The University of Hong Kong The HKU Scholars Hub



Title	f(R,Lm) gravity
Author(s)	Harko, TC; Lobo, FSN
Citation	European Physical Journal C. Particles and Fields, 2010, v. 70 n. 1-2, p. 373-379
Issued Date	2010
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/10722/142488
Rights	The original publication is available at www.springerlink.com

$f(R, L_m)$ gravity

Tiberiu Harko*

Department of Physics and Center for Theoretical and Computational Physics, The University of Hong Kong, Pok Fu Lam Road, Hong Kong, P. R. China

Francisco S. N. Lobo[†]

Centro de Astronomia e Astrofísica da Universidade de Lisboa, Campo Grande, Ed. C8 1749-016 Lisboa, Portugal

(Dated: October 5, 2010)

Abstract

We generalize the f(R) type gravity models by assuming that the gravitational Lagrangian is given by an arbitrary function of the Ricci scalar R and of the matter Lagrangian L_m . We obtain the gravitational field equations in the metric formalism, as well as the equations of motion for test particles, which follow from the covariant divergence of the energy-momentum tensor. The equations of motion for test particles can also be derived from a variational principle in the particular case in which the Lagrangian density of the matter is an arbitrary function of the energy-density of the matter only. Generally, the motion is non-geodesic, and takes place in the presence of an extra force orthogonal to the four-velocity. The Newtonian limit of the equation of motion is also considered, and a procedure for obtaining the energy-momentum tensor of the matter is presented. The gravitational field equations and the equations of motion for a particular model in which the action of the gravitational field has an exponential dependence on the standard general relativistic Hilbert–Einstein Lagrange density are also derived.

PACS numbers: 04.50.Kd,04.20.Cv, 95.35.+d

^{*}Electronic address: harko@hkucc.hku.hk

[†]Electronic address: flobo@cii.fc.ul.pt

I. INTRODUCTION

A very promising way to explain the recent observational data [1, 2] of the late-time acceleration of the Universe and of dark matter is to assume that at large scales the Einstein gravity model of general relativity breaks down, and a more general action describes the gravitational field. Theoretical models in which the standard Einstein-Hilbert action is replaced by an arbitrary function of the Ricci scalar R, first proposed in [3], have been extensively investigated lately. Thus, the late-time cosmic acceleration can be explained by f(R) gravity [4], and the conditions of viable cosmological models have been derived in [5]. In the context of the Solar System regime, severe weak field constraints seem to rule out most of the models proposed so far [6, 7], although viable models do exist [8–11]. f(R) models that pass local tests and unify inflation with dark energy were considered in [12]. The possibility that the galactic dynamic of massive test particles can be understood without the need for dark matter was also considered in the framework of f(R) gravity models [13–17]. For a review of f(R) generalized gravity models see [18].

A generalization of the f(R) gravity theories was proposed in [19] by including in the theory an explicit coupling of an arbitrary function of the Ricci scalar R with the matter Lagrangian density L_m . As a result of the coupling the motion of the massive particles is non-geodesic, and an extra force, orthogonal to the four-velocity, arises. The connections with MOND and the Pioneer anomaly were also explored. This model was extended to the case of the arbitrary couplings in both geometry and matter in [20]. The astrophysical and cosmological implications of the non-minimal coupling matter-geometry coupling were extensively investigated in [21, 22].

It is the purpose of the present paper to consider a maximal extension of the Hilbert-Einstein action, by assuming that the gravitational field can be described by field equations that can be derived from a variational principle with the Lagrangian density of the field given by an arbitrary function of the Ricci scalar and of the matter Lagrangian density. We derive the field equations for this model, and obtain the equations of motion of the test particles. Generally, the covariant divergence of the energy-momentum tensor is non-zero, and the motion of test particles is non-geodesic, and takes place in the presence of an extra force, orthogonal to the four-velocity. The non-geodesic motion, due to the non-minimal couplings present in the model, imply the violation of the equivalence principle, which is

highly constrained by solar system experimental tests [23, 24]. However, it has been recently reported, from data of the Abell Cluster A586, that interaction of dark matter and dark energy does imply the violation of the equivalence principle [25]. Thus, it is possible to test these models with non-minimal couplings in the context of the violation of the equivalence principle. It is also important to emphasize that the violation of the equivalence principle is also found as a low-energy feature of some compactified version of higher-dimensional theories.

The Newtonian limit of the equation of motion is also investigated, and a procedure for obtaining the energy-momentum tensor of the matter is presented. The gravitational field equations and the equations of motion for a particular model in which the action of the gravitational field has an exponential dependence on the standard general relativistic Hilbert–Einstein Lagrange density, $f(R, L_m) = \Lambda \exp(R/2\Lambda + L_m/\Lambda)$, are also derived. Generally, we assume that our model is valid for all types of matter, including scalar fields, vector fields, or even Yang-Mills fields. Non-minimal models of f(R) gravity, in which the geometric part of the action is multiplied by the electromagnetic field or the Yang-Mills field Lagrangian, were considered in [26].

