21 APRIL 2017

Relative Modification of Prompt $\psi(2S)$ and J/ψ Yields from *pp* to PbPb Collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV

A. M. Sirunyan et al.*

(CMS Collaboration)

(Received 4 November 2016; revised manuscript received 5 March 2017; published 20 April 2017)

The relative modification of the prompt $\psi(2S)$ and J/ψ yields from pp to PbPb collisions, at the centerof-mass energy of 5.02 TeV per nucleon pair, is presented. The analysis is based on pp and PbPb data samples collected by the CMS experiment at the LHC in 2015, corresponding to integrated luminosities of 28.0 pb⁻¹ and 464 μ b⁻¹, respectively. The double ratio of measured yields of prompt charmonia reconstructed through their decays into muon pairs, $(N_{\psi(2S)}/N_{J/\psi})_{PbPb}/(N_{\psi(2S)}/N_{J/\psi})_{pp}$, is determined as a function of PbPb collision centrality and charmonium transverse momentum p_T , in two kinematic intervals: |y| < 1.6 covering $6.5 < p_T < 30$ GeV/c and 1.6 < |y| < 2.4 covering $3 < p_T < 30$ GeV/c. The centrality-integrated double ratios are $0.36 \pm 0.08(\text{stat}) \pm 0.05(\text{syst})$ in the first interval and $0.24 \pm$ $0.22(\text{stat}) \pm 0.09(\text{syst})$ in the second. The double ratio is lower than unity in all the measured bins, suggesting that the $\psi(2S)$ yield is more suppressed than the J/ψ yield in the explored phase space.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.162301

Quarkonium production is expected to be significantly influenced by the formation of a quark-gluon plasma (QGP) in heavy ion collisions, thereby providing an important probe of the QGP properties. While the earlyformed mesons propagate through the medium and probe its space-time evolution, the overall production rates can also reflect later production mechanisms. The suppression of charmonium production due to Debye screening of the color charges in the plasma was proposed 30 years ago [1]. The J/ψ suppression observed in PbPb collisions at the SPS by NA50 [2] and in AuAu collisions at RHIC by PHENIX [3] is compatible with this picture. Another effect, referred to as regeneration, might be at work at a sufficiently high collision energy, when the number of charmanticharm pairs is large: Uncorrelated charm quarks and antiquarks may coalesce in the medium to form a bound charmonium state, leading to an enhanced production in heavy ion collisions [4,5]. Hints of the latter were found at the LHC in recent results from ALICE [6,7], which measured a weaker J/ψ meson suppression than at RHIC, especially at low p_T .

The study of the modification of the excited $\psi(2S)$ state is of particular interest. The strength of medium effects on its production might be significantly different from that of the J/ψ because of the larger size and weaker binding of the $\psi(2S)$ state. The smaller binding energy should make it easier for the $\psi(2S)$ to dissociate in the medium, leading to sequential melting [8]. However, the smaller production cross section and branching fraction to dimuons make the $\psi(2S)$ less accessible experimentally than the J/ψ , especially when a large background is present, such as in heavy ion collisions. At the SPS fixed-target facility, the $\psi(2S)$ production in heavy ion collisions was seen to be more suppressed than the J/ψ by NA38 [9], NA50 [10], and NA60 [11], in SU, PbPb, and InIn collisions, respectively.

A useful variable to compare the strength of medium effects on the J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ in PbPb collisions is the double ratio $(N_{\psi(2S)}/N_{J/\psi})_{\text{PbPb}}/(N_{\psi(2S)}/N_{J/\psi})_{pp}$, which is the ratio of the corresponding nuclear modification factors. While Debye screening in the hot medium should make the double ratio smaller than unity, the presence of regeneration effects could make it exceed unity, if uncorrelated quark coalescence produces $\psi(2S)$ mesons more frequently than J/ψ mesons. The double ratio allows for the partial to total cancellation of corrections (including acceptance, efficiency, and integrated luminosity) and their associated uncertainties. The CMS measurement of the prompt charmonium double ratio at a center-of-mass energy per nucleon pair of $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV [12] showed that the $\psi(2S)$ is more suppressed than the J/ψ at midrapidity and high transverse momentum (|y| < 1.6, $6.5 < p_T <$ 30 GeV/c), while at more forward rapidity and intermediate p_T (1.6 < |y| < 2.4, 3 < p_T < 30 GeV/c), a smaller suppression of the $\psi(2S)$ than the J/ψ was favored. This behavior could be reproduced by introducing a different time dependence of the J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ regeneration processes [13] or by considering different possible heavy quark potentials [14]. A similar measurement from the ALICE experiment [15], integrated over p_T and at forward

^{*}Full author list given at the end of the article.

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI.

rapidity (2.5 < y < 4), favored the $\psi(2S)$ to be more suppressed than the J/ψ , as expected in other models [16,17]. The medium effects (Debye screening, regeneration, and others) affecting the two charmonia might have different dependences on the collision energy, emphasizing the relevance of performing measurements at several energies.

In this Letter, we report a new study of J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ relative production in pp and PbPb data collected with the CMS experiment at the CERN LHC in 2015, at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV. The larger integrated luminosities allow for a more precise and differential measurement of the double ratio as a function of centrality and, for the first time, as a function of the charmonium p_T .

The central feature of the CMS apparatus is a superconducting solenoid of 6 m internal diameter, providing a magnetic field of 3.8 T. Within the solenoid volume are a silicon pixel and strip tracker, a lead tungstate crystal electromagnetic calorimeter, and a brass and scintillator hadron calorimeter, each composed of a barrel and two end cap sections. Forward calorimeters extend the coverage provided by the barrel and end cap detectors. Muons are measured in the pseudorapidity range $|\eta| < 2.4$ in gasionization detectors embedded in the steel flux-return yoke outside the solenoid, with detection planes made using three technologies: drift tubes, cathode strip chambers, and resistive plate chambers. Matching muons to tracks measured in the silicon tracker leads to a relative transverse momentum resolution between 1% and 2% for a typical muon in this analysis $(p_T < 30 \text{ GeV}/c)$ [18]. A more detailed description of the CMS detector, together with a definition of the coordinate system used and the relevant kinematic variables, can be found in Ref. [19].

Hadronic collisions are selected using information from the forward hadron calorimeters (HF), covering $2.9 < |\eta| < 5.2$, in coincidence with a bunch crossing identified by beam pick-up timing detectors. A primary vertex reconstructed with at least two tracks is also required. In addition, a filter is applied on the compatibility of the silicon pixel cluster width distribution and the vertex position. For PbPb collisions only, at least three towers above 3 GeV are requested in the HF on each side of the interaction point. Centrality is defined using fractions of the inelastic hadronic cross section determined from the HF distributions, with 0% denoting the most central collisions [20].

The integrated luminosities are 28.0 pb⁻¹ for pp data and 464 μ b⁻¹ for PbPb data. The dimuon ratios reported in this Letter are unaffected by the small number of extra collisions potentially present in the collected events: The mean of the Poisson distribution of the number of collisions per bunch crossing (pileup), averaged over the full data sample, is approximately 0.9 for the pp data and much smaller for the PbPb data. Dimuon events are selected by the level-1 trigger system, with no explicit muon momentum threshold. The 0%–30% most central events have a prescale needed to reduce their high trigger rates, corresponding to an effective integrated luminosity of 351 μb^{-1} .

Simulated events are used to tune the muon selection criteria and the signal fitting parameters, as well as for acceptance and efficiency studies. These Monte Carlo (MC) samples, produced using PYTHIA 8.209 [21], are embedded in a realistic PbPb background event generated with HYDJET 1.9 [22] and propagated through the CMS detector with GEANT4 [23]. These events are processed through the trigger emulation and the event reconstruction chain.

The muon reconstruction algorithm starts by finding tracks in the muon detectors, which are then fitted together with tracks reconstructed in the silicon tracker. Kinematic limits are imposed on the single muons so that their reconstruction efficiency stays above 10%. These limits are $p_T^{\mu} > 3.5 \text{ GeV}/c$ for $|\eta^{\mu}| < 1.2, p_T^{\mu} > 1.8 \text{ GeV}/c$ for $2.1 < |\eta^{\mu}| < 2.4$, and linearly interpolated in the intermediate $|\eta^{\mu}|$ region. The muons are required to match those used online by the dimuon trigger, to be of opposite charge, and to survive standard quality selection criteria [18]. In order to remove cosmic-ray muons, the transverse and longitudinal distances of closest approach between the muon trajectory and the reconstructed primary vertex are required to be less than 0.3 and 20 cm, respectively. The fit probability that the two muon tracks originate from a common vertex is required to be larger than 1%.

Nonprompt charmonia, originating from the decays of B mesons, are resolved using the pseudoproper decay length $\ell_{J/\psi}^{3D} = c L_{xyz} m_{J/\psi} / |p_{\mu\mu}|$, where L_{xyz} is the distance between the primary and dimuon vertices, $m_{J/w}$ the mass of the J/ψ meson (assumed for all dimuon candidates), and $p_{\mu\mu}$ the dimuon momentum. Dimuons are discarded if their $I_{J/\psi}^{3D}$ is larger than a l_0 threshold, computed using MC simulations to keep 90% of the prompt J/ψ . Since the $\ell_{J/\psi}^{3D}$ resolution improves with increasing dimuon p_T , from ≈ 100 to $\approx 20 \ \mu m$ in this analysis, the l_0 cut values also depend on p_T . This selection removes more than 80% of the nonprompt J/ψ . The double ratio of prompt charmonia is deduced from the double ratio of charmonia passing the $\ell_{J/\psi}^{3D}$ selection. This is accomplished taking into account the $\ell_{J/\psi}^{3D}$ selection efficiencies for prompt (ϵ_P) and nonprompt (ϵ_{NP}) charmonia, both estimated from simulation studies. The contamination from nonprompt charmonia is also accounted for, using dimuons failing the $\ell_{J/\psi}^{\rm 3D}$ selection: $f_P = (f_{\text{pass}} - \epsilon_{NP})/(\epsilon_P - \epsilon_{NP})$, with f_P the fraction of prompt charmonia and f_{pass} the fraction of charmonia passing the $\ell_{J/\psi}^{3D}$ selection. This correction changes the double ratio by values that depend on the analysis bin but are always smaller than 0.09.

The $\psi(2S)$ to J/ψ yield ratios, $N_{\psi(2S)}/N_{J/\psi}$, are extracted in pp and PbPb collisions from unbinned maximum extended likelihood fits of the $\mu^+\mu^-$ invariant

mass distributions in the region $2.2 < m_{\mu^+\mu^-} < 4.5 \,\text{GeV}/c^2$. The analysis is carried out differentially in charmonium p_T and event centrality, as well as integrated over these variables, for two kinematic ranges: |y| < 1.6, $6.5 < p_T < 30 \,\text{GeV}/c$ and 1.6 < |y| < 2.4, $3 < p_T < 30 \,\text{GeV}/c$. The different lower p_T thresholds reflect the detector acceptance.

In the fit of the pp dimuon mass distribution, the J/ψ resonance is described by two Crystal Ball (CB) functions [24], with common mean and tail parameters but independent widths and free relative amplitudes (seven free parameters). In the PbPb case, the CB tail parameters and the ratio between the widths of the two CB functions are fixed to the values extracted from simulation studies. In both cases, the shape of the $\psi(2S)$ is determined by the shape of the J/ψ , all parameters being identical except for the mean and width, which are scaled by the $\psi(2S)$ over J/ψ mass ratio. The background is described by a polynomial of order N, where N is the lowest value that provides a good description of the data and is determined in each analysis bin by performing a log-likelihood ratio (LLR) test between polynomials of different orders while keeping the signal parameters fixed; it is never larger than 3.

Integrated over centrality, rapidity, and p_T , the fits yield about 38 000 (293 000) J/ψ and 530 (11 200) $\psi(2S)$ mesons in PbPb (*pp*) collisions. Examples of such fits for the PbPb data are shown in Fig. 1, for two cases of very different $\psi(2S)$ signal-to-background ratios.

The systematic uncertainties arise from the signal and background fitting model assumptions, the imperfect efficiency cancellation, and the nonprompt residual contamination. These uncertainties are derived separately for pp and PbPb data, and the total systematic uncertainty is computed as the quadratic sum of the partial terms.

