



Microbleeds in dementia with Lewy bodies

Paul C. Donaghy¹  · Michael Firbank¹ · Dipayan Mitra² · George Petrides³ · Jim Lloyd³ · Nicola Barnett¹ · Kirsty Olsen¹ · Alan J. Thomas¹ · John T. O'Brien⁴

Received: 10 December 2019 / Revised: 27 January 2020 / Accepted: 27 January 2020 / Published online: 4 February 2020
© The Author(s) 2020

Abstract

Introduction Microbleeds are associated with the development of dementia in older people and are common in Alzheimer's disease (AD). Their prevalence and clinical importance in dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB) is unclear. The objective of this study was to compare the rates of microbleeds in DLB with those in AD and healthy older people, and investigate associations between microbleeds and amyloid deposition, vascular risk and disease severity in DLB.

Methods DLB ($n = 30$), AD ($n = 18$) and control ($n = 20$) participants underwent clinical assessment at baseline and 1 year in this longitudinal observational study. 3T MRI (including T2* susceptibility weighted imaging) and florbetapir PET were carried out at baseline. Microbleeds were rated visually and a standardised uptake value ratio (SUVR) was calculated from florbetapir PET scans.

Results 40% of DLB subjects had microbleeds compared with 50% of AD and 15% of controls. Compared to DLB without microbleeds, those with microbleeds had higher systolic BP (156 ± 26 v. 135 ± 19 mmHg; $p = 0.03$), but did not have greater levels of vascular disease or amyloid deposition (SUVR 1.25 ± 0.24 v. 1.25 ± 0.22 ; $p = 0.33$). There was evidence of less severe dementia in DLB participants with microbleeds, but these differences may have been driven by a shorter disease duration in those with microbleeds.

Conclusion The presence of microbleeds in DLB is associated with higher blood pressure, but not with other measures of vascular disease or amyloid deposition. The relationship between microbleeds and clinical presentation remains unclear.

Keywords Dementia with lewy bodies · Microbleeds · Imaging · Amyloid · Vascular

Introduction

Dementia with Lewy bodies is characterised by alpha-synuclein (α Syn) deposition in brainstem, limbic and cortical areas [1]. This is often accompanied by other pathologies

such as amyloid [2], tau [3] and vascular pathology [4]. Certain types of vascular pathology, such as microinfarcts, atherosclerosis and cerebral amyloid angiopathy, are more common in synucleinopathy than in healthy controls [5]. In addition, white matter changes are more widespread in DLB than controls both on MRI and postmortem [6, 7], though these changes do not necessarily reflect vascular disease [8]. Infarcts on MRI and larger infarcts on postmortem do not appear to be more common in DLB than controls [5, 6]. The presence of vascular disease may have an additive effect on cognitive impairment in neurodegenerative diseases, as vascular disease has been shown to be an independent predictor of dementia in both Alzheimer's disease and synucleinopathy [5].

Cerebral microbleeds are small foci of chronic blood products in normal or near normal brain tissue [9]. They appear as small hypointense areas on T2*-weighted MRI due to the presence of superparamagnetic haemosiderin. Microbleeds can be classed as lobar (cortical or subcortical), deep

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-020-09736-0>) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

✉ Paul C. Donaghy
paul.donaghy@ncl.ac.uk

- ¹ Translational and Clinical Research Institute, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK
- ² Neuroradiology Department, Newcastle Upon Tyne Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK
- ³ Nuclear Medicine Department, Newcastle Upon Tyne Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK
- ⁴ Department of Psychiatry, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

(basal ganglia, thalamus, internal capsule, external capsule, corpus callosum or deep/periventricular white matter) or infratentorial (brainstem or cerebellum) [10]. Lobar microbleeds have also been shown to be associated with cortical amyloid deposition on PET amyloid imaging and the APOE $\epsilon 4$ allele, whereas deep microbleeds are associated with cardiovascular risk factors [11–13].

The effect of microbleeds on cognition in dementia is unclear. Microbleeds are more common in AD than in healthy older people (odds ratio 2.1), but are not associated with differences in global cognition within AD [14]. The actual prevalence of microbleeds is difficult to ascertain as their detection increases with increased MR scanner field strength and the use of more sensitive imaging techniques such as susceptibility weighted imaging [14].

Microbleeds have been reported as being present in 17–45% of cases of DLB [15–17]. Two studies have reported that microbleeds are present in similar proportions of DLB and AD cases [16, 17]. One study reported that microbleeds were associated with a trend towards less reduction in cardiac MIBG binding, suggesting that vascular pathology was associated with less severe Lewy body pathology in DLB [16]. The distribution of microbleeds in DLB has differed between studies, though frontal microbleeds appear to be common. In contrast to findings in AD, a postmortem study in Lewy body dementia found that microbleeds were not more common in cases with AD pathology or cerebral amyloid angiopathy [18]. The clinical relevance of microbleeds in DLB is unclear.

Aims and hypotheses

The aim of this study was to compare the rates of microbleeds in DLB with those in AD and healthy older people, and to compare the clinical and imaging findings of DLB with and without microbleeds. The hypotheses were that:

1. Microbleeds would be more common in DLB than healthy controls, at a rate similar to AD.
2. The presence of microbleeds in DLB would not be associated with amyloid deposition.
3. DLB with microbleeds would be associated with greater dementia severity and more rapid progression over 1 year.

