at Andraid	1970-1980	15.659	0.357	11.86	21.28	646.8	1175
(203017)	Upper Bann at Dynes Bridge	1970-1981	5.392	0.317	5.84	14.67	335.6	1002
203018	Six Mile Water at Antrim	1970-1980	5.248	0.488	11.30	25.93	277.3	1070
203020	Moyola at Moyola New Bridge	1971-1980	7.239	0.410	14.52	25.13	306.5	1167
203021	Kells Water at Currys Bridge	1971-1980	3.149	0.301	7.05	15.31	127.0	1309
(203024)	Cusher at Gambles Bridge	1972-1981	3.765	0.322	4.06	10.20	176.7	936
203025	Callan at Callan New Bridge	1971-1981	2.744	0.403	11.99	21.83	164.1	975
(203026)	Glenavy at Glenavy	1975-1980	0.653	0.403	19.60	24.33	44.6	1050
203027	Braid at Ballee	1972-1980	3.850	D.487	12.70	30.05	177.2	1201
203028	Agivey at White Hill	1973-1980	2.397	0.307	8.39	17.31	6. 86	1177
203029	Six Mile Water at Ballyclare	1973-1980	1.628	0.467	11.43	24.45	58.4	1175
203033	Upper Bann at Bannfield	1975-1980	2.722	0.336	8.60	16.79	100.8	1400
.204001	Bush at Seneirl	1972-1976	5.273	0.447	14.98	23.29	306.1	1138
(205003)	Lagan at Dunmurry	1970-1981	7.362	0.399	13.07	22.64	444.7	947
205004	Lagan at Newforge	1972-1980	8.693	- 0.460	9.84	18.97	490.4	056
205005	Ravernet at Ravernet	1972-1980	1.249	0.399	1.92	8.56	69.5	922
(205006)	Lagan at Blaris	1972-1980	4.499	0.371	5.36	13.40	315.9	950
205008	Lagan at Drumiller	1974-1980	1.708	0.355	2.40	8.61	85.2	975
(205010)	L a gan at Banoge	1974-1980	3.289	0.195	1.22	4.07	189.8	950
205995	Woodburn Complex	1886-1980	0.688	ł	24.70	53.32	28.2	1172
(206001)	Clanrye at Mount Mill Bridge	1976-1980	2.294	0.504	5.54	16.13	132.7	266
(206002)	Jerretspass at Jerretspass (River)	1976-1980	1.081	0.455	2.96	15.36	32.4	950
206995	Annalong at Mourne Conduit	1895-1979	0.630	0.365	13.02	27.30	14.2	1654
				-				

Records from station numbers in brackets to be used with caution Monthly stations - No BFI - Q95 and Q80 from monthly data - 5.

.

•

÷

:

;

-3-



RAINGAUGES:

- Silent Valley Water Works
- b Slieve Bearnagh
- c Lough Shannagh
- d Slieve Lamagan
- e Annalong

a

SILENT VALLEY SYSTEM

Figure 1.2

AVAILABLE RECORDS FOR THE ANNALONG CATCHMENT

ANNALONG G.	AUGED	FLOWS	TUNN	EL GAUGED	MONTHLY CATCHMENT RAINFALL	DAILY ARMAGH RAINFALL
1000 1000		incomplete				1823
1000		complete				
1900		missing				
1901		incomplete				
1902-1905		missing				
1906-1909		incomplete				
1910-1911		compiete				
1912-1916		incomplete			•	compière
1917		complete				
1918-1919		incomplete				
1920-1927		complete				
1928		incomplete			•	
1929-1934		complete				
1935		incomplete				
1936-1939		complete				
1940		incomplete			1939 	
1941-1960		missing	1955-1958	incomplete		
			1959-1963	complete		
1960-1979		incomplete	1964-1966	incomplete	complete	
			1967-1971	missing		
•			1972-1974	incomplete		
			1975-1979	complete	1980	1980

-4-

revealed that the tunnel diverts all but the peaks of the extreme floods, (it was designed to divert 97% of its catchment's runoff). It was therefore possible to estimate flows at the Annalong site by multiplying tunnel flows by 1.347 to allow for the difference in area and mean annual effective rainfall of the partial and total catchment areas.

- (ii) Altnaheglish catchment 1926-1959. Despite extensive enquiries by
 WDU (NI) the origin of this weekly inflow record is unknown. Monthly
 flows have been calculated and used in the storage yield analysis:
- (iii) Woodburn experimental catchments. Four experimental catchments were instrumented by the Belfast City and District Water Commissioners to study "the effects of afforestation on water runoff" (Savill, 1974). The catchments, named the Red, Blue, Green and Control areas, are shown in Figure 1.3. Direct flow Lea recorders were installed in 1959 together with weirs having 90 degree v-notches for low flows, and rectangular weirs for higher flows. A weighted mean of the four monthly flow records (Table 1.4) have been used to develop a rainfall runoff relationship for the Woodburn complex which has enabled synthetic monthly flows to be estimated.
- (iv) Republic of Ireland. Discussion with the Office of Public Works, Dublin, identified 27 stations (from a network of 200) with record lengths in excess of 20 years, having natural flows of acceptable accuracy. This data has been transferred to IH and was initially examined to identify which catchments had similar flow regimes to those of Northern Ireland. Eleven such records were identified, their locations are shown in Figure 1.1 and their catchment details are listed on Table 1.5. The Electricity Supply Board, Dublin, also operate gauging stations and have made available monthly gauged outflows and inflows to Lough Erne based on measurement at Cathleen Falls power station and changes in Lough level.
 - South West Scotland. In view of the proximity of south west Scotland to parts of Northern Ireland it was considered appropriate to use flow records from the Solway Purification Board. The catchments were selected on the basis of record length and similarity to the

(v)



reservoired catchments of Northern Ireland, that is, having high annual average rainfall and impermeable geology. The details of the selected catchments are summarised in Table 1.6.

Values of average flow (ADF) in cumecs, base flow index (BFI)*, the 95 and 80 percentile discharge from the flow duration curves (Q95 and Q80), catchment area and standard annual average rainfall 1941-70 (SAAR) are shown in Table 1.1, 1.5 and 1.6. An inspection of these data indicated that the variation in flow indices between catchments is small in Northern Ireland in relation to the rest of the British Isles, that the catchments are generally impermeable and that a single regional storage yield relationship may be appropriate to use throughout the province. This analysis of both flow data and catchment characteristics provided an objective basis for selecting flow records from outside Northern Ireland which were similar to those within the province.

The ratio of base flow discharge to total discharge (Institute of Hydrology 1980).

-6-

RED, BLUE, GREEN AND CONTROL MONTHLY AVERAGE CATCHMENT RAINFALL

TOTAL	1375.2	1202.9	1145.5	1158.3	1057.1	1103.3	1376.6	1291.7	1244.5	1046.6	1265.8
DEC	79.2	102.9	130.6	28.4	128.0	141.3	184.9	96.1	116.2	114.5	86.0
NON	135.1	67.3	119.6	190.2	73.7	187.0	100.1	143.1	168.2	103.9	144.5
CJ O	176.3	145.8	51.3	149.1	169.2	76.4	131.2	168.1	124.7	60.2	106.3
SEPT	93.0	120.9	194.6	88.1	104.6	119.0	102.5	168.1	133.6	33.6	158.7
AUG	142.7	105.9	147.3	134.4	128.0	84.9	110.8	124.2	76.9	49.6	140.5
ז חדא	230.4	69.1	68.1	96.0	48.8	105.2	77.8	76.2	73.7	82.6	83.3
JUNE	63.0	67.8	45.7	121.1	86.4	65.3	107.5	67.0	83.4	83.9	48.0
MAY	53.8	93.7	73.7	101.9	65.0	64.3	111.5	84.5	98.7	108.3	68.7
APR	94.2	140.7	72.9	68.3	66.0	94.7	107.8	65.5	88.8	103.0	91.6
MAR	83.6	48.5	48.8	94.2	108.2	88.0	100.5	100.7	52.2	88.2	119.5
FEB	9.66	106.2	60.2	39.1	25.9	17.1	173.9	78.1	86.1	82.2	91.6
JAN	124.2	134.1	132.8	47.5	53.3	109.5	68.2	119.8	142.1	136.9	121.3
YEAR	1960	1961	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967	1968	1969	1970

-7

RED, BLUE, GREEN AND CONTROL CATCHMENT RUNOFF

TOTAL		1 781.82	8 696.72	5 612.14	7 721.11	3 623.56	4 757.08	5 951.70	4 818.27	3 851.30	3 708.89	4 855.77
DEC		67.3	100.01	98 5	48 • 7	116.3	162.4	158.00	79.5	109.10	69+2	92.7
NON		129.54	51.31	82.55	144.78	40.64	82.48	70.68	124.59	134.02	36.60	99.97
SCI		79.25	92.20	39,88	89.92	120.90	51.39	105.90	105.57	82.00	17.56	57.30
SEPT		51.31	35.56	99.82	46.48	40.64	46.51	34.11	83.79	48.99	16.70	66.73
AUG		65.79	16.00	33.02	49.53	32.00	32.71	37 - 16	32+14	[:] 26 . 59	28.83	46.41
JULY		50.29	11.43	10.67	42.42	14.48	31.36	34.03	20.62	29.94	33.40	22.24
JUNE		10.92	16.51	11.43	39.12	32.51	25.70	53.51	34.29	39.73	53.74	27.10
МАҮ		15.75	64.01	23.11	49.53	36.07	37.03	68.70	41.15	58.28	81.35	61.27
APR		61.21	82.55	45.97	45.72	40.13	78.08	80.09	37.78	66.54	79.83	73.32
MAR		74.68	30.48	27.43	71.63	88.14	56.74	108.44	77.25	43,80	82,80	108.41
FEB		83.82	88.90	56.90	62.23	28.70	38.11	125.81	76.12	79.04	94.80	88.79
JAN	· ·	91.95	107.70	82.80	30.99	33.02	113.53	75.21	105.43	133.27	118.95	111.49
YEAR		1960	1961	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967	1968	1969	1970

-8-

.

SUMMARY OF FLOW DATA FROM REPUBLIC OF IRELAND

NUMBER	STATION	PERIOD	ADF	BFI	Q95	Q80	AREA	SAAR
			cumecs		BADF	BA DF	sq km	Ling
306021	Glyde at Mansfieldtown	1955-1980	4.857	0.640	11.94	25.45	312	921
306023	Dee at Drumgoolestown	1954-1980	3.837	0.553	11.13	21.14	302	924
307006	Moynalty at Fyanstown	1956-1980	2.954	0.559	9.11	22.27	179	959
309001	Ryewater at Leixlip	1956-1982	2.561	0.496	3.94	12.69	215	. 860
314005	Barrow at Portalington	1955-1981	6.384	0.513	7.22	19.85	398	966
316004	Suir at Thurles	1954-1981	4.157	0.516	5.84	16.62	236	950
323002	Feale at Listowel	1946-1980	22.203	0.309	5.94	14.62	646	1281
326011	Breedoge at Bella Bridge	1953-1980	1.920	0.365	4.06	12.24	112	1150
326019	Camlin at Mullagh	1953-1980	4.743	0.503	8.64	23.55	260	968
335011	Bonet at Dromhair	1957-1981	9.432	0.343	8.72	21.34	294	1430
336015	Finn at Anlone	1956-1979	2.805	0.363	3.67	13.69	175	1022
336999	Erne at Power Station (inflows)	1900-1983	96,755	ı	11.48	32.48	4349	1489
۰.								

1. Monthly stations - No BFI - Q95 and Q80 from monthly data.

TABLE 1.6

SUMMARY OF FLOW DATA FROM SOUTH WEST SCOTLAND

NUMBER	STATION	PERIOD	ADF cunecs	BFI	Q95 BADF	Q80 &ADF	AREA sq km	SAAR
79002	Nith at Friars Carse	1957-1982	25.57	0.380	10.98	23.06	. 799	1598
79003	Nith at Hall Bridge	1959-1982	5.25	0.270	6.72	15.32	155	1692
79004	Scar Water at Capenoch	1963-1981	5.14	0.310	6.42	19.29	142	1700
79005	Cluden Water at Fiddlers Ford	1963-1982	7.45	0.370	6.93	15.44	238	1407
79006	Nith at Drumlanrig	1967-1982	15.27	0.340	9.13	18.42	471	1613
80001	Urr at Dalbeattie	1963-1980	5.45	0.350	4.87	15.50	199	1321
81002	Cree at Newton Stewart	1963-1980	14.85	0.270	7.03	18.22	368	1715
81003	Luce at Airyhemming	1967-1982	5.75	0.230	5.10	11.86	171	1433
82001	Girvan at Robstone	1963-1980	6.11	0-340	9.17	17.90	245	1435

-9-

1.3 METEOROLOGICAL DATA

(a) Rainfall data

Annual rainfalls for gauged catchments (Table 1.7) were provided by the Meteorological Office Belfast and are used to estimate average annual losses for Northern Ireland. In addition standard annual average rainfall 1941-70 (SAAR) for each of the reservoired catchments (except Silent Valley) were obtained from Table C3.7 of Water Statistics 1980 and are shown in Table 1.14.

Monthly rainfall for extending the Woodburn flow records was based on the North Woodburn raingauge from 1886-1980. These were calculated for the combined Red, Blue, Green and Control catchment areas by using a ratio of point to catchment rainfall based on SAAR values. For the period of concurrent flow and rainfall data (1960-1970) a more accurate monthly catchment rainfall was calculated by weighting the data from 6 raingauges in or near the catchments. (Figure 1.3, Table 1.3).

Table 1.8 shows the ratio of the 1941-58 average annual rainfall to the 1941-70 annual rainfall for five gauges in the Silent Valley area. Inconsistencies in the ratios for the Silent Valley waterworks gauge (due to relocating the gauge in 1958) and the Slieve Lamagan gauge were discussed with the Meteorological Office (Belfast and Bracknell). Following these discussions the Meteorological Office revised catchment SAAR values based on 1:250,000 isohyetal maps (Table 1.9). Monthly catchment rainfall for the Annalong and Silent Valley catchments for the period 1939 to 1980 were then determined by weighting the three consistent raingauges by the appropriate gauge and catchment SAAR value.

(b) Actual evaporation

For each reservoired catchment actual evaporation (Ea) is required to estimate the average annual discharge from catchment rainfall. Penman potential evapotranspiration (Ep) for short grass, adjusted for mean catchment altitude, has been used as an estimate of catchment actual evaporation. An investigation of catchment losses showed that the difference between mean Ea and mean Ep is very small in Northern Ireland; the results are described below.

