



Title	Effect of applying the new clinical and laboratory standards institute ticarcillin/clavulanic acid, piperacillin, piperacillin/tazobactam and imipenem susceptibility breakpoints for Pseudomonas aeruginosa in Hong Kong
Author(s)	Ho, PL; Chow, KH; Tse, H; Cheng, VCC
Citation	International journal of antimicrobial agents, 2012, v. 40 n. 3, p. 280-281
Issued Date	2012
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/10722/164415
Rights	NOTICE: this is the author's version of a work that was accepted for publication in International journal of antimicrobial agents. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published in International journal of antimicrobial agents, 2012, v. 40 n. 3, p. 280-281. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2012.05.008

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

Effect of applying the new Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute ticarcillin-clavulanate, piperacillin, piperacillin-tazobactam and imipenem susceptibility breakpoints for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in Hong Kong

Pak-Leung Ho*, Kin-Hung Chow, Herman Tse, Vincent C. C. Cheng

Department of Microbiology and Carol Yu Centre for Infection, The University of Hong Kong, Queen Mary hospital, Hong Kong

Correspondence to

Pak-Leung Ho, MD, FRCPath

Department of Microbiology and Carol Yu Centre for Infection, The University of Hong Kong, Queen Mary hospital, Pokfulam Road, Pokfulam, Hong Kong SAR, CHINA.

Tel.: +852-2255 4897; fax: +852-2255 1241.

E-mail address: plho@hkucc.hku.hk

Word count = 568 (excluding references)

27 Sir,

28 The CLSI recently published new interpretive criteria for the anti-pseudomonal
29 penicillins and carbapenems for susceptibility testing of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* [1]. The
30 susceptible breakpoints for piperacillin and piperacillin-tazobactam were lowered from ≥ 18
31 mm (piperacillin component, MIC ≤ 64 $\mu\text{g/ml}$) to ≥ 21 mm (MIC ≤ 16 $\mu\text{g/ml}$); that for
32 ticarcillin-clavulanate and imipenem were lowered from ≥ 15 mm (ticarcillin component, ≤ 64
33 $\mu\text{g/ml}$) to ≥ 24 mm (≤ 16 $\mu\text{g/ml}$) and from ≥ 16 mm (≤ 4 $\mu\text{g/ml}$) to ≥ 19 mm (≤ 2 $\mu\text{g/ml}$),
34 respectively [1,2]. Here, the computerized database from January 2009 to December 2011 in
35 a clinical microbiology laboratory for *P. aeruginosa* was used to assess how implementation
36 of the new interpretive criteria would have affected the susceptibility categorization. In the
37 laboratory, the CLSI's disk diffusion method was used routinely for susceptibility testing of
38 bacteria [3].

39 The results for 11540 *P. aeruginosa* isolates were analysed. Inhibition zone
40 distributions showed that the new susceptibility breakpoints for ticarcillin-clavulanate were
41 close to and larger than the modal value for the bacterial collection. On the other hand, the
42 new susceptibility breakpoints for piperacillin, piperacillin-tazobactam and imipenem
43 remained much smaller than the modal inhibition zone values. The mean (\pm standard
44 deviation) and mode inhibition zone diameters were as follows: piperacillin, 25.9 (± 5.6) and
45 28 mm; piperacillin-tazobactam, 26.4 (± 6.6) and 30 mm; ticarcillin-clavulanate, 20.8 (± 5.4)
46 and 22 mm; and imipenem, 25.0 (± 5.5) and 25 mm. Therefore, implementation of the new
47 interpretive criteria (Table 1) would drastically reduce the susceptibility rate for ticarcillin-
48 clavulanate (-49.4%). The changes in the susceptibility rates for the other three agents were
49 modest: piperacillin (-3.5%), piperacillin-tazobactam (-2.8%) and imipenem (-1.8%). To
50 assess the effect of the new interpretive criteria on isolates from different sources, we further
51 analysed the results according four specimen groups (blood, urine, respiratory and other

52 specimens). At the old interpretive criteria, 83.2-84.1% of the isolates were susceptible to
53 ticarcillin-clavulanate. When the results were interpreted by the new interpretive criteria, the
54 ticarcillin-clavulanate susceptibility rates declined to 26.5-38.0%. The reduction in ticarcillin-
55 clavulanate susceptibility rate was most pronounced for isolates from blood specimens (-
56 56.6%), followed by urine (-55.9%), other specimens (-51.2%) and urine (-45.2%).

