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#### Abstract

In this paper, we mainly get three results. First, let $p, q$ be distinct primes with $\operatorname{gcd}((p-1) p,(q-1) q)=$ $\operatorname{gcd}(p-1, q-1)=e$; we give a method to compute the linear complexity of Whiteman's generalized cyclotomic sequences of period $p^{m+1} q^{n+1}$. Second, if $e=4$, we compute the exact linear complexity of Whiteman's generalized cyclotomic sequences. Third, if $p \equiv q \equiv 5(\bmod 8), \operatorname{gcd}(p-1, q-1)=4$, and we fix a common primitive root $g$ of both $p$ and $q$, then $2 \in H_{0}=(g)$, which is a subgroup of the multiplicative group $Z_{p q}^{*}$, if and only if Whiteman's generalized cyclotomic numbers of order 4 depend on the decomposition $p q=a^{2}+4 b^{2}$ with $4 \mid b$.


Index Terms-Generalized cyclotomic number, linear complexity.

## I. INTRODUCTION

PSEUDORANDOM sequences have wide applications in simulation, software testing, radar systems, stream ciphers, and so on. Several authors show cyclotomic sequences with good randomness properties [2], [8], [9], [12]. Although Whiteman [15] studied the generalized cyclotomy of order 2 and 4 for the purpose of searching for residue difference sets, several authors apply generalized cyclotomy to construct cyclotomic sequences (see [1], [3]-[7], and [14]).

A sequence $s=\left(s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{N-1}, \ldots\right)$ is said to be $N$-periodic if $s_{i}=s_{i+N}$ for all $i \geq 0$. The linear complexity of a sequence $s$ over $G F(2)$ is an important characteristic of its equality (see [11]). It is defined to be the smallest positive integer $L$ for which there exist constants $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{L} \in G F(2)$ such that

$$
s_{g}=c_{1} s_{g-1}+c_{2} s_{g-2}+\cdots+c_{L} s_{g-L} \text { for all } g \geq L
$$

In this paper, generalized cyclotomic sequences always mean Whiteman's generalized cyclotomic sequences. We will calculate the linear complexity of generalized cyclotomic sequences of period $N=p^{m+1} q^{n+1}(m, n \geq 0)$. Let us recall the construction rules of these generalized cyclotomic sequences.

In this paper, we always assume that $p$ and $q$ are distinct odd primes and $N=p^{m+1} q^{n+1}, m, n \geq 0$, unless otherwise stated.

[^0]Let $\operatorname{gcd}\left((p-1) p^{m},(q-1) q^{n}\right)=\operatorname{gcd}(p-1, q-1)=e$ and $R=\frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{e}$. Although $N$ does not possess a primitive root, by the Chinese remainder theorem there exists a common primitive root $g$ of both $p^{m+1}$ and $q^{n+1}$.

We have two relations (see [9])

$$
Z_{p^{m+1}}=\bigcup_{i=0}^{m+1} p^{i} Z_{p^{m+1}}^{*}, \quad Z_{q^{n+1}}=\bigcup_{i=0}^{n+1} q^{i} Z_{q^{n+1}}^{*}
$$

where $p^{m+1} Z_{p^{m+1}}^{*}=\{0\}$ and $q^{n+1} Z_{q^{n+1}}^{*}=\{0\}$.
Now we investigate a factorization of $Z_{N}$. Let $d:=\operatorname{ord}_{N}(g)$ denote the multiplicative order of $g$ modulo $N$; then
$d=\operatorname{ord}_{N}(g)=\operatorname{lcm}\left(\operatorname{ord}_{p^{m+1}}(g), \quad \operatorname{ord}_{q^{n+1}}(g)\right)=\frac{(p-1)(q-1) p^{m} q^{n}}{e}$.
Then, the subgroup $D_{0}=(g)$ of the multiplicative group $Z_{N}^{*}$ is of order $d$.

Let $y$ be an integer satisfying the simultaneous congruences

$$
\begin{equation*}
y \equiv g\left(\bmod p^{m+1}\right), y \equiv 1\left(\bmod q^{n+1}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define generalized cyclotomic classes analogous to [15]
$D_{k}=\left\{g^{s} y^{k}: s=0,1, \ldots, d-1\right\}, k=0,1, \ldots, e-1$.
Then, we get

$$
Z_{N}^{*}=\bigcup_{k=0}^{e-1} D_{k}
$$

Lemma 1.1:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{N}=\bigcup_{i=0}^{m+1} \bigcup_{j=0}^{n+1} p^{i} q^{j} Z_{N}^{*} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the multiplication is performed in the ring $Z_{N}$ and $p^{m+1} q^{n+1} Z_{N}^{*}=\{0\}$.

Proof: It is clear from [5, Lemma 12].

$$
\text { If } i \leq m, j \leq n \text {, then }
$$

$$
p^{i} q^{j} D_{k}=\left\{p^{i} q^{j} a \mid a \in D_{k}\right\}, \quad k=0, \ldots, d-1
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{N}=\bigcup_{i=0}^{m} \bigcup_{j=0}^{n} \bigcup_{k=0}^{e-1} p^{i} q^{j} D_{k} \bigcup_{i=0}^{m} p^{i} q^{n+1} Z_{N}^{*} \bigcup_{j=0}^{n+1} p^{m+1} q^{j} Z_{N}^{*} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For convenience, we give a definition.

Definition 1.2: The assumptions are as above. Define subsets of $Z_{N}$

$$
D_{k}^{(i, j)}= \begin{cases}p^{i} q^{j} D_{k}, & \text { if } i \leq m, j \leq n, 0 \leq k \leq e-1 \\ p^{i} q^{n+1} Z_{N}^{*}, & \text { if } i \leq m, j=n+1, k=0 \\ p^{m+1} q^{j} Z_{N}^{*}, & \text { if } i=m+1, j \leq n, k=0 \\ \{0\}, & \text { if } i=m+1, j=n+1, k=0\end{cases}
$$

So $D_{0}^{(i, n+1)}=p^{i} q^{n+1} Z_{N}^{*}$ for $i \leq m, D_{0}^{(m+1, j)}=p^{m+1} q^{j} Z_{N}^{*}$ for $j \leq n$, and $D_{0}^{(m+1, n+1)}=\{0\}$, and index sets for $0 \leq i \leq$ $m+1$ and $0 \leq j \leq n+1$ are given as

$$
I_{i, j} \subset \begin{cases}\{0,1, \cdots, e-1\}, & \text { if } i \leq m, j \leq n \\ \{0\}, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Suppose that $\Omega=\bigcup_{i=0}^{m+1} \bigcup_{j=0}^{n+1} \bigcup_{k \in I_{i, j}} D_{k}^{(i, j)}$. We can define the generalized cyclotomic binary sequence $s$ of period $N$ as

$$
s_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
1, & \text { if } i(\bmod N) \in \Omega,  \tag{1.5}\\
0, & \text { otherwise },
\end{array} \text { for all } i \geq 0\right.
$$

Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(x)=s_{0}+s_{1} x+\cdots+s_{N-1} x^{N-1}=\sum_{i \in \Omega} x^{i} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

as the characteristic polynomial of the sequence $s$. It is well known that the minimal polynomial of the binary sequence $s$ of period $N$ is given by

$$
m(x)=\frac{x^{N}-1}{\operatorname{gcd}\left(x^{N}-1, s(x)\right)}
$$

and that the linear complexity of $s$ is given by

$$
L=N-\operatorname{deg}\left(\operatorname{gcd}\left(x^{N}-1, s(x)\right)\right)
$$

In this paper, there are three main results. First, we show a method to compute the linear complexity of the aforementioned generalized cyclotomic sequences of period $p^{m+1} q^{n+1}$. Second, if $\operatorname{gcd}\left(p^{m}(p-1), q^{n}(q-1)\right)=e=4$, we easily calculate the linear complexity of the aforementioned generalized cyclotomic sequences. Third, if $p \equiv q \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$,
$\operatorname{gcd}(p-1, q-1)=4$, and we fix a common primitive root $g$ of both $p$ and $q$, then $2 \in H_{0}=(g)$, which is a subgroup of the multiplicative group $Z_{p q}^{*}$, if and only if Whiteman's generalized cyclotomic numbers of order 4 depend on the decomposition $p q=a^{2}+4 b^{2}$ with $4 \mid b$.