Methods

Participants

Participants with dementia were recruited prospectively between June 2013 and February 2016 from secondary care services in the North of England. All participants

were ≥ 60 years old and had a diagnosis of probable AD or probable DLB confirmed by two clinicians based on contemporaneous diagnostic criteria [19, 20], with an MMSE ≥ 12 . Participants were recruited prior to the publication of the 2017 diagnostic criteria for DLB [1], but all DLB participants met the updated criteria for a diagnosis of probable DLB. Control participants were recruited through a research case register or were partners of participants.

Participants were excluded if they had a major concurrent psychiatric illness; severe physical illness; contraindications to PET-CT imaging or MRI; a history of other significant neurological illness including stroke or previous experimental treatment with an amyloid-targeting agent.

Participants with capacity gave their written informed consent to take part in the study. For those who lacked capacity to participate, their participation in the study was discussed with a consultee in accordance with the Mental Capacity Act. The study received ethical approval from the National Research Ethics Service Committee North East—Newcastle and North Tyneside 2 (13/NE/0064).

Baseline cognitive and clinical assessment

Participants had a clinical and cognitive assessment carried out at baseline and 1 year. The severity of cognitive and functional impairment was assessed using the Addenbrooke's Cognitive Examination-Revised (ACE-R) and the Bristol and Instrumental Activities of Daily Living Scales (BADL, IADL). IADL and BADL scores were combined to make a composite function z-score where positive scores indicated greater impairment. The severity of parkinsonism and cognitive fluctuations were measured using the Revised Unified Parkinson's disease Rating Scale Motor Sub-scale (UPDRS) and Clinician assessment of Fluctuation (CAF), respectively. Blood pressure was assessed with the participant lying at rest and then after standing for 2 min. Comorbidities including vascular disease were assessed using the Cumulative Illness Rating Scale for Geriatrics (CIRS-G) [21].

Imaging

Imaging was performed at baseline. Details of the MRI and PET acquisition and analysis have been published elsewhere [22] and will briefly be summarised here.

Brain MRI scans were acquired using a 3T MR scanner (Achieva scanner; Philips Medical Systems), with body coil transmission and eight channel head coil receiver. Images acquired included a 3D sagittal magnetisation-prepared rapid gradient echo (MPRAGE) sequence (repetition time 8.3 ms,

echo time 4.6 ms, flip angle 8°, inversion delay 1250 ms, imaging time 4.5 mins, sagittal acquisition matrix 216×240, voxel size 1×1×1 mm) and T2*-weighted sequence (TR 1487 ms, TE 16.11 ms, flip angle 18°, 50 slices with 3 mm thickness (0 mm gap), voxel size 0.898×1.12 mm).

Amyloid imaging was carried out using a Siemens Biograph-40 PET-CT scanner with data acquired in list mode. Participants were given a 370 MBq intravenous injection of ¹⁸F-florbetapir (Amyvid), followed immediately by a 5 min scan for perfusion images, with a subsequent 15 min scan starting 30–50 min after injection to image amyloid distribution. Images were reconstructed using iterative reconstruction (4 iterations, 16 subsets), with a 168×168 matrix size, 2.04×2.04 mm pixel size, 3 mm slice thickness, and 3 mm post-reconstruction Gaussian filter. Attenuation correction was performed utilising CT scan data.

Image processing

All analysis of MRI and PET images was performed using SPM 8 (www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/software/spm8/). MRI images were segmented into white matter, grey matter and CSF, and total intracranial volume was defined as the sum of these. White matter hyperintensity (WMH) segmentation was carried out using the FLAIR and T1 structural images using a semi-automated threshold-based algorithm employing SPM8 functions (www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm) in an in-house written Matlab package (Matlab R2013a, Mathworks, Inc., Natick, MA, USA) as described previously [23]. The resulting WMH masks were manually edited using ITK-SNAP (www.itksnap.org) to correct for minor errors.

Grey matter volume (GMV) and white matter hyperintensities (WMH) were expressed relative to total intracranial volume. The log of WMH was used to normalise the data.

The amyloid PET image was co-registered with the native space MRI. A mean cortical standardised uptake value ratio (SUVR) was derived from the unweighted mean of frontal, temporal, parietal and cingulate regions relative to the whole cerebellum [24]. The perfusion region of interest SUVR was calculated from the early florbetapir images using a previously described method [22]. Hippocampal volumes were calculated using an automated technique [25].

The T2* images were processed to produce susceptibility weighted images (SWI) according to the method of Haacke using in-house Matlab software [26].

