The HKU Scholars Hub The University of Hong Kong 香港大學學術庫



Title	Entropy rate of continuous-state hidden Markov chains
Author(s)	Han, G; Marcus, B
Citation	The IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT 2010), Austin, TX., 13-18 June 2010. In Proceedings of ISIT, 2010, p. 1468-1472
Issued Date	2010
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/10722/126236
Rights	Creative Commons: Attribution 3.0 Hong Kong License

Entropy Rate of Continuous-State Hidden Markov Chains

Guangyue Han University of Hong Kong Email: ghan@hku.hk

Abstract—We prove that under mild positivity assumptions, the entropy rate of a continuous-state hidden Markov chain, observed when passing a finite-state Markov chain through a discrete-time continuous-output channel, is analytic as a function of the transition probabilities of the underlying Markov chain. We further prove that the entropy rate of a continuous-state hidden Markov chain, observed when passing a mixing finitetype constrained Markov chain through a discrete-time Gaussian channel, is smooth as a function of the transition probabilities of the underlying Markov chain.

I. MAIN RESULTS

Consider a discrete-time channel with a finite input alphabet \mathcal{Y} and the continuous output alphabet $\mathcal{Z} = \mathbb{R}$. Assume that the input process is a \mathcal{Y} -valued first order stationary Markov chain Y with transition probability matrix $\Pi = (\pi_{ij})_{|\mathcal{Y}| \times |\mathcal{Y}|}$ and stationary vector $\pi = (\pi_i)_{|\mathcal{Y}|}$ (here we assume Y is first order only for simplicity; an usual "blocking" approach can be used to reduce higher order case to first order case). Assume that the channel is memoryless in the sense that at each time, the distribution of the output $z \in \mathcal{Z}$, given the input $y \in \mathcal{Y}$, is independent of the past and future inputs and outputs, and is distributed according to probability density function q(z|y).

The corresponding output process of this channel is a continuous-state *hidden Markov chain*, which will be denoted by Z throughout the paper. The entropy rate H(Z) is defined as

$$H(Z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n+1} H(Z_{-n}^{0}),$$

when the limit exists, where

$$H(Z_{-n}^{0}) = -\int_{\mathcal{Z}^{n+1}} p(z_{-n}^{0}) \log p(z_{-n}^{0}) dz_{-n}^{0}$$

here $z_{-n}^0 := (z_{-n}, z_{-n+1}, \cdots, z_0)$ denotes an instance of $Z_{-n}^0 := (Z_{-n}, Z_{-n+1}, \cdots, Z_0)$, and $p(z_{-n}^0)$ denotes the probability density of z_{-n}^0 . It is well-known (e.g., see page 60 of [3]) that if $H(Z_{-n}^0)$ is finite for all n, H(Z) is well-defined and can be written as

$$H(Z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} H_n(Z)$$

where

$$H_n(Z) = -\int_{\mathcal{Z}^{n+1}} p(z_{-n}^0) \log p(z_0 | z_{-n}^{-1}) dz_{-n}^0, \quad (1)$$

here $p(z_0|z_{-n}^{-1})$ denotes the conditional density of z_0 given z_{-n}^{-1} . Since the channel considered in this paper is memoryless,

Brian Marcus University of British Columbia Email: marcus@math.ubc.ca

and Y, Z are stationary, we have

$$H(Z_{-n}^{0}|Y_{-n}^{0}) = (n+1)H(Z_{0}|Y_{0}),$$

where $H(Z_0|Y_0)$ can be computed as

$$H(Z_0|Y_0) = -\sum_{i \in \mathcal{Y}} \pi_i \int_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} q(z|i) \log q(z|i) dz.$$

It then follows from

$$H(Z_{-n}^{0}|Y_{-n}^{0}) \le H(Z_{-n}^{0}) \le H(Y_{-n}^{0}) + H(Z_{-n}^{0}|Y_{-n}^{0})$$

that if

$$\int_{z\in\mathcal{Z}} q(z|i)\log q(z|i)dz$$

is finite for all i, H(Z) is well-defined and finite.

The following theorem states that under positivity assumptions, H(Z) is analytic as a function of Π .

Theorem 1.1: Consider a discrete-time memoryless continuous-output channel as above. Assume that Π is analytically parameterized by $\vec{\varepsilon} = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \cdots, \varepsilon_m) \in \Omega$, where Ω denotes an open and bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^m , and assume that q(z|y) > 0 for all $(y, z) \in (\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z})$, and the integral

$$\int_{z\in\mathcal{Z}} q(z|i)\log q(z|i)dz$$

is finite for all *i*. If Π is strictly positive at $\vec{\varepsilon}_0$, then H(Z) is analytic around $\vec{\varepsilon}_0$.

Our next result deals with a discrete-time memoryless Gaussian channel, a special type of discrete-time memoryless continuous-output channel. We shall relax the positivity assumptions in Theorem 1.1, and we assume that the input Markov chain is supported on a mixing finite-type constraint. The consideration of such channels mainly comes from practice: Gaussian channels are of great importance in a variety of scenarios in real applications, and often (particularly in magnetic recording) input sequences are required to satisfy certain constraints in order to eliminate the most damaging error events [8] and the constraints are often mixing finitetype constraints.

