

CORE

Title	Modes of Transmission of Influenza B Virus in Households
Author(s)	Cowling, BJ; Ip, DKM; Fang, J; Suntarattiwong, P; Olsen, SJ; Levy, J; Uyeki, TM; Leung, GM; Peiris, JSM; Chotpitayasunondh, T; Nishiura, H; Simmerman, JM
Citation	PLoS One , 2014, v. 9 n. 9, p. e108850
Issued Date	2014
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/10722/206888
Rights	Creative Commons: Attribution 3.0 Hong Kong License

Modes of Transmission of Influenza B Virus in Households



Benjamin J. Cowling¹*, Dennis K. M. Ip¹, Vicky J. Fang¹, Piyarat Suntarattiwong², Sonja J. Olsen^{3,4}, Jens Levy³, Timothy M. Uyeki⁴, Gabriel M. Leung¹, J. S. Malik Peiris^{1,5}, Tawee Chotpitayasunondh², Hiroshi Nishiura⁶¹, J. Mark Simmerman⁷¹

1 School of Public Health, Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China, 2 Queen Sirikit National Institute of Child Health, Bangkok, Thailand, 3 Influenza Program, Thailand MOPH-US CDC Collaboration, Nonthaburi, Thailand, 4 Influenza Division, US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia, United States of America, 5 Centre for Influenza Research, Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Special Administrative Region, China, 6 Graduate School of Medicine, The University of Tokyo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, Japan, 7 Epidemiology and Medical Affairs, Sanofi Pasteur, Bangkok, Thailand

Abstract

Introduction: While influenza A and B viruses can be transmitted via respiratory droplets, the importance of small droplet nuclei "aerosols" in transmission is controversial.

Methods and Findings: In Hong Kong and Bangkok, in 2008–11, subjects were recruited from outpatient clinics if they had recent onset of acute respiratory illness and none of their household contacts were ill. Following a positive rapid influenza diagnostic test result, subjects were randomly allocated to one of three household-based interventions: hand hygiene, hand hygiene plus face masks, and a control group. Index cases plus their household contacts were followed for 7–10 days to identify secondary infections by reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) testing of respiratory specimens. Index cases with RT-PCR-confirmed influenza B were included in the present analyses. We used a mathematical model to make inferences on the modes of transmission, facilitated by apparent differences in clinical presentation of secondary infections resulting from aerosol transmission. We estimated that approximately 37% and 26% of influenza B virus transmission was via the aerosol mode in households in Hong Kong and Bangkok, respectively. In the fitted model, influenza B virus infections were associated with a 56%–72% risk of fever plus cough if infected via aerosol route, and a 23%–31% risk of fever plus cough if infected via aerosol route, and a 23%–31% risk of fever plus cough if infected via the other two modes of transmission.

Conclusions: Aerosol transmission may be an important mode of spread of influenza B virus. The point estimates of aerosol transmission were slightly lower for influenza B virus compared to previously published estimates for influenza A virus in both Hong Kong and Bangkok. Caution should be taken in interpreting these findings because of the multiple assumptions inherent in the model, including that there is limited biological evidence to date supporting a difference in the clinical features of influenza B virus infection by different modes.

Citation: Cowling BJ, Ip DKM, Fang VJ, Suntarattiwong P, Olsen SJ, et al. (2014) Modes of Transmission of Influenza B Virus in Households. PLoS ONE 9(9): e108850. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0108850

Editor: Gerardo Chowell, Arizona State University, United States of America

Received June 26, 2014; Accepted August 18, 2014; Published September 30, 2014

This is an open-access article, free of all copyright, and may be freely reproduced, distributed, transmitted, modified, built upon, or otherwise used by anyone for any lawful purpose. The work is made available under the Creative Commons CC0 public domain dedication.

Data Availability: The authors confirm that all data underlying the findings are fully available without restriction. The Hong Kong dataset is freely available online. The Hong Kong study data are at http://web.hku.hk/~bcowling/influenza/HK_NPI_study.htm The Bangkok dataset is available upon request, and cannot be included in a public repository because it is owned by a third party. The data can be obtained from Dr Kim Lindblade (kil2@cdc.gov).