The present paper is organized as follows. The field equations of the $f(R, L_m)$ gravity model are derived in Section II. The equation of motion of test particles, and the Newtonian limit of the equation of motion, are considered in Section III. The construction of the energy-momentum tensor for perfect thermodynamics fluids is presented in Section IV. A particular model of $f(R, L_m)$ gravity, in which the Lagrangian density of the gravitational field has an exponential dependence on the standard Hilbert–Einstein Lagrangian density of general relativity is discussed in Section V. We conclude and discuss our results in Section VI.

II. FIELD EQUATIONS OF $f(R, L_m)$ GRAVITY

We assume that the action for the modified theories of gravity takes the following form

$$S = \int f(R, L_m) \sqrt{-g} d^4x , \qquad (1)$$

where $f(R, L_m)$ is an arbitrary function of the Ricci scalar R, and of the Lagrangian density corresponding to matter, L_m . We define the energy-momentum tensor of the matter as [27]

$$T_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta \left(\sqrt{-g}L_m\right)}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} \,. \tag{2}$$

By assuming that the Lagrangian density L_m of the matter depends only on the metric tensor components $g_{\mu\nu}$, and not on its derivatives, we obtain

$$T_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}L_m - 2\frac{\partial L_m}{\partial q^{\mu\nu}}. (3)$$

By varying the action S of the gravitational field with respect to the metric tensor components $g^{\mu\nu}$ we obtain first

$$\delta S = \int \left[f_R(R, L_m) \, \delta R + f_{L_m}(R, L_m) \, \frac{\delta L_m}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} \delta g^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} f(R, L_m) \, \delta g^{\mu\nu} \right] \sqrt{-g} d^4 x, \tag{4}$$

where we have denoted $f_R(R, L_m) = \partial f(R, L_m) / \partial R$ and $f_{L_m}(R, L_m) = \partial f(R, L_m) / \partial L_m$, respectively. For the variation of the Ricci scalar we obtain

$$\delta R = \delta \left(g^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu} \right) = R_{\mu\nu} \delta g^{\mu\nu} + g^{\mu\nu} \left(\nabla_{\lambda} \delta \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} - \nabla_{\nu} \delta \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu\lambda} \right), \tag{5}$$

where ∇_{λ} is the covariant derivative with respect to the symmetric connection Γ associated to the metric g. Taking into account that the variation of the Christoffel symbols can be written as

$$\delta\Gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}g^{\lambda\alpha} \left(\nabla_{\mu}\delta g_{\nu\alpha} + \nabla_{\nu}\delta g_{\alpha\mu} - \nabla_{\alpha}\delta g_{\mu\nu} \right), \tag{6}$$

we obtain for the variation of the Ricci scalar the expression

$$\delta R = R_{\mu\nu} \delta g^{\mu\nu} + g_{\mu\nu} \nabla_{\mu} \nabla^{\mu} \delta g^{\mu\nu} - \nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} \delta g^{\mu\nu}. \tag{7}$$

Therefore for the variation of the action of the gravitational field we obtain

$$\delta S = \int \left[f_R(R, L_m) R_{\mu\nu} \delta g^{\mu\nu} + f_R(R, L_m) g_{\mu\nu} \nabla_{\mu} \nabla^{\mu} \delta g^{\mu\nu} - f_R(R, L_m) \nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} \delta g^{\mu\nu} + f_{L_m}(R, L_m) \frac{\delta L_m}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} \delta g^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} f(R, L_m) \delta g^{\mu\nu} \right] \sqrt{-g} d^4 x . \tag{8}$$

After partially integrating the second and third terms in Eq. (8), and with the use of the definition of the energy-momentum tensor, given by Eq. (3), we obtain the field equations of the $f(R, L_m)$ gravity model as

$$f_{R}(R, L_{m}) R_{\mu\nu} + (g_{\mu\nu} \nabla_{\mu} \nabla^{\mu} - \nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu}) f_{R}(R, L_{m}) - \frac{1}{2} [f(R, L_{m}) - f_{L_{m}}(R, L_{m}) L_{m}] g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} f_{L_{m}}(R, L_{m}) T_{\mu\nu}.$$
(9)

If $f(R, L_m) = R/2 + L_m$ (the Hilbert-Einstein Lagrangian), from Eq. (9) we recover the standard equations of general relativity, $R_{\mu\nu} - (1/2)g_{\mu\nu}R = T_{\mu\nu}$. For $f(R, L_m) = f_1(R) + f_2(R)G(L_m)$, where f_1 , f_2 and G are arbitrary functions of the Ricci scalar and of the matter Lagrangian density, respectively, we reobtain the field equations of the modified gravity with arbitrary geometry-matter coupling, considered in [20].