Let \mathcal{X} be a finite alphabet, and let \mathcal{X}^n denote the set of words over \mathcal{X} of length n. Let $\mathcal{X}^* = \bigcup_n \mathcal{X}^n$. A *finite-type* constraint \mathcal{S} over \mathcal{X} is a subset of \mathcal{X}^* defined by a finite list \mathcal{F} of forbidden words [7], [8]; in other words, \mathcal{S} is the set of words over \mathcal{X} that do not contain any element in \mathcal{F} as a contiguous subsequence. We define $\mathcal{S}_n = \mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{X}^n$. The constraint S is said to be *mixing* if there exists N such that, for any $u, v \in S$ and any $n \ge N$, there is a $w \in S_n$ such that $uwv \in S$.

The maximal length of a forbidden list \mathcal{F} is the length of the longest word in \mathcal{F} . In general, there can be many forbidden lists \mathcal{F} which define the same finite type constraint \mathcal{S} . However, we may always choose a list with smallest maximal length. The (topological) order of \mathcal{S} is defined to be $\hat{m} = \hat{m}(\mathcal{S})$ where $\hat{m} + 1$ is the smallest maximal length of any forbidden list that defines \mathcal{S} (the order of the trivial constraint \mathcal{X}^* is taken to be 0). For example, one checks that the order of the (d, k)-RLL constraint [7], which is a commonly seen mixing finite-type constraint, is k when $k < \infty$, and is d when $k = \infty$.

For a stationary stochastic process X over \mathcal{X} , the set of *allowed* words with respect to X is defined as

$$\mathcal{A}(X) = \{ w_{-n}^0 : n \ge 0, P(X_{-n}^0 = w_{-n}^0) > 0 \}.$$

For any *m*-th order Markov process X, we say X is supported on a constraint S if S = A(X); note that in this case, the constraint S is necessarily of finite-type with order $\hat{m} \leq m$. Also, X is mixing if and only if S is mixing (recall that a Markov chain is mixing if its transition probability matrix (obtained by appropriately enlarging the state space) is irreducible and aperiodic).

Now, consider a discrete-time memoryless Gaussian channel, which is a special case of the generic channel model described in the beginning of this paper. More specifically, for any input $y \in \mathcal{Y}$, the channel is characterized by the transition probability density function

$$q(z|y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_y}} e^{-(z-y)^2/(2\sigma_y^2)},$$
(2)

where $\sigma_y > 0$, and $z \in \mathcal{Z}$ denotes a possible output of the channel.

The following theorem states that under certain assumptions, H(Z) is smooth (infinitely differentiable) as a function of the transition probabilities of Y. More specifically, we state our second result of this paper as follows.

Theorem 1.2: Consider a discrete-time memoryless Gaussian channel as above. Assume that Π is analytically parameterized by $\vec{\varepsilon} = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \cdots, \varepsilon_m) \in \Omega$, where Ω denotes an open and bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^m , and assume that at $\vec{\varepsilon}_0 \in \Omega$, the input Markov chain Y is supported on a mixing finite-type constraint S, i.e., $\mathcal{A}(X) = S$, then H(Z) is smooth around $\vec{\varepsilon}_0$.

II. A COMPLEX HILBERT METRIC

In this section, we briefly review the classical Hilbert metric and review a new complex Hilbert metric, which we will use Vto prove Theorem 1.1.

Let W be the standard simplex in $|\mathcal{Y}|$ -dimensional real Euclidean space,

$$W = \{ w = (w_1, w_2, \cdots, w_{|\mathcal{Y}|}) \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{Y}|} : w_i \ge 0, \sum_i w_i = 1 \},\$$

and let W° denote its interior, consisting of the vectors with positive coordinates. For any two vectors $v, w \in W^{\circ}$, the Hilbert metric [9] is defined as

$$d_H(w,v) = \max_{i,j} \log\left(\frac{w_i/w_j}{v_i/v_j}\right).$$
(3)

For a $|\mathcal{Y}| \times |\mathcal{Y}|$ strictly positive matrix $T = (t_{ij})$, the mapping f_T induced by T on W is defined by

$$f_T(w) = \frac{wT}{(wT\mathbf{1})},\tag{4}$$

where 1 is the all 1 column vector. It is well known that f_T is a contraction mapping under the Hilbert metric [9]. The contraction coefficient of T, which is also called the Birkhoff coefficient, is given by

$$\tau(T) = \sup_{v \neq w} \frac{d_H(vT, wT)}{d_H(v, w)} = \frac{1 - \sqrt{\phi(T)}}{1 + \sqrt{\phi(T)}},$$
 (5)

where $\phi(T) = \min_{i,j,k,l} \frac{t_{ik} t_{jl}}{t_{jk} t_{il}}$.

Let \hat{W} denote the complex version of W,

$$\hat{W} = \{ w = (w_1, w_2, \cdots, w_{|\mathcal{Y}|}) \in \mathbb{C}^{|\mathcal{Y}|} : \sum_i w_i = 1 \}.$$

Let $\hat{W}^+ = \{v \in \hat{W} : \Re(v_i/v_j) > 0 \text{ for all } i, j\}$. For $v, w \in \hat{W}^+$, let

$$\hat{d}_H(v,w) = \max_{i,j} \left| \log \left(\frac{w_i/w_j}{v_i/v_j} \right) \right|,\tag{6}$$

where log is taken as the principal branch of the complex $log(\cdot)$ function (i.e., the branch whose branch cut is the negative real axis). Since the principal branch of log is additive on the right-half plane, \hat{d}_H is a metric on \hat{W}^+ , which we call a *complex Hilbert metric*.