Funding: This project was supported by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases under contract no. HHSN266200700005C, ADB No. N01-Al-70005 (NIAID Centers for Excellence in Influenza Research and Surveillance), the Harvard Center for Communicable Disease Dynamics from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (grant no. U54 GM088558), and the Area of Excellence Scheme of the Hong Kong University Grants Committee (grant no. AoE/M-12/06). The household trials in Hong Kong and Bangkok were supported by the United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (cooperative nos. 1 U01 Cl000439 and 5 U51 IP000345). HN received funding support from JST PRESTO. The funding bodies had no role in study design and analysis or the decision to publish, but the CDC was involved in the design of the original studies and the preparation of this manuscript. This work represents the views of the authors and does not reflect the official policy of their institutions, including the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

Competing Interests: BJC has received research funding from MedImmune Inc. and Sanofi Pasteur, and consults for Crucell NV. DKMI has received research funding from F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd. JSMP receives research funding from Crucell NV and serves as an ad hoc consultant for GlaxoSmithKline and Sanofi Pasteur. JMS has retired from the US CDC and now works with Sanofi Pasteur. BJC is a Section Editor for PLOS ONE. The other authors report no other potential conflicts of interest. This does not alter the authors' adherence to all PLOS policies on sharing data and materials.

* Email: bcowling@hku.hk

 \P These authors are joint senior authors on this work.

Introduction

Influenza viruses are believed to be spread between humans through a number of modes of transmission, including primarily through inhalation of respiratory droplets containing infectious virus, and possible contact of respiratory secretions containing infectious virus with mucous membranes. A distinction is

sometimes drawn between larger versus smaller respiratory droplets, as large droplets quickly fall to the ground [1,2], while droplet nuclei can remain suspended in the air for prolonged periods because of their low settling velocity [3]. However, aerosols are easily removed from the environment through ventilation, and infectious virus suspended in aerosols could be fragile and easily lose infectivity. The threshold for small particles is typically drawn in the range 5 μ m to 20 μ m [3–5]. Only a small number of pathogens are thought to transmit via aerosols, including varicella virus, M. tuberculosis and rubeola virus (measles) [6]. The potential for influenza virus to spread by aerosols remains controversial [3–5.7.8]. There is growing evidence that influenza A virus can spread by aerosols [3-5,8-10], but less discussion over the potential role of aerosols in influenza B virus transmission with limited published literature. Infectious influenza B virus can be detected in the aerosol fraction (particles $<5 \ \mu m$) of exhaled breath of subjects with influenza B virus infection [11].

Influenza B viruses can infect all age groups. Compared to influenza A viruses, infections with influenza B virus are more commonly identified in children compared to adults [12], perhaps because of slower evolutionary rates [13] leading to greater herd immunity among adults. Influenza B virus infections can cause severe illness in all ages [14], and the mortality impact of influenza B epidemics in populations is generally estimated to be comparable to the impact of influenza A(H1N1) epidemics but somewhat less than influenza A(H3N2) epidemics, with the majority of excess deaths occurring in the very young and very old [15–18].

Historical volunteer challenge studies reported a difference in clinical presentation of influenza A virus infections depending on the mode of infection [9]. In one classic study, 23 people were experimentally inoculated with aerosols, 7 subsequently had serologic evidence of infection and virus was recovered from one additional volunteer without serologic evidence of infection, and 4 of those 8 had typical ILI with fever [19].

In another study, 24 people were inoculated intranasally and had milder illness than people with naturally-acquired illness [20]. In some infectious diseases (e.g. smallpox, plague), the clinical severity is known to depend on the mode of acquisition, and this property has recently been termed 'anisotropic' infection [21]. We previously assumed that influenza A virus also has the anisotropic property, and based on that property, further assuming that hand hygiene and face masks act primarily against contact and large droplet transmission respectively, we estimated that up to 50% of influenza A virus transmission within households in Hong Kong and Bangkok occur via the aerosol route [9]. Here, we propose that the same anisotropic nature may hold for influenza B virus infections, specifically that the mode of exposure leading to an infection may affect the pattern in subsequent signs and symptoms [21], and we use the same modeling framework to infer the proportion of household transmission of influenza B virus that occurs via the aerosol route.

