

The HKU Scholars Hub

The University of Hong Kong



Title	Abatement of toluene in the plasma-driven catalysis: Mechanism and reaction kinetics
Author(s)	Huang, H; Ye, D; Leung, DYC
Citation	IEEE Transactions on Plasma Science, 2011, v. 39 n. 3, p. 877- 882
Issued Date	2011
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/10722/134426
Rights	Creative Commons: Attribution 3.0 Hong Kong License

Abatement of Toluene in the Plasma-Driven Catalysis: Mechanism and Reaction Kinetics

Haibao Huang, Daiqi Ye, and Dennis Y. C. Leung

Abstract—The mechanism and reaction kinetics of toluene destruction in a plasma-driven catalysis (PDC) system were studied. The results show that the toluene removal efficiency (TRE) is greatly increased while the level of O₃ by-product is significantly reduced in PDC as compared with that in nonthermal plasma (NTP). The rate constant of toluene destruction in the PDC is more than twice than that in NTP. Among the multiple reactive species responsible for toluene destruction in the PDC, hydroxyl radicals (•OH) had a small contribution, whereas energetic electrons and atomic oxygen (O) were the most important. The enhanced performance of toluene destruction by PDC was mainly due to greater amounts of O formed during the process. The catalysts improved toluene destruction by catalytic decomposition of O₃ and generation of O. Essentially, better toluene abatement can be achieved by focusing on the increased energy density and improved performance of the catalyst for O₃ decomposition.

Index Terms—Mechanism, nonthermal plasma (NTP), ozone catalytic decomposition, plasma-driven catalysis (PDC), reaction kinetics.

I. INTRODUCTION

T HE EMISSION of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) from various industrial and automobile sources is harmful to both human health and the global environment [1], [2]. Plasma-driven catalysis (PDC) has attracted much attention over the past few decades for its capacity to degrade VOCs [3]–[5]. This technique combines the advantages of high selectivity from catalysis and fast ignition/response from the plasma technique [4]. It is a promising technology with high energy efficiency and mineralization rate and low by-product formation [6], [7]. In nonthermal plasma (NTP) systems, many reactive species, such as energetic electrons, ions, ozone, hydroxyl radicals (•OH), and atomic oxygen (O), are involved in destroying pollutants [8]. The PDC system has characteristics of both NTP and catalysis: A homogeneous reaction in the gaseous phase and a heterogeneous reaction on the catalysts

Manuscript received November 12, 2010; revised December 25, 2010; accepted December 25, 2010. Date of publication February 4, 2011; date of current version March 9, 2011. This work was supported in part by the National Key High Technology Research and Development Program of China under Grant 2006AA06A310 and in part by the CRCG of The University of Hong Kong under Grant 200907176159.

H. Huang is with the School of Environmental Science and Engineering, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou 510640, China, and also with the Department of Mechanical Engineering, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong.

D. Ye is with the School of Environmental Science and Engineering, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou 510640, China (e-mail: cedqye@scut.edu.cn).

D. Y. C. Leung is with the Department of Mechanical Engineering, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong.

Color versions of one or more of the figures in this paper are available online at http://ieeexplore.ieee.org.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TPS.2010.2103403

coexist in this system. To date, reports on PDC mainly focus on the increase in energy and removal efficiencies [2], [3]. However, few studies have been conducted to investigate the destruction mechanism in PDC due to the complexity of the system and the difficulty in detecting energetic electrons and radicals. Specifically, two important questions should be addressed to characterize the mechanism in PDC. First, what are the dominant species responsible for the abatement of pollutants? Studying all of the reactive species is a difficult task; the dominant reactive that make the greatest contribution to pollutant removal should be identified. Second, how does the catalyst enhance the performance of the system? Catalysts can greatly improve both the energy efficiency of the process and the efficiency of pollutant removal, but the mechanism of this process is not well understood.

This paper aims to address these questions. The mechanism and reaction kinetics of toluene destruction in a PDC system were studied. The contribution of reactive radicals, such as energetic electrons, •OH, and O, was evaluated. Mechanisms for enhanced toluene destruction in PDC were investigated. To our best knowledge, this is the first study that identifies the contribution of dominant oxidants responsible for toluene abatement in PDC. Additionally, it provides insight into the mechanism of toluene destruction by PDC and a valuable basis for the improvement and application of the PDC process.

II. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

The experimental setup and the preparation of TiO_2/γ -Al₂O₃/nickel foam were described in the previous study [9]. TiO₂/ γ -Al₂O₃/nickel foam was placed in a plasma or postplasma area to carry out the PDC and plasma-assisted catalysis (PAC) process, respectively. The experiment was carried out at room temperature and atmospheric pressure.

The air flow rate, initial toluene concentration, and water vapor content at the inlet of the reactor were 0.2 L/min, 50 ppm, and 1wt%, respectively. Gas samples from the outlet were analyzed online by a gas chromatograph (GC, Kechuang Chromatograph, GC-900A) equipped with two FID detectors. One detector was for organic compound detection with a 50-m SE-30 capillary column (80 °C), and the other, equipped with a methanizer, was for carbon monoxide and carbon dioxide analysis using a 2-m carbon molecular sieve stainless-steel column (65 °C). Air samples from the DBD reactor were collected by Summa Canisters and analyzed by a GC-MS (5973N, Agilent) with an HP-5MS capillary column (Agilent, USA). The O₃ concentration was monitored by an ozone analyzer (Lida Instrument, DCS-1).



Fig. 1. TRE in the NTP and PDC processes in (a) air stream and (b) N₂ stream.



Fig. 2. O₃ concentrations at the outlet in the NTP and PDC processes.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Effect of the Catalyst

Fig. 1(a) shows the comparison of the toluene removal efficiency (TRE) of NTP to that of PDC in the air stream. With the increase of energy density from 61 to 1527 J/L, the TRE correspondingly increased from 17% to 74% in NTP alone, while it increased from 20.5% to 94% in the PDC. The combination of the catalyst with NTP significantly improved the TRE in the air stream. However, the TREs of NTP and PDC were almost the same in the N₂ stream, as shown in Fig. 1(b). Based on a comparison of Fig. 1(a) and (b), the catalyst enhanced the toluene abatement only in the presence of oxygen. Although oxygen cannot directly oxidize toluene in this system, it can be ionized by energetic electrons and form O₃. As shown in Fig. 2, the O₃ concentration in air stream containing toluene increased from 21 to 165 ppm, with an increase of energy density from 61 to 1527 J/L in NTP. Clearly, O_3 is a strong oxidant and can be catalytically decomposed. Its concentration was greatly reduced in the PDC as compared with that in NTP (Fig. 2). O_3 can be decomposed by catalysts in plasma. However, O3 is continuously generated while being decomposed by the catalysts. Accordingly, O_3 could not be completely removed, and some O₃ would be left behind in the

effluent. It also can be observed from Fig. 2 that the outlet O_3 concentration was further dropped in PAC as compared with PDC. O_3 formed in the discharge process could be nearly decomposed by the catalysts, while no O_3 was generated in the postplasma. Therefore, the outlet O_3 in PAC is much less than that in that in PDC. PAC is more efficient for the reduction of O_3 pollution as compared with PDC.

The processes for O_3 catalytic decomposition are described as follows [10]–[12]:

$$\mathbf{O}_3 + {}^* \to \mathbf{O}^* + \mathbf{O}_2 \tag{1}$$

$$O^* + O_3 \to O_2 + O_2^*$$
 (2)

$$O_2^* \to O_2 + * \tag{3}$$

where * denotes an active site on the catalyst's surface.

The formed O can efficiently oxidize toluene and accordingly improve the TRE of PDC. Both energetic electrons and O are the main reactive species responsible for toluene destruction in the air stream, whereas only the former is mainly responsible for toluene destruction in the N_2 stream. As the catalyst is combined with NTP, more pathways and radicals are available for toluene destruction. The number of reactive species can be greatly increased in the presence of catalysts. Therefore, PDC performed better in terms of toluene abatement than NTP alone in the air stream.

Chen *et al.* [4] suggested that the enhanced performance of the PDC is possibly attributed to the adsorption of the pollutant on the catalyst's surface. If the catalyst has a significant adsorption capacity, the pollutant retention time would be prolonged and the pollutant concentration in the plasma zone would be increased. Although the TiO_2/γ -Al₂O₃/nickel foam catalyst has a good adsorption capacity, it did not significantly improve toluene abatement in the N₂ stream [see Fig. 1(b)]. Perhaps, the catalyst has a poor adsorption capacity at high voltages because the adsorption of the molecular pollutants would be inhibited by the polarized surface of the catalyst. Observations indicate that pollutants that were absorbed on the catalyst before the discharge could be desorbed during the discharge.

