

The HKU Scholars Hub

# The University of Hong Kong



Title	Novel self-configurable current-mirror techniques for reducing current imbalance in parallel Light-Emitting Diode (LED) strings
Author(s)	Li, SN; Zhong, WX; Chen, W; Hui, SYR
Citation	IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics, 2012, v. 27 n. 4, p. 2153-2162
Issued Date	2012
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/10722/155739
Rights	IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics. Copyright © IEEE

# Novel Self-Configurable Current-Mirror Techniques for Reducing Current Imbalance in Parallel Light-Emitting Diode (LED) Strings

Si Nan Li, W. X. Zhong, Wu Chen, Member, IEEE, and S. Y. R. Hui, Fellow, IEEE

Abstract—Traditional current-mirror methods require one fixed current reference for controlling other current source or sources. In this paper, a new self-configurable current-mirror method that can dynamically determine the best current branch as the current reference in order to ensure good balance of all parallel current sources is proposed. The operating principle involves a dynamic and self-configurable transistor-based current-balancing circuit that can be operated in saturation or linear mode. In either operating mode, good current balance or sharing among all parallel-connected current sources can be guaranteed. The novel current-balancing circuit does not require a separate power supply for powering their control circuits. The proposal is a modular one that can be expanded to any number of parallel current sources. Its principle has been successfully applied to current balancing of parallel LED strings.

Index Terms—Current balance, current mirrors, LED.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

**C** URRENT imbalance problems in parallel LED strings have attracted much attention in recent years as LED technology is perceived as an emerging technology for replacing incandescent and fluorescent lamps. Use of series-connected LED packages requires a high voltage power supply and so parallel arrangements of LED strings are common practice particularly in medium- and high-power LED systems. However, it has been pointed out [1] that, due to the variations of LED packages, even a small mismatch of 0.42 V among parallel LED strings could lead to significant current imbalance and reduction of system reliability and lifetime. To cope with the current imbalance problem in parallel-connected LED strings, researchers have proposed various methods. For parallel LED strings driven by a common dc current source, the simplest but highly inefficient method is to have a current-balance resistor in

Manuscript received March 1, 2011; revised May 20, 2011 and July 13, 2011; accepted September 5, 2011. Date of current version February 20, 2012. This work was supported by Hong Kong Research Grant Council under project HKU 114411. Recommended for publication by Associate Editor M. Ponce-Silva.

S. N. Li is with the Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong (e-mail: snli@eee.hku.hk).

W. X. Zhong is with the Center for Power Electronics, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong (e-mail: wzhong3@student.cityu.edu.hk).

W. Chen is with the School of Electrical Engineering, Southeast University, Nanjing, China (e-mail: chenwu@seu.edu.cn).

S. Y. R. Hui is with the Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Imperial College London, London, SW7, U.K. and The University of Hong Kong (e-mail: ronhui@eee.hku.hk).

Color versions of one or more of the figures in this paper are available online at http://ieeexplore.ieee.org.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TPEL.2011.2169459

 $V_d$   $R_d$   $Q_r$   $V_o$   $V_o$   $I_{1ref}$   $I_{1ed1}$   $I_{1ed2}$   $Q_{d1}$   $Q_{d2}$  $Q_{dN}$ 

Fig. 1. CM circuit for balancing currents in parallel LED strings [2].



Fig. 2. Previous proposal of current balancing of LED strings using linear current regulators powered by a separate power supply  $V_{\rm cc}$  [6].

each LED string. Other relatively efficient methods for reduction of current imbalance include 1) the use of current-mirror (CM) techniques [2]–[5] (with an example shown in Fig. 1); 2) linear- or switched-mode current regulator [6]–[10] (with an example shown in Fig. 2) and 3) the use of coupled magnetic circuits [11], [12] (with an example shown in Fig. 3). It should be noted that existing CM circuits require one controlled current source as a fixed current reference and a power supply to create this current reference. For the current regulator approach, a power supply is required for the linear- or switchedmode circuits and the closed-loop control electronics [15]–[17]. The use of current-balancing transformers in linking adjacent



Fig. 3. Current-balancing technique based on coupled magnetic circuits [12].



Fig. 4. (a) Basic current-mirror circuit. (b) Wilson current-mirror circuit.

LED strings may impose complication in production process. For example, some LED strings may have to pass through two transformers.

In this paper, a novel self-configurable CM method for reducing current imbalance in parallel LED strings powered by a single dc current source is proposed. This patent-pending method does not require a separate power supply for powering the CM circuit and involves no current-balancing transformers. Beginning with a brief introduction of CM circuits, this paper includes the operating principles and an analysis of a new CM circuit that can automatically and dynamically select the best LED string current as the reference for the CM circuit in order to achieve good current balance among parallel LED strings. The criteria for choosing the optimal current reference are explained. Practical implementations have been conducted and test results confirming the validity and effectiveness of the proposal are included.

