



Title	Osteointegration of soft tissue grafts within the bone tunnels in anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction can be enhanced
Author(s)	Kuang, GM; Yau, WP; Lu, WW; Chiu, KY
Citation	Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy, 2010, v. 18 n. 8, p. 1038-1051
Issued Date	2010
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/10722/92993
Rights	Creative Commons: Attribution 3.0 Hong Kong License

Editorial Manager(tm) for Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy
Manuscript Draft

Manuscript Number: KSST-D-09-00038R2

Title: Osteointegration of soft tissue grafts within the bone tunnels in Anterior Cruciate Ligament reconstruction can be enhanced

Article Type: Review Paper

Corresponding Author: Dr. Guan-Ming Kuang, MD

Corresponding Author's Institution: Department of Orthopaedics and Traumatology, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China.

First Author: Guan-Ming Kuang, MD

Order of Authors: Guan-Ming Kuang, MD; WP Yau, MD; William W Lu, PH.D; KY Chiu, MD

Abstract: Anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with a soft tissue autograft (hamstring autograft) has grown in popularity in the last 10 years. However, the issues of a relatively long healing time and an inferior histological healing result in term of Sharpey-like fibers connection in soft tissue grafts are still unsolved. To obtain a promising outcome in the long run, prompt osteointegration of the tendon graft within the bone tunnel is essential. In recent decades, numerous methods have been reported to enhance osteointegration of soft tissue graft in the bone tunnel. In this article, we review the current literature in this research area, mainly focusing on strategies applied to the local bone tunnel environment. Biologic strategies such as stem cell and gene transfer technology, as well as the local application of specific growth factors have been reported to yield exciting results. The use of biological bone substitute and physical stimulation also obtained promising results. Artificial engineered tissue has promise as a solution to the problem of donor site morbidity. Despite these encouraging results, the current available evidence is still experimental. Further clinical studies in terms of randomized control trial in the future should be conducted to extrapolate these basic science study findings into clinical practice.

Response to Reviewers: I would like to upload a separate file containing my response to the reviewer and editor comments. Thank you.

1
2
3
4 Osteointegration of soft tissue grafts within the bone tunnels in Anterior Cruciate
5 Ligament reconstruction can be enhanced
6
7

8
9 Authors :

10 Guan-Ming Kuang, WP Yau, William W. Lu, KY Chiu
11

12
13 Address:

14 Department of Orthopaedics and Traumatology, LKS Faculty of Medicine, 21
15 Sassoon Road, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam, Hong Kong
16

17
18 Author to contact: Guan-Ming Kuang
19

20
21 Address:

22 Department of Orthopaedics and Traumatology, LKS Faculty of Medicine, 21
23 Sassoon Road, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam, Hong Kong
24

25 Email address: kuanggm@gmail.com
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5 Abstract

6 Anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with a soft tissue autograft (hamstring
7 autograft) has grown in popularity in the last 10 years. However, the issues of a
8 relatively long healing time and an inferior histological healing result in term of
9 Sharpey-like fibers connection in soft tissue grafts are still unsolved. To obtain a
10 promising outcome in the long run, prompt osteointegration of the tendon graft within
11 the bone tunnel is essential. In recent decades, numerous methods have been reported
12 to enhance osteointegration of soft tissue graft in the bone tunnel. In this article, we
13 review the current literature in this research area, mainly focusing on strategies
14 applied to the local bone tunnel environment. Biologic strategies such as stem cell and
15 gene transfer technology, as well as the local application of specific growth factors
16 have been reported to yield exciting results. The use of biological bone substitute and
17 physical stimulation also obtained promising results. Artificial engineered tissue has
18 promise as a solution to the problem of donor site morbidity. Despite these
19 encouraging results, the current available evidence is still experimental. Further
20 clinical studies in terms of randomized control trial in the future should be conducted
21 to extrapolate these basic science study findings into clinical practice.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Introduction

Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction is one of the most common operations in the field of Sports Medicine. It is estimated that there are more than 100,000 ACL reconstructions performed in the United States each year [18]. The graft used in the majority of ACL reconstructions is a biological graft [4]. The initial improved laxity is provided by the fixation strength of the implant, and the long term improved laxity depends on the healing of the graft within the host bone tunnel. The success rate is typically expected to be greater than 90%. However, patients are required to abstain from pivoting motion sports activity for a period of three to nine months to minimize early failure. Such failure is usually secondary to a pulling out of the graft from the bone tunnel before osteointegration occurs [12].

