

The HKU Scholars Hub

The University of Hong Kong 香港大学学術庫 場 明 物 通

Title	Gas-filled microbubbles: a novel susceptibility contrast agent for brain and liver MRI	
Author(s)	Chow, AM; Cheung, JS; Wu, EX	
Citation	The 31st Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC 2009), Minneapolis, MN., 3-6 September 2009. In Proceedings of the 31st EMBC, 2009, p. 4049-4052	
Issued Date	2009	
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/10722/129694	
Rights	IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society. Conference Proceedings. Copyright © IEEE.	

Gas-filled Microbubbles – A Novel Susceptibility Contrast Agent for Brain and Liver MRI

April M. Chow, Jerry S. Cheung, Ed X. Wu*

Abstract—Gas-filled microbubbles have the potential to become a unique intravascular MR contrast agent due to their magnetic susceptibility effect, biocompatibility and localized manipulation via ultrasound cavitation. However, in vivo demonstration of microbubble susceptibility effect is limited so far and microbubble susceptibility effect is relatively weak when compared with other intravascular MR susceptibility contrast agents. In this study, two types of microbubbles, custom-made albumin-coated microbubbles (AMBs) and a commercially available lipid-based clinical ultrasound contrast agent (SonoVue®), were investigated with in vivo dynamic brain and liver MRI in Sprague-Dawley rats at 7 Tesla. Transverse relaxation rate enhancements (ΔR_2^*) maps were computed for brain and liver, yielding results similar to those obtained with a common MR blood pool contrast agent. These results indicate that gas-filled microbubbles can serve as an intravascular MR contrast agent at high field. Enhancement of microbubble susceptibility effect by entrapping monocrystalline iron oxide nanoparticles (MIONs) into microbubbles was also investigated at 7 T in vitro. This is the first experimental demonstration of microbubble susceptibility enhancement for MRI application. This study indicates that gas-filled microbubble susceptibility effect can be substantially increased using iron oxides nanoparticles. With such approach, microbubbles can potentially be visualized with higher sensitivity and lower concentrations by MRI. Such capability has the potential to lead to real-time MRI guidance in various microbubble-based drug delivery and therapeutic applications.

I. INTRODUCTION

G as-filled microbubles can potentially be used as an intravascular MR susceptibility contrast agent *in vivo* due to the induction of large local magnetic susceptibility difference by the gas-liquid interface. Moreover, microbubbles can be locally cavitated and destroyed by focused ultrasound [1], hence the MR signals can be temporally and spatially manipulated because microbubble disappearances will diminish the susceptibility effect.

Recently, microbubbles have been employed in therapeutic applications due to their unique cavitation [2] and sonoporation [3] properties. Site-specific release of incorporated drugs or genes inside microbubbles can be achieved with local microbubble cavitation by spatially focused ultrasound. Microbubble-mediated sonoporation can dramatically increase cell permeability and intracellular uptake [4], with substantial increase in gene transfection efficiency and therapeutic effectiveness being demonstrated.

Furthermore, several therapeutic interventions, like sonothrombolysis [5], transient opening of blood-brain barrier potentially for delivery of both low and high molecular weight therapeutic compounds [6] and enhancing the high intensity focused ultrasound therapy by increasing the local heating rate [7] have been demonstrated.

Early experiment with Albunex®, an ultrasound contrast agent consisting of air-filled microbubbles with human albumin shell, illustrated the potential of air-filled microbubbles as an MR susceptibility contrast agent [8]. Feasibility of microbubbles as an MR pressure sensor, based on the susceptibility change caused by pressure-induced microbubble size change, has been explored through theoretical [9] and phantom [10] studies. The first in vivo investigation of susceptibility contrast induced by microbubbles was reported by our group previously using Optison®, microbubbles of human albumin shells with perfluorocarbon as core gas, at 7 T in rat liver [11]. R_2^* dependency on microbubble volume fraction was also reported for Levovist®, air-filled microbubbles with palmitic acid shells, through an *in vitro* phantom study at 1.5 T [12]. Magnetic susceptibility enhancement induced by gas-liquid interface was demonstrated recently by simulations and MR experiments using air-filled cylinders in water [13], consolidating the feasibility of gas-filled microbubbles as an MR susceptibility contrast agent. However, in vivo demonstration is limited so far and microbubble susceptibility effect is still relatively weak when compared with other intravascular MR susceptibility contrast agents [11, 14].

