

# Neutrosophic Sets and Systems

---

Volume 39

Article 1

---

1-1-2021

## NeutroAlgebra for the evaluation of barriers to migrants' access in Primary Health Care in Chile based on PROSPECTOR function

Diego Silva Jiménez

Juan Alexis Valenzuela Mayorga

Mara Esther Roja Ubilla

Noel Batista Hernández

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss\\_journal](https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss_journal)

---

### Recommended Citation

Jiménez, Diego Silva; Juan Alexis Valenzuela Mayorga; Mara Esther Roja Ubilla; and Noel Batista Hernández. "NeutroAlgebra for the evaluation of barriers to migrants' access in Primary Health Care in Chile based on PROSPECTOR function." *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems* 39, 1 ().  
[https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss\\_journal/vol39/iss1/1](https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/nss_journal/vol39/iss1/1)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by UNM Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Neutrosophic Sets and Systems by an authorized editor of UNM Digital Repository. For more information, please contact [amywinter@unm.edu](mailto:amywinter@unm.edu), [lsloane@salud.unm.edu](mailto:lsloane@salud.unm.edu), [sarahrk@unm.edu](mailto:sarahrk@unm.edu).



# NeutroAlgebra for the evaluation of barriers to migrants' access in Primary Health Care in Chile based on PROSPECTOR function

Diego Silva Jiménez<sup>1</sup>, Juan Alexis Valenzuela Mayorga<sup>2</sup>, and Mara Esther Roja Ubilla<sup>3</sup>, Noel Batista Hernández<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Centro de Salud Pública, Universidad Central de Chile, Santiago, Chile. E-mail: email: [diego.silva@uccentral.cl](mailto:diego.silva@uccentral.cl)

<sup>2</sup> Centro de Salud Pública, Universidad Central de Chile, Santiago, Chile. E-mail: [javalenzuelam@uccentral.cl](mailto:javalenzuelam@uccentral.cl)

<sup>3</sup> Servicio de Salud Metropolitano Sur Oriente, Santiago, Chile. E-mail: [marojas@ssmso.cl](mailto:marojas@ssmso.cl)

<sup>4</sup> Universidad de Guayaquil, Guayaquil, Ecuador. E-mail: [noel.batistah@ug.edu.ec](mailto:noel.batistah@ug.edu.ec)

**Abstract.** This research is the result of the CIP 2018016 project of the Universidad Central of Chile. The migrant population has beliefs, values and health practices different from Chilean people, for which Chilean citizens are not prepared as a society or health sector. The purpose of this research is to investigate which are the access barriers to health that the international migrant population faces in primary health care in Chile. To accomplish this objective, a group of migrants was surveyed on an evaluation scale between -10 to 10 in various aspects of access to health. A generalization of the well-known PROSPECTOR function was used as aggregator, where the aggregation between the two extreme values is undefined. Except for indefiniteness, this function is a truth membership of an offuninorm or a uninorm in the interval  $[-1, 1]$ . We preferred to keep the indeterminacy to take into account totally contradictory opinions. This turns the generalization of the PROSPECTOR function into a NeutroFunction, and this problem into an application of NeutroAlgebra.

**Keywords:** Access barriers, access to health, international migrant, primary care, PROSPECTOR, uninorm, offuninorm, NeutroFunction, NeutroAlgebra

## 1. Introduction

The World Health Organization (WHO) states that a health system brings together all the institutions and organizations whose primary objective is to maintain and improve the health of the population. Most health systems are made up of different sectors, public, private, traditional and informal, and must provide good treatments and services that respond to the needs of the population and are fair from a financial point of view, [1].

The Chilean health system is mixed, both in insurance and in the provision of services. This is done through two subsystems, one private and one public. The private sector delivers closed and outpatient health actions of varying complexity, for profit. In the public sector, the provision of services is carried out through the National Health System, which has 29 services distributed throughout the country and must be registered in "Fondo Nacional de Salud" or National Fund of Health (FONASA in Spanish). Health care is provided at different levels of complexity, from primary to tertiary level, the first one is the point of access to the public sector through the Family Health Centers (CESFAM in Spanish), [2].

"Access to health services is the ability to get care when it is needed, [2, 3]. This can be determined by various factors and variables such as the location of health centers and the availability of medical or health providers (geographical or physical barriers), up to health insurance and health care costs, also can be influenced by cultural barriers or language.", [2].

The phenomenon of international migration to Chile had a slow beginning at the dawn of the 90s, from

the neighboring countries, as the decades passed, it took on an unusual force, with international migrants in Chile today reaching 2.7% of the total population, [4]. The migrant population of the South East Metropolitan Health Service (SSMSO in Spanish) corresponds to 1.35%. The commune of Florida represents 39% of that population, which is the largest in the SSMSO and is the one with the highest vulnerability index, [5].