Let M denote the set of all stochastic matrices with dimension $|\mathcal{Y}| \times |\mathcal{Y}|$, i.e.,

$$M = \{ \Pi = (\pi_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{Y}| \times |\mathcal{Y}|} : \pi_{ij} \ge 0, \sum_{j=1}^{|\mathcal{Y}|} \pi_{ij} = 1 \}.$$

Let M denote the complex version of M, defined as

$$\hat{M} = \{ \Pi = (\pi_{ij}) \in \mathbb{C}^{|\mathcal{Y}| \times |\mathcal{Y}|} : \sum_{j=1}^{|\mathcal{Y}|} \pi_{ij} = 1 \}.$$

For a given positive Π and a small $\delta_1 > 0$, let $\hat{M}_{\Pi}(\delta_1)$ denote the δ_1 -neighborhood around Π within \hat{M} . For an element $\hat{\Pi} \in \hat{M}_{\Pi}(\delta_1)$, similar to (4), $\hat{\Pi}$ will induce a mapping $f_{\hat{\Pi}}$ on \hat{W} . For a small $\delta_2 > 0$, let $\hat{W}^{\circ}_{H}(\delta_2)$ denote the δ_2 -neighborhood of W° within \hat{W}^+ under the complex Hilbert metric, i.e.,

$$\hat{V}_{H}^{\circ}(\delta_{2}) = \{ v = (v_{1}, v_{2}, \cdots, v_{|\mathcal{Y}|}) \in \hat{W}^{+} : \exists u \in W^{\circ}, \hat{d}_{H}(v, u) \le \delta_{2} \}$$

The main theorem in [5] says:

Theorem 2.1: For sufficiently small $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$, there exists $0 < \rho_1 < 0$ such that for any $\hat{\Pi} \in \hat{M}_{\Pi}(\delta_1)$, $f_{\hat{\Pi}}$ is a ρ_1 -contraction mapping on $\hat{W}^{\circ}_H(\delta_2)$ under the complex Hilbert metric in (6).

III. OUTLINE OF THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section, we consider a discrete-time memoryless continuous-output channel as in Theorem 1.1, which was described in the beginning of Section I.

For each $z \in \mathcal{Z}$, define $\Pi(z)$ as a $|\mathcal{Y}| \times |\mathcal{Y}|$ matrix with the entries

$$\Pi(z)_{ij} = \pi_{ij}(\vec{\varepsilon})q(z|j), \text{ for all } i, j,$$
(7)

here we suppressed the dependence of $\Pi(z)$ on $\vec{\varepsilon}$ for notational simplicity. By (4), $\Pi(z)$ will induce a mapping $f_z^{\vec{\varepsilon}} := f_{\Pi(z)}$ from W to W. For any fixed n and z_{-n}^0 , define

$$x_{i}^{\vec{\varepsilon}} = x_{i}^{\vec{\varepsilon}}(z_{-n}^{i}) = p(y_{i} = \cdot | z_{i}, z_{i-1}, \cdots, z_{-n}), \quad (8)$$

(here \cdot represent the states of the Markov chain Y,) then similar to Blackwell [1], $\{x_i^{\vec{\varepsilon}}\}$ satisfies the random dynamical system

$$x_{i+1}^{\vec{\varepsilon}} = f_{z_{i+1}}^{\vec{\varepsilon}}(x_i^{\vec{\varepsilon}}), \tag{9}$$

starting with

$$x_{-n-1}^{\vec{\varepsilon}} = \pi(\vec{\varepsilon}). \tag{10}$$

And obviously we have

$$p^{\vec{\varepsilon}}(z_0|z_{-n}) = x_{-1}^{\vec{\varepsilon}}\Pi(z_0)\mathbf{1},$$
(11)

and

$$p^{\vec{\varepsilon}}(z_{-n}^{0}) = \pi(\vec{\varepsilon})\Pi(z_{-n})\Pi(z_{-n+1})\cdots\Pi(z_{0})\mathbf{1}.$$
 (12)

Apparently $x_i^{\vec{\varepsilon}}$, $p^{\vec{\varepsilon}}(z_0|z_{-n})$ and $p^{\vec{\varepsilon}}(z_{-n}^0)$ all depend on the real vector $\vec{\varepsilon} \in \Omega$. In what follows, we shall show that they can be "complexified". For r > 0, let $\mathbb{C}^m_{\vec{\varepsilon}_0}(r)$ denote a *r*-ball around $\vec{\varepsilon}_0$ in \mathbb{C}^m . For any $\vec{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{C}^m_{\vec{\varepsilon}_0}(r)$, one checks that for *r* small enough, the following system of equations with respect to $\pi(\vec{\varepsilon})$

$$\pi(\vec{\varepsilon})\Pi = \pi(\vec{\varepsilon}), \qquad \sum_{y} \pi(\vec{\varepsilon})_{y} = 1$$

has a unique solution $\pi(\vec{\varepsilon})$, which is analytic on $\mathbb{C}^m_{\vec{\varepsilon}_0}(r)$ as a function of $\vec{\varepsilon}$. Then through (10) and (9), $x_i^{\vec{\varepsilon}}$ can be analytically extended to $\mathbb{C}^m_{\vec{\varepsilon}_0}(r)$; furthermore, through (11) and (12), $p^{\vec{\varepsilon}}(z_0|z_{-n})$ and $p^{\vec{\varepsilon}}(z_{-n}^0)$ can be analytically extended to $\mathbb{C}^m_{\vec{\varepsilon}_0}(r)$. Eventually, $H_n^{\vec{\varepsilon}}(Z)$ can be analytically extended to $\mathbb{C}^m_{\vec{\varepsilon}_0}(r)$ as well.