# II. PRINCIPLES AND LIMITATIONS OF EXISTING CURRENT-MIRROR TECHNIQUES FOR DC CURRENT BALANCING OF PARALLEL LED STRINGS

# A. Principles of Current-Mirror Techniques

Current-mirror techniques are well-known methods for creating a current source or several current sources that follow a reference current. As shown in Fig. 4(a), a basic CM circuit can be constructed with bipolar junction transistors (BJTs) [13]. Es-



Fig. 5. Parallel-connected LED strings with imbalanced currents.

sentially, the two BJTs are assumed to be matched or identical. Usually, the current in the branch where the collector terminal and the base terminal are tied together forms the reference current. In Fig. 4(a), the collector current  $I_{\rm REF}$  in the BJT Q1 is used as the reference current. CM-based current-balancing techniques in [2]–[5] generally follow this principle with a predetermined current reference that is set for all parallel LED strings to follow. Other improved versions of CM such as the Wilson CM circuit [14] can also be used [see Fig. 4(b)].

The equations of this circuit are listed as follows:

$$I_{\text{REF}} = I_{C_1} + 2I_B \tag{1}$$

where  $I_{C1}$  is the collector current of BJT  $Q_1$  and  $I_B$  is the base current of both Q1 and Q2. Since  $I_{C1} = \beta I_B$ , (1) can be expressed as

$$I_{\text{REF}} = \beta I_B + 2I_B = (\beta + 2) I_B \tag{2}$$

where  $\beta$  is the current gain of the BJT.

For BJT Q2, the collector current is

$$I_{\rm OUT} = \beta I_B. \tag{3}$$

From (2) and (3)

$$I_{\rm OUT} = \frac{\beta}{\beta + 2} I_{\rm REF}.$$
 (4)

Since  $\beta$  of a BJT can be in the order of typically 40 to 250, the controlled current source  $I_{OUT}$  in (4) is approximately equal to  $I_{REF}$ . Therefore, the controlled current source  $I_{OUT}$  is said to follow the reference current source  $I_{REF}$ .

#### **B.** Limitations of Current-Mirror Techniques

In existing CM techniques, one current branch must be fixed as the reference current source. For a given  $I_{\text{REF}}$ , the collector– emitter voltage  $V_{\text{ce}}$  across the BJT  $Q_2$  will vary in order that  $I_{c2}$ will follow  $I_{\text{REF}}$ . It is important to note that  $I_{\text{REF}}$  must not be larger than the current capability of the parallel current sources. Otherwise, the parallel current sources cannot follow  $I_{\text{REF}}$  even when their currents are at their maximum values. This important condition imposes a problem in the use of traditional CM for parallel LED strings because it is generally not possible to predetermine the variations of the LED strings. The requirement for a known reference current source could be a major limitation in some applications such as the dynamic current balancing

	Reference Current	Current (mA)	V <sub>CE</sub> (V)	Mirror Current	Current (mA)	V <sub>CE</sub> (V)	Current imbalance (mA)	Success
Fig.6a	String 1 (Smaller current)	234		String 2 (Larger current)	284		50	As benchmark
Fig.6b	String 1	237	0.91	String 2	282	1.1	45	No
			Q1 linear			Q2 nonlinear		Transistor Q2 Thermal runaway
Fig.6c	String 2	286	0.95	String 1	232	0.5	54	No
			Q2 Linear			Q1 Saturated		Large current as I <sub>ref</sub> Transistor saturated
Fig.6d	String 1	252	1.73	String 2	263	2.79	11	Yes
			Q1 Linear			Q2 Linear		Small current as I <sub>ref</sub> Transistor linear
Fig.6e	String 2	282	1.8	String 1	236	1.07	46	No
			Q2 Linear			Q1 Saturated		Large current as I <sub>ref</sub> Transistor saturated

 TABLE I

 PRACTICAL TEST RESULTS OF CURRENT-MIRROR CIRCUITS FOR CURRENT-BALANCING APPLICATION

of LED strings. Fig. 5 shows one example of such application in which LED devices are arranged in three strings. Even if each LED string has the same number of series-connected LED devices, the voltage drops across the LED strings are not identical because of slight variations of characteristics of LED devices. There is even a possibility that the current imbalance may change with temperature because LED devices are sensitive to temperature. Therefore, imbalance of currents among the LED strings is a common problem in LED applications. Such current imbalance would lead to nonuniform light generation among the LED strings. Since the lifetime of the LED devices is sensitive to the current, if the LED current exceeds its maximum current rating due to the current imbalance, the lifetime of the LED product would be reduced.

It should be noted that (1)–(4) are valid if  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  transistors both are working in linear area. Another major problem of using existing current-mirror methods for parallel LED strings that is not often mentioned in the literature is that, unless a separate well-controlled reference current source is used, it is not easy to select the best current source in one of the parallel LED strings as the reference. In the example of Fig. 5, the amount of current imbalance between the parallel LED strings is usually not known. An improper choice of the current string as the reference will cause the Q-transistor in another string to saturate and, thus, disabling the current-mirror function. Therefore, choosing the proper current reference for the current-mirror circuit in this unknown situation becomes a practical issue. This problem can be explained with the following description.

