The University of Hong Kong The HKU Scholars Hub



Title	Assessing results after distal radius fracture treatment: a comparison of objective and subjective tools
Author(s)	Kwok, IHY; Leung, F; Yuen, G
Citation	Geriatric Orthopaedic Surgery & Rehabilitation, 2011, v. 2 n. 4, p. 155-160
Issued Date	2011
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/10722/143775
Rights	Geriatric Orthopaedic Surgery & Rehabilitation. Copyright © Sage Publications, Inc

Geriatric Orthopaedic Surgery & Rehabilitation

Assessing results after distal radius fracture treatment – a comparision of objective and subjective tools

Journal:	Geriatric Orthopaedic Surgery & Rehabilitation
Manuscript ID:	GOS-11-0013.R1
Manuscript Type:	Original Manuscript
Keywords:	Fragility Fractures, Geriatric Trauma, Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Trauma surgery, Upper extremity surgery
Abstract:	Functional outcomes following distal radius fractures are directly influenced by the choice of outcome assessment instruments used. Our objective was to compare scoring systems in measuring patient functional outcomes, and determine which scoring system compared most favourably with the widely-used Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder and Hand (DASH) questionnaire.

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts

Assessing results after distal radius fracture treatment – a comparision of objective and subjective tools

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the authorship and/or publication of this article.



Abstract

Objectives: Functional outcomes following distal radius fractures are directly influenced by the choice of outcome assessment instruments used. Our objective was to compare scoring systems in measuring patient functional outcomes, and determine which scoring system compared most favourably with the widely-used Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder and Hand (DASH) questionnaire.

Methods: 108 patients between May 2004 and November 2006 were treated operatively following distal radius fractures. Follow-up was at three months, six months, one year and two years post-surgery, during which anatomical and functional assessments were performed. Patient outcomes were recorded using DASH, the Green and O'Brien system, Gartland and Werley system and Sarmiento radiological scoring system.

Results: There was a stronger correlation between the Green and O'Brien scoring system and DASH (r = -0.54) than Gartland and Werley and DASH (r = 0.44). The Green and O'Brien scoring system was more demanding so patients rated 'excellent' or 'good' had better functional outcome than those bearing the same grade in the Gartland and Werley system. Nonetheless the Green and O'Brien score and Gartland and Werley score showed good correlation with each other (r = 0.66). The Sarmiento radiological score had no significant correlation with any of the other scoring systems. Significant predictors of the DASH score were function (r = 0.42), power grip (r = 0.41), pain (r = 0.37) and range of motion (r = 0.28).

Conclusion: The Green and O'Brien scoring system correlated most strongly with the DASH score. Radiological scoring (reflecting anatomical deformity) was not significantly correlated with functional outcome. Whilst subjective parameters 'pain' and 'function' are influenced by psychosocial factors and thus highly variable, it is paramount to include subjective tools in outcome assessment in future studies on wrist fractures.

Keywords

Distal radius fractures; outcome assessment; patient self-assessment; elderly



Introduction

Distal radius fractures are the commonest fractures in the elderly. The measurement of results of treatment is dependent on the type of outcome assessment used. It is important to know which assessment tool is the most useful to the surgeon and the patient, and which outcome measures are the most reliable in reflecting disability and function after trauma.

The correlation between objective and subjective measures have shown to be variable, although many of these reports have been based on relatively small sample sizes [1-5]. Traditional measures of objective variables like grip strength and range of motion do not always accurately correlate to patient-reported pain and function [6]. Although scoring systems like the Gartland and Werley score and the Green and O'Brien score have come into favour, substantial differences still exist between these scoring systems [4]. In recent years the most-widely used instrument in evaluating upper extremity outcome is the DASH patient-rated health questionnaire [5, 7]. According to kinesiological theory, the upper extremity operates as a single functional unit. The DASH questionnaire, although neither side- nor joint-specific. is highly responsive to change in assessment of function following distal radius fractures [8].

This was a retrospective study in a tertiary teaching hospital looking at how closely objective and subjective measurements reflected patient functional outcome following open reduction and internal fixation of distal radius fractures between May 2004 and November 2006. Our objective was to compare different assessment tools in measuring patient outcomes, and to see which scoring system compared most favourably to the DASH score.