B. Contribution of Radical Species

PDC is a very complicated system. Several radical species, such as energetic electrons, O, and •OH, are responsible for breaking down pollutants. Both energetic electrons and •OH are generally considered as important radicals [13], whereas O has not gotten as much attention in the PDC.

1) Energetic Electrons: As shown in Fig. 1(a), the TRE increased with an increase in energy density in both NTP and PDC processes. Clearly, the increase in energy density improves the formation and energy of energetic electrons. Toluene destruction that occurs in NTP was mainly attributed to the impact of energetic electrons and radical attacks [14], [15]. The mean energy of electrons generally ranges from 1 to 10 eV [10], [16]. The bond energies of the C-H in the methyl group, the C-H in the aromatic ring, and the C = C in the aromatic ring of toluene are 3.7, 4.3, 4.4, 5.0-5.3, and 5.5 eV, respectively [14]. Therefore, the aromatic ring of toluene can be easily broken by energetic electrons.

To clarify the contribution of energetic electrons to toluene destruction, the effect of O and •OH should be excluded. Only energetic electrons were responsible for toluene destruction in the dry N_2 stream. As shown in Fig. 1(b), the TRE in NTP alone correspondingly increased from 44.4% to 87.4% with the increase in energy density from 434 to 2240 J/L. This result also proved that energetic electrons could effectively break down toluene and played an important role in toluene abatement in the PDC.

2) O: As previously mentioned, O_3 can be catalytically decomposed into O, which is possibly responsible for the increased TRE in the PDC. The O can also be generated from oxygen activation by energetic electrons in addition to the O_3 catalytic decomposition [17]

$$\mathbf{e} + \mathbf{O}_2 \to \mathbf{e} + 2\mathbf{O}.\tag{4}$$

The reaction occurs in both the NTP and PDC processes. The generation rate of O can be greatly accelerated due to O_3 catalytic decomposition.

To further prove the effect of O generated from O₃ catalytic decomposition, the catalyst was combined in the postplasma instead of in the plasma. The reactive species, except O₃, vanish quickly due to their short lifespan in the postplasma [2]. The O₃ concentrations at the inlet and outlet of the postplasma reactor are equal in NTP. They ranged from 24 to 167 ppm with an increase of energy density from 61 to 1527 J/L, as shown in Fig. 2. As shown in Fig. 3, the TRE in the process with the catalyst in the postplasma was increased by a maximum of 38%, compared with that in NTP alone. Toluene destruction in the latter occurred in two stages. Toluene was primarily broken down by energetic electrons and reactive species in the NTP stage. The unreacted toluene and O_3 entered the postplasma stage, in which the remaining toluene was further destroyed by catalytic ozonation [2]. O_3 can be catalytically decomposed into highly active O in this stage [2], [11]. Therefore, the O₃ concentration in the postplasma stage was consequently reduced, as seen in Fig. 2. Compared with NTP alone, O_3 concentration in the PDC was greatly decreased. Moreover,



Fig. 3. TRE in NTP and the process with the catalyst in the postplasma.



Fig. 4. Effect of water vapor content on TRE in the PDC.

the resulting O can be further involved in toluene oxidation. Thus, the process with the catalyst in the postplasma had the greatest TRE. The TRE was closely related to the level of O_3 decomposition. The effect of O_3 catalytic decomposition in PDC was similar to that in the postplasma process. The O that was generated from O_3 catalytic decomposition played an important role in toluene destruction in the PDC. This assertion is in agreement with the viewpoint of other researchers [7], [18], [19]. As shown in Fig. 1(b), the TREs in the NTP and PDC processes are similar in the N₂ stream, which also prove the importance of O.

3) •*OH:* Detecting of •OH in the PDC system is difficult due to its short lifespan and the reaction conditions of high voltage. The •OH concentration can be controlled via the change of reaction conditions, including the air humidity and the catalysts. Thus, the effect of •OH can be clarified.