-10-

206002	JERRETSPASS	ł
206001	MOUNT HILL BRIDGE	
205906	BLARIS	
205005	RAVERNET	
205003	DUNMURRY	
204001	SENEIRL	
203033	BANNFIELD	
203029	BALLYCLARE	
203028	WHITE HILL	
203027	BALLEE	
203026	GLENAVY	
203025	CALLAN NEW BRIDGE	
203024	GAMBLE'S BRIDGE	
203021	CURRY'S BRIDGE	
203020	MOYOLA NEW BRIDGE	
203019	GLENONE BRIDGE	
203018	ANTRIM	
203013	ANDRAID	
203012	BALLINDERRY BRIDGE	
203011	DROMCHA	
203010	MAYDOWN BRIDGE	
201008	CASTLEDERG	
201006	CAMPSIE BRIDGE	
201005	CAMOWEN TERRACE	
201002	DUDGEON BRIDGE	
	i	

TABLE 1.7

ANNUAL CATCHMENT RAINFALL FOR NORTHERN IRELAND CATCHMENTS

-11-

TABLE	1	•	ε
		_	

•	• .• . 		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	GAUGE	IRISH Grid Reperence	SAAR 1941-70 mm	RAINFALL RATIO 1941-58/1941-70
(a)	Silent Valley Water Works	J305 216	1355	96.0
(b)	Slieve Bearnagh	J314 279	1770	98.6
(c)	Lough Shannagh	J298 259	1808	98.4
.(d)	Slieve Lamagon	J322 255	1787	101.2
(e)	Annalong	J355 255	1240	98.5

RAINFALL RATIOS FOR THE SILENT VALLEY AND ANNALONG RAINGAUGES

TABLE 1.9

SAAR (1941-70) FOR SILENT VALLEY AND ANNALONG CATCHMENTS

CATCHMENT	SAAR (mm)	AREA (ha)	
Silent Valley (residual catchment below Ben Crom excluding Annalong diversion)	1730	1418.8	
Ben Crom	1845	810.2	
Silent Valley Plus Ben Crom excluding Annalong	1772	2229.0	· · · ·
Annalong above Tunnel Diversions	172 6	1011.3	
Annalong above Mourne Conduit	1654	1421.8	
Silent Valley, Ben Crom and Annalong above Tunnel Diversion	1758	3240.3	

The mean and standard deviation of annual losses (rainfall minus runoff) were calculated for each gauged catchment. Ten catchments with eight or more years of flow data and a low standard deviation of annual losses were used for further analysis. The average annual losses for this group of catchments was 373 mm. The mean Ep values (Table C.3.5.3 DOE, N. Ireland 1980) calculated for climatological stations in Northern Ireland were adjusted to sea level using a 'lapse rate', provided by the Meteorological Office, of 29.3 mm/100 m. The average Ep of 13 climate stations was 440 mm adjusted to sea level. (These 13 stations excluded coastal sites, the Silent Valley Water Works site which seriously overestimated Ep, and sites with less than 10 years of data). This value of 440 mm is used as the mean sea level Ep throughout Northern Ireland, from which any catchment Ep can be calculated. A mean altitude adjusted Ep of 397 mm was calculated for the ten gauged catchments and compared with the actual losses from the catchment water balance of 373 mm, giving a mean difference between Ep and Ea of 24 mm. This assumes that all losses may be attributed to evaporation and this is supported by the impermeable catchment geology.

A second and independent method of estimating the difference between Ep and Ea employed a soil-moisture deficit model described in the following section. This model estimated daily Ea and was run for a range of Ep and SAAR values. The rainfall was distributed according to the daily rainfalls at Armagh Observatory over the period 1941-70. The results are shown in Figure 1.4 where the difference between Ep and Ea can be estimated for a site with a given value of SAAR and Ep. Entering Figure 1.4 with a mean SAAR of 1146 mm and Ep of 397 mm for the catchments in the water balance study yields a difference of 5 mm between Ep and Ea.

In view of the small differences between Ep and Ea from both methods and the fact that most reservoirs have SAAR values in excess of 1000 mm, where any differences between Ep and Ea would be smaller than the water balance catchment sub set, it was concluded that Ep could be assumed to be equal to Ea. Furthermore, most reservoirs are located in upland areas with impermeable soil and geology so the only losses would be evaporation. Hence mean annual runoff can be estimated from SAAR - Ep.

-13-



RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN POTENTIAL AND ACTUAL EVAPORATION

Figure 1.4

(c) Soil Moisture Deficit

The extension of the Annalong and Woodburn flow records also require estimates of the soil moisture deficit (SMD) appropriate to each catchment. There are no published long term SMD data available for Northern Ireland and so values have been estimated from climatological data. A recent study of SMD models (Calder, 1983) has shown that a reliable estimate of SMD can be achieved using a mean estimate of potential evaporation, daily rainfall and a simple, 2 layer, soil moisture extraction model.

One of the longest daily rainfall records in Northern Ireland is Armagh Observatory (1853-1982). Armagh is approximately 50 km from Silent Valley and 65 km from the Woodburn complex and it has a much lower SAAR of only 866 mm. However the daily catchment rainfall values can be estimated using the ratio of (catchment SAAR/Armagh SAAR) to provide a reasonable basis for SMD calculation. The method for estimating mean annual catchment potential evaporation has been described earlier; values for the Silent Valley and Woodburn catchments were 325 mm and 380 mm respectively.

Daily SMD values were calculated for each site using the simple two layer model, daily catchment rainfall and the seasonal distribution of mean annual potential evaporation. The 'start of the month' SMD values were extracted from the results for use in the flow extension models described below.

1.4 RECORD EXTENSION

(a) Model development

It was necessary to infill gaps in the Annalong record and to extend the Woodburn flow sequence to provide additional data on which to base the regional storage yield analysis and to carry out a more detailed simulation of the Silent Valley reservoir system.

-14-

Concurrent flow and rainfall data and long rainfall records were available at both locations and a regression model was used for data extension. This method provides objective parameter estimation and avoids the need to make subjective judgements about catchment processes which is necessary with most conceptual models. Regression analysis is used to construct simple linear relationships between any set of inputs and an output variable, such that the difference between the observed and predicted sums of squares is a minimum.

Data inputs for the regression were rainfall, evaporation and soil moisture deficit (SMD); outputs were monthly flows, with all variables expressed in mm. Model formulation is selected partly by experience but aided by an analysis of variance and an inspection of the residuals (the difference between the observed and predicted monthly discharge). The GENSTAT statistical package was used to assist with the analysis. This program was designed by Rothamstead Experimental Station to perform analysis of variance, and incorporate extensive model building aids such as transformations, residual plotting and subset search routines.

Table 1.10 lists the variables used in developing the regression model. Fitting natural and logarithmic flows was carried out at each site based on the rainfall and SMD data available. Examples of the Annalong and Woodburn correlation matrices are shown in Table 1.11 and 1.12. The following checks were carried out to ensure that there were:-

- No major errors in a simple water balance of the rainfall, evaporation and flow data used to calibrate the model.
- (2) No tendency to over or under predict at high, medium or low flows.
- (3) No seasonal trend in the residual flows (fitted observed).
- (4) No trend in the residuals throughout the period of record.

- 15-

DEFINITION OF VARIABLES USED FOR RECORD EXTENSION

VARIABLE	DESCRIPTION
FL1, FL2 FL3, FL4	The mean monthly flow from the previous 1, 2, 3 and 4th month respectively.
RR	The current month's effective rainfall (catchment rainfall less evaporation). If actual evaporation exceeds rainfall, RR is set to Zero. This happened rarely.
RRL1, RRL2, RRL3, RRL4	Effective rainfall (RR) lagged by 1, 2, 3 and 4 months respectively. It was considered unnecessary to include higher lag terms because the catchments are small, flashy and impermeable.
SMD	The start of month soil moisture deficit described in section 1.3 (c).
SMDL1, SMDL2, SMDL3, SMDL4	The SMD lagged by 1, 2, 3 and 4 months respectively.
EVAP	Actual evaporation during the current month.
EVAP1, EVAP2	Actual evaporation lagged by 1 and 2 months respectively.
	-16-

CORRELATION MATRIX FOR ANNALONG RECORD EXTENSION

FLOW	-	1.0000						
LOGFLOW	2	0.9256	1.0000					
EVAP	٣	-0.3865	-0.4061	1.0000				
RR	4	0.8658	0.8307	-0.5116	1.0000			
RRL 1	ß	0.3122	0.3648	-0.5156	0.2876	1.0000		
RRL2	9	0.2522	0.2808	-0.4151	0.2315	0.3142	1.0000	
SMD	~	-0.3912	-0.4461	0.4242	-0.3591	-0.3993	-0.3349	1.0000
SMDL 1	89	-0.1198	-0.1562	- 0.2803	-0.0973	-0.3458	-0.4398	0.3805
SMDL2	ი	0.0794	0.0972	0.0492	0.1391	-0.0042	-0,2793	0.1700

-17-

ŝ

1.0000

1.0000 0.3737 ი

œ

~

ø

-

m

2

CORRELATION MATRIX FOR WOODBURN COMPLEX RECORD EXTENSION

NOT	-	1.0000							
OGFLOW	7	0.9449	1.0000						• .
:VAP	m	-0.6638	-0.6536	1.0000					
R	4	0.8310	0.7981	-0.5935	1.0000				
tRL 1	Ś	0.5850	0.6135	-0.6782	0.4118	1.0000			
RL2	9	0.4067	0.4245	-0.5636	0.1799	0.4126	1.0000		
QW	7	-0.6040	-0.6173	0.5894	-0.4568	-0.5539	-0.4800	1.0000	
MDL 1	æ	-0.4513	-0.4555	0.4657	-0.1910	-0.4627	-0.5576	0.3918	1.0000
MDL2	6	-0.1218	-0.0933	0.2153	0.1123	-0.1874	-0.4541	0.1492	0.3926

1.0000

σ

æ

9

ഹ

ŝ

-i8-

(b) Application to the Woodburn Complex

A regression was carried out on the 11 complete years (1960-1970) of catchment runoff and rainfall data for the Red, Blue, Green and Control catchments (Table 1.3 and 1.4). The best equation obtained, fitting the monthly flow Q in mm is:-

Q = 22.2 + 0.497 RR + 0.098 RRL1 - 0.410 SMD - 0.588 SMDL2 (1)

 $R^2 = 81.5$ %, standard error of Q = 15.1 mm.

All terms were significant above the 99% confidence level. Additional evaporation, lagged rainfall and SMD terms were not significant at the 95% level and hence they do not lead to any improvement in the estimation of monthly flows. Equation (1) enables monthly runoff to be calculated for the Woodburn catchment from 1895 - 1979.

The average daily flow (ADF) 1941-70 of the reconstructed sequence was compared with the ADF calculated for the regional analysis. These were very similar, being 58.4 Ml/d and 61.2 Ml/d respectively. The results of a storage yield analysis will be heavily reliant on the estimate of average flow. Therefore, for consistency with the regional study, the synthetic sequence was adjusted to comply with a 1941-70 ADF of 61.2 Ml/d.

(d) Application to the Annalong catchment

The modelling based on adjusted tunnel flows (Section 1.2) was carried out on the period of record January 1955 to December 1979, the only period for which corresponding catchment runoff and rainfall data are available (Table 1.2). Although a number of gaps remain the statistical package used was able to make optimum use of all data.

The best fit equation obtained on monthly data is given below (in mm). Q = 32.46 + 0.703 RR - 0.437 SMD (2)

 $R^2 = 75.7$ %, standard error of Q = 29.1 mm.

All the terms were significant above the 98% confidence level. Inclusion of additional terms were not significant at the 95% level. Equation 2 enables runoff to be estimated from 1853 to 1979 for the Annalong catchment using rainfall and SMD data. Monthly catchment rainfall based on the 3 local gauges has been used from 1939 to 1980, but before this the Armagh rainfall, transferred using a SAAR ratio of 1.91, has been used.

The ADF of the synthetic sequence (1941-70) was compared with the ADF from the regional study. They were very similar, being 52.94 Ml/d and 51.77 Ml/d respectively. The synthetic sequence was adjusted to comply with an ADF of 51.77 Ml/d as was carried out for Woodburn. The adjusted series was used to infill gaps (Table 1.2) and to extend the Annalong record.

(d) Comparison of Woodburn and Annalong model

It is interesting to note that the structure of both the Woodburn and Annalong models is similar; in both cases natural rather than logarithmic flows were fitted best. From equation (2) it can be seen that the Annalong flows are related only to the current monthly rainfall and SMD, whereas equation (1) for Woodburn includes more lagged terms. Any physical interpretation of the differences in the regression models must be made with caution but the generally steeper and more impermeable Annalong catchment would be expected to have a 'flashier' flow regime, with less 'hydrological memory' than the more subdued topography of Woodburn-This is reflected in the absence of lagged terms in equation (2). The larger error for the Annalong model may be due to this flashier flow regime, to a larger catchment area, or to the lower accuracy of catchment rainfall (there were no raingauges on the catchment to the tunnel in contrast to Woodburn where there were 6 gauges on the catchment). However the reconstructed flows for the Annalong catchment will provide a much smaller percentage of the total record. Within the constraints of the current study it is thought that both composite flows adequately represent the historical flows. Furthermore the average flow over the period 1941-1970 for the two flow sequences is very close to that estimated from rainfallevaporation. This independent check supports the results of the evaporation studies of Section 1.3.

-20-

1.5 REGIONAL STORAGE YIELD RELATIONSHIP

In order to estimate the yield of a large number of sources a generalised storage yield relationship has been developed which could readily be applied to any reservoir in Northern Ireland.

A storage yield analysis was carried out on each of the available flow records by calculating the storage requirement Si needed to maintain a yield Y from:-

$$S_{i+1} = S_i - Q_i + Y$$

where Qi is the daily (or monthly) discharge. The value of Si will increase during a drought and decrease as 'reservoir' inflows exceed the yield. The 'reservoir' will spill when Si is negative, in which case Si is reset to zero. The maximum value of Si, for any drought event, is thus the storage needed to just maintain the given yield. This simulation is carried out for the complete record and a series of Sj, the annual maximum values of Si are extracted. These values are ranked from the smallest (j=1) to the largest and the non-exceedance probability Fj is calculated using the Blom plotting position:-

$$F_j = \frac{j - 0.375}{n + 0.25}$$

where n is the number of years of data. The entire procedure is repeated for different Y values. Figure 1.5 shows a plot of the storage requirement Sj against percentage exceedance on log-normal probability paper for a number of different yields. The yield is expressed as a percentage of the average daily flow (ADF), and Sj as a percentage of the annual runoff volume (ARV). (This facilitates comparison of storage yield relationships for catchments with different average flows). A smooth curve may be drawn through the points on Figure 1.5, and the storage required to maintain a yield for a given percentage of years without reservoir failure may be estimated.

The above analysis was carried out on each of the following flow sequences:-

-21-

STORAGE / YIELD DIAGRAM FOR ANNALONG CATCHMENT 1895 - 1979 Figure 1.5



ANNUAL MAXIMUM STORAGE

STATION TIELD 206395. % ADF ED 20.0 8 * 40.0 4 * 50.0 7 * 50.0 7 * 80.0 7 86.0 80.0 7 85.0

DAILY DATA
- (1) Short records (`10 years) from the N.I. hydrometric network, i.e. the stations included in Table 1.1
- (2) Longer records (20-40 years) from the Republic of Ireland shown in Table 1.5 and South West Scotland (Table 1.6).
- (3) Monthly flow records for Lough Erne (1900-1983) and Altneheglish reservoir (1929-1950).
- (4) Monthly gauged and reconstructed records for Woodburn (1886-1980).
- (5) Daily gauged (1895-1979), and monthly gauged and reconstructed flows for Annalong (1895-1979). The pre 1895 data were not used for the final analysis because of their poorer accuracy due to estimation from the Armagh raingauge.