Methods

Sources of Data

During 2008–2011, large randomized controlled trials were conducted in Hong Kong and Bangkok to study the efficacy of hand hygiene and surgical face masks in reducing influenza virus transmission in households [22,23]. In each study, local residents who had acute respiratory illness and living in a household with at least 2 other people of whom none had reported acute respiratory illness in the preceding 14 days were enrolled. Pooled nasal and throat swab (NTS) specimens were collected from each participant for testing with the QuickVue Influenza A+B rapid diagnostic test (Quidel, San Diego, California). Participants with a positive rapid influenza test result were further followed up along with their household contacts. Households were randomly allocated in equal proportions into one of three intervention groups: (1) a control intervention, (2) control plus hand hygiene intervention, and (3)

Table 1. Minor differences between the study designs in Hong Kong and Bangkok.

Study component	Hong Kong	Bangkok			
Recruitment locations	45 public and private outpatient clinics across Hong Kong (population 7 million).	Outpatient department of a large pediatric public hospital in Bangkok (population 8 million).			
Study period	January 2008–June 2009	April 2008–February 2011			
Age of index cases	Any age	Children 1 m to 15 y of age			
Eligibility of index case (symptoms)	Presenting with at least two of: fever \geq 37.8°C, cough, sore throat, headache, runny nose, phlegm, and myalgia; living with at least two other people.	For <2 years: fever $>38^{\circ}$ C and one or more of the following symptoms; nasal congestion, cough, conjunctivitis, respiratory distress, sore throat, new seizure. For >2 years: Presenting with influenza-like illness (fever plus cough or sore throat); living with at least two other people.			
Exclusion criteria	Recent (within 14 d) acute respiratory illness in any household member	Recent (within 7 d) influenza-like illness in any household member; recent (within 12 m) influenza vaccination in any household member.			
Hand hygiene intervention	Distribution of alcohol hand rub to each household member in addition to liquid hand soap to the household	Distribution of liquid hand soap to the household			
Measurement of body temperature	All households were provided and instructed in the use of a free tympanic thermometer and asked to record their body temperature daily.	Thermometers were not provided to households, and participants recorded either measured body temperature or 'feverishness'.			

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0108850.t001

Table 2. Characteristics of index cases with confirmed influenza B virus infection and their household contacts in Hong Kong, by intervention group.

	Control		Hand hygiene		Face mask+hand hygiene	
Characteristics	n	(%)	n	(%)	n	(%)
Index cases	35		36		33	
Age group						
≤5 y	5	(14%)	3	(8%)	4	(12%)
6–15 y	25	(71%)	21	(58%)	21	(64%)
>16 y	5	(14%)	12	(33%)	8	(24%)
Male	16	(46%)	19	(53%)	10	(30%)
Median household size (IQR)	4	(3, 5)	4	(3, 4)	4	(3, 5)
Household contacts	112		101		106	
Age group						
≤5 y	6	(5%)	1	(1%)	5	(5%)
6–15 у	13	(12%)	12	(12%)	12	(11%)
16–30 y	21	(19%)	17	(17%)	17	(16%)
31–50 у	58	(52%)	48	(48%)	51	(48%)
>50 y	14	(12%)	23	(23%)	21	(20%)
Male	39	(35%)	40	(40%)	46	(43%)
Received seasonal influenza vaccination in the previous 12 m	15	(13%)	12	(12%)	14	(13%)

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0108850.t002

control plus facemasks and hand hygiene interventions. A home visit was scheduled as soon as possible after randomization to implement the intervention, collect baseline demographic data and NTS specimens from all household contacts aged ≥ 2 years, and to describe the information to be recorded in daily symptom diaries. Further home visits were scheduled at 3 and 6 days after

the first home visit to monitor adherence to intervention and to collect further NTS specimens from all household contacts regardless of illness. The two study protocols were very similar, and notable differences are summarized in Table 1.

All NTS specimens were tested by reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) for influenza A and B

Table 3. Characteristics of index cases with confirmed influenza B virus infection and their household contacts in Bangkok, by intervention group.