Visual rating

The SWI images were rated by an experienced neuroradiologist (DM) who was blind to diagnosis and all clinical and other imaging measures. The Microbleed Anatomical Rating Scale [10] was used to rate their presence, number and

location for each subject. Microbleeds were classed as definite or possible, and defined as present in a subject if there was any microbleed (definite or possible) in any region.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was completed using IBM SPSS Statistics software (version 22; <https://www-03.ibm.com/software/products/en/spss-statistics>). Demographic comparisons between microbleed groups were carried out using *t* tests or Mann–Whitney tests. χ^2 or Fisher's exact tests were used for categorical variables. The association of the presence of microbleeds with clinical and imaging variables was assessed using linear regression with age included as an independent variable. Associations were measured at baseline and follow-up. For follow-up measures, the dependent variable was rate of change of the measure, and baseline score was also included as an independent variable. Collinearity was excluded by ensuring correlation between independent variables was less than 0.7 and tolerance was greater than 0.1. The data were checked to ensure there were no outlying or influential values (standardised or studentised residual < ± 3, leverage < 0.5, Cook's Distance < 1). The normality of residuals was assessed by visual inspection of P–P plots of standardised residuals. Scatter plots of studentised residuals against predicted values and partial regression plots were inspected to ensure the presence of linear relationships between the dependent and independent variables and homoscedasticity in the overall model. Subjects with missing data were excluded from each analysis. Sample size was calculated to investigate amyloid imaging in DLB, AD and controls as previously reported [22].

Results

A total of 87 participants agreed to enter the study, of which 72 met the eligibility criteria and underwent a baseline assessment. Two DLB cases were later excluded due to non-DLB pathological diagnosis; five DLB cases were confirmed by postmortem diagnosis. Two participants were unable to tolerate MRI. A total of 68 participants were included in this analysis (Table 1). DLB participants had significantly lower blood pressure than control participants. Both AD and DLB participants displayed predominantly lobar microbleeds.

DLB participants with microbleeds tended towards being older and having a shorter disease duration than those without microbleeds and had higher resting systolic blood pressure (Table 2). There were no differences in smoking history, gender, vascular disease history, postural blood pressure change, years in education or rates of APOE-ε4 allele.

DLB participants with microbleeds had greater parietal perfusion (Table 3). There were no differences between the

Table 1 Demographics and microbleed results in DLB, AD and controls

Diagnosis	Control	AD	DLB	Statistic	<i>p</i>
<i>n</i>	20	18	30	–	–
Age	75.9 (7.3)	75.8 (7.1)	76.2 (7.0)	$F < 0.1$	0.98
Sex [<i>n</i> , (%) female]	4 (20%)	2 (11%)	7 (23%)	$\chi^2 = 1.1$	0.58
Smoking pack years	12.9 (21.5)	7.4 (15.2)	11.3 (20.8)	$H = 0.2$	0.88
Duration of dementia (months)	–	24.1 (21.8)	20.1 (19.4)	$U = 242$	0.54
On antiplatelet or warfarin [<i>n</i> (%)]	10 (50%)	3 (17%)	14 (47%)	$\chi^2 = 5.5$	0.06
On antihypertensive [<i>n</i> (%)]	12 (60%)	11 (61%)	14 (47%)	$\chi^2 = 1.3$	0.52
BP Lying systolic (mmHg)	160.5 (26.6)	141.2 (16.0)	143.4 (24.1)	$H = 9.0$	0.01^b
BP Lying diastolic (mmHg)	80.2 (13.3)	73.9 (7.0)	73.2 (10.2)	$H = 4.9$	0.09
Definite MB lobar	0.1 (0.4)	1.3 (3.7)	0.3 (0.8)	$H = 7.1$	0.03^a
Possible MB lobar	0.2 (0.5)	0.4 (1.0)	0.1 (0.3)	$H = 1.5$	0.47
Definite MB deep	0 (0)	0.1 (0.2)	0.0 (0.2)	$H = 1.0$	0.60
Possible MB deep	0 (0)	0 (0)	0.2 (1.1)	$H = 1.3$	0.53
Definite MB cerebellum	0 (0)	0.1 (0.2)	0.0 (0.2)	$H = 1.0$	0.60
Possible MB cerebellum	0 (0)	0 (0)	0.1 (0.3)	$H = 2.6$	0.28
Total MB	0.3 (0.9)	1.8 (4.6)	0.7 (1.3)	$H = 6.1$	0.05^a
Any lobar MB [<i>n</i> (%)]	3 (15%)	9 (50%)	7 (23%)	$\chi^2 = 6.3$	0.04
Any deep MB [<i>n</i> (%)]	0 (0%)	1 (6%)	2 (7%)	$\chi^2 = 1.3$	0.51
Any cerebellar MB [<i>n</i> (%)]	0 (0%)	1 (6%)	3 (10%)	$\chi^2 = 2.2$	0.34
Any MB (<i>n</i> (%))	3 (15%)	9 (50%)	12 (40%)	$\chi^2 = 5.6$	0.06

Mean (SD) or *n* (%) where specified

Bold denotes $p < 0.05$. Bonferroni correction for post hoc comparisons

MB microbleed

^aSignificant control v AD

^bSignificant control v DLB

groups in grey matter volume, amyloid SUVR or white matter hyperintensities (Table 3). Similarly, those with specifically lobar microbleeds did not have a significantly higher amyloid SUVR (SUVR = 1.23 v. 1.25; Beta = − 0.12, $p = 0.48$).

DLB participants with microbleeds had better daily function scores and less severe parkinsonism (Table 4). When the duration of dementia was included as a covariate, the relationship with baseline function remained significant, whereas the relationships with baseline UPDRS ($p = 0.08$) and parietal perfusion ($p = 0.07$) were no longer significant.