The contraction of Eq. (9) provides the following relation between the Ricci scalar R, the matter Lagrange density L_m , and the trace $T = T^{\mu}_{\mu}$ of the energy-momentum tensor,

$$f_{R}(R, L_{m}) R + 3\nabla_{\mu} \nabla^{\mu} f_{R}(R, L_{m}) - 2 [f(R, L_{m}) - f_{L_{m}}(R, L_{m}) L_{m}] = \frac{1}{2} f_{L_{m}}(R, L_{m}) T.$$
(10)

By eliminating the term $\nabla_{\mu}\nabla^{\mu}f_{R}(R,L_{m})$ between Eqs. (9) and (10), we obtain another form of the gravitational field equations as

$$f_{R}(R, L_{m}) \left(R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{3} R g_{\mu\nu} \right) + \frac{1}{6} \left[f(R, L_{m}) - f_{L_{m}}(R, L_{m}) L_{m} \right] g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} f_{L_{m}}(R, L_{m}) \left(T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{3} T g_{\mu\nu} \right) + \nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} f_{R}(R, L_{m}).$$
(11)

By taking the covariant divergence of Eq. (9), with the use of the mathematical identity [28],

$$\nabla^{\mu} \left[f_R(R, L_m) R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} f(R, L_m) g_{\mu\nu} + \left(g_{\mu\nu} \nabla_{\mu} \nabla^{\mu} - \nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} \right) f_R(R, L_m) \right] \equiv 0,$$

$$(12)$$

we obtain for the divergence of the energy-momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$ the equation

$$\nabla^{\mu} T_{\mu\nu} = \nabla^{\mu} \ln \left[f_{L_m} \left(R, L_m \right) \right] \left\{ L_m g_{\mu\nu} - T_{\mu\nu} \right\} = 2\nabla^{\mu} \ln \left[f_{L_m} \left(R, L_m \right) \right] \frac{\partial L_m}{\partial g^{\mu\nu}}.$$
(13)

The requirement of the conservation of the energy-momentum tensor of matter, $\nabla^{\mu}T_{\mu\nu} = 0$, gives an effective functional relation between the matter Lagrangian density and the function $f_{L_m}(R, L_m)$,

$$\nabla^{\mu} \ln \left[f_{L_m} \left(R, L_m \right) \right] \frac{\partial L_m}{\partial g^{\mu\nu}} = 0.$$
 (14)

Thus, once the matter Lagrangian density is known, by an appropriate choice of the function $f(R, L_m)$ one can construct, at least in principle, conservative models with arbitrary matter-geometry dependence.

III. THE EQUATION OF MOTION OF TEST PARTICLES AND THE NEWTO-NIAN LIMIT IN $f(R, L_m)$ GRAVITY

As a specific example of $f(R, L_m)$ gravity model we consider the case in which the matter, assumed to be a perfect thermodynamic fluid, obeys a barotropic equation of state, with the thermodynamic pressure p being a function of the rest mass density of the matter (for short: matter density) ρ only, so that $p = p(\rho)$. In this case, the matter Lagrangian density, which in the general case could be a function of both density and pressure, $L_m = L_m(\rho, p)$, or of only one of the thermodynamic parameters, becomes an arbitrary function of the density of the matter ρ only, so that $L_m = L_m(\rho)$. Then the energy-momentum tensor of the matter is given by [20]

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \rho \frac{dL_m}{d\rho} u^{\mu} u^{\nu} + \left(L_m - \rho \frac{dL_m}{d\rho} \right) g^{\mu\nu} , \qquad (15)$$

where the four-velocity $u^{\mu} = dx^{\mu}/ds$ satisfies the condition $g^{\mu\nu}u_{\mu}u_{\nu} = 1$. To obtain Eq. (15) we have imposed the condition of the conservation of the matter current, $\nabla_{\nu} (\rho u^{\nu}) = 0$, and we have used the relation

$$\delta \rho = \frac{1}{2} \rho \left(g_{\mu\nu} - u_{\mu} u_{\nu} \right) \delta g^{\mu\nu}, \tag{16}$$

whose proof is given in the Appendix of [22]. With the use of the identity

$$u^{\nu}\nabla_{\nu}u^{\mu} = \frac{d^2x^{\mu}}{ds^2} + \Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\lambda}u^{\nu}u^{\lambda},\tag{17}$$

from Eqs. (13) and (15) we obtain the equation of motion of a test fluid in $f(R, L_m)$ gravity as

$$\frac{d^2x^{\mu}}{ds^2} + \Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\lambda}u^{\nu}u^{\lambda} = f^{\mu},\tag{18}$$

where

$$f^{\mu} = -\nabla_{\nu} \ln \left[f_{L_m} (R, L_m) \frac{dL_m (\rho)}{d\rho} \right] (u^{\mu} u^{\nu} - g^{\mu\nu}).$$
 (19)

The extra-force f^{μ} is perpendicular to the four-velocity, $f^{\mu}u_{\mu}=0$.