For any $z \in \mathcal{Z}$, by the definition of $\Pi(z)$, one checks that for any $u, v \in \hat{W}$, we have

$$\hat{d}_H(u\Pi(z), v\Pi(z)) = \hat{d}_H(u\Pi, v\Pi).$$
(13)

Then immediately by Theorem 2.1, we have the following lemma, which, roughly speaking, says that if we perturb $\vec{\varepsilon_0}$ "a bit" to $\vec{\varepsilon}$, $f_z^{\vec{\varepsilon}}$ is a contraction mapping on a complex neighborhood of W° , and the contraction coefficient is uniform over all the values of z.

Lemma 3.1: For sufficiently small $r, \delta > 0$, there exists $0 < \rho_1 < 1$ such that for any $\vec{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{C}^m_{\vec{\varepsilon}_0}(r)$ and any $z \in \mathcal{Z}$, $f_z^{\vec{\varepsilon}}$ is a ρ_1 -contraction mapping on $\hat{W}^{\circ}_H(\delta)$ under the complex Hilbert metric in (6).

The following lemma, roughly speaking, says that if we perturb $\vec{\varepsilon}_0$ "a bit" to $\vec{\varepsilon}$, the image of any point in W under $f_z^{\vec{\varepsilon}}$, for any $z \in \mathcal{Z}$, does not change much.

Lemma 3.2: Consider any $\vec{\varepsilon}_0 \in \Omega$ with $\pi_{ij}(\vec{\varepsilon}_0) > 0$ for all i, j. For any $\delta > 0$, there exists r > 0 such that for any $\vec{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{C}^m_{\vec{\varepsilon}_0}(r)$, any $z \in \mathcal{Z}$ and any $x \in W$, we have

$$\hat{d}_H(f_z^{\vec{\varepsilon}}(x), f_z^{\vec{\varepsilon}_0}(x)) \le \delta.$$

For $\delta > 0$, let $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{R}^+}[\delta]$ denote the "relative" δ -neighborhood of $\mathbb{R}^+ := \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x > 0\}$ within \mathbb{C} , i.e.,

$$\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{R}^+}[\delta] = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - x| \le \delta x, \text{ for some } x > 0 \}.$$

The following lemma, which is implied by the proof of Lemma 1.3 in [5], allows us to connect the complex Hilbert metric and the Euclidean metric.

- Lemma 3.3: 1) For any $\delta > 0$, there exists $\xi > 0$ such that for any $\hat{x} \in \hat{W}^+$, $x \in W^\circ$ with $\hat{d}_H(\hat{x}, x) \leq \xi$, we have $\hat{x}_i \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{R}^+}[\delta]$ for all i.
- For any ζ > 0 and any δ > 0, there exists ξ > 0 such that for any x̂, ŷ ∈ Ŵ⁺ with |x̂-x|, |ŷ−y| ≤ ζ for some x, y ∈ W°, and d̂_H(x̂, ŷ) ≤ ξ, we have |x̂ − ŷ| ≤ δ.

We are now ready for the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4: 1) For any $\delta > 0$, there exists r > 0 such that for any $\vec{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{C}^m_{\vec{\varepsilon}_0}(r)$ and for all $z^0_{-n} \in \mathcal{Z}^{n+1}$,

$$p^{\vec{\varepsilon}}(z_0|z_{-n}^{-1}) \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{R}^+}[\delta].$$

2) For sufficiently small r > 0, there exist $0 < \rho_1 < 1$ and a positive constant L_1 such that for any two \mathcal{Z} -valued sequences $\{a_{-n_1}^0\}$ and $\{b_{-n_2}^0\}$ with $a_{-n}^0 = b_{-n}^0$ and for all $\vec{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{C}^m_{\vec{\varepsilon}_0}(r)$, we have

$$|p^{\vec{\varepsilon}}(a_0|a_{-n_1}^{-1}) - p^{\vec{\varepsilon}}(b_0|b_{-n_2}^{-1})| \le L_1 \rho_1^n p^{\vec{\varepsilon}_0}(a_0).$$

The proof of Lemma 3.4 can be roughly described as follows. As before, we complexify the real random dynamical system corresponding to (9). Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 can guarantee the complex orbit will be exponentially close to the original real orbit under the complex Hilbert metric, thus implying the complex orbit will be close to W under the Euclidan metric and further, with (11), establishing part 1). For part 2), again by Lemma 3.1, we can show that the complex orbits, starting from possibly different initial points, get exponentially close under the complex Hilbert metric, then with (11) and Lemma 3.3, we can establish part 2).

We will need the following lemma for the proof of Theorem 1.1 as well, which can be easily proved.