## II. Generalized Cyclotomic Sequences of Period $p^{m+1} q^{n+1}$

In this section, we generalize the results from [9] and give a formula for the linear complexity of the generalized cyclotomic binary sequence $s$ of period $N=p^{m+1} q^{n+1}$ defined as (1.5).

Lemma 2.1:

1) Let $e=\operatorname{gcd}(p-1, q-1)$ and $R=\frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{e}$; then
$D_{0}=\left\{g^{k}+h p q \mid k=0,1, \ldots, R-1 ; h=0,1, \cdots, p^{m} q^{n}-1\right\}$.
If $i \leq m$ and $j \leq n$, then see the first equation shown at the bottom of the page.
2) If $i \leq m$ and $j=n+1$, then see the second equation shown at the bottom of the page.
3) If $i=m+1$ and $j \leq n$, then see the third equation shown at the bottom of the page.

## Proof:

1) Since $g$ is a common primitive root of both $p^{m+1}$ and $q^{n+1}, g$ is also a common primitive root of both $p$ and $q$. Hence in the multiplicative group $Z_{p q}^{*}, \operatorname{ord}_{p q}(g)=$ $(p-1)(q-1) / e=R$, so $g^{k} \not \equiv g^{k^{\prime}}(\bmod p q)$ for $0 \leq$ $k \neq k^{\prime} \leq R-1$.
If $a \equiv \overline{g^{k}}(\bmod p q)$ for $0 \leq k \leq R-1$, then $p \nmid a$ and $q \nmid a$, so $a \in Z_{N}^{*}$. Suppose that $a \in D_{r}$, where $r \in$ $\{0,1, \ldots, e-1\}$; then $a \equiv y^{r} g^{k_{1}}\left(\bmod p^{m+1} q^{n+1}\right)$. So $a \equiv y^{r} g^{k_{1}} \equiv g^{r+k_{1}}(\bmod p)$ and $a \equiv g^{k_{1}}(\bmod q)$. Hence, $p-1 \mid r+k_{1}-k$ and $q-1 \mid k_{1}-k$. Then by $\operatorname{gcd}(p-1, q-1)=e, e \mid r$, so $r=0$ and $a \in D_{0}$.
Moreover, if $(k, h) \neq\left(k^{\prime}, h^{\prime}\right)$ for $0 \leq k, k^{\prime} \leq R-1$ and $0 \leq h, h^{\prime} \leq p^{m} q^{n}-1$, then $\overline{g^{k}}+h p q \not \equiv g^{k^{\prime}}+$ $h^{\prime} p q\left(\bmod p^{m+1} q^{n+1}\right)$. By $\left|D_{0}\right|=p^{m} q^{n}(p-1)(q-$ $1) / e$, this proves the first part of 1). Similarly, we can prove the second part of 1 ).

$$
D_{0}^{(i, j)}=p^{i} q^{j} D_{0}=\left\{p^{i} q^{j}\left(g^{k}+h p q\right) \mid k=0,1, \cdots, R-1 ; h=0,1, \ldots, p^{m-i} q^{n-j}-1\right\}
$$

$$
D_{0}^{(i, n+1)}=p^{i} q^{n+1} Z_{N}^{*}=\left\{p^{i} q^{n+1}\left(g^{k}+h p\right) \mid k=0,1, \cdots, p-2, h=0,1, \ldots, p^{m-i}-1\right\}
$$

$$
D_{0}^{(m+1, j)}=p^{m+1} q^{j} Z_{N}^{*}=\left\{p^{m+1} q^{j}\left(g^{k}+h q\right) \mid k=0,1, \cdots, q-2, h=0,1, \ldots, q^{n-j}-1\right\}
$$

2) Since $\eta: p^{i} q^{n+1} Z_{N}^{*} \rightarrow p^{i} Z_{p^{m+1}}^{*} \times\{0\}$ is a bijective map and by [9] $p^{i} Z_{p^{m+1}}^{*}=\left\{p^{i}\left(g^{k}+h p\right) \mid k=\right.$ $\left.0,1, \ldots, p-2, h=0,1, \ldots, p^{m-i}-1\right\}$, we prove 2$)$. Similarly, we can prove 3).
Let $\alpha$ be a primitive $N$ th root of unity in an extension of $G F(2)$. Then by Blahut's theorem, the linear complexity of the sequence $s$ defined as (1.5) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=N-\left|\left\{t \mid s\left(\alpha^{t}\right)=0, t=0,1, \ldots, N-1\right\}\right| \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s(x)=s_{0}+s_{1} x+\cdots+s_{N-1} x^{N-1}$ is the characteristic polynomial of the sequence $s$. So the linear complexity of the sequence $s$ reduces to counting the number of roots of $s(x)$ in the set $\left\{\alpha^{t} \mid t=0,1, \ldots, N-1\right\}$.

To explore the roots of the polynomial $s(x)$, we need the following auxiliary polynomials for $i \leq m, j \leq n$

$$
\begin{gather*}
s_{i, j}(x)=\sum_{l \in D_{0}^{(i, j)}} x^{l}=\sum_{k=0}^{R-1} x^{p^{i} q^{j} g^{p^{k}}} \sum_{h=0}^{m-i} q^{n-j}-1 \\
p^{p^{i+1} q^{j+1} h}  \tag{2.2}\\
s_{i, n+1}(x)=\sum_{l \in D_{0}^{(i, n+1)}} x^{l}=\sum_{k=0}^{p-2} x^{p^{i} q^{n+1} g^{k}} \sum_{h=0}^{p^{m-i}-1} x^{p^{i+1} q^{n+1} h} \\
s_{m+1, j}(x)=\sum_{l \in D_{0}^{(m+1, j)}} x^{l}=\sum_{k=0}^{q-2} x^{p^{m+1} q^{j} g^{q^{k}}} \sum_{h=0}^{n-j}-1 \\
p^{p^{m+1} q^{j+1} h} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $D_{k}^{(i, j)}=y^{k} D_{0}^{(i, j)}$ for $i \leq m$ and $j \leq n$, by the definition of $s$ as (1.5)

$$
\begin{align*}
s\left(\alpha^{t}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{k \in I_{i, j}} s_{i, j}\left(\alpha^{y^{k} t}\right) & +\sum_{i=0}^{m} \delta_{i, n+1} s_{i, n+1}\left(\alpha^{t}\right) \\
& +\sum_{j=0}^{n+1} \delta_{m+1, j} s_{m+1, j}\left(\alpha^{t}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\sum_{k \in I_{i, j}} s_{i, j}\left(\alpha^{y^{k} t}\right)=0$ if $I_{i, j}=\emptyset, i \leq m, j \leq n$, and for $i \leq m$ and $j \leq n+1$,

$$
\delta_{i, n+1}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
1, & \text { if } I_{i, n+1}=\{0\},  \tag{2.4}\\
0, & \text { if } I_{i, n+1}=\emptyset,
\end{array} \delta_{m+1, j}= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } I_{m+1, j}=\{0\} \\
0, & \text { if } I_{m+1, j}=\emptyset .\end{cases}\right.
$$

Lemma 2.2: For integers $h$ and $t$, we have equalities

$$
s_{i, j}\left(\alpha^{t g^{h}}\right)=s_{i, j}\left(\alpha^{t}\right), i \leq m+1, j \leq n+1
$$

Proof: Since $g^{h} D_{0}^{(i, j)}=D_{0}^{(i, j)}$ for $i \leq m+1$ and $j \leq$ $n+1$, we prove Lemma 2.2.

Lemma 2.3: Let $p \nmid t$ and $q \nmid t$. Suppose that $i \leq m, j \leq n$, and $i+j \leq m+n-1$. Then, $s_{i, j}\left(\alpha^{t}\right)=0$.