In order to determine the uncertainty associated with the fitting procedure, the signal and background models are independently varied in each analysis bin. For the signal, the fixed parameters are released one by one. As a further test, the signal parameters are fixed to the values obtained from a $\psi(2S)$ simulation, instead of the J/ψ simulation. A different signal shape is also tried: a CB function plus a Gaussian function. For the background model, the fitted mass range is varied and an exponential of a polynomial is used, redoing LLR tests to choose the best order for the polynomial in each analysis bin. The maximum difference of the single ratio $N[\psi(2S)]/N(J/\psi)$ between the nominal and alternative fits, performed for the signal and background separately, is taken as the corresponding systematic uncertainty. These uncertainties depend crucially on the signal-to-background ratio in the $\psi(2S)$ region. The absolute uncertainties on the double ratio remain below 0.02 and 0.11 for the *pp* and PbPb contributions, respectively.

The nonprompt J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ fractions in pp collisions, as well as the J/ψ fraction in PbPb collisions, are



FIG. 1. Invariant mass spectrum of $\mu^+\mu^-$ pairs [restricting to the $\psi(2S)$ region in the insets] in PbPb collisions for (left) |y| < 1.6, $9 < p_T < 12 \text{ GeV}/c$, all centrality, and (right) 1.6 < |y| < 2.4, $3 < p_T < 30 \text{ GeV}/c$, 0%-20% centrality. The results of the fits described in the text are also shown.

validated with two-dimensional fits to the dimuon mass and pseudoproper decay length distributions [25]. The PbPb event sample does not have enough $\psi(2S)$ events to provide a reliable two-dimensional fit. The variation in the double ratio when using nonprompt fractions from the two-dimensional fits is taken as a systematic uncertainty, never exceeding 0.07.

Finally, residual noncancellations of efficiencies in the double ratio are evaluated with MC studies, considering a broad range of p_T spectra compatible with the pp and PbPb data within their uncertainties. The corresponding systematic uncertainty varies between 0.01 and 0.05, with the exception of the lowest p_T bin, where it reaches 0.10. If the quarkonium acceptances were different in pp and PbPb,



FIG. 2. Transverse momentum dependence of $(N_{\psi(2S)}/N_{J/\psi})_{\text{PbPb}}/(N_{\psi(2S)}/N_{J/\psi})_{pp}$, for mid (squares) and forward (circles) rapidity, with both muons above the p_T threshold described in the text. The arrow represents the 95% C.L. interval in the bin where the measurement is consistent with 0. The vertical lines (boxes) represent the statistical (systematic) uncertainties. The horizontal lines represent the width of the p_T bins.

they would not perfectly cancel in the double ratio. This would be the case if some physics effects (such as polarization or energy loss) would affect quarkonia in PbPb collisions with a strong kinematic dependence within an analysis bin. As in previous analyses [12,26–28], such possible effects are considered as part of the physics under study and not as systematic uncertainties.

The measured double ratio is shown in Figs. 2 and 3 as a function of p_T and event centrality, respectively. Centrality is commonly represented by the average number of participating nucleons, $\langle N_{part} \rangle$, computed with the Glauber model [29]. In terms of centrality percentiles, the bins correspond to 0%-10%, 10%-20%, 20%-30%, 30%–40%, 40%–50%, and 50%–100% in the midrapidity region and 0%-20%, 20%-40%, and 40%-100% for the forward rapidity region. The most "peripheral" bins are rather wide, and, since quarkonium yields scale with the number of nucleon-nucleon collisions, most charmonia are produced close to the most central edge of the bins. The $\langle N_{\text{part}} \rangle$ values used in the following are computed for events following a flat centrality distribution. When the measured double ratio is consistent with zero within one standard deviation of its statistical uncertainty, its corresponding 95% confidence level (C.L.) interval is computed, using the Feldman-Cousins procedure [30]. The numerical values of all measurements, including the 95% C.L. intervals, are tabulated in Supplemental Material [31].

The rightmost panels in Fig. 3 show the double ratio integrated over p_T and centrality: $0.36 \pm 0.08(\text{stat}) \pm 0.05(\text{syst})$ in the |y| < 1.6 and $6.5 < p_T < 30 \text{ GeV}/c$



FIG. 3. Event centrality dependence of $(N_{\psi(2S)}/N_{J/\psi})_{PbPb}/(N_{\psi(2S)}/N_{J/\psi})_{pp}$, for mid (left) and forward (right) rapidity, with both muons above the p_T threshold described in the text. Values for the centrality-integrated sample are given in the right panels. The arrows represent 95% C.L. intervals in the bins where the measurement is consistent with 0. The vertical lines (boxes) represent the statistical (systematic) uncertainties. The statistical and systematic uncertainties in the p_P measurements, common to all points, are represented as boxes at unity. The measurements from CMS at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV [12] are also shown.

range and 0.24 ± 0.22 (stat) ± 0.09 (syst) in the 1.6 < |y| < 2.4 and 3 < p_T < 30 GeV/c range.

The double ratios measured at 5.02 TeV and reported in this Letter are below unity in all bins. Assuming that the J/ψ is suppressed in PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV, as suggested by results at lower energy in the same kinematic range by CMS [25] or at both energies but in a different rapidity range by ALICE [6,7], the $\psi(2S)$ is more suppressed than the J/ψ in PbPb collisions. This difference in suppression is already present in the most peripheral ranges probed by this analysis, starting at 40% or 50% centrality. No strong dependencies are observed with centrality or transverse momentum.

In Fig. 3, a reasonable agreement with the measurement made at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV can be seen in most of the bins. Systematic uncertainties are uncorrelated between the two data sets. In the range 1.6 < |y| < 2.4 and $3 < p_T < 30$ GeV/*c*, the double ratios are consistently lower in the 5.02 TeV data, especially in the most central collisions. The difference is at the level of around 3 standard deviations in the centrality-integrated sample.

In summary, the double ratio $(N_{\psi(2S)}/N_{J/\psi})_{\rm PbPb}/$ $(N_{\psi(2S)}/N_{J/\psi})_{pp}$ was measured to compare the relative production of J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ mesons in pp and PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV, as a function of transverse momentum and collision centrality. The double ratio is below unity in all bins, suggesting that the $\psi(2S)$ yield is more suppressed than the J/ψ yield in the kinematic range explored. The 5.02 TeV data do not show the enhancement in the double ratio previously seen for collisions at 2.76 TeV in the 1.6 < |y| < 2.4 and $3 < p_T < 30 \text{ GeV}/c$ range. No strong variations are observed with charmonium p_T or collision centrality. These results should significantly contribute to a deeper understanding of the medium effects at play in J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ production, in particular, by better constraining the energy dependence of the regeneration effects potentially affecting the two charmonium states.

We congratulate our colleagues in the Conseil Européen pour la Recherche Nucléaire (CERN) accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC and thank the technical and administrative staffs at CERN and at other CMS institutes for their contributions to the success of the CMS effort. In addition, we gratefully acknowledge the computing centers and personnel of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid for delivering so effectively the computing infrastructure essential to our analyses. Finally, we acknowledge the enduring support for the construction and operation of the LHC and the CMS detector provided by the following funding agencies: Bundesministerium für Wissenschaft, Forschung und Wirtschaft (BMWFW) and Austrian Science Fund (FWF) (Austria); Belgian Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique (FNRS) and Belgian Fonds voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek (FWO) (Belgium); Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnelógica (CNPq), Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES), Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de Rio de Janeiro (FAPERJ), and Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP) (Brazil); Bulgarian Ministry of Education and Science (MES) (Bulgaria); CERN; Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS), Ministry of Science and Technology (MoST), and Chinese National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) (China); Colombian Funding Agency (COLCIENCIAS) (Colombia); Croatian Ministry of Science, Education and Sport (MSES) and Croatian Science Foundation (CSF) (Croatia); Research Promotion Foundation (RPF) (Cyprus); Secretaría de Educación Superior, Ciencia, Tecnología e Innovación (SENESCYT) (Ecuador); Ministry of Education and Research (MoER), Estonian Research Council via IUT23-4 and IUT23-6 (ERC IUT), and European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) (Estonia); Academy of Finland, Finnish Ministry of Education and Culture (MEC), and Helsinki Institute of Physics (HIP) (Finland); Commissariat à l'Énergie Atomique et aux Énergies Alternatives (CEA) and Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS)/Institut National de Physique Nucléaire et de Physique des Particules (IN2P3) (France); Bundesministerium für Forschung Bildung und (BMBF), Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), and Helmholtz-Forschungszentren Gemeinschaft Deutscher (HGF) (Germany); General Secretariat for Research and Technology (GSRT) (Greece); Országos Tudományos Kutatási Alapprogramok (OTKA) and National Innovation Office (NIH) (Hungary); Department of Atomic Energy (DAE) and Department of Science and Technology (DST) (India); Institute for Research in Fundamental Studies (IPM) (Iran); Science Foundation (SFI) (Ireland); Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN) (Italy); Korean Ministry of Education, Science Technology (MSIP) and National Research and Foundation of Korea (NRF) (Republic of Korea); Lithuanian Academy of Sciences (LAS) (Lithuania); Ministry of Education (MOE) and University of Malaya (UM) (Malaysia); Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla (BUAP), Centro de Investigación y de Estudios del Instituto Politécnico Nacional Avanzados (CINVESTAV), Consejo Nacional de Ciencia V Tecnología (CONACYT), Laboratorio Nacional de Supercómputo del Sureste (LNS), Secretaría de Educación Pública (SEP), and Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí (UASLP-FAI) (Mexico); Ministry of Innovation and Employment (MBIE) (New Business. Zealand); Pakistan Atomic Energy Commission (PAEC) (Pakistan); Ministry of Science and Higher Education (MSHE) and National Science Centre (NSC) (Poland); Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT) (Portugal); Joint Institute for Nuclear Research (JINR) (Dubna); Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation (MON), Federal Agency of Atomic Energy of the Russian Federation (RosAtom), Russian Academy of Sciences (RAS), and Russian Foundation for Basic Research (RFBR) (Russia); Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of Serbia (MESTD) (Serbia); Secretaría de Estado de Investigación, Desarrollo e Innovación (SEIDI) and Programa Consolider-Ingenio 2010 (CPAN) (Spain): Swiss Funding Agencies (Switzerland); Ministry of Science and Technology (MST) (Taipei); Thailand Center of Excellence in Physics (ThEPCenter), Institute for the Promotion of Teaching Science and Technology of Thailand (IPST), Special Task Force for Activating Research (STAR), and National Science and Technology Development Agency of Thailand (NSTDA) (Thailand); Scientific and Technical Research Council of Turkey (TUBITAK) and Turkish Atomic Energy Authority (TAEK) (Turkey); National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine (NASU) and State Fund for Fundamental Researches (SFFR) (Ukraine); Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC) (United Kingdom); and US Department of Energy (DOE) and US National Science Foundation (NSF) (USA).

- [1] T. Matsui and H. Satz, J/ψ suppression by quark-gluon plasma formation, Phys. Lett. B **178**, 416 (1986).
- [2] B. Alessandro *et al.* (NA50 Collaboration), A new measurement of J/ψ suppression in Pb-Pb collisions at 158 GeV per nucleon, Eur. Phys. J. C **39**, 335 (2005).
- [3] A. Adare *et al.* (PHENIX Collaboration), J/ψ Production versus Centrality, Transverse Momentum, and Rapidity in AuAu Collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 232301 (2007).
- [4] P. Braun-Munzinger and J. Stachel, (Non)thermal aspects of charmonium production and a new look at J/ψ suppression, Phys. Lett. B **490**, 196 (2000).
- [5] R. L. Thews, aMartin Schroedter, and Johann Rafelski, Enhanced J/ψ production in deconfined quark matter, Phys. Rev. C **63**, 054905 (2001).
- [6] ALICE Collaboration, Centrality, rapidity and transverse momentum dependence of J/ψ suppression in PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV, Phys. Lett. B **734**, 314 (2014).
- [7] ALICE Collaboration, J/ψ suppression at forward rapidity in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV, Phys. Lett. B **766**, 212 (2017).
- [8] S. Digal, P. Petreczky, and H. Satz, Quarkonium feed down and sequential suppression, Phys. Rev. D 64, 094015 (2001).
- [9] C. Baglin *et al.* (NA38 Collaboration), ψ' and J/ψ production in p-W, p-U and S-U interactions at 200 GeV/nucleon, Phys. Lett. B **345**, 617 (1995).
- [10] B. Alessandro *et al.* (NA50 Collaboration), ψ' production in Pb-Pb collisions at 158 GeV/nucleon, Eur. Phys. J. C **49**, 559 (2007).
- [11] R. Arnaldi *et al.* (NA60 Collaboration), J/ψ Production in Indium-Indium Collisions at 158 GeV/Nucleon, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 132302 (2007).
- [12] CMS Collaboration, Measurement of Prompt $\psi(2S)$ to J/ψ Yield Ratios in PbPb and pp Collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 262301 (2014).
- [13] X. Du and R. Rapp, Sequential regeneration of charmonia in heavy-ion collisions, Nucl. Phys. A943, 147 (2015).

