

LJMU Research Online

Ewalts, M, Dawkins, T, Boulet, LM, Thijssen, DHJ and Stembridge, M

The influence of increased venous return on right ventricular dyssynchrony during acute and sustained hypoxaemia.

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/14365/

Article

Citation (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Ewalts, M, Dawkins, T, Boulet, LM, Thijssen, DHJ and Stembridge, M (2021) The influence of increased venous return on right ventricular dyssynchrony during acute and sustained hypoxaemia. Experimental Physiology. ISSN 1469-445X

LJMU has developed LJMU Research Online for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/

RESEARCH PAPER



The influence of increased venous return on right ventricular dyssynchrony during acute and sustained hypoxaemia

Michiel Ewalts^{1,2} | Tony Dawkins¹ | Lindsey M Boulet³ | Dick Thijssen² Mike Stembridge¹ 💿

Accepted: 19 December 2020

¹ Cardiff School of Sport and Health Sciences, Cardiff Metropolitan University, Cardiff, UK

² Department of Physiology, Radboudumc, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

³ Centre for Heart Lung and Vascular Health, University of British Columbia, Kelowna, British Columbia, Canada

Correspondence

Mike Stembridge, Cardiff Metropolitan University, Cyncoed Road, Cardiff CF236XD, UK. Email: mstembridge@cardiffmet.ac.uk

Funding information M.E. was a recipient of an Erasmus Plus Grant.

Edited by: Damian Bailey

Abstract

Regional heterogeneity in timing of right ventricular (RV) contraction (RV dyssynchrony; RVD) occurs when pulmonary artery systolic pressure (PASP) is increased during acute hypoxia. Interestingly, RVD is not observed during exercise, a stimulus that increases both PASP and venous return. Therefore, we hypothesised that RVD in healthy humans is sensitive to changes in venous return, and examined whether (i) increasing venous return in acute hypoxia lowers RVD and (ii) if RVD is further exaggerated in sustained hypoxia, given increased PASP is accompanied by decreased ventricular filling at high altitude. RVD, PASP and right ventricular end-diastolic area (RVEDA) were assessed using transthoracic two-dimensional and speckle-tracking echocardiography during acute normobaric hypoxia ($F_{iO_2} = 0.12$) and sustained exposure (5-10 days) to hypobaric hypoxia (3800 m). Venous return was augmented with lower body positive pressure at sea level (LBPP; +10 mmHg) and saline infusion at high altitude. PASP was increased in acute hypoxia (20 ± 6 vs. 28 ± 7 , P < 0.001) concomitant to an increase in RVD (18 \pm 7 vs. 38 \pm 10, P < 0.001); however, the addition of LBPP during hypoxia decreased RVD (38 ± 0 vs. 26 ± 10 , P < 0.001). Sustained hypoxia increased PASP (20 ± 4 vs. 26 ± 5 , P = 0.008) and decreased RVEDA $(24 \pm 4 \text{ vs. } 21 \pm 2, P = 0.042)$, with RVD augmented $(14 \pm 5 \text{ vs. } 31 \pm 12, P = 0.001)$. Saline infusion increased RVEDA (21 \pm 2 vs. 23 \pm 3, P = 0.008) and reduced RVD $(31 \pm 12 \text{ vs. } 20 \pm 9, P = 0.001)$. In summary, an increase in PASP secondary to acute and sustained exposure to hypoxia augments RVD, which can be at least partly reduced via increased venous return.

KEYWORDS

cardiac function, dyssynchronised contraction, high altitude, hypoxia, pulmonary hypertension, pulmonary vasoconstriction, right ventricular coupling, volume expansion

1 | INTRODUCTION

The pulmonary circulation is a high-flow, low-pressure circuit designed to optimise gas exchange (Naeije & Chesler, 2012). The pulmonary

circulation receives forward flow from the right ventricle, which has evolved to be a thin-walled flow generator (Naeije & Dedobbeleer, 2013). As such, the right ventricle has a reduced capacity to accommodate for changes in pressure compared to the thick-walled

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2020 The Authors. Experimental Physiology published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of The Physiological Society

left ventricle (La Gerche et al., 2011). Historically, examining right ventricular (RV) function has proved problematic due to the complex RV anatomy (Armour & Randall, 1970; Barnard & Alpert, 1987; Berger et al., 1978; Incalzi et al., 1999; Weyman et al., 1976). Recently, speckle-tracking echocardiography has been used to detect subtle changes in function, whereby the regional heterogeneity in timing of contraction of the different RV segments, called RV dyssynchrony (RVD) (Kalogeropoulos et al., 2008; Pezzuto et al., 2018). RVD appears to show prognostic significance in patients with elevated pulmonary artery pressure (Badagliacca et al., 2015a, b, 2017; Lopez-Candales et al., 2005a, b; Marcus et al., 2008; Murata et al., 2017; Smith et al., 2014), but the haemodynamic factors that influence this marker remain to be determined.

In a study of healthy volunteers, Pezzuto et al. (2018) have shown that RVD occurs during acute hypoxia ($F_{iO_2} = 0.12$), a stimulus known to increase pulmonary artery pressure (Pezzuto et al., 2018). Interestingly however, RVD did not occur during exercise, despite observing a greater increase in pulmonary pressure during exercise than during acute hypoxia (Pezzuto et al., 2018). The absence of RVD during exercise was attributed to systemic factors associated with global hypoxaemia. However, a change in RV geometry and increase in contractility that would result from the augmentation of venous return during exercise (Naeije & Badagliacca, 2017) may better explain the homogeneous contraction, although this is yet to be investigated. Moreover, little is known about whether RVD occurs in healthy individuals when the hypoxic exposure is sustained, such as during sojourn to high altitude. In this setting, as well as the increase in pulmonary pressure, venous return will be simultaneously decreased due to plasma volume constriction (Stembridge et al., 2019), and may exacerbate RVD if venous return does indeed influence the timing of regional contraction in the right ventricle. We therefore sought to examine the independent and combined effects of increased pulmonary artery pressure and venous return on RVD in healthy volunteers by performing two separate studies, (i) in acute and (ii) in sustained hypoxia (5–10 days), both with and without augmented RV venous return. We hypothesised that increased pulmonary artery pressure in hypoxia would increase RVD in both the acute and sustained setting. Furthermore, RVD will be reduced by increasing venous return (iii) in acute and (iv) in sustained hypoxic exposure. This work will provide novel insight into the mechanisms contributing to RVD, thus enhancing its utility as a marker of right heart function.

2 | METHODS

2.1 Ethical approval

Study 1 was approved by the Natural Sciences Board of the Cardiff School of Sport and Health Sciences Research Ethics Committee (CSS REC PGR-860) and study 2 was granted approval by the Clinical Research Ethics Board at the University of British Columbia. Both studies conformed the *Declaration of Helsinki*, except for registration in a database, and all participants provided informed consent prior to participation.

New Findings

- What is the central question of this study? Right ventricular dyssynchrony is a marker of function that is elevated in healthy individuals exposed to acute hypoxia, but does it remain elevated during sustained exposure to high altitude hypoxia, and can it be normalised by augmenting venous return?
- What is the main finding and its importance?
 For the first time it is demonstrated that (i) increasing venous return in acute hypoxia restores the synchrony of right ventricular contraction and (ii) dyssynchrony is evident after acclimatisation to high altitude, and remains sensitive to changes in venous return. Therefore, the interpretation of right ventricular dyssynchrony requires consideration the prevailing haemodynamic state.

2.2 | Study 1: acute normobaric hypoxia

2.2.1 | Study population

Fifteen participants aged 25 ± 4 years (12 male and 3 female) were enrolled. All participants were normotensive, non-smokers with no history of cardiorespiratory disease and did not take any medication for cardiovascular risk factors. Participants were recruited based on their optimal echocardiographic windows, to ensure measurements of tricuspid regurgitant velocity to estimate pulmonary artery pressure.

2.2.2 | Experimental design

Following initial screening, experimental testing was performed during a single visit to the cardiovascular laboratory at Cardiff Metropolitan University. Participants were asked to abstain from strenuous exercise, alcohol and caffeine consumption for 12 h before testing. The experimental design allowed the examination of cardiac response to an increase in pulmonary artery pressure (afterload) and RV venous return (preload) achieved via acute normobaric hypoxia and lower body positive pressure (LBPP), respectively. The experimental design yielded four distinct conditions: (i) normoxia baseline, (ii) normoxia with increased venous return (LBPP), (iii) hypoxia baseline and (iv) hypoxia with increased venous return (LBPP). All conditions consisted of a sphygmomanometer blood pressure (BP) recording followed by an echocardiographic evaluation while simultaneously recording heart rate (HR) and peripheral oxygen saturation (S_{pO_2}). Measurements during LBPP were started after 2 min wash-in at the desired positive pressure and a 30-min hypoxic wash-in period was applied before hypoxic measurements were taken.

2.2.3 | Lower body positive pressure

Participants assumed the supine position with their lower torso and legs in a custom-made box sealed by a flexible neoprene cover around the waist, enclosed at the level of the iliac crest. The pressure in the box was increased to 10 mmHg, based on previous research demonstrating a 5.9 mmHg increase in central venous pressure at this level of LBPP (Norsk et al., 1986). Participants were asked to keep their legs relaxed to prevent any discrepancies in venous return due to leg movement.

2.2.4 | Hypoxic breathing

Hypoxia was administered by a hypoxic generator (PowerBREATHE Altitude Systems, High Performance Pro System, POWERbreathe International Ltd, Southam, UK), producing a fraction of inspired oxygen (F_{iO_2}) of 12%. This degree of hypoxia corresponds to an altitude of 4500 m and has been shown to be well tolerated with minimal changes in arterial P_{CO_2} (Pezzuto et al., 2018).

2.3 Study 2: sustained hypobaric hypoxia

To examine RVD in sustained hypoxia, a retrospective analysis was performed on data collected in the autumn of 2015. Some of the data reported herein have been reported previously (Stembridge et al., 2019); however, a distinct research question is addressed with a novel primary outcome variable (RVD) that has not been previously reported.

2.3.1 Study population

Ten male participants, aged 27 ± 6 years, were recruited from the expedition team who were normotensive, non-smokers with no previous history of cardiovascular or respiratory diseases and were taking no prescription medications. At the time of testing, none of the participants were experiencing any symptoms of acute mountain sickness, as assessed by the Lake Louise questionnaire, when at high altitude (3800 m).

2.3.2 | Experimental design

The data were collected during two experimental visits: one at sea level (Kelowna, British Columbia, Canada; 344 m) and one at high altitude after 5–10 days' acclimatisation (The Barcroft Laboratory, White Mountain, CA, USA; 3800 m). This experimental design allowed the examination of RVD at baseline, under sustained hypoxia with and without plasma volume expansion to increase venous return (Guerin et al., 2015).

2.3.3 | Plasma volume expansion

Saline infusions were performed using an infusion pump following cannulation of a peripheral vein. The volume infused was tailored to each individual in order to restore absolute blood volume to sea level values assessed via carbon monoxide rebreathing, as previously used by the research team (Williams et al., 2016). The average infusion volume required to restore plasma volume was 398 ± 248 ml, as previously described in detail (Stembridge et al., 2019). Infusion duration varied between individuals (20–40 min) and haemoglobin concentration was checked following infusion to ensure sufficient volume had entered the vascular space to normalise plasma volume to sea level.

2.4 Experimental measures: study 1 and study 2

All measurements were recorded continuously, with the exception of echocardiography assessment and manual BP assessment in study 1. Oxygen saturation (S_{pO_2}) was monitored via fingertip pulse oximetry (Choice Mmed, MD300C2, Beijing Choice Electronic Technology Co. Ltd, Beijing, China) and HR via a three-lead ECG (ML132, ADInstruments, Colorado Springs, CO, USA). In study 1, manual sphygmomanometer BP recordings were obtained at the beginning of each condition before the echocardiographic assessment, and in study 2, continuous beat-to-beat measures of arterial BP (finger photoplethysmography; Finapres Medical Systems, Enschede, The Netherlands) were recorded. Inspired and expired O₂ and CO₂ were assessed continuously by breath-by-breath online gas analysis measured in all participants in study 2, but only 14 participants in study 1 due to a technical error (n = 1) (Oxycon Mobile, Carefusion, San Diego, CA, USA).