Lemma 3.5: For any $\delta > 0$, there exists r > 0 such that for all z_{-n}^0 and for all $\vec{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{C}^m_{\vec{\varepsilon}_0}(r)$, we have

$$|p^{\vec{\varepsilon}}(z_{-n}^{0})| \le (1+\delta)^{n} p^{\vec{\varepsilon}_{0}}(z_{-n}^{0}).$$

We are now ready for the proof of Theorem 1.1. *Proof of Theorem 1.1:*

We only need to prove that there is a r > 0 such that the $H_{n}^{\vec{\varepsilon}}(Z)$, as $n \to \infty$, uniformly converges on $\mathbb{C}_{\vec{\varepsilon}_{0}}^{m}(r)$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{n+1}^{\vec{\varepsilon}}(Z) - H_{n}^{\vec{\varepsilon}}(Z)| &= \left| \int_{\mathcal{Z}_{-n-1}^{0}} p^{\vec{\varepsilon}}(z_{-n-1}^{0}) \log p^{\vec{\varepsilon}}(z_{0}|z_{-n-1}^{-1}) dz_{-n-1}^{0} \right| \\ &- \int_{\mathcal{Z}_{-n}^{0}} p^{\vec{\varepsilon}}(z_{-n}^{0}) \log p^{\vec{\varepsilon}}(z_{0}|z_{-n}^{-1}) dz_{-n}^{0} \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$= \left| \int_{\mathcal{Z}_{-n-1}^{0}} p^{\vec{\varepsilon}}(z_{0-n-1}^{0}) (\log f^{\vec{\varepsilon}}(z_{0}|z_{-n-1}^{-1}) - \log p^{\vec{\varepsilon}}(z_{0}|z_{-n}^{-1})) dz_{-n-1}^{0} \right|$$

Note that for sufficiently small $\delta'_1 > 0$, by the mean value theorem, there exists a positive constant L'_1 such that for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{R}^+}[\delta'_1]$

$$|\log \alpha - \log \beta| \le L'_1 \max\left(\frac{|\alpha - \beta|}{|\alpha|}, \frac{|\alpha - \beta|}{|\beta|}\right)$$

Now fix $\vec{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{C}^m_{\vec{\varepsilon}_0}(r)$, then by Lemma 3.4, either we have, for some $0 < \rho_1 < 1$ and some δ_1 with $(1 + \delta_1)\rho_1 < 1$,

$$\begin{split} & \left| p^{\vec{\varepsilon}}(z_{-n-1}^{0}) (\log p^{\vec{\varepsilon}}(z_{0}|z_{-n-1}^{-1}) - \log p^{\vec{\varepsilon}}(z_{0}|z_{-n}^{-1})) \right| \\ & \leq L_{1}' \left| p^{\vec{\varepsilon}}(z_{0-n-1}^{0}) \frac{p^{\vec{\varepsilon}}(z_{0}|z_{-n-1}^{-1}) - p^{\vec{\varepsilon}}(z_{0}|z_{-n}^{-1})}{p^{\vec{\varepsilon}}(z_{0}|z_{-n-1}^{-1})} \right| \end{split}$$

 $\leq L_1' | p^{\vec{\varepsilon}}(z_{-n-1}^{-1}) | L_1 \rho_1^n p^{\vec{\varepsilon}_0}(z_0) \leq L_1' L_1 \rho_1^n (1+\delta_1)^n p^{\vec{\varepsilon}_0}(z_{-n-1}^{-1}) p^{\vec{\varepsilon}_0}(z_0),$

or we have, for some $0<\rho_1<1$ and some δ_1 with $(1+\delta_1)\rho_1<1,$

$$\begin{split} & |p^{\vec{\varepsilon}}(z_{-n-1}^{0})(\log p^{\vec{\varepsilon}}(z_{0}|z_{-n-1}^{-1}) - \log p^{\vec{\varepsilon}}(z_{0}|z_{-n}^{-1}))| \\ & \leq L_{1}' \left| p^{\vec{\varepsilon}}(z_{-n-1}^{0}) \frac{p^{\vec{\varepsilon}}(z_{0}|z_{-n-1}^{-1}) - p^{\vec{\varepsilon}}(z_{0}|z_{-n}^{-1}))}{p^{\vec{\varepsilon}}(z_{0}|z_{-n}^{-1})} \right| \\ & \leq L_{1}' |p^{\vec{\varepsilon}}(z_{-n}^{-1})p^{\vec{\varepsilon}}(z_{-n-1}|z_{-n}^{0})| L_{1}\rho_{1}^{n}p^{\vec{\varepsilon}_{0}}(z_{0}) \\ & \leq L_{1}' L_{1}\rho_{1}^{n}(1+\delta_{1})^{n}p^{\vec{\varepsilon}_{0}}(z_{0})p^{\vec{\varepsilon}_{0}}(z_{-n-1})p^{\vec{\varepsilon}_{0}}(z_{-n}^{-1}). \end{split}$$

Combining all the inequalities above gives us some L > 0and some $0 < \rho < 1$ such that for all $\vec{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{C}^m_{\vec{\varepsilon}0}(r)$,

$$|H_{n+1}^{\vec{\varepsilon}}(Z) - H_{n}^{\vec{\varepsilon}}(Z)| \leq \int_{\mathcal{Z}_{-n-1}^{0}} |p^{\vec{\varepsilon}}(z_{-n-1}^{0})| dz_{-n-1}^{0} |p^{\vec{\varepsilon}}(z_{0}|z_{-n-1}^{-1}) - \log p^{\vec{\varepsilon}}(z_{0}|z_{-n}^{-1}))| dz_{-n-1}^{0} \leq L\rho^{n},$$

which implies the analyticity of $H^{\vec{\varepsilon}}(Z)$ around $\vec{\varepsilon_0}$.