In this study, we aim to further investigate and demonstrate the *in vivo* MR susceptibility effect induced by both custom-made albumin-coated microbubbles and commercially available lipid-based microbubbles in rat brain and liver at 7 T using dynamic susceptibility weighted MRI, and experimentally demonstrate that microbubble R_2^* can be enhanced by embedded or entrapping monocrystalline iron oxide nanoparticles (MIONs) [15] into microbubble shells.

II. METHODOLOGY

All MRI experiments were performed on a 7 T MRI scanner with a maximum gradient of 360 mT/m (70/16 PharmaScan, Bruker Biospin GmbH, Germany). All animal experiments were approved by the local institutional animal ethics committee.

A. Microbubble Preparation

A commercially available clinical ultrasound contrast agent (SonoVue®, Bracco, Milan, Italy), was used in this study. SonoVue® microbubbles consist of sulphur hexafluoride gas stabilized in an aqueous dispersion by a phospholipid monolayer. Air-filled custom-made albumin-coated microbubbles (AMBs) were produced by sonication as

Manuscript received on April 7, 2009.

This work was supported in part by the University of Hong Kong CRCG grant and Hong Kong Research Grant Council grant.

Ed X. Wu, April M. Chow and Jerry S. Cheung are with the Laboratory of Biomedical Imaging and Signal Processing and the Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam, Hong Kong (phone: (852) 2819-9713; e-mail: ewu@eee.hku.hk).

previously described [16]. AMBs with MIONs embedded in shells were synthesized [17]. Polymeric microbubbles (PMBs) with MIONs incorporated or entrapped in shells were produced by adapting a double emulsion procedure [17]. MION-free PMBs were synthesized with the same procedure except using deionized water instead of the MION solution.

B. Animal Preparation and Microbubble Administration

Ten normal adult Sprague-Dawley rats (~250-350 g) were used. Each rat was anesthetized with intraperitoneal injection of ketamine (100 mg/kg) and xylazine (10 mg/kg). Femoral vein catheterization was performed. During imaging, animals were anesthetized with isoflurane/air using 1.0-1.5% via a nose cone with respiratory monitoring. Microbubbles were first warmed slowly to room temperature. Resuspension was performed until a homogenous milky-white suspension formed. For each imaging session, 0.2 mL of microbubble suspension (of ~4% volume fraction for AMBs and ~3.5% volume fraction for SonoVue®) was slowly injected (over ~10 s) into femoral vein at a rate of 1.2 mL/min to avoid possible microbubble destruction due to high pressure and shear stress.

C. Susceptibility Contrast Imaging of Rat Brain

In vivo brain imaging was performed in rats (N = 5) using a 38-mm quadrature resonator. Anatomical images were acquired with two-dimensional (2D) fast low-angle shot (FLASH) sequence. Dynamic susceptibility weighted MRI was performed with single-shot GE echo-planner imaging (GE-EPI) sequence using TR = 1000 ms, TE = 30 ms, flip angle = 90°, acquisition matrix = 96 × 96, spatial resolution = $0.3 \times 0.3 \times 0.7$ mm³ and NEX = 1. Microbubble suspension was injected about 5 min after the start of dynamic imaging. A minimum lapse of 10 min was used to ensure sufficient clearance of the microbubbles before the next injection. The susceptibility effect of microbubbles was compared with that of MIONs [18-20] in brain by a single dose of 0.6 mg Fe/kg injection using identical injection protocol and imaging sequence.

D. Susceptibility Contrast Imaging of Rat Liver

Liver imaging was performed in rats (N = 5) using a 60-mm quadrature resonator. Dynamic MRI was then performed with respiratory-gated of similar protocol as brain imaging, except that TE = 10 ms, acquisition matrix = 64 × 64, spatial resolution = 0.78 × 0.78 × 2.0 mm³ and NEX = 1. The susceptibility effect of microbubbles was also compared with that of MIONs in liver by a single dose of 0.6 mg Fe/kg injection.

