

Title	Heterologous expression of AtPAP2 in transgenic potato influences carbon metabolism and tuber development
Author(s)	Zhang, Y; Sun, F; Fettke, J; Schöttler, MA; Ramsden, L; Fernie, AR; Lim, BL
Citation	FEBS Letters, 2014, v. 588 n. 20, p. 3726-3731
Issued Date	2014
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/10722/217839
Rights	NOTICE: this is the author's version of a work that was accepted for publication in FEBS Letters. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published in FEBS Letters, 2014, v. 588 n. 20, p. 3726-3731. DOI: 10.1016/j.febslet.2014.08.019

- 1 Running title: Improving potato yield by overexpression AtPAP2
- 2
- 3 *Corresponding author*: Boon Leong Lim
- 4 School of Biological Sciences, the University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam, Hong Kong, China
- 5 Tel: 852-22990826
- 6 Fax: 852-25599114
- 7 Email: <u>bllim@hku.hk</u>
- 8

9 Alisdair R. Fernie

- 10 Max Planck Institute of Molecular Plant Physiology, Am Mühlenberg 1, 14476 Potsdam-
- 11 Golm, Germany
- 12 Tel.: 49 (0)331 567 8211
- 13 Fax: 49 (0)331 567 8250
- 14 Email: <u>Fernie@mpimp-golm.mpg.de</u>

15

- 1 **Title:**
- Heterologous expression of *AtPAP2* in transgenic potato influences carbon metabolism
 and tuber development
- 4
- 5 Youjun Zhang^{1, 2}, Feng Sun², Joerg Fettke³, Mark Aurel Schöttler¹, Lawrence Ramsden²,
- 6 Alisdair R. Fernie^{1*}, Boon Leong Lim^{2,*}
- 7 (1), Max Planck Institute of Molecular Plant Physiology, Am Mühlenberg 1, 14476 Potsdam-
- 8 Golm, Germany
- 9 (2), School of Biological Sciences, the University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam, Hong Kong,
- 10 China
- 11 (3), Institute of Biochemistry and Biology, University of Potsdam, Golm, Germany
- 12

1 Abstract

2 Sugar supply is important for the vegetative growth and reproductive development of 3 plants. Changes in carbon flow and sink/source activities could affect floral, architectural, and 4 reproductive traits of plants. In potato, overexpression (OE) of the purple acid phosphatase 2 5 of Arabidopsis (AtPAP2) resulted in earlier flowering, faster growth rate, increased tubers and 6 tuber starch content, and higher photosynthesis rate. There was a significant change of 7 sucrose in leaves, petioles and sink of the overexpressors, which were consistent with the 8 upregulated expression of sucrose transporter 1 (StSUT1) in the OE lines. Low sucrose 9 content in the source leaves could boost the photosynthesis rate by less sugar inhibition. 10 Meanwhile, the expression levels and enzyme activity of sucrose-phosphate synthase (SPS) 11 were also significantly up-regulated in the AtPAP2 OE lines, which could supply more 12 sucrose for export and anabolism. Since co-immunoprecipitation assays did not detect direct 13 interactions between AtPAP2 and SPS and StSUT1, the expressions of SPS and StSUT1 were 14 upregulated in AtPAP2 overexpression lines through an indirect mechanism.

- 15
- 16
- 17 Keywords

18 Potato, *AtPAP2*, photosynthesis, tuber yield, sugar efflux
19

20 **1. Introduction**

21 Photosynthate supply and sink strength have been demonstrated experimentally to be the 22 major determinants of crop yield [1]. Assimilated carbon from photosynthesis supplies both 23 energy sources for metabolism and building blocks for complex carbohydrates. 24 Photoassimilate is further partitioned within the mesophyll cells and transported, mainly in 25 the form of sucrose, from source to sink tissues to support plant growth and development. 26 The plant growth rate depends on the photosynthetic fixation capacity and on how efficiently 27 the fixed carbon is utilized in biosynthetic processes which support growth. Accordingly, the 28 distribution of carbon assimilates assists in balancing photosynthetic activity in the source 29 leaves and photoassimilate utilization and storage in sinks. During this process SPS activity, 30 which usually determines sucrose synthesis rates, and sucrose transporters (SUTs) required 31 for sucrose phloem loading, have been demonstrated to be regulated by protein 32 phosphorylation status [2].

33 Purple acid phosphatases (PAPs) are a family of acidic binuclear metalloenzymes which

hydrolyze phosphate esters and anhydrides under acidic conditions. Many plant PAPs were shown to be induced by Pi starvation and involved in phosphorus metabolism[3]. Our previous studies demonstrated that overexpression (OE) of AtPAP2, an Arabidopsis PAP with an additional C-terminal hydrophobic motif, located at the outer membrane of both chloroplasts and mitochondria [4], drastically enhanced the growth rate and seed yield of *Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Camelina sativa* [5, 6]. These results imply that AtPAP2 can potentially regulate plant carbon metabolism.