Remark 3.6: Consider a discrete-time memoryless discreteoutput (with a possibly infinite output alphabet) channel with channel transition probability q(z|y). With essentially the same proof, we can show that if q(z|y) > 0 for all $(y, z) \in (\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z})$, and

$$\sum_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} q(z|i) \log q(z|i)$$

is finite for all *i*, and the transition probability matrix Π of the input Markov chain *Y*, analytically parameterized by $\vec{\varepsilon}$, is strictly positive at $\vec{\varepsilon}_0$, then for the corresponding output discrete hidden Markov chain *Z*, H(Z) is analytic around $\vec{\varepsilon}_0$. More precisely, all the lemmas above still hold, and one only has to replace the integral sign \int in the main proof with a summation sign \sum .

In the case when the channel only has a finite output alphabet, analyticity of H(Z) is already proven by the main result of [4]. The flow of the proof of Theorem 1.1, in fact, mainly follows from that of the proof of the main result of [4]. However, in the proof of Theorem 1.1, based on equality (13), we used the new complex Hilbert metric in a critical way ((13) does not hold for the Euclidean metric, which was employed in the proof of the main result in [4]), and we have to deal with some technical details differently.

IV. Sketch of Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, we consider a discrete-time memoryless Gaussian channel as in Theorem 1.2, which was described in Section I. For simplicity, we assume both the order of the constraint S and the order of the input Markov chain Y are 1; the higher order case can reduced to order 1 case by the usual "blocking" technique.

Assume that e is the smallest positive integer such that at $\vec{\varepsilon}_0$, Π^e is strictly positive. For the Markov chain Y, define $\tilde{Y} = \{\tilde{Y}_i : i \in \mathbb{Y}\}$ to be a "blocked" process taking values in $\tilde{\mathcal{Y}} = \mathcal{Y}^e$ by

$$Y_i = (Y_{ei}, Y_{ei-1}, \cdots, Y_{ei-e+1});$$

correspondingly, for the hidden Markov chain Z, define $Z = \{\tilde{Z}_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ to be a "blocked" process taking values in $\tilde{Z} = Z^e$ by

$$Z_i = (Z_{ei}, Z_{ei-1}, \cdots, Z_{ei-e+1}).$$

It follows that $H_n(\tilde{Z})/e$ will converge to H(Z) as n goes to ∞ , thus to prove the smoothness of H(Z), it suffices to prove that $H_n(\tilde{Z})$ and all its derivatives uniformly converge within a real neighborhood of $\tilde{\varepsilon_0}$.

For each $\tilde{z} \in \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}$, define $\Pi(\tilde{z})$ by

$$\Pi(\tilde{z}) = \Pi(\tilde{z}_1)\Pi(\tilde{z}_2)\cdots\Pi(\tilde{z}_e).$$
(14)

Similarly as in Section III, $\Pi(\tilde{z})$ will induce a mapping $f_{\tilde{z}} := f_{\Pi(\tilde{z})}$ from W to W. For any fixed n and \tilde{z}_{-n}^0 , define

$$\tilde{x}_i = \tilde{x}_i(\tilde{z}_{-n}^i) = p(\tilde{y}_i = \cdot | \tilde{z}_i, \tilde{z}_{i-1}, \cdots, \tilde{z}_{-n}), \quad (15)$$

(here \cdot represent the states of the Markov chain \tilde{Y} ,) then $\{\tilde{x}_i^{\vec{\varepsilon}}\}$ satisfies the random dynamical system

$$\tilde{x}_{i+1} = f_{\tilde{z}_{i+1}}(\tilde{x}_i),$$
(16)

starting with

$$\tilde{x}_{-n-1} = \pi(\vec{\varepsilon}). \tag{17}$$

Again similarly, we have

$$p(\tilde{z}_0|\tilde{z}_{-n}) = \tilde{x}_{-1}\Pi(\tilde{z}_0)\mathbf{1},$$
(18)

and

$$p(\tilde{z}_{-n}^0) = \pi(\tilde{\varepsilon})\Pi(\tilde{z}_{-n})\Pi(\tilde{z}_{-n+1})\cdots\Pi(\tilde{z}_0)\mathbf{1}.$$
 (19)

For any fixed M > 0, $0 < \alpha < 1$, an instance (with finite length) \tilde{z}_{-n}^{-1} of the above-mentioned \tilde{Z} -process, is said to be (M, α) -typical if the number of $i (-n \le i \le -1)$ with $|\tilde{z}_i| \le M$ (here $|\cdot|_{\infty}$ denotes ℓ_{∞} -norm of a sequence) is bigger than αn . Let $T_n^{M,\alpha}$ denote the set of all the (M, α) -typical \tilde{Z} -sequences with length n.

The following lemma says that non- (M, α) -typical sequences only occur with exponentially small probability, thus we only have to focus on (M, α) -typical sequences. The proof uses the fact that the Gaussian channel transition function q(z|y) (see (2)), decreases "very fast" when z goes to ∞ .