The equation of motion Eq. (18) can be obtained from the variational principle

$$\delta S_p = \delta \int L_p ds = \delta \int \sqrt{Q} \sqrt{g_{\mu\nu} u^{\mu} u^{\nu}} ds = 0, \qquad (20)$$

where S_p and $L_p = \sqrt{Q}\sqrt{g_{\mu\nu}u^{\mu}u^{\nu}}$ are the action and the Lagrangian density for test particles, respectively, and

$$\sqrt{Q} = f_{L_m}(R, L_m) \frac{dL_m(\rho)}{d\rho}.$$
 (21)

To prove this result we start with the Lagrange equations corresponding to the action (20),

$$\frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{\partial L_p}{\partial u^{\lambda}} \right) - \frac{\partial L_p}{\partial x^{\lambda}} = 0. \tag{22}$$

Since

$$\frac{\partial L_p}{\partial u^{\lambda}} = \sqrt{Q} u_{\lambda} \tag{23}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial L_p}{\partial x^{\lambda}} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{Q} g_{\mu\nu,\lambda} u^{\mu} u^{\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{Q_{,\lambda}}{Q}, \tag{24}$$

a straightforward calculation gives the equations of motion of the particle as

$$\frac{d^2x^{\mu}}{ds^2} + \Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\lambda}u^{\nu}u^{\lambda} + (u^{\mu}u^{\nu} - g^{\mu\nu})\nabla_{\nu}\ln\sqrt{Q} = 0.$$
 (25)

By simple identification with the equation of motion given by Eq. (18), we obtain the explicit form of \sqrt{Q} , as given by Eq. (21). When $\sqrt{Q} \to 1$ we reobtain the standard general relativistic equation for geodesic motion.

The variational principle (20) can be used to study the Newtonian limit of the equations of motion of the test particles. In the limit of the weak gravitational fields,

$$ds \approx \sqrt{1 + 2\phi - \vec{v}^2} dt \approx \left(1 + \phi - \vec{v}^2/2\right) dt, \qquad (26)$$

where ϕ is the Newtonian potential and \vec{v} is the usual tridimensional velocity of the fluid. By assuming that in the Newtonian limit of weak gravitational fields the function $f_{L_m}(R, L_m)$ can be represented as

$$f_{L_m}(R, L_m) \approx 1 + U(R, L_m) , \qquad (27)$$

where $U(R, L_m) \ll 1$, the function \sqrt{Q} can be obtained as

$$\sqrt{Q} = \frac{dL_m(\rho)}{d\rho} + U(R, L_m) \frac{dL_m(\rho)}{d\rho}.$$
 (28)

Taking into account the first order of approximation, the equations of motion of the fluid can be derived from the variational principle

$$\delta \int \left[\frac{dL_m(\rho)}{d\rho} + U(R, L_m) \frac{dL_m(\rho)}{d\rho} + \phi - \frac{\vec{v}^2}{2} \right] dt = 0, \qquad (29)$$

and are given by

$$\vec{a} = -\nabla \phi - \nabla \frac{dL_m(\rho)}{d\rho} - \nabla U_E = \vec{a}_N + \vec{a}_H + \vec{a}_E, \qquad (30)$$

where \vec{a} is the total acceleration of the system, $\vec{a}_N = -\nabla \phi$ is the Newtonian gravitational acceleration, and

$$\vec{a}_E = -\nabla U_E = -\nabla \left[U(R, L_m) \frac{dL_m(\rho)}{d\rho} \right], \qquad (31)$$

is a supplementary acceleration induced due to the modification of the action of the gravitational field. As for the term $\vec{a}_H = -\nabla \left[dL_m\left(\rho\right)/d\rho\right]$, it has to be identified with the hydrodynamic acceleration term in the perfect fluid Euler equation.