E. In vivo Data Analysis

GE-EPI images were first co-registered using AIR5.2.5 [21]. Apparent transverse relaxation rate enhancement (ΔR_2^*) maps were computed on a pixel-by-pixel basis as $\Delta R_2^* = \ln (S_{pre}/S_{avg-post})/TE$ [22]. Assuming that ΔR_2^* is proportional to microbubble concentration C(t) at time t, C(t) can be estimated as C(t) = k ln {S_{pre}/S(t)}/TE + C_B, where S(t) is the image intensity at time t, k a proportionality constant, and C_B a constant residue to account for any postinjection baseline [11]. Given the relatively long injection time and the limited lifetime of microbubbles *in vivo*, C(t) were approximately modeled with a gamma-variate function by curve fitting [23]. Full width at half maximum (FWHM) and time-to-peak were then measured from the fitted C(t) time courses.

F. Ultrasound Demonstration

Ultrasound imaging (Sonix RP, Ultrasonix) was preformed in rat livers (N = 2) using SonoVue® to demonstrate microbubble induced contrast change for comparison.

G. Measurement of $\Delta R2^*$ of MION-free and MION-entrapped AMB and PMB Suspensions

Microbubble phantom study was performed with 38-mm quadrature resonator. AMBs were diluted from a well-mixed microbubble suspension to 4% volume fraction with the addition of saline, while PMBs of 5% volume farction were prepared by addition of saline. The microbubbles were then placed in separate 2-mL cylindrical phantom tubes. Each phantom tube was slowly warmed to room temperature and gently mixed for 2 min outside the magnet prior to MR measurements. To ensure uniform suspension of microbubbles, the phantom was then continuously stirred by rotation inside the magnet. It was then arrested in horizontal position immediately before the start of MR acquisition sequence.

 ΔR_2^* was measured by acquiring multi-echo GE signals continuously without phase encoding for 2 min from an axial 1-mm slice at middle of the phantom as previously described [17]. Microbubble induced ΔR_2^* was then calculated as the difference between R_2^* in the initial state and that in the final state. To demonstrate that MION were embedded and entrapped, microbubble-free suspending solutions R_2^* was measured before and after cavitation (using ultrasound of frequency 40 kHz) with multiple gradient echo sequences.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

A. Characterization of AMBs and SonoVue®

The light micrographs of a representative batch of AMBs and SonoVue® microbubbles were depicted in Figs. 1(a) and (b), respectively. The estimated size distribution was from 1 to 23 μ m (with 9.21 μ m mean diameter) for AMBs and 1 to 10 μ m (with 2.95 μ m mean diameter) for SonoVue®. Fig. 2 shows the dependency of ΔR_2^* on microbubble volume fractions in AMBs and SonoVue® microbubble suspension phantoms. An approximately linear relationship was observed. The relaxivities estimated from the fitted slopes were 58.52 (s · volume fraction)⁻¹ and 52.94 (s · volume fraction)⁻¹ for AMBs and SonoVue®, respectively, consistent with the microbubble susceptibility effect in a theoretical study [9].



Fig. 1. Representative light micrograph (magnification = 20x) of (a) AMB and (b) SonoVue® microbubble suspensions.



B. In vivo Rat Brain Imaging

Fig. 3 illustrates the rat brain images typically observed during AMBs injection. Note that the microbubbles induced ΔR_2^* maps were observed to be similar to those caused by intravascular MION. Similar results were observed for SonoVue® injection. Table 1 shows the *in vivo* measurements of ΔR_2^* , FWHM and time-to-peak of AMBs and SonoVue®, as well as ΔR_2^* and time-to-peak of MION in cortex area among all rats studied. Time-to-peak of microbubbles was found to be longer than that of MION. This is largely expected as microbubbles, with size comparable to that of red blood cells, flow slower than blood plasma while MION nanoparticles flow together with plasma. In few of the rats studied (1 out of 5 in brain imaging), the T_2^* -weighted signals after microbubble injection did not return to the preinjection baseline, this may be caused by microbubble trapping in local tissue vasculature.



