



Title	Review of Anesthesia for Middle Ear Surgery
Author(s)	Liang, S; Irwin, MG
Citation	Anesthesiology Clinics, 2010, v. 28 n. 3, p. 519-528
Issued Date	2010
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/10722/142299
Rights	NOTICE: this is the author's version of a work that was accepted for publication in Anesthesiology Clinics. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published in Anesthesiology Clinics, 2010, v. 28 n. 3, p. 519-528. DOI: 10.1016/j.anclin.2010.07.009

Review of Anesthesia for Middle Ear Surgery

Sharon Liang, BSc, MBBS^a,

Michael G Irwin, MB, ChB, MD, FRCA, FANZCA, FHKAM^{b,*}

[Q3] [Q2]
[Q6] [Q4]

KEYWORDS

- Anesthesia for middle ear surgery • Controlled hypotension
- Postoperative nausea and vomiting

The middle ear refers to an air-filled space between the tympanic membrane and the oval window. It is connected to the nasopharynx by the eustachian tube and is in close proximity to the temporal lobe, cerebellum, jugular bulb, and the labyrinth of the inner ear. The middle ear contains three ossicles—the malleus, incus and stapes—which are responsible for transmission of sound vibration from the eardrum to the cochlea. This air-filled cavity is traversed by the facial nerve before it exits the skull via the stylomastoid foramen.^{1,2} The facial nerve provides motor innervation to the muscles of facial expression.

COMMON MIDDLE EAR SURGERIES

Middle ear disease affects patients of all ages. Common middle ear pathologic conditions requiring surgery in adults include tympanoplasty (reconstructive surgery for the tympanic membrane, or eardrum), stapedectomy or ossiculoplasty for otosclerosis, mastoidectomy for removal of infected air cells within the mastoid bone, and removal of cholesteoma.² Common middle ear surgery in children includes tympanoplasty, mastoidectomy, myringotomy, grommet insertion, and cochlear implantation.² Some of these procedures can be performed under local anesthesia, although obviously, all surgery can be performed under general anesthesia if necessitated by patient or surgical factors (**Box 1**).

[Q7]

ANESTHETIC CONSIDERATIONS IN MIDDLE EAR SURGERY

Given the unique location, size, and delicate content of the middle ear, great care must be taken during the perioperative period. Special considerations include: provision of

^a Department of Anaesthesiology, University of Hong Kong, Queen Mary Hospital, Main Block, Room 43, 2/F, 102 Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong

^b Department of Anaesthesiology, University of Hong Kong, K424, Queen Mary Hospital, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: mgirwin@hku.hk

[Q5]

Box 1**Common procedures in middle ear surgery***Local anesthesia*

Surgical factors

- Insertion of grommet
- Myringoplasty
- Tympanoplasty
- Stapedotomy
- Stapedectomy
- Ossiculoplasty (IOFNM)
- Mastoidectomy (IOFNM)
- Cholesteoma surgery via intact ear canal (IOFNM)

Patient factors

- Adult
- Patient must be able to understand, cooperate, hear and communicate

General anesthesia

Surgical factors

- Cochlear implantation
- Long operations
- Complicated surgery (eg, extensive scar tissue in middle ear)

Patient factors

- Children
- Mentally unstable, uncooperative patients
- Patients who request general anesthesia

Abbreviation: IOFNM, intraoperative facial nerve monitoring.

a bloodless surgical field, attention to patient's head positioning, airway management, facial nerve monitoring, the effect of nitrous oxide on the middle ear, a smooth and calm recovery, and prevention of postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV).²⁻⁵

A bloodless surgical field is ideal, as even small amounts of blood will obscure the surgeon's view in microsurgery. A combination of physical and pharmacologic techniques is used to minimize bleeding. Attention to patient's head positioning is important to avoid venous obstruction and congestion. In addition, extreme hyperextension or torsion can cause injury to the brachial plexus and the cervical spine.⁴ In patients with carotid atherosclerosis, carotid blood flow may be compromised or plaque emboli dislodged, and it is worth auscultating for carotid bruit before surgery. During general anesthesia, the airway can be maintained with a laryngeal mask airway (LMA) or endotracheal intubation; intubation may be more appropriate if extreme neck extension or rotation is required. LMA, however, is a suitable alternative for most middle ear surgery, and a wide range of devices are now available. A well-documented potential complication of otologic surgery is facial nerve paralysis, and a nerve stimulator is often employed for intraoperative monitoring of evoked facial nerve electromyographic activity to aid preservation of the facial nerve. Muscle relaxants should be