- [14] B. Chen, X. Du, and R. Rapp, Internal charmonium evolution in the quark-gluon plasma, arXiv:1612.02089.
- [15] ALICE Collaboration, Differential studies of inclusive J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ production at forward rapidity in PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2016) 179.
- [16] A. Andronic, F. Beutler, P. Braun-Munzinger, K. Redlich, and J. Stachel, Statistical hadronization of heavy flavor quarks in elementary collisions: Successes and failures, Phys. Lett. B 678, 350 (2009).
- [17] B. Chen, Y. Liu, K. Zhou, and P. Zhuang, ψ' production and *B* decay in heavy ion collisions at LHC, Phys. Lett. B **726**, 725 (2013).
- [18] CMS Collaboration, Performance of CMS muon reconstruction in pp collision events at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, J. Instrum. 7, P10002 (2012).
- [19] CMS Collaboration, The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC, J. Instrum. 3, S08004 (2008).
- [20] CMS Collaboration, Dependence on pseudorapidity and centrality of charged hadron production in PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV, J. High Energy Phys. 08 (2011) 141.
- [21] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna, and P. Skands, A brief introduction to PYTHIA 8.1, Comput. Phys. Commun. 178, 852 (2008).
- [22] I. P. Lokhtin and A. M. Snigirev, A model of jet quenching in ultrarelativistic heavy ion collisions and high- p_T hadron spectra at RHIC, Eur. Phys. J. C **45**, 211 (2006).
- [23] S. Agostinelli *et al.* (GEANT4 Collaboration), GEANT4— A simulation toolkit, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 506, 250 (2003).
- [24] M. J. Oreglia, Ph.D. thesis, Stanford University, 1980, SLAC Report No. SLAC-R-236, see Appendix D.
- [25] CMS Collaboration, Suppression of non-prompt J/ψ , prompt J/ψ , and $\Upsilon(1S)$ in PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2012) 063.
- [26] CMS Collaboration, Indications of Suppression of Excited Υ States in PbPb Collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 052302 (2011).
- [27] CMS Collaboration, Observation of Sequential Υ Suppression in PbPb Collisions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 222301 (2012).
- [28] CMS Collaboration, Event activity dependence of Y(nS) production in $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$ pPb and $\sqrt{s} = 2.76 \text{ TeV}$ pp collisions, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2014) 103.
- [29] M. L. Miller, K. Reygers, S. J. Sanders, and P. Steinberg, Glauber modeling in high-energy nuclear collisions, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 57, 205 (2007).
- [30] G. J. Feldman and R. D. Cousins, Unified approach to the classical statistical analysis of small signals, Phys. Rev. D 57, 3873 (1998).
- [31] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/ supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.162301 for the numerical values of all measurements.

A. M. Sirunyan,¹ A. Tumasyan,¹ W. Adam,² E. Asilar,² T. Bergauer,² J. Brandstetter,² E. Brondolin,² M. Dragicevic,² J. Erö,² M. Flechl,² M. Friedl,² R. Frühwirth,^{2,b} V. M. Ghete,² C. Hartl,² N. Hörmann,² J. Hrubec,² M. Jeitler,^{2,b} A. König,² I. Krätschmer,² D. Liko,² T. Matsushita,² I. Mikulec,² D. Rabady,² N. Rad,² B. Rahbaran,² H. Rohringer,² J. Schieck,^{2,b}

J. Strauss,² W. Waltenberger,² C.-E. Wulz,^{2,b} V. Chekhovsky,³ O. Dvornikov,³ Y. Dydyshka,³ I. Emeliantchik,³ A. Litomin,³ V. Makarenko,³ V. Mossolov,³ R. Stefanovitch,³ J. Suarez Gonzalez,³ V. Zykunov,³ N. Shumeiko,⁴ S. Alderweireldt,⁵ E. A. De Wolf,⁵ X. Janssen,⁵ J. Lauwers,⁵ M. Van De Klundert,⁵ H. Van Haevermaet,⁵ P. Van Mechelen,⁵ N. Van Remortel,⁵ A. Van Spilbeeck,⁵ S. Abu Zeid,⁶ F. Blekman,⁶ J. D'Hondt,⁶ N. Daci,⁶ I. De Bruyn,⁶ K. Deroover,⁶ S. Lowette,⁶ S. Moortgat,⁶ L. Moreels,⁶ A. Olbrechts,⁶ Q. Python,⁶ K. Skovpen,⁶ S. Tavernier,⁶ W. Van Doninck,⁶ P. Van Mulders,⁶ I. Van Parijs,⁶ H. Brun,⁷ B. Clerbaux,⁷ G. De Lentdecker,⁷ H. Delannoy,⁷ G. Fasanella,⁷ L. Favart,⁷ R. Goldouzian,⁷ A. Grebenyuk,⁷ G. Karapostoli,⁷ T. Lenzi,⁷ A. Léonard,⁷ J. Luetic,⁷ T. Maerschalk,⁷ A. Marinov,⁷ A. Randle-conde,⁷ T. Seva,⁷ C. Vander Velde,⁷ P. Vanlaer,⁷ D. Vannerom,⁷ R. Yonamine,⁷ F. Zenoni,⁷ F. Zhang,^{7,c} A. Cimmino,⁸ T. Cornelis,⁸ D. Dobur,⁸ A. Fagot,⁸ G. Garcia,⁸ M. Gul,⁸ I. Khvastunov,⁸ D. Poyraz,⁸ S. Salva,⁸ R. Schöfbeck,⁸ M. Tytgat,⁸ W. Van Driessche,⁸ E. Yazgan,⁸ N. Zaganidis,⁸ H. Bakhshiansohi,⁹ C. Beluffi,^{9,d} O. Bondu,⁹ S. Brochet,⁹ G. Bruno,⁹ A. Caudron,⁹ S. De Visscher,⁹ C. Delaere,⁹ M. Delcourt,⁹ B. Francois,⁹ A. Giammanco,⁹ A. Jafari,⁹ P. Jez,⁹ M. Komm,⁹ G. Krintiras,⁹ V. Lemaitre,⁹ A. Magitteri,⁹ A. Mertens,⁹ M. Musich,⁹ C. Nuttens,⁹ K. Piotrzkowski,⁹ L. Quertenmont,⁹ M. Selvaggi,⁹ M. Vidal Marono,⁹ S. Wertz,⁹ N. Beliy,¹⁰ W. L. Aldá Júnior,¹¹ F. L. Alves,¹¹ G. A. Alves,¹¹ L. Brito,¹¹ C. Hensel,¹¹ A. Moraes,¹¹ M. E. Pol,¹¹ P. Rebello Teles,¹¹ E. Belchior Batista Das Chagas,¹² W. Carvalho,¹² J. Chinellato,^{12,e} A. Custódio,¹² E. M. Da Costa,¹² G. G. Da Silveira,^{12,f} D. De Jesus Damiao,¹² C. De Oliveira Martins,¹² S. Fonseca De Souza,¹² L. M. Huertas Guativa,¹² H. Malbouisson,¹² D. Matos Figueiredo,¹² C. Mora Herrera,¹² L. Mundim,¹² H. Nogima,¹² W. L. Prado Da Silva,¹² A. Santoro,¹² A. Sznajder,¹² E. J. Tonelli Manganote,^{12,e} A. Vilela Pereira,¹² S. Ahuja,^{13a} C. A. Bernardes,^{13a} S. Dogra,^{13a} T. R. Fernandez Perez Tomei,^{13a} E. M. Gregores,^{13b} P. G. Mercadante,^{13b} C. S. Moon,^{13a} S. F. Novaes,^{13a} Sandra S. Padula,^{13a} D. Romero Abad,^{13b} J. C. Ruiz Vargas,^{13a} A. Aleksandrov,¹⁴ R. Hadjiiska,¹⁴ P. Iaydjiev,¹⁴ M. Rodozov,¹⁴ S. Stoykova,¹⁴ G. Sultanov,¹⁴ M. Vutova,¹⁴ A. Dimitrov,¹⁵ I. Glushkov,¹⁵ L. Litov,¹⁵ B. Pavlov,¹⁵ P. Petkov,¹⁵ W. Fang,^{16,g} M. Ahmad,¹⁷ J. G. Bian,¹⁷ G. M. Chen,¹⁷ H. S. Chen,¹⁷ M. Chen,¹⁷ Y. Chen,^{17,h} T. Cheng,¹⁷ C. H. Jiang,¹⁷ D. Leggat,¹⁷ Z. Liu,¹⁷ F. Romeo,¹⁷ S. M. Shaheen,¹⁷ A. Spiezia,¹⁷ J. Tao,¹⁷
 C. Wang,¹⁷ Z. Wang,¹⁷ H. Zhang,¹⁷ J. Zhao,¹⁷ Y. Ban,¹⁸ G. Chen,¹⁸ Q. Li,¹⁸ S. Liu,¹⁸ Y. Mao,¹⁸ S. J. Qian,¹⁸ D. Wang,¹⁸
 Z. Xu,¹⁸ C. Avila,¹⁹ A. Cabrera,¹⁹ L. F. Chaparro Sierra,¹⁹ C. Florez,¹⁹ J. P. Gomez,¹⁹ C. F. González Hernández,¹⁹ J. D. Ruiz Alvarez,¹⁹ J. C. Sanabria,¹⁹ N. Godinovic,²⁰ D. Lelas,²⁰ I. Puljak,²⁰ P. M. Ribeiro Cipriano,²⁰ T. Sculac,²⁰ Z. Antunovic,²¹ M. Kovac,²¹ V. Brigljevic,²² D. Ferencek,²² K. Kadija,²² B. Mesic,²² S. Micanovic,²² L. Sudic,²² T. Susa,²² A. Attikis,²³ G. Mavromanolakis,²³ J. Mousa,²³ C. Nicolaou,²³ F. Ptochos,²³ P. A. Razis,²³ H. Rykaczewski,²³ D. Tsiakkouri,²³ M. Finger,^{24,i} M. Finger Jr.,^{24,i} E. Carrera Jarrin,²⁵ A. Ellithi Kamel,^{26,j} M. A. Mahmoud,^{26,k,1} A. Radi,^{26,l,m} M. Kadastik,²⁷ L. Perrini,²⁷ M. Raidal,²⁷ A. Tiko,²⁷ C. Veelken,²⁷ P. Eerola,²⁸ J. Pekkanen,²⁸ M. Voutilainen,²⁸
J. Härkönen,²⁹ T. Järvinen,²⁹ V. Karimäki,²⁹ R. Kinnunen,²⁹ T. Lampén,²⁹ K. Lassila-Perini,²⁹ S. Lehti,²⁹ T. Lindén,²⁹
P. Luukka,²⁹ J. Tuominiemi,²⁹ E. Tuovinen,²⁹ L. Wendland,²⁹ J. Talvitie,³⁰ T. Tuuva,³⁰ M. Besancon,³¹ F. Couderc,³¹ M. Dejardin,³¹ D. Denegri,³¹ B. Fabbro,³¹ J. L. Faure,³¹ C. Favaro,³¹ F. Ferri,³¹ S. Ganjour,³¹ S. Ghosh,³¹ A. Givernaud,³¹ P. Gras,³¹ G. Hamel de Monchenault,³¹ P. Jarry,³¹ I. Kucher,³¹ E. Locci,³¹ M. Machet,³¹ J. Malcles,³¹ J. Rander,³¹ A. Rosowsky,³¹ M. Titov,³¹ A. Zghiche,³¹ A. Abdulsalam,³² I. Antropov,³² F. Arleo,³² S. Baffioni,³² F. Beaudette,³² P. Busson,³² L. Cadamuro,³² E. Chapon,³² C. Charlot,³² O. Davignon,³² R. Granier de Cassagnac,³² M. Jo,³² S. Lisniak,³² J. Martin Blanco,³² P. Miné,³² M. Nguyen,³² C. Ochando,³² G. Ortona,³² P. Paganini,³² P. Pigard,³² S. Regnard,³² R. Salerno, ³² Y. Sirois, ³² A. G. Stahl Leiton, ³² T. Strebler, ³² Y. Yilmaz, ³² A. Zabi, ³² J.-L. Agram, ^{33,n} J. Andrea, ³³ A. Aubin, ³³ D. Bloch, ³³ J.-M. Brom, ³³ M. Buttignol, ³³ E. C. Chabert, ³³ N. Chanon, ³³ C. Collard, ³³ E. Conte, ^{33,n} X. Coubez, ³³ J.-C. Fontaine,^{33,n} D. Gelé,³³ U. Goerlach,³³ A.-C. Le Bihan,³³ P. Van Hove,³³ S. Gadrat,³⁴ S. Beauceron,³⁵ C. Bernet,³⁵ G. Boudoul,³⁵ C. A. Carrillo Montoya,³⁵ R. Chierici,³⁵ D. Contardo,³⁵ B. Courbon,³⁵ P. Depasse,³⁵ H. El Mamouni,³⁵ J. Fan,³⁵ J. Fay,³⁵ S. Gascon,³⁵ M. Gouzevitch,³⁵ G. Grenier,³⁵ B. Ille,³⁵ F. Lagarde,³⁵ I. B. Laktineh,³⁵ M. Lethuillier,³⁵ L. Mirabito,³⁵ A. L. Pequegnot,³⁵ S. Perries,³⁵ A. Popov,^{35,0} D. Sabes,³⁵ V. Sordini,³⁵ M. Vander Donckt,³⁵ P. Verdier,³⁵ S. Viret,³⁵ T. Toriashvili,^{36,p} Z. Tsamalaidze,^{37,i} C. Autermann,³⁸ S. Beranek,³⁸ L. Feld,³⁸ M. K. Kiesel,³⁸ K. Klein,³⁸ M. Lipinski,³⁸ M. Preuten,³⁸ S. Schael,³⁸ C. Schomakers,³⁸ J. Schulz,³⁸ T. Verlage,³⁸ A. Albert,³⁹ M. Brodski,³⁹ E. Dietz-Laursonn,³⁹ D. Duchardt,³⁹ M. Endres,³⁹ M. Erdmann,³⁹ S. Erdweg,³⁹ T. Esch,³⁹ R. Fischer,³⁹ A. Güth,³⁹ M. Hamer,³⁹ T. Hebbeker,³⁹ C. Heidemann,³⁹ K. Hoepfner,³⁹ S. Knutzen,³⁹ M. Merschmeyer,³⁹ A. Meyer,³⁹ P. Millet,³⁹ S. Mukherjee,³⁹ M. Olschewski,³⁹ K. Padeken,³⁹ T. Pook,³⁹ M. Radziej,³⁹ H. Reithler,³⁹ M. Rieger,³⁹ F. Scheuch,³⁹ L. Sonnenschein,³⁹ D. Teyssier,³⁹ S. Thüer,³⁹ V. Cherepanov,⁴⁰ G. Flügge,⁴⁰ B. Kargoll,⁴⁰ T. Kress,⁴⁰ A. Künsken,⁴⁰ J. Lingemann,⁴⁰ T. Müller,⁴⁰ A. Nehrkorn,⁴⁰ A. Nowack,⁴⁰ C. Pistone,⁴⁰ O. Pooth,⁴⁰ A. Stahl,^{40,q} M. Aldaya Martin,⁴¹