2.5 Echocardiography

2.5.1 Conventional measurements

Echocardiographic evaluation was performed with a 4.5-MHz phased array transducer using a commercially available ultrasound system (GE, Vivid E9, GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI, USA). Digital greyscale twodimensional (2D) and tissue Doppler cine loops from five consecutive beats were obtained at functional residual capacity, with a brief relaxed end-expiratory breath hold. Cardiac parameters were obtained according to the American Society of Echocardiography guidelines (Lang et al., 2015; Rudski et al., 2010) and were taken by two highly trained cardiac sonographers (M.S. and T.D.). The conventional parameters of right ventricular function were acquired via 2D and Doppler imaging. Peak systolic right ventricle-right atrium pressure gradient was calculated according to the simplified Bernoulli equation (Pressure = $4V^2$, where V is peak systolic velocity of tricuspid regurgitant flow in continuous wave Doppler) (Rudski et al., 2010). Right atrial pressure was estimated by diameter and collapsibility

WILFY 3

of the inferior vena cava, according to Rudski et al. (2010). Systolic pulmonary artery pressure (sPAP) was estimated by adding the peak systolic right ventricle-right atrium pressure gradient to right atrial pressure; mean pulmonary artery pressure (mPAP) was estimated from sPAP using Chemla's formula ($0.61 \times \text{sPAP} + 2 \text{ mmHg}$) (Chemla et al., 2004; Rudski et al., 2010). Pulmonary vascular resistance was calculated according to Abbas's formula [(peak velocity of the tricuspid regurgitant jet/RV outflow tract velocity-time integral) \times 10 + 0.16] (Abbas et al., 2003). RV stroke volume (SV) was calculated from the RV outflow tract diameter (RVOTd) and RV outflow tract velocity-time integral (RVOT VTI) with the formula SV = 0.785 x RVOTd² x RVOT VTI (Zoghbi et al., 2017). RV end-diastolic area (RVEDA) and RV end-systolic area (RVESA) were determined from a modified apical four-chamber view in line with the American Society of Echocardiography guidelines for the assessment of the right heart (Rudski et al., 2010).

2.5.2 | Speckle tracking

Peak strain and time to peak strain from each of the six segments were determined from speckle tracking analysis of greyscale 2D images acquired at the highest frame rate possible (70–90 frames/s). A region of interest (ROI) was traced on the endocardial and epicardial border of the right ventricle. Natural acoustic markers, or speckles within the ROI were tracked over the cardiac cycle. Where data were missing from suboptimal speckle-tracking as indicated by the software algorithm and/or visual inspection, the same variable was then omitted for that participant for the remaining conditions. The sample number reported for each variable can be found in the tables. Longitudinal strain was calculated as the change in length/initial length of RV mvocardium within the ROI. In the longitudinal view, myocardial shortening was represented as negative strain and myocardial lengthening as positive strain. The software automatically divided the RV image into six standard segments and generates individual strain-time waveforms for basal, mid and apical septal wall, and basal, mid and apical free wall segments (EchoPac, Version 112, GE Healthcare). Images were not blinded for analysis as speckle-tracking indices are derived from automated analysis. Longitudinal strain analyses were performed including time to peak strain, defined as the timing of maximal systolic strain. RV longitudinal strain was considered as global longitudinal strain (average strain of all RV segments), and as longitudinal strain of RV mid and basal 2D strain of the free wall (RVFW) and of the interventricular septum (IVS), similar to the study by Pezzuto et al. (2018). Right ventricular dyssynchrony (RVSD4) was calculated by taking the standard deviation of the times to peak-systolic strain for the four mid-basal RV segments, corrected to the R-R interval between two QRS complexes, according to Bazett's formula, and called RVSD4 (Badagliacca et al., 2015a, b, 2017; Kalogeropoulos et al., 2008; Pezzuto et al., 2018). The two apical segments were excluded because of their excessive variability, not only in pulmonary hypertension patients but also in healthy volunteers, as is conventional (Badagliacca 2015a, b, 2017; Pezzuto et al., 2018; Lamia et al., 2017). The time to peak contraction response was determined by combining the basal and

mid segments of the RV free wall and the interventricular septal wall. Our intraobserver coefficients for speckle-tracking echocardiography have previously been reported for the left ventricle (Stembridge et al., 2015) and range from 3.4% to 6.6% for RV areas and 4.7 to 6.0 for RV strain and strain rate. For visual representation, mean segmental strain curves were generated for each condition using cubic spline interpolation, as described previously (Stembridge et al., 2014).

2.6 Statistical analysis

All data of study 1 and 2 were analysed using SPSS version 22 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) and expressed as means \pm SD. Statistical significance was defined as $P \leq 0.05$ and distribution normality was confirmed using repeated Shapiro–Wilk W tests.

2.6.1 | Study 1

The effects of hypoxia and LBPP on RVSD4 were assessed by a twoway repeated measures ANOVA. Student's paired, two-tailed *t*-test or a Wilcoxon signed rank test, when not normally distributed, was used to assess the difference between the measures in the normoxia baseline, normoxia LBPP, hypoxia baseline and hypoxia LBPP. Cohen's *D* was used to assess the differences between time to peak contraction.

2.6.2 | Study 2

Differences in RVSD4 between sea level, high altitude and high altitude combined with plasma volume expansion were analysed using a oneway repeated measures ANOVA. A *post hoc* Tukey test was used to determine the difference in RVSD4 between sea level, high altitude and high altitude combined with plasma volume expansion. Student's paired, two-tailed *t*-test or the Wilcoxon signed rank test when not normally distributed was used to assess the difference between the measures in sea level, high altitude and high altitude combined with plasma volume expansion. Cohen's *D* was used to assess the differences between time to peak contraction.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Study 1: acute hypoxia

3.1.1 | Haemodynamic response

Hypoxia elicited a significant increase in HR and mPAP compared to normoxia, with no change in RVEDA (Figure 1). LBPP in hypoxia further increased mPAP compared to hypoxia baseline, simultaneous to a significant increase in RVEDA (Figure 1). Left ventricular (LV) eccentricity index was increased in hypoxia but unchanged by LBPP (Table 1).




FIGURE 1 Haemodynamic response during normoxia baseline (NBL), normoxia and lower body positive pressure (NLBPP), hypoxia baseline (HBL) and hypoxia and lower body positive pressure (HLBPP). (a) Mean pulmonary artery pressure (mPAP). (b) Right ventricular end diastolic area (RVEDA). (c) Heart rate (HR) in beats per minute (BPM). (d) Right ventricular end systolic area (RVESA). d, Cohen's D. P-value determined by paired t-test

3.1.2 **RVSD4** response

RVSD4 was significantly increased in hypoxia compared to normoxia, but was significantly lower in hypoxia + LBPP compared to hypoxia alone (Figure 2). Time to peak contraction of the RV free wall and interventricular septal wall was significantly longer (i.e. prolonged contraction) during hypoxia and hypoxia + LBPP compared to normoxia. Moreover, the time to peak contraction was significantly

prolonged in the interventricular septal wall during hypoxia + LBPP compared to hypoxia alone, with no change in the RV free wall (Figure 2). This suggests the increase in RV filling was altering septal and not restoring RV free wall function, despite the difference in time to peak contraction between normoxia and hypoxia being larger in the RV free wall (Figure 2). These changes happened independent of changes in RV strain rate, which remained unaltered in response to either LBPP or hypoxia.

TABLE 1 Acute hypoxia - haemodynamic response during normoxia and hypoxia with and without lower body positive pressure