8

9 2. Materials and Methods

10 **2.1 Plant materials and growth conditions**

11 Potatoes (Solanum tuberosum var. Bintje) were provided by Prof. M. L. Chye of the 12 University of Hong Kong and potatoes (Solanum tuberosum 'Desirée') were from the Max 13 Planck Institute of Molecular Plant Physiology, Potsdam-Golm. Potato was maintained in 14 tissue culture with 16-/8-h day/night cycles on Murashige and Skoog medium [7] in growth 15 room, which contained 2% (w/v) sucrose. The top 5 internode of one-month-old WT potatoes 16 were used for transformation. In addition, transgenic potato plants were first grown in a growth chamber (150 µmol/m2 s1 and 75% relative humidity (RH)) under 16 h light (22°C) / 17 18 8 h dark (18°C) light period for several weeks before they were transferred to greenhouse 19 (Light intensity varied between a minimum of 90 and a maximum of 200 µmol/ m2 s1 PPFD 20 and 75% RH) with the day/ night condition of Hong Kong and Germany and watered twice 21 every week before tuber collection. Tubers of all plants were collected after growing in soil 22 for about 4 months.

23

24 2.2 Extraction of total plant RNA and quantitative RT-PCR analysis

Total RNA was isolated from fresh leaves by using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen). To generate full-length cDNA for quantitative RT-PCR, reverse transcription was performed using the M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Promega, Hong Kong). Full length *AtPAP2* cDNA was amplified by Pfx DNA polymerase (Invitrogen) and subcloned into pBA002 by *Xho*I and *Sac*I (Table S1) for potato transformation.

30 Quantitative RT-PCR reaction was carried out in the presence of SYBR Green with 31 HotGoldStar DNA polymerase (Eurogentec) in Rotor Gene 3000 cycler (LTF Labortechnik) 32 using Rotor Gene software (version 4.6.94). An aliquot of 0.2 μ L cDNA of the 10 μ L RT 33 reaction was used for each reaction. Relative quantification of transcript amounts was calculated in relation to the respective ubiquitin transcript level and given as percentage of
ubiquitin. Primers (Table S1) were designed according to published papers [8] and produce
50- to 150-bp amplicon using Primer5 software. Quantitative RT-PCR data were corrected by
calculation of the PCR efficiency individually using the *LinReg* PCR software[9].

5

6 2.3 Agrobacterium-mediated transformation and Southern Blotting analysis

The full-length coding region of the AtPAP2 cDNA (AT1G13900) was subcloned into the
binary vector pBA002 downstream of the cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV) 35S promoter
(pBA002-CaMV35: AtPAP2). The vector was then introduced into *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain GV3101 and internodal explants from 4-week-old WT plantlets were used
for transformation [10]. Southern blot analysis was carried out as described [11]. The probes
were SB-PAP2-f and SB-PAP2-r (Table S1).

13

14 **2.4 Western blotting analysis**

15 Potato leaves were finely ground in a 1.5-ml Eppendorf tube containing 200 µl of ice-cooled 16 extraction buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH7.4 containing 150 mM NaCl, 1mM EDTA, 0.2 mM 17 PMSF) and incubated on ice for 30 min with occasional mixing. The protein extract was 18 separated by centrifugation at 10,000 x g for 30 min at 4°C. The supernatant was transferred 19 to a new 1.5-ml Eppendorf tube and the protein concentration was determined by the 20 Bradford assay method using the Bio-Rad Protein Assay Kit. Proteins (25 µg) were resolved 21 by SDS-PAGE, transferred to Hybond-C nitrocellulose membranes, immunodetected and 22 then the proteins were visualized by the Enhanced Chemiluminescence (ECL) method 23 (Amersham Biosciences).

24

25 **2.5 Measurement of leaf assimilation rate**

26 The leaf assimilation rates of potato were measured using a portable photosynthesis system 27 (LI-COR, LI-6400, Nebraska, USA) in the morning (8.30 to 12:30 AM) under a fixed blue-28 red light-emitting diode (LED) light source. Nine measurements were made for each three 29 fully expanded intact leaves from the tip of 65 to 67-day-old potato and at least 3 plants of 30 each line were used for measurement. Light curves were measured on 6 cm^2 leaf area using 31 the instrument's auto program function. Measurements were taken in darkness, to determine leaf respiration, and at actinic light intensities of 0, 125,250, 500, 750 and 1000 μ mol \cdot m⁻²·s⁻¹ 32 33 at 25°C cuvette temperature and a CO₂ concentration of 400ppm. Relative humidity was set

1 to 75%.

2

3 **2.6 HPLC analysis of sugar content**

4 For measurement of sucrose, glucose and fructose of plant tissues, an aliquot of 0.1 g freeze-5 dried tissue powder was dissolved in 1 ml of 70% (v/v) ethanol, incubated at 70°C for 90 min 6 and centrifuged at $13,000 \times g$ for 10 min. After passing through a 0.22 mm filter, A volume of 7 10 µl sample was injected into a CarboPac PA 1 column (4 x 250 mm) connected to a Dionex 8 LC 20 Chromatography system and the sugar contents were analyzed by high performance 9 anion exchange chromatography with pulsed amperometric detection (HPAEC-PAD) [12]. 10 Standard curves were prepared by 0.0-0.1 mg/ml sucrose, fructose and glucose in 70% 11 ethanol. The levels of starch in the tubers were determined as described previously [13].