The relationship between the storage yield diagram and the catchment flow regime (indexed by the 80 percentile exceedance discharge from the flow duration curve) was investigated using an enlarged data set for the Republic of Ireland. The 10 year return period storage for yields of 20% ADF and 60% ADF were plotted in Figure 1.6 against the 80 percentile exceedance discharge, Q80. Although the figure suggests that there may be some tendency for flashy, impermeable catchments with low values of Q80 to require larger storages, the relationship was poor. The conclusion of Section 1.2 was that catchments in Northern Ireland are generally more impermeable than those of the Republic and moreover that reservoired catchments were among the most impermeable areas of the North. Thus despite the inconclusive results shown on Figure 1.5, it was thought desirable only to use the catchments from the Republic with similar values of Q80 to those in the North. These eleven catchments were put into two groups, those with a Q80 between 20% and 25% ADF and the remainder, having Q80 between 12% and 16% ADF. For each group of stations the average storage frequency relationship was found by averaging individual station plots for a given yield. The flashier group of catchments showed a slightly greater storage requirement for a given yield and frequency and this curve was used in subsequent comparisons of storage yield diagrams. This averaging procedure was repeated on the impermeable catchment data sets from Northern Ireland and South West Scotland.

-22-



RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN 10 YEAR RETURN PERIOD STORAGE AND 80 PERCENTILE EXCEEDANCE DISCHARGE FOR REPUBLIC OF IRELAND CATCHMENTS Figure 1.6

As a result of the number of severe droughts in the period 1972-1979 (Section 1.8) it was thought that the storage frequency relationship based on the short Northern Ireland records would be biased. This was checked by comparing the storage yield relationship for the Annalong derived from the period 1895-1979 with that derived from the period 1972-1979. The results are shown on Figure 1.7 which illustrates the large underestimation of yield for a given storage from the short record. (For yields of 20% ADF and in excess of 80% ADF there were insufficinet events to make comparisons). The pooled Northern Ireland and the Republic of Ireland storage yield diagrams were thus adjusted to allow for this bias. In the case of the Republic of Ireland the adjustment was based on the period 1956-1979 which was typical of the records used from the south.

how ?

A comparison of daily with monthly based storage yield relationships for the Annalong record showed that the monthly analysis underestimates the 50 year return period storage requirement for amounts ranging from 1% - 7% of the annual runnoff volume, for yields of 20% and 95% of the average flow. This arises because daily data give a more accurate and larger estimate of within month storage requirements. This adjustment was made to the final storage yield relationship for each of the monthly records. For each storage frequency curve the storage required for 2% of the years was estimated for each yield. This was also carried out for each of the individual long period records and the results are listed in Table 1.13 and plotted on Figure 1.8. The figure illustrates that there is good agreement between each of three small upland catchments; Altnaheglish, Woodburn and Annalong and with the pooled curve from Northern Ireland (except at the lower yields). The Republic of Ireland pooled curve plots below this group as does the Lough Erne analysis. The difference between the Lough Erne and Republic of Ireland curves compared with all the Northern Ireland curves may be due to:-

(1) Differences in flow regime caused by difference in catchment soil, physiography, annual average or seasonal distribution of rainfall. In considering the Lough Erne results it should also be noted that the catchment is very large (4349 km²), with an extensive area of Lough, and although useful for assessing the frequency of extreme events it is not representative of the small reservoired catchments of Northern Ireland.

-23-

SENSITIVITY OF STORAGE / YIELD TO PERIOD OF RECORD - ANNALONG

Figure 1.7



TABLE 1.13

STORAGE REQUIREMENT FOR 50 YEAR RETURN PERIOD OF FAILURE

d

YIELD 8ADF	Lough ¹ Erne	ALTNAHEGLISH ¹	WOODBURN ¹	ANNALONG ¹	REPUBLIC ² Of Ireland	NORTHERN ³ IREL A ND	SOUTH WEST SCOTLAND
20	4.5	2.3	3.4	1.7	6.1	I	3.1
30	8.3	3.8	6.2	3.3	8•6	7.8	5.8
40	12.8	6•6	8.7	5.6	11.6	10.6	9•6
50	18.7	9.8	12.6	9.3	15.3	11.9	15.3
60	24.6	14.3	16.9	14.3	24.1	16.2	21.5
70	37.8	20.2	22.5	22.9	30.2	18.0	27.1
80	52.1	29.0	31.8	35.0	48.0	28.4	35.5
85	64.4	35.3	37.6	45.8	60.6		43.0
06	99.6	48.8	48.B	71.2	95.8		58.4
95	150.3	59.2	63.2	91.6	130.2		92.6

Adjusted for dally data

2 Mean of 5 flow records adjusted for short length of record

³ Mean of 6 flow records adjusted for short length of record

4 Mean of 9 stations

All storage requirements expressed as a percentage of annual runoff volume.

:



50 YEAR RETURN PERIOD STORAGE / YIELD RELATIONSHIPS

Figure 1.8

- (2) Differences caused by the incidence and distribution of extreme droughts, the errors in adjusting frequency curves based on short records and in estimating storage requirements from the invidual station storage frequency plots.
- (3) Errors in the regression models leading to an over estimate of low flows. The close agreement between the gauged Erne record and the Annalong and Woodburn records in ranking historical events suggest that is not a serious problem. Furthermore, most of the notable droughts are gauged in the Annalong record and thus any errors in the reconstructed flows will have a minimal influence on the storage yield relationship.

In view of the long lengths of record, similarity of catchment type with other reservoirs in Northern Ireland and consistency of results, the storage yield relationships for the Altneheglish, Annalong and Woodburn catchments are considered to be the most appropriate for yield estimation. The difference between the three plots is small and a composite curve based on the largest of the three storage volumes for each yield (Woodburn for yields less than 70% ADF and Annalong for higher yields) has been used as the regional design curve for Northern Ireland. For the reservoirs with storage of less than 5% ARV the yield will be more dependent on the characteristics of individual catchments and the error in yield estimation is correspondingly higher. The above approach was repeated to estimate the 20 year return period storage yield relationship: the two final curves are shown in Figure 1.9.

Figure 1.10 compares the regional design curve with the following generalised storage yield relationships.

- (1) South West Scotland based on the above analysis for a return period of 50 years.
- (2) North West Water Authority (NWWA, 1981) based on the most conservative design from a minimum runoff analysis of four long flow records. Return period is 50 years.

-25-



REGIONAL DESIGN CURVES FOR NORTHERN IRELAND Figure 1.9



COMPARISON OF REGIONAL STORAGE / YIELD RELATIONSHIPS Figure 1.10 (3) Lapworth curve - based on an annual runoff similar to the Silent Valley reservoir of 56" per annum and after adjusting for an increase in yield by a factor of 1.15. This adjustment accords with current design practice in Northern Ireland.

Figure 1.10 illustrates that for a given storage the yield is higher in Northern Ireland than in South West Scotland and North West England. The relationship between these three regional curves is supported by a recent study of the coefficient of variation of annual rainfall over Europe (Tabony, 1982). This study was based on 185 raingauge records in Europe using data from 1861-1970 and over 2000 raingauge records in the UK dating from 1911. The results indicated that the year to year variability of rainfall is much lower over Northern Ireland than Great Britain and most of the Republic of Ireland. The coefficient of variation varies from 11.5% in Northern Ireland to 13% in South West Scotland and 14% in North West England.

Figure 1.10 shows that the Lapworth curve generally overestimates yield compared with the Regional Design Curve. However the position of the Lapworth curve on Figure 1.10 depends on the annual runoff of the reservoired catchment, and for a site with a much lower runoff than Silent Valley the Lapworth curve would be below the regional design curve for most yields.

A feature of the NWWA study (NWWA 1981) is that a maximum yield of 76% ADF, corresponding to a 5 year refill period, is set on all sources. Refill periods associated with yields of 85% ADF for this study are in excess of 5 years and for yields of 90% ADF they are in excess of 8 years. In view of these very long refill periods and the errors associated with estimating the average flow of each reservoired catchment, the maximum yield available for any source has been set at 90% ADF for a return period of failure of 50 years and 90.5% ADF for a return period of failure of 20 years. For such sources the scope for increasing the yield by operating the source conjunctively is obviously limited.

-26-

1.6 YIELD ESTIMATION

(a)

Regional Storage Yield Analysis

In order to apply the regional storage yield relationship to a particular reservoir, the average flow of its catchment must be calculated. The mean altitude of each reservoired catchment was used to make an altitude adjustment to the mean sea level value of Ep for Northern Ireland. Section 1.3 showed that the difference between Ep and Ea were minimal and hence the average runoff in mm could be calculated from the difference between SAAR and Ep (Table 1.14).

Published values (D.O.E. N.Ireland, 1980) of direct and indirect catchment areas (Table 1.14) were used. Within the scope of the present study it was not possible to estimate the efficiencies of related f individual catchwaters and so their effective area was generally indirect assumed to be 80% of their actual area, unless more detailed information was available. This value was based on previous design (atchment practice in Northern Ireland. (In the case of the Annalong tunnel less diversion to Silent Valley reservoir, an analysis of the tunnel flows afficient indicated that a catchwater efficiency of 100% could be used). Table catch 1.14 also shows the indirect area as a percentage of the total area reservoirs with a high percentage may warrant a more detailed investigation of their catchwaters. The total effective catchment area was calculated which; together with the annual runoff in mm, enabled the average daily flow (ADF) to be calculated in Ml/d. Published values of usable volume were expressed as a percentage of the annual runoff volume (ARV = ADF x 365.25) from which the yield (% ADF) could be estimated from Figure 1.9. For some catchments with more than one reservoir the total volume of storage has been used and treated as if it were one source. For yield calculation this implicitly assumes that the lower reservoir will only spill when all upstream reservoirs are full. Any departure from this will result in a reduction in reservoir yield. Table 1.14 lists these yields for a return period of 50 and 20 years expressed in units of Ml/d. From these gross yields the compensation flow must be deducted to produce the net yield. These are listed where published compensation flows are available.

-27-

TABLE 1.14

YIELDS OF RESERVOIRS IN NORTHERN IRELAND

4.09 5.69 5.02 3.37 1.55 0.54 1.02 2.36 2.94 19.85 49.15 107.67 2.27 Gross Yield T=50 are (P/TW) More accurate yield estimates of 47.57 Ml/d and 45.89 Ml/d 3.59 1.63 2.43 1.08 4.25 0.61 2.42 3.22 112.12 5.31 20.80 6.30 51.91 1.90 T=20 M1/d 4.78 7.04 4.53 2.42 4.07 1.40 1.36 2.67 6.60 23.69 27.12 13.63 2.43 61.21 ADF of ARV 46.0 25.8 28.8 20.0 8.0 Volume 36.6 44.4 15.3 55.9 42.6 27.8 Usable 29.3 10.2 9.1 ø 20634 178 146 245 3688 453 8193 804 663 477 227 545 247 4 딮 LA as DA+IA ເກ ເມ 50 55 96 å of DA+0.81A 1.59 4.20 4.39 2.85 1.61 0.89 0.84 5.73 1.46 Total 28.23 32.40 3.23 6.81 Catchment Area (Km²) Direct Indirect 15.74 2.69 3.40 3.52 8.00 10.11 ង 15.64 22.29 4.20 2.24 0.13 1.61 2.71 0.89 0.84 1.59 2.91 6.83 6.81 1.46 Ba Storages of six reservoirs have been combined. 요 [] 집 430 400 390 435 370 380 325 395 410 410 410 395 380 390 Altitude Catchment **a** Average 29 170 200 397 151 134 102 153 24 206 241 6 93 161 SAAR 1758 846 958 958 983 983 1008 856 1034 1172 981 981 666 1101 Conlig Upper and Lower (5) Silent Valley System (2) Ballysallagh Lower (4) **Ballysalla**gh Upper (3) Woodburn Complex (1) Creightons Green (7) Eastern Division:-Holywood Low (6) Clandeboye Lake Source Holywood East Leathermstown Lough Cowey Boomers(8) Stonyford Portavo Ξ

Storage of Ben Crom and Silent Valley reservoirs have been combined. Catchwater efficiency of 1.0 assumed for given by considering separate reservoirs (2)

No allowance for yield of Mourne Conduit intake from River Annalong. Simulation yields are 117.1 Ml/d and 112.8 Ml/d adjusted for Daily data. **Tunnel diversion.**

(3) No allowance for Prescribed flow (PF) of 0.35 Ml/d.

(4) No allowance for PF of 0.25 Ml/d.

(5) Storage of Upper and Lower Conlig are combined.

(6) Reservoir not currently in use.

No allowance for PF of 0.05 Ml/d.
10 Indirect area is not known.

TABLE 1.14 (CONT)

Catchment Area (Km²) Catchment Average Source

Gross Yield

ADF

Usable

	X	verage							Volume	P/IW	TW)	(q)
	SAAR	Altitude	Q	Direct DA	Indirect IA	Total DA+0.81A	IA as A of	Ĩ	R OF ARV			
	(1 1 1	(B)	Î				DA+IA	:				
Northern Division:-						, ,						
Killylane	1423	325	345	2.73	9.04	96,96	77	1327	12.4	29.40	15.Å8	14.64
Dungonnell (1)	1557	343	340	5.10	7.38	11.00	59	945	7.0	36.65	15.03	13.01
Quolie Upper (2)	1518	354	335	4.21	١	4.21	I	236	4.7	13.64	4.47	3.61
Quolie Lower (3)	1420	334	340	1.46	I	1.46	r	173	11.0	4.32	2.20	2.01
Lough Fea	1360	250	365	4.00	7.52	10.08	1	1260	12.6	27.46	14.90	13.78
Ballinrees (4)	1268	150	395	,	10.67	8.54	100	1182	15.9	20.41	12.41	11.68
Altikeeragh (2)	1218	220	375	0.24	3.97	3.42	8	127	4.4	7.89	2.49	2.00
Altnahinch (5)	1639	348	340	8.83	ı	8,83	1	1250	10.9	31.40	15.94	14.52
Ballinwillin	. 879	100	410	I	1.99	I	I	59	6.3	2.56	66.0	0.86
Proposed Scheme												

270.03 239.65 230.20 45.5 46 43600 104.08 60.49 51.39 350 300 1330 Glenwhirry (6)

No allowance for PF of 0.010 Ml/d. E

Very low storage :- yield will depend on river flow characteristics. (2)

Excludes area of Upper Quolie. (B)

Yield excludes pumped storage from Bann. (4)

io allowance for compensation discharge of 3.21 Ml/d. (2)

(9)

Includes catchment area and storage volume of Killylane reservoir.

No allownace made for proposed Usable storage of 43600 Ml used for Glenwhirry reservoir, Scheme II, stage 2. compensation flow 17.5 Ml/d.

The catchment area from the consultants' report has been used but a revised SAAR has been estimated.

-29

TABLE 1.14 (CONT)

29.79 24.04 16.29 2.53 1.11 10.34 22.20 5.77 30.10 18.88 3.52 49.35 T = 50**Gross Yield** (P/TW) 42.00 25.03 6.79 30.26 52.10 17.43 2.93 1.43 10.84 1.29 23.32 19.67 3.64 T=2049.15 M1/d 28.72 18.62 33.44 22.10 61.04 28.12 2.35 7.52 7.64 12.43 1.67 4.07 ADF **8** of ARV 37.9 26.5 31.2 5.7 62.9 45.9 48.9 37.7 Jsable /olume 6.4 2.1 41.4 177 59 1882 174 3272 391 7683 3705 8400 6800 4800 2241 727 덫 IA as DA+IA 8 of 275 320 36 1 1 27 87 49 ក I DA+0.81A 3.08 9.80 15.20 13.34 Total 2.35 3.00 6.07 0.93 7.04 4.83 10.64 12.12 3.60 Catchment Area (Km²) Direct Indirect 14.20 5.16 1.36 6.53 15.26 0.95 11.17 ឥ 3.08 3.74 5.55 4.43 12.35 3. 00 5.31 0.93 7.04 1.70 6.90 3.60 đ <u>ස</u> (j 340 380 375 375 390 375 365 310 300 380 385 410 385 Altitude Catchment Average 220 250 220 220 175 482 201 195 183 340.200 451 E 107 SAAR 1708 1528 1511 1150 1047 1800 1036 1123 1521 1281 1281 1051 823 Lough Island Reavy (7) Proposed Schemes (6) Southern Division:-Lough Island Reavy (2) Ballylane Lake Kinnahalla (8) Source Altmore Lower Altmore Upper Clay Lake (3) Seagahan (1) Corbet Lough Camlough (9) Camlough (5) Spelga (4) Fofanny Ξ

-30-

Excludes PF of 1.14 Ml/d.

Excludes Upper Altmore. Very low storage: - yield will depend on river flow characteristics. 3

Excludes maximum PF of 9.30 Ml/d. (E)

Excludes PF of 2.27 Ml/d and four annual flushes of 45.46 Ml (4)

9.09 MI/d. Excludes PF of ŝ

Catchment area and SAAR values from consultant reports have been used - in some cases these differ from those quoted in N.I. Water Statistics 1980. 6

Proposed effective areas of indirect Excludes Fofanny reservoir and proposed compensation flow of 7.1 Ml/d. catchments used. 6

Proposed effective areas of indirect Excludes Spelga reservoir and proposed compensation flow of 4.06 Ml/d. catchments used. (8)

Based on total usable storage, excludes proposed compensation flow of up to 11.4 M/d.(6)

TABLE 1.14 (CONT)

1.14 5.06 4.34 5.36 3.70 8.44 1.11 7.32 Gross Yield T=50 (P/IW) T=20 15.96 1.38 4.64 5.70 4.75 9.63 1.61 7.89 5.35 ADF M1/d 23.10 3.93 6.03 6.96 18.99 22.80 20.60 13.55 7.41 8 of ARV Volume 20.8 5.3 26.4 31.9 3.0 7.5 0.8 14.5 22.8 Usable 1759 76 582 810 209 626 719 618 64 H 69 IA AB 62 80 39 44 61 DA+IA e G DA+0.8IA Total 7.28 1.68 2.94 9.70 8.10 8.36 2.72 7.28 3.86 Catchment Area (Km²) Direct Indirect 3.48 2.70 9.47 6.52 3.50 1.81 A 1.68 1.13 2.88 . 28 2.72 2.41 5.56 4.50 1.70 g <u>සි</u> 🔋 330 400 385 385 380 380 350 395 400 Altitude Catchment **a** Average 366 201 131 192 183 210 305 150 143 SAAR 1489 1234 1210 1100 1408 1250 1250 1075 1101 Western Division:-Ballydoolagh Lough Lough Fingrean (2) Jough Macrory (3) Altnaheglish (1) Lough Bradan (4) 3lencordial (5)) Source Killyfole Creggan Killea

Ξ (3)

Catchwater efficiency of (3)

Exclude Glenedra intake. Revised direct (1.13 Km²) and indirect (1.81 Km²) areas. Catchwater efficiency of 1.0 used. Eveludes Lough Fingrean. Revised direct (2.41 Km²) and indirect (9.47 Km²) areas. Catchwat 0.77. Very low storage:- yield will depend on flow characteristics. Revised direct (2.88 km²) and indirect (6.52 km²) areas.

3

Very low storage:- yield will depend on river flow characteristics. (2)

-31-

(b) Simulation of Silent Valley Reservoir - Fixed Yield

The objectives of these additional analyses are to provide an independent check on the regional analysis and, in the following section, to investigate the effect of rationing water supplies on the frequency of failure. The method adopted for the yield evaluation is that attributed to Gould (McMahon and Mein, 1978). This method requires that the reservoir is divided into several (N) states of equal storage. Each year of the inflow data is treated separately and is routed through the reservoir, on a monthly basis, starting the reservoir in each of the N states and noting the state in which it finishes. When this procedure has been repeated for each year of data the results are collated in a transition matrix. This expresses the probability of ending in any of the N states, conditional on the starting state. A tally of the failures which occur is also kept and a combination of these two matrices enables the probability of failure to be calculated. This method will provide a more reliable yield estimate than the regional analysis when it is based on a long flow sequence at the site.

The frequency of failure is defined in the same way as in the regional study, that is the proportion of years containing a total reservoir failure, the reciprocal of which is the return period of failure T_F . Yields with a T_F of 20 and 50 years are estimated for comparison with the regional analysis. Discharge from the Annalong flow record from 1895-1979 were transferred to Silent Valley inflows by allowing for differences in catchment area and effective rainfall. The Silent Valley system includes two reservoirs Silent Valley and Ben Crom. The operation of these two reservoirs involves filling Ben Crom before spilling any water to Silent Valley, and so the two reservoirs can be lumped together and treated as one storage unit of 20634 Ml capacity.

Having established the analysis technique, inflow sequence and reservoir characteristics, a series of reservoir simulations were carried out with different yields. Figure 1.11 shows the relationship between yield and return period from which the 20 and 50 year T_F yields of 119.6 and 115.3 Ml/d can be read. The simulation

-32-



was based on monthly data which would be expected to overestimate the yield by about 2.5 Ml/d (2% ADF) compared with the daily based regional results. These yields thus support the corresponding yields of 112.12 and 107.67 Ml/d produced by the regional approach (Table 1.14).

(c) Simulation of Silent Valley Reservoir-With Rationing

The advantage of the simple definition of failure (i.e. reservoir empties once in 50 years with a constant yield) is that it can readily be used for the assessment of a larger number of sources with different yields, storage and runoff characteristics. However the method assumes that full output is maintained from a reservior until it is empty. In practice output is of course reduced before the reservoir becomes empty because one cannot be certain that a particular drought will not develop into one that is more severe than the design standard. Inevitably restriction will be introduced which, after the event, will appear unnecessary, resulting in the frequency of conservation measures (rota cuts, standpipes) being <u>greater</u> than the quoted frequency of failure as defined by the above simple criterion. Furthermore, total failure rate will be less than the design failure rate.

An analysis was carried out to determine:-

- (a) the increase in yield by introducing rationing with a given return period T_R and given return period of total failure T_P .
- (b) the effect of rationing on the frequency of total failure $T_{\rm F}$

The level of storage (50%, 40%, 33%, 25% and 10%) at which rationing is instigated was fixed for each simulation to provide a range of possible rationing schemes. A reduction in yield of 20% was assumed for all rationing periods.

-33-

Figure 1.12 summarises the results of a number of simulations and shows the relationship between the yield, T_R and T_F . It is made up of five series of plots each derived for a different storage threshold. Each series indicates an increasing yield as the probability of rationing T_R increases with a corresponding increase in return period of total failure T_F . Contours of T_F have been superimposed on Figure 1.12 and the contour $T_F = 50$ can be followed to show that a yield of 117.5 Ml/d can be met by the Silent Valley reservoirs with a T_R of 20 years. This is higher than the yield of 115.3 Ml/d shown on Figure 1.11 (section b) with the same T_F of 50 years but with no rationing.

The analysis can also be used to investigate the relationship between the return period of total failure T_F with and without rationing, but with the same yield. For example the yield for $T_F = 50$ and with no rationing is 115.3 ML/d. From Figure 1.12 it can be seen that with this same yield of 115.3 ML/d and assuming that rationing is introduced when the reservoir is 25% full, then the return period of rationing would be 20 years; whilst the return period of total failure is much higher at 200 years. The figure can thus be used to provide guidance on the sensitivity of yield, frequency of rationing and frequency of failure to the introduction of simple rationing schemes which are dependent on reservoir contents.

This approach could be adopted for other reservoirs with different storage characteristics which may have a different sensitivity of yield to rationing. Figure 1.12 could be extended to assess the effect of conjunctive use of this source. The probability of rationing is then the probability of using the other source and the quantity of water required to make up the demand is controlled by the reduction in yield imposed by rationing. In this case a reduction of 20% was used but any other value could easily be incorporated. This type of analysis could also be carried out for the Woodburn complex so that the benefits of conjunctive use of the two largest sources in Northern Ireland could be assessed.

-34-



RETURN PERIOD OF RATIONING FOR GIVEN YIELDS AND PROBABILITY OF TOTAL FAILURE Figure 1.12

. -.

(a) Background

A number of small abstractions with yields less than 2 Ml/d have been identified. It is considered uneconomic to upgrade many of these schemes and furthermore for many abstractions, large and small, the flow characteristics of the river do not provide a constraint to abstraction. Of the remainder:-

- (i) The Bann for Ballinrees. The yield is limited by the capacity of the intake, but abstraction may be constrained by downstream residual flows. However in view of the small abstraction rate, relative to the flow in the Lower Bann, this seems unlikely.
- (ii) The River Douglas. Owing to the poor quality of the water, treatment would be necessary if it were to be further developed. However the quantity is too small to justify this economically.
- (iii) Altnaheglish. Other consultants are working on a detailed study of the merits of using the Altnaheglish river and reservoir with the Glenedra river (and possible reservoir) in a number of different combinations. Detailed conjuctive use studies would be necessary and can be carried out if requested.
- (iv) Faughan River. Binnie's report of December 1969 put this into perspective and there is very little further to add, until reservoir sites have been more fully studied.
- (v) The Tievenny (H324859) abstraction on the River Derg is the only river source identified that requires yield estimation, the calculations are described below:-

-35-

The yield of this source was estimated by calculating the 95 percentile from the 10 day flow duration curve, Q95(10) and the 20 year and 50 year return period 10 day annual minimum flows. The calculations were based on the techniques described in the Low Flow Study Report (Institute of Hydrology 1980) incorporating the results from an analysis of local flow data from the gauging station 5 kilometers upstream at Castlederg (Station 201008, Figure 1.1) and from Lough Erne inflows. Estimates were made for the Castlederg site and then transferred to the abstraction point.

(b) Flow Duration Curve

Using the Low Flow Study Report Number 2.1 (LFSR 2.1) a value of Q95(10) of 7.4% ADF was calculated from the observed Base Flow Index and catchment SAAR (Table 1.1). This value compares favourably with the value of 6.5% ADF from the short flow record from 1979-1980 at Castlederg.

(c) Annual Minima

Using LFSR 2.2 and the same values of BFI and SAAR the 20 and 50 year return period annual minima were estimated as 2.1% ADF and 1.5% ADF respectively. An analysis of the flows in May 1980, the month with the lowest discharge in the Castlederg record, enabled additional estimates to be made. Inspection of the long Lough Erne inflow record (1900-1983) showed that this month was the annual minimum discharge with a return period of approximately 5 years. Although the flow regime of the Lough Erne inflows would be different from the smaller Derg catchment, it is probable that the frequency of drought events on the two catchments would be similar. It was thus assumed that May 1980 was also the 5 year 1 month, annual minimum on the Derg. Using multiplying factors from LFSR 2.2 appropriate to the Derg catchment, it was possible to estimate the 1 month, 20 and 50 year return period annual minima from this 5 year annual minimum. Furthermore, relationships between monthly and daily flow statistics (LFSR 2.2) enabled the 10 day annual minima to be calculated. Results from LFSR 2.2 indicated that

-36-

the 10 day annual minima would be 54% of the 1 month annual minima. The ratio of the lowest 10 day average flow to the mean flow in May 1980 has a similar value of 50%. Using this monthly to daily adjustment of 50% the 20 and 50 year return period annual minima were estimated as 0.77% ADF and 0.56% ADF respectively. These values are lower than those estimated from BFI and SAAR but as they are derived from a greater use of local data, they are the preferred values for yield calculation.

(d) Tievenny Abstraction Point

The above statistics are expressed as a % ADF and were converted to Ml/d using an estimate of average discharge at the abstraction point. This was affected by adjusting the 1979-80 gauged average discharge at Castlederg to the mean 1941-70 discharge based on the ratio of mean effective rainfall for the two periods. This discharge was increased by a factor of 1.11 (to allow for the larger catchment area to the abstraction point) to give an ADF of 1237 Ml/d and used to convert the flow statistics to units of Ml/d as shown in Table 1.15. The 20 and 50 year return period annual minima estimated using LFSR are higher than the observed discharge in May 1980 and are considered to be over estimates. The data based estimates are therefore the recommended design yields.

1.8 SEVERITY OF PERIOD 1970-1983

Although it is beyond the scope of this study to assess the return period of individual drought events, some general results concerning the severity of river flow and rainfall in the period 1970-1983 may assist in assessing the frequency of water resource shortages in recent years. For each of the long flow sequences the date and volume of the maximum deficit (for a given yield) in this period was noted. Table 1.16 shows the date and rank of these maxima derived from comparisons with the deficits from earlier records. This table illustrates that for the higher yields the period contained some of the worst droughts in nearly 100 years of records.

-37-

	Low Fl	ow Study	Data	Based
	SADF	M1/d	&ADF	M1/d
Q95 (10)	7.4	91.5	6.3	77.9 i
20 year return period *	2.1	28.9	0.76	9.4
50 year return period *	1.5	18.6	0.56	6.9

YIELD OF RIVER DERG ABSTRACTION AT TIEVENNY

10 day annual minima

TABLE 1.16

DATE AND RANK OF HIGHEST DEFICIT IN PERIOD 1970-1983

Yield	Annalong	Woodburn	L. Erne
SADE	1895 - 1979	1886 - 1980	1900 - 1983
90	Oct 73 (1)	July 76 (2)	Sep 78 (1)
70	Sep 73 (1)	Aug 75 (1)	Aug 76 (3)
50	Sep 72 (4)	Aug 75 (1)	Aug 75 (1)
30	Aug 72 (7)	Aug 75 (1)	Aug 75 (1)

Rank shown in brackets relates to long flow record i.e. rank (1) for Annalong is worst event from 1895-1979.

A second approach was to apply a depth duration frequency analysis (Tabony 1977) to the Armagh rainfall record. For each duration, determined from the results of the reservoir simulation for Loch Erne inflows, the return period of the rainfall for a fixed starting month was estimated (Table 1.17). These results support the analysis of the flow data with return periods in excess of 100 years and some in excess of 500 years being attributed to the rainfall events.

The most recent flow data currently available is for the period up to September 1983 for the Lough Erne inflow series. This suggests that extreme low flows have also occurred in 1983. July 1983 is the lowest average monthly flow on record and the period July/August 1983 is the lowest 2 month average flow on record, equalling the average discharge of June/July 1976. The general conclusion is that the recent period has included some notable drought events of both long and short duration with return periods equal to or in excess of 100 years.

TABLE 1.17

RESERVOIR YIELD	FROM START ⁺ OF DEPLETION, TO M	RESERVOIR AXIMUM	FROM START OF DEPLETION TO F	RESERVOIR ULL
\$ADF	RETURN PERIOD	PERIOD	RETURN PERIOD	PERIOD
90	500	1/71-8/76	100-200	1/71-2/81
80	200-500	1/75-8/76	50-100	1/75-2/78
60	> 1000	2/75-8/75	200-500	2/75-2/76
40	> 500	3/75-8/75	> 500	3/75-12/75

RETURN PERIOD OF ARMAGH RAINFALL FOR SELECTED DURATIONS

Start of depletion is lagged by one month for rainfall return period based on Lough Erne reservoir simulation.

-39-

The water quality and quantity aspects of the water resources of Lough Neagh were considered in detail by the Lough Neagh Working Group (1971). Hydrological aspects of the study were based on a record from 1937-1971 of inflows into the Lower Bann catchment upstream of Movanagher weir, estimated from daily flow records at the weir and changes in storage in Lough Neagh. This exercise could now be updated using flow and level records up to 1983 and could be supplemented by a more detailed simulation of yield drawdown relationships. However the 1971 investigation highlighted the difficulties of measuring lake levels and these errors will remain in any further hydrological analysis. Furthermore there is evidence that the frequency analysis used resulted in an underestimation of the probability of occurrence of cumulative inflows - that is design drawdowns for a given demand will recur less frequently than predicted. Section 12.9 of the report concluded "Ample supplies of water are available from Lough Neagh and the Lower River Bann Basins to meet all types of demand for the foreseeable future. Large quantities of water, up to about 450 Ml/d (100 mgd) may be permanently exported from the 2 Basins with very little adverse effect on other interests. Investigations should, however, be carried out over the next decade to quantify the effects on any interests resulting from these exports".

Although it is not possible to comment on the 'adverse effects', it is considered that in relation to the magnitude of the potential yield a further hydrological study would produce little change to the above conclusion. Although outside the scope of the current study it may be appropriate to review further water quality, fisheries or general environmental studies which have been completed since 1971. In this context the severe droughts of the period 1971-1976 may have provided further evidence to assess the environmental impact of increased abstractions. In this regard the general assessment of the frequency of recent droughts (Section 1.8) may be of value in appraising the Lough Neagh scheme.

-40-

1.10 GROUNDWATER

The groundwater resources of Northern Ireland have been considered by reviewing published material and by discussion with the Geological Survey of Northern Ireland. The complex solid and drift geology of the province has resulted in all the aquifers being small in area and in yield. However there has been considerable recent groundwater development with pumping capacity increasing from 9 M1/d in 1964, to 69 M1/d in 1980 and to approximately 100 M1/d in 1983. This represents 15% of the total public supply but is not wholly utilised.

Various aquifers of Carboniferous age which occur in the west of the province give individual well yields of up to 3.5 Ml/d but relatively little development has taken place because of several factors, including low demand, availability of surface water and quality problems from the chemistry of the groundwater. Productive solid rock aquifers include the Permian and Triassic sandstones, in the Lagan Valley and to the west of Lough Neagh with recently commissioned borewells yielding a total of 10 Ml/d. The Cretaceous chalk is much thinner and of lower permeability than its English counterpart and its value as a resource is restricted primarily to the number of springs which issue from its base where it overlies impermeable strata. Although there may be some scope for development of the Tertiary Basalts, this would be confined to the development of local boreholes with yields generally less than 1 Ml/d.

With the exception of upland areas the solid geology is covered by a veneer of drift deposits. Where this drift is composed of boulder clay, recharge to underlying aquifers will be restricted. Bennett (1978) lists the evidence for the very low permeability of the boulder clay and this is substantiated by the low values of the Base Flow Index (Table 1.14) for catchments with a high proportion of boulder clay cover. In contrast, where the drift consists of fluvio glacial sands and gravel (in the Lagan valley and in the north and west of the region), the superficial deposits provide a local groundwater resource. Individual borewell yields are up to 4.5 Ml/d and one group of three wells produces 10 Ml/d.

-41-

In conclusion local demands particularly in the north and west of the province may be met by further groundwater development from either solid or superficial aquifers. However groundwater development will not make a significant additional contribution to demands in the Belfast area.

1.11 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

A regional storage yield relationship has been derived for Northern Ireland and used to estimate the yield of a number of reservoirs. The method is based primarily on three long flow records, Altnaheglish, Woodburn and Annalong, which show consistent storage yield relationships. Furthermore the curve is supported by a regional storage yield relationship derived independently for the NWWA area and from a curve derived in this study for South West Scotland.

Errors in yield estimation may arise from three sources. The first is the extent to which individual catchment storage yield relationships depart from the design curve. This departure will be proportionately small at high yields, which are controlled by the annual variability of rainfall, but will be larger for small yields and storages where the low flow characteristic of the river will assume greater importance. The second source of error is in estimating the average discharge of the reservoired catchment. This is dependent on the accuracy of published values of catchment area and rainfall, together with the accuracy of estimating losses. The latter will again be dependent on the characteristics of individual catchments. For example, no allowance has been made for catchments which are heavily forested and where the actual losses may be higher than estimated values; or for any groundwater leakage in the catchment. The third source of error is in estimating the efficiency of catchwaters and will only be significant for those reservoirs with large indirect catchment areas.

-42-

The estimated yield of the Silent Valley reservoir, using the regional approach, has been confirmed using a full simulation of reservoir behaviour but with a simple definition of failure. A more realistic simulation has also been carried out to examine the sensitivity of yield to different frequencies of rationing and total failure. It is recommended that a full simulation is carried out for the other major reservoirs including the Woodburn complex and at least one reservoir with a relatively low storage volume. If required this approach could also be used for conjunctive use studies.

The main emphasis of the hydrological aspects of the study has been on reservoir yield estimation with only the River Derg being considered for additional river abstractions. The hydrology of Lough Neagh has been reviewed and this indicated that water quantity would not be a constraint on future abstractions. Finally the review of groundwater abstractions indicated its current importance in the Lagan valley and for local supplies elsewhere; however its potential for making a major contribution to meet increasing demands in the Belfast area is limited.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Institute of Hydrology acknowledge North West Water Authority's permission to reproduce the regional storage yield relationship for north west England.

REFERENCES

- Bennett, J.R.P. (1978). Groundwater development in Northern Ireland, Geological Survey of Northern Ireland, Open File Report No. 59.Calder, I.R., Harding R.J. and Rosier P.T.W. (1983). An objective
- assessmentof Soil-Moisture Deficit Models, Journal of Hydrology 60, 329-355.
- Colebrook, C.F. (1955). The Diversion of the Annalong River into the Silent Valley Reservoir, Works Construction Division Meeting, Paper No. 30, 20 December 1955. ICE.
- Department of the Environment (N.I.) (1980). Northern Ireland Water Statistics.

Insitute of Hydrology, (1980). Low Flow Study.

- Lough Neagh Working Group, (1971). Advisory Report, Volume 2, Government of Northern Ireland.
- McMahon, T.A. and Mein, R.G. (1978). Reservoir Capacity and Yield. Developments in Water Science No. 9. Elsevier.
- North West Water Authority (1981). Survey of Existing Surface Water Sources.
- Savill, P.S., and Weatherup, S.R.C. (1974). The Effect of Afforestation on Water Runoff in the Woodburn Catchment Area, Jnl. of Inst. of Forestation of Great Britain, Volume 47, No. 7.
- Tabony (1977). The variation of long duration rainfall over Great Britain. Meteorological Office Scientific Paper No. 37.
- Tabony (1982). The Homogenisation and Analysis of European Rainfall Records, Met 013 Branch Memorandum 76.

CHAPTER 2

HOUSEHOLD SURVEY

2.1 FINDINGS OF THE SURVEY

The main household survey conducted for this study consisted of a survey of a structured sample of 2500 addresses designed to obtain information concerning the ownership and use of water-using facilities and appliances by type of household.

Tables 2.1 and 2.2 summarise findings from the survey. These statistics are simple averages of survey responses and have not been reweighted to take account of the sample structure. Table 3.6 in the Main Report provides a comparison of NI ownership of water using appliances and amenities with levels evident in GB.

Table 2.1 shows simple average figures for household ownership of water using appliances and amenities together with background information.

In Table 2.2 percentage figures for level of ownership on type of appliance are given for each type of household, together with employment status and income of householders and number of persons per household.

2.2 HOUSEHOLD SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE

A reproduction of the questionnaire used in the survey is provided in the pages following Table 2.2.

-45-

TABLE 2.1

OWNERSHIP OF WATER USING APPLIANCES AND AMENITIES

	Average no.	Standard
	per household	error
Amenities		
Bath	0.944	(0.006)
Shower	0.253	(0.009)
Sink	1.075	(0.007)
Basin	1.147	(0.015)
Flush WC	1.198	(0.011)
Dual Flush WC	0.035	(0.004)
Shared WC	0.000	(0.000)
Inside WC	1.090	(0.011)
Piped Hot Water	0.939	(0.005)
Bidet	0.022	(0.003)
Appliances		
Washing Machine	0.768	(0,009)
Dish Washer	0.065	(0.005)
Waste Disposal Unit	0.012	(0.002)
-		
Outside Appliances/Amenities		
Garden	0.775	(0.009)
Outside Tap	0.036	(0.010)
Hose	0.358	(0.010)
Sprinkler	0.047	(0.005)
the of American 1	· .	
Use of Amenities	•	
Baths over weekend	2.669	(0.047)
Baths yesterday	0.617	(0.022)
Showers over weekend	0.643	(0.034)
Showers yesterday	0.225	(0.015)
Background		
Persons	3.124	(0.038)
Bedrooms	2.900	(0.020)
Living Rooms	1.417	(0.019)
Own Water Source	0.047	(0.005)
1 Approximate rate per person		

1.5 baths per week

0.5 showers per week

-46-

TABLE 2.2

HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS BY TENURE STATUS

- Number of Flush Toilets	0	11	2	3	4	5	Total
	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
Owner Occupied	2.0	71.1	23.2	3.4	0.2	0.1	57.7
Publicly Rented	0.6	93.1	6.3	0	0	0	32.7
Privately Rented	0.5	91.5	2.4	0.5	0 .	0	8.7
Other	0	52.4	47.6	0	0	0	1.0
- Number of Inside Toilets	0	1	2	3	4	5	
	8	8	. 8	8	. 8	8	
Owner Occupied	4.8	74.9	17.6	2.5	0.2	0.1	
Publicly Rented	7.3	87.3	5.3	0	0	0	
Privately Rented	19.1	73.2	2.1	0.5	0	0	
Other	4.8	61.9	33.3	0	0	0	
						•	
- Number of Bathrooms	0	1	2				
	8	8	8				
Owner Occupied	5.3	92.4	2.2			:	
Publicly Rented	7.3	92.7	- 0+0				
Privately Rented	17.6	82.4	0.0	-			
Other	4.8	85.7	9.5				
		•					
- Number of Fixed Showers	0	1	2	3	4	5	
	8	8		8	8	8	
Owner Occupied	62.2	35.6	1.8	0.4	0	0.1	
Publicly Rented	92.7	7.3	0	0	0	0.	
Privately Rented	94-1	5.9	0	0	0	0	
Other	71.4	23.8	4.8	0	0	0	
- Number of Washing Machine	None	Auto	matic	Twin 7	fub	Other	
	8		8	8		· 9	
Owner Occupied	15.3	60	•8	21.3	3.	2.6	
Publicly Rented	29.2	32	.8	34.3	3	3.7	
Privately Rented	61.2	15	. 4	20.2	2	3.2	
Other	42.9	33	.3	23.8	3	0	

1 Results have not been reweighted to take account of the sample structure.

TABLE 2.2 (CONT)

HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS BY TENURE STATUS

- Piped Hot Water	NO	YES
· · ·	8	8
Owner Occupied	3.8	96.2
Publicly Rented	6.9	93.7
Privately Rented	19.7	80.3
Other	0	100.0

.

- Central Heating	None	Full	Partial
	8	8	8
Owner Occupied	32.9	57.5	9.6
Publicly Rented	47.4	41.1	11.5
Privately Rented	84.6	8.5	6.9
Other	28.6	66.7	4.8

- Employment status of Head of Household

		Self-	Un-		Fulltime	
	Employee	Employed	Employed	Retired	Student	Other
	8	8	8	8	8	8
Owner Occupied	50.1	15.7	4.9	23.8	0.4	5.0
Publicly Rented	28.8	1.8	29.0	29.7	0.1	10.4
Privately Rented	28.8	4.3	13.3	43.1	6.9	3.7
Other	61.9	14.3	0	23.8	0	0
Total	41.5	10.2	13.5	37.4	0.9	6.6

TABLE 2.2 (CONT)

HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS BY TENURE STATUS

- Income per month (of Head of Household)

	Under	200	400	600	800	1000	1200	Over
£:	200	-399	-599	-799	-999	-1199	-1399	-1400
	8	· 8 _ · ·	8	8	8	8		8
Owner Occupied	11.3	28.5	25.3	14.7	8.6	5.1	2 4	4.1
Public Rented	25.5	51.4	16.6	3.9	1.8	0.6	0.2	Q
Privately Rented	38.6	42.4	8.9	3.8	3.2	1.3	0.6	1.3
Other	0	60.0	26.7	13.3	0	0	0	0

- Number of Persons per Household

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
Owner Occupied	12.5	28.4	16.0	20.7	11.8	5.3	2.9	1.7	0.2	0.2	0.2
Publicly Rented	22.8	24.6	16.6	15.0	10.4	6.0	2.1	1.4	0.6	0.3	0.1
Privately Rented	39.9	27.1	13.3	12.8	4.8	'0 . 5	0.5	1.1	0	0	0
Other	38.1	23.8	9.5	9.5	9.5	4.8	0	0	4.8	0	0
Total	18.5	27.0	15.9	18.0	10.7	5.2	2.4	1.5	0.4	0.2	0.2

NORTHERN IRELAND WATER USAGE SURVEY

HOUSEHOLD SCHEDULE

Household Identification Number Household Number (if only one enter District Council Electoral Ward Rateable Value	ition Number ' only one enter 11)			•		السلية المراجعة السلية السلية السلية ألسلية	
Interviewer S Hame.			Thur	Fri			
Position of Interviewee in Household	:		HOH Spouse Other		. Jec	1 2 3	(KING ONE)
Household connected to mains water s	upply?	•	Yes No			1 0	

How many people are there in your household, that is, people whose main residence this is and who are catered for by the same person as yourself or share living accommodation with you?

HOUSEHOLD INFORMATION MUST BE OBTAINED FROM SPOUSE OR HOH.

Per. No	Sex		Age	Fam. Unit	Current Full-time			i Age Finished			
	H F	F	(Yrs)	 	Educi Primary/ Secondary School	tion Further/ Higher Education	Work (Employe emplo F.T.	ing e/Self Syed) P.T.	Registered Unemployed	At Retired Home	Continuous Full-time Education (Yrs)
01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15						2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	6 7 6 7	

Total number of households at address Total number of persons in household Total number of adults (16+) in household

ل____ ل____ **ل____**
	Cated	
Whole house detached Whole bungalow detached Whole house/bungalow - semi-detact Terraced house or terraced bungal Purpose-built flat or mainsonette Part of house/converted flat or mainsonette Dwelling with business premises	1 2 hed 3 ow 4	
Other (specify)		60 to (
Could you tell me about your household account when was the property built? Was it	Ommodation? Before 1919	
IF "DON'T KNOW" probe for estimate. Only o "Don't know" (-1) if neither informant nor interviewer are able to give an estimat	code te.	Go to (
How many bedrooms do you have? and and and and	livingrooms?	
Could I check, that makes a total of	L t prooms	
IF ANY DISCREPANCY, resolve before proceed	ing	
NOTE: If 'bedsitter' accommodation please stain room as bedroom and write in b	code below	50 to (
Could you tell me if you are	Owner occupier	

-51-

(D)	Does this household have an outside green house? (not a garden shed or conservatory)	Yes	[1]	
(C)	Does this garden include a substantial area for vegetables? (a quarter or more of total area)	Yes No		-(D)
	Could you tell me the approximate size? IF "DON'T KNON" code '-1' for each dimension	length (feet) width (feet)	└ <u>╷</u> ╀ <u>╷</u> ┧╷ <u>┙</u> ┕╷╷┷ <u>╷</u> ┟╷╷┦	-(c)
(B)	Are you, or anyone else in this household, responsible for maintaining any of this? IF YES	Yes No	1	-(8) -Q6
(A)	IF YES	Yes No	1	-(A) -Q6

. May hous for	I ask you some questions about e which use water for personal cleaning?	some things or clothes w	inside the Washing or	
(A)	How many sinks and washbasins a PROBE TO DISTINGUISH SINKS AND for Washing dishes or clothes washing	are there in WASH BASINS - washbasing	i this household? 5 - sinks mainly 6 for personal	
	How many fixed baths are there	in this hou	isehold?	
	RECORD IN GRID BELOW			
NUMB	er in household	SINK(S)	WASHBASIN(S)	FIXED BATH(S)
	None One Two Three Four Five or more, write in number	0 1 2 3 4 	0 1 2 3 4 !	0 1 2 3 4
(8)	ASK FOR EACH SINK/WASHBASIN/BA	TH IN (A) pplied with	both hot and cold	1
	WALET F			
NUMB	IER WITH HOT AND COLD WATER	SINK(S)	WASHBASIN(S)	FIXED BATH(S)
,	None One Two Three Four Five or more, write in number Don't know	0 1 2 3 4 	0 1 2 3 4 	$ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} -(C) $
(C)	ASK ONLY AT MULTI-HOUSEHOLD ADI WASHBASIN/BATH IN (A)	DRESSES FOR	EACH SINK/	
- - -	Is this sink/washbasin/bath uso or is it also used by another address?	ed only by y household la	rour household lying at this	
	RECORD IN GRID BELOW WHETHER OF	R NOT ANY FJ	CILITIES ARE SHAP	RED
MULT	I-HOUSEHOLD ADDRESSES ONLY	SINK(S)	WASHBASIN(5)	FIXED BATH(S)
	Used only by household Also used by other households	0	0	

-53-

How many flush tollets are there in this house		
	ENTER NUMBER	(A) - (A)
(A) Of these, how many are indoors?		
	ENTER NUMBER	(8)
(8) Are any of these dual flush toilets?		¹
	Yes	
(C) ASK ONLY OF MULTI HOUSEHOLD ADDRESSES Are these/is this toilet(s) used only by your household or by		1 1 1 1 1
another nousenoid living at this address?		
	Yes No	0 Go to
In this house do you have a washing		
In this house do you have a washing machine?	Yes No	(A) -(A) -Q9
In this house do you have a washing machine? (A) IF YES Is that an automatic, a twin tub	Yes No	1 -(A) -Q9
<pre>In this house do you have a washing machine? (A) IF YES Is that an automatic, a twin tub or some other type?</pre>	Yes No Automatic	1 -(A) 0 -Q9
<pre>In this house do you have a washing machine? (A) IF YES Is that an automatic, a twin tub or some other type?</pre>	Yes No Automatic Twin Tub Other type	1 0 -Q9
In this house do you have a washing machine? (A) IF YES Is that an automatic, a twin tub or some other type?	Yes No Automatic Twin Tub Other type Don't know	1 0 -(A) -Q9 1 2 3 -1 Go to
<pre>In this house do you have a washing machine? (A) IF YES Is that an automatic, a twin tub or some other type? Do you have an electric dishwashing machine?</pre>	Yes No Automatic Twin Tub Other type Don't know	1 0 -(A) -Q9 1 2 3 -1 Go to
<pre>In this house do you have a washing machine? (A) IF YES Is that an automatic, a twin tub or some other type? Do you have an electric dishwashing machine?</pre>	Yes No Automatic Twin Tub Other type Don't know Yes	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -Q9 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$ Go to
In this house do you have a washing machine? (A) IF YES Is that an automatic, a twin tub or some other type? Do you have an electric dishwashing machine?	Yes No Automatic Twin Tub Other type Don't know Yes No	$ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -(A) \\ -Q9 \end{bmatrix} $ $ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} $ Go to $ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} $ Go to
In this house do you have a washing machine? (A) IF YES Is that an automatic, a twin tub or some other type? Do you have an electric dishwashing machine? Do you have an electric waste disposal unit fitted into a kitchen sint?	Yes No Automatic Twin Tub Other type Don't know Yes No	1 0 -(A) -Q9 1 2 3 -1 Go to 1 0 60 to
In this house do you have a washing machine? (A) IF YES Is that an automatic, a twin tub or some other type? Do you have an electric dishwashing machine? Do you have an electric waste disposal unit fitted into a kitchen sink?	Yes No Automatic Twin Tub Other type Don't know Yes No	1 -(A) -Q9 1 2 3 -1 Go to 1 0 Go to

ł

-	mu have a fitted shower in this			
Doy				
hous	ehold?			/ • \
		Tes		-(A)
		No		-015
PROB	E AND EXPLAIN - Plumbed in, not			
just	for washing hair at a sink			
(A)	IF YES			
(1.7				
	How many shower fittings do you have	?		
		Fill in number	<u> </u>	-(B)
(8)	Of these how many are			
		Inside a bath	•••••	
	CODE ALL	In a cubicle in a		
		bathroom	•••••	
		In a separate show	er	
			······ 🖵	6
		Utn er	••••••	60 TO U12
CHEC	K NUMBER ADDS TO TOTAL AT (A)			
	dave come houses have a bidet. Do			· · · · · · · ·
you	have one in this household?			
-				
		Yes	1	
		Yes No	1 0	Go to Q13
. <u></u>		Yes No	1	Go to Q13
I wo	uld like to ask you some more general	Yes No questions about your hom	e	Go to Q13
I wo	uld like to ask you some more general	Yes No questions about your hom	1 0	Go to Q13
I wo Do y	uld like to ask you some more general ou have any form of central heating uding electric storage heaters?	Yes No questions about your hom	1 0	Go to Q13
I wo Do y incl	uld like to ask you some more general ou have any form of central heating uding electric storage heaters?	Yes No questions about your hom Yes	•	Go to Q13
I wo Do y incl	uld like to ask you some more general ou have any form of central heating uding electric storage heaters?	Yes No questions about your hom Yes No	1 0 e	Go to Q13
I wo Do y incl (A)	uld like to ask you some more general ou have any form of central heating uding electric storage heaters? IF YES	Yes No questions about your hom Yes No	1 0 e	Go to Q13 -(A) -Q14
I wo Do y incl (A)	uld like to ask you some more general ou have any form of central heating uding electric storage heaters? IF YES	Yes No questions about your hom Yes No	1 0 •	Go to Q13 -(A) -Q14
I wo Do y incl (A)	uld like to ask you some more general ou have any form of central heating uding electric storage heaters? IF YES Is it full or partial central	Yes No questions about your hom Yes No	1 0 1 0	Go to Q13 -(A) -Q14
I wo Do y incl (A)	uld like to ask you some more general ou have any form of central heating uding electric storage heaters? IF YES Is it full or partial central heating?	Yes No questions about your hom Yes No	1 0 1 0	Go to Q13 -(A) -Q14
I wo Do y incl (A)	uld like to ask you some more general ou have any form of central heating uding electric storage heaters? IF YES Is it full or partial central heating?	Yes No questions about your hom Yes No Full	1 0 1 0	Go to Q13 -(A) -Q14
I wo Do y incl (A)	uld like to ask you some more general ou have any form of central heating uding electric storage heaters? IF YES Is it full or partial central heating?	Yes No questions about your hom Yes No Full Partial	1 0 1 0 1 2	Go to Q13 -(A) -Q14 -(B)
I wo Do y incl (A)	uld like to ask you some more general ou have any form of central heating uding electric storage heaters? IF YES Is it full or partial central heating?	Yes No questions about your hom Yes No Full Partial Don't know	1 0 1 0 1 2 -1	Go to Q13 -(A) -Q14 -(B)
I wo Do y incl (A)	uld like to ask you some more general ou have any form of central heating uding electric storage heaters? IF YES Is it full or partial central heating? CENTRAL HEATING:	Yes No questions about your hom Yes No Full Partial Don't know	1 0 1 0 1 2 -1	Go to Q13 -(A) -Q14 -(B)
I wo Do y incl (A)	uld like to ask you some more general ou have any form of central heating uding electric storage heaters? IF YES Is it full or partial central heating? CENTRAL HEATING: <u>Full</u> = All rooms (or almost all)	Yes No questions about your hom Yes No Full Partial Don't know	1 0 1 0 1 2 -1	Go to Q13 -(A) -Q14 -(B)
I wo Do y incl (A)	uld like to ask you some more general ou have any form of central heating uding electric storage heaters? IF YES Is it full or partial central heating? CENTRAL HEATING: <u>Full</u> = All rooms (or almost all) from one central source.	Yes No questions about your hom Yes No Full Partial Don't know	1 0 1 0 1 2 -1	Go to Q13 -(A) -Q14 -(B)
I wo Do y incl (A)	uld like to ask you some more general ou have any form of central heating uding electric storage heaters? IF YES Is it full or partial central heating? CENTRAL HEATING: <u>Full</u> = All rooms (or almost all) I from one central source. <u>Partial</u> = 2 or more rooms but <u>not al</u> heated from one central source.	Yes No questions about your hom Yes No Full Partial Don't know heated <u>1 rooms</u> urce.	1 0 1 0 1 2 -1	Go to Q13 -(A) -Q14 -(B)
I wo Do y incl (A)	uld like to ask you some more general ou have any form of central heating uding electric storage heaters? IF YES Is it full or partial central heating? CENTRAL HEATING: <u>Full</u> = All rooms (or almost all) I from one central source. <u>Partial</u> = 2 or more rooms but <u>not al</u> heated from one central source. What fuel does it use?	Yes No questions about your hom Yes No Full Partial Don't know heated <u>1 rooms</u> urce.	1 0 1 0 1 2 -1	Go to Q13 -(A) -Q14 -(B)
I wo Do y incl (A)	uld like to ask you some more general ou have any form of central heating uding electric storage heaters? IF YES Is it full or partial central heating? CENTRAL HEATING: <u>Full</u> = All rooms (or almost all) i from one central source. <u>Partial</u> = 2 or more rooms but <u>not all</u> heated from one central source. What fuel does it use?	Yes No questions about your hom Yes No Full Partial Don't know heated <u>1 rooms</u> urce. 011	1 0 1 0 1 2 -1	Go to Q13 -(A) -Q14 -(B)
I wo Do y incl (A)	uld like to ask you some more general ou have any form of central heating uding electric storage heaters? IF YES Is it full or partial central heating? CENTRAL HEATING: <u>Full</u> = All rooms (or almost all) i from one central source. <u>Partial</u> = 2 or more rooms but not al heated from one central source. What fuel does it use?	Yes No questions about your hom Yes No Full Partial Don't know heated <u>1 rooms</u> urce. 011 Gas	1 0 1 0 1 2 -1	Go to Q13 -(A) -Q14 -(B)
I wo Do y incl (A)	uld like to ask you some more general ou have any form of central heating uding electric storage heaters? IF YES Is it full or partial central heating? CENTRAL HEATING: <u>Full</u> = All rooms (or almost all) i from one central source. <u>Partial</u> = 2 or more rooms but <u>not al</u> heated from one central sou	Yes No questions about your hom Yes No Full Partial Don't know heated <u>1 rooms</u> urce. 011 Gas Electricity	1 0 1 0 1 2 -1	Go to Q13 -(A) -Q14 -(B)
I wo Do y incl (A)	uld like to ask you some more general ou have any form of central heating uding electric storage heaters? IF YES Is it full or partial central heating? CENTRAL HEATING: <u>Full</u> = All rooms (or almost all) I from one central source. <u>Partial</u> = 2 or more rooms but <u>not all</u> heated from one central source. What fuel does it use?	Yes No questions about your hom Yes No Full Partial Don't know heated <u>1 rooms</u> Urce. 011 Gas Electricity Solid Fuel	1 0 1 0 1 2 -1	Go to Q13 -(A) -Q14 -(B)
I wo Do y incl (A)	uld like to ask you some more general ou have any form of central heating uding electric storage heaters? IF YES Is it full or partial central heating? CENTRAL HEATING: <u>Full</u> = All rooms (or almost all) i from one central source. <u>Partial</u> = 2 or more rooms but <u>not all</u> heated from one central source. What fuel does it use?	Yes No questions about your hom Yes No Full Partial Don't know heated <u>1 rooms</u> urce. 011 Gas Electricity Solid Fuel Other	1 0 1 0 1 2 -1 1 2 3 4 5	Go to Q13 -(A) -Q14 -(B)

:

-55-

14. Do you have a piped hot water system?

> Yes Na

Yes

No

011

Gas

-(A). 1 0 -(C)

(A) IF YES

Which of the following method(s) is/are used to heat the water?

CODE ALL

Back boiler/range Yes No Central heating boiler Yes No Immersion heater Yes No District heating Yes No

'Ascot' type gas heater

(8) What is the main fuel used for water heating?

(C) IF NO

What do you do for hot water?



					i I
Is for hou:	there a car or van <u>normally</u> available use by you or any members of your sehold?		·		
	· · ·	Yes No		1	-(A) -Q16
	<u>INCLUDE</u> any provided by employers if normally available for private use. <u>EXCLUDE</u> any used solely for carriage of goods				
(A)	Is there one or more than one?	ENTER T	OTAL	ப	-(B)
(B)	How many (if any) of these are usually washed here, as opposed to somewhere else (e.g. at a car wash)	ENTER N	UMBER		Go to 016
	IF "DON'T KNOW" CODE '-1'				
Now your	may I ask you some questions about r use of water outside of the house?				
As y ther chai	you probably know in Northern Ireland re is no licence required or extra rge for using a hosepipe or sprinkler				1 1 1 1
In ' exar	this household do you use a hosepipe, for mple water the garden or when washing a car?	u			• • • • • •
[n ' exar	this household do you use a hosepipe, for mple water the garden or when washing a car?	Yes No		10	Go to Q17
In exar Do y I mu the	this household do you use a hosepipe, for mple water the garden or when washing a car? you ever use a lawn or garden sprinkler? ean one of the type that can be set on ground and left - not attached to a	Yes No			Go to Q17
In exau Do g I me the hand	this household do you use a hosepipe, for mple water the garden or when washing a car? you ever use a lawn or garden sprinkler? ean one of the type that can be set on ground and left - not attached to a d held hosepipe	Yes No Yes No			Go to Q17 Go to Q18
In exau Do : I mu the hand	this household do you use a hosepipe, for mple water the garden or when washing a car? you ever use a lawn or garden sprinkler? ean one of the type that can be set on ground and left - not attached to a d held hosepipe you have one or more taps outside (in garden or the garage)?	Yes No Yes No			Go to Q17 Go to Q18
In exau Do : I mi the hand Do ; the	this household do you use a hosepipe, for mple water the garden or when washing a car? you ever use a lawn or garden sprinkler? ean one of the type that can be set on ground and left - not attached to a d held hosepipe you have one or more taps outside (in garden or the garage)?	Yes No Yes No	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Go to Q17 Go to Q18 -(A) -Q19
In exau Do : I mu the hand Do) the (A)	this household do you use a hosepipe, for mple water the garden or when washing a car? you ever use a lawn or garden sprinkler? ean one of the type that can be set on ground and left - not attached to a d held hosepipe you have one or more taps outside (in garden or the garage)? IF YES	Yes No Yes No Yes			Go to Q17 Go to Q18 -(A) -Q19
In exau Do : I mi the hand Do) the (A)	this household do you use a hosepipe, for mple water the garden or when washing a car? you ever use a lawn or garden sprinkler? ean one of the type that can be set on ground and left - not attached to a d held hosepipe you have one or more taps outside (in garden or the garage)? IF YES How many outside taps do you have? IF "DON'T KNOW" CODE '=1'	Yes No Yes No			Go to Q17 Go to Q18 -(A) -Q19 Go to Q19
In exau Do : I mi the hand Do : the (A) Do : col	this household do you use a hosepipe, for mple water the garden or when washing a car? you ever use a lawn or garden sprinkler? ean one of the type that can be set on ground and left - not attached to a d held hosepipe you have one or more taps outside (in garden or the garage)? IF YES How many outside taps do you have? IF "DON'T KNOW" CODE '-1' you have a rainwater butt or rainwater lection tank?	Yes No Yes No			Go to Q17 Go to Q18 -Q19 Go to Q19



23. ASK ONLY IF WASHING MACHINE OWNED (Q8) If respondent is not housewife, check with housewife if possible. How many separate loads were washed in the washing machine here, yesterday? ENTER NUMBER OR CODE '-1' IF "DON'T KNOW". IF WASHING MACHINE NOT WORKING CODE 'O' ____(-(A) L (A) Thinking back over the last seven days how many separate loads can you remember washing in the washing machine during the last week? ENTER NUMBER OR CODE '-1' IF "DON'T KNOW". IF WASHING MACHINE NOT WORKING ALL WEEK CODE 'O' -(B) (B) Roughly how many separate loads are usually washed here at the weekend? (Friday 5 pm to Sunday midnight) ENTER NUMBER OR CODE '-1' IF DON'T KNOW Go to 024 24. Could you give me some information about the head of this household? (A) Head of Household is employee 1 self employed 2 unemployed 3 4 retired -(8) full time student 5 other 6 don't know 11 If sick but has job code as 1 or 2 as appropriate If sick and is unemployed code as 3 PLEASE CODE FOR ALL CATEGORIES IN (A) Office Use Only (B) Occupation L _1 _1 Industry Go to 025

-59-

25. Could you tell me in confidence, taking everything into account - that is, all benefits and everyone's wages, how much comes into this household each week or month, after deductions and/or taxes and insurance?

	Week 1 v	Monthly	Codina	· ·
	<u></u>		<u></u>	ţ
	under 1 50	under £200		
	150 - 199	£200 - £399	2	Ì
	£100-£149	£400 - £599	3	ł.
,	£150-£199	£600 - £799	4	i
	\$200-\$249	£800 - £999	5	1 .
	£250-£299	£1000- £1199	6	
	£300-£349	£1200- £1399	7	ł
	£350 +	±1400 +	8	1
	Don't know	Don't know	-1	ł
	Not answered	Not answered	-2	60 to 026
Can you think of any using facilities or household which we h this survey?	other major water appliances in this ave not covered in			

Thank you very much for your help in carrying out this survey

LEAVE LEAFLET

-60-

CHAPTER 3

DETAILS OF METERED DISTRICTS

The following Schedule gives details of the metered districts which were surveyed for purposes of estimating current levels of per capita consumption. (See Section 3.1.2 of Main Report).

				No.of
DIVISION	SUBDIVISON	TOWN	STREET	Props
Northern	Antrim	Antrim	Menin Rd.	16
Northern	Antrim	Antrim	Massereen Gardens	14
Northern	Antrim	Antrim	Muckamore Gdn.Vllg.Terrace	16
Northern	Antrim	Antrim	Burlon Road	14
Northern	Ballvmena	Ballymena	Ballyloughan Heights	19
Northern	Ballymena	Ballymena	Woodland Av. & Old Galgorm Rd.	8
Northern	Ballymena	Cookstown	Gortal Lowry Park	90
Northern	Ballymena	Cookstown	Sweep Rd.	3
Northern	Ballymena	Cookstown	Drum Rd.	6
Northern	Ballymena	Cookstown	Killymoon St.	19
Northern	Ballymena	Cookatown	Fortview Terrace.Chapel Rd.	22
Northern	Coleraine	Coleraine	Ballycranny Rd.	16
Northern	Coleraine	Coleraine	James St.	20
Northern	Coleraine	Coleraine	Wheatsheaf Heights	14
Northern	Coleraine	Tamlaght O'Crilly	Church Park	20
Northern	Coleraine	Dundaraue	Bushmills	84
Southern	Armagh/Dung.	Armagh	Cavancaw Park, Newry Rd.	28
Southern	Armagh/Dung.	Killylea	Old Forge	19
Southern	Armagh/Dung.	Castlecaulfield	Drumreanev Gardens	20
Southern	Armagh/Dung.	Coalisland	Darnagh Crescent	22
Southern	Armagh/Dung.	Dungannon	Northland Village, Killyman Rd.	46
Southern	Armagh/Dung.	Dungannon	Belmnt.Off Blvdr.Prk.Off	
-		3	Cunniinghams Lne	24
Southern	Armagh/Dung.	Milltown	Brook Street	4
Southern	Craigavon	Portadown	Grantham Park, Bridge Street	27
Southern	Craigavon	Craigavon	Ballyhannan Park	49
Southern	Craigavon	Portadown	Russwood Park	30
Southern	Craigavon	Donacloney	Blackskull	12
Southern	Newry/Banbr.	Newry	Warren Hill, Warren Point Rd.	30
Southern	Newry/Banbr.	Rostrevor	Syanite Place	13
Southern	Newry/Banbr.	Seapatrick	Rectory Park	12
Southern	Newry/Banbr.	Scarva	Glenloughan Park	12
Eastern	Belfast	Belfast	Broadway Parade	50
Eastern	Belfast	Belfast	Gawn Street	38
Eastern	Belfast	Belfast	Trigo Parade	30
Eastern	Belfast	Belfast	Chatsworth Street	42
Eastern	Belfast	Belfast	Pretoria Street	54
Eastern	Belfast	Belfast	Sydenham Park, Gdns & Cres.	118
Eastern	Belfast	Belfast	Glenmillah Park & Drive	54
Eastern	Belfast	Belfast	Castlehill Drive	19
Eastern	Belfast	Belfast	Kilmakee Park, Gortgrib Drive	39
Eastern	Belfast	Belfast	Gilnahirk Walk, Gilnahirk Rise	22
Eastern	Belfast	Belfast	Moonstone Street, Mowhan Street	73
Eastern	Belfast	Belfast	Merok Gardens, Merok Drive	38
Eastern	Belfast	Belfast	Victoria Drive, Larkfield Drive	94

DIVISION	SUBDIVISON	TOWN	STREET	No.of Props
Eastern	Nth.Down/Downp.	Bangor	Rosemary Drive	27
Eastern	Nth.Down/Downp.	Bangor	Chester Avenue	21
Eastern	Nth.Down/Downp.	Bangor	Clanmorris Close & Avenue	20
Eastern	Nth.Down/Downp.	Bangor	Maxwell Park	21
Eastern	Nth.Down/Downp.	Bangor	Linden Park & Gardens	24
Eastern	Nth.Down/Downp.		Pinehill Crescent & Gardens	16
Eastern	Nth.Down/Downp.	Ballynahinch	Windmill Garrdens & Hill Cres.	148
Eastern	Nth.Down/Downp.	Crossgar	Killwood Park, Cres. & Close	39
Eastern	Nth.Down/Downp.	Downpatrick	Meadowlands	158
Eastern	Downpatrick	Newcastle	Schooner Site, Dundrum Rd.	129
Eastern	Downpatrick	Kilkeel	Mossvale Park, Scrogg Rd.	39
Eastern	Downpatrick	Downpatrick	Spa Housing Estate	46
Eastern	Lisburn	Lisburn	Alanbrooke Avenue	20
Eastern	Lisburn	Ballynadolly	Killultagh Rd. & Glenavy Rd.	33
Eastern	Lisburn	Lisburn	Belvoir Park	14
Eastern	Lisburn	Lisburn	Cloniara Park	30
Eastern	Lisburn	Lisburn	Coolsara Park	27
Eastern	Lisburn	Lisburn	Florence Court	24
Eastern	Lisburn	Lisburn	Greenmount Gardens	19
Eastern	Lisburn	Lisburn	Richmond Courtnue	58
Western	Enniskillen	Ballygawley	Lisddart Terrace	17
Western	Enniskillen	Augher	Knockview	16
Western	Enniskillen	Letterbreen	Heathdale	. 8
Western	Enniskillen	Drumkeen, Ballinamall	Old Station Park	14
Western	Londonderry	Londonderry	Knockwellam Prk. & Cardilea Prk	. 21
Western	Londonderry	Londonderry	Hinion Park, Waterside,	17
Western	Londonderry	Londonderry	Heron Way, Clooney Est.Watersid	le 13
Western	Londonderry	Londonderry	Melvin Court, Limavady Road	18
Western	Omagh	Omagh	Townview Avenue South	30
Western	Omagh	Omagh	Culmore Gardens	26
Western	Omagh	Omagh	Camowen Terrace	6
Western	Omagh	Omagh	Coronation Cottages	9

-62-

CHAPTER 4

ADJUSTMENT OF METERED CONSUMPTION FIGURES TO TAKE ACCOUNT OF NON-METERED CONSUMERS

4.1 AGRICULTURE

Agricultural consumption is currently recorded for three different types of meter, 'agricultural', 'intensive unit', and 'cattle trough'.

Since 'agricultural' meters cover farmhouse consumption, as well as all other consumption on the farm which is not separately metered as 'intensive' unit or 'cattle trough', the number of agricultural meters should correspond closely to the total number of farms.

Water charging records for 1981 show 39,063 agricultural meters. Agricultural Census records for the same year identify 45,224 Census units, including 19,197 holdings which were classified as 'insignificant'. This suggests that about 14% of all farm units may not be metered. Since at least 4% of rural properties are not on the public supply (based on Census figures), it may be concluded that no more than 10% of farm units drawing water from public supplies are not metered.

Unmetered farms are likely to be of smaller size. Analysis of agricultural metered consumption by consumption band using water charging records for the first half of 1981 shows that usage is highly concentrated among a small proportion of very large users. Agricultural census data on farm size is summarised in Table 4.1 together with figures obtained from water charging records.

It may be assumed from this analysis that unmetered users have average consumption approximately equivalent to that of the lowest 53%, or perhaps the lowest 75% of metered users. This suggests that an addition of 2% or 3% respectively to total metered farm usage (including 'cattle troughs' and 'intensive units') is appropriate to take account of nonregistered farm users.

CONCENTRATION OF AGRICULTURAL¹ WATER USAGE

WAT	ER CHARGES RECORDS			CENSUS FIGURES	
					Proportion of Livestock Weighted
Consumption	Proportion	Proportion of	ſ	Proportion of	by Estimated
Size Band	of Meters	Consumption	Size Band ESU	Census Units	Water Usage
	(8)	(8)		(8)	(9)
Cubic metres ⁴					
0 - 100	53.5	9.48	-	42.45	4.0
101 - 200	19.2	10.10	7	14.07	0.6
201 - 500	17.6	26.29	£	14.64	15.0
501 - 2500	9.1	38.87	4	13.23	22.0
2501 - 5500	0.4	6.50	ъ	, 9.67	25.0
5501 - 10000	0.1	2,35	و	3.41	13.0
10001 - 20000	0.02	1.65	7	2.54	16.0
20001 - 40000	0.008	- 0.96			
40001 - 80000	0.005	1.11			
over 80000	0.008	2.68			
Base:	39002		Base :	45224	•
(Total no. of mete	rs)		(Total no. of	f units)	
1 Includes only '	Agricultural' meter	• • •			

Based on Statistical Review of NI Agriculture 1981. V

ς α

European size unit. Per approximate six month period.

-64-

4.2 INDUSTRY

Meter records for 1981 show a total of 2,745 meters in the 'industrial' and 'non-rateable' categories. This compares very closely with the total of 2,674 manufacturing units recorded in the Census of Employment. A number of large firms are known to have more than one meter, but the proportion of individual manufacturing units with more than one meter is likely to be very small. On this basis it is reasonable to assume that no more than 10% of industrial users are unmetered.

Table 4.2 shows the concentration of consumption among industrial users; 25% of metered industrial users account for 97% of water used in this category. Also shown in Table 4.2 is the high concentration of employment in a small proportion of industrial firms.

If it is assumed that the average consumption of unmetered users is similar to that of the lowest 75% of metered industrial users, the addition necessary to take account of 10% of industrial users being unmetered amounts to less than 1% of the total metered volume.

4.3 CONSTRUCTION

Only 1,562 (47%) construction users were metered in 1981 as compared with 3,312 Census units. Analysis of concentration shows that the lowest 77% of metered construction users accounted for only 7% of consumption (Table 4.3). If the 53% of users who are unmetered are treated as equivalent to the lowest 77% of metered users, an addition to metered use of 14% is required.