Clearly, water is essential for •OH formation

$$e + H_2O \rightarrow e + \bullet OH + H$$
 (5)

$$O(^{1}D) + H_{2}O \rightarrow 2 \bullet OH.$$
(6)

Higher humidity should be beneficial to \bullet OH formation and thus improve the TRE if \bullet OH were to play an important role in toluene destruction in the PDC. However, the TRE decreased from 80% to 52% with increased water vapor content from 0% to 2% (see Fig. 4). The result proved that \bullet OH contributed little to toluene destruction. Water vapor has a negative effect

on toluene decomposition since it prevents the adsorption of toluene on the catalyst due to competitive adsorption [20]. It can also deactivate energetic electrons and poison catalytic active sites [21], [22]. In addition, increased humidity is harmful to O_3 decomposition [23]. As demonstrated previously, the O generated from O_3 catalytic decomposition is very important to toluene oxidation in the PDC system. In addition, O_3 can also act as an •OH scavenger [24]

$$\bullet OH + O_3 \to O_2 + H_2 O. \tag{7}$$

Therefore, the \bullet OH concentration would be limited, indicating that \bullet OH could not make a great contribution to toluene destruction in the PDC.

In summary, among the multiple reactive species responsible for toluene destruction in the PDC, •OH had a small contribution, whereas energetic electrons and O were the most important. The enhanced performance of toluene destruction by PDC was mainly due to the greater amounts of O formed during the process.

C. Reaction Kinetics

Possible pathways for pollutant destruction in the PDC mainly include the following: 1) electron impacts; 2) ion collisions; 3) gas-phase radical attacks, such as \bullet OH and O; and 4) O₃ [2], [6], [10], [15]. Determining the reaction rates of toluene destruction with each radical is difficult since radicals, the intermediates, and their concentrations during destruction can hardly be detected. However, we can identify the predominant steps during toluene destruction by estimating the reaction rates of radicals.

Previous studies reported that toluene destruction in the NTP and PDC process could be described by first-order reactions [25]. The kinetic equation of toluene destruction can be expressed as follows:

$$-\frac{d[C]}{dt} = \{k_e[e] + k_{\rm ion}[ion] + k_{O3}[O_3] + k_o[O] + k_{\rm OH}[OH]\}[C]$$
(8)

where [C], [e], [ion], $[O_3]$, [O], and [OH] represent the concentrations of toluene, electrons, ions, O_3 , O, and $\bullet OH$, respectively, and k_e , k_{ion} , k_o , k_{O3} , and k_{OH} represent the rate constants of toluene destruction with the corresponding radicals, respectively.

The reaction equations and rate constants of electrons, ions, O_3 , O, and •OH with toluene are as follows [26]:

$$C_6H_5 \cdot CH_3 + e \rightarrow C_6H_5 + CH_3 + e \rightarrow \text{Products}$$

$$k_e = 1 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^3 \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$$
(9)

$$C_{6}H_{5} \cdot CH_{3} + (O_{2}^{+}, O^{+}, N_{2}^{+}, N^{+}) \rightarrow C_{6}H_{5} + CH_{3}$$

+ e \rightarrow Products
 $k_{ion} = 1.5 \times 10^{-}10 \text{ cm}^{3} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ (10)
 $C_{6}H_{5} \cdot CH_{2} + O_{2} \rightarrow C_{6}H_{5} \cdot CH_{2}O + H_{2}O \rightarrow \text{Products}$

$$k_{\rm o3} = 1.5 \times 10^{-22} \,{\rm cm}^3 \cdot {\rm mol}^{-1} \cdot {\rm s}^{-1}$$
 (11) t

$$C_6H_5 \cdot CH_3 + O \rightarrow C_6H_5 \cdot CH_2O + H \rightarrow \text{Products}$$

$$k_o = 2.2 \times 10^{-14} \text{ cm}^3 \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$$
(12)

$$C_6H_5 \cdot CH_3 + \bullet OH \rightarrow C_6H_5 \cdot CH_2 + H_2O \rightarrow \text{Products}$$

$$k_{OH} = 7 \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^3 \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}.$$
(13)

Among the energetic species, the concentration of ions is approximately equal to that of electrons [15]; however, its rate constant ($\sim 1.5 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$) is much smaller than that of electrons ($\sim 1 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^3 \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$). The direct attack by O₃ on toluene can be ruled out in the PDC [5], [19]. Thus, the effect of ions and O₃ on toluene abatement can be ignored due to their small reaction constants. The rate constant of •OH ($\sim 7 \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^3 \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$) is larger than that of O ($\sim 2.2 \times 10^{-14} \text{ cm}^3 \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$) [15], while its concentration ($\sim 5 \times 10^{12}/\text{cm}^3$) is much smaller than that of O ($\sim 2 \times 10^{18}/\text{cm}^3$) [27]. Consequently, the effect of •OH on toluene abatement can also be considered negligible. Thus, energetic electrons and O are the main radicals responsible for toluene destruction. Accordingly, (8) can be simplified as

$$-\frac{d[C]}{dt} = \{k_e[e] + k_o[\mathbf{O}]\} [C].$$
(14)