The arrival of migrants to the country may represent an opportunity to improve their health because "Chile has greater control of infectious and nutritional diseases compared to other Latin American countries, which can increase life expectancy" ([6]) as long as they can access the health system.

"The access difficulties of undocumented immigrants are reinforced by two aspects: the breach of ministerial agreements and the personal criteria of the agents", [7]. This means that the migrant population that is not assigned to any health service corresponds to 15.7%, while children under 14 years of age reach 26.6 of this percent, [2]. This means that immigrants are about 7.5 times more likely to have no health insurance than Chileans, which means that immigrants show less need for health than the natives do, which is accompanied at the time of requesting care. They do not attend any assistance and if they attend their health, then their demand is not satisfied ([8]). Low-income people have a lesser chance of accessing health services when they need it ([3]). This is the same as that of the indigenous population in Guatemala ([9]), generating inequality and intersector inequity.

This research aims to evaluating the access barriers to health that the international migrant population faces in primary health care in Chile. To achieve this objective, a group of 28 international migrants of different sexes and nationalities who are cared at the Santa Amalia CESFAM of Florida Commune are surveyed, who belong to the Eastern Metropolitan Health Service. Respondents evaluated different relevant aspects in health care on a numerical scale with a maximum of 10 for approval and a minimum of -10 for disapproval. A generalization of the well-known PROSPECTOR function of the MYCIN medical expert system, is used, [10-12]. This function has proven effectiveness in practice as an aggregator. On the other hand, it is undefined when applied to the two extreme values -1 and 1, where a total contradiction is shown in the evaluation.

This function is a mapping from  $[-1, 1]^2$  into  $[-1, 1]$  that is classified as a uninorm in this interval, [13]. A uninorm is an aggregation operator that generalizes the notion of t-norm and t-conorm, where the axioms of commutativity, associativity, monotonicity, and the existence of a neutral element are maintained, [12-14]. Regarding this last axiom, the uninorm contains a neutral element different from 0 and 1. The PROSPECTOR has as a neutral element 0 if it extends to the interval  $[-1, 1]$  and 0.5 if it is rescaled to the interval  $[0, 1]$ . Except for the indefiniteness, this function is considered the truth membership function of an offuninorm, [15], which are uninorms defined for neutrosophic offsets, [16-19], which are neutrosophic sets with truth values  $>1$  or  $<0$ . This theory generalizes the notion of neutrosophic uninorms, [20, 21], which in turn generalize the notion of fuzzy uninorm and of uninorms defined in intuitionistic fuzzy sets, [22-25].

In this paper we prefer to keep undefined the aggregation of the values -1 and 1, because we want to consider any uncertainty or indeterminacy in the evaluation of health care in Chile. This feature of the generalized PROSPECTOR function turns it into a NeutroFunction, and therefore the operations between the elements become operations within a NeutroAlgebra, since it does not satisfy the associativity axiom. A NeutroAlgebra is an algebra which has at least one NeutroOperation or one NeutroAxiom (axiom that is true for some elements, indeterminate for other elements, and false for the other elements), [26-28]. Some neutrosophic approaches to algebra can be read in [29-35].

This paper is divided into the following sections. Section 2 is dedicated to recalling the main concepts of NeutroAlgebra and PROSPECTOR function. Section 3 presents the method that we will use to measure the situation of access to health according to the surveyed migrants, as well as the results obtained. This ends with the conclusions. Appendix A contains a table of a NeutroAlgebra generated by generalizing the PROSPECTOR function[36].

## 2. Preliminaries

This section contains the main concepts of NeutroAlgebra and PROSPECTOR function.

The algebraic structures were extended by Smarandache (in 2019 and 2020) to NeutroAlgebras and AntiAlgebras [24-26].

**Definition 1** [26]: Let  $X$  be a given nonempty space (or simply set) included into a universe of discourse  $U$ . Let  $\langle A \rangle$  be an item (concept, attribute, idea, proposition, theory, etc.) defined on the set  $X$ . Through the process of neutrosophication, we split the set  $X$  into three regions [two opposite ones  $\langle A \rangle$  and  $\langle \text{anti}A \rangle$ , and one neutral (indeterminate)  $\langle \text{neutro}A \rangle$  between them], regions which may or may not be disjoint – depending on the application, but they are exhaustive (their union equals the whole space). A *NeutroAlgebra* is an algebra which has at least one *NeutroOperation* or one *NeutroAxiom* (axiom that is true for some elements, indeterminate for other elements, and false for other elements).

The NeutroAlgebra is a generalization of *Partial Algebra*, which is an algebra that has at least one *Partial Operation*, while all its Axioms are totally true (classical axioms).