Lemma 4.1: Fix $0 < \alpha < 1$. For sufficiently large M, there exists $0 < \rho < 1$ such that

$$\int_{\tilde{z}_{-n}^{-1} \notin T_n^{M,\alpha}} p(\tilde{z}_{-n}^{-1}) d\tilde{z}_{-n}^{-1} = O(\rho^n).$$

Now, define

$$H_n^{M,\alpha}(\tilde{Z}) = \int_{\tilde{z}_{-n}^{-1} \in T_n^{M,\alpha}, \tilde{z}_0} -p(\tilde{z}_{-n}^0) \log p(\tilde{z}_0|\tilde{z}_{-n}^{-1}) d\tilde{z}_{-n}^0.$$

Note that for any $z_i^{\mathcal{I}}$, we have

$$\min_{l} \sum_{k} \frac{\pi_{l,k}}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_k}} e^{-(z_j-k)^2/(2\sigma_k^2)} \le p(z_j|z_i^{j-1})$$
$$= x_{j-1} \prod_{z_j} 1 \le \max_{l} \sum_{k} \frac{\pi_{l,k}}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_k}} e^{-(z_j-k)^2/(2\sigma_k^2)}.$$

It then follows from

$$p(\tilde{z}_0|\tilde{z}_{-n}^{-1})\log p(\tilde{z}_0|\tilde{z}_{-n}^{-1})$$
$$= \prod_{i=-e+1}^{0} p(z_i|z_{-en-e+1}^{i-1}) \sum_{i=-e+1}^{0} \log p(z_i|z_{-en-e+1}^{i-1})$$

that $|p(z_0|z_{-n}^{-1})\log p(z_0|z_{-n}^{-1})|$ is upper bounded by an integrable function $g(\tilde{z}_0)$, which is independent of \tilde{z}_{-n}^{-1} . It then follows from Lemma 4.1 that there exists $0 < \rho < 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |H_n^{M,\alpha}(\tilde{Z}) - H_n(\tilde{Z})| &= \left| \int_{\tilde{z}_{-n}^{-1} \notin T_n^{M,\alpha}, \tilde{z}_0} - p(\tilde{z}_{-n}^0) \log p(\tilde{z}_0 | \tilde{z}_{-n}^{-1}) d\tilde{z}_{-n}^0 \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\tilde{z}_{-n}^{-1} \notin T_n^{M,\alpha}, \tilde{z}_0} - p(\tilde{z}_{-n}^{-1}) p(\tilde{z}_0 | \tilde{z}_{-n}^{-1}) \log p(\tilde{z}_0 | \tilde{z}_{-n}^{-1}) d\tilde{z}_{-n}^0 \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{\tilde{z}_{-n}^{-1} \notin T_n^{M,\alpha}, \tilde{z}_0} - p(\tilde{z}_{-n}^{-1}) d\tilde{z}_{-n}^{-1} \int_{\tilde{z}_0} g(\tilde{z}_0) d\tilde{z}_0 \right| = O(\rho^n), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that, like $H_n(\tilde{Z})$, $H_n^{M,\alpha}(\tilde{Z})$ converges to $H(\tilde{Z})$, as $n \to \infty$.

To prove smoothness of H(Z) at $\vec{\varepsilon}_0$, it suffices to prove that $H_n^{M,\alpha}(\tilde{Z})$ and all its derivatives uniformly converge on a neighborhood of $\vec{\varepsilon}_0$. In the following, we only prove $H_n^{M,\alpha}(\tilde{Z})$ uniformly converges on a neighborhood of $\vec{\varepsilon}_0$. The proof of uniform convergence of derivatives of $H_n^{M,\alpha}(\tilde{Z})$ is very similar, however much more tedious and technical, thus omitted due to space limit.

Sketch of Proof of Theorem 1.2: Now,

$$\begin{aligned} |H_n^{M,\alpha}(\tilde{Z}) - H_{n+1}^{M,\alpha}(\tilde{Z})| &= \left| \int_{\tilde{z}_{-n}^{-1} \in T_n^{M,\alpha}, \tilde{z}_0} - p(\tilde{z}_{-n}^0) \log p(\tilde{z}_0 | \tilde{z}_{-n}^{-1}) d\tilde{z}_{-n}^0 \right| \\ &- \int_{\tilde{z}_{-n-1}^{-1} \in T_{n+1}^{M,\alpha}, \tilde{z}_0} - p(\tilde{z}_{-n-1}^0) \log p(\tilde{z}_0 | \tilde{z}_{-n-1}^{-1}) d\tilde{z}_{-n-1}^0 \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \left| \int_{\tilde{z}_{-n}^{-1} \in T_{n}^{M,\alpha}, \tilde{z}_{-n-1}^{-1} \in T_{n+1}^{M,\alpha}, \tilde{z}_{0}} - p(\tilde{z}_{-n-1}^{0}) \left(\log \frac{p(\tilde{z}_{0}|\tilde{z}_{-n}^{-1})}{p(\tilde{z}_{0}|\tilde{z}_{-n-1}^{-1})} \right) d\tilde{z}_{-n-1}^{0} \right|$$

$$+ \left| \int_{\tilde{z}_{-n}^{-1} \in T_{n}^{M,\alpha}, \tilde{z}_{-n-1}^{-1} \notin T_{n+1}^{M,\alpha}, \tilde{z}_{0}} - p(\tilde{z}_{-n-1}^{0}) \log p(\tilde{z}_{0} | \tilde{z}_{-n}^{-1}) d\tilde{z}_{-n-1}^{0} \right| \\ + \left| \int_{\tilde{z}_{-n}^{-1} \notin T_{n}^{M,\alpha}, \tilde{z}_{-n-1}^{-1} \in T_{n+1}^{M,\alpha}, \tilde{z}_{0}} - p(\tilde{z}_{-n-1}^{0}) \log p(\tilde{z}_{0} | \tilde{z}_{-n-1}^{-1}) d\tilde{z}_{-n-1}^{0} \right|$$