	Control		Hand hygiene		Face mask+hand hygiene	
Characteristics	n	(%)	n	(%)	n	(%)
Index cases	37		38		38	
Age group						
≤5 y	12	(32%)	14	(37%)	10	(26%)
6–15 у	25	(68%)	24	(63%)	28	(74%)
>16 y	0	(0%)	0	(0%)	0	(0%)
Male	24	(65%)	23	(61%)	23	(61%)
Median household size (IQR)	2	(2, 3)	3	(2, 3)	3	(2, 5)
Household contacts	84		91		89	
Age group						
≤5 y	1	(1%)	5	(5%)	4	(4%)
6–15 y	10	(12%)	14	(15%)	10	(11%)
16–30 у	13	(15%)	18	(20%)	15	(17%)
31–50 у	49	(58%)	41	(45%)	37	(42%)
>50 y	11	(13%)	13	(14%)	23	(26%)
Male	35	(42%)	39	(43%)	33	(37%)
Received seasonal influenza vaccination in the previous 12 m	0	(0%)	0	(0%)	0	(0%)

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0108850.t003



Hong Kong

Figure 1. Cumulative hazards of RT-PCR-confirmed influenza B virus infections presenting with fever plus cough or not presenting with fever plus cough, among the household contacts in 104 and 113 households of index cases with RT-PCR-confirmed influenza B virus infection in Hong Kong and Bangkok, respectively. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0108850.q001

viruses using standard methods as described elsewhere [22,23]. In the present analyses only the households of index cases with RT-PCR-confirmed influenza B virus infection are included; results for index cases with influenza A were reported elsewhere [9].

In the present analyses, we used data on influenza B virus transmission in families from the studies in Hong Kong and Bangkok. Specifically, we identified all index cases with confirmed influenza B virus infection, and their household contacts. We then determined which household contacts had RT-PCR confirmed infection, the corresponding times of illness onset, and whether fever and cough were reported. In the analyses we also used the allocated intervention group for each household, and the age of each household contact.

Ethics Statement

All subjects 18 years of age and older gave written informed consent, and proxy written consent was obtained from parents or legal guardians for children aged 17 years old or younger. The protocols for the studies in Hong Kong and in Bangkok were approved by Institutional Review Board of the University of Hong Kong, and the Institutional Review Board of Queen Sirikit Hospital Bangkok, respectively [22,23].

Statistical Analysis

We used the Nelson-Aalen non-parametric estimator of the cumulative hazards of infection with or without febrile disease plus cough in each intervention group [24]. We constructed a competing risks survival analysis model that accounted for the alternative modes of transmission and used it to infer the relative importance of alternative modes of transmission assuming that the risk of fever plus cough higher in aerosol transmission, compared with the other two modes. We assumed independent hazards over time of influenza transmission in households with one or more secondary cases. The cause-specific probability of aerosol transTable 4. Point estimates and 95% credible intervals of model parameters under an exemplar plausible scenario that hand hygiene and surgical face masks reduced contact and droplet transmission respectively by 50% from the time of application of those interventions.

	Hong Kong (104 house with 319 c	g eholds ontacts)	Bangkok (113 househ with 264 con	olds (tacts)		
Parameters	Estimate	(95% CI)	Estimate	(95% CI)		
ϑ Shape of the Weibull distribution	2.16	(1.30, 3.12)	0.77	(0.39, 1.28)		
λ_1 Force of contact transmission*	0.18	(0.01, 0.40)	0.16	(0.01, 0.48)		
λ_2 Force of droplet transmission*	0.20	(0.01, 0.40)	0.07	(0.00, 0.24)		
λ_3 Force of aerosol transmission*	0.22	(0.02, 0.38)	0.08	(0.00, 0.25)		
π_1 Risk of fever plus cough for infections by contact route	23%	(1%, 66%)	25%	(1%, 63%)		
π_2 Risk of fever plus cough for infections by droplet route	24%	(1%, 60%)	31%	(2%, 75%)		
π_3 Risk of fever plus cough for infections by aerosol route	56%	(26%, 97%)	72%	(41%, 99%)		
$ heta_1$ Proportion of household adults immune or not exposed	90%	(85%, 94%)	65%	(45%, 79%)		
θ_2 Proportion of household children immune or not exposed	69%	(54%, 82%)	61%	(34%, 82%)		

*The forces of infection in combination with a shared shape parameter determine the hazard associated with each competing mode of transmission. The relative contribution of each mode j is calculated as the cause-specific probabilities $\lambda_i^{\phi} / (\lambda_1^{\phi} + \lambda_2^{\phi} + \lambda_3^{\phi})$ doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0108850.t004

mission was estimated to measure the relative contribution of aerosol transmission among all three modes.