[Q1]

100 avoided in this circumstance or, if neuromuscular block is needed to facilitate smooth
101 intubation, choose a dose and an agent (eg, mivacurium no longer manufactured in the
102 United States) that ensures the return of function before the need for neuromuscular
103 monitoring arises.³⁻⁵ It also should be borne in mind that sudden unexpected patient
104 movement may jeopardize the success of surgery, and depth of anesthesia monitoring
105 may be useful. The use of nitrous oxide in middle ear surgery is controversial. A
106 smooth recovery without coughing or straining is important, especially in patients
107 who have undergone reconstructive middle ear surgery to prevent prosthesis
108 displacement. PONV is a common problem after middle ear surgery that can be mini-
109 mized by appropriate choice of anesthetic technique and antiemetic prophylaxis.³⁻⁵
110 Most middle ear procedures can be performed as outpatient surgery; thus rapid
111 recovery, good analgesia, and avoidance of nausea and vomiting are essential.⁶

[Q8]

112 PREOPERATIVE ASSESSMENT

113 For adults, simple middle ear surgery can be performed under local or general anes-
114 thesia, although complicated or long procedures should be performed under general
115 anesthesia. Patients who are able to understand the procedure, and to communicate
116 and cooperate throughout the procedure, are suitable candidates for local anesthesia
117 with or for foregoing sedation.⁷ Patients undergoing middle ear surgery often suffer
118 from extensive hearing loss, thus hindering their ability to cooperate, and in this situ-
119 ation, surgery might be better performed under general anesthesia. Leaving the
120 hearing aid in situ in the nonsurgical ear before induction and replacement before
121 emergence may help to minimize anxiety and ease communication. Oral anxiolysis
122 premedication with benzodiazepines can be considered or standard sedation regi-
123 mens used intraoperatively. A history of cardiovascular disease, hypovolemia, and
124 anemia will limit the degree of hypotension possible. In pediatric patients, in addition
125 to the usual components of preoperative assessment, it is important to check for
126 coexisting syndromes and recent upper respiratory tract infection.⁶

127 CHOICE OF ANESTHESIA

128 Four nerves provide innervation to the ear. The auriculotemporal nerve supplies the
129 outer auditory meatus; the great auricular nerve supplies the medial and lower aspect
130 of the auricle and part of the external auditory meatus. The auricular branch of the
131 vagus nerve supplies the concha and the external auditory meatus, and the tympanic
132 nerves supply the tympanic cavity.^{1,4}

133 General or local anesthesia has advantages and disadvantages. Uncomplicated
134 middle ear surgery can be performed under local anesthesia. In a study on local anes-
135 thesia in middle ear surgery by Caner and colleagues,⁸ patients were premedicated
136 with meperidine and atropine intramuscularly 30 minutes before being taken to
137 surgery, and 5 mg to 10 mg diazepam was given intravenously if the patient was still
138 agitated in the operating room. Two percent lidocaine with 1:10,000 epinephrine was
139 used for infiltration and auriculotemporal/auricular nerve blocks. Seventy-three of the
140 100 patients said they would prefer local anesthesia for a similar operation in the
141 future. In a similar survey, Yung⁷ found the most common discomforts reported
142 were noise during surgery and anxiety, followed by dizziness, backache, claustro-
143 phobia, and earache. Despite these discomforts, however, 89% of patients said
144 they would prefer local anesthesia for similar operations in the future. Pain was felt
145 mainly at the beginning of surgery when multiple injections of local anesthetic were
146 given, and perhaps the preoperative application of lidocaine and prilocaine (EMLA)
147 could have assisted in this. For the surgeons, the main advantage of performing
148
149
150

