Neutrosophic Sets and Systems

Volume 39 Article 9

1-3-2021

Semi Homomorphisms and Algebraic Relations Between Strong Refined Neutrosophic Modules and Strong Neutrosophic Modules

Mohammad Abobala

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss_journal

Recommended Citation

Abobala, Mohammad. "Semi Homomorphisms and Algebraic Relations Between Strong Refined Neutrosophic Modules and Strong Neutrosophic Modules." *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems* 39, 1 (). https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss_journal/vol39/iss1/9

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by UNM Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Neutrosophic Sets and Systems by an authorized editor of UNM Digital Repository. For more information, please contact amywinter@unm.edu, Isloane@salud.unm.edu, sarahrk@unm.edu.





Semi Homomorphisms and Algebraic Relations Between Strong Refined Neutrosophic Modules and Strong Neutrosophic

Modules

¹Mohammad Abobala

¹Faculty of Science, Department of Mathematics Tishreen University, Lattakia Syria ¹e-mail: mohammadabobala777@gmail.com

Abstract: The objective of this paper is to define and study the concept of semi homomorphism between two modules defined over different rings. Semi homomorphisms can help in the study of algebraic relations between two modules defined over two different rings. An application of this functions is presented in the case of refined strong neutrosophic modules and neutrosophic strong modules, where strong neutrosophic modules are shown to be semi homomorphic images of their corresponding strong refined neutrosophic modules. Also, this work presents a discussion of the algebraic structure of some semi homomorphisms and isomorphisms.

Keywords: Neutrosophic module, f – semi homomorphism, f – semi isomomorphism, neutrosophic ring, refined neutrosophic ring, classical homomorphism.

1. Introduction

Neutrosophy as a new kind of logic founded by Smarandache plays an important role in many fields of knowledge as well as algebra. We find many applications of neutrosophic sets in decision making [20,23], medical studies [14], and computer science [21]. Also, many algebraic neutrosophic structures were defined and handled such as neutrosophic rings and neutrosophic modules/spaces [1,6,7,8,18].

Recently, F. Smarandache came with an interesting idea suggests that the indeterminacy I can be split into two different degrees of indeterminacy I_1 , I_2 . Agboola et. al used this idea to introduce the

concept of refined neutrosophic ring [2,4]. Substructures of these rings were studied widely in [1,2,3,5,6,7,10,11] such as neutrosophic isomorphisms, AH-ideals, AHS-homomorphisms, idempotents, and semi idempotents.

Neutrosophic modules were firstly defined over neutrosophic rings in [8] and studied widely in [4], and then they were generalized into refined neutrosophic modules over refined neutrosophic rings in [9]. Recently, they were generalized into n-refined neutrosophic modules [16] over n-refined neutrosophic rings [19].

It is well known that the relationships between two modules can be represented with module homomorphisms if these modules were defined over the same ring. In this work we introduce the concept of semi homomorphism between two modules to help us in the study of the relationships between two different modules defined over two different rings. Our goal is to study the relationships between strong refined neutrosophic modules and strong neutrosophic modules by using the notion of semi homomorphisms.

All rings through this paper are considered commutative with unity 1. Also, all neutrosophic modules and refined neutrosophic modules are considered strong.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1: [6]

Let $(R,+,\times)$ be a ring, $R(I) = \{a+bI \; ; \; a,b \in R\}$ is called the neutrosophic ring where I is a neutrosophic element with condition $I^2 = I$.

Remark 2.2: [5]

The element I can be split into two indeterminacies I_1 , I_2 with conditions:

$$I_1^2 = I_1$$
, $I_2^2 = I_2$, $I_1I_2 = I_2I_1 = I_1$.

Definition 2.3: [5]

If X is a set, then $X(I_1,I_2)=\{(a,bI_1,cI_2); a,b,c\in X\}$ is called the refined neutrosophic set generated by X , I_1,I_2 .

Definition 2.4: [6]

Let $(R,+,\times)$ be a ring, $(R(I_1,I_2),+,\times)$ is called a refined neutrosophic ring generated by R, I_1,I_2 .

Theorem 2.5: [6]

Let $(R(I_1, I_2), +, \times)$ be a refined neutrosophic ring then it is a ring.