NormoxiaNormox				-					
Heart rate (BPM)15 57 ± 9 57 ± 9 $64 \pm 9'$ $65 \pm 10^{\circ}$ 0.003 0.022 0.670 MAP (mmHg)15 92 ± 8 $96 \pm 7'$ 92 ± 8 $96 \pm 5^{\circ}$ 0.633 0.031 0.949 SBP (mmHg)15 128 ± 11 132 ± 8 128 ± 11 131 ± 9 0.912 0.229 0.784 DBP (mmHg)15 75 ± 7 $79 \pm 7'$ 74 ± 7 $79 \pm 6'$ 0.001 0.592 0.763 $Parco_{1}$ (mmHg)14 100 ± 5 1012 ± 5 $421 \pm 4'$ $425 \pm 5'$ 0.001 0.592 0.763 $Parco_{1}$ (mmHg)14 422 ± 4 418 ± 4 $381 \pm 3'$ $37.7 \pm 3'$ 0.001 0.664 0.991 Parco_{1} (mmHg)15 20 ± 0 2.3 ± 0.2 $2.4 \pm 0.4'$ $2.6 \pm 0.4'$ 0.001 0.064 0.795 specing (mmHg)15 20 ± 0.4 2.3 ± 0.2 $2.4 \pm 0.4'$ $2.6 \pm 0.4'$ 0.001 0.064 0.862 mPAP (mmHg)15 20 ± 0.4 $2.2 \pm 0.2'$ 1.41 ± 0.28 1.41 ± 0.28 0.270 0.010 0.666 RVEDA(cm ²)15 25 ± 6 28 ± 7 25 ± 6 29 ± 8 0.058 0.613 0.791 RVEDA(cm ²)15 52 ± 6 28 ± 7 25 ± 6 29 ± 8 0.058 0.613 0.791 RVEDA(cm ²)15 52 ± 6 28 ± 7 25 ± 6 29 ± 8 0.613 0.791 0.227 <th></th> <th>n</th> <th>Normoxia</th> <th></th> <th>Hypoxia</th> <th></th> <th>F_{iO2}</th> <th></th> <th>F_{iO2}* LBPP</th>		n	Normoxia		Hypoxia		F _{iO2}		F _{iO2} * LBPP
MAP (nmHg)15 92 ± 8 96 ± 7 92 ± 8 96 ± 5^1 0.863 0.031 0.949 SBP (nmHg)15 128 ± 11 132 ± 8 128 ± 11 131 ± 9 0.912 0.229 0.764 DBP (nmHg)15 75 ± 7 79 ± 7 74 ± 7 79 ± 5 0.852 0.013 0.914 P_{rros} (nmHg)16 100 ± 5 101 ± 5 241 ± 4 225 ± 5 <0.01 0.522 0.764 P_{rros} (nmHg)14 400 ± 5 101 ± 5 241 ± 4 425 ± 5 <0.01 0.532 0.774 P_{rros} (nmHg)14 402 ± 4 11 ± 4 224 ± 0.4^2 2.4 ± 0.4^2 <0.01 0.645 0.974 P_{rros} (nmHg)15 2.0 ± 0.4 2.4 ± 0.4 2.4 ± 0.4^2 <0.01 0.645 0.662 $PAP(nmHg)$ 15 2.0 ± 0.4 2.4 ± 0.4 2.4 ± 0.4^2 <0.01 0.645 0.662 PAR (nmHg)15 2.0 ± 0.4 2.4 ± 0.4 2.4 ± 0.4^2 0.01 0.645 0.662 PAR (nmHg)15 2.0 ± 0.4 2.4 ± 0.4 2.4 ± 0.4 0.454 0.01 0.645 PAR (nmHg)15 2.0 ± 0.4 2.4 ± 0.4 2.4 ± 0.4 0.454 0.01 0.645 PAR (nmHg)15 2.5 ± 0.4 2.4 ± 0.4 2.4 ± 0.4 0.454 0.01 0.645 PAR (NGW)15 2.5 ± 0.4 2.4 ± 0.4 2.4 ± 0.4 0.454 0.264 0.264 PA	Systemic haemodynamics								
SBP (nmHg)15 128 ± 11 132 ± 8 128 ± 11 131 ± 9 $0,912$ 0.229 0.784 DBP (nmHg)15 75 ± 7 79 ± 7 74 ± 7 79 ± 5 8.852 0.013 0.914 Dxygen saturation (%)15 96 ± 1 97 ± 1 79 ± 6^2 79 ± 6^2 $c0.01$ 0.592 0.763 Perco, (nmHg)14 100 ± 5 101.2 ± 5 421.4 ± 4 42.5 ± 5^2 $c0.001$ 0.592 0.764 Perco, (nmHg)14 100 ± 5 101.2 ± 5 421.4^2 427.4^2 $c0.01$ 0.045 0.026 Perco, (nmHg)15 20 ± 0.4 2.3 ± 0.2 2.4 ± 0.4^4 2.6 ± 0.4^4 $c0.01$ 0.045 0.862 pRAPe (nmHg)15 20 ± 6 224 ± 6^2 28 ± 7 31 ± 8^{14} 0.045 0.862 pRAPe (nmHg)15 14 ± 3 17 ± 4^2 19 ± 4.22 12 ± 5^{12} 0.045 0.862 pRAPe (nmHg)15 20 ± 6 28 ± 7 21 ± 5^{12} 0.045 0.061 0.862 pRAPe (nmHg)15 52 ± 6 28 ± 7 25 ± 6 29 ± 8 0.058 0.011 0.662 pRAPE (nmHg)15 52 ± 6 28 ± 7 25 ± 6 29 ± 8 0.613 0.971 0.862 pRAPE (nmHg)15 52 ± 6 28 ± 7 25 ± 6 29 ± 8 0.615 0.021 0.621 pRAPE (nmHg)15 20 ± 6 28 ± 7 25 ± 6 29 ± 8 0.615 0.0	Heart rate (BPM)	15	57 ± 9	57 ± 9	64 ± 9*	$65 \pm 10^{\ddagger}$	0.003	0.922	0.670
DBP (mmHg)15 75 ± 7 $79 \pm 7'$ 74 ± 7 $79 \pm 5'$ $79 \pm 6'$ 0.013 0.914 Oxygen saturation (%)15 96 ± 1 97 ± 1 $79 \pm 6'$ $79 \pm 6'$ <0.001 0.592 0.763 $P_{\rm ETO_c}$ (mmHg)14 100 ± 5 1012 ± 5 $42.1 \pm 4'$ 42.5 ± 5^{i} <0.001 0.626 0.991 $P_{\rm tTCO_c}$ (mmHg)14 42.2 ± 4 41.8 ± 4 $38.1 \pm 3'$ 37.7 ± 3^{i} <0.001 0.626 0.991 Pulmoary haemodynamicsTR velocity (m/s)15 2.0 ± 0.4 $2.4 \pm 0.4'$ $2.6 \pm 0.4'$ <0.001 0.664 0.795 $sPAP$ (mmHg)15 2.0 ± 0.4 $2.4 \pm 0.4'$ $2.6 \pm 0.4'$ <0.001 0.664 0.862 PVR (WU)14 1.34 ± 0.31 141 ± 0.24 141 ± 0.24 1.54 ± 0.28 0.701 0.666 $RVEDA$ (mmHg)15 2.5 ± 6 $2.8 \pm 7'$ 2.5 ± 6 2.9 ± 8 0.058 0.011 0.862 $RVEDA$ (m ²)15 12 ± 3 144 ± 0.24 1.54 ± 0.28 0.701 0.666 0.285 $RVEDA$ (m ²)15 12 ± 3 14 ± 4 13 ± 4 $1.64 \pm 3'$ 0.413 0.791 $RVEDA$ (m ²)15 12 ± 3 14 ± 4 13 ± 4 0.169 0.061 0.667 $RVEDA$ (m ²)14 0.93 ± 0.08 0.93 ± 0.08 1.09 ± 0.07 1.07 ± 0.08^{i} 0.01 0.667 $RVEDA$ (m ³)14 0.92 ± 0.06 $0.94 $	MAP (mmHg)	15	92 ± 8	96 ± 7*	92 ± 8	96 \pm 5 [†]	0.863	0.031	0.949
Oxygen saturation (%)1596 ± 197 ± 179 ± 6'79 ± 6'79 ± 6' <0.001 0.5920.763P _{tTCo} , (mHg)14100 ± 5101.2 ± 542.1 ± 4'42.5 ± 5' <0.001 0.5320.749Putmonary haemodynamicsTR velocity (m/s)152.0 ± 0.4 2.3 ± 0.2 $2.4 \pm 0.4'$ 2.6 ± 0.4^{i} <0.001 0.0640.795SPAP (mmHg)152.0 ± 6 $24 \pm 6'$ $22 \pm 7'$ $31 \pm 8^{i.1}$ <0.001 0.0640.862PVR (W1)161.1 ± 317 ± 4'19 ± 4'.3 $21 \pm 5^{i.1}$ 0.045 <0.011 0.862PVR (W1)152.5 ± 62.8 ± 7' 25 ± 6 2.9 ± 8 0.058 <0.011 0.862PVR (W1)151.3 ± 317 ± 4'19 ± 4'.3 $21 \pm 5^{i.1}$ 0.045 <0.011 0.862PVR (W1)152.5 ± 62.8 ± 7'2.5 ± 62.9 ± 80.058 <0.011 0.862PV (W1)1513 ± 314 ± 2317 ± 4'.313 ± 40.1690.900.285RVED (m ²)152.5 ± 62.8 ± 7'2.9 ± 80.0586.0130.791RVED (m ²)169.9 ± 309.9 ± 0010.9 ± 0.0710.7 ± 0.28 <0.011 0.266VS (min)89.9 ± 300.93 ± 0.0810.9 ± 0.0710.7 ± 0.88 <0.011 0.5670.667RVED (m ³)16 -19.9 ± 22 -20.1 ± 25 -21.2 ± 2.7 -32.4 ± 7.6 0.6670.847 <td>SBP (mmHg)</td> <td>15</td> <td>128 ± 11</td> <td>132 ± 8</td> <td>128 ± 11</td> <td>131 ± 9</td> <td>0.912</td> <td>0.229</td> <td>0.784</td>	SBP (mmHg)	15	128 ± 11	132 ± 8	128 ± 11	131 ± 9	0.912	0.229	0.784
P_{ETO_2} (mHg)14100 ± 5101.2 ± 542.1 ± 4'42.5 ± 5'<0.010.5320.749 P_{ETCO_1} (mHg)1442.2 ± 441.8 ± 438.1 ± 3'37.7 ± 3'<0.0010.6260.991Pulmonary haemodynamicsTR velocity (m/s)15 2.0 ± 0.4 2.3 ± 0.2 $2.4 \pm 0.4'$ $2.6 \pm 0.4^{\pm}$ 0.001 0.0640.626 $SPAP$ (mHg)15 2.0 ± 6 $2.4 \pm 6'$ $2.8 \pm 7'$ $31 \pm 8^{\pm}$ 0.001 0.0450.862 $mPA (mHg)$ 15 14 ± 3 $17 \pm 4'$ $19 \pm 4^{\pm}$ $21 \pm 5^{\pm}$ 0.0450.0010.862 $PVR(W)$ 16 13.4 ± 3 $17.4'$ $19 \pm 4^{\pm}$ 21 ± 28 0.0580.0010.862 $PVR(W)$ 15 2.5 ± 6 2.8 ± 7 2.5 ± 6 2.9 ± 8 0.0580.6130.791 $RVEDA (m^2)$ 15 2.5 ± 6 2.8 ± 7 2.5 ± 6 2.9 ± 8 0.550.6130.791 $RVEDA (m^2)$ 15 2.5 ± 6 2.8 ± 7 2.5 ± 6 2.9 ± 8 0.550.6130.791 $RVEDA (m^2)$ 16 0.93 ± 0.8 0.93 ± 0.8 $10.9 \pm 0.7'$ $1.07 \pm 0.8^{\circ}$ 0.0140.3220.004 $RVEDA (m^2)$ 16 9.9 ± 36 9.9 ± 36 9.9 ± 36 9.9 ± 36 9.12 0.24 ± 36 0.260.0240.327 $RVEDA (m^2)$ 16 9.9 ± 36 9.9 ± 36 9.9 ± 36 10.7 ± 0.7 1.11 ± 0.7 1.02 ± 0.26 0.667 0.667 <t< td=""><td>DBP (mmHg)</td><td>15</td><td>75 ± 7</td><td>$79 \pm 7^{*}$</td><td>74 ± 7</td><td>79 ± 5</td><td>0.852</td><td>0.013</td><td>0.914</td></t<>	DBP (mmHg)	15	75 ± 7	$79 \pm 7^{*}$	74 ± 7	79 ± 5	0.852	0.013	0.914
P _{TTC0} (mH) 14 42.2 ± 4 41.8 ± 4 38.1 ± 3' 37.7 ± 3' <0.01 0.626 0.991 Pulmonary haemodynamics FR velocity (m/s) 15 2.0 ± 0.4 2.3 ± 0.2 2.4 ± 0.4' 2.6 ± 0.4! <0.001	Oxygen saturation (%)	15	96 ± 1	97 ± 1	$79 \pm 6^{*}$	$79 \pm 6^{\ddagger}$	<0.001	0.592	0.763
Pulmonary haemodynamicsTR velocity (m/s)15 2.0 ± 0.4 2.3 ± 0.2 2.4 ± 0.4^{1} 2.6 ± 0.4^{1} <0.001 0.064 0.795 sPAP (mmHg)15 20 ± 6 24 ± 6^{1} 28 ± 7^{7} $31 \pm 8^{\pm 1}$ <0.001 0.045 0.862 mPAP (mmHg)15 14 ± 3 17 ± 4^{1} $19 \pm 4^{\pm 2}$ $21 \pm 5^{\pm 1}$ 0.045 <0.001 0.862 PVR (WU)14 1.34 ± 0.31 1.41 ± 0.28 1.41 ± 0.24 1.54 ± 0.28 0.270 0.119 0.566 RV dimensionsRRVEDA (cm ²)15 25 ± 6 28 ± 7 25 ± 6 29 ± 8 0.058 0.613 0.791 RVESA (cm ²)15 12 ± 3 14 ± 4 13 ± 4 1.64 ± 0.169 0.960 0.285 RVFAC (%)15 50 ± 3 49 ± 7 48 ± 4^{1} 54 ± 3^{1} 0.244 0.327 0.040 LVEID (%)14 0.93 ± 0.08 0.93 ± 0.07 1.07 ± 0.08^{1} <0.01 0.667 0.677 LVEID (%)14 0.92 ± 0.66 0.94 ± 0.07 1.07 ± 0.08^{1} <0.01 0.667 0.677 LVEID (%)18 0.92 ± 0.66 9.94 ± 0.71 1.92 ± 3.6 0.73 0.676 0.847 0.857 RVS (mi)8 9.22 ± 2 -20.1 ± 2.5 -21.2 ± 2.7 -32.4 ± 7.6 0.067 0.847 0.857 Peak 2DS IVS mid (%)15 -1.99 ± 2.2 -20.1 ± 2.5 -24.2 ± 5.5 -32.4 ± 7.8 <	P _{ETO2} (mmHg)	14	100 ± 5	101.2 ± 5	$42.1 \pm 4^{*}$	$42.5 \pm 5^{\ddagger}$	<0.001	0.532	0.749
TR velocity (m/s)152.0 ± 0.42.3 ± 0.22.4 ± 0.4'2.6 ± 0.4'<0.0010.0640.795sPAP (mmHg)152.0 ± 62.4 ± 6'2.8 ± 7'31 ± 8 ^{±1} <0.001	P _{ETCO2} (mmHg)	14	42.2 ± 4	41.8 ± 4	38.1 ± 3*	37.7 ± 3 [‡]	<0.001	0.626	0.991