[Q9]

151 middle ear surgery under local anesthesia is the ability to test hearing during surgery,
152 and they also report less bleeding. The main concerns of not performing middle ear
153 surgery under local anesthesia are that patients may not tolerate the discomfort and
154 the possibility of sudden movement. Another drawback is potential toxicity, as near-
155 toxic plasma levels of local anesthetic have been reported in the first 5 minutes
156 following infiltration for tympanoplasty.⁹ The head may be obscured by drapes during
157 surgery, and extra vigilance is required for possible respiratory depression or airway
158 obstruction. Supplementary oxygen can be provided with nasal cannulae, and it is
159 also possible to use capnometry or a precordial stethoscope to monitor breathing.
160 Clear plastic drapes may reduce feelings of claustrophobia, and a forced air device
161 can be used to provide some room air ventilation.

162 Thus, with careful patient selection, adequate preoperative explanation, and appropri-
163 ate use of sedation, middle ear surgery can be successfully performed under local
164 anesthesia, with high patient and operator satisfaction and acceptance. Benedik and
165 Manohin¹⁰ compared safety and efficacy of propofol versus midazolam for conscious
166 sedation in middle ear surgery. The study demonstrated that propofol was associated
167 with significantly shorter recovery time and better patient and surgeon satisfaction
168 compared with midazolam. Adverse effects of propofol and midazolam, such as respi-
169 ratory depression, hypotension, and sudden intraoperative movements, are obvious
170 drawbacks.

171 Alpha-2 agonists such as clonidine or, more recently, dexmedetomidine, may have
172 some advantages, as they produce arousable sedation, analgesia, and a modest
173 reduction in heart rate and blood pressure without respiratory depression, particularly
174 important when the head is obscured by surgical drapes.¹¹ Dexmedetomidine has
175 been used successfully as the primary sedative with supplementary low-dose propo-
176 fol and midazolam for monitored anesthesia care during awake thyroplasty, a proce-
177 dure that requires the patient to verbalize when asked and otherwise remain
178 immobile.¹² Surgeons reported satisfactory operating conditions, and patients had
179 no recall of the procedure and no pain.¹² It also has a role in awake craniotomy.¹³
180 Thus, dexmedetomidine could be used in a similar way for middle ear surgery but
181 has not been widely reported in the literature.

182 In summary, the advantages of performing middle ear surgery under local anes-
183 thesia and conscious sedation include less bleeding, reduced pain in the immediate
184 postoperative period, early mobilization, cost-effectiveness, and the ability to test
185 hearing restoration during surgery.⁸

186 Despite these advantages, however, and the special concerns of general anesthesia
187 for middle ear surgery outlined earlier, most middle ear surgery is still performed under
188 general anesthesia.

189 Total intravenous anesthesia (TIVA) versus volatile-based anesthesia for middle
190 ear surgery long has been a subject of debate. Mukherjee and colleagues¹⁴
191 compared PONV, pain, and conditions for surgery in patients who had undergone
192 middle ear surgery under TIVA using remifentanyl and propofol, with technique using
193 fentanyl, propofol, and isoflurane maintenance. More patients in the inhalation group
194 suffered from PONV (25%) versus the TIVA group (8%) in the recovery room. In the
195 early postoperative period, the TIVA group reported higher pain scores and required
196 more morphine in the recovery room, but there was no significant difference at 2, 4,
197 6, 8, 12, and 18 hours. Conditions for surgery in the TIVA group were reported to be
198 superior. In another study comparing propofol-based anesthesia with inhalation
199 anesthetic techniques in terms of recovery profile and incidence of PONV for middle
200 ear surgery, TIVA was associated with more rapid emergence and less nausea and
201 vomiting.^{15,16}