23/30 DLB participants completed the 1-year follow-up. Of those that did not undertake follow-up assessment, four had died, one had a severe stroke and two withdrew from the study. Those that did not complete follow-up tended towards being older and having more severe cognitive impairment. Four had microbleeds and three did not. One participant was unable to complete the ACE and another was unable to complete the UPDRS at follow-up. Participants with microbleeds had significantly less progression in parkinsonism measured by the UPDRS, though there was little change in the score in either group (Supplementary Table 1).

Assumption checking for linear regression

There was a single significant outlier in baseline function and parietal perfusion analyses, but in both cases removing this subject had no effect on the result.

Discussion

The aim of this study was to compare the rates of microbleeds in DLB with those in AD and healthy older people, and to compare the clinical and imaging findings of DLB with and without microbleeds. The prevalence of microbleeds in DLB was intermediate between controls and Alzheimer's disease and was not statistically significantly different to either group. The lobar pattern of microbleeds in AD and DLB was in keeping with previous reports [15, 17, 27, 28], though one study has reported more deep microbleeds in DLB [16].

This is the first study to report both amyloid imaging and microbleeds in DLB and there was no evidence of increased amyloid deposition in the DLB participants with microbleeds. Our findings are in keeping with those from a small postmortem DLB cohort, which reported no increase in microbleeds in brains of people with DLB and concomitant

Table 2 DLB microbleed present and absent group demographics

	Microbleeds absent	Microbleeds present	Statistic	<i>p</i>
<i>n</i>	18	12	–	–
Age (years)	74.4 (8.1)	78.8 (3.9)	<i>t</i> = – 2.0	0.06
Sex [<i>n</i> (%) female]	4 (22)	3 (25)	FET	1
Duration of dementia (months)	25.8 (22.6)	10.4 (4.6)	<i>U</i> = 62	0.053
Smoking pack years	14.3 (24.7)	6.3 (11.2)	<i>U</i> = 87	0.61
Years in education	10.8 (2.2)	11.8 (5.1)	<i>U</i> = 83	0.31
APOE-ε4 allele present	11 (61)	6 (55)	FET	1
CIRS-G heart score	0.5 (1.0)	0.4 (0.8)	<i>U</i> = 108	1
CIRS-G vascular score	1.4 (1.1)	1.2 (1.0)	<i>U</i> = 96	0.60
CIRS-G total	11.2 (4.3)	11.8 (3.6)	<i>U</i> = 90	0.44
On antiplatelet or warfarin [<i>n</i> (%)]	8 (44)	6 (50)	$\chi^2 = 0.1$	0.77
On antihypertensive [<i>n</i> (%)]	9 (50)	5 (42)	$\chi^2 = 0.2$	0.65
On lipid medication [<i>n</i> (%)]	11 (61)	6 (50)	$\chi^2 = 0.36$	0.55
On cholinesterase inhibitor [<i>n</i> (%)]	16 (89)	11 (92)	FET	1
BP Lying Systolic (mmHg)	135.2 (19.0)	155.6 (26.4)	<i>U</i> = 55	0.03
BP Lying Diastolic (mmHg)	72.2 (10.5)	74.6 (10.1)	<i>t</i> = – 0.6	0.54
Postural systolic BP change (mmHg)	– 12.9 (16.8)	– 11.4 (32.9)	<i>t</i> = – 0.1	0.89
Postural diastolic BP change (mmHg)	– 0.5 (10.0)	– 1.4 (8.7)	<i>t</i> = 0.2	0.81

Mean (SD) or *n* (%) where specified

Significant findings in bold

CIRS-G Cumulative Illness Rating Scale-Geriatric, FET Fisher's exact test

Table 3 Imaging measures in DLB microbleed present and absent groups

	Microbleeds absent	Microbleeds present	Beta (95% CI)	<i>p</i>
<i>n</i>	18	12	–	–
Amyloid SUVR	1.25 (0.22)	1.25 (0.24)	– 0.17 (– 0.51 to 0.18)	0.33
Grey matter volume (% of ICV)	37.5 (3.2)	36.6 (2.1)	0.03 (– 0.23 to 0.27)	0.88
Hippocampal volume (% of ICV)	0.15 (0.04)	0.15 (0.02)	0.17 (– 0.11 to 0.46)	0.22
Log WMH	– 5.1 (1.2)	– 4.7 (0.6)	0.07 (– 0.28 to 0.42)	0.68
Parietal perfusion SUVR	0.83 (0.10)	0.93 (0.11)	0.42 (0.04–0.79)	0.03
Occipital perfusion SUVR	0.97 (0.07)	1.02 (0.06)	0.35 (– 0.05 to 0.74)	0.08
MTL perfusion SUVR	0.78 (0.10)	0.78 (0.07)	0.19 (– 0.15 to 0.52)	0.27

General linear model with age as a covariate. Microbleed present *n* = 12 for grey matter and hippocampal volume, otherwise *n* = 11

Significant findings in bold

ICV intracranial volume, SUVR standardised uptake value ratio, WMH white matter hyperintensities, MTL medial temporal lobe