Soft tissue autograft (hamstring autograft) for ACL reconstruction has grown in popularity in the past 10 years [4, 32, 70]. The reported clinical results in terms of post-operative laxity, return to previous activity level and patient satisfaction are comparable with bone-patellar tendon-bone (BPTB) autograft, but the donor site morbidities are significantly less [3, 5-7, 13, 23, 29]. The commonly cited donor site problems of BPTB autograft include anterior knee pain, patellar tendonitis, difficulty in kneeling, extension loss, and potentially significant complications such as patellar tendon rupture and patella fracture [10, 33, 38]. The commonly reported disadvantages of hamstring autograft are knee flexion weakness, internal rotation weakness and decreased flexion range of the knee joint. These problems are due to the degraded function of the hamstrings and all of them are mild [1]. Thus, many surgeons prefer to use the hamstring autograft as the choice of graft in performing ACL reconstruction.

Despite the high success rate of ACL reconstruction, it is noted that the number of revision ACL reconstruction procedures is approximately 3,000 to 10,000 in the United States annually [14]. Repeated trauma, incorrect tunnel positioning, implant failure and delayed healing of the graft within the bone tunnel are potential reasons for this outcome [12]. The anticipated graft incorporation within the bone tunnel is expected to be longer in ACL reconstruction performed with soft tissue biological grafts [16, 45]. Thus, many investigators are looking for methods for enhancing the biological healing of soft tissue graft within the bone tunnel to allow predictable osteointegration to occur within a certain minimal period of time.

It is reported in previous studies that there are two types of healing patterns when a tendon is placed into a bone tunnel. One is a direct healing characterized by a fibrocartilage transition zone between the tendon and bone, resembling normal ACL insertion [20, 45, 62]. This healing pattern, however, can only be observed at the tunnel entrance [45, 47, 79] or at a later time point of the healing process [64]. Instead, in most cases, an indirect type of tendon healing is observable, and which is characterized by perforating collagen fibers that continue from the tendon into bone, and these fibers are named "Sharpey's fibers" [16, 17, 26, 46, 47, 49, 55, 56, 71]. However, there is still no evidence that these Sharpey-like fibers are appropriate in returning function to normal.

1 Besides the inferior quality of the tendon-to-bone healing, another principal obstacle to tendon
2 graft ACL reconstruction is the long healing time. The complete healing of the tendon graft takes
3 several months [15, 56, 71], but the rehabilitation should start as soon as possible after the
4 operation [63]. In the early healing period, the “weak site” is the tendon-bone interface [58].
5 Although instruments or suture techniques can provide temporary fixation, the risk for graft
6 slippage and failure still raises concerns for surgeons. Hence, the patients are required to restrain
7 from pivoting activities for a period of three to nine months to protect this interface before
8 complete osteointegration into the tendon. Therefore, further investigation is needed to enhance
9 the rate and quality of osteointegration of the tendon in bone tunnel.
10

11 In recent decades, numerous methods have been reported to enhance tendon healing in the
12 bone tunnel. In this article, we review the current literature in this research area, mainly focusing
13 on the strategies applied to the local bone tunnel environment, and have made an attempt to
14 identify the most appropriate and promising methods in this area. The related articles were
15 obtained from the PubMed database using various combinations of the following key words:
16 anterior cruciate ligament, reconstruction, tendon, graft, healing and osteointegration. Once an
17 article was found, the “related articles” option of PubMed was used to further expand the search.
18 We identified 31 articles which directly concerned the methodologies on enhancing tendon
19 healing in bone tunnel in animal models (5 on bone substitutes; 6 on periosteum autograft; 13 on
20 growth factors and gene therapy; 3 on stem cells; 2 on physical stimulation and 2 on artificial
21 tissue engineering). As well, review of these articles yielded additional 52 relevant articles and
22 hence totally 83 articles were included in our review paper. Even though this search was carried
23 out with great rigor, it may not be complete.
24