T. Arndt,⁴¹ C. Asawatangtrakuldee,⁴¹ K. Beernaert,⁴¹ O. Behnke,⁴¹ U. Behrens,⁴¹ A. A. Bin Anuar,⁴¹ K. Borras,^{41,r} A. Campbell,⁴¹ P. Connor,⁴¹ C. Contreras-Campana,⁴¹ F. Costanza,⁴¹ C. Diez Pardos,⁴¹ G. Dolinska,⁴¹ G. Eckerlin,⁴¹ D. Eckstein,⁴¹ T. Eichhorn,⁴¹ E. Eren,⁴¹ E. Gallo,^{41,s} J. Garay Garcia,⁴¹ A. Geiser,⁴¹ A. Gizhko,⁴¹ J. M. Grados Luyando,⁴¹ D. Eckstein, T. Eichnorn, E. Eren, E. Gallo, J. Garay Garcia, A. Geiser, A. Giziko, J. M. Grauos Luyando,
A. Grohsjean,⁴¹ P. Gunnellini,⁴¹ A. Harb,⁴¹ J. Hauk,⁴¹ M. Hempel,^{41,t} H. Jung,⁴¹ A. Kalogeropoulos,⁴¹ O. Karacheban,^{41,t}
M. Kasemann,⁴¹ J. Keaveney,⁴¹ C. Kleinwort,⁴¹ I. Korol,⁴¹ D. Krücker,⁴¹ W. Lange,⁴¹ A. Lelek,⁴¹ J. Leonard,⁴¹ K. Lipka,⁴¹ A. Lobanov,⁴¹ W. Lohmann,^{41,t} R. Mankel,⁴¹ I.-A. Melzer-Pellmann,⁴¹ A. B. Meyer,⁴¹ G. Mittag,⁴¹ J. Mnich,⁴¹ A. Mussgiller,⁴¹ E. Ntomari,⁴¹ D. Pitzl,⁴¹ R. Placakyte,⁴¹ A. Raspereza,⁴¹ B. Roland,⁴¹ M. Ö. Sahin,⁴¹ P. Saxena,⁴¹ A. Mussginer, E. Huoman, D. Hizh, R. Hacaryte, A. Rasperzza, D. Ronard, M. O. Summ, T. Surem, T. Schoerner-Sadenius,⁴¹ C. Seitz,⁴¹ S. Spannagel,⁴¹ N. Stefaniuk,⁴¹ G. P. Van Onsem,⁴¹ R. Walsh,⁴¹ C. Wissing,⁴¹ V. Blobel,⁴² M. Centis Vignali,⁴² A. R. Draeger,⁴² T. Dreyer,⁴² E. Garutti,⁴² D. Gonzalez,⁴² J. Haller,⁴² M. Hoffmann,⁴² v. Biobel, M. Centis Vignali, A. K. Draeger, ¹ T. Dreyer, ¹ E. Garutti, ¹ D. Gonzalez, ² J. Haller, ¹ M. Hoffmann, ¹ A. Junkes, ⁴² R. Klanner, ⁴² R. Kogler, ⁴² N. Kovalchuk, ⁴² T. Lapsien, ⁴² T. Lenz, ⁴² I. Marchesini, ⁴² D. Marconi, ⁴² M. Meyer, ⁴² M. Niedziela, ⁴² D. Nowatschin, ⁴² F. Pantaleo, ^{42,q} T. Peiffer, ⁴² A. Perieanu, ⁴² J. Poehlsen, ⁴² C. Sander, ⁴² C. Scharf, ⁴² P. Schleper, ⁴² A. Schmidt, ⁴² S. Schumann, ⁴² J. Schwandt, ⁴² H. Stadie, ⁴² G. Steinbrück, ⁴² F. M. Stober, ⁴² M. Stöver, ⁴² H. Tholen, ⁴² D. Troendle, ⁴² E. Usai, ⁴² L. Vanelderen, ⁴² A. Vanhoefer, ⁴² B. Vormwald, ⁴² M. Akbiyik, ⁴³ C. Barth, ⁴³ S. Baur, ⁴³ C. Baus, ⁴³ J. Berger, ⁴³ E. Butz, ⁴³ R. Caspart, ⁴³ T. Chwalek, ⁴³ F. Colombo, ⁴³ W. De Boer, ⁴³ A. Dierlamm, ⁴³ S. Fink, ⁴³ B. Freund, ⁴³ R. Friese, ⁴³ M. Gilfels, ⁴³ H. Mildner, ⁴³ M. U. Mozer, ⁴³ Th. Müller, ⁴³ M. Plagge, ⁴³ G. Quast, ⁴³ K. Pabbertz, ⁴³ S. Pöcker, ⁴³ M. Schröder, ⁴³ L. Shvotcov, ⁴³ C. Sicher, ⁴³ H. J. Siversie, ⁴³ D. Huit, ⁴³ K. Rabbertz,⁴³ S. Röcker,⁴³ F. Roscher,⁴³ M. Schröder,⁴³ I. Shvetsov,⁴³ G. Sieber,⁴³ H. J. Simonis,⁴³ R. Ulrich,⁴³ K. Rabbertz,⁴³ S. Röcker,⁴³ F. Roscher,⁴³ M. Schröder,⁴³ I. Shvetsov,⁴³ G. Sieber,⁴³ H. J. Simonis,⁴³ R. Ulrich,⁴³
S. Wayand,⁴³ M. Weber,⁴³ T. Weiler,⁴³ S. Williamson,⁴³ C. Wöhrmann,⁴³ R. Wolf,⁴³ G. Anagnostou,⁴⁴ G. Daskalakis,⁴⁴
T. Geralis,⁴⁴ V. A. Giakoumopoulou,⁴⁴ A. Kyriakis,⁴⁴ D. Loukas,⁴⁴ I. Topsis-Giotis,⁴⁴ S. Kesisoglou,⁴⁵ A. Panagiotou,⁴⁵ N. Saoulidou,⁴⁵ E. Tziaferi,⁴⁵ I. Evangelou,⁴⁶ G. Flouris,⁴⁶ C. Foudas,⁴⁶ P. Kokkas,⁴⁶ N. Loukas,⁴⁶ N. Manthos,⁴⁶
I. Papadopoulos,⁴⁶ E. Paradas,⁴⁶ N. Filipovic,⁴⁷ G. Bencze,⁴⁸ C. Hajdu,⁴⁸ D. Horvath,^{48,u} F. Sikler,⁴⁸ V. Veszpremi,⁴⁸
G. Vesztergombi,^{48,v} A. J. Zsigmond,⁴⁸ N. Beni,⁴⁹ S. Czellar,⁴⁹ J. Karancsi,^{49,w} A. Makovec,⁴⁹ J. Molnar,⁴⁹ Z. Szillasi,⁴⁹
M. Bartók,^{50,v} P. Raics,⁵⁰ Z. L. Trocsanyi,⁵⁰ B. Ujvari,⁵⁰ S. Bahinipati,⁵¹ S. Choudhury,^{51,x} P. Mal,⁵¹ K. Mandal,⁵¹ A. Nayak,^{51,y} D. K. Sahoo,⁵¹ N. Sahoo,⁵¹ S. K. Swain,⁵¹ S. Bansal,⁵² S. B. Beri,⁵² V. Bhatnagar,⁵² R. Chawla,⁵²
U. Bhawandeep,⁵² A. K. Kalsi,⁵² A. Kaur,⁵² M. Kaur,⁵² R. Kumar,⁵² P. Kumari,⁵³ S. Malhotra,⁵³ M. Naimuddin,⁵³ N. Nishu,⁵³ K. Banian,⁵³ R. Bhattacharya,⁵⁴ K. Chatteriee,⁵⁴ S. Dev,⁵⁴ N. Nishu,⁵³ K. Ranjan,⁵³ R. Sharma,⁵³ V. Sharma,⁵³ R. Bhattacharya,⁵⁴ S. Bhattacharya,⁵⁴ K. Chatterjee,⁵⁴ S. Dey,⁵⁴ S. Dutt,⁵⁴ S. Dutta,⁵⁴ S. Ghosh,⁵⁴ N. Majumdar,⁵⁴ A. Modak,⁵⁴ K. Mondal,⁵⁴ S. Mukhopadhyay,⁵⁴ S. Nandan,⁵⁴ A. Purohit,⁵⁴ A. Roy,⁵⁴ D. Roy,⁵⁴ S. Roy Chowdhury,⁵⁴ S. Sarkar,⁵⁴ M. Sharan,⁵⁴ S. Thakur,⁵⁴ P. K. Behera,⁵⁵ R. Chudasama,⁵⁶ D. Dutta,⁵⁶ V. Jha,⁵⁶ V. Kumar,⁵⁶ A. K. Mohanty,^{56,q} P. K. Netrakanti,⁵⁶ L. M. Pant,⁵⁶ P. Shukla,⁵⁶ A. Topkar,⁵⁶ T. Aziz,⁵⁷ S. Dugad,⁵⁷ G. Kole,⁵⁷ B. Mahakud,⁵⁷ S. Mitra,⁵⁷ G. B. Mohanty,⁵⁷ B. Parida,⁵⁷ N. Sur,⁵⁷ B. Sutar,⁵⁷ S. Banerjee,⁵⁸ S. Bhowmik,^{58,z} R. K. Dewanjee,⁵⁸ S. Ganguly,⁵⁸ M. Guchait,⁵⁸ Sa. Jain,⁵⁸ S. Kumar,⁵⁸ M. Maity,^{58,z} G. Majumder,⁵⁸ K. Mazumdar,⁵⁸
 T. Sarkar,^{58,z} N. Wickramage,^{58,aa} S. Chauhan,⁵⁹ S. Dube,⁵⁹ V. Hegde,⁵⁹ A. Kapoor,⁵⁹ K. Kothekar,⁵⁹ S. Pandey,⁵⁹ A. Rane,⁵⁹
 S. Sharma,⁵⁹ S. Chenarani,^{60,bb} E. Eskandari Tadavani,⁶⁰ S. M. Etesami,^{60,bb} A. Fahim,^{60,cc} M. Khakzad,⁶⁰ S. Sharma,⁵⁹ S. Chenarani,^{60,bb} E. Eskandari Tadavani,⁶⁰ S. M. Etesami,^{60,bb} A. Fahim,^{60,ce} M. Khakzad,⁶⁰
M. Mohammadi Najafabadi,⁶⁰ M. Naseri,⁶⁰ S. Paktinat Mehdiabadi,^{60,dd} F. Rezaei Hosseinabadi,⁶⁰ B. Safarzadeh,^{60,ee}
M. Zeinali,⁶⁰ M. Felcini,⁶¹ M. Grunewald,⁶¹ M. Abbrescia,^{62a,62b} C. Calabria,^{62a,62b} C. Caputo,^{62a,62b} A. Colaleo,^{62a}
D. Creanza,^{62a,62c} L. Cristella,^{62a,62b} N. De Filippis,^{62a,62c} M. De Palma,^{62a,62b} G. Pugliese,^{62a,62c} R. Radogna,^{62a,62b}
M. Maggi,^{62a} G. Miniello,^{62a,62b} S. My,^{62a,62b} S. Nuzzo,^{62a,62b} A. Pompili,^{62a,62b} G. Pugliese,^{62a,62c} R. Radogna,^{62a,62b}
A. Ranieri,^{62a} G. Selvaggi,^{62a,62b} A. Sharma,^{62a} L. Silvestris,^{62a,q} R. Venditti,^{62a,62b} P. Verwilligen,^{62a} G. Abbiendi,^{63a}
C. Battilana,^{63a} D. Bonacorsi,^{63a,63b} S. Braibant-Giacomelli,^{63a,63b} L. Brigliadori,^{63a,63b} M. Cuffiani,^{63a,63b}
P. Capiluppi,^{63a,63b} A. Castro,^{63a,63b} F. R. Cavallo,^{63a} S. S. Chhibra,^{63a,63b} G. Codispoti,^{63a,63b} M. Cuffiani,^{63a,63b}
G. M. Dallavalle,^{63a} F. Fabbri,^{63a} A. Fanfani,^{63a,63b} D. Fasanella,^{63a,63b} A. Perrotta,^{63a} A. M. Rossi,^{63a,63b} T. Rovelli,^{63a,63b}
S. Marcellini,^{63a} G. Masetti,^{63a} A. Montanari,^{63a} F. L. Navarria,^{63a,63b} A. Perrotta,^{63a} A. M. Rossi,^{63a,63b} T. Rovelli,^{63a,63b}
G. P. Siroli,^{63a,63b} N. Tosi,^{63a,63b,q} S. Albergo,^{64a,64b} S. Costa,^{64a,64b} A. Di Mattia,^{64a} F. Giordano,^{64a,64b} R. Potenza,^{64a,64b}
A. Tricomi,^{64a,64b} C. Tuve,^{64a,64b} G. Barbagli,^{65a} G. Sguazzoni,^{65a} L. Viliani,^{65a,65b,q} L. Benussi,⁶⁶ S. Bianco,⁶⁶ F. Fabbri,^{67a}
P. Lenzi,^{65a,65b} M. Meschini,^{65a} S. Paoletti,^{67a,67b} F. Ferro,^{67a} M. Lo Vetere,^{67a,67b} M. R. Monge,^{67a,67b} E. Robutti,^{67a}
S. Tosi,^{67a,67b} L. Brianza,^{68a,68b,q} F. Brivio,^{68a,68b} M. E. Dinardo,^{68a,68b} S. Fiorendi,^{68a,68b,q} S. Gennai,^{68a} A. Ghezzi,^{68a,68a,68b} PRL 118, 162301 (2017)
 PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS
 P. Govoni, ^{68a,68b} M. Malbetti, ^{68a,68b} S. Malvezzi, ^{68a} R. A. Marzoni, ^{68a,68b} D. Menasce, ^{68a} L. Moroni, ^{68a} M. Paganoni, ^{68a,68b} D. Pedrini, ^{48a} S. Pigazzini, ^{68a,68b} S. Ragazzi, ^{68a,68b} T. Tabarelli de Fatis, ^{68a,68b} S. Buontempo, ^{69a} N. Cavallo, ^{60a,60c} G. De Nardo, ^{69a} S. Di Guida, ^{69a,60d} M. Esposito, ^{69a,60b} F. Tabazzi, ^{69a,69c} F. Fienga, ^{69a,60b} A. O. M. Iorio, ^{69a,60b} G. Larza, ^{69a} L. Lista, ^{69a} S. Meola, ^{60a,60d} P. Paolucci, ^{69a,60} C. Sciacca, ^{69b,60b} F. Thyssen, ^{69a} P. Azzi, ^{70a,70b} N. Bacchetta, ^{70a} L. Benato, ^{70a,70b} A. Belleti, ^{70a,70b} R. Carini, ^{70a,70b} A. Cavalho Antunes De Oliveira, ^{70a,70b} P. Coscian, ^{70a,70b} R. Cavalho, ^{70a,70b} J. Pazzi, ^{70a,70b} P. Pozzobon, ^{70a,70b} A. Gozzelino, ^{70a,70b} F. Simonetto, ^{70a,70b} E. Torassa, ^{70a} M. Macnetti, ^{70a,70b} J. Pazzini, ^{70a,70b} N. Pozzobon, ^{70a,70b} A. Gozzelino, ^{70a,70b} F. Simonetto, ^{70a,70b} E. Torassa, ^{70a} M. Macnetti, ^{72a,72b} L. Faco, ^{72a,72b} G. Mantovani, ^{72a,72b} G. M. Bilei, ^{72a} D. S. Patti, ^{71a,71b} P. Montagan, ^{11a,71b} N. Bo,^{72a,72b} G. M. Bilei, ^{72a} D. Ciangottini, ^{72a,72b} K. Androsov, ^{73a,73c} G. Fedi, ^{73a} A. Giassi, ^{73a} J. Bernetini, ^{73a,73c} G. Fedi,^{73a} A. Giassi,^{73a} J. Bernetini, ^{73a,73c} G. Fodl, ^{73a,73c} G. Fadi,^{73a,73c} A. Giassi,^{73a} S. Ontato, ^{73a,73c} G. Fodl, ^{73a,73c} A. Giassi,^{73a} A. Savoy-Navaro, ^{73a,42} B. Pala, ^{72a,72b} M. Meridhani,^{74a,73b} M. A. Ciocci, ^{73a,17d} A. Messineo, ^{73a,73c} G. Fodl,^{73a,73c} A. Giassi,^{73a,73c} A. Savoy-Navaro, ^{73a,73c} G. Corgani, ^{74a,74b} A. Jone, ^{74a,74b} F. Margaroti, ^{74a,74b} B. Margaroti, ^{74a,74b} B. Margaroti, ^{74a,74b} S. Martini, ^{73a,73c} G. Fodl,^{74a,74b} F. Margaroti, ^{74a,74b} S. Martini, ^{73a,73c} M. A. Ciangi, ^{73a,73c} G. Sodl,^{73a,73c} G. Fodl,^{73a,74c} G. Fodl,^{74a,74b} P. Azzuri, ^{73a,73c} M. A. Ciangi, ^{73a,73c} G. Sodl,^{74a,74b} F. Jono, ^{73a,73c} G. Fodl,^{74a,74b} P. J I. Heredia-De La Cruz,⁸⁷,¹ A. Hernandez-Almada,⁸⁷ R. Lopez-Fernandez,⁸⁷ R. Magaña Villalba,⁸⁷ J. Mejia Guisao,⁸⁷ A. Sanchez-Hernandez,⁸⁷ S. Carrillo Moreno,⁸⁸ C. Oropeza Barrera,⁸⁸ F. Vazquez Valencia,⁸⁸ S. Carpinteyro,⁸⁹ I. Pedraza,⁸⁹ H. A. Salazar Ibarguen,⁸⁹ C. Uribe Estrada,⁸⁹ A. Morelos Pineda,⁹⁰ D. Krofcheck,⁹¹ P. H. Butler,⁹² A. Ahmad,⁹³
M. Ahmad,⁹³ Q. Hassan,⁹³ H. R. Hoorani,⁹³ W. A. Khan,⁹³ A. Saddique,⁹³ M. A. Shah,⁹³ M. Shoaib,⁹³ M. Waqas,⁹³
H. Bialkowska,⁹⁴ M. Bluj,⁹⁴ B. Boimska,⁹⁴ T. Frueboes,⁹⁴ M. Górski,⁹⁴ M. Kazana,⁹⁴ K. Nawrocki,⁹⁴ K. Romanowska-Rybinska,⁹⁴ M. Szleper,⁹⁴ P. Zalewski,⁹⁴ K. Bunkowski,⁹⁵ A. Byszuk,^{95,kk} K. Doroba,⁹⁵ A. Kalinowski,⁹⁵ M. Konecki,⁹⁵ J. Krolikowski,⁹⁵ M. Misiura,⁹⁵ M. Olszewski,⁹⁵ M. Walczak,⁹⁵ P. Bargassa,⁹⁶ C. Beirão Da Cruz E Silva,⁹⁶ M. Konecki, ⁹⁵ J. Krolikowski, ⁹⁵ M. Misiura, ⁹⁵ M. Olszewski, ⁵⁰ M. Walczak, ⁵⁰ P. Bargassa, ⁵⁰ C. Beirão Da Cruz E Silva, ⁵⁶ B. Calpas, ⁹⁶ A. Di Francesco, ⁹⁶ P. Faccioli, ⁹⁶ P. G. Ferreira Parracho, ⁹⁶ M. Gallinaro, ⁹⁶ J. Hollar, ⁹⁶ N. Leonardo, ⁹⁶ L. Lloret Iglesias, ⁹⁶ M. V. Nemallapudi, ⁹⁶ J. Rodrigues Antunes, ⁹⁶ J. Seixas, ⁹⁶ O. Toldaiev, ⁹⁶ D. Vadruccio, ⁹⁶ J. Varela, ⁹⁶ P. Vischia, ⁹⁶ S. Afanasiev, ⁹⁷ P. Bunin, ⁹⁷ M. Gavrilenko, ⁹⁷ I. Golutvin, ⁹⁷ I. Gorbunov, ⁹⁷ A. Kamenev, ⁹⁷ V. Karjavin, ⁹⁷ A. Lanev, ⁹⁷ A. Malakhov, ⁹⁷ V. Matveev, ^{97,11,mm} V. Palichik, ⁹⁷ V. Perelygin, ⁹⁷ S. Shmatov, ⁹⁷ S. Shulha, ⁹⁷ N. Skatchkov, ⁹⁷ V. Smirnov, ⁹⁷ N. Voytishin, ⁹⁷ A. Zarubin, ⁹⁷ L. Chtchipounov, ⁹⁸ V. Golovtsov, ⁹⁸ Y. Ivanov, ⁹⁸ V. Kim, ^{98,nn} E. Kuznetsova, ^{98,00} V. Murzin, ⁹⁸ V. Oreshkin, ⁹⁸ V. Sulimov, ⁹⁸ A. Vorobyev, ⁹⁸ Yu. Andreev, ⁹⁹ A. Dermenev, ⁹⁹ S. Gninenko, ⁹⁹ N. Golubev, ⁹⁹ A. Karneyeu, ⁹⁹ M. Kirsanov, ⁹⁹ N. Krasnikov, ⁹⁹ A. Pashenkov, ⁹⁹ D. Tlisov, ⁹⁰ A. Toropin, ⁹⁰ V. Epshteyn, ¹⁰⁰ V. Gavrilov, ¹⁰⁰ K. Safronov, ¹⁰⁰ M. Toms, ¹⁰⁰ F. Vlasov, ¹⁰⁰ Karneyeu, M. Kirsanov, N. Krasnikov, A. Pashenkov, D. Hisov, A. Ioropin, V. Epshteyn, V. Gavrilov, N. Lychkovskaya,¹⁰⁰ V. Popov,¹⁰⁰ I. Pozdnyakov,¹⁰⁰ G. Safronov,¹⁰⁰ A. Spiridonov,¹⁰⁰ M. Toms,¹⁰⁰ E. Vlasov,¹⁰⁰ A. Zhokin,¹⁰⁰ A. Bylinkin,^{101,mm} R. Chistov,^{102,pp} O. Markin,¹⁰² S. Polikarpov,¹⁰² V. Andreev,¹⁰³ M. Azarkin,^{103,mm} I. Dremin,^{103,mm} M. Kirakosyan,¹⁰³ A. Leonidov,^{103,mm} A. Terkulov,¹⁰³ A. Baskakov,¹⁰⁴ A. Belyaev,¹⁰⁴ E. Boos,¹⁰⁴ A. Ershov,¹⁰⁴ A. Gribushin,¹⁰⁴ A. Kaminskiy,^{104,qq} O. Kodolova,¹⁰⁴ V. Korotkikh,¹⁰⁴ I. Lokhtin,¹⁰⁴ I. Miagkov,¹⁰⁴ S. Obraztsov,¹⁰⁴ S. Petrushanko,¹⁰⁴ V. Savrin,¹⁰⁴ A. Snigirev,¹⁰⁴ I. Vardanyan,¹⁰⁴ V. Blinov,^{105,rr} Y. Skovpen,^{105,rr}