202 The use of nitrous oxide in anesthetic practice has declined in recent years as
203 a result of concerns over both physical and metabolic effect.^{17,18} The use of nitrous
204 oxide in middle ear surgery is particularly controversial. Nitrous oxide is more soluble
205 than nitrogen in blood and in high concentrations enters the middle ear cavity more
206 rapidly than nitrogen leaves, causing a raise in middle ear pressure if the eustachian
207 tube is obstructed.^{4,5} During tympanoplasty, the middle ear is open to the atmo-
208 sphere; thus there is no build-up of pressure, but once a tympanic membrane graft
209 is placed the continued use of nitrous oxide might cause displacement of graft. At
210 the end of surgery, when it is discontinued, nitrous oxide is rapidly absorbed, which
211 may then result in negative pressure also possibly resulting in graft dislodgement,
212 serous otitis media, disarticulation of the stapes, or impaired hearing.^{4,5} Thus, the
213 use of nitrous oxide is not recommended in tympanoplasty. Furthermore, a well known
214 adverse effect of nitrous oxide is PONV, and consequently, its use in middle ear
215 surgery may further increase the incidence of PONV above that already associated
216 with this type surgery.

217 Endotracheal intubation and laryngoscopy during general anesthesia is associated
218 with many potential complications such as sore throat, cough, dental injury, difficult
219 emergence, and use of muscle relaxants for tube insertion.¹⁹ In comparison, the
220 LMA is free from such complications, and a smooth recovery can be attained easily.
221 It also offers advantages of intravenous sedation with less risk of over sedation and
222 obstructive apnea.²⁰ Safety and efficacy of the LMA were compared with endotra-
223 cheal intubation in patients who underwent otologic surgery in a retrospective chart
224 review study conducted at a military tertiary care teaching hospital. No major airway
225 complication was reported in either group; a significant decrease in the use of neuro-
226 muscular blockers was noted in the LMA group, and total anesthetic time was also
227 shorter in this group. There was no difference in the incidence of PONV or duration
228 of postanesthesia care unit stay.²¹ The use of the LMA for head and neck procedures
229 is reviewed by Mandel in this issue.

230 A bloodless operative field is essential, because even a few drops of blood can
231 obscure the surgical field. Physical and pharmacologic techniques are used:
232 a head-up tilt 15° to 20°, avoidance of venous obstruction, normocapnia, and
233 controlled hypotension. Controlled hypotension is defined as a reduction of systolic
234 blood pressure to 80 mm Hg/90 mmHg, a reduction of mean arterial pressure to
235 50 mm Hg to 65 mm Hg in patients without hypertension, or a reduction of 30%
236 of baseline mean arterial pressure in patients with hypertension.²² A slightly elevated
237 position of the head reduces arterial and venous pressures in areas above the heart;
238 however, it increases the risk of air embolism. In the presence of hypotension,
239 elevating the head will further compromise perfusion of the head and neck region.
240 Pharmacologic agents used for controlled hypotension in ear, nose, and throat
241 surgery include: inhalation anesthetics (eg, isoflurane and sevoflurane), vasodilators
242 (eg, sodium nitroprusside and nitroglycerin), beta adrenoceptor antagonists (labetalol
243 and esmolol), alpha-2 adrenergic agonists (clonidine and dexmedetomidine), opioids
244 (remifentanyl),²³ and more recently magnesium sulfate.²⁴ However, controlled hypo-
245 tension is not without risk; in addition to the adverse effects of certain pharmacologic
246 agents, it can cause tissue hypoxia by reducing microcirculatory autoregulation of
247 vital organs.

248 In moderate concentrations, isoflurane lowers blood pressure via a vasodilating
249 effect while preserving cerebral autoregulation. However, at higher concentrations,
250 it causes an increase in intracranial pressure due to increased cerebral blood flow
251 and impairment of cerebral autoregulation.²³ Sevoflurane produces its hypotensive
252 effect by direct vasodilatation without modifying cochlear blood flow.^{25,26} In addition,

253 it has a low blood gas solubility and low airway irritability, making it a good agent for
254 gas induction in pediatric patient, although its use is commonly associated with emer-
255 gence agitation and negative postoperative behavioral changes in this group.²⁷ In high
256 concentrations, inhalation anesthetics interfere with the measurement of evoked
257 potentials use for facial nerve monitoring.