Definition 2.6:[8]

Let (M,+,.) be a module over the ring R, then (M(I),+,.) is called a weak neutrosophic module over the ring R, and it is called a strong neutrosophic module if it is a module over the neutrosophic ring R(I). Elements of M(I) have the form x + yI; x, $y \in M$, i.e M(I) can be written as M(I) = M + MI.

Definition 2.7: [8]

Let M(I) be a strong neutrosophic module over the neutrosophic ring R(I) and W(I) be a non empty subset of M(I), then W(I) is called a strong neutrosophic submodule if W(I) itself is a strong neutrosophic module.

Definition 2.8:[8]

Let U(I) and W(I) be two strong neutrosophic submodules of M(I) and let $f: U(I) \to W(I)$, we say that f is a neutrosophic vector space homomorphism if

- (a) f(I) = I.
- (b) *f* is a module homomorphism.

We define the kernel of f by Ker $f = \{x \in M(I); f(x) = 0\}$.

Definition 2.9: [9]

Let $(X(I_1,I_2),+,\cdot)$ be any refined neutrosophic algebraic structure where + and \cdot are ordinary addition and multiplication respectively. I_1 and I_2 are the split components of the indeterminacy factor I that is $I=\alpha I_1+\beta I_2$ with $\alpha,\beta\in R$ or C. Also, I_1 and I_2 are taken to have the properties $I_1^2=I_1,I_2^2=I_2$ and $I_1I_2=I_2I_1=I_1$.

For any two elements, we define

1)
$$x + y = (a,bI_1,cI_2) + (d,eI_1,fI_2) = (a+d,(b+e)I_1,(c+f)I_2)$$

2) $x \cdot y = (a,bI_1,cI_2) \cdot (d,eI_1,fI_2) = \begin{pmatrix} ad,(ae+bd+be+bf+ce)I_1,\\ (af+cd+cf)I_2 \end{pmatrix}$

Definition 2.10: [9]

Let (M, +, .) be any R-module over a refined neutrosophic ring $R(I_1, I_2)$, The triple $(M(I_1, I_2), +, \cdot)$ is called a strong refined neutrosophic R-module over a refined neutrosophic ring $R(I_1, I_2)$, generated by M, I_1 and I_2 .

Theorem 2.11: [3]

Let $(R,+,\times)$ be a ring and R(I), $R(I_1,I_2)$ the related neutrosophic ring and refined neutrosophic ring respectively, we have:

- (a) There is a ring homomorphism $f: R(I_1, I_2) \to R(I)$.
- (b) The additive group (Ker f, +) is isomorphic to the additive group (R,+).

3. Main discussion

Definition 3.1:

Let M be a module over a ring R, N be a module over a ring T, $\varphi: M \to N$ be a well defined map, we say that φ is an f-semi module homomorphism if and only if the following conditions are true:

- (a) $\varphi(x + y) = \varphi(x) + \varphi(y)$ for all $x, y \in M$.
- (b) There is a ring homomorphism $f: R \to T$ such $\varphi(r, x) = f(r) \cdot \varphi(x)$ for all $r \in R, x \in M$.

Remark 3.2:

- (a) The concept of semi homomorphism can be used to study relationships between two modules defined over different rings.
- (b) It is easy to see that every homomorphism between two modules defined over the same ring is a semi homomorphism. (Semi homomorphisms generalize classical module homomorphisms). In the following theorem, we show that every neutrosophic module M(I) defined over a neutrosophic ring R(I) is a semi homomorphic image to the corresponding refined neutrosophic module $M(I_1, I_2)$ over the corresponding refined neutrosophic ring $R(I_1, I_2)$.

Theorem 3.3:

Let M be a module over a ring R, M(I) be the corresponding strong neutrosophic module over R(I), $M(I_1, I_2)$ be the corresponding strong refined neutrosophic module over $R(I_1, I_2)$. Then $\varphi: M(I_1, I_2) \to M(I); \ \varphi(a, bI_1, cI_2) = a + (b + c)I$ is a semi homomorphism.

Proof:

Clearly, φ is a well defined map. Let $x = (a, bI_1, cI_2), y = (u, vI_1, wI_2)$ be two arbitrary elements in $M(I_1, I_2)$,

$$\varphi(x + y) = (a + u) + (b + v + c + w)I = [a + (b + c)I] + [u + (v + w)I] = \varphi(x) + \varphi(y).$$

According to [3], the map $f: R(I_1, I_2) \to R(I); f(m, nI_1, tI_2) = m + (n + t)I; m, n, t \in R$ is a ring homomorphism.