Fig. 3. Typical images from a rat brain during AMBs injection: (a) anatomical image; (b) preinjection GE-EPI T_2^* -weighted image; (c) postinjection GE-EPI T_2^* -weighted image with the maximum susceptibility contrast; (d) T_2^* -weighted signal time courses in different ROIs during AMBs injection; (e) ΔR_2^* map computed from AMB data; and (f) ΔR_2^* map obtained using intravascular contrast agent MIONs at 0.6 mg Fe/kg. Three ROIs used for time course measurements are shown in (b) and gamma-variate fitted data shown in sold lines.

C. In vivo Rat Liver Imaging

Fig. 4 illustrates the rat liver images typically observed during AMBs and SonoVue® injection. The signal time course during SonoVue® injection captured by ultrasound imaging was overlaid in grey for comparison. Note that the ultrasound signal was enhanced by microbubbles. Similar signal

recovering patterns were observed, showing comparable lifetime of SonoVue® *in vivo*. Table 1 shows the measured ΔR_2^* , FWHM and time-to-peak of AMBs and SonoVue®, as well as ΔR_2^* and time-to-peak of MION in liver. Time-to-peak of microbubbles was also found to be longer than that of MION. In few of the rats studied (2 out of 5 in liver imaging), the T_2^* -weighted signals after microbubble injection did not return to the preinjection baseline, possible uptake of intact microbubbles by Kupffer cells in liver may also contribute to such observation.



Fig. 4. Corresponding images from the same rat liver during microbubble injections: (a) anatomical image, (b) preinjection GE-EPI T_2^* -weighted image for AMBs and (c) postinjection GE-EPI T_2^* -weighted signal time courses in different liver regions during (d) AMB and (e) SonoVue® injection in the same rat. ΔR_2^* maps for (f) AMBs, (g) SonoVue® and (h) 0.6 mg Fe/kg MIONs. Two ROIs for time course measurement, a homogenous liver region (LV) and the region covering inferior vena cava (IVC), are shown in (b). Gamma-variate fitted data are shown in sold lines. Ultrasound measurement (grey line) was overlaid in (e) for comparison.

Table 1. *In vivo* measurements of relaxation rate enhancement (ΔR_2^*) , full width at half maximum (FWHM) and time-to-peak of the concentration time courses for AMBs, SonoVue® and MIONs in rat brain cortex (mean \pm standard deviation, N = 5) and liver (mean \pm standard deviation, N = 5).

	ΔR_2^* (s ⁻¹)	FWHM (s)	Time-to-peak (s)
Brain			
AMBs	2.49 ± 1.00	114 ± 39	57 ± 20
SonoVue®	2.41 ± 1.18	86 ± 16	48 ± 12
MIONs	1.98 ± 0.36	N.A.	24 ± 2
Liver			
AMBs	40.89 ± 22.08	119 ± 68	60 ± 24
SonoVue®	45.78 ± 34.22	95 ± 41	58 ± 28
MIONs	55.84 ± 21.75	N.A.	39 ± 14

D. Measurement of $\Delta R2^*$ of MION-free and MION-entrapped PMB Suspensions

Fig. 5 shows the individual microbubble-induced ΔR_2^* values in six batches of PMBs at 5% volume fraction and one batch of AMBs at 4 % volume fraction with and without MIONs, each measured with six repeated measurements. Suspending solution R_2^* for AMBs without and with MIONs after cavitation were found to be increased by 1.41 s⁻¹ and 23.91 s⁻¹ respectively. Similarly, suspending solution R_2^* for PMBs

without and with MIONs after cavitation were found to be increased by 0.3 ± 0.4 s⁻¹ and 55 ± 9 s⁻¹ respectively. These substantial differences for microbubbles with MIONs demonstrate that there were more MIONs in the suspending solution after cavitation; suggesting that embedded and entrapped MION were released into suspending solution after the microbubble cavitation. Transmission electron microscopy was done on AMBs with MION and depicted in Fig. 6. MIONs as dark dots (red arrows) were observed on the shells of AMB, validating MIONs were embedded onto shells of AMBs. Nevertheless, small amount of free MIONs were also observed in suspending solution (green arrow). Larger iron oxide nanoparticles like superparamagnetic iron oxide (SPIOs) FePt@Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles or volk-shell nanoparticles [24], may be used to further enhance the microbubble magnetic susceptibility effect [25].