 PIK 118, 102.00 (2017)
 D. Shol, ¹⁰² I. Azlgirey, ¹⁰⁵ I. Bayshev, ¹⁰⁵ S. Britoukov, ¹⁰⁰ D. Elumakhov, ¹⁰⁰ V. Kuchanov, ¹⁰⁰ A. Luman, ¹⁰⁵ A. Volkov, ¹⁰⁵ P. Adzie, ¹⁰⁷² P. Cirkovic, ¹⁰⁷ D. Devetak, ¹⁰⁷ M. Dordevic, ¹⁰⁷ J. Milosevic, ¹⁰⁷ V. Kekovis, ¹⁰⁷ A. Auman, ¹⁰⁵ A. Volkov, ¹⁰⁸ P. Adzie, ¹⁰⁷² P. Cirkovic, ¹⁰⁷ D. Devetak, ¹⁰⁷ M. Dordevic, ¹⁰⁷ J. Milosevic, ¹⁰⁷ V. Kekovis, ¹⁰⁷ A. Juman, ¹⁰⁶ A. Volkov, ¹⁰⁸ P. Adzie, ¹⁰⁷² P. Cirkovic, ¹⁰⁷ D. Devetak, ¹⁰⁷ M. Dordevic, ¹⁰⁷ J. Nilosevic, ¹⁰⁷ V. Kekovis, ¹⁰⁷ A. Juman, ¹⁰⁶ A. Volkov, ¹⁰⁸ P. Adzie, ¹⁰⁷³ M. O. Gonzalez I. Jopez, ¹⁰⁸ S. Gouz, ¹⁰⁸ L. Josa, ¹⁰⁸ F. Nawaro De Matrino, ¹⁰⁸ A. Circatez T. S. Gouz, ¹⁰⁸ J. Pereta Pelaye, ¹⁰⁸ A. Quintario, ¹¹⁰ J. Perenandez, ¹⁰⁸ H. Josa, ¹¹⁰ F. Nawaro De Matrino, ¹⁰⁸ A. Pereza-Calero Yaguierdo, ¹⁰⁸ J. E. Palencia Correzon, ¹¹⁰ S. Sancetz, ¹⁰⁸ J. M. Orana, ¹¹¹ J. Gonzalez Caballero, ¹¹⁰ J. R. Castiñeiras De Saa, ¹¹¹ F. Water Cortabitate, ¹¹⁰ J. Abbaneo, ¹¹² F. Bodrigo, ¹¹¹ J. Cabrillo, ¹¹¹ A. Calderon, ¹¹¹ J. R. Castiñeiras De Saa, ¹¹¹ F. Water Cortabitate, ¹¹¹ D. Abbaneo, ¹¹² F. Medrigo, ¹¹¹ A. Ruizimeno, ¹¹¹ L. Scolellaro, ¹¹¹ J. R. Castiñeiras De Saa, ¹¹¹ F. Water Cortabitate, ¹¹¹ D. Abbaneo, ¹¹² F. Medrigo, ¹¹¹ A. Ruizimeno, ¹¹¹ L. Scolellaro, ¹¹¹ N. Derokovik, ¹¹² V. Dagont, ¹¹¹ C. Camporei, ¹¹² A. Detevik, ¹¹¹ J. Barto, ¹¹² M. Derokovik, ¹¹² V. Dagont, ¹¹³ C. Camporei, ¹¹⁴ A. Detevik, ¹¹² A. Detevik, ¹¹² D. Barroy, ¹¹² B. Barton, ¹¹² A. Detevik, ¹¹² A. Detevik, ¹¹² G. Carena, ¹¹⁰ J. Barroy, ¹¹² J. Barton, ¹¹² A. Detevik, ¹¹⁴ A. Detevik, ¹¹⁴ A. Barton, ¹¹⁴ C. Detevik, D. Shtol,^{105,rr} I. Azhgirey,¹⁰⁶ I. Bayshev,¹⁰⁶ S. Bitioukov,¹⁰⁶ D. Elumakhov,¹⁰⁶ V. Kachanov,¹⁰⁶ A. Kalinin,¹⁰⁶ D. Konstantinov,¹⁰⁶ V. Krychkine,¹⁰⁶ V. Petrov,¹⁰⁶ R. Ryutin,¹⁰⁶ A. Sobol,¹⁰⁶ S. Troshin,¹⁰⁶ N. Tyurin,¹⁰⁶ A. Uzunian,¹⁰⁶