Consider $r = (m, nI_1, tI_2) \in R(I_1, I_2)$, we can write

$$\varphi(r.x) = \varphi(m.a, (m.b + n.a + n.b + n.c + t.b)I_1, (t.a + t.c + m.c)I_2) =$$

$$m.\,a + (m.\,b + n.\,a + n.\,b + n.\,c + t.\,b + t.\,a + t.\,c + m.\,c)I = [m + (n + t)I].\,[a + (b + c)I] = [m + (n + t)I].\,[a + (b + c)I]$$

f(r). $\varphi(x)$. Thus the proof is complete.

Theorem 3.4:

Let M be a module over a ring R, M(I) be the corresponding strong neutrosophic module over R(I), $M(I_1, I_2)$ be the corresponding strong refined neutrosophic module over $R(I_1, I_2)$, φ be the semi homomorphism defined in Theorem 3.3. Then

The semi homomorphic image of any strong submodule of $M(I_1, I_2)$ is a strong submodule of M(I).

Proof:

Let N be any strong AH-submodule of $M(I_1, I_2)$, since (N, +) is a subgroup of $(M(I_1, I_2), +)$, we have $(\varphi(N), +)$ is a subgroup of (M(I), +).

Let y = a + bI be an arbitrary element in $\varphi(N)$, r = u + vI be any element in R(I), since φ , f are surjective maps, there are

$$x = (a, mI_1, nI_2) \in M(I_1, I_2); \ \varphi(x) = y, \text{ i.e } m + n = b \text{ and } g = (u, zI_1, qI_2) \in R(I_1, I_2); f(g) = r, \text{ i.e } z + q = v,$$

$$r.y = u.a + (v.a + v.b + u.b)I = u.a + (z.a + q.a + z.m + z.n + q.m + q.n + u.m + u.n)I = f(g).\varphi(x) = \varphi(g.x) \in \varphi(N).$$

Now we study the kernel of semi homomorphism.

Definition 3.5:

Let M be a module over a ring R, M(I) be the corresponding strong neutrosophic module over R(I), $M(I_1, I_2)$ be the corresponding strong refined neutrosophic module over $R(I_1, I_2)$, φ be the semi homomorphism defined in Theorem 3.3. We define $Ker(\varphi) = \{x \in M(I_1, I_2); \varphi(x) = 0\}$.

Theorem 3.6:

Let M be a module over a ring R with unity 1, M(I) be the corresponding strong neutrosophic module over R(I), $M(I_1, I_2)$ be the corresponding strong refined neutrosophic module over $R(I_1, I_2)$, φ be the semi homomorphism defined in Theorem 3.3, we have

- (a) $Ker(\varphi) = \{(0, xI_1, -xI_2); x \in M\}.$
- (b) For each $m \in Ker(\varphi)$ there is $r \in Ker(f)$ and $n \in M(I_1, I_2)$ such m = r.n.
- (c) $Ker(\varphi)$ is a strong submodule of $M(I_1, I_2)$.

Proof:

(a) Let
$$z = (y, xI_1, tI_2) \in Ker(\varphi)$$
, $\varphi(z) = y + (x + t)I = 0$, thus $y = 0, t = -x$.

(b) Consider $m = (0, xI_1, -xI_2) \in Ker(\varphi)$, there is $r = (0, I_1, -I_2) \in Ker(f)$ and $n = (2x, xI_1, -xI_2) \in M(I_1, I_2)$; $x \in M$, where

$$r.n = (0, xI_1, -xI_2) = m.$$

(c) It is clear that $Ker(\varphi)$ is closed under addition. Now suppose that $m=(0,xI_1,-xI_2)\in Ker(\varphi)$ and $r=(a,bI_1,cI_2)\in R(I_1,I_2),\ r.m=(0,[a.x+c.x]I_1,[-a.x-c.x]I_2)\in Ker(\varphi).$

Thus our proof is complete.