IV. THE MATTER ENERGY-MOMENTUM TENSOR IN $f(R, L_m)$ GRAVITY

Since \vec{a}_H can be interpreted as a hydrodynamic acceleration term, in the Newtonian limit it can be represented in the form

$$\vec{a}_H = -\nabla \frac{dL_m(\rho)}{d\rho} = -\nabla \int_{\rho_0}^{\rho} \frac{dp}{d\rho} \frac{d\rho}{\rho}, \qquad (32)$$

where ρ_0 , an integration constant, plays the role of a limiting density. Hence the matter Lagrangian can be obtained by a simple integration as

$$L_m(\rho) = \rho \left[1 + \Pi(\rho) \right] - \int_{p_0}^p dp, \qquad (33)$$

where $\Pi(\rho) = \int_{p_0}^p dp/\rho$, and we have normalized an arbitrary integration constant to one. The quantity p_0 is an integration constant, or a limiting pressure. Therefore the corresponding energy-momentum tensor of the matter satisfying a barotropic equation of state is given by

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \{ \rho \left[1 + \Phi \left(\rho \right) \right] + p \left(\rho \right) \} u^{\mu} u^{\nu} - p \left(\rho \right) g^{\mu\nu} , \qquad (34)$$

respectively, where

$$\Phi\left(\rho\right) = \int_{\rho_0}^{\rho} \frac{p}{\rho^2} d\rho = \Pi\left(\rho\right) - \frac{p\left(\rho\right)}{\rho},\tag{35}$$

and with all the constant terms included in the definition of p. By introducing the energy density of the massive body according to the definition

$$\varepsilon = \rho \left[1 + \Phi \left(\rho \right) \right] \,, \tag{36}$$

the energy-momentum tensor of a test fluid can be written in $f(R, L_m)$ gravity in a form similar to the standard general relativistic case,

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \left[\varepsilon\left(\rho\right) + p\left(\rho\right)\right] u^{\mu} u^{\nu} - p\left(\rho\right) g^{\mu\nu}. \tag{37}$$

From a physical point of view $\Phi(\rho)$ can be interpreted as the elastic (deformation) potential energy of the body, and therefore Eq. (34) corresponds to the energy-momentum tensor of a compressible elastic isotropic system. An energy-momentum tensor of a similar form was introduced in the framework of standard general relativity by Fock [29]. The matter Lagrangian can also be written in the simpler form $L_m(\rho) = \rho \Phi(\rho)$.

The form of the matter Lagrangian, and the energy-momentum tensor, are strongly dependent on the equation of state. For example, if the barotropic equation of state is linear, $p = (\gamma - 1) \rho$, $\gamma = \text{constant}$, $1 \le \gamma \le 2$, then [22]

$$L_m(\rho) = \rho \left\{ 1 + (\gamma - 1) \left[\ln \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_0} \right) - 1 \right] \right\}, \tag{38}$$

and

$$\Phi\left(\rho\right) = \left(\gamma - 1\right) \ln\left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_0}\right),\tag{39}$$

respectively. In the case of a polytropic equation of state $p = K\rho^{1+1/n}$, K, n = constant, we find

$$L_m(\rho) = \rho + K\left(\frac{n^2}{n+1} - 1\right)\rho^{1+1/n},$$
 (40)

and

$$\Phi\left(\rho\right) = K n \rho^{1+1/n} = n p\left(\rho\right),\tag{41}$$

respectively, where we have taken for simplicity $\rho_0 = p_0 = 0$. For a fluid satisfying the ideal gas equation of state $p = k_B \rho T/\mu$, where k_B is Boltzmann's constant, T is the temperature, and μ is the mean molecular weight, we obtain

$$L_m(\rho) = \rho \left\{ 1 + \frac{k_B T}{\mu} \left[\ln \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_0} \right) - 1 \right] \right\} + p_0, \tag{42}$$

and

$$\Phi\left(\rho\right) = \frac{k_B T}{\mu} \ln \frac{\rho}{\rho_0}.\tag{43}$$

respectively. In the case of a physical system satisfying the ideal gas equation of state, the extra-acceleration is given by

$$\vec{a}_E \approx -\frac{k_B T}{\mu} \nabla \left[f_{L_m} \left(R, L_m \right) \ln \frac{\rho}{\rho_0} \right], \tag{44}$$

and it is proportional to the temperature of the fluid.

In $f(R, L_m)$ gravity the extra-force does not vanish for any specific choices of the matter Lagrangian. In the case of the dust, with p = 0, the extra force is given by

$$f^{\mu} = -\nabla_{\nu} \ln \left[f_{L_m} \left(R, L_m \right) \right] \left(u^{\mu} u^{\nu} - g^{\mu \nu} \right), \tag{45}$$

and it is independent on the thermodynamic properties of the system, being completely determined by geometry, kinematics and coupling. In the limit of small velocities and weak gravitational fields, the extra-acceleration of a dust fluid is given by

$$\vec{a}_E = -\nabla \left[f_{L_m} \left(R, L_m \right) \right]. \tag{46}$$

If the pressure does not have a thermodynamic or radiative component one can take $p_0 = 0$. If the pressure is a constant background quantity, independent of the density, so that $p = p_0$, then $L_m(\rho) = \rho$, and the energy-momentum tensor of the matter takes the form corresponding to dust, $T^{\mu\nu} = \rho u^{\mu}u^{\nu}$.