 O. Buchmuller,¹²⁷ A. Bundock,¹²⁷ D. Burton,¹²⁷ S. Casasso,¹²⁷ M. Citron,¹²⁷ D. Colling,¹²⁷ I. Corpe,¹²⁷ P. Danneey,¹²⁷
 G. Davies,¹²⁷ A. De Wit,¹²⁷ M. Della Negra,¹²⁷ R. Di Maria,¹²⁷ P. Dunne,¹²⁷ A. Flwood,¹²⁷ D. Futyan,¹²⁷ Y. Haddad,¹²⁷
 G. Hall,¹²⁷ G. Iles,¹²⁷ T. James,¹²⁷ R. Lane,¹²⁷ C. Lanet,¹²⁷ R. Luces,¹²⁷ B. Luces,¹²⁷ A. M. Magnan,¹²⁷ S. Makik,¹²⁷ A. Nikatenko,¹²³³ J. Pellel,¹²⁸ P. Denning,¹²⁷ M. Pesares,¹²⁸ D. M. Raymond,¹²⁷
 A. Kichards,¹²⁷ A. Rose,¹²⁸ C. Secz,¹²⁷ S. Summers,¹²⁷ A. Tapper,¹²⁷ K. Uchida,¹²⁷ M. Vazquez Acosta,¹²⁷³ M. Tarde,¹²⁷⁴ J. Wright,¹²⁷ S. C. Zenz,¹²⁷ J. E. Cole,¹²⁹ P. R. Hobson,¹³⁸ A. Kanl,¹³⁸ P. Kyberd,¹³⁹ D. Lesite,¹³⁸ I. D. Reid,¹³¹
 P. Symonds,¹³⁹ L. Teodorescu,¹²⁸ M. Tumer,¹²⁶ A. Borzou,¹²⁰ K. Call,¹²⁹ J. Dittmann,¹²⁹ K. Hatakeyama,¹²⁹ H. Liu,¹²⁹
 N. Pasitka,¹²⁹ S. L. Cooper,¹³⁹ C. Eichardsson,¹³⁹ P. Runerio,¹³⁰ C. West,¹³⁰ D. Arazon,¹³¹ A. Aveitsyan,¹³¹ T. Bose,¹³¹
 C. Gashert,¹³¹ D. Rankin,¹¹³ C. Bichardson,¹³¹ J. Rohlf,¹³¹ J. Sulak,¹¹¹ D. Coun,¹³² G. Bereto,¹³¹ G. Lucths¹²³
 A. Gaasheria,¹³² J. Hakala,¹³² S. Piperov,¹² S. Sagir,¹³² E. Spencer,¹³² R. Swaif,¹³² R. Breedon,¹³³ G. Bereto,¹³¹ D. Burns,¹³³
 M. Calderon De La Barca Sanchez,¹³⁵ S. Chauhan,¹³⁴ M. Chertok,¹³¹ J. Conway,¹³³ R. Consus,¹³⁴ M. Valuev,¹⁴⁴
 A. Forent,¹³⁴ J. Hauser,¹⁴⁴ M. Ignatenko,¹⁴⁴ N. Mccoll,¹³⁴ D. Sulzberg,¹⁴⁴ C. Schnaids Mirazi,¹³⁴ V. Muluev,¹⁴⁴
 A. Forent,¹³⁴ J. Hauser,¹⁴⁵ M. Ignatenko,¹⁴⁶ N. Mccoll,¹³⁵ D. Sulzberg,¹³⁴ C. Schnaids,¹³⁴ N. Jacque,¹³⁵ G. Hanson,¹⁵⁵ J. Heithian,¹⁵⁵ P. Jamdi,¹⁵⁵ S. Kumpony,¹³⁵ M. Totadi,¹⁵⁵ J. Lancon,¹⁵⁶ G. J. Konzuso,¹³⁴ J. Pacque,¹³⁴ V. Autev,¹⁴⁴
 M. Beareto,¹³⁴ J. Hauser,¹⁴⁵ N. Mirane,¹³⁵ S. Kimpony,¹³⁵ S. O. Buchmuller,¹²⁷ A. Bundock,¹²⁷ D. Burton,¹²⁷ S. Casasso,¹²⁷ M. Citron,¹²⁷ D. Colling,¹²⁷ L. Corpe,¹²⁷ P. Dauncey,¹²⁷ G. Davies,¹²⁷ A. De Wit,¹²⁷ M. Della Negra,¹²⁷ R. Di Maria,¹²⁷ P. Dunne,¹²⁷ A. Elwood,¹²⁷ D. Futyan,¹²⁷ Y. Haddad,¹²⁷ M. M. Baarmand,¹⁴⁷ V. Bhopatkar,¹⁴⁷ S. Colafranceschi,¹⁴⁷ M. Hohlmann,¹⁴⁷ D. Noonan,¹⁴⁷ T. Roy,¹⁴⁷ F. Yumiceva,¹⁴⁷ M. R. Adams,¹⁴⁸ L. Apanasevich,¹⁴⁸ D. Berry,¹⁴⁸ R. R. Betts,¹⁴⁸ I. Bucinskaite,¹⁴⁸ R. Cavanaugh,¹⁴⁸ O. Evdokimov,¹⁴⁸ L. Gauthier,¹⁴⁸ C. E. Gerber,¹⁴⁸ D. J. Hofman,¹⁴⁸ K. Jung,¹⁴⁸ P. Kurt,¹⁴⁸ C. O'Brien,¹⁴⁸ I. D. Sandoval Gonzalez,¹⁴⁸ P. Turner,¹⁴⁸ N. Varelas,¹⁴⁸ H. Wang,¹⁴⁸ Z. Wu,¹⁴⁸ M. Zakaria,¹⁴⁸ J. Zhang,¹⁴⁸ B. Bilki,^{149,qqq} W. Clarida,¹⁴⁹ K. Dilsiz,¹⁴⁹