12

13 2.7 SPS activity assay

14 SPS activity was assayed by the anthrone test [14]. Samples were incubated for 20 min at 15 $25 \,^{\circ}$ C in 50 µl pre-balanced buffer (50 mM HEPES-KOH pH 7.5, 20 mM KCl, and 4 mM 16 MgCl₂) containing (a) V_{max} assay: 12 mM UDP-Glc and 10 mM Fru6P (in a 1:4 ratio with 17 glucose-6-phosphate (Glc6P), (b) V_{limiting} assay: 4 mM UDP-Glc and 2 mM Fru6P (in a 1:4 18 ratio with Glc6P) and 5 mM KH₂PO₄.

19

20 2.8 Pull-down assay

21 Plant materials were ground in liquid nitrogen and incubated in ice-cold buffer (50 mM 22 HEPES-KOH pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 50 mM NaF, 5 mM NaPPi, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 1 mM 23 PMSF, 1 mM benzamidine) for 15 min and then they were centrifuged at 12, 000 x g for 20 24 min. One milligram extracted protein was added to 5 µg GST-14-3-3 [15] on 50µl GST beads 25 with gentle agitation for 2 h at 4°C. The beads were then centrifuged at 2,000 x g for 2 min at 26 4°C and washed five times with pull-down washing buffer (50 mM HEPES-KOH pH 7.5, 27 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM dithiothreitol). The washed beads were eluted (50 mM Tris-HCl, 10 28 mM reduced glutathione, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl) and the supernatant was subjected to SDS-29 PAGE for silver-staining and Western blotting.

30

31 **2.9 Co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP) assay**

Twenty microliter of soluble proteins in inhibitor buffer (1x PBS, pH 7.4, 1 mM PMSF, 1 mM NaVO₄, 50 mM NaF, with COMPLETETM Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche)) was incubated with 10 µg first antibody for 2 h at 4°C, and then mixed with 20 µl Protein-G
Sepharose (10 ml of 50% slurry in 1 X PBS/20% ethanol (GenScript Co.) for 2 h. The pellets
were washed three times in 1 ml inhibitor buffer and boiled in 20 µl SDS sample buffer [16]
and used for SDS-PAGE and Western blotting.

5

6 **3. Results and Discussion**

7 Overexpression of AtPAP2 in Arabidopsis and Camelina has been shown to improve plant 8 growth and seed yield [5, 6]. Potatoes feature a very large sink organ (tuber) for carbon and 9 utilize a well-defined apoplastic phloem loading mechanism with sucrose transporters, and 10 are highly suitable for further investigations of the biological functions of AtPAP2. We 11 generated four transgenic lines in potatoes with high and mild expressions of AtPAP2 12 proteins (Fig. S1 and S2). All three high AtPAP2 expressing potato lines (OE1, OE4 and OE7) 13 grew faster and produced more lateral branches than the WT and the mild AtPAP2 14 overexpression line (OE10; Fig. 1, Fig. S3). The first harvest plants were grown in 15 greenhouse from April 2010 to August 2010 under LD conditions. The second and the third 16 harvest plants were grown in greenhouses in Hong Kong (Fig. S4) and in Golm (Table 2, Fig. 17 S5), respectively. All three high expression OE lines displayed earlier flowering than the WT 18 (Table 1). Floral and tuberization transitions in potatoes are controlled by two different FT-19 like paralogues that respond to environmental cues [17]. As OE lines exhibited earlier 20 flowering (Table 1) and produced more tubers (Table 2), it would be interesting to examine if 21 FT expression is driven by high sugar supply from source tissues.

Genetic manipulation of sucrose transporter (SoSUT1) in potato has been documented to 22 23 result in a shift in carbon partitioning in both leaves and tubers and improved assimilation 24 rates [18]. Our OE lines generally exhibited higher photosynthetic rates (10%-20%) than the 25 WT (Fig. 2) and higher StSUT1 expression level (Fig. 4). After 4 months of growth in the 26 greenhouse, tubers were collected when the plants were totally senescent. In both harvests 27 (Fig. S4 and S5), all three high AtPAP2 expression lines (OE1, 4, and 7) produced more 28 tubers than the WT and the biomass of aboveground organs was also higher in the OE lines 29 (Table 2). In a separate experiment using a different potato cultivar (Solanum. tuberosum cv. 30 Desirée), highly similar results were obtained, suggesting that the observation was 31 independent of the cultivar. Compared with the WT, overexpression of AtPAP2 increased the 32 tuber yield per plant ~2 to 3-fold in Solanum tuberosum var. Bintje and 2-fold in Solanum *tuberosum 'Desirée*', respectively. The increase in tuber yield was due to an increase in dry
 weight and starch content (Table 2). In total, the five greenhouse trials all produced highly
 similar results.