A mixture model was used to allow for a certain proportion (θ) of subjects to be immune or not exposed, with the density of infection described as $f(t) = (1 - \theta)f_u(t)$, where $f_u(t)$ is the probability density function for the exposed and susceptible group. The time to infection (T) for each of three modes of transmission was assumed to follow a Weibull distribution with an identical shared shape parameter (ϕ) and mode-specific scale parameters (λ_i) . The sub-hazards for modes of transmission, j = 1, 2 and 3 representing contact, large droplets and aerosols respectively were written as follows:

$$\begin{split} h_{u1}(T_i, X_{hi}, X_{mi}) &= \phi \lambda_1^{\phi} T_i^{\phi - 1} \exp(\beta_1 X_{hi}), \qquad \text{where } \beta_1 = \log(1 - r_1); \\ h_{u2}(T_i, X_{hi}, X_{mi}) &= \phi \lambda_2^{\phi} T_i^{\phi - 1} \exp(\beta_2 X_{mi}), \qquad \text{where } \beta_2 = \log(1 - r_2); \\ h_{u3}(T_i, X_{hi}, X_{mi}) &= \phi \lambda_3^{\phi} T_i^{\phi - 1}, \end{split}$$

where X_{hi}/X_{mi} are the dichotomous indicator variables representing the allocation of hand hygiene/surgical mask interventions respectively to individual *i*, and r_1/r_2 represent the relative risk reductions in contact/large droplet transmission by hand hygiene/ surgical masks respectively. We assumed that the risk of fever plus cough caused by infections follows a Bernoulli distribution with mean parameter π_i , j = 1, 2, 3 for three arms, respectively. We estimated $\phi, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3, \theta_1, \theta_2$. We were unable to estimate r_1 and r_2 so we examined the estimates of the other parameters for a range of values of r_1 and r_2 . Further technical details of the model are provided in an earlier publication [9].

We performed statistical inference under a Bayesian framework, using Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) to obtain parameter estimates from the posterior distributions [25]. We specified flat priors for each parameter. For each MCMC chain we ran 120,000 iterations, discarding the first 20,000 iterations as burn-in, and drawing every tenth subsequent value to compose the posterior distribution. All the statistical analyses were conducted in R version 2.15.1 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

Results

In Hong Kong and Bangkok there were 104 and 113 households, respectively, with an index case with RT-PCRconfirmed influenza B virus infection. The characteristics of index cases and their household contacts are shown in Tables 2 and 3 for Hong Kong and Bangkok respectively. We examined the cumulative hazard of RT-PCR-confirmed influenza B virus infections for household contacts, and found increases in the risk of infection with fever plus cough, and decreases in the risk of infection without fever plus cough, in the intervention arms compared to the control arm. The change was particularly apparent in the households in Bangkok (Figure 1). To be more specific, we found a statistically significant decrease in the risk of infection without fever plus cough, in the hand hygiene plus face masks arm compared to the control arm in the households in Bangkok.

Under the scenario where randomization to the hand hygiene intervention reduced contact transmission by 50% while randomization to face mask and hand hygiene interventions reduced both contact and droplet transmission by 50%, we fitted the transmission model to the Hong Kong and Bangkok data. We estimated that in the absence of interventions, aerosol transmission was responsible for 37% and 26% of secondary infections in Hong Kong and Bangkok, respectively (Table 4). We also varied the assumed efficacy of hand hygiene and face masks from 0% to 100% and estimated the relative importance of aerosol transmission in the absence of interventions, which ranged from approximately 20% to 80% in Hong Kong and 20% to 32% in Bangkok (Figure 2).