S. Durgut,¹⁴⁹ R. P. Gandrajula,¹⁴⁹ M. Haytmyradov,¹⁴⁹ V. Khristenko,¹⁴⁹ J.-P. Merlo,¹⁴⁹ H. Mermerkaya,^{149,rrr} A. Mestvirishvili,¹⁴⁹ A. Moeller,¹⁴⁹ J. Nachtman,¹⁴⁹ H. Ogul,¹⁴⁹ Y. Onel,¹⁴⁹ F. Ozok,^{149,sss} A. Penzo,¹⁴⁹ C. Snyder,¹⁴⁹ S. Durgut, Y. P. Candrajula, M. Haytmyradov, V. Knristenko, J.-P. Merlo, F. H. Mermerkaya, A. Mestvirishvili, ¹⁴⁹ A. Moeller, ¹⁴⁹ J. Nachtman, ¹⁴⁹ H. Ogul, ¹⁴⁹ Y. Onel, ¹⁴⁹ F. Ozok, ¹⁴⁹, S. A. Penzo, ¹⁴⁹ C. Snyder, ¹⁴⁹ E. Tiras, ¹⁴⁹ J. Wetzel, ¹⁴⁹ K. Yi, ¹⁴⁹ I. Anderson, ¹⁵⁰ B. Blumenfeld, ¹⁵⁰ A. Cocoros, ¹⁵⁰ N. Eminizer, ¹⁵⁰ D. Fehling, ¹⁵⁰ L. Feng, ¹⁵⁰ A. V. Gritsan, ¹⁵⁰ P. Maksimovic, ¹⁵⁰ C. Martin, ¹⁵⁰ M. Osherson, ¹⁵⁰ J. Roskes, ¹⁵⁰ U. Sarica, ¹⁵⁰ M. Swartz, ¹⁵⁰ M. Xiao, ¹⁵⁰ Y. Xin, ¹⁵⁰ C. You, ¹⁵⁰ A. Al-bataineh, ¹⁵¹ P. Baringer, ¹⁵¹ A. Bean, ¹⁵¹ J. Bowen, ¹⁵¹ J. Bowen, ¹⁵¹ C. Bruner, ¹⁵¹ J. Castue, ¹⁵¹ L. Forthomme, ¹⁵¹ R. P. Kenny III, ¹⁵¹ S. Khalil, ¹⁵¹ A. Kropivnitskaya, ¹⁵¹ D. Majumder, ¹⁵¹ W. Mcbrayer, ¹⁵¹ M. Murray, ¹⁵¹ S. Sanders, ¹⁵¹ R. Stringer, ¹⁵¹ J. D. Tapia Takaki, ¹⁵¹ Q. Wang, ¹⁵¹ A. Ivanov, ¹⁵² K. Kaadze, ¹⁵² Y. Maravin, ¹⁵² A. Mohammadi, ¹⁵² L. K. Saini, ¹⁵² N. Skhirtladze, ¹⁵² S. Toda, ¹⁵² F. Rebassoo, ¹⁵³ D. Wright, ¹⁵³ C. Anelli, ¹⁵⁴ A. Baden, ¹⁵⁴ O. Baron, ¹⁵⁴ A. Belloni, ¹⁵⁴ B. Calvert, ¹⁵⁴ S. C. Eno, ¹⁵⁴ C. Ferraioli, ¹⁵⁴ J. A. Gomez, ¹⁵⁴ N. J. Hadley, ¹⁵⁴ S. Jabeen, ¹⁵⁴ R. G. Kellogg, ¹⁵⁴ T. Kolberg, ¹⁵⁴ J. Kunkle, ¹⁵⁵ Y. Lu, ¹⁵⁵ A. C. Mignerey, ¹⁵⁴ F. Ricci-Tam, ¹⁵⁴ Y. H. Shin, ¹⁵⁴ A. Skuja, ¹⁵⁴ M. B. Tonjes, ¹⁵⁴ S. C. Tonwar, ¹⁵⁴ D. Abercrombie, ¹⁵⁵ J. A. Allen, ¹⁵⁵ M. D'Alfonso, ¹⁵⁵ Z. Demiragli, ¹⁵⁵ L. Di Matteo, ¹⁵⁵ G. Gomez Ceballos, ¹⁵⁵ M. Goncharov, ¹⁵⁵ J. Levin, ¹⁵⁵ P. D. Luckey, ¹⁵⁵ B. Maier, ¹⁵⁵ A. C. Marini, ¹⁵⁵ S. Kalafut, ¹⁵⁶ S. C. Kao, ¹⁵⁵ Y. J. Lee, ¹⁵⁵ A. Levin, ¹⁵⁵ P. D. Luckey, ¹⁵⁵ B. Maier, ¹⁵⁵ A. C. Marini, ¹⁵⁵ D. Kovalskyi, ¹⁵⁵ G. S. F. Stephans, ¹⁵⁵ K. Brandt, ¹⁵⁵ M. Sur, ¹⁵⁵ D. Velicanu, ¹⁵⁵ J. Wang, ¹⁵⁵ A. C. Marini, ¹⁵⁶ B. Kauf, ¹⁵⁶ A. Gude, ¹⁵⁶ J. S. Kalafut, ¹⁵⁶ S. C. Kao, ¹⁵⁶ Y. Kubota, ¹⁵⁶ J. Lee, ¹⁵⁶ J. Nukeya, ¹⁵⁶ J. C. Macini, F. Meier, ¹³⁹ J. Monroy, ¹³⁹ J. E. Siado, ¹³⁶ G. R. Snow, ¹³⁶ B. Stieger, ¹³⁵ M. Alyari, ¹³⁹ J. Dolen, ¹³⁹ J. George, ¹³⁹
 A. Godshalk, ¹⁵⁹ C. Harrington, ¹⁵⁹ L. Iashvili, ¹⁵⁹ J. Kaisen, ¹⁵⁰ A. Kharchilava, ¹⁵⁹ A. Kumar, ¹⁵⁹ A. Parker, ¹⁵⁹ S. Rappoccio, ¹⁵⁹
 B. Roozbahani, ¹⁵⁹ G. Alverson, ¹⁶⁰ E. Barberis, ¹⁶⁰ A. Hortiangtham, ¹⁶⁰ A. Massironi, ¹⁶⁰ D. Morse, ¹⁶⁰ D. Charaf, ¹⁶¹
 K. A. Hahn, ¹⁶¹ A. Kubik, ¹⁶¹ A. Kumar, ¹⁶¹ N. Mucia, ¹⁶¹ N. Odell, ¹⁶¹ B. Pollack, ¹⁶¹ M. H. Schmitt, ¹⁶¹ K. Sung, ¹⁶¹
 M. Trovato, ¹⁶¹ M. Velasco, ¹⁶¹ N. Dev, ¹⁶² M. Hildreth, ¹⁶² K. Hurtado Anampa, ¹⁶² C. Jessop, ¹⁶² D. J. Karmgard, ¹⁶²
 N. Kellams, ¹⁶² K. Lannon, ¹⁶² N. Marinelli, ¹⁶² F. Meng, ¹⁶² C. Mueller, ¹⁶² Y. Musienko, ¹⁶² J. Alimena, ¹⁶³ L. Antonelli, ¹⁶³
 B. Slysma, ¹⁶³ L. S. Durkin, ¹⁶³ S. Flowers, ¹⁶³ B. Francis, ¹⁶³ A. Hart, ¹⁶⁴ O. Driga, ¹⁶⁴ P. Elmer, ¹⁶⁴ J. Hardenbrook, ¹⁶⁴
 P. Hebda, ¹⁶⁴ D. Lange, ¹⁶⁴ J. Luo, ¹⁶⁴ D. Marlow, ¹⁶⁴ T. Mcdvedeva, ¹⁶⁴ K. Mei, ¹⁶⁴ M. Mooney, ¹⁶⁴ J. Olsen, ¹⁶⁶ V. E. Barnes, ¹⁶⁶
 S. Folgueras, ¹⁶⁶ L. Gutay, ¹⁶⁶ M. K. Iha, ¹⁶⁶ M. Jones, ¹⁶⁶ A. Zuranski, ¹⁶⁴ S. Malik, ¹⁶⁵ A. Barker, ¹⁶⁶ V. E. Barnes, ¹⁶⁶
 S. Folgueras, ¹⁶⁶ L. Gutay, ¹⁶⁶ M. K. Iha, ¹⁶⁶ M. Jones, ¹⁶⁶ A. W. Luf, ¹⁶⁸ B. Michlin, ¹⁶⁸ M. Northup, ¹⁶⁸ B. Akgun, ¹⁶⁸
 Z. Chen, ¹⁶⁸ K. M. Ecklund, ¹⁶⁸ F. J. M. Geurts, ¹⁶⁸ M. Guilbaud, ¹⁶⁸ W. Li, ¹⁶⁸ B. Mochlin, ¹⁶⁹ A. Khukhunaishvili, ¹⁶⁹
 Y. t. Duh, ¹⁶⁹ T. Ferbel, ¹⁶⁹ M. Galanti, ¹⁶⁹ A. Garcia-Bellido, ¹⁶⁹ J. Hand, ¹⁶⁰ O. Hindrichs, ¹⁶⁹ A. Khukhunaishvili, ¹⁶⁹
 Y. t. Duh, ¹⁶⁹ F. J. M. Geurts, ¹⁶⁸ M. Guilbaud, ¹⁶⁸ W. Li, ¹⁶⁸ B. Mochlin, ¹⁶⁸ M. Khukhunaishvili, ¹⁶⁹
 Y. t. Duh, ¹⁶⁹ F. Terbel, ¹⁶⁹ M. Galanti, ¹⁶⁹ A. Garcia-Bell S. Undleeb,¹⁷³ I. Volobouev,¹⁷³ Z. Wang,¹⁷³ S. Greene,¹⁷⁴ A. Gurrola,¹⁷⁴ R. Janjam,¹⁷⁴ W. Johns,¹⁷⁴ C. Maguire,¹⁷⁴ A. Melo,¹⁷⁴ H. Ni,¹⁷⁴ P. Sheldon,¹⁷⁴ S. Tuo,¹⁷⁴ J. Velkovska,¹⁷⁴ Q. Xu,¹⁷⁴ M. W. Arenton,¹⁷⁵ P. Barria,¹⁷⁵ B. Cox,¹⁷⁵

J. Goodell,¹⁷⁵ R. Hirosky,¹⁷⁵ A. Ledovskoy,¹⁷⁵ H. Li,¹⁷⁵ C. Neu,¹⁷⁵ T. Sinthuprasith,¹⁷⁵ X. Sun,¹⁷⁵ Y. Wang,¹⁷⁵ E. Wolfe,¹⁷⁵
 F. Xia,¹⁷⁵ C. Clarke,¹⁷⁶ R. Harr,¹⁷⁶ P. E. Karchin,¹⁷⁶ J. Sturdy,¹⁷⁶ D. A. Belknap,¹⁷⁷ J. Buchanan,¹⁷⁷ C. Caillol,¹⁷⁷ S. Dasu,¹⁷⁷
 L. Dodd,¹⁷⁷ S. Duric,¹⁷⁷ B. Gomber,¹⁷⁷ M. Grothe,¹⁷⁷ M. Herndon,¹⁷⁷ A. Hervé,¹⁷⁷ P. Klabbers,¹⁷⁷ A. Lanaro,¹⁷⁷
 A. Levine,¹⁷⁷ K. Long,¹⁷⁷ R. Loveless,¹⁷⁷ I. Ojalvo,¹⁷⁷ T. Perry,¹⁷⁷ G. A. Pierro,¹⁷⁷ G. Polese,¹⁷⁷ T. Ruggles,¹⁷⁷ A. Savin,¹⁷⁷
 N. Smith,¹⁷⁷ W. H. Smith,¹⁷⁷ D. Taylor,¹⁷⁷ and N. Woods¹⁷⁷