258 The vasodilators sodium nitroprusside and nitroglycerin have become less popular
259 because of adverse effects and the availability of better agents. Sodium nitroprusside
260 is very potent and has a fast onset and offset, but it has several serious adverse effects
261 including tachyphylaxis, rebound hypertension, organ ischemia, and cyanide
262 toxicity.²³ Sodium nitroprusside employed as an adjunct to sevoflurane anesthesia
263 in children improved surgical field visibility but provoked lactic acidosis and increased
264 hypercapnia.²³ Nitroglycerin is a short acting nonspecific direct vasodilator of venous
265 and arterial vessels, which does not produce toxic metabolites. Compared with
266 sodium nitroprusside, nitroglycerin is less effective in inducing hypotension and
267 does so more slowly.²³ Both agents require close blood pressure monitoring, prefer-
268 ably with an arterial line.

269 Labetalol is a competitive antagonist at beta and alpha receptors with a ratio of 7:1.
270 Beta adrenoceptor blockade decreases myocardial contractility and heart rate, while
271 alpha blockade produces vasodilatation.²³ Adverse effects include bronchospasm,
272 prolonged hypotension, and conduction blockade. Esmolol is a short-acting beta-1
273 adrenoceptor antagonist, which has an onset time of about 3 minutes and duration
274 of action of approximately 10 minutes. It decreases blood pressure by lowering heart
275 rate and reducing renin activity and catecholamine levels.²⁸ Compared with sodium
276 nitroprusside, beta adrenoceptor antagonists lower blood pressure and reduce blood
277 flow to the middle ear and improve surgical field without metabolic complications.²²

278 The alpha-2 adrenoceptor agonists, clonidine and dexmedetomidine, have been
279 discussed earlier in relation to their sedative and analgesic properties. They also mark-
280 edly reduce catecholamine secretion, are anesthetic sparing, and produce moderate
281 bradycardia and hypotension.^{27,29,30} A randomized study investigating the effective-
282 ness of dexmedetomidine in reducing bleeding during septoplasty and tympanoplasty
283 operations demonstrated dexmedetomidine significantly reduced bleeding and fen-
284 tanyl requirement in septoplasty and reduced fentanyl requirement in tympanoplasty
285 operations, but the decrease in bleeding was not significant.³⁰ Durmus and
286 colleagues³¹ used dexmedetomidine to improve the quality of surgical field in both
287 tympanoplasty and septoplasty, and concluded that dexmedetomidine is a useful
288 adjuvant to decrease bleeding.

289 Remifentanil is an ultrashort-acting mu receptor agonist. It is able to decrease
290 systemic blood pressure, reduce blood flow to the middle ear, and produce better visi-
291 bility in the operative field without impairing autoregulation of the middle ear microcir-
292 culation.^{23,32} The proposed mechanism of action is via central sympathetic blockade.
293 Degoute and colleagues³² reported that remifentanil combined with sevoflurane in
294 children enabled controlled hypotension, reduced middle ear blood flow, and
295 provided a good surgical field for middle ear surgery with no additional need for other
296 hypotensive agents. Furthermore, remifentanil reduced sevoflurane requirement and
297 helped avoid the use of muscle relaxants. There is some evidence that intraoperative
298 infusion of high doses of remifentanil can cause postoperative hyperalgesia,
299 increasing the postoperative analgesic requirement but this is controversial.^{33,34}

300 Magnesium sulfate is a noncompetitive N-methyl-D aspartate (NMDA) receptor
301 antagonist with antinociceptive effects, and it inhibits entry of calcium ions into cells.
302 Magnesium sulfate is used as a vasodilator for controlled hypotension. Ryu and
303 colleagues²⁴ compared remifentanil and magnesium sulfate for middle ear surgery

[Q11]

304 in terms of hemodynamic effects and postoperative pain when combined with sevo-
305 flurane. They reported no significant difference over time in mean arterial pressure
306 or heart rate between the drugs. Patients in the magnesium sulfate group had a lower
307 sevoflurane requirement than those receiving remifentanyl. Overall, magnesium sulfate
308 was associated with more stable perioperative hemodynamics and produced better
309 analgesia and less PONV compared with remifentanyl.