Therefore, the TRE is mostly determined by the [e] and [O]. [e] is mostly decided by the energy density, while [O] mainly depends upon the O₃ concentration and the performance of the catalyst in terms of O₃ decomposition. The O₃ concentration is also determined by the energy density. Thus, the TRE can be improved two ways. One is to increase the energy density for generating more energetic electrons and O₃. The increased energy density can improve the TRE, which is shown in Fig. 1. The other way is to increase the generation rate of O by improving the performance of the catalyst in terms of O₃ decomposition. The improvement of catalysts regarding this aspect will be addressed in future research.

Toluene destruction occurs in multiple steps, including H-abstraction, rupture of the C-C in the methyl group, and the breaking of the aromatic ring, all of which results in intermediates with small molecular fragments. The trace hydrocarbon intermediates identified by GC-MS in the PDC included acetic acid, formic acid, benzene, and benzaldehyde. The intermediates are further oxidized by oxidants and finally oxidized to CO_x (CO₂ and CO) with selectivity close to 100%. Toluene destruction by energetic electrons and O are inseparable and cooperative due to their coexistence in the PDC.

The concentration of electrons and O is constant under conditions of identical electric field and air pressure. Equation (14) can be simplified as

$$-\frac{d[C]}{dt} = k_s[C] \tag{15}$$

where $k_s \ (= k_e[e] + k_o[O])$ is defined as the apparent rate constant, in per second.

Integrating (15) (integral boundary conditions: $C = [C_0]$, t = 0, and C_0 is the inlet toluene concentration) results in

Air-flow rate	retention	NTP		PDC		
(L/min)	time (s)	TRE, η (%)	-ln(1-η)	TRE, η (%)	-ln(1-η)	
0.2	10	86.1	1.966	98.5	4.200	
0.4	5	61.9	0.968	87.6	2.087	
0.6	3.3	49.0	0.673	74.2	1.355	
0.8	2.5	37.3	0.462	63.1	0.997	
1	2	30.1	0.357	51.3	0.719	

0

0

0

0



œ

Fig. 5. Rate constants of toluene destruction in the NTP and PDC processes.

the following:

$$-\ln\frac{C}{C_0} = k_s t. \tag{16}$$

According to the definition of η (= TRE)

$$\eta = 1 - \frac{C}{C_0}.$$
 (17)

Substituting (17) into (16) and rearranging the resulting equation creates the following:

$$-\ln(1-\eta) = k_s t. \tag{18}$$

To calculate the reaction rate constant, TRE (η) was measured at a series of residence times, as shown in Table I. The term " $-\ln(1-\eta)$ " is worked out in Table I.

Based on the data in Table I, the rate constants for toluene destruction in the NTP and PDC processes can be determined, as shown in Fig. 5. The slopes of the lines are the apparent rate constants k_s , which were 0.425 and 0.198 s⁻¹ in NTP and PDC, respectively. The rate constant in the PDC is much greater than that of NTP, indicating that the catalyst can significantly improve toluene destruction.

IV. CONCLUSION

0

As compared to NTP, the TRE is greatly increased while the amount of O_3 by-product is significantly reduced in the PDC system. The rate constant of toluene destruction in the PDC is more than twice than that in NTP. Among the multiple reactive species responsible for toluene destruction by PDC, energetic electrons and O were the most important, while the contribution of \bullet OH is small. The enhanced performance of toluene destruction via O_3 catalytic ozonation. The increased energy density and improved performance of the catalyst for O_3 decomposition should be considered as means to achieve better toluene abatement.