Example 3.7:

Let $M = Z_3$ be the module of integers modulo 3 over the ring Z, M(I), $M(I_1, I_2)$ be its corresponding neutrosophic and refined neutrosophic modules over Z(I) and $Z(I_1, I_2)$ respectively. We have

- (a) $f: Z(I_1, I_2) \rightarrow Z(I); f(a, bI_1, cI_2) = a + (b + c)I$ is a ring homomorphism.
- (b) $\varphi: M(l_1, l_2) \to M(l); \ \varphi(x, yl_1, zl_2) = x + (y + z)l$ is an f -semi module homomorphism.
- (c) $Ker(\varphi) = \{(0, xI_1, -xI_2); x \in M\} = \{(0,0,0), (0, I_1, 2I_2), (0,2I_1, I_2)\}.$

Definition 3.8:

Let M be a module over a ring R with unity 1, M(I) be the corresponding strong neutrosophic module over R(I), $M(I_1, I_2)$ be the corresponding strong refined neutrosophic module over $R(I_1, I_2)$, φ be the semi homomorphism defined in Theorem 3.3. We define

$$M(I_1, I_2)/Ker(\varphi) = \{a + Ker(\varphi); a \in M(I_1, I_2)\} = \{(x, yI_1, zI_2) + Ker(\varphi); x, y, z \in M\}.$$

 $M(I_1, I_2)/Ker(\varphi)$ is called neutrosophic semi factor.

Definition 3.9:

We define operations on the semi factor $M(l_1, l_2)/Ker(\varphi)$ as follows:

(a) Addition: for each
$$a + Ker(\varphi)$$
, $b + Ker(\varphi) \in M(I_1, I_2)/Ker(\varphi)$, we have

$$(a + Ker(\varphi)) + (b + Ker(\varphi)) = (a + b) + Ker(\varphi).$$

(b) Multiplication by a scalar: for each $r \in R(I_1, I_2)$, $a + Ker(\varphi) \in M(I_1, I_2) / Ker(\varphi)$, we have

$$r.(a + Ker(\varphi)) = r.a + Ker(\varphi).$$

Theorem 3.10:

Addition and Multiplication by a scalar are well defined operations on $M(l_1, l_2)/Ker(\varphi)$.

Proof:

Suppose that
$$a + Ker(\varphi) = b + Ker(\varphi)$$
, and $c + Ker(\varphi) = d + Ker(\varphi)$ then $a - b, c - d \in Ker(\varphi)$,

thus
$$(a+c)-(b+d)\in Ker(\varphi)$$
, hence $a+c+Ker(\varphi)=b+d+Ker(\varphi)$.

Now assume that $a + Ker(\varphi) = b + Ker(\varphi)$ and $r = s \in R(I_1, I_2)$, then

$$r.(a + Ker(\varphi)) = s.(b + Ker(\varphi))$$
. Thus the proof is complete.

Theorem 3.11:

 $(M(I_1,I_2)/Ker(\varphi),+,.)$ is a module over the refined neutrosophic ring $R(I_1,I_2)$.

Proof:

Firstly, we remark that $M(I_1, I_2)/Ker(\varphi)$ is closed under addition and multiplication.

Since R is a ring with unity, we find that $1 \in R(I_1, I_2)$. Let $a + Ker(\varphi)$, $b + Ker(\varphi)$ be two arbitrary

elements in $M(I_1,I_2)/Ker(\varphi)$, r,s be two arbitrary elements in $R(I_1,I_2)$. Now we have

$$1.(a + Ker(\varphi)) = a + Ker(\varphi), (r + s)(a + Ker(\varphi)) = r.(a + Ker(\varphi)) + s.(b + Ker(\varphi)),$$

$$r.\left[\left(a+Ker(\varphi)\right)+\left(b+Ker(\varphi)\right)\right]=r.\left(a+b+Ker(\varphi)\right)=r.\left(a+b\right)+Ker(\varphi)=\left[r.\,a+Ker(\varphi)\right]+Ker(\varphi)$$

$$[r.b + Ker(\varphi)] = r.(a + Ker(\varphi)) + r.(b + Ker(\varphi)).$$

Also, $M(I_1, I_2)/Ker(\varphi)$ is an abelian group with respect to addition. Thus it is a module over the ring $R(I_1, I_2)$.

Definition 3.12:

Let M be a module over a ring R, N be a module over a ring T, $\varphi: M \to N$ be a semi module homomorphism, we say that φ is a semi isomorphism if and only if it is a bijective map. M, N are called semi isomorphic modules.