Proof: Since $\alpha$ is a $p^{m+1} q^{n+1}$ th primitive root of unity, we have
$0=\alpha^{p^{m+1} q^{n+1}}-1=$
$\left(\alpha^{p^{i} q^{j}}-1\right)\left(1+\alpha^{p^{i} q^{j}}+\alpha^{2 p^{i} q^{j}}+\cdots+\alpha^{\left(p^{m+1-i} q^{n+1-j}-1\right) p^{i} q^{j}}\right)$ for $i+j \leq m+n+1$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{h=0}^{p^{m+1-i} q^{n+1-j}-1} \alpha^{h p^{i} q^{j}}=0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $p \nmid t$ and $q \nmid t, \alpha^{t}$ is also a $p^{m+1} q^{n+1}$ th primitive root of unity. If $i \leq m, j \leq n$, and $i+j \leq m+n-1$, then by (2.2) and (2.5), $s_{i, j}\left(\alpha^{\bar{t}}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{\bar{R}-1} \alpha^{t p^{i} q^{j} g^{k}} \sum_{h=0}^{p^{m-i} q^{n-j}-1} \alpha^{t p^{i+1} q^{j+1} h}=$ 0 .

Lemma 2.4: Let $p \nmid t, q \nmid t, 0 \leq u \leq m+1$, and $0 \leq v \leq$ $n+1$.

1) Suppose that $i \leq m, j \leq n$; then
$s_{i, j}\left(\alpha^{t p^{u} q^{v}}\right)= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if either } u<m-i \text { or } v<n-j \\ s_{m, n}\left(\alpha^{t}\right), & \text { if } u=m-i, v=n-j \\ (q-1) / e, & \text { if } u=m-i, v>n-j \\ (p-1) / e, & \text { if } u>m-i, v=n-j \\ 0, & \text { if } u>m-i, v>n-j .\end{cases}$
2) Suppose that $i \leq m, j=n+1$; then

$$
s_{i, n+1}\left(\alpha^{t p^{u} q^{v}}\right)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } u=m-i \\ 0, & \text { if } u \neq m-i\end{cases}
$$

3) Suppose that $i=m+1, j \leq n$, then

$$
s_{m+1, j}\left(\alpha^{t p^{u} q^{v}}\right)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } v=n-j \\ 0, & \text { if } v \neq n-j\end{cases}
$$

## Proof:

1) Suppose that $i \leq m, j \leq n$, if $u<m-i$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $v<n-j$; then for any $b \in\left\{0,1, \ldots, p^{m-u-i} q^{n-v-j}-1\right\}$, there exist $p^{u} q^{v}$ elements $h \in\left\{0,1, \ldots, p^{m-i} q^{m-j}-1\right\}$ such that $b \equiv$ $h\left(\bmod p^{m-u-i} q^{n-v-j}\right)$. Hence by (2.2) and (2.5)
$s_{i, j}\left(\alpha^{t p^{u} q^{v}}\right)$
$=p^{u} q^{v} \sum_{k=0}^{R-1} \alpha^{t p^{u+i} q^{v+j} g^{k}} \sum_{b=0}^{p^{m-i-u}} q^{n-j-v}-1 . \alpha^{t p^{i+u+1} q^{j+v+1} b}$
$=0$.
Similarly, if $u<m-i$ and $v \geq n-j$, then we get the same result.
If $u=m_{u+i+1}-i$ and $v=n_{v+j+1}-j$, then by (2.2) and $\alpha^{p^{u+i+1} q^{v+j+1}}=1, s_{i, j}\left(\alpha^{t p^{u} q^{v}}\right) \equiv s_{m, n}\left(\alpha^{t}\right)(\bmod 2)$. If $u=m-i$ and $v>n-j$, then $\alpha^{p^{u+i+1} q^{v+j+1}}=1$ and $\beta=\alpha^{p^{i+u} q^{v+j}}$ is a $p$ th primitive root of unity. For any $c \in\{1, \ldots, p-1\}$, there are $(q-1) / e$ elements $g^{k} \in$ $\left\{g^{k} \mid k=0,1, \ldots, R-1\right\}$ such that $c \equiv g^{k}(\bmod p)$.

Hence by (2.2) and (2.5), $s_{i, j}\left(\alpha^{t p^{u} p^{v}}\right)=p^{m-i} q^{n-j}(q-$ 1) $/ e \sum_{c=1}^{p-1} \beta^{t c} \equiv(q-1) / e(\bmod 2)$. Similarly, if $u>$ $m-i$ and $v=n-j$, then $s_{i, j}\left(\alpha^{t p^{u} p^{v}}\right) \equiv(p-$ 1) $/ e(\bmod 2)$.

If $u>m-i, v>n-j$, then by (2.2) and $\alpha^{p^{u+i}} q^{v+j}=1$, $s_{i, j}\left(\alpha^{p^{u} q^{v} t}\right)=R p^{m-i} q^{n-j} \equiv R \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$.
2) Suppose that $i \leq m$ and $j=n+1$. If $u>m-i$, then by (2.2) and $\alpha^{t p^{u+i} q^{v+n+1}}=1, s_{i, n+1}\left(\alpha^{t p^{u} q^{v}}\right)=$ $(p-1) p^{m-i} \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$.
If $u=m-i$, then $\alpha^{p^{u+i+1} q^{v+n+1}}=1$ and $\alpha^{p^{u+i} q^{v+n+1}}$ is a $p$ th primitive root of unity. Hence by (2.2) and (2.5), $s_{i, n+1}\left(\alpha^{t p^{u} q^{v}}\right)=p^{m-i} \sum_{k=0}^{p-2} \alpha^{t p^{u+i} q^{v+n+1} g^{k}} \equiv$ $1(\bmod 2)$.
If $u<m-i$, then for any $b \in\left\{0,1, \ldots, p^{m-u-i}-1\right\}$, there exist $p^{u}$ elements $h \in\left\{0,1, \ldots, p^{m-i}-1\right\}$ such that $b \equiv h\left(\bmod \quad p^{m-i-u}\right)$. Hence by (2.2) and (2.5), $s_{i, n+1}\left(\alpha^{t p^{u} q^{v}}\right) \quad=$ $p^{u} \sum_{k=0}^{p-2} \alpha^{t p^{u+i} q^{v+n+1} g^{k}} \sum_{b=0}^{p^{m-u-i}-1} \alpha^{t p^{u+i+1} q^{v+n+1} b}=$ 0.
3) The proof is similar to that for (2).

By the previous lemmas, we know that the computation of the linear complexity of the sequence $s$ turns into the computation of the values of $s_{m, n}(x)$ for the generalized cyclotomic sequence.

We know that $g$ is also a common primitive root of both $p$ and $q$. Let $H_{0}=(g)$ be a subgroup of the multiplicative group $Z_{p q}^{*}$. Let us introduce the polynomial $T(x)=\sum_{l \in H_{0}} x^{l}$.

Let $\beta=\alpha^{p^{m}} q^{n}$ be a $p q$ th primitive root of unity in an extension field of $G F(2)$. Then we have $s_{m, n}\left(\alpha^{t}\right)=T\left(\beta^{t}\right)$.

For the computation of the linear complexity of the sequence $s$ defined as (1.5), we need the following notations. For $0 \leq u \leq$ $m$ and $0 \leq v \leq n$, set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{u, v}=\left|\left\{k \mid \sum_{l \in I_{u, v}} T\left(\beta^{y^{k+l}}\right)=0, k=0,1, \ldots, e-1\right\}\right| \\
& F_{u, v}=\left|\left\{k \mid \sum_{l \in I_{u, v}} T\left(\beta^{y^{k+l}}\right)=1, k=0,1, \ldots, e-1\right\}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Set for $0 \leq u \leq m$ and $0 \leq v \leq n$