**Definition 2** [26]: A function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is called a *Partial Function* if it is well-defined for some elements in  $X$ , and undefined for all the other elements in  $X$ . Therefore, there exist some elements  $a \in X$  such that  $f(a) \in Y$  (well-defined), and for all other element  $b \in X$  we have  $f(b)$  is undefined.

**Definition 3** [27]: A function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is called a *NeutroFunction* if it has elements in  $X$  for which the function is well-defined {degree of truth (T)}, elements in  $X$  for which the function is indeterminate {degree of indeterminacy (I)}, and elements in  $X$  for which the function is outer-defined {degree of falsehood (F)}, where  $T, I, F \in [0, 1]$ , with  $(T, I, F) \neq (1, 0, 0)$  that represents the (Total) Function, and  $(T, I, F) \neq (0, 0, 1)$  that represents the AntiFunction.

#### Classification of Functions

- i) (Classical) Function, which is a function well-defined for all the elements in its domain of definition.
- ii) NeutroFunction, which is a function partially well-defined, partially indeterminate, and partially outer-defined on its domain of definition.
- iii) AntiFunction, which is a function outer-defined for all the elements in its domain of definition.

**Definition 4:** A (classical) *Algebraic Structure* (or Algebra) is a nonempty set  $A$  endowed with some (totally well-defined) operations (functions) on  $A$ , and satisfying some (classical) axioms (totally true) - according to the Universal Algebra. ) [26-27-, 28, 29].

**Definition 5** ([26, 27]): A (classical) *Partial Algebra* is an algebra defined on a nonempty set  $PA$  that is endowed with some partial operations (or partial functions: partially well-defined, and partially undefined). While the axioms (laws) defined on a Partial Algebra are all totally (100%) true.

**Definition 6** ([26, 27]): A *NeutroAxiom* (or *Neutrosophic Axiom*) defined on a nonempty set is an axiom that is true for some set of elements {degree of truth (T)}, indeterminate for other set of elements {degree of indeterminacy (I)}, or false for the other set of elements {degree of falsehood (F)}, where  $T, I, F \in [0, 1]$ , with  $(T, I, F) \neq (1, 0, 0)$  that represents the (classical) Axiom, and  $(T, I, F) \neq (0, 0, 1)$  that represents the AntiAxiom.

#### Classification of Algebras

- i) A (classical) *Algebra* is a nonempty set  $CA$  that is endowed with total operations (or total functions, i.e. true for all set elements) and (classical) Axioms (also true for all set elements).
- ii) A *NeutroAlgebra* (or *NeutroAlgebraic Structure*) is a nonempty set  $NA$  that is endowed with: at least one *NeutroOperation* (or *NeutroFunction*), or one *NeutroAxiom* that is referred to the set (partial-, neutro, or total-) operations.
- iii) An *AntiAlgebra* (or *AntiAlgebraic Structure*) is a nonempty set  $AA$  that is endowed with at least one *AntiOperation* (or *AntiFunction*) or at least one *AntiAxiom*.

Additionally, the PROSPECTOR function is defined in the MYCIN expert system in the following way; it is a mapping from  $[-1, 1]^2$  into  $[-1, 1]$  with formula, [10-12]:

$$P(x, y) = \frac{x+y}{1+xy} \quad (1)$$

This function is a uninorm with neutral element 0, thus it fulfils commutativity, associativity, and monotonicity.  $P(-1,1)$  and  $P(1,-1)$  are undefined.

### 3. Results

This section is dedicated to expose the method we will use and the achieved results.

First of all, for convenience we extend  $P(x, y)$  to  $\bar{P}(x, y)$  such that:

$\bar{P}(x, y) = P(x, y)$  for all  $(x, y) \in [-1, 1]^2 \setminus \{(-1, 1), (1, -1)\}$ ,

$\bar{P}(-1, 1) = \bar{P}(1, -1) = \text{undefined}$ ,

$\bar{P}(\text{undefined}, \text{undefined}) = \text{undefined}$ .

$$\bar{P}(\text{undefined}, x) = \bar{P}(x, \text{undefined}) = \begin{cases} \text{undefined, if } x > 0 \\ x, \text{ if } x \leq 0 \end{cases}.$$

**Definition 7:** Let  $S$  be a finite set defined as  $S = \{(x, y) : x, y \in \{k, \text{undefined}\}, k \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [-10, 10]\}$ .

The operator  $\odot$  is defined for every  $(x, y) \in S$ , such that:

1. If  $\bar{P}(x, y)$  is not undefined, then  $x \odot y = \frac{\text{round}(\bar{P}(x, y) * 10)}{10}$ , where *round* is the function that output the interger nearest to the argument.
2. If  $\bar{P}(x, y)$  is undefined then  $x \odot y = \text{undefined}$ .