Theorem 3.13:

Let M be a module over a ring R with unity 1, M(I) be the corresponding strong neutrosophic module over R(I), $M(I_1, I_2)$ be the corresponding strong refined neutrosophic module over $R(I_1, I_2)$, φ be the semi homomorphism defined in Theorem 3.3. Then $M(I_1, I_2)/Ker(\varphi)$ is semi isomorphic to M(I).

Proof:

Define h:
$$M(I_1, I_2)/Ker(\varphi) \rightarrow M(I)$$
; $h(a + Ker(\varphi)) = \varphi(a)$.

(a) h is well defined

Assume that $a + Ker(\varphi) = b + Ker(\varphi)$, then $a - b \in Ker(\varphi)$, hence $\varphi(a - b) = 0$, this means $\varphi(a) = \varphi(b)$.

(b) *h* is a semi homomorphism

Let $a + Ker(\varphi)$, $b + Ker(\varphi)$ be two arbitrary elements in $M(I_1, I_2)/Ker(\varphi)$, and $r = (r_0, r_1I_1, r_2I_2)$ be an arbitrary element in $R(I_1, I_2)$, we have

$$h([a + Ker(\varphi)] + [b + Ker(\varphi)]) = h(a + b + Ker(\varphi)) = \varphi(a + b) =$$

$$\varphi(a) + \varphi(b) = h(a + Ker(\varphi)) + h(b + Ker(\varphi)).$$

$$h(r.[a + Ker(\varphi)]) = h(r.a + Ker(\varphi)) = \varphi(r.a) = f(r).\varphi(a) = f(r).h(a + Ker(\varphi)).$$

(c) h is a bijective map

It is easy to see that h is surjective. Now suppose that

$$h([a + Ker(\varphi)]) = h([b + Ker(\varphi)])$$
, then $\varphi(a) = \varphi(b)$, this implies $\varphi(a - b) = 0$, hence

 $a - b \in Ker(\varphi)$, so $a + Ker(\varphi) = b + Ker(\varphi)$. Thus h is a semi isomorphism.

Thus we get the proof.

Theorem 3.14:

Let $\varphi: M \to N, \tau: N \to L$ be two semi homomorphisms, where M, N, L are three modules over the rings

R, T, S respectively. Then $\tau \circ \varphi : M \to L$ is a semi homomorphism.

Proof:

Suppose that φ is an f-semi homomorphism, where $f\colon R\to T$ is a ring homomorphism, and τ is a g-semi homomorphism, where $g\colon T\to S$ is a ring homomorphism. It is clear that $g\circ f\colon R\to S$ is a ring homomorphism. Now we prove that $\tau\circ \varphi\colon M\to L$ is a $g\circ f-semi$ homomorphism.

Let x, y be two arbitrary elements in M, and r be any element in the ring R, we have

$$\tau o \varphi(x + y) = \tau \big(\varphi(x) + \varphi(y) \big) = \tau o \varphi(x) + \tau o \varphi(y).$$

$$\tau o \varphi(r.x) = \tau (\varphi(r.x)) = \tau (f(r).\varphi(x)) = g(f(r))\tau (\varphi(x)) = gof(r).\tau o \varphi(x)$$
. Hence

 $\tau o \varphi$ is gof-semi homomorphism.

Remark 3.15:

The previous theorem shows that the set of all semi homomorphisms between a module M and itself is closed under multiplication.

The following theorem shows that any module M will be a semi homomorphic image to its corresponding neutrosophic module M(I).

Theorem 3.16:

Let M be a module over R, M(I) be its corresponding neutrosophic module over R(I).

Then M is a semi homomorphic image to M(I).

Proof:

According to [3], there is a ring homomorphism $f: R(I) \to R$; f(r+sI) = r; $r, s \in R$,

we define
$$g: M(I) \to M; g(x + yI) = x; x, y \in M$$
.

It is clear that for every $m, n \in M(I)$, we get g(m + n) = g(m) + g(n). Also, we

have
$$g([r+s.1], [r+y]) = g(r.x + [r.y + s.x + s.y]) = r.x =$$

f(r + s. I). g(x + yI), thus g is a semi homomorphism.

The previous result shows that neutrosophic module (which they were defined using logic)

have an algebraic origin, since they can be represented by semi homomorphisms.

Remark 3.17:

According to Theorem 3.13, we find that ${}^{M(I)}\!/_{Kerg}$ is semi isomorphic to M.