Consider two parallel LED strings represented as current sources in Fig. 1. If  $I_1$  is smaller than  $I_2$ , then  $I_1$  can be used as the reference current in the basic current-mirror circuit for current balancing because the BJT Q2 can be operated in the "linear mode" so that the voltage across its collector and emitter terminal  $V_{CE2}$  will be controlled by the current-mirror action in the LED string-2 in order to reduce the voltage imbalance among the LED strings and, therefore, reduce  $I_2$ . However, if  $I_1$  is greater than  $I_2$ , then even if Q2 is saturated (i.e., fully turned ON in the saturation mode) with minimum  $V_{CE2}$ ,  $I_2$  may not be increased sufficiently to match  $I_1$  (if  $I_1$  is much larger than  $I_2$ ). This means that, for reducing the current imbalance among parallel LED strings, the best choice of the reference current source is the LED string with the lowest current.

In order to confirm this important point, several sets of experiments based on the BJT current-mirror circuit in Fig. 1 have been conducted as tabulated in Table I. Fig. 6(a) shows two LED strings with imbalanced current as tabulated in Table I.

In summary, for the experimental setup in Table I, reduction of current imbalance of parallel LED strings can be achieved under the following conditions:

- the smallest current source is chosen as the reference current;
- 2) no thermal runaway of transistors should occur.

Condition (2) can usually be met with careful circuit design. However, condition (1) is a general issue for current balancing of parallel LED strings because it is difficult in mass production to predetermine which LED string has the smallest current among several parallel LED strings in the product, unless every LED string is tested before production.

#### III. SELF-CONFIGURABLE CURRENT-MIRROR TECHNIQUE

#### A. Self-Configurable Current-Mirror Circuit

The proposed principle has a dynamic and self-configurable current-balancing circuit structure that allows the best current source (i.e., the smallest current source in the case of current balancing of parallel LED strings) to be selected. The proposed CM-based current-balancing circuit (see Fig. 7) does not require 1) external power supply and 2) its associated control circuit. Several parallel current sources (such as the LED strings) are connected to a self-configurable current-mirror circuit. The



Fig. 6. (a) Two LED strings with imbalanced currents  $(I_1 < I_2)$ —as benchmark. (b) Using String-1 (small current) as current reference. (c) Using String-2 (large current) as current reference. (d) Using String-1 (small current) as current reference and resistors to avoid transistor saturation. (e) Using String-2 (large current) as current reference and resistors to avoid transistor saturation.



Fig. 7. Schematic of the novel self-configurable current-mirror principle using self-driven transistors ( $S_1$  to  $S_N$ ).

transistors  $Q_1$  to  $Q_N$  (also called Q-transistors) represent the transistors used in a traditional CM circuit such as the one shown in Fig. 1. Extra resistors that may be required to avoid thermal runaway [see Fig. 6(d)] in these Q-transistors are not shown in Fig. 7 for the sake of simplicity, but they may be needed in practice as later shown in Fig. 11. Extra transistors  $S_1$  to  $S_N$  (called



Fig. 8. Practical self-configurable current-mirror circuit for current-balancing applications [Note: external power supply and predetermined reference current source are not needed].

S-transistors) are introduced as a new feature in this proposal to make the CM self-configurable, i.e., the choice of the reference current source can be changed or self-configured.

The S-transistor switch (i.e.,  $S_1$  to  $S_N$ ) used for selecting the best reference current source can operate either in the saturation mode or in the linear mode [20]. When used in the saturation mode, this transistor is fully turned ON as a switch (by connecting the collector and base terminals) to self-configure the overall circuit to select the best current source as the reference current for the current-mirror circuit. When used in the linear mode, this transistor forms part of a cascaded transistor (sometimes called darlington transistor if BJTs are used) and the overall circuit still provides current-balancing function. The dual function of  $S_1$  to  $S_N$  is a unique feature of this proposal. Therefore, this proposal can achieve current balancing for all of the parallel current sources regardless of whether the transistors ( $S_1$  to  $S_N$ ) are in the saturation mode or linear mode. This point will be illustrated by the following circuits.

In a practical situation such as having several LED strings connected in parallel, the current imbalance of the LED strings cannot be known without measurements. In this invention, transistors  $S_1$  to  $S_N$  are employed to allow the most appropriate current source to be chosen as the "reference current source." In the case of current balancing of parallel LED strings, the LED string with the smallest current should be selected. A detection circuit is therefore necessary to detect the best current source so that the corresponding switch can be activated. This principle is now illustrated in an example with three LED strings (see Fig. 8).