Figure 2. The relative importance (cause-specific probability) of aerosol transmission in households in Hong Kong and Bangkok. The contour lines show the proportion of secondary influenza B virus infections attributed to aerosol transmission in the control arm of each study, under varying assumptions about the efficacy of randomization to the hand hygiene and surgical mask interventions in reducing contact (x-axis) and droplet (y-axis) transmission respectively. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0108850.g002

We compared the cause-specific probabilities of each mode of transmission as well as the associated illnesses in the control arm for influenza A and B virus infections, in Hong Kong and Bangkok respectively (Figure 3). Data for influenza A were extracted from a previous report [9]. Both influenza A and B virus infections attributed to aerosol transmission were associated with a higher risk of fever plus cough, compared with the other two modes of transmission. The point estimates of aerosol transmission were lower for influenza B compared to influenza A in both Hong Kong and Bangkok.

Discussion

We propose that the mode of spread associated with an influenza B virus infection affects the probability of experiencing fever plus cough for that infection. Based on that hypothesis, we estimated that approximately 37% and 26% of transmission was via the aerosol mode in households in Hong Kong and Bangkok, respectively. However, we should exercise caution in interpreting these findings because we have not been able to find literature supporting the anisotropic nature of influenza B virus infection, whereas we previously described literature supporting this



Figure 3. The proportion of all influenza A and B virus infections attributed to each mode in the control arms of the studies in Hong Kong (blue) and Bangkok (brown), and the infections associated with fever plus cough (darker shade) or not associated with fever plus cough (lighter shade). Data shown on influenza A were extracted from a previous study [9]. The contributions of the three modes sum to 100% within each geographic location and influenza type. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0108850.g003

PLOS ONE | www.plosone.org

property for influenza A virus infections [9]. Nevertheless, patterns in secondary infections and disease in the controlled trials in Hong Kong and Bangkok were consistent with this hypothesis (Figure 1). This also implicitly suggested that though hand hygiene and face masks could reduce the risk of transmission through contact or large droplets, but meanwhile increase the risk of aerosol transmission, which was associated with a greater risk of illness with fever plus cough.

Whereas we previously estimated that approximately half of within-household transmission of influenza A virus could be associated with aerosols [9], here we estimated a slightly reduced importance of aerosols for influenza B virus (Figure 3). One explanation for such a difference could be the age mix of cases of influenza A versus B, if aerosol transmission were more important among adults than children. We did not have sufficient sample size in the present study to examine whether modes of transmission might vary by age, but this would be an interesting area for further exploration.

If aerosol transmission is indeed an important mode of spread of influenza B virus, this may have important implications for control efforts. In particular measures targeting contact transmission, such as hand hygiene, and measures targeting large respiratory droplet transmission, such as surgical face masks, may not be sufficient to substantially reduce the risk of transmission. Control measures that might reduce aerosol transmission indoors include improvement in ventilation [26], modification of humidity [27], or the use of personal protective equipment that is more effective against aerosols than surgical masks. While the use of N95 respirators may not be practical in community settings and fit-testing is unlikely although required for optimal performance, other types of face masks with improved filtration compared to standard surgical masks or procedure masks may be available in the future.

There are a number of limitations to our analysis. First, our model did not include the possibility of variability in infectiousness between index cases, variability in immunity to different modes of transmission, or variability in within-household transmissibility associated with physical dimensions of the home, ventilation rates etc, and inclusion of these or other factors potentially affecting transmission dynamics could be natural extensions to our model. Because interventions were allocated randomly among households, the possibility of confounding should be minimized. Second, our model implicitly assumes that only the first infectious exposure is relevant to susceptible contacts, and once infected by that first exposure, further exposures are unimportant. Our model could be modified to allow for multiple simultaneous exposures by one or more modes, if it were understood how this might affect the course of disease. Third, while we assumed that all infections of household