 $Ker(g) = \{yI; y \in M\} = MI$. Hence M(I)/MI is semi isomorphic to M.

Example 3.18:

Let $M = Z_6$ be a module over the ring of integers Z, $M(I) = \{x + yI; x, y \in Z_6\}$ be its corresponding neutrosophic module, we have

(a)
$$\ker(g) = MI = \{yI; y \in Z_6\} = \{0, I, 2I, 3I, 4I, 5I\}.$$

(b)
$$^{M(I)}/_{MI} = \{A + MI; A \in M(I)\} = \{MI, 1 + MI, 2 + MI, 3 + MI, 4 + MI, 5 + MI\}.$$

Which is semi isomorphic to M.

Definition 3.19:

Let R be any ring with unity, M be a module over R, we define

- (a) The set of all semi homomorphisms from a module M to itself is denoted by
- $S_M = \{ \varphi : M \to M ; \varphi \text{ is a semi homomorphism} \}.$
- (b) The set of all semi isomorphisms from a module M to itself is denoted by $SI_M = \{\varphi : M \to M; \varphi \text{ is a semi isomorphism}\}$.
- (c) The set of all f –semi homomorphisms between a module M and itself is denoted by $f S_M$.
- (d) The set of all f –semi isomorphisms from a module M to itself is denoted by $f SI_M$.

The following theorem clarifies the algebraic structure of semi homomorphisms.

Theorem 3.20:

Let M be a module over a ring R, S_M , SI_M , $f - S_M$, $f - SI_M$ be the sets defined above, we have:

- (a) $(f S_M, +, .)$ is a module over the ring R.
- (b) $(*I_M, o)$ is a semi group.
- (c) If f is an isomorphism with property $f \circ f = I$ (identity map), then for every $\varphi, \tau \in f SI_M$, we have $\varphi \circ \tau$ is a module isomorphism.

Proof:

(a) Let g,h be any two f – semi homomorphisms. They are additive homomorphisms on M, thus (g+h)(x+y) = (g+h)(x) + (g+h)(y) for every $x,y \in M$ clearly. Also, we have

for every $r \in R$ and $x \in M$: (g + h)(r.x) = g(r.x) + h(r.x) = f(r).g(x) + f(r).h(x) = f(r).(g + h)(x), hence g + h is an

f – semi homomo \sim phism.

One the other hand, g has an inverse with respect to addition, which is -g, and t(x) = 0 is the identity in $f - S_M$, thus $(f - S_M, +)$ is an abelian group.

Now, let $a \in R$ be an arbitrary element, then the map $(a.g): M \to M$; (a.g)(x) = a.g(x) is an

f – *semi homomorphism* clearly. It is easy to check that the rest of module's axioms are true.

(b) SI_M is closed under (o), and (o) is an associative operation, and the identity map $I \in SI_M$. thus the proof holds easily.

(c) It is well known that $\varphi o \tau$ is an additive homomorphism according to Theorem 3.14.

Now we shall prove that $\varphi o \tau (r.x) = r. \varphi o \tau (x)$, for every $r \in R$ and $x \in M$.

 $\varphi \sigma \tau (r.x) = \varphi(\tau(r.x)) = \varphi[f(r).\tau(x)] = f(f(r)). \varphi \sigma \tau (x) = I(r). \varphi \sigma \tau (x) = r. \varphi \sigma \tau (x)$. Thus $\varphi \sigma \tau$ is a classical homomorphism.

4. Conclusions

In this article, we have defined the concept of semi homomorphism and semi isomorphism between two modules defined over different rings. Also, we applied this concept to study the algebraic relation between refined neutrosophic strong module and neutrosophic strong module, and between a module and its corresponding neutrosophic strong module.

The main result of this work is to prove that every strong neutrosophic module is a semi homomorphic image of the corresponding strong refined neutrosophic module.

In particular, we have constructed some examples to clarify the validity of our work.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

[1] Abobala, M., "On Some Special Substructures of Neutrosophic Rings and Their Properties", International Journal of Neutrosophic Science", Vol. 4, pp. 72-81, 2020.

[2] Abobala, M., "On Some Special Substructures of Refined Neutrosophic Rings", International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, Vol. 5, pp. 59-66, 2020.