(CMS Collaboration)

¹Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia ²Institut für Hochenergiephysik, Wien, Austria ³Institute for Nuclear Problems, Minsk, Belarus ⁴National Centre for Particle and High Energy Physics, Minsk, Belarus ⁵Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium ⁶Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium ⁷Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium ⁸Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium ⁹Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium ¹⁰Université de Mons, Mons, Belgium ¹¹Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Fisicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil ¹²Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil ^{13a}Universidade Estadual Paulista, São Paulo, Brazil ^{13b}Universidade Federal do ABC, São Paulo, Brazil ¹⁴Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Sofia, Bulgaria ¹⁵University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria ¹⁶Beihang University, Beijing, China ¹⁷Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China ¹⁸State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China ¹⁹Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia ²⁰University of Split, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, Split, Croatia ²¹University of Split, Faculty of Science, Split, Croatia ²Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia ²³University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus ²⁴Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic ²⁵Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, Ecuador ²⁶Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt, Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics, Cairo, Egypt ²⁷National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia ²⁸Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland ²⁹Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland ³⁰Lappeenranta University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland ³¹IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France ³²Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Ecole Polytechnique, IN2P3-CNRS, Palaiseau, France ³³Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien, Université de Strasbourg, Université de Haute Alsace Mulhouse, CNRS/IN2P3, Strasbourg, France ³⁴Centre de Calcul de l'Institut National de Physique Nucleaire et de Physique des Particules, CNRS/IN2P3, Villeurbanne, France ³⁵Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS-IN2P3, Institut de Physique Nucléaire de Lyon, Villeurbanne, France ³⁶Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia ⁷Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia ³⁸*RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany* ³⁹RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany ⁴⁰*RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany* ⁴¹Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany ⁴²University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany ⁴³Institut für Experimentelle Kernphysik, Karlsruhe, Germany ⁴⁴Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPP), NCSR Demokritos, Aghia Paraskevi, Greece ⁴⁵National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece ⁴⁶University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece

⁴⁷MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary ⁴⁸Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary ⁴⁹Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary ⁵⁰University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary ⁵¹National Institute of Science Education and Research, Bhubaneswar, India ⁵²Panjab University, Chandigarh, India ⁵³University of Delhi, Delhi, India ⁵⁴Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Kolkata, India ⁵⁵Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Madras, India ⁵⁶Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai, India ⁵⁷Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-A, Mumbai, India ⁵⁸Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-B, Mumbai, India ⁵⁹Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER), Pune, India ⁶⁰Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran ⁶¹University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland ^{62a}INFN Sezione di Bari, Bari, Italy ^{62b}Università di Bari, Bari, Italy ^{62c}Politecnico di Bari, Bari, Italy ^{63a}INFN Sezione di Bologna, Bologna, Italy ^{63b}Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy ^{64a}INFN Sezione di Catania, Catania, Italy ^{64b}Università di Catania, Catania, Italy ^{65a}INFN Sezione di Firenze, Firenze, Italy ^{65b}Università di Firenze, Firenze, Italy ⁶⁶INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy ^aINFN Sezione di Genova, Genova, Italy ^{67b}Università di Genova, Genova, Italy 68a INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy ^{68b}Università di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy ^{69a}INFN Sezione di Napoli, Roma, Italy ^{69b}Università di Napoli 'Federico II', Roma, Italy ^{9c}Università della Basilicata, Roma, Italy ^{69d}Università G. Marconi, Roma, Italy ^{70a}INFN Sezione di Padova, Padova, Italy ^{70b}Università di Padova, Padova, Italy ^{70c}Università di Trento ^{71a}INFN Sezione di Pavia, Pavia, Italy ^{71b}Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy ^{72a}INFN Sezione di Perugia, Perugia, Italy ^{72b}Università di Perugia, Perugia, Italy ^{73a}INFN Sezione di Pisa, Pisa, Italy ^{73b}Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy ⁷³cScuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Pisa, Italy ^{4a}INFN Sezione di Roma, Roma, Italy ^{74b}Università di Roma, Roma, Italy ^{75a}INFN Sezione di Torino, Novara, Italy ^{75b}Università di Torino, Novara, Italy ⁷⁵*c*Università del Piemonte Orientale, Novara, Italy ^{6a}INFN Sezione di Trieste, Trieste, Italy ^{76b}Università di Trieste, Trieste, Italy ⁷⁷Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea ⁷⁸Chonbuk National University, Jeonju, Korea ⁷⁹Chonnam National University, Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Kwangju, Korea ⁸⁰Hanyang University, Seoul, Korea ⁸¹Korea University, Seoul, Korea ⁸²Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea ⁸³University of Seoul, Seoul, Korea ⁸⁴Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Korea ⁸⁵Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania ⁸⁶National Centre for Particle Physics, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

⁸⁷Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, Mexico ⁸⁸Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico ⁸⁹Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico ⁹⁰Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí, San Luis Potosí, Mexico ⁹¹University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand ⁹²University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand ⁹³National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan ⁹⁴National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland ⁹⁵Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland 6 Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, Portugal ⁷Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia ⁹⁸Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina (St. Petersburg), Russia ⁹⁹Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia ¹⁰⁰Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia ¹⁰¹Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Moscow, Russia ¹⁰²National Research Nuclear University 'Moscow Engineering Physics Institute' (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia ¹⁰³P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia ¹⁰⁴Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia ¹⁰⁵Novosibirsk State University (NSU), Novosibirsk, Russia ¹⁰⁶State Research Center of Russian Federation, Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino, Russia ¹⁰⁷University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia ¹⁰⁸Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain ¹⁰⁹Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain ¹¹⁰Universidad de Oviedo, Oviedo, Spain ¹¹¹Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain ¹²CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland ¹¹³Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland ¹¹⁴Institute for Particle Physics, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland ¹¹⁵Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland ¹¹⁶National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan ¹¹⁷National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan ¹¹⁸Chulalongkorn University, Faculty of Science, Department of Physics, Bangkok, Thailand ¹¹⁹Cukurova University, Adana, Turkey ¹²⁰Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey ¹²¹Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey ¹²²Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey ¹²³Institute for Scintillation Materials of National Academy of Science of Ukraine, Kharkov, Ukraine ²⁴National Scientific Center, Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkov, Ukraine ¹²⁵University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom ¹²⁶Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom ¹²⁷Imperial College, London, United Kingdom ¹²⁸Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom ¹²⁹Baylor University, Waco, Texas, USA ¹³⁰The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, Alabama, USA ¹³¹Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA ¹³²Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island, USA ¹³³University of California, Davis, Davis, California, USA ¹³⁴University of California, Los Angeles, California, USA ¹³⁵University of California, Riverside, Riverside, California, USA ¹³⁶University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, USA ¹³⁷University of California, Santa Barbara - Department of Physics, Santa Barbara, California, USA ³⁸California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA ¹³⁹Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA ¹⁴⁰University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, Colorado, USA ¹⁴¹Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, USA ¹⁴²Fairfield University, Fairfield, Connecticut, USA ¹⁴³Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, New York, USA ¹⁴⁴University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, USA ¹⁴⁵Florida International University, Miami, Florida, USA ¹⁴⁶Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida, USA

¹⁴⁷Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, Florida, USA ¹⁴⁸University of Illinois at Chicago (UIC), Chicago, Illinois, USA ¹⁴⁹The University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA ¹⁵⁰Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, USA ¹⁵¹The University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas, USA ¹⁵²Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas, USA ¹⁵³Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California, USA ¹⁵⁴University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA ¹⁵⁵Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA ⁵⁶University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA ¹⁵⁷University of Mississippi, Oxford, Mississippi, USA ¹⁵⁸University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA ¹⁵⁹State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, New York, USA Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA ¹⁶¹Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois, USA ¹⁶²University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana, USA ¹⁶³The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, USA ¹⁶⁴Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey, USA ¹⁶⁵University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, Puerto Rico, USA ¹⁶⁶Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA ¹⁶⁷Purdue University Calumet, Hammond, Indiana, USA ¹⁶⁸Rice University, Houston, Texas, USA ¹⁶⁹University of Rochester, Rochester, New York, USA ¹⁷⁰Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, New Jersey, USA ⁷¹University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee, USA ¹⁷²Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, USA ¹⁷³Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas, USA ¹⁷⁴Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee, USA ¹⁷⁵University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia, USA ¹⁷⁶Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan, USA ¹⁷⁷University of Wisconsin - Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, USA

^aDeceased.

- ^bAlso at Vienna University of Technology, Vienna, Austria.
- ^cAlso at State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China.
- ^dAlso at Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien, Université de Strasbourg, Université de Haute Alsace Mulhouse, CNRS/IN2P3, Strasbourg, France.
- ^eAlso at Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil.
- ^fAlso at Universidade Federal de Pelotas, Pelotas, Brazil.
- ^gAlso at Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium.
- ^hAlso at Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany.
- ⁱAlso at Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia.
- ^JAlso at Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt.
- ^kAlso at Fayoum University, El-Fayoum, Egypt.
- ¹Also at British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt.
- ^mAlso at Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt.
- ⁿAlso at Université de Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France.
- ^oAlso at Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia.
- ^pAlso at Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia.
- ^qAlso at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland.
- ^rAlso at RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany.
- ^sAlso at University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany.
- ^tAlso at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany.
- ^uAlso at Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary.
- ^vAlso at MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary.
- ^wAlso at University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary.
- ^xAlso at Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Bhopal, India.
- ^yAlso at Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, India.
- ^zAlso at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India.
- ^{aa}Also at University of Ruhuna, Matara, Sri Lanka.

- ^{bb}Also at Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran.
- ^{cc}Also at University of Tehran, Department of Engineering Science, Tehran, Iran.
- ^{dd}Also at Yazd University, Yazd, Iran.
- ^{ee}Also at Plasma Physics Research Center, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran.
- ^{ff}Also at Università degli Studi di Siena, Siena, Italy.
- ^{gg}Also at Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA.
- ^{hh}Also at International Islamic University of Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- ⁱⁱAlso at Malaysian Nuclear Agency, MOSTI, Kajang, Malaysia.
- ^{jj}Also at Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, Mexico city, Mexico.
- ^{kk}Also at Warsaw University of Technology, Institute of Electronic Systems, Warsaw, Poland.
- ¹¹Also at Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia.
- ^{mm}Also at National Research Nuclear University 'Moscow Engineering Physics Institute' (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia.
- ⁿⁿAlso at St. Petersburg State Polytechnical University, St. Petersburg, Russia.
- ^{oo}Also at University of Florida, Gainesville, USA.
- ^{pp}Also at P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia.
- ^{qq}Also at INFN Sezione di Padova, Università di Padova, Padova, Italy, Università di Trento, Trento, Italy.
- ^{rr}Also at Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk, Russia.
- ^{ss}Also at Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia.
- ^{tt}Also at INFN Sezione di Roma, Università di Roma, Roma, Italy.
- ^{uu}Also at University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia.
- ^{vv}Also at Scuola Normale e Sezione dell'INFN, Pisa, Italy.
- ^{ww}Also at National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece.
- ^{xx}Also at Riga Technical University, Riga, Latvia.
- ^{yy}Also at Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia.
- ^{zz}Also at Albert Einstein Center for Fundamental Physics, Bern, Switzerland.
- aaa Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey.
- bbb Also at Istanbul Aydin University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ^{ccc}Also at Mersin University, Mersin, Turkey.
- ddd Also at Cag University, Mersin, Turkey.
- eee Also at Piri Reis University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ^{fff}Also at Ozyegin University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ^{ggg}Also at Izmir Institute of Technology, Izmir, Turkey.
- ^{hhh}Also at Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ⁱⁱⁱAlso at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey.
- ^{jjj}Also at Istanbul Bilgi University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- kkk Also at Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ^{III}Also at Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey.
- ^{mmm}Also at Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom.
- ⁿⁿⁿAlso at School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom.
- ⁰⁰⁰Also at Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, La Laguna, Spain.
- pppAlso at Utah Valley University, Orem, USA.
- ^{qqq}Also at Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, USA.
- ^{rrr}Also at Erzincan University, Erzincan, Turkey.
- ^{sss}Also at Mimar Sinan University, Istanbul, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ^{ttt}Also at Catholic University of America.
- ^{uuu}Also at Texas A&M University at Qatar, Doha, Qatar.
- vvv Also at Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea.