

the DAPA-HF trial. However, neither trial was designed or adequately powered to evaluate the effect of SGLT2 inhibitors on cardiovascular death. The duration of follow-up in both trials was short (i.e., 16 months in EMPEROR-Reduced and 18 months in the DAPA-HF trial). Therefore, patients who were hospitalized for heart failure were not followed long enough to discern their higher risk of cardiovascular death. The DAPA-HF trial recorded 25% more cardiovascular deaths than EMPEROR-Reduced and thus had greater statistical power for this outcome.⁴ Yet, because that trial was not powered for cardiovascular death, the nominal P value for the effect of dapagliflozin was close to 0.05. A meta-analysis of the two trials showed no heterogeneity in the effects of the two SGLT2 inhibitors on the risk of cardiovascular death.³

Bhattacharyya and Kar, Kumar and Sinha, and others propose that the benefits of SGLT2 inhibitors are mediated by a natriuretic or osmotic diuretic effect. However, the effect of SGLT2 inhibitors on urinary sodium excretion and plasma volume is transient,⁵ the effect of these drugs on circulating NT-proBNP levels is modest, the dose of diuretics is not modified in the vast majority of patients with heart failure who are treated with these drugs, and a diuretic effect cannot explain the action of these drugs in slowing the decline in glomerular function. Instead, their clinical benefits may be related to an action of these drugs

to induce nutrient-deprivation signaling, with its attendant effects in mitigating cellular stress and prolonging cellular survival in the heart and kidneys.⁵

Milton Packer, M.D.

Baylor University Medical Center
Dallas, TX
milton.packer@baylorhealth.edu

Faiez Zannad, M.D., Ph.D.

Université de Lorraine
Nancy, France

Since publication of their article, the authors report no further potential conflict of interest.

1. Anker SD, Butler J, Filippatos G, et al. Effect of empagliflozin on cardiovascular and renal outcomes in patients with heart failure by baseline diabetes status — results from the EMPEROR-Reduced trial. *Circulation* 2020 November 11 (Epub ahead of print).
2. Packer M, Anker SD, Butler J, et al. Effect of empagliflozin on the clinical stability of patients with heart failure and a reduced ejection fraction: the EMPEROR-Reduced trial. *Circulation* 2020 October 21 (Epub ahead of print).
3. Zannad F, Ferreira JP, Pocock SJ, et al. SGLT2 inhibitors in patients with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction: a meta-analysis of the EMPEROR-Reduced and DAPA-HF trials. *Lancet* 2020;396:819-29.
4. McMurray JJV. EMPEROR-Reduced: confirming sodium-glucose co-transporter 2 inhibitors as an essential treatment for patients with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction. *Bur J Heart Fail* 2020;22:1987-90.
5. Packer M. Critical examination of mechanisms underlying the reduction in heart failure events with SGLT2 inhibitors: identification of a molecular link between their actions to stimulate erythrocytosis and to alleviate cellular stress. *Cardiovasc Res* 2020 April 3 (Epub ahead of print).

DOI: 10.1056/NEJMc2033669

Dapagliflozin in Patients with Chronic Kidney Disease

TO THE EDITOR: The results of the DAPA-CKD (Dapagliflozin and Prevention of Adverse Outcomes in Chronic Kidney Disease) trial reported by Heerspink et al. (Oct. 8 issue)¹ suggest that an alternative to the restriction of daily sodium intake and the use of diuretic agents to correct volume expansion associated with both heart failure and proteinuric chronic kidney disease may be sodium–glucose cotransporter 2 (SGLT2) inhibitors, owing to their natriuretic effects. Whether the improvements in long-term cardiovascular and kidney outcomes that were observed in this trial could be attributed solely to the correction of volume expansion or to class-specific effects is unknown. Information from the authors regarding the daily sodium consumption of the trial participants and the dose and type of diuretics they re-

ceived would be helpful, as would information as to whether these variables influenced the protective effects of SGLT2 inhibition. After all, restricting dietary sodium consumption, changing the diuretic dose, and combining diuretic classes have all been shown to be highly efficacious in the management of therapy-resistant volume overload, and these measures may prove to have a similar effect on long-term cardiovascular and renal protection.

Liffert Vogt, M.D., Ph.D.

Amsterdam University Medical Centers
Amsterdam, the Netherlands
l.vogt@amsterdamumc.nl

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this letter was reported.

1. Heerspink HJL, Stefánsson BV, Correa-Rotter R, et al. Dapa-

gliflozin in patients with chronic kidney disease. *N Engl J Med* 2020;383:1436-46.

DOI: 10.1056/NEJMc2032809

TO THE EDITOR: One of the kidney-protective effects of SGLT2 inhibitors is the correction of glomerular hypertension by afferent arteriolar constriction mediated by tubuloglomerular feedback.¹ The existence of glomerular hypertension and the capacity of SGLT2 inhibitors to trigger tubuloglomerular feedback during normoglycemia are necessary for SGLT2 inhibitors to be able to suppress the progression of nondiabetic chronic kidney disease. A third of the participants in the DAPA-CKD trial had received a diagnosis of nondiabetic chronic kidney disease, in which a kidney-protective effect equivalent to or greater than that of diabetes was shown. These results suggest that SGLT2 inhibitors could correct glomerular hypertension by activating tubuloglomerular feedback even without dysglycemia. To what extent did dapagliflozin reduce albuminuria among the participants without diabetes?

In addition to the presence of albuminuria, the use of amino acid loading as a test of renal functional reserve may be an index of the degree of intraglomerular pressure attributed to afferent arterioles, which are common sites of action for SGLT2 inhibitors.² A renal functional reserve index might identify patients with earlier stages of chronic kidney disease in whom SGLT2 inhibitors might provide renal protection; such patients were not enrolled in the DAPA-CKD trial.

Hideo Yasuda, M.D., Ph.D.

Shinsuke Isobe, M.D., Ph.D.

Hamamatsu University School of Medicine
Shizuoka, Japan
ysdh@hama-med.ac.jp

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this letter was reported.

1. Heerspink HJL, Perkins BA, Fitchett DH, Husain M, Cherney DZI. Sodium glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors in the treatment of diabetes mellitus: cardiovascular and kidney effects, potential mechanisms, and clinical applications. *Circulation* 2016; 134:752-72.

2. Bankir L, Roussel R, Bouby N. Protein- and diabetes-induced glomerular hyperfiltration: role of glucagon, vasopressin, and urea. *Am J Physiol Renal Physiol* 2015;309(1):F2-F23.

DOI: 10.1056/NEJMc2032809

THE AUTHORS REPLY: Vogt suggests that the benefits of dapagliflozin on heart and kidney outcomes in the DAPA-CKD trial may be mediated by the natriuretic properties of the drug. We agree that volume restriction with the use of diuretic treatment, as well as moderation of dietary sodium consumption, are important strategies in the treatment of heart failure and chronic kidney disease and may improve long-term kidney and cardiovascular outcomes. In the DAPA-CKD trial, 1882 participants (43.7%) were using diuretics at baseline. The 39% lower relative risk in the dapagliflozin group than in the placebo group with respect to the primary composite outcome (a sustained $\geq 50\%$ decline in the estimated glomerular filtration rate, end-stage kidney disease, or death from renal or cardiovascular causes) was consistent among participants who were using diuretics at baseline and those who were not (*P* for interaction=0.96). Other clinical trials have also shown that the effects of SGLT2 inhibitors on cardiovascular or kidney outcomes are not modified by the concomitant use of diuretics.^{1,2} However, in the DAPA-CKD trial, we did not obtain 24-hour urine samples or measure urine volume to assess dietary sodium intake.