4.4 COMMERCE AND OTHER SERVICES

Commerce and 'other' services have been considered together for the purpose of assessing metered usage. The classification in water charges records of commercial and public service establishments between these consumption sectors is unreliable and it is only possible therefore to compare meter numbers with the number of census units at an aggregate level.

-65-

CONCENTRATION OF INDUSTRIAL WATER USAGE

ew.	VTER CHARGES RECORDS			CENSUS FIGURES		
Consumption Size Band	Proportion of Maters	Proportion of	No of	Proportion of	Proportion of	ł
J J J J	VA MALELS	Collsompeton	ruptoyees	Census Units	Employees	
Cubic metres 3		(4)		(8)	(8)	
0 - 100	53	-	1-4	33.53	ſ	
101 - 200	10	-	5-9	18.35	4 ~~	
201 - 500	12	-	10-14	11.18		
501 - 2500	1 4	6	15-19	5.86	. 0	
2501 - 5500	4	υ.	20-49	3.65	- 7	
5501 - 10000	2	9	25-49	11.06	0	
10001 - 20000	0	7	50-99	7.22	1	
20001 - 40000	-	13	100-149	2.91	æ	
40001 - 80000	F	18	150-199	1.94	œ	
over 80000		42	200-249	0.85	4	
			250-499	2.44	18	
			500-999	0.62	10	
			1000-1999	0.19	9	
	·		over 2000	0.19	15	
Base:	2,753	-	Base:	2.674		
(Total no. of met	erg)		(Total no. of un	lits) [.]		

Industrial includes the 'Industrial' and 'Non Rateable' in the WCB's classification. Based on 1981 Census of Employment.

Per approximate six month period.

ų n

-66-

CONCENTRATION OF CONSTRUCTION WATER USAGE

WAT	ER CHARGES RECORDS	•		CENSUS FIGURES		
Consumption Size Band	Proportion	Proportion of	No of	Proportion of	Proportion of	}
DIBG 27TC	ot Meters	Consumption	Employees	Census Units	Employees	
ſ	(8)	(8)		(8)	(8)	
Cubic metres ²					•	
0 - 100		L	1-4	55_23 55		
101 - 200	10	9 .	5-9	21.12	9	
201 - 500	co	11	10-14	9.81		
501 - 2500	4.5	20	15-19	4.90	ن م	
2501 - 5500	0.5	10	20-49	2.95		
5501 - 10000	0.32	10	25-49	4.14	16	
10001 - 20000	0.19	10	50-99	1.06	ຸໝ	
20001 - 40000	0.06	80	100-149	0.29	4	
40001 - 80000	0.06	18	150-199	0.23	ŝ	
over 80000			200-249	60.0	ſ	
			250-499	0.09	e	
		•	500-999	0.03	2	
			1000-1999	0	0	
			over 2000	0	0	
Base:	1,560		Base:	3,312		
(Total no. of metel	rs)		(Total no. of uni	ts)	-	

-67-

Based on 1981 Census of Employment Per approximate six month period.

3

CONCENTRATION OF SERVICE¹ WATER USAGE

WATER CHARGES RECORDS

	ATER CHARGES RECORDS			CENSUS FIGURES		
Consumption	Proportion	Proportion of	No of	Proportion of	Proportion of	1
Size Band	of Meters	Consumption	Employees	Census Units	Employees	
ſ	(8)	(8)		(8)	(8)	1
Cubic metres ²					•	
0 - 100	46	•	1-4	54.11	8	
101 - 200	13	7	5-9	20.07	۰ თ	
201 - 500	16	ŝ	10-14	8.37		
501 - 2500.	20	21	15-19	4.48	ŝ	
2501 - 5500	£	11	20-49	2.73	4	
5501 - 10000	-	8	25-49	5.80	14	
10001 - 20000	-	11	50-99	2.55	12	
20001 - 40000	-	6	100-149	0.76	7	
40001 - 80000	-	16	150-199	0.31	4	
over 80000	-	16	200-249	0.24	4	
			250-499	0.32	8	
			500-999	0.17	8	
-			1000-1999	0.07	7	
			over 2000	0.01	ſ	
Base:	8,462		Base:	24,129		
(Total no. of me	ters)		(Total no. of w	nits)		

Service includes the 'Commercial' and 'Other' categories in the NCB's classification Based on 1981 Census of Employment

Per approximate six month period.

2 M

-68-

The 8,741 meters in these two categories accounted for only 35% of the 24,129 Census units in 1981. Metered consumption concentration is summarised in Table 4.4.

Again, users without meters can be expected to be small concerns. This is confirmed by work undertaken in the course of the recent study of waste levels in Belfast sub-division. This study identified non-domestic consumers without meters in each waste-metering district. A small-scale review and metering exercise carried out by sub-division management has shown that a majority of these consumers fall into the commercial category and that consumption levels are typically extremely small.

If unmetered users are treated as equivalent to the lowest 75% of metered users, the appropriate percentage addition for these categories is 6% to take account of non-registration.

CHAPTER 5

LEAKAGE IN SUPPLY SYSTEMS

5.1 OBJECTIVE

The objective of this section of the study is to estimate as directly as possible the quantities of water which leak or are allowed to escape from the supply system without achieving a useful purpose.

5.2 BASE YEAR

The base year has been taken as 1981 and values of minium night flow available for that year have been used where possible. Elsewhere the initial values of minium night flow measured in particular areas, as close as possible to 1981, have been used.

5.3 METHOD

The method used to assess leakage is the total night flow rate method recommended in DOE/NWC.ReportNo. 26, 'Leakage Control Policy and Practice,' as follows:-

$$L = \underbrace{(MNF - LNF - 2 l/p/h) \times n \times 20}_{10^6} M1/d$$

Where

L

= Leakage in distribution system

MNF = Minimum night flow in litres/property/hour

LNF = Legitimate (metered) night flow in litres/property/hour

2 l/p/h = Allowance for night domestic use

n = Number of properties supplied

20 = Recommended factor to convert hourly flow to daily flows making allowances for higher night pressures

The minimum and legitimate night flow rates for each sub-division have been assessed as described below.

-70-

5.4 NIGHT FLOW RATES

5.4.1 General

Values of minimum night flow are available, either from district meter readings or from waste meter readings, for areas representing some 80% of the Province by supply. In most of Londonderry sub-division and about 50% of Omagh sub-division, district meters have been used for measuring minimum night flows. In the rest of the Province, waste water meters have been used. In order to employ the total night flow rate method, it is necessary to express these values in litres/property/hour and to establish legitimate night flow rates also in litres/property/hour.

In areas where legitimate night flows are not available, estimates have been made by comparison with similar areas or from investigations in sample areas. Sample areas were selected throughout the Province and the results obtained are recorded and averaged for urban and rural areas. Table 5.1 gives minimum night flows and Table 5.2 gives legitimate night flows measured for these sample areas.

In rural areas where direct property counts are not available, the number of properties relating to specific areas covered by waste metering has been assessed using the most appropriate Northern Ireland Census publications. Available accurate property counts indicated that it was necessary to apply a factor of 1.05 to the number of private households given in the Census in order to allow for non-domestic properties.

It is not possible to estimate accurately populations in Waste Districts in urban areas from census publications. Therefore, in order to establish flow rates for urban areas in each sub-division it was necessary to carry out detailed property counts in selected towns covered by waste metering. Rates obtained in this manner were extended to cover the urban areas in each sub-division. Urban areas have been defined as those with populations in excess of two thousand.

The methods used to assess night flow rates in each Division are described below.

-71-

TABLE 5.1

METERED MINIMUM NIGHT FLOWS IN SELECTED AREAS

(i) Urban Areas

	No. of Properties in Sample Area	<u>MNF</u> 1/prop/hr
Portadown	7345	17
Lisburn/Dunmurry	20773	18
Downpatrick	9802	27
Londonderry	18165	29
Omagh	4297	22
Ballymena	9161	23
	Average	23

(ii) Rural Areas

	No.of Propert	ies <u>MNF</u>
	in Sample Ar	ea <u>1/prop/hr</u>
Ballymena	7580	35
Antrim	8880	32
Coleraine	13072	38
Downpatrick	9582	45
Lisburn	7860	29
Londonderry	7415	70
Omagh	11153	47
Enniskillen	5952	45
Craigavon	7211	46
Newry	14885	37
	A	verage 42

METERED LEGITIMATE NIGHT FLOWS IN SELECTED AREAS

(i) Urban Areas

	No. of Propertie	s <u>LNF</u>
	in Sample Area	l/prop/hr
Londonderry	2686	2.26
Omagh	974	0.85
Enniskillen	409	0.46
Portadown	4874	1.40
Lisburn	20773	0.93
Downpatrick	9802	1.36
	Avera	ige 1.00

(ii) Rural A	reas
--------------	------

	No.of Properties	LNF
	in Sample Area	1/prop/hr
Londonderry	889	2.25
Portadown	607	0.24
Lisburn	2530	3.67
Castlereagh	2831	0.09
Downpatrick	9582	5.95
	Average	4.00

5.4.2 Eastern Division

The whole of Belfast and Lisburn sub-divisions are provided with waste metering and property counts in the waste districts are available. Minimum night flows and legitimate night flows have been monitored periodically since 1976 and 1981 records have been used.

In the Downpatrick sub-division approximately 55% of the area is covered by waste metering. Legitimate night flow and property counts are available for some waste districts. For the part of the sub-division not covered by waste metering, average values of minimum and legitimate night flow for similar areas have been used. Where necessary, property counts have been estimated using NI Census Publications.

5.4.3 Northern Division

In order to assess night flow rates for the urban part of the Northern Division, a sample area comprising that part of Ballymena town covered by waste metering was monitored in order to establish legitimate night flow. The number of properties included in this area was counted and the results were used together with 1981 or other appropriate records of minimum night flow to assess typical night flow rates for urban areas throughout the Division.

In the rural areas of each sub-division, large areas are covered by waste metering but no legitimate night flow rates or accurate property counts are available. Average figures for legitimate night flow obtained in sample rural areas have been used in these areas. Property counts for the rural areas covered by waste metering and for the sub-division as a whole were estimated using NI Census Publications. Night flow rates in litres/property/hour calculated on the basis of these figures were extended to cover each sub-division.

5.4.4 Southern Division

In order to assess night flow rates in the urban areas of Craigavon sub-division, a sample area in Portadown was monitored to establish legitimate night flow. Properties were counted over the area and

-74-

related to 1981 or other appropriate records of minimum night flow. Night flow rates calculated on the basis of these figures were used for urban areas throughout the sub-division.

It was not possible to set up sample urban areas in the Newry and Armagh sub-divisions and average figures shown in Tables 5.1 and 5.2 have been used.

The total number of properties in the rural areas of each subdivision has been estimated using NI Census publications. The number of rural properties covered by waste metering in the Craigavon and Newry subdivisions has also been estimated in this way and night flow rates have been assessed using average values of legitimate night flow.

In the rural areas of Armagh sub-division average night flow rates obtained for the rural areas in the rest of the Province have been used.

5.4.5 Western Division

Minimum night flows in the Londonderry and Omagh urban areas can be related to property counts and flow rates calculated. These values, together with legitimate night flows obtained in sample areas, have been used to estimate net night flow rates for the urban areas of Londonderry and Omagh sub-divisions.

Average figures for night flow rates in urban areas have been used for the urban areas of Enniskillen sub-division.

Night flow rates for rural areas in each sub-division have been estimated using available minimum night flows, average legitimate night flows from sample areas and property counts assessed using NI Census publications.

The NI Census publications have also been used to assess the total properties in each sub-division.

5.5 ESTIMATION OF LEAKAGE

5.5.1 Distribution System

Night flow rates assessed for each sub-division have been used to estimate leakage using the method outlined in 5.3 above. The results obtained are presented in Table 5.3.

5.5.2 Trunk Main Leakage

In general little information is available regarding leakage from trunk mains throughout the Province. Trunk main leakage has therefore been assessed using the results of a survey of data from UK water authorities given in the WRC Technical Report TR154.

The report states that the majority of mains tested (73%) had leakage of less than 500 litres per hour per kilometre. It also states that older trunk mains were more likely to experience higher leakage than newer ones and that no relationship could be found between leakage and the diameter of the mains.

In areas other than the Eastern Division, the trunk mains are relatively new and a figure of 500 l/km/hr has been used to assess leakage. In the Eastern Division, where the mains are generally older, a slightly higher figure of 750 l/km/hr has been used.

A detailed examination carried out in the Downpatrick sub-division indicated that approximately 10% of the mains in the sub-division are not included in waste districts. In view of the results of the experiment and programme referred to in Report 26 which indicates that in general trunk main leakage is relatively small it is considered sufficiently accurate to use this percentage for the purpose of estimating trunk main leakage in the other Divisions. The estimates of leakage from trunk mains in each subdivision are also given in Table 5.3. TABLE 5.3

NIGHT FLOW RATES AND LEAKAGE

Total 67.8 34.0 2.1 115.1 14.2 11.1 19.5 17.8 51.5 Trunk Mains 4.70 1.0 0.5 6.2 t.J 1.5 1.4 4.2 Leakage Ml/d Distribution 63.1 33.0 10.6 12.9 System 106.7 18.0 16.4 47.3 Estimated Length of Trunk Mains . 313 <u>ک</u>ا 68 36 133 417 145 142 420 Net 26 38 21 1724 23 22 28 22 28 34 29 Night Flows Litres/prop/hr Legitimate 2 2 ١Ô m Minimum 18 29 23 27 25 23 32 23 32 23 38 32 Number of Properties 166136 28743 26703 23964 7863 13004 14765 5255 22293 253409 11523 14765 87634 Urban Urban Rural Urban Rural Urban Rural Urban Rural Harbour Estate Urban Rural NORTHERN DIVISION Sub-Division EASTERN DIVISION Downpatrick Ballymena Coleraine Belfast Lisburn Antrim

-77-

TABLE 5.3 (CONT)

NIGHT FLOW RATES AND LEAKAGE

	tal	6. 4	3.0	9.1	8.5	3.7	5.1	5.8	4.6
	e F	*-	-	-	4	-	7	-	С П П
MI/d	<u>Trunk</u> Mains	1.4	1.7	1.8	4.9	1.1	2.1	1.1	4.3
Leakage	Distribution System	15.0	11.3	17.3	43.6	12.6	23.0	14.7	50.3
Estimated Length of Trunk Mains	W	138	174	179	491	1.10	206	112	428
	Net	22 38	16 42	22 33	29	30	27 66	21 43	38
ight Flows tres/prop/hr	Legitimate	- 4	- 4	- 4	m	- 4	ে ব	- 4	m
NIT	Minimum	23 42	17	23 37	32	23 45	29 70	22	41
Number of Properties		6314 17346	16963 8202	8791 22273	79889	3200 13868	20769 9901	7097 14618	69453
•		Urban Rural	Urban Rural	Urban Rural		Urban Rural	Urban Rural	Urban Rural	
Sub-Division		Armagh	Craigavon	Newry	SOUTHERN DIVISION	Enniskillen	Londonderry	Omagh	WESTERN DIVISION

-78-

3.5.3 Total Leakage

Leakage from the distribution system and trunk main leakage have been added to give the total leakage in each sub-division as set out in the final column of Table 5.3.