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{u, v}= & \frac{q-1}{e} \sum_{j=v+1}^{n}\left|I_{u, j}\right|+\frac{p-1}{e} \sum_{i=u+1}^{m}\left|I_{i, v}\right| \\
& +\delta_{u, n+1}+\delta_{m+1, v}+\delta_{m+1, n+1}  \tag{2.6}\\
\sigma_{u, n+1}= & \frac{q-1}{e} \sum_{j=0}^{n}\left|I_{u, j}\right|+\delta_{u, n+1}+\delta_{m+1, n+1} \\
\sigma_{m+1, v}= & \frac{p-1}{e} \sum_{i=0}^{m}\left|I_{i, v}\right|+\delta_{m+1, v}+\delta_{m+1, n+1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\delta_{u, n+1}, \delta_{m+1, v}, \delta_{m+1, n+1}$ are defined as (2.4) and $\sum_{j=v+1}^{n}\left|I_{u, j}\right|=0$ if $v=n$. Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{u, v} & = \begin{cases}E_{u, v}, & \text { if } \sigma_{u, v} \equiv 0(\bmod 2) \\
F_{u, v}, & \text { if } \sigma_{u, v} \equiv 1(\bmod 2)\end{cases} \\
A_{u, n+1} & = \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } \sigma_{u, n+1} \equiv 0(\bmod 2) \\
0, & \text { if } \sigma_{u, n+1} \equiv 1(\bmod 2)\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
A_{m+1, v}= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } \sigma_{m+1, v} \equiv 0(\bmod 2) \\ 0, & \text { if } \sigma_{m+1, v} \equiv 1(\bmod 2)\end{cases}
$$

Now we get the most important theorem in this section.
Theorem 2.5: If the sequence $s$ is defined as (1.5), then the linear complexity of the sequence $s$ is

$$
\begin{array}{r}
L=p^{m+1} q^{n+1}-\sum_{u=0}^{m} \sum_{v=0}^{n} A_{u, v} p^{u} q^{v} R-\sum_{u=0}^{m} A_{u, n+1} p^{u}(p-1) \\
-\sum_{v=0}^{n} A_{m+1, v} q^{v}(q-1)-\delta
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\delta= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } I_{m+1, n+1}=\{0\}  \tag{2.7}\\ 1, & \text { if } I_{m+1, n+1}=\emptyset\end{cases}
$$

Proof: If any $t=p^{u} q^{v} y^{k} g^{h} \in D_{k}^{(u, v)}$ for $0 \leq u \leq m+1$, $0 \leq v \leq n+1$ and $0 \leq k \leq e-1$, then by Lemma 2.2 and (2.2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
s\left(\alpha^{p^{u} q^{v} y^{k} g^{h}}\right) & =\sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{l \in I_{i, j}} s_{i, j}\left(\alpha^{p^{u} q^{v} y^{k+l}}\right) \\
& +\sum_{i=0}^{m} \delta_{i, n+1} s_{i, n+1}\left(\alpha^{p^{u} q^{v}}\right) \\
& +\sum_{j=0}^{n} \delta_{m+1, j} s_{m+1, j}\left(\alpha^{p^{u} q^{v}}\right)+\delta_{m+1, n+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $0 \leq u \leq m$ and $0 \leq v \leq n$, then by Lemma 2.4

$$
\begin{align*}
s\left(\alpha^{t}\right) & =\sum_{l \in I_{m-u, n-v}} s_{m, n}\left(\alpha^{y^{k+l}}\right)+\sigma_{m-u, n-v} \\
& =\sum_{l \in I_{m-u, n-v}} T\left(\beta^{y^{k+l}}\right)+\sigma_{m-u, n-v} \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

We conclude that $s\left(\alpha^{t}\right)=0$ if and only if $\sum_{l \in I_{m-u, n-v}} T\left(\beta^{y^{k+l}}\right) \equiv \sigma_{m-u, n-v}(\bmod 2)$. Hence, the order of set $\left\{t \in \cup_{k=0}^{e-1} p^{u} q^{v} D_{k} \mid s\left(\alpha^{t}\right)=0\right\}$ is $\quad A_{m-u, n-v} p^{m-u} q^{n-v} R$, so the order of set $\left\{t \quad \in \quad \cup_{u=0}^{m} \quad \cup_{v=0}^{n} \quad p^{u} q^{v} Z_{N}^{*} \mid s\left(\alpha^{t}\right) \quad=\quad 0\right\} \quad$ is $\sum_{u=0}^{m} \sum_{v=0}^{n} A_{u, v} p^{u} q^{v} R$.

If $u=m+1$ and $v \leq n$, then by (2.2) and Lemma 2.4
$s\left(\alpha^{t}\right)=\frac{p-1}{e} \sum_{i=0}^{m}\left|I_{i, n-v}\right|+\delta_{m+1, n-v}+\delta_{m+1, n+1}=\sigma_{m+1, n-v}$.
We conclude that $s\left(\alpha^{t}\right)=0$ if and only if $\sigma_{m+1, n-v} \equiv$ $0(\bmod 2)$. Hence, the order of set $\left\{t \in p^{m+1} q^{v} Z_{N}^{*} \mid s\left(\alpha^{t}\right)=0\right\}$ is $A_{m+1, n-v} q^{n-v}(q-1)$, so the order of set $\{t \in$ $\left.\cup_{v=0}^{n} p^{m+1} q^{v} Z_{N}^{*} \mid s\left(\alpha^{t}\right)=0\right\}$ is $\sum_{v=0}^{n} A_{m+1, v} q^{v}(q-1)$.

If $u \leq m$ and $v=n+1$, then by (2.2) and Lemma 2.4
$s\left(\alpha^{t}\right)=\frac{q-1}{e} \sum_{j=0}^{m}\left|I_{m-u, j}\right|+\delta_{m-u, n+1}+\delta_{m+1, n+1}=\sigma_{m-u, n+1}$.
Similarly, the order of set $\left\{t \in \cup_{u=0}^{m} p^{u} q^{n+1} Z_{N}^{*} \mid s\left(\alpha^{t}\right)=0\right\}$ is $\sum_{u=0}^{m} A_{u, n+1} p^{u}(p-1)$.

If $u=m+1$ and $v=n+1$, then we conclude that $s\left(\alpha^{0}\right)=s(1)=0$ if and only if $\delta_{m+1, n+1}=0$ if and only if $I_{m+1, n+1}=\emptyset$.

TABLE I

| even |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | $\mathfrak{2}$ | 3 |
| 0 | $A$ | $B$ | $C$ | $D$ |
| 1 | $E$ | $E$ | $D$ | $B$ |
| 2 | $A$ | $E$ | $A$ | $E$ |
| 3 | $E$ | $D$ | $B$ | $E$ |

TABLE II

\[

\]

Hence by the definition of $E_{u, v}, F_{u, v}, A_{u, v}, \delta$, we get the linear complexity of the sequence defined as (1.5).

## III. Generalized Cyclotomic Sets of Order 4

In this section, we will assume that $\operatorname{gcd}(p-1, q-1)=e=$ 4 and $g$ is a primitive root of $p$ and $q$. We will generalize the results from [8] and give values of Gauss periods of Whiteman's generalized cyclotomy of order 4 over $G F(2)$. Moreover, we determine $b$ up to sign in Whiteman's generalized cyclotomic numbers of order 4 if $p \equiv q \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$.

Since $\operatorname{gcd}(p-1, q-1)=4$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{ord}_{p q}(g) & =\operatorname{lcm}\left(\operatorname{ord}_{p}(g), \operatorname{ord}_{q}(g)\right)=\operatorname{lcm}(p-1, q-1) \\
& =\frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{4}=R .
\end{aligned}
$$

Whiteman [15] defined generalized cyclotomic classes

$$
\begin{array}{r}
H_{i}=\left\{g^{s} y^{i}: s=0,1, \ldots, R-1\right\}, i=0,1,2,3,  \tag{3.1}\\
y \equiv g(\bmod p), y \equiv 1(\bmod q) .
\end{array}
$$

And we have $Z_{p q}^{*}=H_{0} \cup H_{1} \cup H_{2} \cup H_{3}$.
The corresponding generalized cyclotomic numbers of order 4 are defined by

$$
(i, j)=\left|\left(H_{i}+1\right) \cap H_{j}\right|, \text { for all } i, j=0,1,2,3 .
$$

By Gauss's theorem, there are exactly two representations over $\mathbb{Z}$
$p q=a^{2}+4 b^{2}, p q=a^{\prime 2}+4 b^{\prime 2}, a \equiv a^{\prime} \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$.
Lemma 3.1: The 16 cyclotomic numbers $(i, j), i, j=$ $0,1,2,3$, depend solely upon one of the two decompositions in (3.2).