Then  $\odot$  is a finite NeutroAlgebra. This is because  $\odot$  is commutative and associative for the subset of elements of  $S$  without any undefined component, but it is not associative otherwise.

E.g., if  $a = -0.9$ ,  $b = 0.8$ ,  $c = \text{undefined}$ , then  $a \odot (b \odot c) = a$  and  $(a \odot b) \odot c = -0.4 \neq a$ , therefore associativity is a NeutroAxiom.

We used the function *round* for guarantying  $\odot$  is an inner operator.

This research was approved by the SSMSO ethics committee on November 8, 2018, the interviews were conducted during January 2019 after explanation of the research and signing of the informed consent. To evaluate the access barriers, those defined by the Government of Chile (2011) were used, [4], which correspond to four variables.

Variables that have been used in different researches on access to health in Latin America and Chile ([37]) are the following:

1. Geographical and transport access barriers,
2. Cultural access barriers,
3. Financial access barriers,
4. Legal access barrier.

The proposed method is the following:

1. For each previous aspect, the opinion of 28 selected migrants is collected. They are asked to rate each aspect on a scale of 0 to 10 if they have a favorable or neutral opinion about access to public health from the point of view of the access that is measured. On the other hand, they are asked to evaluate on a scale of -10 to -1 if they have an unfavorable opinion.

Let us denote by  $v_{ij}$ , ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, 28$ ;  $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ) the evaluation of the  $i$ th migrant on the  $j$ th aspect.

2. The value obtained in the evaluation of each aspect for each migrant is rescaled to the interval  $[-1, 1]$ , dividing by 10. That is,  $nv_{ij} = \frac{v_{ij}}{10}$  is obtained.

3. It is decided on two different situations:

- 3.1. If less than 33.333% of the respondents show contradictory results for each fixed  $j$ , that is, if there

- are 4 pairs or less of values  $(-1,1)$  or  $(1,-1)$ , these values are eliminated for aggregating.
- 3.2. Otherwise the  $j$ th aspect is evaluated as “undefined” and it should be reviewed in more detail why there is such a contradiction.
4. When we have the case 3.1. the aggregation of the remaining values is calculated by using  $\odot$ .
- The results obtained from applying this method were as follows:
- Table 1 summarizes the assessments provided by the interviewed on the four barriers.

| Assessment | Geographical and transport access barriers | Cultural access barriers | Financial access barriers | Legal access barrier |
|------------|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
| -10        | 0  | 0                        | 0                         | 1                    |
| -9         | 0  | 0                        | 0                         | 2                    |
| -8         | 0  | 0                        | 0                         | 0                    |
| -7         | 0  | 0                        | 0                         | 0                    |
| -6         | 0  | 0                        | 0                         | 4                    |
| -5         | 0  | 0                        | 3                         | 7                    |
| -4         | 0  | 0                        | 4                         | 6                    |
| -3         | 0  | 0                        | 0                         | 0                    |
| -2         | 0  | 0                        | 0                         | 0                    |
| -1         | 0  | 0                        | 0                         | 0                    |
| 0          | 0  | 0                        | 0                         | 0                    |
| 1          | 0  | 0                        | 2                         | 3                    |
| 2          | 0  | 0                        | 5                         | 0                    |
| 3          | 0  | 1                        | 1                         | 2                    |
| 4          | 2  | 1                        | 3                         | 3                    |
| 5          | 4  | 2                        | 9                         | 0                    |
| 6          | 0  | 5                        | 0                         | 0                    |
| 7          | 1  | 7                        | 0                         | 0                    |
| 8          | 16   | 8                        | 1                         | 0                    |
| 9          | 3  | 3                        | 0                         | 0                    |
| 10         | 2  | 1                        | 0                         | 0                    |

**Table 1:** Number of migrants who evaluate the four barriers in the scale -10-10.

Aggregating the data of Table 1 using  $\odot$  we have the following results based on Tables 2 and 3 in Appendix A:

1.  $\odot_{i=1}^{28} nv_{i1} = 1$ , which means there is sufficient evidence that “Geographical and transport access barriers” is good.

2.  $\odot_{i=1}^{28} nv_{i2} = 1$ , which means there is sufficient evidence that “Cultural access barriers” is good.
3.  $\odot_{i=1}^{28} nv_{i3} = -1$ , which means there is sufficient evidence that “Financial access barriers” is bad.
4.  $\odot_{i=1}^{28} nv_{i4} = -1$ , which means there is sufficient evidence that “Legal access barrier” is bad.