4 Increased sink demand (via systemic signals) and decreased photoassimilate levels in source 5 leaves (via an alleviated feedback repression of photosynthesis by sugar sensing) can both enhance photosynthetic activity [19]. Therefore, a high performance liquid chromatography 6 7 method was next used to evaluate sugar contents of leaves immediately following the 8 measurement of the rate of photosynthesis. The sucrose contents of all OE lines were 9 decreased by ~60%, with glucose and fructose also being decreased (Fig. 3). These results 10 suggested an inverse relationship between AtPAP2 expression and the contents of sucrose, 11 glucose and fructose in potato leaves. For comparison we analyzed the sugar content of the 12 petioles (phloem) of the top 2 to 3 fully-expanded leaves of 68-day-old potatoes. In contrast 13 to the leaves, the sucrose levels of the petioles were increased by 1.5 to 2 fold in the petiole, 14 while glucose and fructose contents increased moderately (Fig. 3). The higher concentration 15 of sucrose in the petioles is consistent with its higher sucrose transport activity. 16 Overexpression of SoSUT1 in potato resulted in a shift in carbon partitioning in both leaves 17 and tubers and improved photosynthesis rate [18]. Improved rates of sugar efflux via the leaf 18 petioles would stimulate petioles loading and lower mesophyll carbohydrate levels and thus 19 relieve inhibition of photosynthetic activity. Consistent with this hypothesis the sugar levels 20 in tubers of the three higher AtPAP2 overexpression lines tubers were greatly increased (Table 21 2).

22 The rate of sucrose synthesis controlled by SPS was shown to correlate with the rate of 23 photosynthesis and with the rate of export from leaves [20]. The activity of the SPS is 24 inhibited by binding with the 14-3-3 protein which is regulated by the SnRK1 protein kinase. 25 In previous studies transgenic Camelina and Arabidopsis overexpressing AtPAP2 exhibited 26 higher SPS activity in the leaves [5]. Moreover, transgenic tomato, potato, Arabidopsis and 27 tobacco expressing various SPS genes were documented to exhibit increased biomass and 28 photosynthesis rate. As shown in Table 3, SPS activity was enhanced in the leaves of AtPAP2 29 OE plants in both optimal V_{max} and limiting V_{limit} capacities. Thus, both a higher 30 photosynthetic rate (Fig. 2) and an increased SPS activity in OE lines can provide more 31 sucrose for growth. Western blotting analysis using an anti-SPS antibody (Agrisera, Sweden) 32 indicated that SPS accumulation was remarkably enhanced in the OE lines. However, the 33 protein expression levels of nitrate reductase (NR) and fructose bisphosphatase (FBPase)

1 were indistinguishable from the WT (Fig. 4). Moreover, the levels of 14-3-3 protein and the 2 amount of phosphorylated SPS that was capable of binding 14-3-3 were unaltered in the OE 3 lines. These results indicated that only unphosphorylated SPS was greatly enhanced in the OE 4 lines, which would be anticipated to result in a far greater in vivo SPS enzyme activity. To 5 determine if there was direct interaction between AtPAP2 and SPS, the crude protein extracts 6 of potato leaves were immuno-precipitated by the anti-SPS antibody. The bound proteins 7 were then detected by an anti-AtPAP2 antibody via Western blotting. No direct interaction 8 between AtPAP2 and SPS was observed (Fig. S6). Besides, the expression level of SnRK1 9 was not significantly changed (Fig. 4), and no protein interaction between SnRK1 and 10 AtPAP2 could be detected by the yeast two-hybrid assay (data not shown). Hence, the higher 11 SPS activity in the OE lines was attributed to a higher expression level of SPS protein, rather 12 than through activation by post-translational modification. Therefore, overexpression of 13 AtPAP2 appears to indirectly regulate the expression and enzyme activity of SPS, thus 14 affecting sucrose synthesis, flower time and tuberization.

15 To examine how AtPAP2 overexpression might affect sugar partitioning in leaves and 16 petioles, the expression levels of sugar transporters in leaves were examined. Although the 17 expression level of StSUT1 transcript did not change significantly, its protein level was 18 significantly elevated in the OE lines. StSUT1 is essential for long-distance transport of 19 sucrose and plays a role in phloem loading in mature leaves [21]. Its higher expression in the 20 leaf of OE lines may lead to a higher sucrose level in petioles and tubers but a lower leaf 21 sucrose content (Fig. 3). In contrast, the transcription levels of StSUT2 and StSUT4 were greatly decreased (Table 4). Sucrose transporters are known to be regulated by 22 23 phosphorylation [22], however, co-immunoprecipitation assays did not reveal any direct 24 interaction between AtPAP2 and StSUT1 (Fig. S6).

25 Many plant PAPs mediate phosphorus acquisition and redistribution based on their ability to 26 hydrolyze phosphorus compounds [3]. AtPAP2 is a phosphatase anchored on the outer 27 membrane of chloroplasts and mitochondria [4]. Theoretically, overexpression of a 28 phosphatase in cytosol may supply additional phosphate as a counter-exchange substrate for 29 the triose phosphate/phosphate translocator (TPT) on chloroplasts to facilitate higher export 30 of triose phosphates to cytosol for sucrose synthesis. This, however, is unlikely the reason for 31 higher tuber yield in the AtPAP2 OE lines. First, the Pi content was not significant changed 32 in our OE lines (Table. S2). Second, overexpression of a soluble E. coli pyrophosphatase,

(PPase) which could generate more phosphates by hydrolyzing pyrophosphates, in the
 cytosol of leaf cells did not lead to increase in tuber yield [23].