If $(p-1)(q-1) / 16$ is even, then in Table I $8 A=-a+$ $2 M+3,8 B=-a-4 b+2 M-1,8 C=3 a+2 M-1$, $8 D=-a+4 b+2 M-1,8 E=a+2 M+1$, where $a, b$ is defined as (3.2) and $M=\frac{(p-2)(q-2)-1}{4}$.

If $(p-1)(q-1) / 16$ is odd, then in Table II $8 A=3 a+2 M+5$, $8 B=-a+4 b+2 M+1,8 C=-a+2 M+1,8 D=$ $-a-4 b+2 M+1,8 E=a+2 M-1$.
In fact, $\frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{16}$ is even if and only if $p \equiv q+4(\bmod 8)$; $\frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{16}$ is odd if and only if $p \equiv q \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$.

Lemma 3.2: Let $m_{1}, m_{2}$ be two positive integers. The system of congruences

$$
y \equiv t_{1}\left(\bmod m_{1}\right), \quad y \equiv t_{2}\left(\bmod m_{2}\right)
$$

has solutions if and only if

$$
\operatorname{gcd}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \mid t_{1}-t_{2}
$$

Proof: See [13, Theorem 2.9].
Lemma 3.3:

1) $-1 \in H_{0}$ if and only if $p \equiv q \equiv 5(\bmod 8) ;-1 \in H_{2}$ if and only if $p \equiv q+4(\bmod 8)$.
2) $2 \in H_{0} \cup H_{2}$ if and only if $p \equiv q \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$, and $2 \in H_{1} \cup H_{3}$ if and only if $p \equiv q+4(\bmod 8)$.
3) Let $p \equiv q \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \equiv g^{t_{1}}(\bmod p), 2 \equiv g^{t_{2}}(\bmod q) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $2 \in H_{0}$ if and only only if $4 \mid t_{1}-t_{2}$; in other words, $2 \in H_{2}$ if and only if $4+t_{1}-t_{2}$.

## Proof:

1) Since $g$ is a primitive root of $p$ and $q,-1 \equiv g^{t_{1}}(\bmod p)$ and $-1 \equiv g^{t_{2}}(\bmod q)$.
If $p \equiv q \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$, then $2 \| t_{1}$ and $2 \| t_{2}($ see $[10])$, so $4 \mid t_{1}-t_{2}$. Hence, there is $k \in Z$ such that $k \equiv$ $t_{1}(\bmod p-1)$ and $k \equiv t_{2}(\bmod q-1)$, so by Lemma $3.2-1 \equiv g^{k}(\bmod p q)$ and $-1 \in H_{0}$. If $p \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$ and $q \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$, then $4 \mid t_{1}$ and $2 \| t_{2}$, so $4 \mid t_{1}-t_{2}-2$. Hence, there exists $k \in Z$ such that $k \equiv t_{1}-2(\bmod p-$ 1) and $k \equiv t_{2}(\bmod q-1)$. Thus by Lemma $3.2-1 \equiv$ $y^{2} g^{k}(\bmod p q)$ and $-1 \in H_{2}$, where $y$ is defined as (3.1). The converse is straightforward.
2) Let $2 \equiv g^{t_{1}}(\bmod p)$ and $2 \equiv g^{t_{2}}(\bmod q)$. If $p \equiv q \equiv$ $5(\bmod 8)$, then $2 \nmid t_{1}$ and $2 \nmid t_{2}$, so $2 \mid t_{1}-t_{2}$. Similarly, we have $2 \in H_{0} \cup H_{2}$. If $p \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$ and $q \equiv$ $5(\bmod 8)$, then $2 \mid t_{1}$ and $2 \nmid t_{2}$, so $2 \nmid t_{1}-t_{2}$. Similarly, we have $2 \in H_{1} \cup H_{3}$. The converse is straightforward.
3) Since $p \equiv q \equiv 5(\bmod 8), t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ are odd in (3.3), so $2 \mid t_{1}-t_{2}$. By Lemma 3.2, we conclude that $4 \mid t_{1}-t_{2}$ if and only if there is $k \in Z$ such that $k \equiv t_{1}(\bmod p-1)$ and $k \equiv t_{2}(\bmod q-1)$ if and only if $2 \equiv g^{k}(\bmod p q)$ and $2 \in H_{0}$. Moreover, we have that $4 \nmid t_{1}-t_{2}$ if and only if $4 \mid t_{1}-t_{2}-2$ if and only if there is $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $k \equiv t_{1}-2(\bmod p-1)$ and $k \equiv t_{2}(\bmod q-1)$ if and only if $2 \equiv y^{2} g^{k}(\bmod p q)$ and $2 \in H_{2}$, where $y$ is defined as (3.1).

We define
$P=\{p, 2 p, \ldots,(q-1) p\}, Q=\{q, 2 q, \cdots,(p-1) q\}$.
Lemma 3.4: For each $\omega \in P \cup Q$

$$
\left|H_{i} \cap\left(H_{j}+\omega\right)\right|= \begin{cases}\frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{16}, & \text { if } i \neq j \\ \frac{(p-1)(q-5)}{16}, & \text { if } i=j, p \mid \omega \\ \frac{(p-5)(q-1)}{16}, & \text { if } i=j, q \mid \omega\end{cases}
$$

Proof: See [15, Lemmas 2 and 4].

Lemma 3.5: Let $p \equiv q \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$. Then there are exactly two representations over $\mathbb{Z}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
p q=a^{2}+4 b^{2}={a^{\prime}}^{2}+4{b^{\prime}}^{2}, a \equiv a^{\prime} \equiv 1(\bmod 4) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where one of $b$ and $b^{\prime}$ is divided by 4 and another is exactly divided by 2.

Proof: Let $p=x_{1}^{2}+4 y_{1}^{2}$ and $q=x_{2}^{2}+4 y_{2}^{2}, x_{j}, y_{j} \in$ $Z, j=1,2$; then $2 \nmid y_{j}, j=1,2$ by $p \equiv q \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$. Hence, $p q=a^{2}+4 b^{2}={a^{\prime}}^{2}+4{b^{\prime}}^{2}, b=x_{1} y_{2}+x_{2} y_{1}$, and $b^{\prime}=x_{1} y_{2}-x_{2} y_{1}$, where one of $b$ and $b^{\prime}$ is divided by 4 and another is exactly divided by 2 .

Let $T(x)=\sum_{l \in H_{0}} x^{l}$ and $\beta$ a $p q$ th primitive root of unity in the extension over $G F(2)$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{4}(\beta)=\left(T(\beta), T\left(\beta^{y}\right), T\left(\beta^{y^{2}}\right), T\left(\beta^{y^{3}}\right)\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y$ is defined as (3.1) or (1.1).
Lemma 3.6: If $p \equiv q+4(\bmod 8)$, then $T_{4}(\beta)=$ $\left(\gamma, \gamma^{2}, \gamma^{4}, \gamma^{8}\right)$ or $T_{4}(\beta)=\left(\gamma, \gamma^{8}, \gamma^{4}, \gamma^{2}\right)$, where $\gamma^{4}+\gamma^{3}+$ $\gamma^{2}+\gamma+1=0$ or $\gamma^{4}+\gamma^{3}+1=0$.

Proof: If $p \equiv q+4(\bmod 8)$, then by Lemma $3.32 \in$ $H_{1} \cup H_{3}$. Suppose that $2 \in H_{1}$; then $T(\beta)^{2}=\sum_{l \in H_{0}} \beta^{2 l}=$ $\sum_{l \in H_{1} 1} \beta^{l}=T\left(\beta^{y}\right)$. Similarly, $T(\beta)^{4}=T\left(\beta^{y^{2}}\right), T(\beta)^{8}=$ $T\left(\beta^{y^{3}}\right)$. Set $\gamma:=T(\beta)$; then $T_{4}(\beta)=\left(\gamma, \gamma^{2}, \gamma^{4}, \gamma^{8}\right)$ satisfies $\gamma+\gamma^{2}+\gamma^{4}+\gamma^{8}=1$, so $\gamma^{4}+\gamma^{3}+\gamma^{2}+\gamma+1=0$ or $\gamma^{4}+\gamma^{3}+1=$ 0 . Suppose that $2 \in H_{3}$; then $T_{4}(\beta)=\left(\gamma, \gamma^{8}, \gamma^{4}, \gamma^{2}\right)$.