For the LED strings, the strings with larger currents in the original current-unbalanced situation tend to have a lower voltage drop across the LED string when the CM circuit is introduced to balance the currents. This point is explained further in the Appendix. Therefore, if the total LED string voltages are assumed to be  $V_{\rm LED1} < V_{\rm LED2} < V_{\rm LED3}$ , then  $I_1 > I_2$ 



Fig. 9. (a) Self-configurable current-mirror circuit for current-balancing applications (Assuming that  $I_1 > I_2 > I_3$ , and  $V_{CE1} > V_{CE2} > V_{CE3}$ ) [Note: external power supply and predetermined reference current source are not needed]. (b) Effective circuit in Fig. 9(a) (with the smallest current source  $I_3$  automatically selected as the reference current source for the current-mirror circuit) [Note: external power supply and predetermined reference current source are not needed].

>  $I_3$ , and  $V_{CE1} > V_{CE2} > V_{CE3}$ . Now, consider a proposed self-configurable circuit shown in Fig. 8. The three switches  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ , and  $S_3$  are transistors. They can be used 1) as switches (saturation mode) for selecting the corresponding current sources as the reference current source for the current-mirror action, or 2) as transistors in linear mode. When used in linear mode, the transistor-pair ( $S_1-Q_1$ ,  $S_2-Q_2$ , and  $S_3-Q_3$ ) also form a Darlington transistor. For each parallel branch, a diode ( $D_1$  to  $D_3$ ) is connected to point A, the bases of all S-transistors ( $S_1$ –  $S_3$ ) are connected to point B and the bases of all Q-transistors ( $Q_1-Q_3$ ) are connected to point C.

*Mode 1*: Self-configurable current-mirror circuit (one of the transistors  $S_1$  to  $S_N$  is fully driven into the saturation region).



Fig. 10. General current-balancing circuit with N parallel current sources [Note: external power supply and predetermined reference current source are not needed].

Using the assumption that  $I_1 > I_2 > I_3$ , and  $V_{CE1} > V_{CE2} >$  $V_{\rm CE3}$ , the self-configurable principle can be illustrated with the aid of Fig. 9(a). With  $V_{CE1}$  being highest, the critical conducting path is highlighted with bolded line in Fig. 9(a). Diode 1 is turned ON and the current will flow through a current-limiting resistor R to drive the base of the transistor with the smallest current and  $V_{\rm CE}$  (i.e., S<sub>3</sub> in this case). If the current imbalance is significant enough so that the current caused by  $V_{CE1}$  is large enough to drive S<sub>1</sub> into saturation (i.e., S<sub>3</sub> is fully turned ON as a closed switch), the equivalent circuit can be redrawn as shown in Fig. 9(b). It can be seen from Fig. 9(b) that this equivalent circuit is like a current-mirror circuit with the smallest current source chosen as the reference current. Therefore, it can be seen that the proposed circuit (see Fig. 9) can automatically choose the smallest current source as the reference current. The currentmirror action of this circuit will cause  $V_{CE1}$  and  $V_{CE2}$  to change in order to reduce  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  to follow the reference current  $I_3$ . The proposed circuit allows a dynamic change of reference current according to the smallest current source. This operating mode is still based on the current-mirror concept, except that there is a novelty of self-configurable feature that allows the best current source to be dynamically chosen as the reference current source for the current-mirror action.

*Mode 2*: Current-balancing circuit (with  $S_1$  to  $S_N$  in the linear region).

If the current imbalance among the parallel current sources is not too significant (i.e., current imbalance has been reduced), the  $V_{\rm CE}$  of the largest current source will still cause the corresponding diode to conduct. But the current caused by largest  $V_{\rm CE}$  in the largest current source may not be large enough to drive the base of the S-transistor in the smallest current source into the saturation region. This means that this diode current will flow into the bases of all the S-transistors which now work in the linear region. The equivalent circuit for a system with Nparallel current sources can be drawn as shown in Fig. 10. The following assumptions are made in the analysis.

- 1) The current imbalance among current sources is not large enough that none of the S-transistor is fully driven into saturation, implying that all S-transistors are operated in the linear range.
- 2) All transistors are matched with the same current gain  $\beta$ .
- 3) Current source-1 is largest so that its corresponding highest  $V_{\rm CE}$  will cause the diode D1 to turn ON.

$$I_1 = I_C^{S_1} + I_C^{Q_1} + NI_B \tag{5}$$

where  $I_C^{S_1}$  is the collector current of  $S_1$ ,  $I_C^{Q_1}$  is the collector current of  $Q_1$ , N is the number of current sources, and  $I_B$  is the base current of  $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_N$ .