For quantitative purpose we calculate the mean of the evaluations for every aspect, which are as follows:

1.  $\bar{nv}_{i1} = 7.5$ ,
2.  $\bar{nv}_{i2} = 7.0357$ ,
3.  $\bar{nv}_{i3} = 1.75$ ,
4.  $\bar{nv}_{i4} = -3.4615$ .

## Conclusion

This paper is dedicated to evaluate the barriers to migrants’ access in primary health care in a centre in Chile. We evaluated four types of barriers, which are “Geographical and transport access barriers”, “Cultural access barriers”, “Financial access barriers”, and “Legal access barrier”. Twenty-eight migrants of a Family Health Center provided their opinions in a scale from -10 to 10. This is not a statistical study, thus we defined an operator based on the PROSPECTOR function for determining if there is sufficient evidence to evaluate every aspect. We concluded that “Geographical and transport access barriers” and “Cultural access barriers” are good, whereas “Financial access barriers” and “Legal access barrier” are bad. We provided the Cayley table of  $\odot$ , which is not associative when we included the undefined value and it generates a NeutroAlgebra. We preferred to maintain the undefinition of the PROSPECTOR function because this indicates there is contradiction.

## Appendix A

The following tables summarize the Cayley table of the NeutroAlgebra generated by  $\odot$ .

| $x \odot y$ | -1     | -0.9 | -0.8 | -0.7 | -0.6 | -0.5 | -0.4 | -0.3 | -0.2 | -0.1 | 0    |
|-------------|--------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| -1          | -1     | -1   | -1   | -1   | -1   | -1   | -1   | -1   | -1   | -1   | -1   |
| -0.9        | -1     | -1   | -1   | -1   | -1   | -1   | -1   | -0.9 | -0.9 | -0.9 | -0.9 |
| -0.8        | -1     | -1   | -1   | -1   | -0.9 | -0.9 | -0.9 | -0.9 | -0.9 | -0.8 | -0.8 |
| -0.7        | -1     | -1   | -1   | -0.9 | -0.9 | -0.9 | -0.9 | -0.8 | -0.8 | -0.7 | -0.7 |
| -0.6        | -1     | -1   | -0.9 | -0.9 | -0.9 | -0.8 | -0.8 | -0.8 | -0.7 | -0.7 | -0.6 |
| -0.5        | -1     | -1   | -0.9 | -0.9 | -0.8 | -0.8 | -0.8 | -0.7 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.5 |
| -0.4        | -1     | -1   | -0.9 | -0.9 | -0.8 | -0.8 | -0.7 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.5 | -0.4 |
| -0.3        | -1     | -0.9 | -0.9 | -0.8 | -0.8 | -0.7 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.5 | -0.4 | -0.3 |
| -0.2        | -1     | -0.9 | -0.9 | -0.8 | -0.7 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.5 | -0.4 | -0.3 | -0.2 |
| -0.1        | -1     | -0.9 | -0.8 | -0.7 | -0.7 | -0.6 | -0.5 | -0.4 | -0.3 | -0.2 | -0.1 |
| un-def.     | -1     | -0.9 | -0.8 | -0.7 | -0.6 | -0.5 | -0.4 | -0.3 | -0.2 | -0.1 | 0    |
| 0           | -1     | -0.9 | -0.8 | -0.7 | -0.6 | -0.5 | -0.4 | -0.3 | -0.2 | -0.1 | 0    |
| 0.1         | -1     | -0.9 | -0.8 | -0.6 | -0.5 | -0.4 | -0.3 | -0.2 | -0.1 | 0    | 0.1  |
| 0.2         | -1     | -0.9 | -0.7 | -0.6 | -0.5 | -0.3 | -0.2 | -0.1 | 0    | 0.1  | 0.2  |
| 0.3         | -1     | -0.8 | -0.7 | -0.5 | -0.4 | -0.2 | -0.1 | 0    | 0.1  | 0.2  | 0.3  |
| 0.4         | -1     | -0.8 | -0.6 | -0.4 | -0.3 | -0.1 | 0    | 0.1  | 0.2  | 0.3  | 0.4  |
| 0.5         | -1     | -0.7 | -0.5 | -0.3 | -0.1 | 0    | 0.1  | 0.2  | 0.3  | 0.4  | 0.5  |
| 0.6         | -1     | -0.7 | -0.4 | -0.2 | 0    | 0.1  | 0.3  | 0.4  | 0.5  | 0.5  | 0.6  |
| 0.7         | -1     | -0.5 | -0.2 | 0    | 0.2  | 0.3  | 0.4  | 0.5  | 0.6  | 0.6  | 0.7  |
| 0.8         | -1     | -0.4 | 0    | 0.2  | 0.4  | 0.5  | 0.6  | 0.7  | 0.7  | 0.8  | 0.8  |
| 0.9         | -1     | 0    | 0.4  | 0.5  | 0.7  | 0.7  | 0.8  | 0.8  | 0.9  | 0.9  | 0.9  |
| 1           | undef. | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    |