The following is a well-known result.
Lemma 3.7: Let $p \equiv q \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$ be distinct primes with $\operatorname{gcd}(p-1, q-1)=4$. Fix $g$ a common primitive root of $p$ and $q$. Then $2 \in H_{0}$ if and only if $T\left(\beta^{y^{i}}\right) \in G F(2), i=$ $0,1,2,3 ; 2 \in H_{2}$ if and only if either $T(\beta), T\left(\beta^{y^{2}}\right) \in G F(2)$ or $T\left(\beta^{y}\right), T\left(\beta^{y^{3}}\right) \in G F(2)$.

Now we give the values of $T_{4}(\beta)$ clearly.
Theorem 3.8: Let $\beta$ be a $p q$ th primitive root of unity. Suppose that the cyclotomic numbers of Lemma 3.1 depend upon the decomposition $p q=a^{2}+4 b^{2}, a \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. Let $T_{4}(\beta)=$ $\left(T(\beta), T\left(\beta^{y}\right), T\left(\beta^{y^{2}}\right), T\left(\beta^{y^{3}}\right)\right)$. Then by a choice of $\beta$ (i.e., a $p q$ th primitive root of unity), we have:

1) $T_{4}(\beta)=(0,0,1,0)$ or $(1,0,0,0)$, if $a \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$ and $4 \mid b ;$
2) $T_{4}(\beta)=(0,1,1,1)$ or $(1,1,0,1)$, if $a \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$ and $4 \mid b ;$
3) $T_{4}(\beta)=(\mu, 1, \mu+1,1)$ or $(\mu+1,1, \mu, 1)$, if $a \equiv$ $1(\bmod 8)$ and $2 \| b$;
4) $T_{4}(\beta)=(\mu, 0, \mu+1,0)$ or $(\mu+1,0, \mu, 0)$, if $a \equiv$ $5(\bmod 8)$ and $2 \| b$;
5) $T_{4}(\beta)=\left(\gamma, \gamma^{2}, \gamma^{4}, \gamma^{8}\right)$ or $\left(\gamma, \gamma^{8}, \gamma^{4}, \gamma^{2}\right)$, if $b \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$;
where $\mu$ satisfies $\mu^{2}+\mu+1=0$, and $\gamma$ satisfies either $\gamma^{4}+$ $\gamma^{3}+\gamma^{2}+\gamma+1=0$ or $\gamma^{4}+\gamma^{3}+1=0$.

Proof: Set

$$
\Psi_{i}:=T\left(\beta^{y^{i}}\right), i=0,1,2,3 .
$$

If $p \equiv q \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$, then $-1 \in H_{0}$, and then by Lemmas 3.1, 3.3, and 3.4

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{0} \Psi_{2}= & \sum_{l \in H_{0}} \beta^{l} \sum_{m \in H_{2}} \beta^{m}=\sum_{l \in H_{0}} \sum_{m \in H_{2}} \beta^{l-m} \\
= & (2,0) \Psi_{0}+(1,3) \Psi_{1}+(0,2) \Psi_{2}+(3,1) \Psi_{3} \\
& -\frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{8} \\
= & C\left(\Psi_{0}+\Psi_{2}\right)+E\left(\Psi_{1}+\Psi_{3}\right)-\frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{8} \\
= & \frac{-a+1}{4}\left(\Psi_{0}+\Psi_{2}\right)+\frac{a+2 M-1}{8}-\frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{8} \\
= & \frac{-a+1}{4}\left(\Psi_{0}+\Psi_{2}\right)+\frac{-4 b^{2}-a^{2}+2 a-1}{16} \\
\Psi_{1} \Psi_{3}= & \sum_{l \in H_{1}} \beta^{l} \sum_{m \in H_{3}} \beta^{m}=\sum_{l \in H_{1}} \sum_{m \in H_{3}} \beta^{l-m} \\
= & (3,1) \Psi_{0}+(2,0) \Psi_{1}+(1,3) \Psi_{2}+(0,2) \Psi_{3} \\
& -\frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{8} \\
= & E\left(\Psi_{0}+\Psi_{2}\right)+C\left(\Psi_{1}+\Psi_{3}\right)-\frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{8} \\
= & \frac{-a+1}{4}\left(\Psi_{1}+\Psi_{3}\right)+\frac{-4 b^{2}-a^{2}+2 a-1}{16} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $p \equiv q \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$, then by Lemma 3.5 set $a=4 s+1$, $b=2 t, s, t \in Z$, and then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{0} \Psi_{2}=s\left(\Psi_{0}+\Psi_{2}\right)-t^{2}-s^{2}  \tag{3.6}\\
& \Psi_{1} \Psi_{3}=s\left(\Psi_{1}+\Psi_{3}\right)-t^{2}-s^{2} \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma 3.3, we have $2 \in H_{0} \cup H_{2}$ and by Lemma 3.7 we have $\Psi_{0}+\Psi_{2}, \Psi_{1}+\Psi_{3} \in G F(2)$. Since $\Psi_{0}+\Psi_{1}+\Psi_{2}+\Psi_{3}=$ 1 , without loss of generality (i.e., by a choice of $\beta$ ) we may assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{0}+\Psi_{2}=1, \Psi_{1}+\Psi_{3}=0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by (3.6) and (3.7), we have
$\Psi_{0} \Psi_{2}=s-t^{2}-s^{2} \equiv t(\bmod 2), \Psi_{1} \Psi_{3}=-t^{2}-s^{2} \equiv s+t(\bmod 2)$.
Solving systems (3.8) and (3.9), we obtain:

1) $T_{4}(\beta)=(0,0,1,0)$ or $(1,0,0,0)$, if $s \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$ and $t \equiv 0(\bmod 2) ;$
2) $T_{4}(\beta)=(0,1,1,1)$ or $(1,1,0,1)$, if $s \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$ and $t \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$
3) $T_{4}(\beta)=(\mu, 1, \mu+1,1)$ or $(\mu+1,1, \mu, 1)$, if $s \equiv$ $0(\bmod 2)$ and $t \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$;
4) $T_{4}(\beta)=(\mu, 0, \mu+1,0)$ or $(\mu+1,0, \mu, 0)$, if $s \equiv$ $1(\bmod 2)$ and $t \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$;
where $\mu$ is a root of the equation $x^{2}+x+1=0$.
If $p \equiv q+5(\bmod 8)$, then $b$ is odd and (5) is clear from Lemma 3.6.

Corollary 3.9: Let $p \equiv q \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$. Fix a common primitive root $g$ of $p$ and $q$. Then $2 \in H_{0}$ if and only if the generalized cyclotomic numbers of Lemma 3.1 depend on the decomposition $N=a^{2}+4 b^{2}$ with $4 \mid b ; 2 \in H_{2}$ if and only if the generalized cyclotomic numbers depend on the decomposition $N=a^{2}+4 b^{2}$ with $2 \| b$.

Proof: It is clear from Lemma 3.7 and Theorem 3.8

By Corollary 3.9 and Lemma 3.3, we can determine $b$ up to sign in Whiteman's generalized cyclotomic numbers of order 4 in the case $p \equiv q \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$ if fixing a common primitive root $g$ of $p$ and $q$.

## IV. ApPLICATIONS

## A. Sequence of Period $p q$

We can use the method in Sections II and III to compute the linear complexity of the generalized cyclotomic $p q$-periodic binary sequence of order 4 in [1]. But we can not use the method in [1] to calculate the linear complexity of the following sequence.