25 **Natural tendon healing in bone tunnel**

26 The mechanisms of healing are complex and incompletely understood. At the beginning of the
27 healing process, as early as 3~7 days after the tendon is placed into the bone tunnel, the gap
28 between the tendon graft and bone tunnel is infiltrated with loose and poorly organized
29 fibrovascular tissue. An inflammation reaction characterized by an influx of cells, such as
30 fibroblasts, vascular endothelia, neutrophils and macrophages, is obvious within the tendon-bone
31 interface with the expression of various growth factors and cytokines, such as type-III collagen,
32 vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), fibroblast growth factor (FGF), bone morphogenetic
33 proteins (BMPs) [20, 24, 28, 35, 56, 58]. Afterwards, at around 1~2 weeks, the interface tissue
34 increases in cellularity and vascularity, with an increased number of osteoblasts, chondroid cells
35 and fibroblasts around the bone tunnel wall. Bone growth factors, such as BMPs, are aggressively
36 expressed and newly formed trabecular bone can be seen sparsely near the bone wall [20]. At
37 approximately 4 weeks after the operation, the fibrovascular interface tissue become denser and
38 better organized, and is gradually degraded by the chondroid cells from the bone into the tendon
39 graft with a deposition of type-II collagen into the matrix, resulting in newly formed lamellar bone
40 and early fibrocartilage progressively growing into the interface tissue. The bone wall surrounding
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 the tendon also has become thicker as a result of the new bone formation. However, during this
2 stage, the collagen fibers are still poorly organized and the continuity between the tendon and
3 bone can just occasionally be seen at this period. Later, at 6 weeks, the collagen fibers become
4 more mature, and the continuity between the tendon and bone is reestablished. At around 8 weeks
5 after the operation, perpendicular oriented fibers resembling Sharpey fibers can be seen along the
6 axis of the tendon in the interface. These Sharpey-like fibers are composed of type-III collagen
7 and connect the bone and the tendon graft, and are regarded as the earliest sign of osteointegration
8 into the tendon [35, 56, 58]. As a result, the biomechanical outcomes of tendon healing at the early
9 stage are essentially dependent on collagen fiber continuity and tendon osteointegration. (Table 1)

10
11
12
13
14 Tendon healing in this manner may be mostly attributable to the local biologic and
15 biomechanical environment in the bone tunnel. The inflammatory reactions at the early stage lead
16 to fibrosis rather than tissue regeneration [20, 26]. Moreover, insufficiency of undifferentiated
17 cells in the tendon-bone interface makes tissue regeneration impossible [34]. Finally, the
18 micromotion of the tendon continually taking place in the bone tunnel during the healing process
19 results in chronic inflammation which impairs the osteointegration process [56-58, 60].

20 21 22 23 24 **Bone substitutes**

25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
Bone substitute materials have long been used in orthopaedics for bone defects and/or cavity
filling. To fill the gap between the tendon and bone with bone substitute materials would seem to
be clinically applicable. The bonding capability may lead to an instant improved laxity of the
tendon graft in the bone tunnel [22, 54]. Moreover, with the capabilities of osteoinductivity and
osteoconductivity, some materials can promote bone formation and therefore replace the defect
with newly formed bone. To date, most studies have focused on calcium phosphate cements
(CPC), with encouraging results.

66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

In 2004, Tien et al. [69] filled the tendon-bone interface with CPC in a rabbit ACL
reconstruction model. As early as 3 weeks after the operation, they observed many growing bone
islands within the CPC, and this new bone had formed directly on the surface of the bone and the
tendon. By 6 weeks, the interface was almost completely filled by new bone with matured and
well organized collagen fibers anchoring it. The interface appeared to have healed completely at
24 weeks, as bone had integrated into the tendon. (Table 2) They did not see new bone formation
in the control group until 12 weeks after the operation. Furthermore, better biomechanical
properties were seen in the CPC augmented groups concerning early pullout strength in one week
(6.51±1.33N compared with 2.05±0.95; P=0.03) and two weeks (11.49 ± 2.87N compared with
5.45 ± 3.96N; P=0.03) post operation.