One checks that $|p(\tilde{z}_0|\tilde{z}_{-n-1}^{-1})\log p(\tilde{z}_0|\tilde{z}_{-n}^{-1})|$, $|p(\tilde{z}_0|\tilde{z}_{-n-1}^{-1})\log p(\tilde{z}_0|\tilde{z}_{-n-1}^{-1})|$ are upper bounded by integrable functions $g_0(\tilde{z}_0)$, $g_1(\tilde{z}_{-n-1})$, $g_2(\tilde{z}_0)$, respectively, which are independent of \tilde{z}_{-n-1}^{-1} . Then using Lemma 4.1, we have, for some $0 < \rho < 1$, the second term and the third term are $O(\rho^n)$.

To show the first term is also $O(\rho^n)$ for some $0 < \rho < 1$, we need to estimate $|\tilde{x}_i^a - \tilde{x}_i^b|$ where we rewrite $\tilde{x}_i(\tilde{z}_{-n}^i), \tilde{x}_i(\tilde{z}_{-n-1}^i)$ as $\tilde{x}_i^a, \tilde{x}_i^b$, respectively. Note that for $|\tilde{z}_i|_{\infty} \leq M$, there is a $0 < \rho_1 < 1$ such that

$$d_H(\tilde{x}_i^a, \tilde{x}_i^b) \le \rho_1 d_H(\tilde{x}_{i-1}^a, \tilde{x}_{i-1}^b),$$

while otherwise trivially we have

$$d_H(\tilde{x}_i^a, \tilde{x}_i^b) \le d_H(\tilde{x}_{i-1}^a, \tilde{x}_{i-1}^b).$$

Then for any sequence $\tilde{z}_{-n}^{-1} \in T_n^{M,\alpha}$, let i_0 denote the smallest index such that $|\tilde{z}_{i_0}|_{\infty} \leq M$, then we have

$$d_H(\tilde{x}_{-1}^a, \tilde{x}_{-1}^b) \le \rho_1^{\alpha n - 1} d_H(\tilde{x}_{i_0}^a, \tilde{x}_{i_0}^b),$$

which implies that there exists $0 < \rho_2 < 1$ such that $|\tilde{x}_{-1}^a - \tilde{x}_{-1}^b| \le O(\rho_2^n)$. It then follows that there exists $0 < \rho_3 < 1$ such that $|p(\tilde{z}_0|\tilde{z}_{-n}^{-1}) - p(\tilde{z}_0|\tilde{z}_{-n-1}^{-1})| \le \rho_3^n g_3(\tilde{z}_0)$, where $g_3(\tilde{z}_0)$ is an integrable function of \tilde{z}_0 . This, together with the fact that $p(\tilde{z}_{-n-1}|\tilde{z}_{-n}^0)$ is upper bounded by $g_4(\tilde{z}_{-n-1})$, which is an integrable function of \tilde{z}_{-n-1} , will prove that the first term is $O(\rho^n)$. We then establish the uniform convergence of $H_n^{M,\alpha}(Z)$ to $H(\tilde{Z})$ on some neighborhood of $\tilde{\varepsilon}_0$.

Remark 4.2: Unlike Theorem 1.1, the complex Hilbert metric can not be applied because of the possible zero entries of Π .

REFERENCES

- D. Blackwell. The entropy of functions of finite-state Markov chains. *Trans. First Prague Conf. Information Theory, Statistical Decision Functions, Random Processes*, pages 13–20, 1957.
- [2] G. Constantine and T. Savits. A Multivariate Faa Di Bruno Formula With Applications. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, Vol. 348, No. 2., Feb, 1996, pp. 503-520.
- [3] S. Ihara. Information theory for continuous systems. World Scientific, 1993.
- [4] G. Han and B. Marcus. Analyticity of entropy rate of hidden Markov chains. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, Volume 52, Issue 12, December, 2006, pages: 5251-5266.
- [5] G. Han and B. Marcus and Y. Peres. A note on a complex Hilbert metric with application to domain of analyticity for entropy rate of hidden Markov processes. To appear in *Entropy of Hidden Markov Processes* and Connections to Dynamical Systems.
- [6] R. Leipnik and T. Reid. Multivariable Faa di Bruno Formulas. Electronic Proceedings of the Ninth Annual International Conference on Technology in Collegiate Mathematics, http://archives.math.utk.edu/ICTCM/EP-9.html#C23.
- [7] D. Lind and B. Marcus. An Introduction to Symbolic Dynamics and Coding. Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [8] B. Marcus, R. Roth and P. Siegel. Constrained Systems and Coding for Recording Channels. Chap. 20 in *Handbook of Coding Theory* (eds. V. S. Pless and W. C. Huffman), Elsevier Science, 1998.
- [9] E. Seneta. Springer Series in Statistics. Non-negative Matrices and Markov Chains. Springer-Verlag, New York Heidelberg Berlin, 1980.