The generalized cyclotomic $p q$-periodic binary sequence $s$ of order 4 with respect to the primes $p$ and $q$ is defined as

$$
s_{i}= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } i(\bmod N) \in \Omega  \tag{4.1}\\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

where $P=\{p, 2 p, \ldots,(q-1) p\}$ and $\Omega=P \cup H_{0}$.
Now we compute the linear complexity $L$ and the minimal polynomial $m(x)$ of Whiteman's generalized cyclotomic sequence of order 4 . Let $\beta$ be a $p q$ th primitive root of unity in an extension over $G F(2)$. Set

$$
d_{i}(x)=\prod_{l \in H_{i}}\left(x-\beta^{l}\right), i=0,1,2,3
$$

By Theorem 2.5 and 3.8, we can get the following result. Theorem 4.1:
(I) If $p \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$ and $q \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$, then

$$
L=p q-1, \quad m(x)=\frac{x^{p q}-1}{x-1}
$$

(II) If $p \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$ and $q \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$, then

$$
L=p q-p-q+1, \quad m(x)=\frac{\left(x^{p q}-1\right)(x-1)}{\left(x^{p}-1\right)\left(x^{q}-1\right)}
$$

(III) Let $2 \in H_{0}$ and $p q \equiv 1(\bmod 16)$. Then

$$
L=\frac{(p-1)(3 q+1)}{4}, \quad m(x)=\frac{x^{p q}-1}{d_{0}(x)\left(x^{q}-1\right)}
$$

(IV) Let $2 \in H_{0}$ and $p q \equiv 9(\bmod 16)$. Then

$$
L=\frac{(p-1)(q+3)}{4}, \quad m(x)=\frac{\left(x^{p}-1\right) d_{0}(x)}{x-1}
$$

(V) Let $2 \in H_{2}$ and $p q \equiv 1(\bmod 16)$. Then

$$
L=\frac{(p-1)(q+1)}{2}, \quad m(x)=\frac{x^{p q}-1}{d_{1}(x) d_{3}(x)\left(x^{q}-1\right)} .
$$

(VI) Let $2 \in H_{2}$ and $p q \equiv 9(\bmod 16)$. Then

$$
L=p q-q, \quad m(x)=\frac{x^{p q}-1}{x^{q}-1}
$$

Proof: By Theorems 2.5 and 3.8, we compute the linear complexity of the sequence $s$ defined as (4.1). About Theorem
2.5, we know that $n=m=0, D_{0}^{(0,0)}=H_{0}, D_{0}^{(1,0)}=p Z_{p q}^{*}=$ $P, \delta_{1,0}=1, \delta_{0,1}=0, \delta_{1,1}=0, \sigma_{0,0}=1, \sigma_{1,0} \equiv \frac{p-1}{4}+$ $1(\bmod 2), \sigma_{0,1} \equiv \frac{q-1}{4}(\bmod 2), \delta=1$.
(I) If $p \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$ and $q \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$, then $\sigma_{1,0} \equiv$ $1(\bmod 2), \sigma_{0,1} \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$, and $E_{0,0}=F_{0,0}=0$ by Theorem 3.8. Hence, $A_{0,0}=A_{1,0}=A_{0,1}=0$, so by Theorem 2.5

$$
L=p q-1, m(x)=\frac{x^{p q}-1}{x-1}
$$

(II) If $p \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$ and $q \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$, then $\sigma_{1,0} \equiv$ $0(\bmod 2), \sigma_{0,1} \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$, and $E_{0,0}=F_{0,0}=0$. Hence, $A_{0,0}=0, A_{1,0}=A_{0,1}=1$, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
L & =p q-(p-1)-(q-1)-1=p q-p-q+1 \\
m(x) & =\frac{\left(x^{p q}-1\right)(x-1)}{\left(x^{p}-1\right)\left(x^{q}-1\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

(III) If $2 \in H_{0}$ and $p q \equiv 1(\bmod 16)$, then $\sigma_{1,0} \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$, $\sigma_{0,1} \equiv 1(\bmod 2), E_{0,0}=3$, and $F_{0,0}=1$. Hence, $A_{0,0}=1, A_{1,0}=1$, and $A_{0,1}=0$, so
$L=p q-\frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{4}-(q-1)-1=\frac{(p-1)(3 q+1)}{4}$.
Choosing $\beta$ with $T_{4}(\beta)=(1,0,0,0)$ in Theorem 3.8 (1), we have

$$
m(x)=\frac{x^{p q}-1}{d_{0}(x)\left(x^{q}-1\right)}
$$

(IV) If $2 \in H_{0}$ and $p q \equiv 9(\bmod 16)$, then $\sigma_{1,0} \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$, $\sigma_{0,1} \equiv 1(\bmod 2), F_{0,0}=3$. Hence, $A_{0,0}=3, A_{1,0}=$ 1 , and $A_{0,1}=0$, so
$L=p q-3 \frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{4}-(q-1)-1=\frac{(p-1)(q+3)}{4}$.
Choosing $\beta$ with $T_{4}(\beta)=(0,1,1,1)$ in Theorem 3.8 (2), we have

$$
m(x)=\frac{x^{p q}-1}{d_{1}(x) d_{2}(x) d_{3}(x)\left(x^{q}-1\right)}=\frac{\left(x^{p}-1\right) d_{0}(x)}{x-1}
$$

(V) If $2 \in H_{2}$ and $p q \equiv 1(\bmod 16)$, then $\sigma_{1,0} \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$, $\sigma_{0,1} \equiv 1(\bmod 2), F_{0,0}=2$. Hence, $A_{0,0}=2, A_{1,0}=$ 1 , and $A_{0,1}=0$, so
$L=p q-2 \frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{4}-(q-1)-1=\frac{(p-1)(q+1)}{2}$.
Choosing $\beta$ with $T_{4}(\beta)=(\mu, 1, \mu+1,1)$ in Theorem 3.8 (3), we have

$$
m(x)=\frac{x^{p q}-1}{d_{1}(x) d_{3}(x)\left(x^{q}-1\right)}
$$

(VI) If $2 \in H_{2}$ and $p q \equiv 9(\bmod 16)$, then $\sigma_{1,0} \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$, $\sigma_{0,1} \equiv 1(\bmod 2), F_{0,0}=0$. Hence, $A_{0,0}=0, A_{1,0}=$ $1, A_{0,1}=0$, so
$L=p q-(q-1)-1=p q-q, m(x)=\frac{x^{p q}-1}{x^{q}-1}$.
B. Sequence of Period $N=p^{m+1} q^{n+1}$

Suppose $\Omega=\bigcup_{i=0}^{m} \bigcup_{j=0}^{n} p^{i} q^{j} D_{0}^{(i, j)}(m>0, n>0)$ and

$$
s_{i}= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } i(\bmod N) \in \Omega  \tag{4.2}\\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Then by Theorem 2.5, we get the linear complexity of the sequence in (4.2).

Theorem 4.2: Let $m_{2}$ and $n_{2}$ be the largest even integers such that $m_{2} \leq m$ and $n_{2} \leq n$, respectively. Let $m_{1}$ and $n_{1}$ be the largest odd integers such that $m_{1} \leq m$ and $n_{1} \leq n$, respectively.
(1) Suppose that $p \equiv 1(\bmod 8), q \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$; then

$$
L=\left(p^{m+1}-1\right)\left(q^{n+1}-\delta_{n}\right)
$$

where

$$
\delta_{n}= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } \mathrm{n} \text { is even } \\ 1, & \text { if } n \text { is odd }\end{cases}
$$

(2) Suppose that $p \equiv q \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$.
(I) If $2 \in H_{0}$ and $p q \equiv 1(\bmod 16)$, then see the first equation shown at the bottom of the page.
(II) If $2 \in H_{0}$ and $p q \equiv 9(\bmod 16)$, then see the second equation shown at the bottom of the page.
(III) If $2 \in H_{2}$ and $p q \equiv 1(\bmod 16)$, then see the third equation shown at the bottom of the page.
(IV) If $2 \in H_{2}$ and $p q \equiv 9(\bmod 16)$, then see the fourth equation shown at the bottom of the page.

Proof: By Theorems 2.5 and 3.8, we compute the linear complexity of the sequence. About Theorem 2.5, we know that $\delta_{i, n+1}=0, i=0,1, \ldots, m, \delta_{m+1, j}=0, j=0,1, \ldots, n+1$, $\sigma_{u, v}=\frac{q-1}{4}(n-v)+\frac{p-1}{4}(m-u), \sigma_{u, n+1}=\frac{q-1}{4}(n+1)$, $\sigma_{m+1, v}=\frac{p-1}{4}(m+1)$ for $0 \leq u \leq m, 0 \leq v \leq n$, and $\delta=1$.