sPAP (nmHg)1520 ± 624 ± 6'28 ± 7'31 ± 8 ^{±1} <0.0010.0450.862mPAP (nmHg)1514 ± 317 ± 4'19 ± 4'±21 ± 5 ^{±1} 0.045<0.0010.862PVR (WU)141.34 ± 0.31141 ± 0.28141 ± 0.24154 ± 0.280.2700.1190.566RVEDA (cm ²)1525 ± 628 ± 725 ± 629 ± 80.0580.6130.791RVEDA (cm ²)1525 ± 628 ± 725 ± 629 ± 80.0580.6130.791RVEA (cm ²)1550 ± 349 ± 748 ± 4'13 ± 40.1690.9600.285RVFAC (%)140.93 ± 0.080.93 ± 0.081.09 ± 0.071.07 ± 0.08 [±] <0.010.6670.677LVEIS (%)140.92 ± 0.060.94 ± 0.071.11 ± 0.071.08 ± 0.05 [±] <0.010.6670.213RV Strin899 ± 3698 ± 47107 ± 4798 ± 360.7730.7670.798Peak 2DS IVS mid (%)15-19.9 ± 2.2-20.1 ± 2.5-21.2 ± 2.7-32.4 ± 7.60.0670.8470.857Peak 2DS RVFW mid (%)15-32.0 ± 7.2-32.8 ± 6.0-32.4 ± 7.60.6230.6140.867Peak 2DS RVFW bas (%)15-22.6 ± 3-23.2 ± 3-23.7 ± 4-24.1 ± 40.2170.5970.812RVGLS (%)15-11 ± 0.2-11 ± 0.1-12 ± 0.2-12 ± 0.20.0440.4630.731RVSD4 (ms)1618 ±	Pulmonary haemodynamics								
mPAP (mmHg)15 14 ± 3 $17 \pm 4'$ $19 \pm 4^{\pm}$ $21 \pm 5^{\pm}$ 0.045 <0.001 0.862 PVR (WU)14 1.34 ± 0.31 1.41 ± 0.28 1.41 ± 0.24 1.54 ± 0.28 0.27 0.119 0.566 RV dimensionsRVEDA (cm ²)15 25 ± 6 28 ± 7 25 ± 6 29 ± 8 0.058 0.613 0.791 RVEDA (cm ²)15 15 25 ± 6 28 ± 7 25 ± 6 29 ± 8 0.058 0.613 0.791 RVEA (cm ²)15 12 ± 3 14 ± 4 13 ± 4 13 ± 4 0.169 0.960 0.285 RVFAC (%)15 50 ± 3 49 ± 7 $48 \pm 4^{\pm}$ 54 ± 3 0.244 0.327 0.040 LVEID (%)14 0.93 ± 0.08 0.93 ± 0.08 1.09 ± 0.07 $1.07 \pm 0.08^{\pm}$ <0.001 0.667 0.677 LVEIS (%)14 0.92 ± 0.6 0.94 ± 0.07 1.11 ± 0.07 $1.08 \pm 0.05^{\pm}$ <0.01 0.667 0.213 RV Strain 8 9 ± 36 0.73 0.74 98 ± 36 0.73 0.667 0.677 Peak 2DS IVS mid (%)15 -18.3 ± 2.0 -17.9 ± 2.1 $-19.7 \pm 3.0^{\circ}$ $-26.1 \pm 5.2^{\circ}$ 0.017 0.884 0.887 Peak 2DS RVFW mid (%)15 -22.6 ± 3 -22.5 ± 3.8 -25.5 ± 8.4 -26.3 ± 6.1 0.643 0.546 0.887 Peak 2DS RVFW bas (%)15 $-11.\pm 0.2$ $-11.\pm 0.1$ -1.2 ± 0.2 $0.944 \pm 3.94^{\circ}$ 0.001	TR velocity (m/s)	15	2.0 ± 0.4	2.3 ± 0.2	$2.4 \pm 0.4^{*}$	2.6 ± 0.4 [‡]	<0.001	0.064	0.795
PVR (WU) 14 1.34 ± 0.31 1.41 ± 0.28 1.54 ± 0.28 0.270 0.119 0.566 RV dimensions RVEDA (cm ²) 15 25 ± 6 28 ± 7 25 ± 6 29 ± 8 0.058 0.013 0.791 RVEDA (cm ²) 15 12 ± 3 14 ± 4 13 ± 4 0.169 0.960 0.285 RVFAC (%) 15 50 ± 3 49 ± 7 48 ± 4 [±] 54 ± 3 [±] 0.244 0.327 0.040 LVEID (%) 14 0.93 ± 0.08 1.09 ± 0.07 1.07 ± 0.08 [±] 0.001 0.667 0.697 LVEIS (%) 14 0.92 ± 0.06 0.94 ± 0.07 1.11 ± 0.07 1.08 ± 0.05 [±] 0.01 0.667 0.697 LVEIS (%) 14 0.92 ± 0.06 0.94 ± 0.07 1.11 ± 0.07 1.08 ± 0.05 [±] 0.011 0.667 0.679 RV Strint 5 -19.9 ± 2.2 -20.1 ± 2.5 -21.2 ± 2.7 -32.4 ± 7.6 0.067 0.847 0.857 Peak 2DS IVS bas (%) 15 -18.2 ± 0.21 ± 2.5 -32.4 ± 7.8<	sPAP (mmHg)	15	20 ± 6	24 ± 6 [*]	28 ± 7 [*]	31 ± 8 ^{‡,†}	<0.001	0.045	0.862
RV classical differenceRV classical differenceRV classical difference1525 ± 628 ± 725 ± 629 ± 80.0580.6130.791RVEA (cm ²)1512 ± 314 ± 413 ± 413 ± 40.1690.9600.285RVFAC (%)1550 ± 349 ± 748 ± 4 [±] 54 ± 3'0.2440.3270.040LVEID (%)140.93 ± 0.080.93 ± 0.081.09 ± 0.07'1.07 ± 0.08 [±] <0.010.6670.213RV SV (ml)899 ± 3698 ± 47107 ± 4798 ± 360.7730.7670.798RV strainPeak 2DS IVS mid (%)15 -19.9 ± 2.2 -20.1 ± 2.5 -21.2 ± 2.7 -32.4 ± 7.6 0.0670.8470.872Peak 2DS IVS bas (%)15 -19.9 ± 2.2 -20.1 ± 2.5 -21.2 ± 2.7 -32.4 ± 7.6 0.0670.8470.872Peak 2DS IVS bas (%)15 -19.9 ± 2.2 -20.1 ± 2.5 -21.2 ± 2.7 -32.4 ± 7.6 0.0670.8470.857Peak 2DS IVS bas (%)15 -18.3 ± 2.0 -17.9 ± 2.1 $-19.7 \pm 3.0^\circ$ $-26.1 \pm 5.2^{\pm}$ 0.0190.6150.857Peak 2DS RVFW mid (%)15 -22.6 ± 3 -23.2 ± 3 -23.7 ± 4 -24.1 ± 4 0.2170.5970.812RV GLS (%)15 -11 ± 0.2 -11 ± 0.1 -1.2 ± 0.2 0.0940.4830.731RV SDA (ms)1518 ± 7 18 ± 7 38 $\pm 10^$	mPAP (mmHg)	15	14 ± 3	17 ± 4 [*]	19 ± 4 ^{*,‡}	21 ± 5 ^{‡,†}	0.045	<0.001	0.862
RVEDA (cm2)15 25 ± 6 28 ± 7 25 ± 6 29 ± 8 0.058 0.613 0.791 RVESA (cm2)15 12 ± 3 14 ± 4 13 ± 4 13 ± 4 0.169 0.960 0.285 RVFAC (%)15 50 ± 3 49 ± 7 $48 \pm 4^{\pm}$ $54 \pm 3^{\circ}$ 0.244 0.327 0.040 LVEID (%)14 0.93 ± 0.08 0.93 ± 0.08 $1.07 \pm 0.07^{\circ}$ $1.07 \pm 0.08^{\pm}$ <0.01 0.667 0.677 LVEIS (%)14 0.92 ± 0.06 0.94 ± 0.07 $1.11 \pm 0.07^{\circ}$ $1.08 \pm 0.05^{\pm}$ <0.01 0.667 0.273 RV SV (m1)8 99 ± 36 98 ± 47 107 ± 47 98 ± 36 0.733 0.667 0.677 Peak 2DS IVS mid (%)15 -19.9 ± 22 -20.1 ± 2.5 -21.2 ± 2.7 -32.4 ± 7.6 0.067 0.847 0.872 Peak 2DS IVS bas (%)15 -19.9 ± 2.2 -20.1 ± 2.5 -21.2 ± 2.7 -32.4 ± 7.6 0.067 0.847 0.872 Peak 2DS RVFW mid (%)15 -32.0 ± 7.2 -32.8 ± 6.0 -32.4 ± 6.5 -32.4 ± 7.8 0.951 0.844 0.847 Peak 2DS RVFW bas (%)15 -24.4 ± 6.1 -25.8 ± 5.8 -25.5 ± 8.4 -26.3 ± 6.1 0.634 0.546 0.867 RV GLS (%)15 -1.1 ± 0.2 -1.1 ± 0.2 -1.2 ± 0.2 0.12 ± 0.2 0.014 0.303 0.021 RV GLS (%)15 18 ± 7 18 ± 7 $38 \pm 10^{\pm}$ $26 \pm 10^{\pm 1.4}$ 0.010 <	PVR (WU)	14	1.34 ± 0.31	1.41 ± 0.28	1.41 ± 0.24	1.54 ± 0.28	0.270	0.119	0.566
RVESA (cm2)15 12 ± 3 14 ± 4 13 ± 4 13 ± 4 0.169 0.960 0.285 RVFAC (%)15 50 ± 3 49 ± 7 48 ± 4^{2} 54 ± 3^{2} 0.244 0.327 0.040 LVEID (%)14 0.93 ± 0.08 0.93 ± 0.08 1.09 ± 0.07 1.07 ± 0.08^{2} 0.001 0.667 0.697 LVEIS (%)14 0.92 ± 0.06 0.94 ± 0.07 1.11 ± 0.07^{2} 1.08 ± 0.05^{2} 0.001 0.667 0.213 RV SV (ml)8 99 ± 36 98 ± 47 107 ± 47 98 ± 36 0.773 0.767 0.767 Peak 2DS IVS mid (%)15 -19.9 ± 2.2 -20.1 ± 2.5 -21.2 ± 2.7 -32.4 ± 7.6 0.067 0.847 0.872 Peak 2DS IVS bas (%)15 -18.3 ± 2.0 -17.9 ± 2.1 -19.7 ± 3.0^{2} -26.1 ± 5.2^{2} 0.019 0.615 0.867 Peak 2DS RVFW mid (%)15 -24.4 ± 6.1 -25.8 ± 5.8 -25.5 ± 8.4 -26.3 ± 6.1 0.634 0.546 0.867 Peak 2DS RVFW bas (%)15 -22.6 ± 3 -23.2 ± 3 -23.7 ± 4 -24.1 ± 4 0.217 0.597 0.812 RV GLS (%/s)15 -1.1 ± 0.2 -1.1 ± 0.2 -1.2 ± 0.2 0.944 0.948 0.731 RVSD4 (ms)15 18 ± 7 18 ± 7^{2} 38 ± 10^{5} $26 \pm 10^{5+7}$ 0.001 0.003 0.001 TTP RVFW 2DS (ms)15 395 ± 31 403 ± 30 409 ± 30^{2} 375 ± 36^{4} 0.001	RV dimensions								
RVFAC (%)15 50 ± 3 49 ± 7 $48 \pm 4^{\ddagger}$ $54 \pm 3^{'}$ 0.244 0.327 0.040 LVEID (%)14 0.93 ± 0.08 1.09 ± 0.07 $1.07 \pm 0.08^{\ddagger}$ <0.001 0.567 0.697 LVEIS (%)14 0.92 ± 0.06 0.94 ± 0.07 $1.11 \pm 0.07^{'}$ $1.08 \pm 0.05^{\ddagger}$ <0.001 0.667 0.213 RV SV (m)8 99 ± 36 98 ± 47 107 ± 47 98 ± 36 0.773 0.767 0.798 RV strain15 -19.9 ± 2.2 -20.1 ± 2.5 -12.2 ± 2.7 -32.4 ± 7.6 0.067 0.847 0.872 Peak 2DS IVS mid (%)15 -18.3 ± 2.0 -17.9 ± 2.1 $-19.7 \pm 3.0^{\circ}$ $-26.1 \pm 5.2^{\circ}$ 0.019 0.615 0.857 Peak 2DS RVFW mid (%)15 -22.6 ± 3 -23.2 ± 36 -23.2 ± 6.5 -32.4 ± 7.8 0.951 0.842 0.804 Peak 2DS RVFW bas (%)15 -22.6 ± 3 -23.2 ± 3 -23.7 ± 4 $-26.1 \pm 5.2^{\circ}$ 0.019 0.615 0.857 Peak 2DS RVFW bas (%)15 -24.4 ± 6.1 -25.8 ± 5.8 -25.5 ± 8.4 -26.3 ± 6.1 0.634 0.546 0.867 RV GLS (%)15 $-11.\pm 0.2$ -1.1 ± 0.2 -1.2 ± 0.2 0.094 0.483 0.731 RVSD4 (ms)15 18 ± 7 18 ± 7 38 ± 10^{15} $26 \pm 10^{15.4}$ <0.001 0.003 <0.001 TTP RVFW 2DS (ms)15 367 ± 30 373 ± 28 358 ± 20 $375 \pm 36^{\circ}$ 0.001	RVEDA (cm ²)	15	25 ± 6	28 ± 7	25 ± 6	29 ± 8	0.058	0.613	0.791
LVEID (%)14 0.93 ± 0.08 0.93 ± 0.08 1.09 ± 0.07 $1.07 \pm 0.08^{\ddagger}$ <0.001 0.567 0.697 LVEIS (%)14 0.92 ± 0.06 0.94 ± 0.07 1.11 ± 0.07 $1.08 \pm 0.05^{\ddagger}$ <0.001 0.667 0.213 RV SV (ml)8 99 ± 36 98 ± 47 107 ± 47 98 ± 36 0.773 0.767 0.798 Peak 2DS IVS mid (%)15 -19.9 ± 2.2 -20.1 ± 2.5 -21.2 ± 2.7 -32.4 ± 7.6 0.067 0.847 0.872 Peak 2DS IVS mid (%)15 -18.3 ± 2.0 -17.9 ± 2.1 $-19.7 \pm 3.0^{\circ}$ $-26.1 \pm 5.2^{\circ}$ 0.019 0.615 0.857 Peak 2DS RVFW mid (%)15 -32.0 ± 7.2 -32.8 ± 6.0 -32.4 ± 6.5 -32.4 ± 7.8 0.951 0.824 0.804 Peak 2DS RVFW bas (%)15 -24.4 ± 6.1 -25.8 ± 5.8 -25.5 ± 8.4 -26.3 ± 6.1 0.634 0.546 0.867 RV GLS (%)15 $-11.\pm 0.2$ $-11.\pm 0.1$ -1.2 ± 0.2 $-12.\pm 0.2$ 0.944 0.834 0.731 RVSD4 (ms)15 18 ± 7 18 ± 7 38 ± 10^{14} $2.6 \pm 10^{14.4}$ 0.001 0.003 <0.001 TTP RVFW 2DS (ms)15 367 ± 30 373 ± 28 $358 \pm 20^{\circ}$ $375 \pm 36^{\circ}$ 0.001 0.743 0.862	RVESA (cm ²)	15	12 ± 3	14 ± 4	13 ± 4	13 ± 4	0.169	0.960	0.285