3

4 Changes in carbon flow and sink/source activities could affect floral, architectural, and 5 reproductive traits of plants. In potato, the tuber yield could be improved by simultaneously 6 genetically modifying source and sink strengths by sucrose transporter [18]. The potato sink 7 strength is defined as the ability to attract photoassimilates, and the sink strength of growing 8 potato tubers was assumed to be limited by metabolism and/or starch synthesis [24]. Sink 9 strength had been concluded to be a more important factor than source strength on tuber yield 10 [23]. In that study, the tuber yield was enhanced in the PGN and AGN lines. Sugar contents 11 in phloem were higher but the leaf starch was lower in the transgenic lines, reflecting an 12 increase in sink strength in tuber could redistribute the carbohydrates from source (leaf starch) 13 to tuber starch. The authors also produced transgenic lines with enhanced source strength by 14 overexpressing *E. coli* PPase in potato leaf [23]. While some transgenic lines exhibited higher 15 PPase activities, higher sugars and lower starch in leaves, the tuber yield did not increase. 16 The author therefore concluded that tuber yield is sink-limited, and that an additional 17 enhancement of source capacity could further increase yield [23]. However, it should be 18 noted that the photosynthesis rates of the AGN lines were not enhanced, and the sugar 19 contents in the petioles and tubers of the PPase overexpression lines were not measured. Thus 20 the potential of increasing tuber yield by enhancing photosynthesis rate in source leaf and/or 21 sugar export from source leaf cannot be ruled out.

22 In this study, overexpression of AtPAP2 could improve source capacity by improved 23 photosynthesis rate, elevated SPS activity, and sugar efflux rate in the leaves. Increased 24 photosynthesis in the source tissues could also potentially improve the adenylate pools in the 25 potato leaves [25]. Increased adenylate pools have previously been demonstrated to increase 26 the potato tuber yield in experiments in which the activity of the plastidial adenylate kinase 27 was altered [26]. ATP and adentylate pools were shown to be significantly increased in the 28 rosette leaves of AtPAP2 OE Arabidopsis lines [9]. Meanwhile, the expression levels and 29 enzyme activity of SPS were also significantly elevated in the AtPAP2 OE lines, which could 30 be expected to supply more sucrose for export and anabolism. Similar to the situation 31 observed following up-regulated expression of the sucrose transporter [18], overexpression of 32 AtPAP2 resulted in an increased sugar efflux, improved photosynthesis and faster plant 33 growth rate. In addition, coupled to the accumulation of soluble sugars in the tuber, the total

tuber yield and tuber starch content were improved in our OE lines. These data indicate an enhanced sink strength. We believe both (limited by sink and promoted by source) are valid as nature in generally does not follow all-or-none principle. We deduced that overexpression of AtPAP2 improves the source capacity and sink strength of potatoes by indirectly regulating the expression of SPS and sucrose transporters.

6

7 Funding:

8 This project was supported by the General Research Fund (HKU772710M) of the HKSAR,9 China.

10 Acknowledgements:

11 We would like to thank Prof. M. L. Chye (HKU) for providing potato. We are thankful to

12 Prof. Steven F. Chen (HKU) and Dr. Clive S.C. Lo (HKU) for providing the HPLC machine.

Youjun Zhang wishes to thank HKU for the postgraduate studentship and DAAD scholarship.

15 Figure Legends

16

Fig 1. Growth phenotypes of 40-day-old plants. All three high AtPAP2 OE lines produced
more branches than the WT.

19

Fig 2. Assimilation rates of potato plants. Assimilation rates (μ mol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹) were measured on leaves 3-4 from the top of 65- to 68-d-oldplants. Assimilation rates at different actinic light intensities (0-1000 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹) were normalized to leaf area.

23

Fig 3. Sugar contents in leaves, petioles and tubers of second generation plants. The leaves of OE lines exhibited low sucrose, glucose and fructose contents. However, the petioles of OE lines contained higher sucrose, glucose and fructose content and the tuber OE lines shows higher sucorse, glucose and fructose contents. Within each column, the values are the fold change of OE lines compare with wild type. DW- dry weight, FW- fresh weight.

Fig. 4. Western blotting analysis of proteins involved in the sucrose synthesis. Total soluble protein extracts were from 70-day-old second generation plants in the middle of day.

1 Vector is the transgenic potato lines by empty vector pBA002; SPS, sucrose phosphate 2 synthase; NR, nitrate reductase; StSUT1, Solanum tuberosum sucrose transporter 1; cFBPase, 3 cytosolic fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase; Anti-cFBPase, anti-NR and anti-SPS antibodies were 4 obtained from Agrisera; Anti-StSUT1 antibody was provided by Dr. Christina Kuhn 5 (Humboldt University Berlin, Germany); AtPAP2, anti-AtPAP2 specific antibody; Anti-14-6 3-3 antibody was from Prof. Carol MacKintosh. Excess 14-3-3 recombinant protein was 7 loaded on the GST beads and mixed with 0.5 mg total plant protein extracts, the washed and 8 bound proteins were eluted for Western blotting analysis using the anti-SPS antibody. 9