Yasuda and Isobe question whether SGLT2 inhibitors could correct glomerular hypertension by activating tubuloglomerular feedback, even without dysglycemia. Glomerular hypertension and proteinuria, as they point out, are common manifestations of many causes of chronic kidney disease. Correction of glomerular hypertension during dapagliflozin treatment has been associated with reductions in albuminuria in previous trials involving patients with or without type 2 diabetes and may explain the protective effects of dapagliflozin in chronic kidney disease resulting from various underlying causes.^{3,4} Our data indicate that the effects of dapagliflozin on all the primary and secondary outcomes were consistent among participants with and those without type 2 diabetes and were present regardless of the underlying cause of chronic kidney disease; these findings support the use of dapagliflozin in a broad range of patients with chronic kidney disease.⁵

Hiddo J.L. Heerspink, Ph.D.

University of Groningen
Groningen, the Netherlands
h.j.lambers.heerspink@umcg.nl

Anna-Maria Langkilde, M.D.

AstraZeneca
Gothenburg, Sweden

David C. Wheeler, M.D.

University College London
London, United Kingdom

Since publication of their article, the authors report no further potential conflict of interest.

1. Mayer GJ, Wanner C, Weir MR, et al. Analysis from the EMPA-REG OUTCOME® trial indicates empagliflozin may assist in preventing the progression of chronic kidney disease in patients with type 2 diabetes irrespective of medications that alter intrarenal hemodynamics. *Kidney Int* 2019;96:489-504.
2. Neuen B, Mahaffey K, Cannon CP, et al. Effects of canagliflozin on cardiovascular renal and safety outcomes by baseline loop diuretic use: data from the CREDENCE trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2020;75:Suppl 1:1852. abstract.
3. Dekkers CCJ, Petrykiv S, Laverman GD, Cherney DZ, Gansevoort RT, Heerspink HJL. Effects of the SGLT-2 inhibitor dapagliflozin on glomerular and tubular injury markers. *Diabetes Obes Metab* 2018;20:1988-93.
4. Cherney DZI, Dekkers CCJ, Barbour SJ, et al. Effects of the SGLT2 inhibitor dapagliflozin on proteinuria in non-diabetic patients with chronic kidney disease (DIAMOND): a randomised, double-blind, crossover trial. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol* 2020;8:582-93.
5. Wheeler DC, Stefánsson BV, Jongs N, et al. Effects of dapagliflozin on major adverse kidney and cardiovascular events in patients with diabetic and non-diabetic chronic kidney disease: a prespecified analysis from the DAPA-CKD trial. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol* 2021;9:22-31.

DOI: 10.1056/NEJMc2032809

Correspondence Copyright © 2021 Massachusetts Medical Society.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Letters to the Editor are considered for publication, subject to editing and abridgment, provided they do not contain material that has been submitted or published elsewhere.

Letters accepted for publication will appear in print, on our website at NEJM.org, or both.

Please note the following:

- Letters in reference to a *Journal* article must not exceed 175 words (excluding references) and must be received within 3 weeks after publication of the article.
- Letters not related to a *Journal* article must not exceed 400 words.
- A letter can have no more than five references and one figure or table.
- A letter can be signed by no more than three authors.
- Financial associations or other possible conflicts of interest must be disclosed. Disclosures will be published with the letters. (For authors of *Journal* articles who are responding to letters, we will only publish new relevant relationships that have developed since publication of the article.)
- Include your full mailing address, telephone number, fax number, and email address with your letter.
- All letters must be submitted through our online submission system at NEJM.org.

Letters that do not adhere to these instructions will not be considered. We will notify you when we have made a decision about possible publication. Letters regarding a recent *Journal* article may be shared with the authors of that article. We are unable to provide prepublication proofs. Submission of a letter constitutes permission for the Massachusetts Medical Society, its licensees, and its assignees to use it in the *Journal's* various print and electronic publications and in collections, revisions, and any other form or medium.

THE JOURNAL'S WEB AND EMAIL ADDRESSES

To submit a letter to the Editor: authors.NEJM.org
For information about the status of a submitted manuscript:
authors.NEJM.org
To submit a meeting notice: meetingnotices@NEJM.org
The *Journal's* web pages: NEJM.org