REFERENCES

- H. Y. Fan, C. Shi, X. S. Li, D. Z. Zhao, Y. Xu, and A. M. Zhu, "High-efficiency plasma catalytic removal of dilute benzene from air," *J. Phys. D, Appl. Phys.*, vol. 42, no. 22, pp. 1–5, 2009.
- [2] M. Magureanu, N. B. Mandache, P. Eloy, E. M. Gaigneaux, and V. I. Parvulescu, "Plasma-assisted catalysis for volatile organic compounds abatement," *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.*, vol. 61, no. 1/2, pp. 12–20, Oct. 2005.
- [3] A. M. Harling, V. Demidyuk, S. J. Fischer, and J. C. Whitehead, "Plasmacatalysis destruction of aromatics for environmental clean-up: Effect of temperature and configuration," *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.*, vol. 82, no. 3/4, pp. 180–189, Aug. 2008.
- [4] H. L. Chen, H. M. Lee, S. H. Chen, M. B. Chang, S. J. Yu, and S. N. Li, "Removal of volatile organic compounds by single-stage and two-stage plasma catalysis systems: A review of the performance enhancement mechanisms, current status, and suitable applications," *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 43, no. 7, pp. 2216–2227, Apr. 2009.
- [5] U. Roland, F. Holzer, and E. D. Kopinke, "Combination of non-thermal plasma and heterogeneous catalysis for oxidation of volatile organic compounds: Part 2. Ozone decomposition and deactivation of γ-Al₂O₃," *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.*, vol. 58, no. 3/4, pp. 217–226, Jun. 2005.
- [6] J. Van Durme, J. Dewulf, C. Leys, and H. Van Langenhove, "Combining non-thermal plasma with heterogeneous catalysis in waste gas treatment: A review," *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.*, vol. 78, no. 3/4, pp. 324–333, Feb. 2008.
- [7] H. H. Kim, A. Ogata, and S. Futamura, "Oxygen partial pressuredependent behavior of various catalysts for the total oxidation of VOCs using cycled system of adsorption and oxygen plasma," *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.*, vol. 79, no. 4, pp. 356–367, Mar. 2008.
- [8] H. H. Kim, "Nonthermal plasma processing for air-pollution control: A historical review, current issues, and future prospects," *Plasma Process. Polym.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 91–110, 2004.
- [9] H. Huang, D. Ye, and D. Y. C. Leung, "Plasma-driven catalysis process for toluene abatement: Effect of water vapor," *IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci.*, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 576–580, Jan. 2011.
- [10] W. Mista and R. Kacprzyk, "Decomposition of toluene using non-thermal plasma reactor at room temperature," *Catal. Today*, vol. 137, no. 2–4, pp. 345–349, Sep. 2008.