Fig. 5. Microbubble induced ΔR_2^* of **Fig. 6.** Transmission electron different microbubbles. The error bars represent one standard deviation embedded onto shells of AMBs.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we investigated the feasibility of gas-filled microbubbles as an intravascular MR susceptibility contrast agent in vivo at 7 T. Considerable susceptibility induced changes were observed and characterized in rat brain and liver using the custom-made albumin-coated microbubbles and a commercially available clinical ultrasound microbubble contrast agent. The results indicate that microbubbles can serve as a unique intravascular MR contrast agent at high field. We also demonstrated, for the first time, that embedding or entrapping iron oxide nanoparticles in microbubbles is feasible. With such approach, microbubble susceptibility can be significantly enhanced, so that microbubbles can be monitored with high sensitivity and low concentrations under MRI. Such capability has the potential to lead to real-time MRI guidance in various microbubble-based drug delivery and therapeutic applications.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank Dr. Joseph C.K. Leung in the Department of Medicine, Dr. Danny Chan in the Department of Biochemistry and Dr. Ke Xia Cai at the Laboratory of Biomedical Imaging and Signal Processing of The University of Hong Kong for assistance. This work was supported by the Hong Kong Research Grant Council (CERG HKU 7642/06M).

References

- A. Bouakaz, M. Versluis, and N. de Jong, "High-speed optical observations of contrast agent destruction," *Ultrasound in Medicine & Biology*, vol. 31, pp. 391-9, 2005.
- [2] E. C. Unger, E. Hersh, M. Vannan, T. O. Matsunaga, and T. McCreery,

"Local drug and gene delivery through microbubbles," *Prog* Cardiovasc Dis, vol. 44, pp. 45-54, Jul-Aug 2001. S. Mehier-Humbert, T. Bettinger, F. Yan, and R. H. Guy, "Plasma