$$I_C^{S_1} = \beta I_B \tag{6}$$

 $I_C^{Q_1} = \beta(I_B^{Q_1}) = \beta(I_E^{S_1}) \text{ and } I_E^{S_1} = I_C^{S_1} + I_B = (\beta + 1)I_B.$ Hence

$$I_C^{Q_1} = \beta \left(\beta + 1\right) I_B. \tag{7}$$

From (5)–(7)

$$I_1 = \left(\beta^2 + 2\beta + N\right) I_B. \tag{8}$$

Now, we can determine the current in other branches with currents less than  $I_1$ . For branch-N, because the diode  $D_N$  is not turned ON

$$I_N = I_C^{\mathbf{S}_N} + I_C^{Q_N} \tag{9}$$

$$I_C^{\mathbf{S}_N} = \beta I_B \tag{10}$$

$$I_C^{Q_N} = \beta \left(\beta + 1\right) I_B. \tag{11}$$

From (9)–(11)

$$I_N = \left(\beta^2 + 2\beta\right) I_B. \tag{12}$$

Using (8) and (12), the current  $I_N$  can be expressed as

$$I_N = \left(\frac{\beta^2 + 2\beta}{\beta^2 + 2\beta + N}\right) I_1. \tag{13}$$

For a typical current gain  $\beta$  of 40

$$\left(\frac{\beta^2 + 2\beta}{\beta^2 + 2\beta + N}\right) = \frac{1680}{1680 + N} \approx 1 \quad \text{for } N < 10.$$

Therefore, (13) confirms that good current balance can be achieved theoretically even when all the S-transistors are operated in the linear mode.

In summary, the proposed circuit enables the parallel current sources to reduce the current imbalance in both modes 1 and 2. A typical practical implementation of this approach including the stability improvement and avoidance of saturation of the Q-transistors is shown in Fig. 11, where resistors  $R_E$  are resistors of small values (of typically less than a few Ohms in order to reduce conduction loss) and are used to avoid thermal runaway of the transistors. If the Q-transistor current through the collector and the emitter increases dramatically (due to thermal runaway), the increase in voltage across the emitter resistor  $R_E$  will act in opposition to the base bias and, thus, reduce



Fig. 11. General self-configurable current-balancing circuit including resistors  $R_E$  for improving the stability of the transistors [Note: external power supply and control circuit are not needed].



Fig. 12. Feedback-assisted self-configurable current-mirror circuit.

the transistor current. Therefore, the use of  $R_E$  resistors in the *Q*-transistors can reduce the chance of thermal runaway.

## B. Operational Amplifier (Op-Amp) Feedback-Assisted Self-Configurable Current-Mirror Circuit

It should be noted that the aforementioned analyses are all based on the assumption that all the Q-transistors are perfectly matched. This assumption is not realistic in practice. However, the proposed self-configurable current-mirror circuit can further be improved with an op-amp feedback-assisted circuit. It will be shown that the op-amp-assisted self-configurable CM circuit can offer very good performance even without using well-matched



Fig. 13. Passive LED driver that generates a current source for three parallel LED strings.

TABLE II COMPARISON OF THE STRING CURRENTS AND MAXIMUM CURRENT DIFFERENCE WITH AND WITHOUT THE SELF-CONFIGURABLE CIRCUIT

No CM circuit	Self-configurable CM circuit without op-amp feedback
I <sub>1</sub> =252mA	I1=250mA
I <sub>2</sub> =231mA	I <sub>2</sub> =251mA
I <sub>3</sub> =298mA	I <sub>3</sub> =277mA
Maximum $\Delta I = 67 \text{mA}$	Maximum $\Delta I = 27 \text{mA}$
$(\Delta I = 25.7\%$ of the average string current)	$(\Delta I = 10.4\% \text{ of the average string current})$
(the total input power=76W)	Power consumption = 1.83W
	(2.4% of the total input power of 75.8W)

Q-transistors. Fig. 12 depicts a typical circuit implementation of the feedback-assisted circuit using an operational amplifier in an LED system with two parallel strings. The resistors used to avoid thermal runway of the Q-transistors can also be used as current sensors. The voltages across these resistors are used as current signals that are fed to the inputs of the operational amplifier. Since the inverting voltage follows the noninverting voltage, the two string currents should be matched. It is also important to note that the dc power supply for the op-amp feedback-assisted circuit is derived from the voltage drop across one LED device with the aid of a resistor and capacitor subcircuit. Therefore, no separate power supply is needed for this op-amp circuit.

#### **IV. EXPERIMENTAL CONFIRMATION**

Two experimental LED systems have been set up to evaluate the performance of the self-configurable CM circuits. The current source is provided by a simple ac-dc power circuit as shown in Fig. 13. The diode rectifier turns the ac voltage into a dc voltage with the help of the output capacitor. The inductor turns the voltage source into a current source. In this passive LED driver, the output current is a dc current with some 100 Hz current ripple. This current ripple is not a concern for LED system if it is properly designed [18]. The photo-electro-thermal theory for LED systems [19] shows that the relationship between the luminous flux and the LED power is a parabolic curve. If the thermal design of the LED system is done in such a way that the operating point moves around the top region of the parabolic curve, the fluctuation of the luminous flux is minimal. Therefore, unlike previous switched-mode power supply technology that regulates the LED current, it has been proved that passive LED driver without power MOSFETs, auxiliary power supply, and control-integrated circuits can be used to drive LED systems [18].