Table 4 Baseline cognitive and clinical measures in DLB microbleed present and absent groups

	Microbleeds absent	Microbleeds present	Beta (95% CI)	<i>p</i>
<i>n</i>	18	12	–	–
ACE total	60.1 (15.3)	65.3 (18.8)	0.33 (– 0.03 to 0.68)	0.07
Function z-score	0.19 (1.10)	– 0.35 (0.76)	– 0.45 (– 0.78 to – 0.11)	0.01
CAF	7.6 (4.3)	4.8 (4.2)	– 0.22 (– 0.60 to 0.16)	0.24
MDS-UPDRS	47.9 (17.5)	33.8 (18.0)	– 0.40 (– 0.79 to – 0.02)	0.04

Mean (SD) or percentage where specified. General linear model with age as a covariate

Significant findings in bold

ACE Addenbrooke's Cognitive Examination, CAF Clinician Assessment of Fluctuation, MDS-UPDRS revised Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale motor sub-scale

Alzheimer's disease or cerebral amyloid angiopathy [18]. However, these findings contrast with the established link between amyloid deposition and microbleeds in healthy older people [12, 13]. This association may have a significant interaction with age—with amyloid deposition being a greater risk factor for microbleed formation in younger ages [13]. The amyloid positive group in our study ($SUVR > 1.11$) had a mean age of 78.4 years, which may have been a factor in the absence of any association between amyloid SUVR and microbleeds.

This study found higher blood pressure in the group with microbleeds. This remained significant when age and disease duration were included as covariates (data not shown). Hypertension has been reported as a risk factor for deep, but not lobar, microbleeds in the Rotterdam Study [11]. However, the Mayo Study of Aging reported hypertension to be associated with lobar microbleeds, in keeping with our findings [13].

In this study, microbleeds in DLB were predominantly lobar. It is unclear if the mechanisms for the development of lobar microbleeds are different in healthy older people and those with DLB. This is plausible, as people with DLB have widespread cortical neurodegeneration, which could predispose to the development of microbleeds. De Reuck and colleagues [18] have suggested that microbleeds may occur in regions of increased angiogenesis related to pathology such as Lewy body disease. CSF markers of angiogenesis are known to be raised in Parkinson's disease dementia [29], which is pathologically indistinguishable from DLB. It is possible that cortical vascular changes in DLB may make cortical vessels more susceptible to microbleeds. In such conditions, higher systolic blood pressure may be associated with a higher risk of microbleeds. Further pathological and imaging studies are required to test this hypothesis.

DLB participants with microbleeds had less functional impairment, less severe parkinsonism and higher parietal perfusion compared to those without microbleeds. However, the strength of these associations was attenuated when disease duration was included as a covariate and only the association with functional impairment remained significant. DLB participants with microbleeds had less progression in parkinsonism over 1 year. However, there was little difference between the two groups and the significance seems driven by differences in baseline score. It is difficult to draw conclusions from this clinical data due to the differences in disease duration; therefore, we think these findings should be interpreted with caution. One possible explanation is that vascular pathology has additive effects to Lewy body pathology in DLB. An additive effect of vascular disease and amyloid pathology on cognitive decline in healthy elderly people has been reported [30]. In addition, vascular pathology is an independent predictor of dementia in AD and synucleinopathy [5]. As such, vascular disease may result in the development of dementia in the presence of less advanced Lewy body

pathology than would otherwise be expected in DLB. In such circumstances, Lewy body symptoms such as parkinsonism may also be less advanced. In the absence of an α -synuclein imaging biomarker, this hypothesis is difficult to test in vivo.

Strengths and limitations

This study represents the most thorough assessment to date of the relationship of microbleeds with clinical and imaging measures in DLB. It is also the only study to report cortical amyloid SUVR in conjunction with cerebral microbleeds in DLB, providing evidence against the hypothesis that microbleeds in DLB are associated with amyloid pathology. Participants were recruited from a range of clinical services and have previously been demonstrated to have patterns of cognitive impairment and rates of amyloid deposition in keeping with the literature. These findings are therefore generalisable to clinical populations. Confirmatory diagnostic biomarkers were not assessed as part of this study, but were used to aid diagnosis where available.

The prevalence of microbleeds in AD was higher than in a recent meta-analysis. This most likely reflects the greater sensitivity of 3T susceptibility-weighted MRI used in this study, compared with lower field strengths in previous studies [14]. The number of microbleeds present in DLB cases was low, and unlikely to be sufficient to be the direct cause of clinical differences, but ample evidence shows that the number of microbleeds reported on MRI, even at 3T, underestimates the actual numbers present [31]. We compared DLB with possible or definite microbleeds to those with no microbleeds, as defined by Microbleeds Anatomical Rating Scale. We therefore cannot exclude the possibility that some of these microbleeds may have been mimics, e.g. calcification or iron deposition.

Due to the relatively low number of microbleeds it was not possible to compare amyloid deposition and microbleeds in different cortical regions. However, amyloid deposition in all regions correlated closely with the mean cortical SUVR ($r > 0.90$ in all areas).

The control group in this study had higher BP than AD or DLB. The reasons for this are unclear, though it may reflect more intensive medical management of people with dementia than healthy older people. Despite this, no healthy control had deep microbleeds, for which hypertension is a risk factor.