- [3] S. Mehier-Humbert, T. Bettinger, F. Yan, and R. H. Guy, "Plasma membrane poration induced by ultrasound exposure: implication for drug delivery," *J Control Release*, vol. 104, pp. 213-22, May 5 2005.
- [4] R. Bekeredjian, H. A. Katus, and H. F. Kuecherer, "Therapeutic use of ultrasound targeted microbubble destruction: a review of non-cardiac applications," *Ultraschall Med*, vol. 27, pp. 134-40, Apr 2006.
- [5] W. C. Culp, T. R. Porter, J. Lowery, F. Xie, P. K. Roberson, and L. Marky, "Intracranial clot lysis with intravenous microbubbles and transcranial ultrasound in swine," *Stroke*, vol. 35, pp. 2407-11, 2004.
- [6] K. Hynynen, N. McDannold, N. A. Sheikov, F. A. Jolesz, and N. Vykhodtseva, "Local and reversible blood-brain barrier disruption by noninvasive focused ultrasound at frequencies suitable for trans-skull sonications," *Neuroimage*, vol. 24, pp. 12-20, 2005.
- [7] Y. Kaneko, T. Maruyama, K. Takegami, T. Watanabe, H. Mitsui, K. Hanajiri, H. Nagawa, and Y. Matsumoto, "Use of a microbubble agent to increase the effects of high intensity focused ultrasound on liver tissue," *European Radiology*, vol. 15, pp. 1415-20, 2005.
- [8] M. E. Moseley, M. F. Wendland, I. Rampil, and J. Barnhart, "Microbubbles: a novel MR susceptibility contrast agent," in *Proceedings of the 10th Annual Meeting of the ISMRM*, San Francisco, California, USA, 1991, p. 1020.
- [9] R. Dharmakumar, D. B. Plewes, and G. A. Wright, "On the parameters affecting the sensitivity of MR measures of pressure with microbubbles," *Magn Reson Med*, vol. 47, pp. 264-73, Feb 2002.
- [10] A. L. Alexander, T. T. McCreery, T. R. Barrette, A. F. Gmitro, and E. C. Unger, "Microbubbles as novel pressure-sensitive MR contrast agents," *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, vol. 35, pp. 801-6, 1996.
- [11] K. K. Wong, I. Huang, Y. R. Kim, H. Tang, E. S. Yang, K. K. Kwong, and E. X. Wu, "In vivo study of microbubbles as an MR susceptibility contrast agent," *Magn Reson Med*, vol. 52, pp. 445-52, Sep 2004.
- [12] T. Ueguchi, Y. Tanaka, S. Hamada, R. Kawamoto, Y. Ogata, M. Matsumoto, H. Nakamura, and T. Johkoh, "Air microbubbles as MR susceptibility contrast agent at 1.5 Tesla," *Magn Reson Med Sci*, vol. 5, pp. 147-50, Oct 2006.
- [13] F. De Guio, H. Benoit-Cattin, and A. Davenel, "Signal decay due to susceptibility-induced intravoxel dephasing on multiple air-filled cylinders: MRI simulations and experiments," *Magma*, vol. 21, pp. 261-71, Jul 2008.
- [14] J. S. Cheung, A. M. Chow, H. Guo, and E. X. Wu, "Microbubbles as a novel contrast agent for brain MRI," *Neuroimage*, vol. 46, pp. 658-64, Jul 1 2009.
- [15] E. X. Wu, H. Tang, and J. H. Jensen, "Applications of ultrasmall superparamagnetic iron oxide contrast agents in the MR study of animal models," *NMR Biomed*, vol. 17, pp. 478-83, Nov 2004.
- [16] D. Cerny, G. J. Mills, and P. J. Westkaemper, "Continuous Sonication Method for Preparation Protein Encapculated Microbubbles," United States, 1990.
- [17] A. Chow, J. Cheung, and E. Weu, "Enhancement of Gas-filled Microbubble Magnetic Susceptibility by Iron Oxide Nanoparticles," in *Proceedings of the 17th Annual Meeting of the ISMRM*, Honolulu, Hawaii, USA, 2009, p. 1486.
- [18] E. X. Wu, K. K. Wong, M. Andrassy, and H. Tang, "High-resolution in vivo CBV mapping with MRI in wild-type mice," *Magn Reson Med*, vol. 49, pp. 765-70, Apr 2003.
- [19] E. X. Wu, H. Tang, and J. H. Jensen, "High-resolution MR imaging of mouse brain microvasculature using the relaxation rate shift index Q," *NMR Biomed*, vol. 17, pp. 507-12, Nov 2004.
- [20] E. X. Wu, H. Tang, T. Asai, and S. D. Yan, "Regional cerebral blood volume reduction in transgenic mutant APP (V717F, K670N/M671L) mice," *Neurosci Lett*, vol. 365, pp. 223-7, Jul 29 2004.
- [21] R. P. Woods, S. T. Grafton, C. J. Holmes, S. R. Cherry, and J. C. Mazziotta, "Automated image registration: I. General methods and intrasubject, intramodality validation," *J Comput Assist Tomogr*, vol. 22, pp. 139-52, Jan-Feb 1998.
- [22] E. X. Wu, H. Tang, K. K. Wong, and J. Wang, "Mapping cyclic change of regional myocardial blood volume using steady-state susceptibility effect of iron oxide nanoparticles," *J Magn Reson Imaging*, vol. 19, pp. 50-8, Jan 2004.
- [23] D. R. Pickens, "Perfusion/diffusion quantitation with magnetic resonance imaging," *Invest Radiol*, vol. 27 Suppl 2, pp. S12-7, Dec 1992.
- [24] J. Gao, G. Liang, J. S. Cheung, Y. Pan, Y. Kuang, F. Zhao, B. Zhang, X. Zhang, E. X. Wu, and B. Xu, "Multifunctional yolk-shell nanoparticles: a potential MRI contrast and anticancer agent," *J Am Chem Soc*, vol. 130, pp. 11828-33, Sep 3 2008.
 [25] R. Dharmakumar, D. B. Plewes, and G. A. Wright, "A novel
- [25] R. Dharmakumar, D. B. Plewes, and G. A. Wright, "A novel microbubble construct for intracardiac or intravascular MR manometry: a theoretical study," *Phys Med Biol*, vol. 50, pp. 4745-62, Oct 21 2005.