310 Otolgic surgical procedures are associated with facial nerve paralysis, and thus
311 facial nerve protection is an important consideration. Preservation of the facial nerve
312 can be easily confirmed if the patient is not paralyzed,⁴ but use of muscle relaxants
313 compromises the interpretation of evoked facial electromyographic activity. Since
314 any sudden movement could jeopardize surgery, it has been suggested that partial
315 neuromuscular blockade as determined by train-of-four peripheral nerve stimulation
316 be used.³⁵

317 Middle ear surgery is associated with a high incidence of PONV. In the absence of
318 antiemetic treatment, 62% to 80% of patients will be afflicted.³⁶ The etiology of PONV
319 is multifactorial and depends on various factors, including patient demographics,
320 history of PONV, anesthetic technique, use of nitrous oxide, duration of anesthesia
321 and operation, and even surgical experience.³⁷⁻³⁹ TIVA reduces PONV compared
322 with using volatile agents.¹⁴ Use of nitrous oxide is associated with a higher incidence
323 of PONV. Patients operated on by residents required more aggressive prophylaxis for
324 PONV than those operated on by specialists.³⁹ Prophylactic administration of anti-
325 emetic medication also decreases the incidence of PONV. Usmani and colleagues³⁷
326 compared the efficacy of ondansetron (0.1 mg/kg), dexamethasone (0.15 mg/kg)
327 and a combination of ondansetron (0.1 mg/kg) and dexamethasone (0.15 mg/kg) for
328 prevention of PONV in a randomized double-blind study involving 90 ASA I and II
329 patients. They concluded that prophylactic therapy with ondansetron together with
330 dexamethasone is superior to either drug alone. Another study comparing the efficacy
331 of combining granisetron and dexamethasone to either drug alone yielded similar
332 results.⁴⁰ This also holds true in pediatric patients.^{41,42} Thus, the combination of
333 a selective 5-hydroxy tryptamine type 3 receptor antagonist together with dexameth-
334 asone is more effective in preventing PONV than either drug alone. Yeo and
335 colleagues⁴³ compared the antiemetic efficacy of dexamethasone combined with
336 midazolam and concluded that the addition of midazolam did not significantly reduce
337 the overall incidence of PONV compared with dexamethasone alone. However, the
338 addition of midazolam did lower the incidence of vomiting and the need for rescue
339 antiemetic.⁴³

340 Patients who underwent middle ear surgery under local anesthesia experienced less
341 immediate postoperative pain than those under general anesthesia. A multimodal
342 analgesic approach combining opioids, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs/coxibs,
343 and acetaminophen is generally appropriate. A recent study found blockade of the
344 auricular branch of the vagus nerve with 0.2 mL of 0.25% bupivacaine to be more
345 effective than intranasal fentanyl (2 µg/kg) in management of postoperative pain in
346 infants and children undergoing myringotomy and tube placement.⁴⁴

347 In conclusion, with careful patient selection, local anesthesia with sedation is
348 a good alternative to general anesthesia for simple middle ear surgery. General
349 anesthesia with TIVA provides a better recovery profile and less nausea and vomit-
350 ing compared with inhalational anesthesia, and nitrous oxide should be avoided.
351 Remifentanyl is a good drug for controlled hypotension and for avoidance of muscle
352 relaxants. If required, partial neuromuscular blockade can still allow facial nerve
353 monitoring during surgery. Combination PONV prophylaxis is more effective than
354 single drug treatment.