57 We submit that the new CLSI breakpoints for ticarcillin-clavulanate are debatable for
58 several reasons. Firstly, for ticarcillin-clavulanate, the same disc content and virtually the
59 same methodology was recommended by the CLSI and European Committee on
60 Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) for testing *P. aeruginosa* [1,4]. According to
61 the EUCAST, the inhibition zone diameter deems to be equivalent to the interpretive
62 breakpoint ≤ 16 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ (ticarcillin component) is ≥ 17 mm. At the breakpoint of ≥ 17 mm,
63 78.3% of the *P. aeruginosa* in this study would be classified as ticarcillin-clavulanate
64 susceptible. Since the disc contents recommended for testing piperacillin and piperacillin-
65 tazobactam by the CLSI and EUCAST are different, our inhibition zone distributions could
66 not be interpreted by the EUCAST breakpoints. Secondly, it has been argued that
67 susceptibility breakpoints should not be set to cut into the wild type inhibition zone (or MIC)
68 distribution. Otherwise, large number of isolates would be interpreted as resistant and many
69 isolates would shift between different interpretation categories when tested by different
70 laboratories or upon retesting by the same laboratory. In our locality, ticarcillin-clavulanate
71 has been widely used for treatment of various types of *P. aeruginosa* infections and clinical
72 failures are uncommon [5]. Implementation of the new CLSI interpretive criteria would mean
73 that very few *P. aeruginosa* isolates would then remain ticarcillin-clavulanate susceptible and
74 clinicians would be led to prescribe other more expensive anti-pseudomonal antimicrobial
75 agents.

76

77

78 **Acknowledgements**

79 The work was supported by research grants from the Research Fund for the Control of
80 Infectious Diseases (RFCID) of the Food and Health Bureau of the Hong Kong SAR
81 Government.

82

83 *Competing interesting:* None to declare.

84 *Ethical approval:* Not required.

85

86

87 **Table 1.** Comparison of the susceptibility rates for 11540 *P. aeruginosa* to selected antimicrobial agents using the M100-S21 and M100-S22

88 CLSI interpretive criteria

Organism and agent	% Susceptible		% Intermediate		% Resistant		Difference in % susceptible ^b
	CLSI-2011 ^a	CLSI-2012 ^a	CLSI-2011	CLSI-2012	CLSI-2011	CLSI-2012	
Piperacillin	92.7	89.2	-	5.7	7.3	5.1	-3.5
Piperacillin-tazobactam	93.9	91.1	-	4.4	6.1	4.4	-2.8
Ticarcillin-clavulanate	83.5	34.1	-	19.8	16.5	46.1	-49.4
Imipenem	91.3	89.5	1.1	8.7	7.6	1.7	-1.8

89 ^aAccording to the interpretive criteria published by the CLSI in January 2011 (M100-S21) [2] and January 2012 (M11-S22) for *P. aeruginosa*.

90 The 2011/2012 **CLSI breakpoints**, i.e. inhibition zone diameters (equivalent MIC), were as follows: piperacillin (with or without tazobactam),
 91 susceptible ≥ 18 mm (≤ 64 $\mu\text{g/ml}$)/ **≥ 21 mm (≤ 16 $\mu\text{g/ml}$)**; intermediate, none/**15-20 mm (32-64 $\mu\text{g/ml}$)**; and resistant, ≤ 17 mm (≥ 128 $\mu\text{g/ml}$)/ **≤ 14**
 92 **mm (≥ 128 $\mu\text{g/ml}$)**; ticarcillin (with or without clavulanate), susceptible, ≥ 15 mm (≤ 64 $\mu\text{g/ml}$)/ **≥ 24 mm (≤ 16 $\mu\text{g/ml}$)**; intermediate, none/**16-23**
 93 **mm (32-64 $\mu\text{g/ml}$)**; and resistant, ≤ 14 mm (≥ 128 $\mu\text{g/ml}$)/ **≤ 15 mm (≥ 128 $\mu\text{g/ml}$)**; and imipenem, susceptible, ≥ 16 mm (4 $\mu\text{g/ml}$)/ **≥ 19 mm (\leq**
 94 **$\mu\text{g/ml}$)**; intermediate, 14-15 mm (8 $\mu\text{g/ml}$)/**16-18 mm (4 $\mu\text{g/ml}$)**; resistant, ≤ 13 mm (≥ 16 $\mu\text{g/ml}$)/ **≤ 15 mm (≥ 8 $\mu\text{g/ml}$)**.

95 ^b*P* value < 0.001 for all comparisons (CLSI 2011 versus 2012).

References

96

97

98 (1) Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance standards for antimicrobial
99 susceptibility testing: twenty-two informational supplement. [M100-S22]. 2011.
100 Wayne, Pa, Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute.

101 (2) Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance standards for antimicrobial
102 susceptibility testing: twenty-first informational supplement. [M100-S21]. 2011.
103 Wayne, Pa, Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute.

104 (3) Ho PL, Lai EL, Chow KH, Cheng VC. Effect of applying the new CLSI imipenem
105 susceptibility breakpoints for *Enterobacteriaceae* in Hong Kong. J Antimicrob
106 Chemother 2011; 66:2671-3.

107 (4) European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. Breakpoint tables for
108 interpretation of MICs and zone diameters, v2.0. Basel, Switzerland: EUCAST. 2012.
109 <http://www.eucast.org/clinical.breakpoints/> [accessed 12 May 2012].

110 (5) Cheng VC, To KK, Li IW, Tang BS, Chan JF, Kwan S et al. Antimicrobial
111 stewardship program directed at broad-spectrum intravenous antibiotics prescription
112 in a tertiary hospital. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2009; 28:1447-56.

113

114

115