999
1000
1001
1002
1003
1004
1005
1006
1007
1008
1009
1010
1011
1012
1013
1014
1015
1016
1017
1018
1019
1020
1021
1022
1023
1024
1025
1026
1027
1028
1029
1030
1031
1032
1033
1034
1035
1036
1037
1038
1039
1040
1041
1042
1043
1044
1045
1046
1047
1048
1049
1050
1051
1052
1053
1054
1055
1056
1057
1058
1059
1060
1061
1062
1063
1064
1065
1066
1067
1068
1069
1070
1071
1072
1073
1074
1075
1076
1077
1078
1079
1080
1081
1082
1083
1084
1085
1086
1087
1088
1089
1090
1091
1092
1093
1094
1095
1096
1097
1098
1099
1100
1101
1102
1103
1104
1105
1106
1107
1108
1109
1110
1111
1112
1113
1114
1115
1116
1117
1118
1119
1120
1121
1122
1123
1124
1125
1126
1127
1128
1129
1130
1131
1132
1133
1134
1135
1136
1137
1138
1139
1140
1141
1142
1143
1144
1145
1146
1147
1148
1149
1150
1151
1152
1153
1154
1155
1156
1157
1158
1159
1160
1161
1162
1163
1164
1165
1166
1167
1168
1169
1170
1171
1172
1173
1174
1175
1176
1177
1178
1179
1180
1181
1182
1183
1184
1185
1186
1187
1188
1189
1190
1191
1192
1193
1194
1195
1196
1197
1198
1199
1200
1201
1202
1203
1204
1205
1206
1207
1208
1209
1210
1211
1212
1213
1214
1215
1216
1217
1218
1219
1220
1221
1222
1223
1224
1225
1226
1227
1228
1229
1230
1231
1232
1233
1234
1235
1236
1237
1238
1239
1240
1241
1242
1243
1244
1245
1246
1247
1248
1249
1250
1251
1252
1253
1254
1255
1256
1257
1258
1259
1260
1261
1262
1263
1264
1265
1266
1267
1268
1269
1270
1271
1272
1273
1274
1275
1276
1277
1278
1279
1280
1281
1282
1283
1284
1285
1286
1287
1288
1289
1290
1291
1292
1293
1294
1295
1296
1297
1298
1299
1300
1301
1302
1303
1304
1305
1306
1307
1308
1309
1310
1311
1312
1313
1314
1315
1316
1317
1318
1319
1320
1321
1322
1323
1324
1325
1326
1327
1328
1329
1330
1331
1332
1333
1334
1335
1336
1337
1338
1339
1340
1341
1342
1343
1344
1345
1346
1347
1348
1349
1350
1351
1352
1353
1354
1355
1356
1357
1358
1359
1360
1361
1362
1363
1364
1365
1366
1367
1368
1369
1370
1371
1372
1373
1374
1375
1376
1377
1378
1379
1380
1381
1382
1383
1384
1385
1386
1387
1388
1389
1390
1391
1392
1393
1394
1395
1396
1397
1398
1399
1400
1401
1402
1403
1404
1405
1406
1407
1408
1409
1410
1411
1412
1413
1414
1415
1416
1417
1418
1419
1420
1421
1422
1423
1424
1425
1426
1427
1428
1429
1430
1431
1432
1433
1434
1435
1436
1437
1438
1439
1440
1441
1442
1443
1444
1445
1446
1447
1448
1449
1450
1451
1452
1453
1454
1455
1456
1457
1458
1459
1460
1461
1462
1463
1464
1465
1466
1467
1468
1469
1470
1471
1472
1473
1474
1475
1476
1477
1478
1479
1480
1481
1482
1483
1484
1485
1486
1487
1488
1489
1490
1491
1492
1493
1494
1495
1496
1497
1498
1499
1500

Mutsuzaki et al. [41] reported a similar finding in a rabbit ACL reconstruction model. Before
inserting the tendon into the bone tunnel, they soaked it in a Ca²⁺ solution and then a NaHPO₄
solution in turn so as to yield calcium phosphate crystal deposition on and between tendon
collagen fibrils. They named the tendon treated in this manner the CaP-hybridized tendon. From
the histological findings, they found the healing process was enhanced in the treated groups, with

1 newly formed bone seen almost all the way around the tendon as early as 2 weeks after surgery.
2 According to their description, by CaP hybridization, the surface of the tendon became more
3 bioactive. Both the osteoblasts and osteoclasts recognized this surface and their activity indicated
4 it was a good place for interaction with each other. Therefore, new bone tissue formed on the
5 tendon surface by the osteoblasts following CaP resorption by osteoclasts, and finally the tendon
6 bonded to the bone tunnel directly. However, the authors did not test the mechanical effects.
7

8
9 A more recent study, conducted by Huangfu and Zhao [22], used an injectable tricalcium
10 phosphate(TCP) cement to fill the outer part of the bone tunnel after inserting the tendon graft in a
11 dog ACL reconstruction model. From histologic examination, they discovered Sharpey-like fibers
12 as early as 4 weeks after the operation on the experimental side, while only loose connective tissue
13 on the control side. By 6 weeks after the operation, new bone and regular collagen fibers had filled
14 the tendon-bone interface and the Sharpey-like fibers had become dense and more regular in the
15 shape of bundles anchoring the new bone. However, on the control side, these Sharpey-like fibers
16 could not be seen until 8 weeks after the operation. At 12 weeks, fibrocartilage and calcified bone
17 were seen in the interface, demonstrating a direct ACL insertion appearance on the experimental
18 side, while there were only dense fibers in the controls. (Table 2) Mechanical examination
19 showed that the pullout strength was higher on the experimental side at 2 weeks($11.49\pm 2.87\text{N}$
20 compared with $5.45\pm 3.96\text{N}$; $P<0.05$) and 4 weeks ($62.90\pm 7.62\text{N}$, compared with $33.60\pm 5.87\text{N}$;
21 $P<.001$) after the operation.
22