REFERENCES

1. Moore KL, Dalley AF. Clinically orientated anatomy. 4th edition. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins; 1999.
2. Dhillon R.S, Eas CA. Ear, nose, throat, and head and neck surgery: an illustrated colored text. 2nd edition. Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone; 1999.
3. Deacock AR. Aspects of anaesthesia for middle ear surgery and blood loss during stapedectomy. *Proc R Soc Med* 1971;64(12):1226–8.
4. Miller RD. In: Miller's anesthesia, Volume 2, 6th edition. Pennsylvania: Elsevier Churchill Livingstone; 2005.
5. Morgan EG, Mikhail MS, Murray MJ. Clinical anesthesiology. 4th edition. New York: Lange Medical Books/McGraw-Hill; 2006.
6. Bailey CR. Management of outpatient ear, nose and throat surgery. *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol* 2001;14(6):617–21.
7. Yung MW. Local anaesthesia in middle ear surgery: survey of patients and surgeons. *Clin Otolaryngol Allied Sci* 1996;21(5):404–8.
8. Caner G, Olgun L, Gultekin G, et al. Local anesthesia for middle ear surgery. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2005;133(2):295–7.
9. Bachmann B, Biscopong J, Adams HA, et al. Plasma concentrations of lidocaine and prilocaine following infiltration anesthesia in otorhinolaryngologic surgery. *Laryngol Rhinol Otol (Stuttg)*. 1988;67(7):335–9.
10. Benedik J, Manohin A. Sedation for middle ear surgery: prospective clinical trial comparing propofol and midazolam. *Cent Eur J Med* 2008;3(4):487–93.
11. Yuen VM. Dexmedetomidine: perioperative applications in children. *Paediatr Anaesth* 2009.
12. Busick T, Kussman M, Scheidt T, et al. Preliminary experience with dexmedetomidine for monitored anesthesia care during ENT surgical procedures. *Am J Ther* 2008;15(6):520–7.
13. Poon CC, Irwin MG. Anaesthesia for deep brain stimulation and in patients with implanted neurostimulator devices. *Br J Anaesth* 2009;103(2):152–65.
14. Mukherjee K, Seavell C, Rawlings E, et al. A comparison of total intravenous with balanced anaesthesia for middle ear surgery: effects on postoperative nausea and vomiting, pain, and conditions of surgery. *Anaesthesia* 2003; 58(2):176–80.
15. Jellish WS, Leonetti JP, Murdoch JR, et al. Propofol-based anesthesia as compared with standard anesthetic techniques for middle ear surgery. *J Clin Anesth* 1995;7(4):292–6.
16. Jellish WS, Leonetti JP, Fahey K, et al. Comparison of 3 different anesthetic techniques on 24-hour recovery after otologic surgical procedures. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 1999;120(3):406–11.
17. Irwin MG, Trinh T, Yao CL. Occupational exposure to anaesthetic gases: a role for TIVA. *Expert Opin Drug Saf* 2009;8(4):473–83.
18. Sanders RD, Weimann J, Maze M. Biologic effects of nitrous oxide: a mechanistic and toxicologic review. *Anesthesiology* 2008;109(4):707–22.
19. Taheri A, Hajimohamadi F, Soltanghoraee H, et al. Complications of using laryngeal mask airway during anaesthesia in patients undergoing major ear surgery. *Acta Otorhinolaryngol Ital* 2009;29(3):151–5.
20. Duff BE. Use of the laryngeal mask airway in otologic surgery. *Laryngoscope* 1999;109:1033–6.
21. Ayala MA, Sanderson A, Marks R, et al. Laryngeal mask airway use in otologic surgery. *Otol Neurotol* 2009;30(5):599–601.