LVEIS (%)14 0.92 ± 0.06 0.94 ± 0.07 $1.11 \pm 0.07^{\circ}$ $1.08 \pm 0.05^{\circ}$ <0.01 0.667 0.213 RV SV (ml)8 99 ± 36 98 ± 47 107 ± 47 98 ± 36 0.773 0.767 0.798 RV strainPeak 2DS IVS mid (%)15 -19.9 ± 2.2 -20.1 ± 2.5 -21.2 ± 2.7 -32.4 ± 7.6 0.067 0.847 0.872 Peak 2DS IVS bas (%)15 -18.3 ± 2.0 -17.9 ± 2.1 $-19.7 \pm 3.0^{\circ}$ $-26.1 \pm 5.2^{\circ}$ 0.019 0.615 0.867 Peak 2DS RVFW mid (%)15 -32.0 ± 7.2 -32.8 ± 6.0 -32.4 ± 6.5 -32.4 ± 7.8 0.951 0.824 0.804 Peak 2DS RVFW bas (%)15 -24.4 ± 6.1 -25.8 ± 5.8 -25.5 ± 8.4 -26.3 ± 6.1 0.634 0.546 0.867 RV GLS (%)15 -22.6 ± 3 -23.2 ± 3 -23.7 ± 4 -24.1 ± 4 0.217 0.597 0.812 RV SD4 (ms)15 18 ± 7 $18 \pm 7^{\circ}$ $38 \pm 10^{\circ}$ $26 \pm 10^{\circ\pm1}$ <0.001 0.003 <0.001 TTP RVFW 2DS (ms)15 367 ± 30 373 ± 28 $358 \pm 20^{\circ}$ $375 \pm 36^{\circ}$ 0.002 0.257 0.286	RVFAC (%)	15	50 ± 3	49 ± 7	$48 \pm 4^{\ddagger}$	54 ± 3*	0.244	0.327	0.040
RV SV (m)8 99 ± 36 98 ± 47 107 ± 47 98 ± 36 0.773 0.767 0.798 RV strainPeak 2DS IVS mid (%)15 -19.9 ± 2.2 -20.1 ± 2.5 -21.2 ± 2.7 -32.4 ± 7.6 0.067 0.847 0.872 Peak 2DS IVS bas (%)15 -18.3 ± 2.0 -17.9 ± 2.1 $-19.7 \pm 3.0^\circ$ $-26.1 \pm 5.2^\circ$ 0.019 0.615 0.857 Peak 2DS RVFW mid (%)15 -32.0 ± 7.2 -32.8 ± 6.0 -32.4 ± 6.5 -32.4 ± 7.8 0.951 0.824 0.804 Peak 2DS RVFW bas (%)15 -24.4 ± 6.1 -25.8 ± 5.8 -25.5 ± 8.4 -26.3 ± 6.1 0.634 0.546 0.867 RV GLS (%)15 -22.6 ± 3 -23.2 ± 3 -23.7 ± 4 -24.1 ± 4 0.217 0.597 0.812 RV SD4 (ms)15 18 ± 7 18 ± 7 38 ± 10^{54} $26 \pm 10^{54.7}$ <0.001 0.003 <0.001 TTP RVFW 2DS (ms)15 367 ± 30 373 ± 28 $358 \pm 20^\circ$ $375 \pm 36^\circ$ 0.002 0.257 0.286	LVEID (%)	14	0.93 ± 0.08	0.93 ± 0.08	$1.09 \pm 0.07^{*}$	$1.07 \pm 0.08^{\ddagger}$	<0.001	0.567	0.697
RV strainPeak 2DS IVS mid (%)15 -19.9 ± 2.2 -20.1 ± 2.5 -21.2 ± 2.7 -32.4 ± 7.6 0.067 0.847 0.872 Peak 2DS IVS bas (%)15 -18.3 ± 2.0 -17.9 ± 2.1 $-19.7 \pm 3.0^\circ$ $-26.1 \pm 5.2^{\ddagger}$ 0.019 0.615 0.857 Peak 2DS RVFW mid (%)15 -32.0 ± 7.2 -32.8 ± 6.0 -32.4 ± 6.5 -32.4 ± 7.8 0.951 0.824 0.804 Peak 2DS RVFW bas (%)15 -24.4 ± 6.1 -25.8 ± 5.8 -25.5 ± 8.4 -26.3 ± 6.1 0.634 0.546 0.867 RV GLS (%)15 -22.6 ± 3 -23.2 ± 3 -23.7 ± 4 -24.1 ± 4 0.217 0.597 0.812 RV GLS (%)15 -1.1 ± 0.2 -1.1 ± 0.1 -1.2 ± 0.2 -1.2 ± 0.2 0.004 0.483 0.731 RVSD4 (ms)15 18 ± 7 $18 \pm 7^\circ$ $38 \pm 10^{\frac{1}{2}}$ $26 \pm 10^{\frac{1}{217}}$ <0.001 0.003 <0.001 TTP IVS 2DS (ms)15 367 ± 30 373 ± 28 $358 \pm 20^\circ$ $375 \pm 36^{\frac{1}{2}}$ 0.001 0.743 0.862	LVEIS (%)	14	0.92 ± 0.06	0.94 ± 0.07	$1.11 \pm 0.07^{*}$	$1.08 \pm 0.05^{\ddagger}$	<0.001	0.667	0.213
Peak 2DS IVS mid (%)15 -19.9 ± 2.2 -20.1 ± 2.5 -21.2 ± 2.7 -32.4 ± 7.6 0.067 0.847 0.872 Peak 2DS IVS bas (%)15 -18.3 ± 2.0 -17.9 ± 2.1 $-19.7 \pm 3.0^{\circ}$ $-26.1 \pm 5.2^{\circ}$ 0.019 0.615 0.857 Peak 2DS RVFW mid (%)15 -32.0 ± 7.2 -32.8 ± 6.0 -32.4 ± 6.5 -32.4 ± 7.8 0.951 0.824 0.804 Peak 2DS RVFW bas (%)15 -24.4 ± 6.1 -25.8 ± 5.8 -25.5 ± 8.4 -26.3 ± 6.1 0.634 0.546 0.867 RV GLS (%)15 -22.6 ± 3 -23.2 ± 3 -23.7 ± 4 -24.1 ± 4 0.217 0.597 0.812 RV GLS (%)15 -1.1 ± 0.2 -1.1 ± 0.1 -1.2 ± 0.2 -1.2 ± 0.2 0.094 0.483 0.731 RVSD4 (ms)15 18 ± 7 $18 \pm 7^{\circ}$ $38 \pm 10^{\circ 4}$ $26 \pm 10^{\circ 4.7}$ <0.001 0.003 <0.001 TTP RVFW 2DS (ms)15 367 ± 30 373 ± 28 $358 \pm 20^{\circ}$ $375 \pm 36^{\circ}$ 0.002 0.257 0.286	RV SV (ml)	8	99 ± 36	98 ± 47	107 ± 47	98 ± 36	0.773	0.767	0.798
Peak 2DS IVS bas (%)15 -18.3 ± 2.0 -17.9 ± 2.1 $-19.7 \pm 3.0^{\circ}$ $-26.1 \pm 5.2^{\circ}$ 0.019 0.615 0.857 Peak 2DS RVFW mid (%)15 -32.0 ± 7.2 -32.8 ± 6.0 -32.4 ± 6.5 -32.4 ± 7.8 0.951 0.824 0.804 Peak 2DS RVFW bas (%)15 -24.4 ± 6.1 -25.8 ± 5.8 -25.5 ± 8.4 -26.3 ± 6.1 0.634 0.546 0.867 RV GLS (%)15 -22.6 ± 3 -23.2 ± 3 -23.7 ± 4 -24.1 ± 4 0.217 0.597 0.812 RV GLSR (%/s)15 -1.1 ± 0.2 -1.1 ± 0.1 -1.2 ± 0.2 -1.2 ± 0.2 0.094 0.483 0.731 RVSD4 (ms)15 18 ± 7 $18 \pm 7^{*}$ $38 \pm 10^{**}$ $26 \pm 10^{\circ *.7}$ <0.001 0.003 <0.001 TTP IVS 2DS (ms)15 367 ± 30 373 ± 28 $358 \pm 20^{\circ}$ $375 \pm 36^{\circ}$ 0.002 0.257 0.286 TTP RVFW 2DS (ms)15 395 ± 31 403 ± 30 $409 \pm 30^{\circ}$ $413 \pm 31^{\circ}$ <0.001 0.743 0.862	RV strain								
Peak 2DS RVFW mid (%)15 -32.0 ± 7.2 -32.8 ± 6.0 -32.4 ± 6.5 -32.4 ± 7.8 0.951 0.824 0.804 Peak 2DS RVFW bas (%)15 -24.4 ± 6.1 -25.8 ± 5.8 -25.5 ± 8.4 -26.3 ± 6.1 0.634 0.546 0.867 RV GLS (%)15 -22.6 ± 3 -23.2 ± 3 -23.7 ± 4 -24.1 ± 4 0.217 0.597 0.812 RV GLSR (%/s)15 -1.1 ± 0.2 -1.1 ± 0.1 -1.2 ± 0.2 -1.2 ± 0.2 0.094 0.483 0.731 RVSD4 (ms)15 18 ± 7 $18 \pm 7^{*}$ $38 \pm 10^{**}$ $26 \pm 10^{***}$ <0.001 0.003 <0.001 TTP IVS 2DS (ms)15 367 ± 30 373 ± 28 $358 \pm 20^{*}$ $375 \pm 36^{\ddagger}$ 0.002 0.257 0.286 TTP RVFW 2DS (ms)15 395 ± 31 403 ± 30 $409 \pm 30^{*}$ $413 \pm 31^{\ddagger}$ <0.001 0.743 0.862	Peak 2DS IVS mid (%)	15	-19.9 ± 2.2	-20.1 ± 2.5	-21.2 ± 2.7	-32.4 ± 7.6	0.067	0.847	0.872
Peak 2DS RVFW bas (%)15 -24.4 ± 6.1 -25.8 ± 5.8 -25.5 ± 8.4 -26.3 ± 6.1 0.634 0.546 0.867 RV GLS (%)15 -22.6 ± 3 -23.2 ± 3 -23.7 ± 4 -24.1 ± 4 0.217 0.597 0.812 RV GLSR (%/s)15 -1.1 ± 0.2 -1.1 ± 0.1 -1.2 ± 0.2 -1.2 ± 0.2 0.094 0.483 0.731 RVSD4 (ms)15 18 ± 7 $18 \pm 7^*$ 38 ± 10^{14} $26 \pm 10^{14.7}$ <0.001 0.003 <0.001 TTP IVS 2DS (ms)15 367 ± 30 373 ± 28 $358 \pm 20^*$ 375 ± 36^{12} 0.001 0.743 0.862 TTP RVFW 2DS (ms)15 395 ± 31 403 ± 30 $409 \pm 30^*$ $413 \pm 31^{\frac{1}{2}}$ <0.001 0.743 0.862	Peak 2DS IVS bas (%)	15	-18.3 ± 2.0	-17.9 ± 2.1	$-19.7 \pm 3.0^{*}$	$-26.1 \pm 5.2^{\ddagger}$	0.019	0.615	0.857
RV GLS (%)15 -22.6 ± 3 -23.2 ± 3 -23.7 ± 4 -24.1 ± 4 0.217 0.597 0.812 RV GLSR (%/s)15 -1.1 ± 0.2 -1.1 ± 0.1 -1.2 ± 0.2 -1.2 ± 0.2 0.094 0.483 0.731 RVSD4 (ms)15 18 ± 7 $18 \pm 7^{*}$ $38 \pm 10^{**}$ $26 \pm 10^{***}$ <0.001 0.003 <0.001 TTP IVS 2DS (ms)15 367 ± 30 373 ± 28 $358 \pm 20^{*}$ $375 \pm 36^{*}$ 0.002 0.257 0.286 TTP RVFW 2DS (ms)15 395 ± 31 403 ± 30 $409 \pm 30^{*}$ $413 \pm 31^{*}$ <0.001 0.743 0.862	Peak 2DS RVFW mid (%)	15	-32.0 ± 7.2	-32.8 ± 6.0	-32.4 ± 6.5	-32.4 ± 7.8	0.951	0.824	0.804
RV GLSR (%/s)15 -1.1 ± 0.2 -1.1 ± 0.1 -1.2 ± 0.2 -1.2 ± 0.2 0.094 0.483 0.731 RVSD4 (ms)15 18 ± 7 $18 \pm 7^{*}$ $38 \pm 10^{*+}$ $26 \pm 10^{*++}$ <0.001 0.003 <0.001 TTP IVS 2DS (ms)15 367 ± 30 373 ± 28 $358 \pm 20^{*}$ $375 \pm 36^{+}$ 0.002 0.257 0.286 TTP RVFW 2DS (ms)15 395 ± 31 403 ± 30 $409 \pm 30^{*}$ $413 \pm 31^{\pm}$ <0.001 0.743 0.862	Peak 2DS RVFW bas (%)	15	-24.4 ± 6.1	-25.8 ± 5.8	-25.5 ± 8.4	-26.3 ± 6.1	0.634	0.546	0.867
RVSD4 (ms)15 18 ± 7 $18 \pm 7^{*}$ $38 \pm 10^{*+}$ $26 \pm 10^{*++}$ <0.0010.003<0.001TTP IVS 2DS (ms)15 367 ± 30 373 ± 28 $358 \pm 20^{*}$ $375 \pm 36^{*}$ 0.0020.2570.286TTP RVFW 2DS (ms)15 395 ± 31 403 ± 30 $409 \pm 30^{*}$ $413 \pm 31^{*}$ <0.001	RV GLS (%)	15	-22.6 ± 3	-23.2 ± 3	-23.7 ± 4	-24.1 ± 4	0.217	0.597	0.812
TTP IVS 2DS (ms)15 367 ± 30 373 ± 28 $358 \pm 20^{\circ}$ $375 \pm 36^{\circ}$ 0.002 0.257 0.286 TTP RVFW 2DS (ms)15 395 ± 31 403 ± 30 $409 \pm 30^{\circ}$ $413 \pm 31^{\circ}$ <0.001 0.743 0.862	RV GLSR (%/s)	15	-1.1 ± 0.2	-1.1 ± 0.1	-1.2 ± 0.2	-1.2 ± 0.2	0.094	0.483	0.731
TTP RVFW 2DS (ms) 15 395 ± 31 403 ± 30 $409 \pm 30^{\circ}$ $413 \pm 31^{\circ}$ <0.001 0.743 0.862	RVSD4 (ms)	15	18 ± 7	18 ± 7 [*]	38 ± 10 ^{*,‡}	26 ± 10 ^{*,‡,†}	<0.001	0.003	<0.001
	TTP IVS 2DS (ms)	15	367 ± 30	373 ± 28	358 ± 20 [*]	375 ± 36‡	0.002	0.257	0.286
TTP GLSR (ms)15 221 ± 40 220 ± 35 $175 \pm 54^{*\pm}$ $172 \pm 48^{*\pm}$ <0.0010.8570.952	TTP RVFW 2DS (ms)	15	395 ± 31	403 ± 30	409 ± 30*	413 ± 31 [‡]	<0.001	0.743	0.862
	TTP GLSR (ms)	15	221 ± 40	$220~\pm~35$	175 ± 54 ^{*,‡}	172 ± 48 ^{*,‡}	<0.001	0.857	0.952

^{*}*P*-value paired *t*-test versus normoxia baseline. [†]*P*-value paired *t*-test versus hypoxia baseline. [‡]*P* value paired *t*-test versus normoxia lower body positive pressure. 2DS, two dimensional strain; bas, basal level; BPM, beats per minute; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; diff, difference; GLS, global longitudinal strain; GLSR, global longitudinal strain rate; IVS, intraventricular septum; LVEID, left ventricular diastolic eccentricity index; LVEIS, left ventricular systolic eccentricity index; MAP, mean arterial pressure; mid, mid level; mPAP, mean pulmonary artery pressure; *P*_{ETCO2}, partial end tidal carbon dioxide pressure; *P*_{ETC2}, partial end tidal oxygen pressure; PVR, pulmonary vascular resistance; RVEDA, right ventricular end diastolic area; RVFAC, right ventricular fractional area change; RVFW, right ventricular free wall; RVESA, right ventricular end systolic area; RVSD4, right ventricular dyssynchrony index; RV SV, right ventricular stroke volume; SBP, systolic blood pressure; sPAP, systolic pulmonary artery pressure; TR, tricuspid regurgitation; TTP, time to peak; WU, Woods units.

3.2 | Study 2: sustained hypoxia

-WILEY

3.2.1 | Haemodynamic response

HR and mPAP both significantly increased at high altitude compared to sea level (see below, Figure 4). RVEDA significantly decreased at high altitude compared to sea level, but was restored at high altitude following plasma volume expansion (Figure 3) to be comparable to sea level values. In contrast to our preload intervention in study 1 (LBPP), mPAP was not increased following plasma volume expansion (Figure 3). LV eccentricity index increased at high altitude compared to sea level, and remained elevated following plasma volume expansion. Further haemodynamic responses are depicted in Table 2.




FIGURE 2 RV response during normoxia baseline (NBL), normoxia and lower body positive pressure (NLBPP), hypoxia baseline (HBL) and hypoxia and lower body positive pressure (HLBPP). (a) RVSD4 response; F_{iO_2} is the effect for inspired air (normoxic or hypoxic) and LBPP is the effect for lower body positive pressure on RVSD4. (b) Interpolated segmental strain curves for the four right ventricular wall segments and their time to peak response (indicated with the filled symbols). IVS, intraventricular septal wall; RVFW, right ventricular septal wall. Please note, these are curves for all participants averaged across time, so segmental peaks in the curves will not match the mean of individual peaks reported in other panels. [Correction made on 25 January 2021, after first online publication: The preceding sentence was added to explain the mismatch between interpolated curves and individual peaks across panels.] (IVS is a combination of basal and mid segments of the right ventricular free wall). (c) Corrected time to peak (TTP) response in milliseconds of the different segments. *d*, Cohen's *D*. *P*-value by ANOVA in (a) and paired *t*-test in (c) [Correction made on 25 January 2021, after first online publication: Figure 2B has been updated to correct a mislabelling in the curves.]




FIGURE 3 Haemodynamic response at sea level (SL), high altitude (HA) and during high altitude with volume expansion (HApvx). (a) Mean pulmonary artery pressure (mPAP). (b) Right ventricular end diastolic area (RVEDA). (c) Heart rate (HR). (d) Right ventricular end systolic area (RVESA). *d*, Cohen's *D*. *P*-value by paired t-test

3.2.2 | RVSD4 response

RVSD4 was significantly increased at high altitude compared to sea level, but was lowered once blood volume was restored at high altitude via infusion (Figure 4). Time to peak contraction of the RV free wall was significantly longer at high altitude compared to sea level, and significantly shorter at high altitude with volume expansion compared to high altitude alone. The time to peak contraction of the interventricular septal wall was significantly longer at high altitude with volume expansion compared to sea level (Figure 4), but in contrast to acute hypoxia, the reduction in RVD with volume expansion was likely mediated by a decrease in time to peak in the RV free wall. Similar to acute hypoxia, there were no significant changes in RV strain rate in response to either LBPP or hypoxia.