1) Since $p \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$ and $q \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$, by Lemma 3.6 we know $E_{u, v}=0=F_{u, v}$, so $A_{u, v}=0$ for $0 \leq u \leq m$ and $0 \leq v \leq n$. By $\sigma_{m+1, v}=\frac{p-1}{4} \sum_{i=0}^{m}\left|I_{i, v}\right| \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$, $\sigma_{u, n+1}=\frac{q-1}{4} \sum_{j=0}^{n}\left|I_{u, j}\right| \equiv n+1(\bmod 2)$. Hence, by Theorem 2.5 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
L & =N-\sum_{v=0}^{n} q^{v}(q-1)-\delta_{n} \sum_{u=0}^{m} p^{u}(p-1)-1 \\
& =\left(p^{m+1}-1\right)\left(q^{n+1}-\delta_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\delta_{n}=1$ if $n$ is odd and $\delta_{n}=0$ if $n$ is even.
2) $\quad$ If $p \equiv q \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$
(I) If $2 \in H_{0}$ and $p q \equiv 1(\bmod 16)$, then for $0 \leq u \leq m$ and $0 \leq v \leq n$, by Theorem 3.8 $E_{u, v}=3$ and $F_{u, v}=1, \sigma_{u, v}=$ $\frac{p-1}{4} \sum_{j=v+1}^{n}\left|I_{u, j}\right|+\frac{q-1}{4} \sum_{i=u+1}^{m}\left|I_{i, v}\right| \equiv$ $m-u+n-v(\bmod 2), \sigma_{u, n+1} \equiv$ $n+1(\bmod 2)$, and $\sigma_{m+1, v} \equiv m+1(\bmod 2)$. Hence, we have
$L=N-\sum_{u=0}^{m} \sum_{v=0}^{n} p^{u} q^{v} R$
$-2 \sum_{m+n-u-v \text { even }} p^{u} q^{v} R-\delta_{m}\left(q^{n+1}-1\right)-\delta_{n}\left(p^{m+1}-1\right)-1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
L & =N-\frac{\left(p^{m+1}-1\right)\left(q^{n+1}-1\right)}{4}-\delta_{m}\left(q^{n+1}-1\right)-\delta_{n}\left(p^{m+1}-1\right)-1 \\
& -\frac{\left(p^{m+2}-p^{m-m_{2}}\right)\left(q^{n+2}-q^{n-n_{2}}\right)+\left(p^{m+1}-p^{m-m_{1}}\right)\left(q^{n+1}-q^{n-n_{1}}\right)}{2(p+1)(q+1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
L & =N-\frac{\left(p^{m+1}-1\right)\left(q^{n+1}-1\right)}{4}-\delta_{m}\left(q^{n+1}-1\right)-\delta_{n}\left(p^{m+1}-1\right)-1 \\
& -\frac{\left(p^{m+2}-p^{m-m_{2}}\right)\left(q^{n+1}-q^{n-n_{1}}\right)+\left(p^{m+1}-p^{m-m_{1}}\right)\left(q^{n+2}-q^{n-n_{2}}\right)}{2(p+1)(q+1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
L & =N-\delta_{m}\left(q^{n+1}-1\right)-\delta_{n}\left(p^{m+1}-1\right)-1 \\
& -\frac{\left(p^{m+2}-p^{m-m_{2}}\right)\left(q^{n+1}-q^{n-n_{1}}\right)+\left(p^{m+1}-p^{m-m_{1}}\right)\left(q^{n+2}-q^{n-n_{2}}\right)}{2(p+1)(q+1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
L & =N-\delta_{m}\left(q^{n+1}-1\right)-\delta_{n}\left(p^{m+1}-1\right)-1 \\
& -\frac{\left(p^{m+2}-p^{m-m_{2}}\right)\left(q^{n+2}-q^{n-n_{2}}\right)+\left(p^{m+1}-p^{m-m_{1}}\right)\left(q^{n+1}-q^{n-n_{1}}\right)}{2(p+1)(q+1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, we have the first equation shown at the bottom of the page. Hence, we prove (I).
(II) If $2 \in H_{0}$ and $p q \equiv 9(\bmod 16)$, then $E_{u, v}=$ 1 and $F_{u, v}=3$ for $0 \leq u \leq m$ and $0 \leq v \leq$ $n$. Similarly, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L=N-\sum_{u=0}^{m} \sum_{v=0}^{n} p^{u} q^{v} R \\
& -2 \sum_{m+n-u-v \text { odd }} p^{u} q^{v} R-\delta_{m}\left(q^{n+1}-1\right)-\delta_{n}\left(p^{m+1}-1\right)-1
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, we have the second equation shown at the bottom of the page. Hence, we prove (II).
(III) If $2 \in H_{2}$ and $p q \equiv 1(\bmod 16)$, then by Theorem $3.8 E_{u, v}=0$ and $F_{u, v}=2$ for $0 \leq u \leq m$ and $0 \leq v \leq n$. Similarly, we have

$$
L=N-2 \sum_{m+n-u-v \text { odd }} p^{u} q^{v} R-\delta_{m}\left(q^{n+1}-1\right)-\delta_{n}\left(p^{m+1}-1\right)-1
$$

So we prove (III).
(IV) If $2 \in H_{0}$ and $p q \equiv 9(\bmod 16)$, then by Theorem $3.8 E_{u, v}=2$ and $F_{u, v}=0$ for $0 \leq u \leq m$ and $0 \leq v \leq n$. Similarly, we have

$$
L=N-2 \sum_{m+n-u-v \text { even }} p^{u} q^{v} R-\delta_{m}\left(q^{n+1}-1\right)-\delta_{n}\left(p^{m+1}-1\right)-1
$$

So we prove (IV).

## V. Open Problem

If $p \equiv q+4(\bmod 8)$, how do Whiteman's generalized cyclotomic numbers of order 4 depend on the two decompositions $p q=a^{2}+4 b^{2}={a^{\prime}}^{2}+4{b^{\prime}}^{2}, a \equiv a^{\prime} \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ ?
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{u=0}^{m} \sum_{v=0}^{n} p^{u} q^{v} R=R \sum_{u=0}^{m} p^{u} \sum_{v=0}^{n} q^{v}=\frac{\left(p^{m+1}-1\right)\left(q^{n+1}-1\right)}{4}, \\
& \sum_{m-u+n-v \text { even }} p^{u} q^{v} R=\sum_{u+v \text { even }} p^{m-u} q^{n-v} R=p^{m} q^{n} R \sum_{u+v \text { even }} p^{-u} q^{-v} \\
= & p^{m} q^{n} R\left[\left(1+p^{-2}+\cdots+p^{-m_{2}}\right)\left(1+q^{-2}+\cdots+q^{-n_{2}}\right)\right. \\
+ & \left.\left(p^{-1}+p^{-3} \cdots+p^{-m_{1}}\right)\left(q^{-1}+q^{-3}+\cdots+q^{-n_{1}}\right)\right] \\
= & \frac{\left(p^{m+2}-p^{m-m_{2}}\right)\left(q^{n+2}-q^{n-n_{2}}\right)+\left(p^{m+1}-p^{m-m_{1}}\right)\left(q^{n+1}-q^{n-n_{1}}\right)}{4(p+1)(q+1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{m-u+n-v \text { odd }} p^{u} q^{v} R=\sum_{u+v \text { odd }} p^{m-u} q^{n-v} R=p^{m} q^{n} R \sum_{u+v \text { odd }} p^{-u} q^{-v} \\
&= p^{m} q^{n} R\left[\left(1+p^{-2}+\cdots+p^{m_{2}}\right)\left(q^{-1}+q^{-3}+\cdots+q^{-n_{1}}\right)\right. \\
&\left.+\left(p^{-1}+p^{-3}+\cdots+p^{-m_{1}}\right)\left(1+q^{-2}+\cdots+q^{-n_{2}}\right)\right] \\
&= \frac{\left(p^{m+2}-p^{m-m_{2}}\right)\left(q^{n+1}-q^{n-n_{1}}\right)+\left(p^{m+1}-p^{m-m_{1}}\right)\left(q^{n+2}-q^{n-n_{2}}\right)}{4(p+1)(q+1)}
\end{aligned}
$$
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