Materials and Methods

Between May 2004 and November 2006, 108 patients with distal radial fractures were treated using the 2.4-mm and 3.5mm locking plate fixation (Synthes, Switzerland). The indication for surgery was a displaced fracture of the distal radius following unsuccessful closed reduction or fracture with intra-articular disruption. Patients with an operative procedure performed one month after the initial injury and patients with polytrauma with an injury severity score of >16 were excluded [9].

Patient demographics and characteristics

Twenty seven of the 135 patients were lost on follow-up or had incomplete

assessments performed and were excluded from the study. Of the 108 patients, there were 42 (39%) men and 66 (61%) women, with a mean age of 55.5 years (range 13-90 years). A 2.4mm-plate was used in 62 patients (57%) and 3.5mm-plate on 46 (43%) patients.

Follow-up Protocol

All patients were seen in an outpatients follow-up clinic two weeks after the operation for wound check and suture removal. Subsequent follow-up was at three months, six months, one year and two years post-surgery. Anatomical and functional assessments were performed at an average of 20.6 months (range 3 to 26 months).

Anatomical Assessment

Postero-anterior and lateral radiographs were taken at each follow-up visit and measurements were recorded using the method developed by Kreder et al [10]. On the postero-anterior films, radial length, radial angle, articular step-off and gap were measured. On the lateral film, palmar tilt and articular step-off and gap were measured. A radiological score was derived from the above measurements – in this study Sarmiento et al's modification of the Lidström and Frykman radiological classification was used [11].

Functional Assessment

Three evaluation tools were used in this study. The Cooney's modification of the Green and O'Brien score [12] is an examiner-rated assessment of pain, functional status, range of motion and grip strength. Each of the four parameters are given a weighting of 25 points, giving a total score out of 100. With excellent being 90-100, good 80-89, fair 65-79 and poor <65.

The demerit system of Gartland and Werley [13] is a mixed subjective and objective assessment that includes residual deformity (3 points), subjective evaluation (6 points), objective evaluation based on range of movement (5 points) and complications including pain (5 points). With excellent being 0-2, good 3-8, fair 9-20, poor \geq 21.

The DASH questionnaire is a patient-rated tool and is the most validated measure of

upper extremity functional status [14]. Questions are based on daily activities, symptoms including pain, and an optional work and sports/performing arts module. A final score is calculated, ranging from 0 (no disability) to 100 (the most severe disability). Thus a higher score indicates greater disability. A validated Chinese version of the DASH questionnaire was used in this study [15].

Statistical analyses

Continuous variables were described using means, standard deviations (SD) and ranges.

The Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient was used to evaluate the association in scores between the outcome instruments.

The Spearman rho correlation was used to evaluate association in the final grading (excellent, good, fair, poor) between different outcome instruments.

Multiple linear regression analysis was performed to identify which predictor variables were significantly associated with outcome score (DASH).

P values of <0.01 were regarded as significant. All analyses were carried out using the SPSS software package (version 16; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL.)

Results

Of the 108 patients there were 46 under 55 year-olds (43%) and 62 over 55 year-olds (57%). Their mean DASH scores were 12.5 (SD = 15.3) and 12.1 (SD = 15.1) respectively. As the under 55 year-old and over 55 year-old age groups showed no significant difference between their mean DASH scores (Table 1), no further breakdown of their respective correlations to the grading instruments were carried out; Patients of all ages were analysed together (Table 2).

A. Correlation in scores between outcome instruments

A moderate correlation was seen between scores in Green and O'Brien and DASH (r = -0.54, p = 0.01) as well as between Gartland and Werley and DASH (r = 0.44, p = 0.01).

Between the two clinician-based scoring systems (Green and O'Brien and Gartland and Werley), there was good correlation (r = -0.75, p = 0.01).

B. Correlation in final grading between outcome instruments

As seen in Table 3, there was moderate rank correlation between final Green and O'Brien grade and DASH (r = -0.42, p = 0.01) but a weaker correlation between Gartland and Werley and DASH (r = 0.31, p = 0.01). No correlation was shown between the Sarmiento score and DASH (r = -0.045, p = 0.652). Correlation between Green and O'Brien and Garland and Werley scoring systems was strong, however (r = 0.66, p = 0.01).

C. Predictors of clinical outcome

Multiple linear regression analysis identified function (0.42, p<0.01), power grip (r = 0.41, p < 0.01), pain (r = 0.37, p < 0.01) and range of motion (r = 0.28, p = 0.02) as significant predictors to the DASH score (Table 4).