## A. Self-Configurable Current-Mirror Circuit Without Op-Amp Feedback Assistance

Before the proposed current-balancing circuit is used, the three LED string currents are first recorded. The current values



Fig. 14. Circuit arrangement of the experiment for current sharing  $(R_0 = 2 \,\mathrm{k}\Omega, R_1 = R_2 = R_3 = 2.7 \,\Omega)$ .



Fig. 15. Experimental setup of the self-configurable CM circuit with op-amp feedback assistance.

are shown in Table II. The maximum current difference is found to be 67 mA. Then, the proposed self-configurable CM circuit without feedback is added to three parallel LED strings as shown in Fig. 14. The string currents are much closer to each other and the maximum current difference is reduced to only 27 mA. Therefore, a reduction of 59.7% of the current imbalance has been achieved.

# B. Self-Configurable Current-Mirror Circuit With Op-Amp Feedback Assistance

The operation of the feedback-assisted CM circuit is demonstrated in an LED system with two parallel strings. In one string, a switch in parallel with an 80- $\Omega$  resistor is included as shown in Fig. 15 so that the transient current-balancing performance can be observed. When switch is closed to bypass the additional resistor, the current source provides I = 470 mA. When switch is open so that R is in series with the second LED string, the circuit current source gives I = 407 mA appropriately.

Fig. 16 shows the waveforms of the two string currents when the switch is closed. The 100-Hz ripple is due to the rectification of the 50-Hz mains as explained earlier. The current difference



Fig. 16. Waveforms of the two LED string currents when switch is closed.



Fig. 17. Waveforms of the two LED string currents when switch is opened.

is only 4 mA for an average string current of 233 mA. Therefore, the feedback-assisted self-configurable CM circuit is more effective than that without feedback assistance. When the switch is opened, the two current waveforms are captured in Fig. 17. It can be seen that the two string currents follow each other closely even during the transient period. The switch is then closed again and the waveforms of the string currents are displayed in Fig. 18. The two string current waveforms virtually overlap each other.

A second series of tests have also been conducted on an LED system with three parallel strings as shown in Fig. 19. Instead of using the passive LED driver, a dc voltage source is used to power this LED system so that the steady-state current waveforms can be seen clearly. Each of the three strings consists of ten 3-W sharp LEDs (Model: GW5BWC15L02). BJT transistor, 2N2119, and op-amp, LM324, are used in the CM circuit.  $R_B =$ 500 k $\Omega$  and  $R_E = 2.7 \Omega$ . When the dc power supply is set at an output voltage of 97.3 V, the three string current waveforms before and after using the op-amp feedback-assisted CM circuit are recorded in Figs. 20 and 21, respectively. The details are also included in Table III for comparison. From Fig. 20, it can be seen that the three LED strings are not matched and the current difference is 102 mA for an average current of 267.3 mA



Fig. 18. Waveforms of the two LED string currents when switch is closed again.



Fig. 19. Self-configurable current-mirror circuit with op-amp feedback for three parallel LED strings.



Fig. 20. Waveforms of the three string currents before using op-amp feedbackassisted CM circuit.



Fig. 21. Waveforms of the three string currents after using op-amp feedbackassisted CM circuit.

TABLE III COMPARISON OF THE STRING CURRENTS AND MAXIMUM CURRENT DIFFERENCE WITH AND WITHOUT THE OP-AMP FEEDBACK-ASSISTED SELF-CONFIGURABLE CIRCUIT

No CM circuit	Self-configurable CM circuit with op-amp	
	feedback	
I <sub>1</sub> =246mA	I <sub>1</sub> =218mA	
I <sub>2</sub> =227mA	I <sub>2</sub> =217mA	
I <sub>3</sub> =329mA	I <sub>3</sub> =219mA	
Maximum $\Delta I = 102 \text{mA}$	Maximum $\Delta I = 2mA$	
$(\Delta I = 38.2\% \text{ of the average string current})$	$(\Delta I = 0.9\%$ of the average string current)	
(the total input power=78.03W)	Power consumption = 1.18W	
	(1.85% of the total input power of 63.63W)	

TABLE IV OPERATION OF THE CM

LED No.	Current/mA	Input voltage to CM/V
LED1	218.09	1.469
LED2	217.1	0.73(saturation, turned on)
LED3	219.29	3.2

(i.e., 38.2% current imbalance). With the use of the op-amp feedback-assisted CM circuit, the current difference is reduced to 2 mA for an average current of 218 mA (i.e., 0.9% current imbalance).

Table IV shows the voltage levels provided by the darlington transistor pairs formed by the S- and Q-transistors in each LED strings. The input voltage to the CM refers to the voltage difference between the collector terminal of the S-transistor (same as the collector terminal of the Q-transistor) and the ground. As Table III indicates that String-2 has the smallest current without using the CM circuit, it should be selected as the reference branch. The voltage provided by the CM circuit in String-2 is found to be lowest (0.73 V), confirming that it has been chosen as the reference because S<sub>2</sub> has been turned ON into the saturation mode to turn ON Q2. The voltage levels provided by String-1 and String-3 are higher so that their original currents can be reduced to match the reference current of String-2. It should be noted that the voltage generated in String-3 is highest because its original current is largest. A higher voltage is inserted in String-3 by the CM circuit to achieve such current reduction.