23
24 In a biomechanical study, Tien et al. [69], in a rabbit ACL reconstruction model, found that at
25 one week post operation, the CPC group had a mean maximal tensile strength of $6.51\pm 1.33\text{N}$,
26 while the non-CPC group had $2.05\pm 0.95\text{N}$ ($P=0.03$). In a study by Huangfu et al. [22], in a dog
27 ACL reconstruction model, the ultimate pullout load at 2 weeks post-operation was found to be
28 $29.13\pm 2.89\text{N}$ in the tricalcium phosphate group, compared to $14.43\pm 4.20\text{N}$ on the control
29 side($P<.001$). Recently, Robertson et al. [54], in an in vitro study, used α -BSM calcium phosphate
30 cement to fix the tendon graft within porcine femoral and tibial bone tunnels. All the specimens
31 were underwent uniaxial tensile testing to failure after 4 hours storage in the incubator at 37°C .
32 Their study showed that the mean load to failure for the tendon grafts in the femoral and tibial
33 tunnels reached 71.7N and 90.62N respectively. Although all of the above mentioned studies
34 showed significantly better tensile strength in treated group than in the non-treated group, the
35 maximal pullout strength in these animal studies is extremely low. The superiority in the treated
36 group may not be clinically significant if the results is extrapolated to human clinical studies.
37

38
39 Another kind of injectable bone substitute, magnesium-based bone adhesive, has been reported
40 recently. Studies have shown that this kind of adhesive has better biomechanical properties
41 comparing with calcium-based cement and can increase new bone formation in bone defects [78].
42 Gulotta et al. [19] injected this kind of material in the bone tunnel around the semitendinosus graft
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 in a rabbit ACL reconstruction model, and the histomorphometric analysis showed more
2 fibrocartilage and less fibrous tissue in the treated interface at 6 weeks after the operation, with
3 increased osteointegration also observed in the treated groups. The load-to-failure at 6 weeks after
4 operation was up to $71.8\pm 31.8\text{N}$ in the experimental limbs compared with $43.4\pm 14.8\text{N}$ in the
5 controls ($P=.04$). No significant inflammatory response or tissue necrosis observed in this study.
6
7 However, the degradation characteristics of this magnesium-based bone adhesive were not well
8 examined in this study. It is possible that nonabsorbable or slowly absorbed materials might
9 interfere with the tendon-bone healing when applied to the tendon-bone interface.

10
11
12
13 Bone substitute materials are easily available on the clinical market. Furthermore, they are
14 not difficult to handle and can be quite convenient for filling the bone-tendon interface in the bone
15 tunnel. However, there are some practical problems associated with the use of bone materials.
16 These include the setting time, the potential dispersion on early contact with blood or aqueous
17 media. Besides, the injectable characteristics (e.g. viscosity, injectable time and cohesion) of the
18 cement are essential in ACL reconstruction by arthroscopy techniques.

19 **Periosteum autograft**

20
21
22
23 Numerous experimental studies have demonstrated that the periosteum contains mesenchymal
24 or multipotent stem cells which can induce bone and cartilage formation [21, 42, 52]. Some
25 authors believe that filling the gap between the tendon and bone with periosteum autografts may
26 provide undifferentiated cells to the tendon-bone interface and this results in better tendon healing
27 results.

28
29
30
31 In 2000, Ohtera, et al. [43] evaluated the effects of two types of periosteum on tendon-to-bone
32 healing in the rabbit. In their study, a fresh periosteum was used in the left tibia and a frozen one
33 was used in the right to wrap the long digital extensor tendon before insertion into the bone tunnel
34 in the proximal tibial metaphysis. 4 weeks after the operation, the fresh specimen group produced
35 a premature form of fibrocartilagenous attachment in the bone tunnel, while the frozen periosteal
36 graft demonstrated simple fibrous tissue. Bone remodeling around the tendon graft could be seen
37 in both groups, but was seen earlier in the fresh group. Chen et al. [8], also in a rabbit
38 extra-articular model, sutured a proximal tibial periosteum on the surface of a long digitorum
39 tendon and then placed it into a bone tunnel in the proximal tibia. They found a fibrovascular
40 interface tissue formed by periosteal tissue between the tendon and bone in the early period of 4
41 weeks post-operation. New bone was also seen interdigitated with this interface tissue. By 8 weeks,
42 new bone was seen growing into this fibrous layer, it become integrated and mixed together with
43 tendon and bone surface by 12 weeks after the operation. The failure load and the interface
44 strength both progressively increased with time. However, no statistically significant difference
45 was seen in failure strength between the experimental group and controls until 8 weeks post
46 operation.