4 | DISCUSSION

This study is the first to examine the effect of changes in venous return on RVD in acute and sustained hypoxia. In relation to our four hypotheses, our primary novel findings are that both (i) acute and (ii) sustained hypoxia increased RVSD4, but the increase was attenuated

TABLE 2 Sustained hypoxia - haemodynamic response during sea level, high altitude and volume expansion at high altitude

	n	Sea Level	High altitude	High altitude + volume expansion	ANOVA
Systemic haemodynamics					
Heart rate (BPM)	7	56 ± 10	68 ± 16	64 ± 15	0.796
MAP (mmHg)	7	93 ± 12	102 ± 12	103 ± 10	0.212
SBP (mmHg)	7	129 ± 17	141 ± 16	139 ± 12	0.448
DBP (mmHg)	7	74 ± 11	80 ± 9	82 ± 11	0.345
Oxygen saturation (%)	7	98 ± 1	$88 \pm 2^{*}$	90 ± 2 [*]	<0.001
RV dimensions					
RVEDA (cm ²)	10	24 ± 4	21 ± 2	23 ± 3	0.111
RVESA (cm ²)	10	13 ± 2	11 ± 3	12 ± 2	0.474
RVFAC (%)	10	44 ± 6	46 ± 12	48 ± 7	0.542
LVEID (%)	10	1.05 ± 0.03	$1.15 \pm 0.07^{*}$	$1.17 \pm 0.06^{*}$	<0.001
LVEIS (%)	10	1.01 ± 0.06	1.05 ± 0.04	1.06 ± 0.06	0.061
RV SV (ml)	9	115 ± 43	117 ± 56	116 ± 48	0.996
Pulmonary haemodynamics					
TR velocity (m/s)	8	2.0 ± 0.3	$2.4 \pm 0.3^{*}$	$2.4 \pm 0.3^{*}$	0.022
sPAP (mmHg)	8	20 ± 4	$26 \pm 5^*$	$26 \pm 5^{*}$	0.027
mPAP (mmHg)	8	14 ± 3	$18 \pm 3^{*}$	$18 \pm 3^{*}$	0.027
PVR (WU)	8	1.21 ± 0.14	$1.51 \pm 0.25^{*}$	$1.54 \pm 0.2^{*}$	0.006
RV strain					
Peak 2DS IVS mid (%)	10	-18.3 ± 1.9	-21.6 ± 3.5	-20.0 ± 3.7	0.240
Peak 2DS IVS bas (%)	10	-17.9 ± 3.3	-19.0 ± 3.1	-17.1 ± 2.3	0.390
Peak 2DS RVFW mid (%)	10	-31.1 ± 2.9	-30.1 ± 4.6	-29.8 ± 4.1	0.749
Peak 2DS RVFW bas (%)	10	-23.5 ± 4.5	-22.1 ± 6.2	-21.3 ± 8.9	0.759
RV GLS (%)	10	-23.6 ± 1.9	-24.7 ± 3.1	-23.7 ± 3.3	0.658
RV GLSR (%/s)	10	1.2 ± 0.2	1.3 ± 0.3	1.2 ± 0.1	0.212
RVSD4 (ms)	10	14 ± 5	$31 \pm 12^*$	$20 \pm 8.7^{*,*}$	0.001
TTP IVS (ms)	10	362 ± 21	338 ± 38	347 ± 24	0.476
TTP RVFW (ms)	10	379 ± 26	370 ± 28	379 ± 29	0.225
TTP GLSR (ms)	10	167 ± 57	170 ± 43	181 ± 52	0.819

*P-value, paired t-test versus sea level. *P-value, paired t-test versus high altitude. 2DS, two dimensional strain; bas, basal level; BPM, beats per minute; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; GLS, global longitudinal strain; GLSR, global longitudinal strain rate; IVS, intraventricular septum; LVEID, left ventricular diastolic eccentricity index; LVEIS, left ventricular systolic eccentricity index; MAP, mean arterial pressure; mid, mid level; mPAP, mean pulmonary artery pressure; SBP, systolic blood pressure; sPAP, systolic pulmonary artery pressure; TR, tricuspid regurgitation; PVR, pulmonary vascular resistance; RVEDA, right ventricular end systolic are; RVFAC, right ventricular fractional area change; RVFW, right ventricular free wall; RVSD4, right ventricular stroke volume; TTP, time to peak; diff, difference; WU, Woods units.

by elevated ventricular filling in both (iii) acute and (iv) sustained hypoxia suggesting that RVD is dependent on both afterload and preload in healthy human participants.

4.1 | The influence of increased pulmonary artery pressure in hypoxia on RVD

Pezzuto et al. (2018) were the first to show that an increase in RV afterload secondary to acute hypoxia increases RVD in healthy volunteers (Pezzuto et al., 2018). Herein, we confirm and extend these findings by demonstrating that both acute and sustained hypoxia result in a robust increase in RVD in healthy volunteers. The results of this study are also in line with earlier work demonstrating that RVD is elevated in conditions with a chronic increase in right ventricular afterload in pulmonary hypertension patients (Badagliacca et al., 2015a, b, 2017; Kalogeropoulos et al., 2008).

There are three main mechanisms that could cause a delay of cardiac contraction: (i) an increased QRS duration (Badagliacca et al., 2015a; Morita et al., 2019), (ii) the non-uniform distribution of wall stress due to the geometric inhomogeneous nature of the RV (Badagliacca et al., 2015a; Morita et al., 2019) and (iii) pressure overload of the

9

ILFV-






FIGURE 4 RV response at sea level (SL), high altitude (HA) and volume expansion at high altitude (HApvx). (a) RVSD4 response; condition indicates the effect of the different conditions on RVSD4. (b) Interpolated segmental strain curves for the four right ventricular wall segments and their time to peak response (indicated with the filled symbols). IVS, intraventricular septal wall; RVFW, right ventricular septal wall. Please note, these are curves for all participants averaged across time, so segmental peaks in the curves will not match the mean of individual peaks reported in other panels. [Correction made on 25 January 2021, after first online publication: The preceding sentence was added to explain the mismatch between interpolated curves and individual peaks across panels.] (IVS is a combination of basal and mid segments of the right ventricular free wall). (c) Corrected time to peak (TTP) response in milliseconds of the different segments during sea level (SL), high altitude (HA) and volume expansion at high altitude (HApvx). *d, Cohen's D. P*-value by ANOVA in (a) and paired t-test in (c) [Correction made on 25 January 2021, after first online publication: Figure 4B has been updated to correct a mislabelling in the curves.]

RV (Badagliacca et al., 2015b; Morita et al., 2019). Considering (i), given that there is no relationship between the QRS duration and the delay in contraction of the RV free wall or the QRS duration with the onset of the RV contraction in pulmonary patients or in healthy volunteers (Marcus et al., 2008; Pezzuto et al., 2018), one could assume that a delay in QRS duration is not involved in RVD. In relation to geometric changes (ii), we found no evidence that the changes in RVD were linked to septal flattening, as the eccentricity index increased in hypoxia but remained elevated during LBPP and

plasma volume expansion despite RVD decreasing. Therefore, whilst changes in RV geometry occur in hypoxia, such alterations do not appear to result in dyssynchronous contraction *per se*. Therefore, the most likely explanation for the increase in RVD during an increase in hypoxia is an increase and non-uniform distribution of wall stress, induced by an increase in RV pressure and workload (Badagliacca et al., 2015a, b; Morita et al., 2019). The importance of wall stress on timing of the contraction of myocytes has been described by van Heuningen et al. (1982), who showed that the contraction of rat myocytes was

10

slower when wall stress was increased (van Heuningen et al., 1982). Thus, when RV afterload is increased, wall stress is elevated in specific segments and causes a slower region-specific contraction, resulting in a dyssynchronised contraction. This is supported by the observation in the current study and previous work in pulmonary hypertension patients that demonstrates time to peak contraction of the RV free wall to be delayed more than the interventricular-septal wall (Lopez-Candales et al., 2005a; Marcus et al., 2008).

4.2 | The influence of increased venous return on RVD in acute and sustained hypoxia

For the first time, we demonstrate that the hypoxia-induced increase in RVD can be attenuated in healthy volunteers when venous return is augmented, in both acute and sustained hypoxaemia. Thus, RVD is related to both RV preload and afterload, rather than solely RV afterload, and may explain why Pezzuto et al. (2018) did not report an increase in RVD during exercise, despite higher pulmonary artery pressures than during hypoxia.

Although RVD was decreased when right ventricular venous return was increased in both acute and sustained hypoxia, the way in which it was achieved differed. Increasing venous return via LBPP in acute hypoxia increased the time to peak contraction in the interventricular septal wall so that it was comparable to the RV free wall, thereby reducing dyssynchrony. This suggests that increasing RV venous return when PAP is elevated lengthens the time to peak contraction in the septum. In contrast to acute hypoxia, restoring venous return via saline in sustained hypoxia did in fact decrease the time to peak contraction in the RV free wall. lowering RVD through a direct effect on RV function. The contrasting findings may, in part, be due to the nature of our two scenarios. During acute hypoxia prior to LBPP, no change in ventricular volumes was observed, nor would it be expected (Boulet, Stembridge, Tymko, Tremblay, & Foster, 2016). With sustained hypoxic exposure, but it is well established that ventricular volumes decrease (Stembridge & Levine, 2019), especially in the left heart. The saline infusion used in the current study was designed only to normalise ventricular volumes to sea level values, whereas LBPP increased ventricular filling beyond baseline levels. Nevertheless, in both scenarios, increasing RV filling decreased RVD, demonstrating the interaction between RVD and filling.

4.3 | Clinical perspective

In conditions of chronically elevated pulmonary artery pressure, RVD is regarded as a marker of both the functional capacity and prognostic outcome (Badagliacca et al., 2015a, b, 2017; Lopez-Candales et al., 2005a, b; Marcus et al., 2008; Murata et al., 2017; Smith et al., 2014). Based on the current study, one could argue that RVD may be related to the prevailing haemodynamics and not just the pulmonary pressure. Moreover, further information is required on how changes in RV structure with disease progression influence RVD. For example,

WIIFV

RV coupling (contractility to arterial afterload) can be maintained in patients with pulmonary hypertension via an increase in workload (Vonk Noordegraaf et al., 2017). In the early stages of the disease, RV uncoupling is prevented by an increase in RV contractility, facilitated by remodelling of RV wall thickness and altering muscular properties of the myocardium (Vonk Noordegraaf et al., 2017). When the RV is no longer able to adapt to the increase in workload by cardiac remodelling, the RV will dilate to increase preload to maintain coupling (Sano et al., 2007; Vonk Noordegraaf et al., 2017). Only in advanced stages of pulmonary hypertension will uncoupling occur, when the adaptability of the RV is insufficient to cope with an increase in workload (Trip et al., 2015).

4.4 | Limitations

The number of participants in this study is relatively small, especially in our study conducted at high altitude. Expeditions of this nature are financially and logistically difficult to organise, and small sample sizes are often unavoidable (Ainslie, 2014). Notwithstanding, all participants showed a similar response to the conditions of this study, and we report individual data points for transparency. Similarly, our method for assessing right atrial and right ventricular pressure was indirect, but invasive measures were not possible, especially in remote locations used in the sustained hypoxia trial. Despite both males and females being actively recruited for our studies, only three females volunteered for our sea level study and no female members of the expedition team were able to participate due to competing demands from other experiments. Therefore, regrettably, we report data on a sample that consists of predominantly males. Despite the small number of females. their RVD response was similar compared to males, indicating that sex has no influence on RVD response to alterations in preload and afterload. The stimuli used to increase preload differed between the acute and sustained setting. However, both stimuli sufficiently increased preload in our study, as well as in previous work (Greenway & Lautt, 1986; Grimminger et al., 2017; Norsk et al., 1986; Pezzuto et al., 2018). The method employed to detect RV dyssynchrony (RVSD4) does not discriminate between systolic and post-systolic contraction. As the occurrence of post-systolic shortening is an important determinant in clinical prognosis in pulmonary hypertension patients, the timing of contraction should be considered when determining RV function (Badagliacca et al., 2015a, b). Lastly, speckle tracking is an analysis method that is subjective to human variability, as the tracking area has to be set manually. To ensure reproducibility of the tracking area, all tracking was performed by the same researcher (M.E.).

5 | CONCLUSION

Collectively, our findings demonstrate the dependence of RVD on ventricular filling as well as afterload, and highlight the need of haemodynamic state and stage of structural remodelling to be considered in the interpretation of RV function in health and disease.