Fig. A1. Operating conditions of two parallel-connected diodes with imbalanced currents arising from differences in diode characteristics.



Fig. A2. Operating conditions of two parallel-connected diodes with balanced current based on the use of the current-mirror circuits.

### V. CONCLUSION

A self-configurable current-mirror circuit for reducing current imbalance in parallel LED strings has been proposed. Unlike existing methods, it does not need a separate power supply for creating a predetermined current source. The proposed circuit involves the use of a self-configurable circuit based on the S-transistors to automatically and dynamically select the minimum current source as the current reference. The circuit provides effective current sharing capability when the S-transistors are in either linear or saturated mode. For further improvement in reducing current imbalance, existing op-amp feedback assistance can be incorporated into the proposed self-configurable current-mirror circuit. The theory of the proposal has been presented and verified by good practical performance. To achieve good current balance for parallel LED strings, the proposed circuit consumes less than 2.5% of the total system power in this study. Without using a separate power supply and an independent current source as the current-mirror-current reference, this new proposal offers a low-cost and effective solution to reduce current imbalance in multistring LED systems.

#### APPENDIX

Two parallel-connected LED strings can be considered as two equivalent diodes connected in parallel across the same dc voltage as shown in Fig. A1. The diode characteristics can be described by the diode equation (A1) that incorporates both the diode voltage drop and ac resistance characteristics

$$I = I_s (e^{V_D / (nV_T)} - 1).$$
(A1)

In a usual parallel connection of two diodes with  $V_{d1} = V_{d2} = V_{dc}$ , it can be seen that the current imbalance is due to the

mismatch in the characteristics of individual diodes. In this example,  $I_2$  is smaller than  $I_1$ . In our proposal, LED String-2 should be chosen as the reference.

Now consider the situation when the currents are balanced by the proposed current-mirror circuit as shown in Fig. A2. Under this situation,  $I_1$  is reduced to the level of  $I_2$  by the currentmirror circuit. It can be seen from Fig. A2 that the operating point of diode  $D_1$  will slide down the curve and the voltage drop across the diode  $V_{d1}$  becomes less that  $V_{d2}$ . This confirms the statement that the LED string with the smallest current (i.e.,  $I_2$ ) will have the largest voltage drop  $V_2$  across the LED string in the LED system with the current-mirror circuit.

#### REFERENCES

- C. Richardson, "Driving high-power LEDs in series-parallel arrays," EDN Mag., pp. 45–49, Nov. 2008.
- [2] H.-J. Chiu and S.-J. Cheng, "LED backlight driving system for large-scale LCD panels," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 54, no. 5, pp. 2751–2760, Oct. 2007.
- [3] C. Wey, Y.-C. Yeh, C. H. Sun, and T. S. Lee, "Driver and method for driving a semiconductor light emitting device array," U.S. Patent 7 605 809, Oct. 20, 2009.
- [4] P. G. Cusinato, P. M. Carbou, and P. L. Perney, "Unbalanced plural string LED driver with common return resistor," U.S. Patent 7 642 725, Jan. 5, 2010.
- [5] C. Chang, "Integrated LED driving device with current sharing for multiple LED strings," U.S. Patent 6 621 235, Sep. 16, 2003.
- [6] Y. Hu and M. Jovanovic, "LED driver with self-adaptive drive voltage," IEEE Trans. Power Electron., vol. 23, no. 6, pp. 3116–3125, Nov. 2008.
- [7] C. H. Lin, T. Y. Hung, C. M. Wang, and K. J. Pai, "A balancing strategy and implementation of current equalizer for high power LED backlighting," in *Proc. Int. Conf. Power Electron. Drive Syst.*, 2007, pp. 1613–1617.
- [8] C. C. Yang, G. M. Lei, and Y. C. Lai, "Light emitting diode circuit having even current," U.S. Patent 7 675 240, Mar. 9, 2010.
- [9] C. L. Chiu and K. H. Chen, "A high accuracy current-balanced control technique for LED backlight," in *Proc. Power Electron. Spec. Conf.*, Jun. 2008, pp. 4202–4206.
- [10] H. J. Chiu, Y. K. Lo, J. T. Chen, S. J. Cheng, C. Y. Lin, and S. C. Mou, "A high-efficiency dimmable LED driver for low-power lighting applications," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 57, no. 2, pp. 735–743, Feb. 2010.
- [11] K. I. Hwu and S. C. Chou, "A simple current-balancing converter for LED lighting," in Proc. 24th Annu. IEEE Appl. Power Electron. Conf. Expo., Feb. 2009, pp. 587–590.
- [12] Y. Hu and M. Jovanović, "A new current-balancing method for paralleled LED strings," in *Proc. 26th Annu. IEEE Appl. Power Electron. Conf. Expo.*, Raleigh, NC, Mar. 6–10, 2011, pp. 705–712.
- [13] P. Horowitz and W. Hill, *The Art of Electronics*. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge Univ. Press, 1980, sec. 2.13, p. 71–74.
- [14] B. Wilson, "Current mirrors, amplifiers and dumpers," Wireless World, vol. 87, pp. 47–48, Dec. 1981.
- [15] E. C. Kang, D. Kwon, J. E. Yeon, D. S. Kim, and W. Oh, "A new low voltage detecting method for multi-string LED BLU circuit," in *Proc. Eur. Conf. Power Electron. Appl.*, Sep. 8–10, 2009, pp. 1–6.
- [16] M. Doshi and R. Zane, "Control of solid-state lamps using a multiphase pulsewidth modulation technique," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 25, no. 7, pp. 1894–1904, Jul. 2010.
- [17] S. M. Baddela and D. S. Zinger, "Parallel connected LEDs operated at high frequency to improve current sharing," in *Proc. IEEE Ind. Appl. Soc.*, Oct. 2004, pp. 1677–1681.
- [18] S. Y. R. Hui, S. Li, W. Chen, X. H. Tao, and W. M. Ng, "A novel passive LED drivers with long lifetime," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 25, no. 10, pp. 2665–2672, Oct. 2010.
- [19] S. Y. R. Hui and Y. X. Qin, "A general photo-electro-thermal theory for light emitting diode (LED) systems," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 24, no. 8, pp. 1967–1976, Aug. 2009.
- [20] S. Y. R. Hui, W. Chen, W. Zhong, and S. Li, "Current balancing circuit and method," Patent PCT/IB2011/000033, Jan. 12, 2011.