47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58 Kyung et al. [30] reported a similar study in a rabbit model. They wrapped the long digital
59 extensor tendon with periosteum before they transplanted it into a bone tunnel. From the
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 histological findings, they found collagen fibers in terms of granulation tissue in the experiment
2 group at 3 weeks post-operation. These fibers perpendicularly connected tendon and bone,
3 resembling Sharpey fibers. However, only poorly organized fibers were seen in the controls. At 6
4 weeks after the operation, fibrous interface tissues were still seen in the control group, with less
5 new bone formation and without any cartilaginous components. In contrast, the cartilaginous
6 components increased in the periosteum treated group. Furthermore, the continuity of collagen
7 fibers was increased and Sharpey-like fibers obviously crossed the tissue junction
8 perpendicularly. The biomechanical test showed that the treated group had better strength and
9 failure load at either 3 or 6 weeks post-operation. A more recent study, a rabbit model reported by
10 Youn et al. [82], showed that periosteal augmentation of the tendon graft could enhance the
11 structural integrity of the tendon-bone interface. (Table 3) In addition, when the fresh inner layer
12 of periosteum was facing toward the bone tunnel, the significant new bone formation was highly
13 organized around the bone tunnel and better mechanical strength was achieved in pullout test at 6
14 weeks after operation (11.1 ± 3.1 kg compared with 6.9 ± 3.1 kg; $P < 0.05$).

22
23 In clinical practice, autogeneous fresh periosteum is readily available and appears to be more
24 applicable and promising than other biologic methods. Therefore, this technique may be feasible
25 to apply to ACL reconstruction for the enhancement of tendon graft healing within the tunnel.
26 Recently, the use of periosteum was further evidenced by a clinical study. In a prospective
27 randomized study performed by Robert et al. [53], they found that the use of a periosteal flap can
28 significantly reduce the tunnel enlargement at the outlet to the articular side. This study is a good
29 start toward clinical application of the periosteum. However, whether application of periosteum to
30 soft tissue grafts in ALC reconstruction will lead to a superior clinical outcome requires further
31 randomized clinical trials preceded by a power analysis.

37 Growth factors and gene therapy

38
39 There is increasing interest in the use of biological agents to enhance tendon-bone
40 osteointegration within the bony tunnel. A number of growth factors are known to have
41 osteoinductive activity or activity that may modulate bone formation, such as bone morphogenetic
42 proteins (BMPs), transforming growth factors (TGF) and fibroblast growth factors (FGF). It is
43 possible that adding exogenous bone-growth factors to the local interface would result in a more
44 favorable outcome. The most widely investigated bone-growth factors appear to be the BMPs.
45 Both clinical and animal studies have shown that they can effectively induce new bone, cartilage,
46 ligament, and tendon formation at both heterotopic and orthotopic sites [25, 40, 80].

50
51 Rodeo et al. [58] first used two different doses of recombinant human BMP-2(rhBMP-2)
52 carried by an absorbable collagen sponge to fill the tendon-bone interface in the dog. As early as 7
53 days after the operation, extensive new bone formation was seen in the high dose treated group,
54 which effect, however, was accompanied by bone resorption. At 2 weeks, both doses of the BMP
55 treated groups showed significant bone formation, but the low dose group also exhibited minor
56 bone resorption. At 8 weeks in both of the treated groups, bone became closer to maturation and

1 the collagen fiber continuity was seen to have increased. In addition, the tendon treated with
2 rh-BMP-2 exhibited greater adherence to bone at the early time point, 2 weeks after the operation,
3 but not at four, six, or eight weeks. (Table 4) Later, Ma et al. [36], using a variety of doses
4 rhBMP-2 in a rabbit ACL reconstruction model, found that new bone formation and integration to
5 the tendon graft takes place in a dose-dependent manner when treated with rhBMP-2. Furthermore,
6 the BMP treated groups exhibited a faster tendon healing process and greater stiffness at the
7 tendon-bone junction than controls. These authors still found that bone resorption is not distinctly
8 evident when using a slow delivering BMP carrier. Anderson et al. [2] reported a study on the
9 effects of the product “Bone Protein”, which contains various bone-growth factors, on
10 tendon-bone healing in a rabbit ACL reconstruction model. From the histologic results, there was
11 extensive formation of new bone trabeculae and cartilage in the tendon-bone interface in the
12 treated groups at 2 weeks after the operation, while only fibrovascular tissue had formed in
13 controls. By 4 and 8 weeks after operation, the treated groups showed more consistent and denser
14 tissue, with more cartilage and new bone formation in the interface. The new bone was in closer
15 apposition to the tendon compared to the control groups. (Table 4) By application of bone growth
16 factor into the healing interface, greater average ultimate load to failure was observed in the
17 treated specimen at the individual 2 weeks (54.69N compared with 37.27N; P=0.04), 4
18 weeks(65.82N compared with 39.44N; P=0.01), and 8-week(70.74N compared with 39.37N;
19 P<0.001) after operation.