WILEY

COMPETING INTERESTS

We have no conflicts of interest to declare.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

M.E., T.D. and M.S. conceived and designed and performed the experiments of research study 1 and L.M.B. and M.S. conceived and designed and performed the experiments of research study 2; M.E., D.T. and M.S. interpreted the results of study 1 and 2; M.E. and M.S. analysed the data and prepared the figures; M.E. and M.S. drafted the manuscript; and T.D., L.M.B and D.T. edited and revised the manuscript. All authors approved final version of manuscript and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved. All persons designated as authors qualify for authorship, and all those who qualify for authorship are listed.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are openly available on Figshare at DOI: 10.25401/cardiffmet.13049699.

ORCID

Tony Dawkins https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5203-135X Lindsey M Boulet https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0477-6511 Mike Stembridge https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0818-6420

REFERENCES

- Abbas, A. E., Fortuin, F. D., Schiller, N. B., Appleton, C. P., Moreno, C. A., & Lester, S. J. (2003). A simple method for noninvasive estimation of pulmonary vascular resistance. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, 41, 1021–1027.
- Ainslie, P. N. (2014). On the nature of research at high altitude: Packing it all in! *Experimental Physiology*, *99*, 741–742.
- Armour, J. A., & Randall, W. C. (1970). Structural basis for cardiac function. American Journal of Physiology, 218, 1517–1523.
- Badagliacca, R., Papa, S., Valli, G., Pezzuto, B., Poscia, R., Reali, M., & Vizza, C. D. (2017). Right ventricular dyssynchrony and exercise capacity in idiopathic pulmonary arterial hypertension. *European Respiratory Journal*, 49, 1601419.
- Badagliacca, R., Poscia, R., Pezzuto, B., Papa, S., Gambardella, C., Francone, M., & Dario Vizza, C. (2015a). Right ventricular dyssynchrony in idiopathic pulmonary arterial hypertension: Determinants and impact on pump function. *Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation*, 34, 381– 389.
- Badagliacca, R., Reali, M., Poscia, R., Pezzuto, B., Papa, S., Mezzapesa, M., & Vizza, C. D. (2015b). Right intraventricular dyssynchrony in idiopathic, heritable, and anorexigen-induced pulmonary arterial hypertension: clinical impact and reversibility. JACC Cardiovascular Imaging, 8, 642–652.
- Barnard, D., & Alpert, J. S. (1987). Right ventricular function in health and disease. Current Problems in Cardiology, 12, 418–449.
- Berger, H. J., Matthay, R. A., Loke, J., Marshall, R. C., Gottschalk, A., & Zaret, B. L. (1978). Assessment of cardiac performance with quantitative radionuclide angiocardiography: Right ventricular ejection fraction with reference to findings in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *American Journal of Cardiology*, 41, 897–905.
- Boulet, L. M., Stembridge, M., Tymko, M. M., Tremblay, J. C., & Foster, G.
 E. (2016). The effects of graded changes in oxygen and carbon dioxide tension on coronary blood velocity independent of myocardial energy

demand. American Journal of Physiology. Heart and Circulatory Physiology, 311, H326–H336.

- Chemla, D., Castelain, V., Humbert, M., Hebert, J. L., Simonneau, G., Lecarpentier, Y., & Herve, P. (2004). New formula for predicting mean pulmonary artery pressure using systolic pulmonary artery pressure. *Chest*, 126, 1313–1317.
- Greenway, C. V., & Lautt, W. W. (1986). Blood volume, the venous system, preload, and cardiac output. *Canadian Journal of Physiology and Pharmacology*, 64, 383–387.
- Grimminger, J., Richter, M., Tello, K., Sommer, N., Gall, H., & Ghofrani, H. A. (2017). Thin air resulting in high pressure: mountain sickness and hypoxia-induced pulmonary hypertension. *Canadian Respiratory Journal*, 2017, 8381653.
- Guerin, L., Teboul, J. L., Persichini, R., Dres, M., Richard, C., & Monnet, X. (2015). Effects of passive leg raising and volume expansion on mean systemic pressure and venous return in shock in humans. *Critical Care*, 19, 411.
- Incalzi, R. A., Fuso, L., De Rosa, M., Di Napoli, A., Basso, S., Pagliari, G., & Pistelli, R. (1999). Electrocardiographic signs of chronic cor pulmonale: A negative prognostic finding in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Circulation*, 99, 1600–1605.
- Kalogeropoulos, A. P., Georgiopoulou, V. V., Howell, S., Pernetz, M. A., Fisher, M. R., Lerakis, S., & Martin, R. P. (2008). Evaluation of right intraventricular dyssynchrony by two-dimensional strain echocardiography in patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension. *Journal of the American Society of Echocardiography*, 21, 1028–1034.
- La Gerche, A., Heidbuchel, H., Burns, A. T., Mooney, D. J., Taylor, A. J., Pfluger, H. B., & Prior, D. L. (2011). Disproportionate exercise load and remodeling of the athlete's right ventricle. *Medicine and Science in Sports* and Exercise, 43, 974–981.
- Lamia, B., Muir, J.-F., Molano, L.-C., Viacroze, C., Benichou, J., Bonnet, P., Quieffin, J., Cuvelier, A., & Naeije, R. (2017). Altered synchrony of right ventricular contraction in borderline pulmonary hypertension. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging*, 33, 1331–1339.
- Lang, R. M., Badano, L. P., Mor-Avi, V., Afilalo, J., Armstrong, A., Ernande, L., & Voigt, J. U. (2015). Recommendations for cardiac chamber quantification by echocardiography in adults: An update from the American Society of Echocardiography and the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. Journal of the American Society of Echocardiography, 28, 1– 39.e14.
- Lopez-Candales, A., Dohi, K., Bazaz, R., & Edelman, K. (2005a). Relation of right ventricular free wall mechanical delay to right ventricular dysfunction as determined by tissue Doppler imaging. *American Journal* of Cardiology, 96, 602–606.
- Lopez-Candales, A., Dohi, K., Rajagopalan, N., Suffoletto, M., Murali, S., Gorcsan, J., & Edelman, K. (2005b). Right ventricular dyssynchrony in patients with pulmonary hypertension is associated with disease severity and functional class. *Cardiovascular Ultrasound*, *3*, 23.
- Marcus, J. T., Gan, C. T., Zwanenburg, J. J., Boonstra, A., Allaart, C. P., Gotte, M. J., & Vonk-Noordegraaf, A. (2008). Interventricular mechanical asynchrony in pulmonary arterial hypertension: Left-to-right delay in peak shortening is related to right ventricular overload and left ventricular underfilling. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, 51, 750–757.
- Morita, T., Nakamura, K., Osuga, T., Yokoyama, N., Morishita, K., Sasaki, N., & Takiguchi, M. (2019). Effect of acute volume overload on echocardiographic indices of right ventricular function and dyssynchrony assessed by use of speckle tracking echocardiography in healthy dogs. *American Journal of Veterinary Research*, 80, 51–60.
- Murata, M., Tsugu, T., Kawakami, T., Kataoka, M., Minakata, Y., Endo, J., & Fukuda, K. (2017). Right ventricular dyssynchrony predicts clinical outcomes in patients with pulmonary hypertension. *International Journal* of Cardiology, 228, 912–918.
- Naeije, R., & Badagliacca, R. (2017). The overloaded right heart and ventricular interdependence. Cardiovascular Research, 113, 1474–1485.

- Naeije, R., & Chesler, N. (2012). Pulmonary circulation at exercise. Comprehensive Physiology, 2, 711–741.
- Naeije, R., & Dedobbeleer, C. (2013). Pulmonary hypertension and the right ventricle in hypoxia. *Experimental Physiology*, 98, 1247–1256.
- Norsk, P., Bonde-Petersen, F., & Warberg, J. (1986). Central venous pressure and plasma arginine vasopressin in man during water immersion combined with changes in blood volume. *European Journal of Applied Physiology and Occupational Physiology*, 54, 608–616.
- Pezzuto, B., Forton, K., Badagliacca, R., Motoji, Y., Faoro, V., & Naeije, R. (2018). Right ventricular dyssynchrony during hypoxic breathing but not during exercise in healthy subjects: A speckle tracking echocardiography study. *Experimental Physiology*, 103, 1338–1346.
- Rudski, L. G., Lai, W. W., Afilalo, J., Hua, L., Handschumacher, M. D., Chandrasekaran, K., & Schiller, N. B. (2010). Guidelines for the echocardiographic assessment of the right heart in adults: A report from the American Society of Echocardiography endorsed by the European Association of Echocardiography, a registered branch of the European Society of Cardiology, and the Canadian Society of Echocardiography. *Journal of the American Society of Echocardiography, 23*, 685–713; quiz 786–688.
- Sano, M., Minamino, T., Toko, H., Miyauchi, H., Orimo, M., Qin, Y., & Komuro, I. (2007). p53-induced inhibition of Hif-1 causes cardiac dysfunction during pressure overload. *Nature*, 446, 444–448.
- Smith, B. C., Dobson, G., Dawson, D., Charalampopoulos, A., Grapsa, J., & Nihoyannopoulos, P. (2014). Three-dimensional speckle tracking of the right ventricle: Toward optimal quantification of right ventricular dysfunction in pulmonary hypertension. *Journal of the American College* of Cardiology, 64, 41–51.
- Stembridge, M., Ainslie, P. N., Boulet, L. M., Anholm, J., Subedi, P., Tymko, M. M., & Shave, R. (2019). The independent effects of hypovolaemia and pulmonary vasoconstriction on ventricular function and exercise capacity during acclimatisation to 3800 m. *Journal of Physiology*, 597, 1059–1072.
- Stembridge, M., Ainslie, P. N., Hughes, M. G., Stohr, E. J., Cotter, J. D., Nio, A. Q., & Shave, R. (2014). Ventricular structure, function, and mechanics at high altitude: Chronic remodeling in Sherpa vs. short-term lowlander adaptation. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, 117, 334–343.
- Stembridge, M., Ainslie, P. N., Hughes, M. G., Stohr, E. J., Cotter, J. D., Tymko, M. M., & Shave, R. E. (2015). Impaired myocardial function does not explain reduced left ventricular filling and stroke volume at rest or

during exercise at high altitude. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, 119, 1219–1227.

- Stembridge, M., & Levine, B. (2019). Cardiac performance with chronic hypoxia: Mechanisms regulating stroke volume. *Current Oponions in Physiology*, 7, 66–71.
- Trip, P., Rain, S., Handoko, M. L., van der Bruggen, C., Bogaard, H. J., Marcus, J. T., & de Man, F. S. (2015). Clinical relevance of right ventricular diastolic stiffness in pulmonary hypertension. *European Respiratory Journal*, 45, 1603–1612.
- van Heuningen, R., Rijnsburger, W. H., & ter Keurs, H. E. (1982). Sarcomere length control in striated muscle. American Journal of Physiology, 242, H411–H420.
- Vonk Noordegraaf, A., Westerhof, B. E., & Westerhof, N. (2017). The relationship between the right ventricle and its load in pulmonary hypertension. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 69, 236–243.
- Weyman, A. E., Wann, S., Feigenbaum, H., & Dillon, J. C. (1976). Mechanism of abnormal septal motion in patients with right ventricular volume overload: A cross-sectional echocardiographic study. *Circulation*, 54, 179– 186.
- Williams, A. M., Shave, R. E., Stembridge, M., & Eves, N. D. (2016). Females have greater left ventricular twist mechanics than males during acute reductions to preload. *American Journal of Physiology. Heart and Circulatory Physiology*, 311, H76–H84.
- Zoghbi, W. A., Adams, D., Bonow, R. O., Enriquez-Sarano, M., Foster, E., Grayburn, P. A., & Weissman, N. J. (2017). Recommendations for noninvasive evaluation of native valvular regurgitation: A Report from the American Society of Echocardiography Developed in Collaboration with the Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance. Journal of the American Society of Echocardiography, 30, 303–371.

How to cite this article: Ewalts M, Dawkins T, Boulet LM, Thijssen D, Stembridge M. The influence of increased venous return on right ventricular dyssynchrony during acute and sustained hypoxaemia. *Experimental Physiology*. 2021;1–13. https://doi.org/10.1113/EP088657