V. EXPONENTIAL $f(R, L_m)$ GRAVITY

As a simple toy model for $f(R, L_m)$ gravity we consider that the gravitational field can be described by a Lagrangian density of the form

$$f(R, L_m) = \Lambda \exp\left(\frac{1}{2\Lambda}R + \frac{1}{\Lambda}L_m\right),$$
 (47)

where $\Lambda > 0$ is an arbitrary constant. In the limit $(1/2\Lambda) R + (1/\Lambda) L_m \ll 1$, we obtain

$$f(R, L_m) \approx \Lambda + \frac{R}{2} + L_m + \dots, \tag{48}$$

that is, we recover the full Hilbert-Einstein gravitational Lagrangian with a cosmological constant.

With this choice of the Lagrangian density the gravitational field equations take the form

$$R_{\mu\nu} = (\Lambda - L_m) g_{\mu\nu} + T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{\Lambda} \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\mu} \nabla^{\mu} R + \nabla_{\mu} \nabla^{\mu} L_m \right) g_{\mu\nu} - \left(\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} R + \nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} L_m \right) \right] - \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} \nabla^{\lambda} R + \nabla^{\lambda} L_m \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\lambda} R + \nabla_{\lambda} L_m \right) g_{\mu\nu} - \left(\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\mu} R + \nabla_{\mu} L_m \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\nu} R + \nabla_{\nu} L_m \right) \right].$$

$$(49)$$

By introducing the standard Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian density of the gravitational field $L_H = R/2 + L_m$, the gravitational field equations for the exponential $f(R, L_m)$ gravity model take the form

$$R_{\mu\nu} = (\Lambda - L_m) g_{\mu\nu} + T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{\Lambda} \left[\nabla_{\mu} \nabla^{\mu} L_H g_{\mu\nu} - \nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} L_H \right] - \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \left[\nabla^{\lambda} L_H \nabla_{\lambda} L_H g_{\mu\nu} - \nabla_{\mu} L_H \nabla_{\nu} L_H \right].$$
 (50)

In the case of dust, with $L_m = \rho$, the mass density of the particles, the equation of motion of test particles becomes

$$\frac{d^2x^{\mu}}{ds^2} + \Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta}u^{\alpha}u^{\beta} = -\frac{1}{\Lambda}\nabla_{\nu}\left(\frac{1}{2}R + L_m\right)\left(u^{\mu}u^{\nu} - g^{\mu\nu}\right). \tag{51}$$

In the case of weak gravitational fields and of small particle velocities, the extraacceleration induced in the exponential model is given by $\vec{a} = -(1/\Lambda) \left[\nabla (R/2) + \nabla L_m\right]$. The extra-acceleration induced by the matter-geometry coupling is proportional to the gradients of the Ricci scalar, and of the matter Lagrangian. In the case of dust, the extra-force is proportional to the gradient of the matter density ρ .

As one can see from Eq. (50), the gravitational field equations of the exponential $f_{L_m}(R, L_m)$ gravity model contain a background term, proportional to the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$, and which depends on both the constant Λ , and the physical parameters (density, temperature, pressure) of the matter. Thus the model introduces an effective, time dependent "cosmological constant". The presence of such a term could explain the late acceleration of the Universe, which is suggested by the recent astronomical observations [1].

VI. DISCUSSIONS AND FINAL REMARKS

In the present paper we have considered a generalized gravity model with a Lagrangian density of an arbitrary function of the Lagrange density of the matter, and of the Ricci scalar. The proposed action represents the most general extension of the standard Hilbert action for the gravitational field, $S = \int [R/2 + L_m] \sqrt{-g} d^4x$. Note that the classical Einstein-Hilbert action, as well as most of its generalizations, has an additive structure, being constructed as the sum of several terms describing independently geometry, matter, and their coupling. On the other hand, the $f(R, L_m)$ modified theories of gravity open the possibility of going

beyond this algebraic structure - for example in the exponential $f(R, L_m)$ gravity model the gravitational Lagrangian density is the *product* of two independent functions of the Ricci scalar and of the matter Lagrange density. The equations of motion corresponding to this model show the presence of an extra-force acting on test particles, and the motion is generally non-geodesic. The physical implications of such a force have been already analyzed in the framework of the generalized gravity model with linear and general coupling between matter and geometry, proposed in [19–21], and the possible implications for the dark matter problem and for the explanation of the Pioneer anomaly have also been considered.