Discussion and Conclusions

Distal radius fractures are amongst the most common fractures encountered by orthopaedic surgeons as they are the commonest fractures in the elderly. Although the initial description by Colles stated that there is little functional compromise in its aftermath, for years this has been a subject of debate and there is no clear consensus regarding its appropriate treatment. Scoring systems help clinicians evaluate the necessity for operative treatment by looking at patients' function outcome but correlation of scoring systems to the DASH score – the most widely used health questionnaire for upper extremity outcome – are variable.

We have shown in this study that the Green and O'Brien scoring system has a stronger correlation to DASH in both its raw score and final grading than that of Gartland and Werley and DASH. Table 2 also shows that patients had a higher average DASH score (i.e. less disability) when graded with the Green and O'Brien system compared to using the Gartland and Werley system. Therefore patients rated 'excellent' or 'good' have better functional outcome than those bearing the same grade in the latter scoring system. Green and O'Brien is more demanding a scoring system, requiring 100% normal grip and function for the maximum mark to be awarded in those parameters.

Reliance on certain variables can impact on their correlation with the DASH score. We have shown that significant predictors of the DASH score were found to include power grip, pain, function and range of motion – the exact 4 parameters used in the

Green and O'Brien score. The Gartland and Werley score, however, takes into account other parameters such as residual deformity and complications (nerve complications) but not grip strength. It is unsure as to how significant the contribution of these factors is towards the DASH score.

The Sarmiento radiological score has proven to have no correlation with the DASH score. This suggests that radiographic outcome and thus anatomical deformity has little effect towards functional outcome. These findings are consistent with results from previous studies [3, 16]. This may explain the comparatively weak correlation of Gartland and Werley score with DASH. It should be noted that all the subjects in this study were treated operatively, thus few patients were left with substantial residual deformity.

In the Gartland and Werley score as well as the DASH score, the 'pain' and 'function' parameters are subjective rather than physician-rated. Perception of pain and function by patients are known to be strongly influenced by psychosocial factors [17]. Although such parameters are important it can produce highly variable results. There is less of such variability in the Green and O'Brien score. Patient self-assessment questionnaires rely highly on compliance; Often lower completion rates can be expected of longer questionnaires and in older and frailer populations, particularly patients with poor hand and wrist function. This can pose a potential selection bias in this wrist fracture population.

Recently there been increasing in popularity in the use of the PRWE (patient-rated wrist evaluation) score [6, 18]. It comprises of 2 subscales – pain and function – and was developed specifically for patients with wrist fractures. Following validity, reliability and responsiveness testing, it has proven to be a robust scoring system correlating well to patient functional outcome. However the PRWE score was not included in our study as it was only recently introduced and had not been widely adopted during the period of data collection.

Given the weight of patient-rated factors in influencing final outcome, a suggestion drawn from the results of this study is that a subjective tool should always be included as part of outcome assessment in all future studies in distal radius fractures, even if produces variability in results. This is applicable for both young and osteoporotic adults.

The weakness of this study is that the follow-up times were variable hence patients

might have been in different stages of rehabilitation. Also, we have only carried out linear regression analysis on the DASH score. It would be interesting to carry out a similar analysis for the Green and O'Brien and Gartland and Werley scores to find out the impact of each individual factor on each scoring system. In terms of statistical analyses, in this study only linear regression was performed – we made the assumption that the parameters (age, function, pain, range of motion, etc.) formed a linear relationship with the DASH score. However, we have found that significant collinearity exists between variables, for example, between age and range of motion (-0.37), pain and function (0.41), plate type and range of motion (-0.64). High correlations between variables may pose the problem of multicollinearity in regression analysis. Fractures of the distal radius are common in older people, particularly in post-menopausal women. Often they are the result of low energy trauma. Since rehabilitation potential is highly variable with age, it would be useful to see whether significant differences exist by using the same outcome assessment instruments in younger and older populations.

A.

	Green & O'Brien	Gartland and Werley	Sarmiento
Excellent	8.9 (11.8)	9.9 (12.8)	13.4 (15.8)
Good	19.0 (19.0)	31.5 (22.0)	10.5 (14.6)
Fair	29.5 (23.7)	25.0 (-)	
Poor	34.1 (-)		

В.