This was an exploratory analysis and therefore statistical correction for multiple comparisons across the manuscript was not made. The results require validation in other cohorts.

Conclusions

Microbleeds in DLB are associated with higher blood pressure, but are not associated with other measures of vascular disease or amyloid deposition. The clinical significance of microbleeds in DLB remains uncertain.

Acknowledgements This research was supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Newcastle Biomedical Research Centre. Avid Radiopharmaceuticals, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Eli Lilly and Company, enabled use of the ^{18}F -florbetapir by providing tracer and funding scanner time, but was not involved in data analysis or interpretation. JO'B is supported by the NIHR Cambridge Biomedical Research Centre and the Cambridge Centre for Parkinsons Plus Disorders. There are no other financial disclosures or conflicts of interest relating to this article. The authors would like to thank the staff of the NIHR Clinical Research Network North East and Cumbria for their invaluable support with participant recruitment for this study.

Author contribution PCD: 1 A,B,C; 2 A, B, C; 3A. MF: 1 A,B; 2 A,C; 3B. DM: 1 C; 2 C; 3 B. JL: 1 A; 2 C; 3 B. GP: 1 A; 2 C; 3 B. NB: 1 B,C; 3 B. KO: 1 B,C; 3 B. AJT: 1 A,B; 2 C; 3 B. JTO'B: 1 A,B; 2 A,C; 3B. Key: 1. Research project: A. conception, B. organisation, C. execution. 2. Statistical analysis: A. design, B. execution, C. review and critique. 3. Manuscript preparation: A. writing of the first draft, B. review and critique.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflicts of interest Dr. Donaghy reports grants from Alzheimer's Research UK and Alzheimer's Society. Dr. Firbank has nothing to disclose. Dr. Mitra reports a grant from the National Institute of Health Research. Dr. Lloyd has nothing to disclose. Dr. Petrides reports honoraria for educational presentations from GE Healthcare. Ms Barnett has nothing to disclose. Ms Olsen has nothing to disclose. Prof. Thomas reports grants from Alzheimer's Research UK, Alzheimer's Society, Economic and Social Research Council, Medical Research Council, National Institute of Health Research, Lewy Body Society and GE Healthcare. Prof. O'Brien reports grants from Alzheimer's Research UK, Alzheimer's Society, Medical Research Council and National Institute of Health Research; personal fees from TauRx, Eisai and Axon; honoraria from the British Association of Psychopharmacology; Royalties from Taylor and Francis.

Ethical approval This study received ethical approval from the National Research Ethics Service Committee North East—Newcastle and North Tyneside 2 (13/NE/0064) and was carried out in accordance with the ethical standards laid down in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments.

Informed consent Participants with capacity gave their written informed consent to take part in the study. For those who lacked capacity, their participation in the study was discussed with a consultee in accordance with the Mental Capacity Act (UK).

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in

the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

1. McKeith IG, Boeve BF, Dickson DW, Halliday G, Taylor JP, Weintraub D, Aarsland D, Galvin J, Attems J, Ballard CG, Bayston A, Beach TG, Blanc F, Bohnen N, Bonanni L, Bras J, Brundin P, Burn D, Chen-Plotkin A, Duda JE, El-Agnaf O, Feldman H, Ferman TJ, Ffytche D, Fujishiro H, Galasko D, Goldman JG, Gomperts SN, Graff-Radford NR, Honig LS, Iranzo A, Kantarci K, Kaufer D, Kukull W, Lee VMY, Leverenz JB, Lewis S, Lippa C, Lunde A, Masellis M, Masliah E, McLean P, Mollenhauer B, Montine TJ, Moreno E, Mori E, Murray M, O'Brien JT, Orimo S, Postuma RB, Ramaswamy S, Ross OA, Salmon DP, Singleton A, Taylor A, Thomas A, Tiraboschi P, Toledo JB, Trojanowski JQ, Tsuang D, Walker Z, Yamada M, Kosaka K (2017) Diagnosis and management of dementia with Lewy bodies: Fourth consensus report of the DLB Consortium. *Neurology* 89(1):88–100. <https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0000000000004058>
2. Ossenkoppele R, Jansen WJ, Rabinovici GD, Knol DL, van der Flier WM, van Berckel BN, Scheltens P, Visser PJ, Amyloid PET Study Group, Verfaillie SC, Zwan MD, Adriaanse SM, Lammermsma AA, Barkhof F, Jagust WJ, Miller BL, Rosen HJ, Landau SM, Villemagne VL, Rowe CC, Lee DY, Na DL, Seo SW, Sarazin M, Roe CM, Sabri O, Barthel H, Koglin N, Hodges J, Leyton CE, Vandenberghe R, van Laere K, Drzezga A, Forster S, Grimmer T, Sanchez-Juan P, Carril JM, Mok V, Camus V, Klunk WE, Cohen AD, Meyer PT, Hellwig S, Newberg A, Frederiksen KS, Fleisher AS, Mintun MA, Wolk DA, Nordberg A, Rinne JO, Chetelat G, Lleo A, Blesa R, Fortea J, Madsen K, Rodrigue KM, Brooks DJ (2015) Prevalence of amyloid PET positivity in dementia syndromes: a meta-analysis. *JAMA* 313(19):1939–1949. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2015.4669>
3. Ferman TJ, Aoki N, Crook JE, Murray ME, Graff-Radford NR, van Gerpen JA, Uitti RJ, Wszolek ZK, Graff-Radford J, Pedraza O, Kantarci K, Boeve BF, Dickson DW (2018) The limbic and neocortical contribution of alpha-synuclein, tau, and amyloid beta to disease duration in dementia with Lewy bodies. *Alzheimer Dement* 14(3):330–339. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2017.09.014>
4. Jellinger KA (2010) Prevalence and impact of cerebrovascular lesions in Alzheimer and Lewy body diseases. *Neuro Degener Dis* 7(1–3):112–115. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000285518>
5. Toledo JB, Arnold SE, Raible K, Brettschneider J, Xie SX, Grossman M, Monsell SE, Kukull WA, Trojanowski JQ (2013) Contribution of cerebrovascular disease in autopsy confirmed neurodegenerative disease cases in the National Alzheimer's Coordinating Centre. *Brain* 136(Pt 9):2697–2706. <https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awt188>
6. Sarro L, Tosakulwong N, Schwarz CG, Graff-Radford J, Przybelski SA, Lesnick TG, Zuk SM, Reid RI, Raman MR, Boeve BF, Ferman TJ, Knopman DS, Comi G, Filippi M, Murray ME, Parisi JE, Dickson DW, Petersen RC, Jack CR Jr, Kantarci K (2017) An investigation of cerebrovascular lesions in dementia with Lewy bodies compared to Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimer Dement* 13(3):257–266. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2016.07.003>
7. Ihara M, Polvikoski TM, Hall R, Slade JY, Perry RH, Oakley AE, Englund E, O'Brien JT, Ince PG, Kalaria RN (2010) Quantification of myelin loss in frontal lobe white matter in vascular dementia, Alzheimer's disease, and dementia with Lewy bodies. *Acta Neuropathol* 119(5):579–589