- 406 22. Degoute CS, Ray MJ, Manchon M, et al. Remifentanil and controlled hypotension;
407 comparison with nitroprusside or esmolol during tympanoplasty. *Can J Anaesth*
408 2001;48(1):20–7.
- 409 23. Degoute CS. Controlled hypotension: a guide to drug choice. *Drugs* 2007;67(7):
410 1053–76.
- 411 24. Ryu JH, Sohn IS, Do SH. Controlled hypotension for middle ear surgery:
412 a comparison between remifentanil and magnesium sulphate. *Br J Anaesth*
413 2009;103(4):490–5.
- 414 25. Albera R, Ferrero V, Canale A, et al. Cochlear blood flow modifications induced
415 by anaesthetic drugs in middle ear surgery: comparison between sevoflurane
416 and propofol. *Acta Otolaryngol* 2003;123(7):812–6.
- 417 26. Kuratani N, Oi Y. Greater incidence of emergence agitation in children after sevo-
418 flurane anesthesia as compared with halothane: a meta-analysis of randomized
419 controlled trials. *Anesthesiology* 2008;109(2):225–32.
- 420 27. Ebert TJ, Hall JE, Barney JA, et al. The effects of increasing plasma concentra-
421 tions of dexmedetomidine in humans. *Anesthesiology* 2000;93(2):382–94.
- 422 28. Ornstein E, Young WL, Ostapkovich N, et al. Are all effects of esmolol equally
423 rapid in onset? *Anesth Analg* 1995;81(2):297–300.
- 424 29. Talke P, Richardson CA, Scheinin M, et al. Postoperative pharmacokinetics
425 and sympatholytic effects of dexmedetomidine. *Anesth Analg* 1997;85(5):
426 1136–42.
- 427 30. Ayoglu H, Yapakci O, Ugur MB, et al. Effectiveness of dexmedetomidine in
428 reducing bleeding during septoplasty and tympanoplasty operations. *J Clin*
429 *Anesth* 2008;20(6):437–41.
- 430 31. Durmus M, But AK, Dogan Z, et al. Effect of dexmedetomidine on bleeding
431 during tympanoplasty or septorhinoplasty. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 2007;24(5):
432 447–53.
- 433 32. Degoute CS, Ray MJ, Gueugniaud PY, et al. Remifentanil induces consistent and
434 sustained controlled hypotension in children during middle ear surgery. *Can J*
435 *Anaesth* 2003;50(3):270–6.
- 436 33. Richa F, Yazigi A, Sleilaty G, et al. Comparison between dexmedetomidine and
437 remifentanil for controlled hypotension during tympanoplasty. *Eur J Anaesthesiol*
438 2008;25(5):369–74.
- 439 34. Lee LH, Irwin MG, Lui SK. Intraoperative remifentanil infusion does not increase
440 postoperative opioid consumption compared with 70% nitrous oxide. *Anesthesi-*
441 *ology* 2005;102(2):398–402.
- 442 35. Cai YR, Xu J, Chen LH, et al. Electromyographic monitoring of facial nerve under
443 different levels of neuromuscular blockade during middle ear microsurgery. *Chin*
444 *Med J (Engl)* 2009;122(3):311–4.
- 445 36. Honkavaara P, Saarnivaara L, Klemola UM. Prevention of nausea and vomiting
446 with transdermal hyoscine in adults after middle ear surgery during general
447 anaesthesia. *Br J Anaesth* 1994;73(6):763–6.
- 448 37. Usmani H, Quadir A, Siddiqui A, et al. Ondansetron and dexamethasone in middle
449 ear procedures. *Indian J Otolaryngol* 2003;55(2).
- 450 38. Honkavaara P. Effect of ondansetron on nausea and vomiting after middle ear
451 surgery during general anaesthesia. *Br J Anaesth* 1996;76(2):316–8.
- 452 39. Honkavaara P, Pyykko I. Surgeon's experience as a factor for emetic sequelae
453 after middle ear surgery. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 1998;42(9):1033–7.
- 454 40. Fujii Y, Toyooka H, Tanaka H. Prophylactic antiemetic therapy with a combination
455 of granisetron and dexamethasone in patients undergoing middle ear surgery. *Br*
456 *J Anaesth* 1998;81(5):754–6.

- 457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
41. Fujii Y, Saitoh Y, Tanaka H, et al. Prophylactic therapy with combined granisetron and dexamethasone for the prevention of post-operative vomiting in children. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 1999;16(6):376–9.
 42. Gombar S, Kaur J, Kumar Gombar K, et al. Superior anti-emetic efficacy of granisetron-dexamethasone combination in children undergoing middle ear surgery. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 2007;51(5):621–4.
 43. Yeo J, Jung J, Ryu T, et al. Antiemetic efficacy of dexamethasone combined with midazolam after middle ear surgery. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2009;141(6):684–8.
 44. Voronov P, Tobin MJ, Billings K, et al. Postoperative pain relief in infants undergoing myringotomy and tube placement: comparison of a novel regional anesthetic block to intranasal fentanyl—a pilot analysis. *Paediatr Anaesth* 2008;18(12):1196–201.

UNCORRECTED PROOF