20 Besides BMP-2, BMP-7 has also been investigated. In the study of Mihelic et al. [39], low
21 dose BMP-7 (25µg) was applied to the bone-tendon interface in a sheep ACL reconstruction
22 model. The histologic analysis showed that in the BMP treated group more extensive new bone
23 had formed around the tendon, resulting in a distinct dense trabecular network connecting the bone
24 and tendon. At three weeks post operation, the treated group had a mean pull-out force of 350 ±
25 40N, while the control group had 212±28N (P<0.1). At six weeks after operation, the mean
26 pull-out force reached 380±33N in the treated group and 215±44N in control group (P<0.1). More
27 recently, Yamazaki et al. [81], in a beagle dog ACL reconstruction model, found that local
28 administration of TGF-β1 enhanced not only perpendicular collagen fiber synthesis, but also new
29 bone formation in the tunnel wall. Hence, the bonding strength of the tendon graft to the bony
30 tunnel wall was observed to have been significantly increased at 3 weeks after the surgery.
31 Another growth factor, Granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) was also shown to be able
32 to enhance osteointegration of the tendon-bone interface by increased angiogenesis and
33 osteogenesis [61]. In this study, Sharpey-like fibers were found as early as 4 weeks, compared to
34 12 weeks in the natural healing process.

35 As shown by the evidence provided above, the administration of growth factors at the time of
36 surgery has been suggested to enhance the incorporation of a soft tissue graft into the bone tunnel.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
However, to date, there are still certain limitations to the use of growth factors, one of which is the determination of the dose. Although some study demonstrated that healing outcomes might be dose-dependent when a specific growth factor applied, the optimal dose for multiple factors is still unknown. Furthermore, the growth factor method is a one-time application to improve the healing results. The biological half-lives of these factors are typically in the range of minutes to hours. The long time effects on tendon healing are therefore questionable. Thus, choosing an acceptable delivery system to maintain the factors' vitality while providing a stable delivery environment is required. One approach is to transfer the specific genes to the host cells, rather than to directly carry out local delivery of the growth factors themselves. Martinek et al. [37], in a rabbit reconstruction model, used gene transfer techniques to infect tendon grafts with the BMP-2 gene and evaluated the effects on bone integration into the tendon in the bone tunnel. At 2 weeks after the operation, a large number of osteoblasts with increased activity were observed filling in the interface in the treated specimens, and a broad, newly formed matrix showed a transition from fibrous to cartilageous tissue to new bone along with the process of mineralization by the timepoint of 4 to 8 weeks after operation. On the other hand, only dense connective tissue formed in the control specimens. (Table 4) The biomechanical properties were significantly enhanced in the treated specimens in terms of stiffness ($29.0 \pm 7.1\text{N/mm}$ compared with $16.7 \pm 8.3\text{ N/mm}$; $p < 0.05$) and the ultimate load to failure ($108.8 \pm 50.8\text{N}$ compared with $45.0 \pm 18.0\text{N}$; $p < 0.05$) compared with controls in eight weeks after operation. Two years later, a study by Lattermann et al. [31] further tested the feasibility of gene delivery to the ligament insertion site in the rabbit. They demonstrated sustained gene expression in the tendon-bone interface for up to 4 weeks.

35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
Gene transfer techniques appear to be favorable for altering cell behavior during the healing process, and provide a sustained delivery of growth factor throughout the early healing stage, which is a period of 4-6 weeks after operation. However, the appropriate length of availability of the growth factor in the local tendon-bone interface is still unknown. Moreover, for transferring genes to cells, specific recombinant viruses must be used. It is unknown how patients would tolerate such a virus. Furthermore, it is still unclear whether the cells of tendon or bone would be the better target. In the study of Lattermann et al. [31], they found that, the bone canal provides a more efficient target for direct adenoviral gene delivery than the tendon in the rabbit. Moreover, Kobayashi et al. [27] found that it is the host cells which contribute to the bone-tendon healing process rather than the graft cells. Taken together with the findings, it appears the cells from the bone tunnel would be a promising target for gene transfer. However, further studies are still required to make a strict comparison between these two targets.