On the other hand, the field equations Eqs. (9) are equivalent to the Einstein equations of the f(R) model in empty space-time, but differ from them, as well as from standard general relativity, in the presence of matter. Therefore the predictions of the present model could lead to some major differences, as compared to the predictions of standard general relativity, or its extensions ignoring the role of the matter, in several problems of current interest, like cosmology, gravitational collapse or the generation of gravitational waves. The study of these phenomena may also provide some specific signatures and effects, which could distinguish and discriminate between the various theories of modified gravity.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank to the two anonymous referees, whose comments and suggestions helped us to significantly improve the manuscript. TH is supported by an RGC grant of the government of the Hong Kong SAR. FSNL acknowledges financial support of the Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia through the grants PTDC/FIS/102742/2008 and CERN/FP/109381/2009.

A. G. Riess et al., Astron. J. 116, 1009 (1998); S. Perlmutter et al., Astrophys. J. 517, 565 (1999); P. de Bernardis et al., Nature 404, 955 (2000); S. Hanany et al., Astrophys. J. 545, L5 (2000).

^[2] P. J. E. Peebles and B. Ratra, Rev. Mod. Phys. 75, 559 (2003); T. Padmanabhan, Phys. Repts. 380, 235 (2003).

- [3] H. A. Buchdahl, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 150, 1 (1970); R. Kerner, Gen. Rel. Grav. 14, 453 (1982); J. P. Duruisseau, R. Kerner and P. Eysseric, Gen. Rel. Grav. 15, 797 (1983); J. D. Barrow and A. C. Ottewill, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 16, 2757 (1983); H. Kleinert and H.-J. Schmidt, Gen. Rel. Grav. 34, 1295 (2002).
- [4] S. M. Carroll, V. Duvvuri, M. Trodden, and M. S. Turner, Phys. Rev. D 70, 043528 (2004).
- [5] S. Capozziello, S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, and A. Troisi, Phys. Lett. B 639, 135 (2006); L. Amendola, D. Polarski, and S. Tsujikawa, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 131302 (2007); S. Capozziello, S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov and A. Troisi, Phys. Lett. B 639, 135 (2006); S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Rev. D 74, 086005 (2006); M. Amarzguioui, O. Elgaroy, D. F. Mota, and T. Multamaki, Astron. Astrophys. 454, 707 (2006); L. Amendola, R. Gannouji, D. Polarski, and S. Tsujikawa, Phys. Rev. D 75, 083504 (2007); T. Koivisto, Phys. Rev. D 76, 043527 (2007); A. A. Starobinsky, JETP Lett. 86, 157 (2007); B. Li, J. D. Barrow, and D. F. Mota, Phys. Rev. D 76, 044027 (2007); S. E. Perez Bergliaffa, Phys. Lett. B 642, 311 (2006); J. Santos, J. S. Alcaniz, M. J. Reboucas, and F. C. Carvalho, Phys. Rev. D 76, 083513 (2007); G. Cognola, E. Elizalde, S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, and S. Zerbini, JCAP 0502, 010 (2005); V. Faraoni, Phys. Rev. D 72, 061501 (2005); V. Faraoni and S. Nadeau, Phys. Rev. D 72, 124005 (2005); S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. 4, 115 (2007); L. M. Sokolowski, Class. Quantum Grav. 24, 3391 (2007); V. Faraoni, Phys. Rev. D 75, 067302 (2007); C. G. Böhmer, L. Hollenstein and F. S. N. Lobo, Phys. Rev. D 76, 084005 (2007); S. Carloni, P. K. S. Dunsby, and A. Troisi, Phys. Rev. D 77, 024024 (2008); S. Capozziello, R. Cianci, C. Stornaiolo, and S. Vignolo, Class. Quant. Grav. 24, 6417 (2007); S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov and P. V. Tretyakov, Phys. Lett. B 651, 224 (2007); S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Lett. B 652, 343 (2007); S. Tsujikawa, Phys. Rev. D 77, 023507 (2008); K. N. Ananda, S. Carloni, and P. K. S. Dunsby, Phys. Rev. D 77, 024033 (2008); A. Guarnizo, L. Castaneda, and J. M. Tejeiro, arXiv:1002.0617v4 (2010).
- [6] T. Chiba, Phys. Lett. B 575, 1 (2003); A. L. Erickcek, T. L. Smith, and M. Kamionkowski, Phys. Rev. D 74, 121501 (2006); T. Chiba, T. L. Smith, and A. L. Erickcek, Phys. Rev. D 75, 124014 (2007); S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Lett. B 659, 821 (2008); S. Capozziello, A. Stabile, and A. Troisi, Phys. Rev. D 76, 104019 (2007); S. Capozziello, A. Stabile, and A. Troisi, Class. Quantum Grav. 25, 085004 (2008).
- [7] G. J. Olmo, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 023511 (2007).

- [8] W. Hu and I. Sawicki, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 064004 (2007).
- [9] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Rev. D 68, 123512 (2003); V. Faraoni, Phys. Rev. D 74, 023529 (2006); T. Faulkner, M. Tegmark, E. F. Bunn, and Y. Mao, Phys. Rev. D 76, 063505 (2007); P. J. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D 76, 024007 (2007); C. S. J. Pun, Z. Kovacs, and T. Harko, Phys. Rev. D 78, 024043 (2008).
- [10] I. Sawicki and W. Hu, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 127502 (2007).
- [11] L. Amendola and S. Tsujikawa, Phys. Lett. B 660, 125 (2008).
- [12] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Lett.B 657, 238 (2007); S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Rev. D77, 026007 (2008); G. Cognola, E. Elizalde, S. Nojiri, S.D. Odintsov, L. Sebastiani, and S. Zerbini, Phys. Rev. D77, 046009 (2008); S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Talks given at the international conferences YKIS 2010, Gravitation and Cosmology (YITP, Kyoto) and QFTG-10 (Tomsk), arXiv:1008.4275 (2010).
- [13] S. Capozziello, V. F. Cardone, and A. Troisi, JCAP 0608, 001 (2006); S. Capozziello, V. F. Cardone, and A. Troisi, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 375, 1423 (2007).
- [14] A. Borowiec, W. Godlowski, and M. Szydlowski, Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. 4 (2007) 183
- [15] C. F. Martins and P. Salucci, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 381, 1103 (2007).
- [16] C. G. Boehmer, T. Harko, and F. S. N. Lobo, Astropart. Phys. 29, 386 (2008).
- [17] C. G. Boehmer, T. Harko, and F. S. N. Lobo, JCAP **03**, 024 (2008).
- [18] T. P. Sotiriou and V. Faraoni, Rev. Mod. Phys. 82, 451 (2010); A. De Felice and S. Tsujikawa, Living Rev. Rel. 13, 3 (2010). F. S. N. Lobo, arXiv:0807.1640 [gr-qc].
- [19] O. Bertolami, C. G. Boehmer, T. Harko, and F. S. N. Lobo, Phys. Rev. D 75, 104016 (2007).
- [20] T. Harko, Phys. Lett. B **669**, 376 (2008).
- [21] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Lett. B 599, 137 (2004); G. Allemandi, A. Borowiec, M. Francaviglia, and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Rev. D 72, 063505 (2005); O. Bertolami and J. Paramos, Phys. Rev. D 77, 084018 (2008); V. Faraoni, Phys. Rev. D 76, 127501 (2007); T. P. Sotiriou, Phys. Lett. B 664, 225 (2008); O. Bertolami and J. Paramos, Class. Quant. Grav.25, 245017 (2008); D. Puetzfeld and Y. N. Obukhov, Phys. Rev. D 78, 121501 (2008); O. Bertolami, T. Harko, F. S. N. Lobo, and J. Paramos, arXiv:0811.2876 (2008); T. P. Sotiriou and V. Faraoni, Class. Quant. Grav. 25, 205002 (2008); O. Bertolami, F. S. N. Lobo, and J. Paramos, Phys. Rev. D 78, 064036 (2008); V. Faraoni, Phys. Rev. D 80, 124040 (2009); O.

- Bertolami and M. C. Sequeira, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 104010 (2009); S. Nesseris, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 044015 (2009); T. Harko, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 084050 (2010); T. Harko and F. S. N. Lobo, arXiv:1007.4415 (2010); S. Thakur, A. A. Sen, and T. R. Seshadri, arXiv:1007.5250 (2010).
- [22] T. Harko, Phys. Rev. D 81, 044021 (2010).
- [23] V. Faraoni, Cosmology in Scalar-Tensor Gravity, Kluwer Academis Publishers (2004).
- [24] O. Bertolami. J. Páramos and S. Turyshev, "General theory of relativity: Will it survive the next decade?", gr-qc/0602016.
- [25] O. Bertolami, F. Gil Pedro and M. Le Delliou, Phys. Lett. B 654, 165 (2007).
- [26] K. Bamba and S. D. Odintsov, JCAP 0804, 024 (2008); K. Bamba, S. Nojiri, and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Rev. D77, 123532 (2008).
- [27] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, The Classical Theory of Fields, Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford (1998).
- [28] T. Koivisto, Class. Quant. Grav. 23, 4289 (2006).
- [29] V. Fock, The theory of space, time and gravitation, Pergamon Press, London New York Paris Los Angeles, (1959),