Si Nan Li was born in China in 1986. He received the B.S. degree in electrical engineering from the Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin, China, in 2009. He is currently working toward the Ph.D. degree from the Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, the University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong.

He is the co-inventor of an automatic reconfigurable current-mirror technique. His current research interests include the design and analysis of power supplies, LED characteristics, and its relevant systems design.



**W. X. Zhong** was born in China in 1984. He received the B.S. degree in electrical engineering from Tsinghua University, Beijing, China, in 2007. He is currently working toward the Ph.D. degree at the Center for Power Electronics, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong.

His current research interests include synchronous rectification and wireless power transfer.



**Wu Chen** (S'05–M'12) was born in Jiangsu, China, in 1981. He received the B.S., M.S., and Ph.D. degrees in electrical engineering from the Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Nanjing, China, in 2003, 2006, and 2009, respectively.

From 2009 to 2010, he was a Senior Research Assistant in the Department of Electronic Engineering, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong. In 2010–2011, he was a Postdoctoral Researcher at FREEDM Systems Center, North Carolina State University, Raleigh. Since 2011, he is an Associate Re-

search Fellow at the School of Electrical Engineering, Southeast University, Nanjing, China. His main research interests include soft-switching dc/dc converters, inverters, and power electronic system integration.



**S. Y. Ron Hui** (F'03) received the Ph.D. degree from Imperial College London, London, U.K., in 1987.

He has previously held academic positions at the University of Nottingham, the University of Sydney, and City University of Hong Kong (CityU). In 2011, he joined the University of Hong Kong as a Chair Professor. Since 2010, he has been concurrently the Chair Professorship at Imperial College London. He has published more than 200 technical papers, including more than 150 refereed journal publications and book chapters. More than 50 of his patents have been

adopted by industry.

Dr. Hui is the Fellow of the Institution of Engineering Technology. Since 1997, he has been an Associate Editor of the IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON POWER ELECTRONICS and an Associate Editor of the IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON INDUS-TRIAL ELECTRONICS since 2007. He was twice an IEEE Distinguished Lecturer of the IEEE Power Electronics Society in 2004 and 2006. From 2002 to 2010, he served as one of the 18 Administrative Committee Members of the IEEE Power Electronics Society and was the Chairman of its Constitution and Bylaws Committee. He received the Excellent Teaching Award at CityU in 1998 and the Earth Champion Award in 2008. He won an IEEE Best Paper Award from the IEEE Industry Applications Society Committee on Production and Applications of Light in 2002, and two IEEE Power Electronics Transactions Prize Paper Awards for his publications on wireless battery charging platform technology in 2009 and on LED system theory in 2010. His inventions on wireless charging platform technology underpin key dimensions of Qi, the world's first wireless power standard, with freedom of positioning and localized charging features for wireless charging of consumer electronics. In November 2010, he received the IEEE Rudolf Chope R&D Award from the IEEE Industrial Electronics Society, the IET Achievement Medal (The Crompton Medal), and was elected to the Fellowship of the Australian Academy of Technological Sciences and Engineering.