10

Table 1. Flowering time of the transgenic potatoes

Solanum tuberosum var. Bintje								
WT Vector OE1 OE4 OE7 OE10								
Flowering time (day)	81 ± 0.7^{a}	89 ± 0.5^{b}	43 ± 0.8^{d}	44 ± 0.9^{d}	45 ± 1.3^{d}	73 ± 0.8^{c}		
S.tuberosum cv. Desirée								
	WT	OE1	OE2	OE3				
Flowering time (day)	$54.5\pm1.6^{\rm a}$	38 ± 1.6^{b}	37.7 ± 1.4^{b}	38 ± 1.2^{b}				

Second generation potatoes (*Solanum tuberosum var. Bintje*) were grown from September 2010 to December 2010 in greenhouse (SD, Hong Kong). Potatoes (*S.tuberosum cv. Desirée*) were grown under LD conditions [16 h light period (100-200 μ E m⁻²s⁻¹), 25 °C and 8 h darkness, 20 °C, greenhouse, Potsdam-Golm, Max Planck Institute for Molecular Plant Physiology]. Throughout the light-dark cycle relative humidity was kept at 50 %. The values marked by different letters (a, b, c) are significantly different (*p* < 0.05), n = 4~6.

Table 2	2. Tu	ıber yiel	d of	the	transgen	ic potatoes
---------	-------	-----------	------	-----	----------	-------------

Second generation (S. tuberosum var. Bintje, SD)								
	WT	Vector	OE1	OE4	OE7	OE10		
Tuber number/plant	2^{a}	2 ^a	3 ± 0.8^{b}	3 ^b	2.8 ± 0.5^{ab}	2.0 ± 0.8^{ab}		
Average weight/tuber, FW	7.4 ± 1^{a}	12.5 ± 1.3^{ab}	18.6 ± 2.9^{cd}	15.3 ± 3.0^{bc}	21.6 ± 2.8^{d}	11.4 ± 5.2^{ab}		
Tuber weight/plant, FW	14.8 ± 2^a	25.1 ± 2.6^{ab}	55.9 ± 16.4^{c}	45.9 ± 9.1^{bc}	$60.2 \pm 16.7^{\circ}$	20.7 ± 8.7^a		
Root Biomass/plant, DW	0.4 ± 0.1^{a}	0.3 ± 0.1^{a}	1.4 ± 0.4^{b}	$0.5\pm0.2^{\rm a}$	$1.1\pm0.3^{\text{b}}$	0.4 ± 0.3^{a}		
Aboveground Biomass /plant, DW	2.9 ± 0.3^{a}	2.9 ± 0.7^{a}	$5.1\pm0.6^{\text{c}}$	3.7 ± 0.7^{b}	4.6 ± 0.6^{bc}	2.9 ± 0.5^a		
Leaf Biomass/Plant, DW	1.8 ± 0.3^{a}	$1.8\pm0.6^{\mathrm{a}}$	2.7 ± 0.2^{ab}	2.3 ± 0.7^{ab}	2.7 ± 0.7^{ab}	1.9 ± 0.3^{a}		
Petioles Biomass/plant, DW	1.1 ± 0.2^{a}	$1.1 \pm 0.2^{\mathrm{a}}$	2.4 ± 0.5^{c}	1.6 ± 0.4^{ab}	2.0 ± 0.2^{bc}	$1.1\pm0.3^{\mathrm{a}}$		
Third generation (S. tuberosum var. Bintje, LD)								
	WT	OE1	OE3	OE4	OE7	OE10		
Tuber number/plant	3	15	15	22	18	20		
Tuber weight (g)/plant, FW	107.5	258.5	270.2	300.9	275.9	241.3		
Tuber yield and starch content of a different cultivar (S.tuberosum cv. Desirée, LD)								
	WT	OE1		OE2	OE3			
Tuber number/plant	6.3 ± 1.4^{a}	11.5 ±	± 1.8 ^b	9.8 ± 0.8^{b}	10.3 ±1.4 ^b			
Tuber weight (g/plant), FW	176.0 ± 20.7^a	281.4 ± 17.8^{b}		267.8 ± 14.5^{b}	252.5 ± 17^{b}			
Tuber weight (g/plant), DW	25.0 ± 2.9^{a}	53.9 ± 3.4^{b}		52.7 ± 2.9^{b}	48.0 ± 3.2^{b}			
Tuber Water content (mg/g), FW	857.8 ± 8.2^a	806.6 ± 4.1^{b}		803.0 ± 13.2^{b}	811.	1 ± 15.2^{b}		
Starch content (µmol Glucose /g), FW	351.7 ± 7.7^{a}	7^{a} 416.8 ± 9.9 ^b 429.9 ± 8.9 ^b		419.	13 ± 5.3^{b}			

Tubers > 1g was measured. Samples were collected from 4 - 6 independent plants after the decay of the shoots following a 4-month growth period. The second generation potato (*S. tuberosum var. Bintje*) was grown in short day condition in Hong Kong and the third generation was grown in long day condition in University of Potsdam, Golm. Potatoes (*S.tuberosum cv. Desirée*) were grown under controlled conditions from November 2013 to February. 2014 [16 h

light period (100-200 μ E m⁻²s⁻¹), 25 °C and 8 h darkness, 20 °C, greenhouse, Potsdam-Golm, Max Planck Institute for Molecular Plant Physiology]. Throughout the light-dark cycle relative humidity was kept at 50 %. 100mg fresh sample of developing tuber was lyophilized before measurement of dry weight and water content. Compared with that of the wild type, tubers of OE lines showed decreased water content but improved tuber weight and starch content. Starch content was measured by 10 mg fresh potato tubes. Within each column, the values marked by different letters (a, b, c) are significantly different (p < 0.05), n = 4~6. DW, dry weight (g); FW, fresh weight (g).