8. McAleese KE, Firbank M, Dey M, Colloby SJ, Walker L, Johnson M, Beverley JR, Taylor JP, Thomas AJ, O'Brien JT, Attems J (2015) Cortical tau load is associated with white matter hyperintensities. *Acta Neuropathol Commun* 3:60. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40478-015-0240-0>
9. Greenberg SM, Vernooij MW, Cordonnier C, Viswanathan A, Al-Shahi Salman R, Warach S, Launer LJ, Van Buchem MA, Breteler MM, Microbleed Study G (2009) Cerebral microbleeds: a guide to detection and interpretation. *Lancet Neurol* 8(2):165–174. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422\(09\)70013-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(09)70013-4)
10. Gregoire SM, Chaudhary UJ, Brown MM, Yousry TA, Kallis C, Jager HR, Werring DJ (2009) The microbleed anatomical rating scale (mars): reliability of a tool to map brain microbleeds. *Neurology* 73(21):1759–1766. <https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0b013e3181c34a7d>
11. Vernooij MW, van der Lugt A, Ikram MA, Wielopolski PA, Nissen WJ, Hofman A, Krestin GP, Breteler MM (2008) Prevalence and risk factors of cerebral microbleeds: the Rotterdam Scan Study. *Neurology* 70(14):1208–1214. <https://doi.org/10.1212/01.wnl.0000307750.41970.d9>
12. Yates PA, Sirisriro R, Villemagne VL, Farquharson S, Masters CL, Rowe CC (2011) Cerebral microhemorrhage and brain beta-amyloid in aging and Alzheimer disease. *Neurology* 77(1):48–54. <https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0b013e318221ad36>
13. Graff-Radford J, Botha H, Rabinstein AA, Gunter JL, Przybeliski SA, Lesnick T, Huston J 3rd, Flemming KD, Preboske GM, Senjem ML, Brown RD Jr, Mielke MM, Roberts RO, Lowe VJ, Knopman DS, Petersen RC, Kremers W, Vemuri P, Jack CR Jr, Kantarci K (2019) Cerebral microbleeds: Prevalence and relationship to amyloid burden. *Neurology* 92(3):e253–e262. <https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0000000000006780>
14. Sepehry AA, Lang D, Hsiung GY, Rauscher A (2016) Prevalence of brain microbleeds in Alzheimer disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis on the influence of neuroimaging techniques. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 37(2):215–222. <https://doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A4525>
15. Uetani H, Hirai T, Hashimoto M, Ikeda M, Kitajima M, Sakamoto F, Utsunomiya D, Oda S, Sugiyama S, Matsubara J, Yamashita Y (2013) Prevalence and topography of small hypointense foci suggesting microbleeds on 3T susceptibility-weighted imaging in various types of dementia. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 34(5):984–989. <https://doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A3332>
16. Fukui T, Oowan Y, Yamazaki T, Kinno R (2013) Prevalence and clinical implication of microbleeds in dementia with Lewy bodies in comparison with microbleeds in Alzheimer's disease. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Dis Extra* 3(1):148–160. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000351423>
17. Gungor I, Sarro L, Graff-Radford J, Zuk SM, Tosakulwong N, Przybeliski SA, Lesnick T, Boeve BF, Ferman TJ, Smith GE, Knopman DS, Filippi M, Petersen RC, Jack CR Jr, Kantarci K (2015) Frequency and topography of cerebral microbleeds in dementia with Lewy bodies compared to Alzheimer's disease. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord* 21(9):1101–1104. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parkreldis.2015.07.008>
18. De Reuck JL, Auger F, Durieux N, Cordonnier C, Deramecourt V, Lebert F, Leys D, Pasquier F, Mauraige CA, Bordet R (2015) Detection of cortical microbleeds in postmortem brains of patients with Lewy body dementia: a 7.0-Tesla magnetic resonance imaging study with neuropathological correlates. *Eur Neurol* 74(3–4):158–161. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000441057>