- [3] Abobala, M,. "Classical Homomorphisms Between Refined Neutrosophic Rings and Neutrosophic Rings", International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, Vol. 5, pp. 72-75, 2020.
- [4] Abobala, M., and Alhamido, R., "AH-Substructures in Neutrosophic Modules", International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, Vol. 7, pp. 79-86, 2020.
- [5] Agboola, A.A.A., "On Refined Neutrosophic Algebraic Structures", Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol.10, pp. 99-101, 2015.
- [6] Adeleke, E.O., Agboola, A.A.A., and Smarandache, F., "Refined Neutrosophic Rings I", International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, Vol. 2(2), pp. 77-81, 2020.
- [7] Adeleke, E.O., Agboola, A.A.A., and Smarandache, F., "Refined Neutrosophic Rings II", International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, Vol. 2(2), pp. 89-94, 2020.
- [8] Olgun, N., and Khatib, A., "Neutrosophic Modules", Journal of Biostatistic and Biometric Application", Vol. 3, 2018.
- [9] Olgun, N., and Hatip, A., "On Refined Neutrosophic R-Module", International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, Vol. 7, pp.87-96, 2020.
- [10] Yingcang, Ma., Xiaohong Zhang ., Smarandache, F., and Juanjuan, Z., "The Structure of Idempotents in Neutrosophic Rings and Neutrosophic Quadruple Rings", Symmetry Journal (MDPI), Vol. 11, 2019.
- [11] Kandasamy, V. W. B,. Ilanthenral, K., and Smarandache, F., "Semi-Idempotents in Neutrosophic Rings", Mathematics Journal (MDPI), Vol. 7, 2019.
- [12] Abdel-Basset, M., Mai M., Mohamed E., Francisco C., and Abd El-Nasser, H. Z., "Cosine Similarity Measures of Bipolar Neutrosophic Set for Diagnosis of Bipolar Disorder Diseases", Artificial Intelligence in Medicine 101, 2019, 101735.
- [13] Sankari, H., and Abobala, M., "Solving Three Conjectures About Neutrosophic Quadruple Vector Spaces", Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol. 38, pp. 537-543, 2020.
- [14]. Abdel-Basset, Mohamed, Rehab Mohamed, and Mohamed Elhoseny. "<? covid19?> A model for the effective COVID-19 identification in uncertainty environment using primary symptoms and CT scans." Health Informatics Journal (2020): 1460458220952918.
- [15]. Abdel-Basset, M., Mohamed, R., Zaied, A. E. N. H., Gamal, A., & Smarandache, F. (2020). Solving the supply chain problem using the best-worst method based on a novel Plithogenic model. In Optimization Theory Based on Neutrosophic and Plithogenic Sets (pp. 1-19). Academic Press.
- [16]. Sankari, H., and Abobala, M., "n-Refined Neutrosophic Modules", Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol.36, pp. 1-11, 2020.

119

[17] Sankari, H., and Abobala, M., "AH-Homomorphisms In Neutrosophic Rings and Refined Neutrosophic

Rings", Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol. 38, pp. 524-536, 2020...

[18] Smarandache F., and Abobala, M., "n-Refined Neutrosophic Vector Spaces", International Journal of

Neutrosophic Science, Vol. 7, pp. 47-54, 2020.

[19] Smarandache, F., and Abobala, M., "n-Refined neutrosophic Rings", International Journal of Neutrosophic

Science, Vol., pp., 2020.

[20]. Abdel-Basset, M., Gamal, A., Chakrabortty, R. K., & Ryan, M. A new hybrid multi-criteria decision-making

approach for location selection of sustainable offshore wind energy stations: A case study. Journal of Cleaner

Production, 280, 124462.

[21]. Abdel-Basset, M., Manogaran, G. and Mohamed, M., 2019. A neutrosophic theory based security approach

for fog and mobile-edge computing. Computer Networks, 157, pp.122-132.

[22]. Abdel-Basset, M., Gamal, A., Chakrabortty, R. K., & Ryan, M. J. (2020). Evaluation of sustainable hydrogen

production options using an advanced hybrid MCDM approach: A case study. International Journal of Hydrogen

Energy.

[23] Abdel-Basset, M., Saleh, M., Gamal, A & ,.Smarandache, F. (2019). An approach of TOPSIS technique for

developing supplier selection with group decision making under type-2 neutrosophic number .Applied Soft

Computing.452-438,77,

Received: Sep 8, 2020. Accepted: Jan 3, 2021