	Green & O'Brien	Gartland and Werley	Sarmiento
Excellent	7.5 (10.7)	9.1 (11.7)	12.8 (16.4)
Good	15.6 (14.3)	16.7 (17.9)	9.2 (9.3)
Fair	16.7 (15.3)	30.3 (28.8)	17.1 (24.1)
Poor	47.7 (19.8)		

Table 1: Mean DASH scores (standard deviation) for each final grade when using different scoring systems. A) in under 55-year olds, and B) in over 55-year olds. (-): no standard deviation available as n=1.

	Green & O'Brien	Gartland and Werley	Sarmiento
Excellent	8.2 (11.1)	9.5 (12.2)	15.6 (17.8)
Good	16.9 (11.7)	20.2 (17.4)	6.5 (7.2)
Fair	20.7 (18.3)	29.0 (23.7)	17.1 (24.1)
Poor	44.3 (17.6)		

Table 2: Mean DASH scores (standard deviation) for each final grade when using different scoring systems – includes patients of all ages.



-					
				Green &	Gartland &
		Sarmiento	DASH	O'Brien Grade	Werley Grade
Sarmiento	Correlation Coefficient		045	073	026
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.642	.455	.791
DASH	Correlation Coefficient	045		.423**	.307**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.642		.000	.001
Green & O'Brien Grade	Correlation Coefficient	073	.423**		.662 ^{**}
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.455	.000		.000
Gartland & Werley Grade	Correlation Coefficient	026	.307**	.662**	
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.791	.001	.000	

^{**} Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Table 3: Spearman's rank correlation between final grading in Green and O'Brien, Gartland and Werley, Sarmiento and DASH.

	DASH score		
	Correlation		
	coefficient (r)	p value	
Age	.0.95	.168	
Gender	.056	.286	
Fracture type	071	.235	
Plate	.059	.274	
Power Grip %	406	.000	
Pain	365	.000	
Function	415	.000	
ROM	278	.002	
Length of follow-up	0.16	0.434	

Table 4: Regression analysis of predictor variables in Green and O'Brien, Gartland and Werley, Sarmiento and DASH scores.

References

- 1. McQueen, M and Caspers, J. Colles fracture: does the anatomical result affect the final function? *J Bone Joint Surg Br*, 1988; 70-B: p. 649-651.
- 2. Karnezis, IA and Fragkiadakis, EG. Association between objective clinical variables and patient-rated disability of the wrist. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*, 2002; 84-B: p. 967-970.
- 3. Young, BT and Rayan, GM. Outcome following non-operative treatment of displaced distal radius fractures in low-demand patients older than 60 years. *The Journal of Hand Surgery*, 2000; 25: p. 19-28.
- Bradway, J, Amadio, P, and Cooney, W. Open reduction and internal fixation of displaced, comminuted intra-articular fractures of the distal end of the radius. J Bone Joint Surg Am, 1989; 71: p. 839-847.
- 5. Goldhahn, J, Angst, F, and Simmen, BR. What counts: outcome assessment after distal radius fractures in aged patients. *Journal of Orthopaedic Trauma*, 2008; 22: p. S126-S130 10.1097/BOT.0b013e31817614a1.
- 6. MacDermid, JC, Richards, RS, Donner, A, Bellamy, N, and Roth, JH. Responsiveness of the short form-36, disability of the arm, shoulder, and hand questionnaire, patient-rated wrist evaluation, and physical impairment measurements in evaluating recovery after a distal radius fracture. *The Journal of Hand Surgery*, 2000; 25: p. 330-340.
- 7. Hudak, PL, Amadio, PC, Bombardier, C, et al. Development of an upper extremity outcome measure: The DASH (disabilities of the arm, shoulder, and head). *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*, 1996; 29: p. 602-608.
- 8. MacDermid, JC and Tottenham, V. Responsiveness of the disability of the arm, shoulder, and hand (DASH) and patient-rated wrist/hand evaluation (PRWHE) in evaluating change after hand therapy. *Journal of Hand Therapy*, 2004; 17: p. 18-23.
- 9. Baker, SP, O'Neill, B, Haddon, WJ, and Long, WB. The injury severity score: a method for describing patients with multiple injuries and evaluating emergency care. *The Journal of Trauma*, 1974; 14: p. 187-196.
- 10. Kreder, HJ, Hanel, DP, McKee, M, et al. X-ray film measurements for healed distal radius fractures. *The Journal of Hand Surgery*, 1996; 21: p. 31-39.
- 11. Sarmiento, A, Pratt, G, Berry, N, and Sinclair, W. Colles' fractures. Functional bracing in supination. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*, 1975; 57: p. 311-317.
- 12. Cooney, WP, Bussey, R, Dobyns, JH, and Linscheid, RL. Difficult wrist fractures perilunate fracture-dislocations of the wrist. *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research*, 1987; 214: p. 136-147.