 Table 3. SPS Enzyme activity analysis

µM sucrose/µg protein/hour	WT	Vector	OE 1	OE 4	OE 7	OE 10
V _{max}	139 ± 4^{a}	147 ± 4^{ab}	$168 \pm 6^{\circ}$	$163 \pm 13^{\circ}$	162 ± 9^{c}	$155 \pm 6^{\circ}$
$\mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{limit}}$	73 ± 3^{a}	83 ± 5^{b}	97 ± 2^{c}	93 ± 7^{c}	93 ± 6^{bc}	90 ± 5^{bc}

The third and the fourth fully mature leaves were used for SPS enzyme activity analysis after the photosynthesis measurement. Within each column, the values marked by different letters (a, b) are significantly different (p < 0.05), n = 5.

	WT	Vector	OE1	OE4	OE7	OE10
StSUT1	1.00 ± 0.01^{a}	1.31 ± 0.01^{b}	1.53 ± 0.01^{b}	1.07 ± 0.02^{a}	1.21 ± 0.01^{ab}	1.03 ± 0.01^{a}
StSUT2	1.00 ± 0.01^{a}	1.02 ± 0.01^{a}	0.36 ± 0.01^{b}	0.25 ± 0.01^{b}	0.23 ± 0.01^{b}	0.07 ± 0.01^{c}
StSUT4	1.00 ± 0.02^{a}	0.74 ± 0.01^{ab}	0.57 ± 0.02^{b}	0.58 ± 0.01^{b}	0.45 ± 0.02^{b}	$0.08\pm0.01^{\text{c}}$

 Table 4. Relative transcriptional levels of sucrose transporters

The third and the fourth 70-days-old mature leaves were used for real-time PCR analysis. RQ, the gene relative expression to WT was calculated using the equation: $2^{-(\Delta\Delta^{CT})}$. Within each column, the values marked by different letters (a, b, c) are significantly different (p < 0.05), n = 3.

References:

1. Smeekens, S., Ma, J., Hanson, J. & Rolland, F. (2010) Sugar signals and molecular networks controlling plant growth, *Current opinion in plant biology*. **13**, 273-278.

2. Wind, J., Smeekens, S. & Hanson, J. (2010) Sucrose: metabolite and signaling molecule, *Phytochemistry*. **71**, 1610-1614.

3. Kuang, R., Chan, K.-H., Yeung, E. & Lim, B. L. (2009) Molecular and biochemical characterization of AtPAP15, a purple acid phosphatase with phytase activity, in Arabidopsis, *Plant physiology.* **151**, 199-209.

4. Sun, F., Carrie, C., Law, S., Murcha, M. W., Zhang, R., Law, Y. S., Suen, P. K., Whelan, J. & Lim, B. L. (2012) AtPAP2 is a tail-anchored protein in the outer membrane of chloroplasts and mitochondria, *Plant signaling & behavior*. **7**, 927-932.

5. Zhang, Y., Yu, L., Yung, K.-F., Leung, D. Y., Sun, F. & Lim, B. L. (2012) Over-expression of AtPAP2 in *Camelina sativa* leads to faster plant growth and higher seed yield, *Biotechnology For Biofuels.* **5**, 1-10.

6. Sun, F., Suen, P. K., Zhang, Y., Liang, C., Carrie, C., Whelan, J., Ward, J. L., Hawkins, N. D., Jiang, L. & Lim, B. L. (2012) A dual-targeted purple acid phosphatase in *Arabidopsis thaliana* moderates carbon metabolism and its overexpression leads to faster plant growth and higher seed yield, *New Phytologist*. **194**, 206-219.

7. Murashige, T. & Skoog, F. (1962) A revised medium for rapid growth and bio assays with tobacco tissue cultures, *Physiologia plantarum*. **15**, 473-497.

8. Chincinska, I., Liesche, J., Krugel, U., Michalska, J., Geigenberger, P., Grimm, B. & Kuhn, C. (2008) Sucrose transporter StSUT4 from potato affects flowering, tuberization, and shade avoidance response, *Plant Physiology*. **146**, 515-528.

9. Sun, F., Liang, C., Whelan, J., Yang, J., Zhang, P. & Lim, B. L. (2013) Global transcriptome analysis of AtPAP2-overexpressing Arabidopsis thaliana with elevated ATP, *BMC genomics.* **14**, 752.

10. Beaujean, A., Sangwan, R., Lecardonnel, A. & Sangwan-Norreel, B. (1998) Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of three economically important potato cultivars using sliced internodal explants: an efficient protocol of transformation, *Journal of Experimental Botany.* **49**, 1589-1595.