References

- Gralton J, Tovey E, McLaws ML, Rawlinson WD (2011) The role of particle size in aerosolised pathogen transmission: a review. J Infect 62: 1–13.
- Xie X, Li Y, Chwang AT, Ho PL, Seto WH (2007) How far droplets can move in indoor environments-revisiting the Wells evaporation-falling curve. Indoor Air 17: 211–225.
- Tellier R (2006) Review of aerosol transmission of influenza A virus. Emerg Infect Dis 12: 1657–1662.
- Weber TP, Stilianakis NI (2008) Inactivation of influenza A viruses in the environment and modes of transmission: a critical review. J Infect 57: 361–373.
- Tellier R (2009) Aerosol transmission of influenza A virus: a review of new studies. J R Soc Interface 6 Suppl 6: S783–790.
- Tang JW, Li Y, Eames I, Chan PK, Ridgway GL (2006) Factors involved in the aerosol transmission of infection and control of ventilation in healthcare premises. J Hosp Infect 64: 100–114.
- Brankston G, Gitterman L, Hirji Z, Lemieux C, Gardam M (2007) Transmission of influenza A in human beings. Lancet Infect Dis 7: 257–265.
- Cowling BJ (2012) Airborne transmission of influenza: implications for control in healthcare and community settings. Clinical infectious diseases 54: 1578–1580.

contacts during the 7-day follow-up were acquired within the household, it is possible that some infections were acquired outside. However in a separate study with a similar design in Hong Kong we used molecular epidemiology analyses of virus sequence data to demonstrate that most secondary influenza cases acquired infection from within the household [28], and a similar observation was reported in a household transmission study in Canada [29]. Fourth, it is possible that some secondary influenza virus infections were not confirmed due to poor quality specimens collected during home visits, or if peak influenza B viral shedding in the respiratory tract occurred between home visits at 3-day intervals. We did include serological data although this could have provided additional information on infections among household contacts. Fifth, by recruiting in outpatient clinics and using a rapid test to screen index cases, we may have introduced selection bias towards index cases with more serious illness or higher levels of virus shedding, affecting the relative importance of different modes of transmission. Finally, we did not explicitly account for imperfect adherence to the interventions, although the parameters in our model account for moderate efficacy of interventions against specific modes of transmission. Further improvements in the model might be obtained by incorporating limited data on adherence that was mainly self-reported by participants.

In conclusion, we propose that the aerosol route may be an important mode of transmission of influenza B virus in households. Further studies of non-pharmaceutical interventions in households would be improved by more careful monitoring of viral contamination on surfaces [30,31] and in the air, and inclusion of this information in transmission models.

Acknowledgments

We thank Mark Dworkin, Heath Kelly, Yuguo Li, Marc Lipsitch, Don Milton, Jeffrey Shaman, Joe Wu, Peng Wu and Hui-Ling Yen for helpful discussions. We thank Lincoln Lau and Nancy Leung for technical assistance. We thank Rita Fung, Hau Chi So, Calvin Cheng, Winnie Wai, Joey Sin, Wing Hong Seto, Raymond Yung, Daniel Chu, Billy Chiu, Paco Lee, Ming Chi Chiu, Hoi Che Lee and Peter Houck for assistance with the Hong Kong trial and Suchada Kaewchana, Robert Gibbons, Richard Jarman, Wiwan Sanasuttipun, Susan Maloney, and Laurie Kamimoto for assistance with the Bangkok trial.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: BJC DKMI VJF HN. Analyzed the data: BJC VJF HN. Contributed to the writing of the manuscript: BJC DKMI VJF PS SJO JL TMU GML JSMP TC HN JMS. Interpreted data: PS SJO JL TMU GML JSMP TC JMS.

- Cowling BJ, Ip DK, Fang VJ, Suntarattiwong P, Olsen SJ, et al. (2013) Aerosol transmission is an important mode of influenza A virus spread. Nat Commun 4: 1935.
- Noti JD, Lindsley WG, Blachere FM, Cao G, Kashon ML, et al. (2012) Detection of infectious influenza virus in cough aerosols generated in a simulated patient examination room. Clin Infect Dis 54: 1569–1577.
- Milton DK, Fabian MP, Cowling BJ, Grantham ML, McDevitt JJ (2013) Influenza virus aerosols in human exhaled breath: particle size, culturability, and effect of surgical masks. PLoS Pathog 9: e1003205.
- Monto AS, Sullivan KM (1993) Acute respiratory illness in the community. Frequency of illness and the agents involved. Epidemiol Infect 110: 145–160.
- Air GM, Gibbs AJ, Laver WG, Webster RG (1990) Evolutionary changes in influenza B are not primarily governed by antibody selection. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 87: 3884–3888.
- Chan PK, Chan MC, Cheung JL, Lee N, Leung TF, et al. (2013) Influenza B lineage circulation and hospitalization rates in a subtropical city, Hong Kong, 2000–2010. Clin Infect Dis 56: 677–684.