- 13. Gartland, JJ, JR. and Werley, CW. Evaluation of healed Colles' fractures. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*, 1951; 33: p. 895-907.
- 14. Dowrick, AS, Gabbe, BJ, Williamson, OD, and Cameron, PA. Outcome instruments for the assessment of the upper extremity following trauma: a review. *Injury*, 2005; 36: p. 468-476.
- 15. Chan, KY, Leung, YC, Leung, F, Fung, KK, and Chow, SP. The disability of arm, shoulder and hand (DASH) outcome questionnaire: reliability and validity of the Chinese (QMH, HK) version. 200346.
- 16. Anzarut, A, Johnson, JA, Rowe, BH, et al. Radiologic and patient-reported functional outcomes in an elderly cohort with conservatively treated distal radius fractures. *The Journal of Hand Surgery*, 2004; 29: p. 1121-1127.
- 17. Souer, J-S, Lozano-Calderon, SA, and Ring, D. Predictors of wrist function and health status after operative treatment of fractures of the distal radius. *The Journal of Hand Surgery*, 2008; 33: p. 157.e1-157.e8.
- 18. MacDermid, JC. Development of a scale for patient rating of wrist pain and disability. *Journal of Hand Therapy*, 1996; 9: p. 178-183.



Appendix 1:

Green and O'Brien Score (Cooney's modification) [12]

- I. Pain (25 points)
 - 25 None
 - 20 Mild, occasional
 - 15 Moderate, tolerable
 - 0 Severe or intolerable
- II. Range of motion (25 points): flexion + extension, % of normal
 - 25 100
 - 15 75-99
 - 10 50-74
 - 5 25-49
 - 0 0-24
- III. Grip strength (25 points), % of normal
 - 25 100
 - 15 75-99
 - 10 50-74
 - 5 25-49
 - 0 0-24
- IV. Activities (25 points)
 - 25 Returned to regular employment
 - 20 Restricted employment
 - 15 Able to work but unemployed
 - 0 Unable to work because of pain

Final result

90-100	Excellent
80-89	Good
65-79	Fair
<65	Poor

Appendix 2:

Gartland and Werley Score (demerit system) [13]

- I. Subjective evaluation 6
 - Excellent: no pain, disability or limitation of motion (0)
 - Good: occasional pain, slight limitation of motion and no disability (2)
 - Fair: occasional pain, some limitation of motion, feeling of weakness in wrist, no particular disability if careful and activites slightly restricted (4)
 - Poor: pain, limitation of motions, disability and activites more or less markedly restricted (6)
- II. Objective evaluation 5
 - Loss of dorsiflexion (5)
 ulnar deviation (3)
 supination (2)
 palmar flexion (1)
 radial deviation (1)
 circumduction (1)
 distal radioulnar joint (1)
- III. Residual deformity 3
 - Prominent ulnar styloid (1)
 - Residual dorsal tilt (2)
 - Radial deviation of hand (2-3)
- IV. Complications 5
 - Arthritic changes minimal (1) minimal with pain (3) moderate (2) moderate with pain (4) severe (3)
 - severe with pain (5)

 Nerve complications (median) (1-3)
 - Poor finger function due to cast (1-2)

Final result

- 0-2 Excellent
- 3-8 Good
- 9-20 Fair
- ≥21 Poor

Appendix 3:

Sarmiento Radiological Score (modifield from Lidström and Frykman) [11]

Excellent No or insignificant deformity

Dorsal angulation ≥0 degrees

Shortening of <3mm

Loss of radial deviation <4 degrees

Good Slight deformity

Dorsal angulation of 1-10 degrees

Shortening of 3-6mm

Loss of radial deviation 5-9 degrees

Fair Moderate deformity

Dorsal angulation of 11-14 degrees

Shortening of 7-11mm

Loss of radial deviation 10-14 degrees

Poor Severe deformity

Dorsal angulation of ≥15 degrees

Shortening of ≥12mm

Loss of radial deviation >15 degrees

(Average radial deviation of 23 degrees)