11. Sambrook, J., Fritsch, E. & Maniatis, T. (1989) Molecular cloning: A laboratory manual Cold spring Harbor Laboratory press, *New York*, 931-957.

12. Baroja-Fernandez, E., Mu oz, F. J., Saikusa, T., Rodriguez-Lopez, M., Akazawa, T. & Pozueta-Romero, J. (2003) Sucrose synthase catalyzes the de novo production of ADPglucose linked to starch biosynthesis in heterotrophic tissues of plants, *Plant and Cell*

ADPglucose linked to starch biosynthesis in heterotrophic tissues of plants, *Plant and Cell Physiology*. **44**, 500-509.

13. Fernie, A. R., Roscher, A., Ratcliffe, R. G. & Kruger, N. J. (2001) Fructose 2, 6bisphosphate activates pyrophosphate: fructose-6-phosphate 1-phosphotransferase and increases triose phosphate to hexose phosphate cycling in heterotrophic cells, *Planta*. **212**, 250-263.

14. Baxter, C. J., Foyer, C. H., Turner, J., Rolfe, S. A. & Quick, W. P. (2003) Elevated sucrosep-phosphate synthase activity in transgenic tobacco sustains photosynthesis in older leaves and alters development, *Journal of Experimental Botany.* **54**, 1813-1820.

15. Emi, T., Kinoshita, T. & Shimazaki, K. (2001) Specific binding of vf14-3-3a isoform to the plasma membrane H+-ATPase in response to blue light and fusicoccin in guard cells of broad bean, *Plant Physiology.* **125**, 1115-1125.

 Moorhead, G., Douglas, P., Cotelle, V., Harthill, J., Morrice, N., Meek, S., Deiting, U., Stitt, M., Scarabel, M. & Aitken, A. (1999) Phosphorylation-dependent interactions between enzymes of plant metabolism and 14-3-3 proteins, *The Plant Journal.* 18, 1-12.
 Navarro, C., Abelenda, J. A., Cruz-Oró, E., Cuéllar, C. A., Tamaki, S., Silva, J., Shimamoto, K. & Prat, S. (2011) Control of flowering and storage organ formation in potato by FLOWERING LOCUS T, *Nature*. **478**, 119-122.

18. Leggewie, G., Kolbe, A., Lemoine, R., Roessner, U., Lytovchenko, A., Zuther, E., Kehr, J., Frommer, W. B., Riesmeier, J. W. & Willmitzer, L. (2003) Overexpression of the sucrose transporter SoSUT1 in potato results in alterations in leaf carbon partitioning and in tuber metabolism but has little impact on tuber morphology, *Planta.* **217**, 158-167.

19. Ainsworth, E. A. & Bush, D. R. (2011) Carbohydrate export from the leaf: a highly regulated process and target to enhance photosynthesis and productivity, *Plant physiology*. **155**, 64-69.

20. Huber, S. C. & Huber, J. L. (1992) Role of sucrose-phosphate synthase in sucrose metabolism in leaves, *Plant Physiology*. **99**, 1275-1278.

21. Kühn, C., Hajirezaei, M.-R., Fernie, A. R., Roessner-Tunali, U., Czechowski, T., Hirner, B. & Frommer, W. B. (2003) The sucrose transporter StSUT1 localizes to sieve elements in potato tuber phloem and influences tuber physiology and development, *Plant Physiology*. **131**, 102-113.

22. Krügel, U., He, H.-X., Gier, K., Reins, J., Chincinska, I., Grimm, B., Schulze, W. X. & Kühn, C. (2012) The potato sucrose transporter StSUT1 interacts with a DRM-associated protein disulfide isomerase, *Molecular Plant.* **5**, 43-62.

23. Jonik, C., Sonnewald, U., Hajirezaei, M.-R., Flügge, U.-I. & Ludewig, F. (2012) Simultaneous boosting of source and sink capacities doubles tuber starch yield of potato plants, *Plant Biotechnology Journal.* **10**, 1088-1098.

24. Zrenner, R., Salanoubat, M., Willmitzer, L. & Sonnewald, U. (1995) Evidence of the crucial role of sucrose synthase for sink strength using transgenic potato plants (Solanum tuberosum L.), *The Plant Journal.* **7**, 97-107.

25. Chakraborty, S., Chakraborty, N., Agrawal, L., Ghosh, S., Narula, K., Shekhar, S., Naik, P. S., Pande, P., Chakrborti, S. K. & Datta, A. (2010) Next-generation protein-rich potato expressing the seed protein gene AmA1 is a result of proteome rebalancing in transgenic tuber, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences.* **107**, 17533-17538.

26. Regierer, B., Fernie, A. R., Springer, F., Perez-Melis, A., Leisse, A., Koehl, K., Willmitzer, L., Geigenberger, P. & Kossmann, J. (2002) Starch content and yield increase as a result of altering adenylate pools in transgenic plants, *Nature Biotechnology*. **20**, 1256-1260.

Fig. 1



OE 1 OE 4 OE 7 OE 10 Vector WT

Fig. 2



Actinic light intensity (μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹)





Fig.4