- Thompson WW, Shay DK, Weintraub E, Brammer L, Cox N, et al. (2003) Mortality associated with influenza and respiratory syncytial virus in the United States. JAMA 289: 179–186.
- Goldstein E, Viboud C, Charu V, Lipsitch M (2012) Improving the estimation of influenza-related mortality over a seasonal baseline. Epidemiology 23: 829–838.
- Wu P, Goldstein E, Ho LM, Yang L, Nishiura H, et al. (2012) Excess mortality associated with influenza A and B virus in Hong Kong, 1998–2009. Journal of Infectious Diseases 206: 1862–1871.
- Simmerman JM, Chittaganpitch M, Levy J, Chantra S, Maloney S, et al. (2009) Incidence, seasonality and mortality associated with influenza pneumonia in Thailand: 2005–2008. PLoS One 4: e7776.
- Alford RH, Kasel JA, Gerone PJ, Knight V (1966) Human influenza resulting from aerosol inhalation. Proc Soc Exp Biol Med 122: 800–804.
- Little JW, Douglas RG, Jr., Hall WJ, Roth FK (1979) Attenuated influenza produced by experimental intranasal inoculation. J Med Virol 3: 177–188.
- Milton DK (2012) What was the primary mode of smallpox transmission? Implications for biodefense. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 2: 150.
- Cowling BJ, Chan KH, Fang VJ, Cheng CK, Fung RO, et al. (2009) Facemasks and hand hygiene to prevent influenza transmission in households: a randomized trial. Annals of Internal Medicine 151: 437–446.
- 23. Simmerman JM, Suntarattiwong P, Levy J, Jarman RG, Kaewchana S, et al. (2011) Findings from a household randomized controlled trial of hand washing and face masks to reduce influenza transmission in Bangkok, Thailand. Influenza and Other Respiratory Viruses 5: 256–267.

- Aalen OO (1978) Nonparametric inference for a family of counting processes. Annals of Statistics 6: 701–726.
- Gilks WR, Richardson S, Spiegelhalter DJ (1996) Markov Chain Monte Carlo in Practice. Boca Raton: Chapman & Hall/CRC.
- Hobday RA, Dancer SJ (2013) Roles of sunlight and natural ventilation for controlling infection: historical and current perspectives. J Hosp Infect 84: 271– 282.
- Tamerius JD, Shaman J, Alonso WJ, Bloom-Feshbach K, Uejio CK, et al. (2013) Environmental predictors of seasonal influenza epidemics across temperate and tropical climates. PLoS Pathog 9: e1003194.
- Poon LL, Chan KH, Chu DK, Fung CC, Cheng CK, et al. (2011) Viral genetic sequence variations in pandemic H1N1/2009 and seasonal H3N2 influenza viruses within an individual, a household and a community. J Clin Virol 52: 146–150.
- Papenburg J, Baz M, Hamelin ME, Rheaume C, Carbonneau J, et al. (2010) Household transmission of the 2009 pandemic A/H1N1 influenza virus: elevated laboratory-confirmed secondary attack rates and evidence of asymptomatic infections. Clin Infect Dis 51: 1033–1041.
- Simmerman JM, Suntarattiwong P, Levy J, Gibbons RV, Cruz C, et al. (2010) Influenza virus contamination of common household surfaces during the 2009 influenza A (H1N1) pandemic in Bangkok, Thailand: implications for contact transmission. Clin Infect Dis 51: 1053–1061.
- Levy JW, Suntarattiwong P, Simmerman JM, Jarman RG, Johnson K, et al. (2014) Increased hand washing reduces influenza virus surface contamination in Bangkok households, 2009–2010. Influenza Other Respir Viruses 8: 13–16.