**Table 2:** Cayley table of  $\odot$

| $x \odot y$ | un-def. | 0.1   | 0.2   | 0.3   | 0.4   | 0.5   | 0.6   | 0.7   | 0.8   | 0.9   | 1     |
|-------------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| -1          | -1      | -1    | -1    | -1    | -1    | -1    | -1    | -1    | -1    | -1    | undef |
| -0.9        | -0.9    | -0.9  | -0.9  | -0.8  | -0.8  | -0.7  | -0.7  | -0.5  | -0.4  | 0     | 1     |
| -0.8        | -0.8    | -0.8  | -0.7  | -0.7  | -0.6  | -0.5  | -0.4  | -0.2  | 0     | 0.4   | 1     |
| -0.7        | -0.7    | -0.6  | -0.6  | -0.5  | -0.4  | -0.3  | -0.2  | 0     | 0.2   | 0.5   | 1     |
| -0.6        | -0.6    | -0.5  | -0.5  | -0.4  | -0.3  | -0.1  | 0     | 0.2   | 0.4   | 0.7   | 1     |
| -0.5        | -0.5    | -0.4  | -0.3  | -0.2  | -0.1  | 0     | 0.1   | 0.3   | 0.5   | 0.7   | 1     |
| -0.4        | -0.4    | -0.3  | -0.2  | -0.1  | 0     | 0.1   | 0.3   | 0.4   | 0.6   | 0.8   | 1     |
| -0.3        | -0.3    | -0.2  | -0.1  | 0     | 0.1   | 0.2   | 0.4   | 0.5   | 0.7   | 0.8   | 1     |
| -0.2        | -0.2    | -0.1  | 0     | 0.1   | 0.2   | 0.3   | 0.5   | 0.6   | 0.7   | 0.9   | 1     |
| -0.1        | -0.1    | 0     | 0.1   | 0.2   | 0.3   | 0.4   | 0.5   | 0.6   | 0.8   | 0.9   | 1     |
| un-def.     | undef   | undef | undef | undef | undef | undef | undef | undef | undef | undef | undef |
| 0           | 0       | 0.1   | 0.2   | 0.3   | 0.4   | 0.5   | 0.6   | 0.7   | 0.8   | 0.9   | 1     |
| 0.1         | undef   | 0.2   | 0.3   | 0.4   | 0.5   | 0.6   | 0.7   | 0.7   | 0.8   | 0.9   | 1     |
| 0.2         | undef   | 0.3   | 0.4   | 0.5   | 0.6   | 0.6   | 0.7   | 0.8   | 0.9   | 0.9   | 1     |
| 0.3         | undef   | 0.4   | 0.5   | 0.6   | 0.6   | 0.7   | 0.8   | 0.8   | 0.9   | 0.9   | 1     |
| 0.4         | undef   | 0.5   | 0.6   | 0.6   | 0.7   | 0.8   | 0.8   | 0.9   | 0.9   | 1     | 1     |
| 0.5         | undef   | 0.6   | 0.6   | 0.7   | 0.8   | 0.8   | 0.8   | 0.9   | 0.9   | 1     | 1     |
| 0.6         | undef   | 0.7   | 0.7   | 0.8   | 0.8   | 0.8   | 0.9   | 0.9   | 0.9   | 1     | 1     |
| 0.7         | undef   | 0.7   | 0.8   | 0.8   | 0.9   | 0.9   | 0.9   | 0.9   | 1     | 1     | 1     |
| 0.8         | undef   | 0.8   | 0.9   | 0.9   | 0.9   | 0.9   | 0.9   | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     |
| 0.9         | undef   | 0.9   | 0.9   | 0.9   | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     |
| 1           | undef   | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     | 1     |

Table 3: Cayley table of  $\odot$  (Continuation).