TABLE ~ I $\ln(1-\eta)$ and TRE at Different Residence Times in NTP and PDC

- [11] S. Futamura, H. Einaga, H. Kabashima, and L. Y. Hwan, "Synergistic effect of silent discharge plasma and catalysts on benzene decomposition," *Catal. Today*, vol. 89, no. 1/2, pp. 89–95, Feb. 2004.
- [12] Y. Xi, C. Reed, Y. K. Lee, and S. T. Oyama, "Acetone oxidation using ozone on manganese oxide catalysts," *J. Phys. Chem. B*, vol. 109, no. 37, pp. 17587–17596, Sep. 2005.
- [13] L. Martin, S. Ognier, E. Gasthauer, S. Cavadias, S. Dresvin, and J. Amouroux, "Destruction of highly diluted volatile organic components (VOCs) in air by dielectric barrier discharge and mineral bed adsorption," *Energ. Fuel.*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 576–582, 2008.
- [14] A. Ogata, D. Ito, K. Mizuno, S. Kushiyama, A. Gal, and T. Yamamoto, "Effect of coexisting components on aromatic decomposition in a packedbed plasma reactor," *Appl. Catal. A: Gen.*, vol. 236, no. 1/2, pp. 9–15, Sep. 2002.
- [15] H. M. Lee and M. B. Chang, "Abatement of gas-phase p-xylene via dielectric barrier discharges," *Plasma Chem. Plasma Process.*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 541–558, Sep. 2003.
- [16] Y. Guo, D. Ye, K. Chen, J. He, and W. Chen, "Toluene decomposition using a wire-plate dielectric barrier discharge reactor with manganese oxide catalyst in situ," *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, vol. 245, no. 1/2, pp. 93– 100, Feb. 2006.
- [17] J. Van Durme, J. Dewulf, W. Sysmans, C. Leys, and H. Van Langenhove, "Abatement and degradation pathways of toluene in indoor air by positive corona discharge," *Chemosphere*, vol. 68, no. 10, pp. 1821–1829, Aug. 2007.
- [18] S. Futamura, A. H. Zhang, H. Einaga, and H. Kabashima, "Involvement of catalyst materials in nonthermal plasma chemical processing of hazardous air pollutants," *Catal. Today*, vol. 72, no. 3/4, pp. 259–265, Mar. 2002.
- [19] A. M. Harling, D. J. Glover, J. C. Whitehead, and K. Zhang, "The role of ozone in the plasma-catalytic destruction of environmental pollutants," *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.*, vol. 90, no. 1/2, pp. 157–161, Jul. 2009.
- [20] P. Y. Zhang, F. Y. Liang, G. Yu, Q. Chen, and W. P. Zhu, "A comparative study on decomposition of gaseous toluene by O₃/UV, TiO₂/UV and O₃/TiO₂/UV," *J. Photochem. Photobiol. A: Chem.*, vol. 156, no. 1–3, pp. 189–194, Mar. 2003.
- [21] T. Zhu, J. Li, Y. Jin, Y. Liang, and G. Ma, "Decomposition of benzene by non-thermal plasma processing: Photocatalyst and ozone effect," *Int. J. Environ. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 375–384, 2008.
- [22] C. H. Cho and S. K. Ihm, "Development of new vanadium-based oxide catalysts for decomposition of chlorinated aromatic pollutants," *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 36, no. 7, pp. 1600–1606, Apr. 2002.
- [23] H. B. Huang and D. Q. Ye, "Combination of photocatalysis downstream the non-thermal plasma reactor for oxidation of gas-phase toluene," *J. Hazard. Mater.*, vol. 171, no. 1–3, pp. 535–541, Nov. 2009.
- [24] H. H. Kim, S. M. Oh, A. Ogata, and S. Futamura, "Decomposition of gasphase benzene using plasma-driven catalyst (PDC) reactor packed with Ag/TiO₂ catalyst," *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.*, vol. 56, no. 3, pp. 213–220, Mar. 2005.
- [25] Y. S. Shen and Y. Ku, "Decomposition of gas-phase chloroethenes by UV/O₃ process," *Water Res.*, vol. 32, no. 9, pp. 2669–2679, 1998.
- [26] H. Kohno, A. A. Berezin, J. S. Chang, M. Tamura, T. Yamamoto, A. Shibuya, and S. Hondo, "Destruction of volatile organic compounds used in a semiconductor industry by a capillary tube discharge reactor," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl.*, vol. 34, no. 5, pp. 953–966, Sep./Oct. 1998.
- [27] Y. Guo, X. Liao, and D. Ye, "Detection of hydroxyl radical in plasma reaction on toluene removal," *J. Environ. Sci.*, vol. 20, no. 12, pp. 1429– 1432, 2008.



Haibao Huang received the Ph.D. degree in environmental engineering from South China University of Technology, Guangzhou, China, in 2008.

From 2008 to 2009, he was a Postdoctoral Fellow with Tsinghua University, Beijing, China. Since 2009, he has been with The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong, as a Postdoctoral Fellow. He is also with the School of Environmental Science and Engineering, South China University of Technology. His main research interests include nonthermal plasma technology, photocatalysis, room-

temperature destruction of air pollutants, and environmental catalysis materials. He has published more than 15 scientific articles.



Daiqi Ye received the Ph.D. degree in industrial catalysis from South China University of Technology (SCUT), Guangzhou, China, in 1990.

He is currently the Director of the Environmental Engineering Department and Chief Professor of Air Pollution Control Engineering, SCUT. His main research interests include hazardous airborne pollutant elimination with nonthermal plasma technology, volatile organic compounds control, catalytic elimination of vehicle exhaust emission, and nitrogen oxide removal with SCR/SNCR technology. He is

the first author or corresponding author of more than 150 scientific papers and 17 Chinese patents/patent applications.



Dennis Y. C. Leung received the B.Sc. (Eng.) and Ph.D. degrees from The University of Hong Kong (HKU), Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong.

He joined HKU in 1993 as a Lecturer in environmental engineering and is currently a Professor of the Department of Mechanical Engineering, specializing in air pollution and renewable energy. He has published more than 250 articles including 130 peerreviewed journal papers in the field of air pollution control, dispersion modeling, and energy conversion.

Dr. Leung was the recipient of the Outstanding Earth Champion Hong Kong Award in 2008, in recognition of his contributions in protecting the environment.