19. McKeith IG, Dickson DW, Lowe J, Emre M, O'Brien JT, Feldman H, Cummings J, Duda JE, Lippa C, Perry EK, Aarsland D, Arai H, Ballard CG, Boeve B, Burn DJ, Costa D, Del Ser T, Dubois B, Galasko D, Gauthier S, Goetz CG, Gomez-Tortosa E, Halliday G, Hansen LA, Hardy J, Iwatsubo T, Kalaria RN, Kaufer D, Kenny RA, Korczyn A, Kosaka K, Lee VM, Lees A, Litvan I, Londo E, Lopez OL, Minoshima S, Mizuno Y, Molina JA, Mukaetova-Ladinska EB, Pasquier F, Perry RH, Schulz JB, Trojanowski JQ, Yamada M (2005) Diagnosis and management of dementia with Lewy bodies: third report of the DLB Consortium. *Neurology* 65(12):1863–1872. <https://doi.org/10.1212/01.wnl.0000187889.17253.b1>
20. McKhann GM, Knopman DS, Chertkow H, Hyman BT, Jack CR Jr, Kawas CH, Klunk WE, Koroshetz WJ, Manly JJ, Mayeux R, Mohs RC, Morris JC, Rossor MN, Scheltens P, Carrillo MC, Thies B, Weintraub S, Phelps CH (2011) The diagnosis of dementia due to Alzheimer's disease: recommendations from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimer Dement* 7(3):263–269. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2011.03.005>
21. Miller MD, Paradis CF, Houck PR, Mazumdar S, Stack JA, Rifai AH, Mulsant B, Reynolds CF (1992) Rating chronic medical illness burden in geropsychiatric practice and research: application of the Cumulative Illness Rating Scale. *Psychiatry Res* 41(3):237–248
22. Donaghy PC, Firbank MJ, Thomas AJ, Lloyd J, Petrides G, Barnett N, Olsen K, O'Brien JT (2018) Clinical and imaging correlates of amyloid deposition in dementia with Lewy bodies. *Mov Disord* 33(7):1130–1138. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.27403>
23. Firbank MJ, Minett T, O'Brien JT (2003) Changes in DWI and MRS associated with white matter hyperintensities in elderly subjects. *Neurology* 61(7):950–954
24. Landau S, Jagust W (2014) Florbetapir processing methods. *adni.bitbucket.org* Accessed 13 Jan 2015.
25. Firbank MJ, Barber R, Burton EJ, O'Brien JT (2008) Validation of a fully automated hippocampal segmentation method on patients with dementia. *Hum Brain Mapp* 29(12):1442–1449. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.20480>
26. Haacke EM, Xu Y, Cheng YC, Reichenbach JR (2004) Susceptibility weighted imaging (SWI). *Magn Reson Med* 52(3):612–618. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.20198>
27. Kim SW, Chung SJ, Oh YS, Yoon JH, Sunwoo MK, Hong JY, Kim JS, Lee PH (2015) Cerebral Microbleeds in Patients with Dementia with Lewy Bodies and Parkinson Disease Dementia. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 36(9):1642–1647. <https://doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A4337>
28. Sepehry AA, Rauscher A, Hsiung GY, Lang DJ (2016) Microbleeds in Alzheimer's disease: a neuropsychological overview and meta-analysis. *Can J Neurol Sci* 43(6):753–759. <https://doi.org/10.1017/cjn.2016.296>
29. Janelidze S, Lindqvist D, Francardo V, Hall S, Zetterberg H, Blennow K, Adler CH, Beach TG, Serrano GE, van Westen D, Londo E, Cenci MA, Hansson O (2015) Increased CSF biomarkers of angiogenesis in Parkinson disease. *Neurology* 85(21):1834–1842. <https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0000000000002151>
30. Vemuri P, Lesnick TG, Przybeliski SA, Knopman DS, Preboske GM, Kantarci K, Raman MR, Machulda MM, Mielke MM, Lowe VJ, Senjem ML, Gunter JL, Rocca WA, Roberts RO, Petersen RC, Jack CR Jr (2015) Vascular and amyloid pathologies are independent predictors of cognitive decline in normal elderly. *Brain* 138(Pt 3):761–771. <https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awu393>
31. Haller S, Vernooij MW, Kuijper JPA, Larsson EM, Jager HR, Barkhof F (2018) Cerebral microbleeds: imaging and clinical significance. *Radiology* 287(1):11–28. <https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2018170803>