## Reference.

- [1] Ocampo-Rodríguez, M., V. Betancourt-Urrutia, J. Montoya-Rojas, and D. Bautista-Botton, *Systems and models of health, their incidence in the integrated networks of health services (Sistemas y modelos de salud, su incidencia en las redes integradas de servicios de salud)*(In Spanish). *Revista Gerencia y Políticas de Salud*, 2013. 12(24): p. 114-129.
- [2] Ministry-of-Health-of-Chile, *Politics of Health of International Migrants (Política de Salud de Migrantes Internacionales)*(In Spanish). Retrieved from: <https://www.araucaniasur.cl/wp-content/uploads/2018/03/2018.01.22.POLITICA-DE-SALUD-DE-MIGRANTES.pdf>. 2018.
- [3] Olavarria-Gambi, M., *Access to the health in Chile (Acceso a la salud en Chile)*(In Spanish). *Acta Bioethica*, 2005. 11(1): p. 47-64.
- [4] Government-of-Chile, *Executive Resumé. Design and Implementation of a Methodology of Evaluation, Pursuit and Accompaniment of the Health Reformation in Chile (Diseño e Implementación de una Metodología de Evaluación, Seguimiento y Acompañamiento de la Reforma de la Salud de Chile)*(In Spanish). Retrieved from <https://www.paho.org/chil/images/PDFs/resumenejecutivo.pdf?ua=1>. 2011.
- [5] Cabieses, B., F. Darrigrandi, A. Vásquez, and M. Oyarte, *International migrant population's characterization in communes of the Service of Health Metropolitan South West (Caracterización de la población migrante*



- internacional en comunas del Servicio de Salud Metropolitano Sur Oriente*)(In Spanish). 2018, Santiago de Chile: Ediciones Universidad de Desarrollo.
- [6] Andahur, E., A. Mercado, and C. Sánchez, *Changes the color and the risk... New scenario for the prostate cancer in Chile (Cambia el color y el riesgo... Nuevo escenario para el cáncer de próstata en Chile)*(In Spanish). *Revista médica de Chile*, 2019. **147**(9): p. 1159-1166.
- [7] Liberona, N. and M. Mansilla, *Patient illegitimate: Access to the health of the undocumented immigrants in Chile (Pacientes ilegítimos: Acceso a la salud de los inmigrantes indocumentados en Chile)*(In Spanish). *Salud Colectiva*, 2017. **13**: p. 507-520.
- [8] Cabieses, B. and M. Oyarte, *Access to health of immigrants: identifying breaches for the social protection in health (Acceso a salud en inmigrantes: identificando brechas para la protección social en salud)*(In Spanish). *Revista de Saúde Pública*, 2020. **54**: p. 20.
- [9] Hauteceur, M., M. Zunzunegui, and B. Vissandjee, *The access barriers to the services of health in the indigenous population of Rabinal in Guatemala (Las barreras de acceso a los servicios de salud en la población indígena de Rabinal en Guatemala)*(In Spanish). *Salud Pública de México*, 2007. **49**(2): p. 86-93.
- [10] Shortliffe, E.H., *Computer-Based Medical Consultations: MYCIN*. 1976, New York: Elsevier.
- [11] Tsadiras, A.K. and K.G. Margaritis, *The MYCIN certainty factor handling function as uninorm operator and its use as a threshold function in artificial neurons*. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 1998. **93** p. 263-274.
- [12] De-Baets, B. and J. Fodor, *Van Melle's combining function in MYCIN is a representable uninorm: An alternative proof*. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 1999. **104**: p. 133-136.
- [13] Yager, R.R. and A. Rybalov, *Bipolar aggregation using the Uninorms*. *Fuzzy Optimization and Decision Making*, 2011. **10**: p. 59-70.
- [14] Yager, R.R., *Defending against strategic manipulation in uninorm-based multi-agent decision making*. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 2002. **141** p. 217-232.
- [15] González-Caballero, E., F. Smarandache, and M. Leyva-Vázquez, *On Neutrosophic Offuninorms*. *Symmetry*, 2019. **11**: p. 1136.
- [16] Smarandache, F., *Degrees of Membership  $> 1$  and  $< 0$  of the Elements With Respect to a Neutrosophic OffSet*. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 2016. **12**: p. 3-8.
- [17] Smarandache, F., *Neutrosophic Overset, Neutrosophic Underset, and Neutrosophic Offset Similarly for Neutrosophic Over-/Under-/Off- Logic, Probability, and Statistics*. 2016 Brussels: Pons.
- [18] Smarandache, F., *Operators on Single-Valued Neutrosophic Oversets, Neutrosophic Undersets, and Neutrosophic Offsets*. *Journal of Mathematics and Informatics*, 2016. **5** p. 63-67.
- [19] Smarandache, F., *Extension of HyperGraph to n-SuperHyperGraph and to Plithogenic n-SuperHyperGraph, and Extension of HyperAlgebra to n-ary (Classical-/Neutro-/Anti-) HyperAlgebra*. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 2020. **33**: p. 290-296.
- [20] González-Caballero, E., M. Leyva, and F. Smarandache, *On Neutrosophic Uninorms*. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, **Under Review**.
- [21] Saha, A. and S. Broumi, *New operators on interval valued neutrosophic sets*. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 2019. **28**(1): p. 10.
- [22] Deschrijver, G. and E.E. Kerre, *Uninorms in  $L^*$ -fuzzy set theory*. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 2004. **148**: p. 243-262.
- [23] Hezam, I.M., M. Abdel-Baset, and F. Smarandache, *Taylor Series Approximation to Solve Neutrosophic Multiobjective Programming Problem*. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 2015. **10**(1): p. 13.
- [24] Florentin Smarandache: *NeutroAlgebra is a Generalization of Partial Algebra*. *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science (IJNS)*, Volume 2, 2020, pp. 8-17.
- [25] F. Smarandache, *Introduction to NeutroAlgebraic Structures and AntiAlgebraic Structures*, in *Advances of Standard and Nonstandard Neutrosophic Theories*, Pons Publishing House Brussels, Belgium, Chapter 6, pages 240-265, 2019
- [26] Florentin Smarandache: *Introduction to NeutroAlgebraic Structures and AntiAlgebraic Structures (revisited)*. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, vol. 31, pp. 1-16, 2020. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.3638232
- [27] Florentin Smarandache, *Generalizations and Alternatives of Classical Algebraic Structures to NeutroAlgebraic Structures and AntiAlgebraic Structures*, *Journal of Fuzzy Extension and Applications (JFEA)*, J. Fuzzy. Ext. Appl. Vol. 1, No. 2 (2020) 85-87, DOI: 10.22105/jfea.2020.248816.1008
- [28] Nagarajan, D., M. Lathamaheswari, S. Broumi, and J. Kavikumar, *Blockchain Single and Interval Valued Neutrosophic Graphs*. *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 2019: p. 23.

- [29] 25. Abdel-Baset, M., V. Chang, A. Gamal, and F. Smarandache, *An integrated neutrosophic ANP and VIKOR method for achieving sustainable supplier selection: A case study in importing field*. Computers in Industry, 2019. **106**: p. 94-110.
- [30] Smarandache, F., J.E. Ricardo, E.G. Caballero, M. Yelandi, L. Vázquez, and N.B. Hernández, *Delphi method for evaluating scientific research proposals in a neutrosophic environment*. Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, 2020: p. 204.
- [31] Smarandache, F., M.A. Quiroz-Martínez, J.E. Ricardo, and N. Batista, *APPLICATION OF NEUTROSOPHIC OFFSETS FOR DIGITAL IMAGE PROCESSING*. Investigación Operacional, 2020. **41**: p. 603-610.
- [32] Abdel-Basset, M., M. Saleh, A. Gamal, and F. Smarandache, *An approach of TOPSIS technique for developing supplier selection with group decision making under type-2 neutrosophic number*. Applied Soft Computing, 2019. **77**: p. 438-452.
- [33] Al-Masarwah, A. and A.G. Ahmad, *Structures on Doubt Neutrosophic Ideals of BCK/BCI-Algebras under (S, T)-Norms*. Neutrosophic Sets and Systems,, 2020. **33**: p. 275-289.
- [34] Kandasamy, V., I. Kandasamy, and F. Smarandache, *Neutrosophic Quadruple Algebraic Codes over  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  and their properties*. Neutrosophic Sets and Systems,, 2020. **33**: p. 169-182.
- [35] Meikola, A.T., *Eight Kinds of Graphs of BCK-algebras Based on Ideal and Dual Ideal*. Neutrosophic Sets and Systems,, 2020. **33**: p. 1-22.
- [36] Muralikrishna, P. and S. Manokaran, *MBJ – Neutrosophic – Ideal of – Algebra*. Neutrosophic Sets and Systems,, 2020. **35**: p. 99-118.
- [37] Rangasuk, P., P. Huana, and A. Iampan, *Neutrosophic N-structures over UP-algebras*. Neutrosophic Sets and Systems,, 2019. **28**: p. 87-127.
- [38] Remya, P.B. and A. Francina-Shalini, *Neutrosophic Vague Binary BCK/BCI-algebra*. Neutrosophic Sets and Systems,, 2020. **35**: p. 45-67.
- [39] Rezaei, A. and F. Smarandache, *The Neutrosophic Triplet of BI-algebras*. Neutrosophic Sets and Systems,, 2020. **33**: p. 313-320.
- [40] Abdel-Baset, M., V. Chang, and A. Gamal, *Evaluation of the green supply chain management practices: A novel neutrosophic approach*. Computers in Industry, 2019. **108**: p. 210-220.
- [41] Rodríguez, J., D. Rodríguez, and J. Corrales, *Barriers of administrative access to the services of health in the Colombian population (Barreras de acceso administrativo a los servicios de salud en población Colombiana)(In Spanish)*. Ciência & Saúde Coletiva,, 2015. **20**: p. 1947-1958.

Received: Sep 1, 2020. Accepted: Jan 1, 2021