55 56 **Stem Cell transplantation**

57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
Stem cell technology rapidly developed over the recent decades. One of the most popular stem cell types, bone mesenchymal stem cells (MSC), have been extensively investigated and have

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

been shown to facilitate the formation of various structural and connective tissues, such as bone, cartilage, fat, tendon, and muscle [50, 51, 83]. Therefore, it may be reasonable to expect that bone MSCs would promote the regeneration of bone and fibrocartilage-like tissue under the specific environmental conditions of the tendon-bone interface.

Ouyang et al. [44], in a rabbit model, used a fibrin glue to immobilize bone marrow stromal cells and then injected them into the bone tunnel after a hallucis longus tendon had been implanted. At 6 weeks post operation, greater perpendicular collagen fiber formation was seen in the experimental group than the controls. In addition, cartilage-like cells proliferation, fibrocartilage-like tissue formation and collagen type II were seen only in the stem cell-treated specimens. In the control group, the soft tissue between the tendon and bone was mainly fibrous tissue parallel to the load axis, and direct collagen fibers similar to Sharpey's fibers were observed to be only a slight amount after 6 week. Lim et al. [34] reported a similar finding in their study in the same year. They carried out bilateral ACL reconstruction in a rabbit model using hamstring autografts. The grafts were coated with fibrin glue before being inserted into the bone tunnel. Bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) were seeded in the fibrin glue in the experimental groups, while the fibrin glue remained blank in the controls. At 2 weeks after the operation, the interface in the control group consisted of only irregular vascular tissue and fibroblasts, whereas large crops of mature cartilage cells were seen filling in the interface in the experimental group. By 8 weeks, a mature and distinct fibrocartilage transitional zone from bone into the tendon grafts was seen in the MSC treated tendon. This zone stained strongly for type II collagen. In the controls, only mature scar tissue with some Sharpey's-like fibers spanning the tendon-bone interface was observed. Biomechanical study revealed that MSC-enhanced grafts had significantly higher mean failure load (84.7N compared with 38.1N, $P=0.02$) and stiffness(32.6N/mm compared with 17.2N/mm, $P=0.05$) at 8 weeks post-operation. It was evident that MSC coating improves the healing process and results in better mechanical properties. More recently, the same research group [65] conducted a study to analyze the effects of BMSCs on enhancing osteointegration into an allograft tendon. They used similar techniques to create an ACL reconstruction rabbit model and coated the allograft tendon with autogeneous BMSCs in a fibrin glue carrier before insertion into the bone tunnel. They found that in the treated groups chondrocyte-like cells infiltrated around the interface by as early as 2 weeks after the operation, and these cells became more orderly at 4 weeks with a laying down of chondrosteoid matrix. By 8 weeks, a transition zone demonstrating osteointegration could be seen in the treated groups which resulted in better biomechanical properties. In contrast, in the control group, the interface between tendon and bone was still distinct, with few collagen fibers but no collagen type II even as late as 8 weeks after the operation. The histological results from this allograft study were very similar to that of the autograft study by the same research team [34]. (Table 5)

Although exciting early results have been obtained, one of the mains limitations regarding stem cell technology is that it is inconvenient to clinical application because of the need for complicated

1 processes, such as bone marrow harvesting, stem cell culture, and cell differentiation and
2 purification. The patient needs to wait about one month such processes before the reconstruction
3 operation. Secondly, the stem cells used in the experiment are not labeled. As yet it has not been
4 possible to determine the extent to which the stem cells play a role in fibrocartilage formation in
5 the interface. Therefore, more studies are still required to demonstrate the specific role of the stem
6 cells in the tendon-bone interface and also to develop a more convenient way to apply stem cells
7 to the host.
8
9

10 **Inflammation modulation techniques**

11 As discussed above, inflammation during the healing process may result in fibrosis rather
12 than regenerated tissue. Hence, using biological techniques to moderate inflammation may
13 improve the healing outcome. The macrophage is one of the most important inflammatory cells
14 accumulating around the tendon graft from the earliest healing stage, and plays a critical role in
15 the initiation and regulation of tendon healing [26]. Altering macrophage behavior might result in
16 changes in the tendon-bone healing pattern. This was tested by the same group as described above
17 more recently [20]. In a rat ACL reconstruction model, the experimental group was treated with
18 clodronate to disable the macrophages. As a result, it was found that the healing outcome in the
19 treated group was significantly improved in terms of enhanced new bone integration and better
20 collagen continuity in the tendon-bone interface, but with less fibrosis tissue. The width of the
21 interface was significantly reduced compared to the controls. Furthermore, better biomechanical
22 properties were demonstrated in the treated group with respect to load to failure (13.5 ± 4.2 N
23 compared with 9.7 ± 3.9 N; $p < 0.05$) and stiffness (11.5 ± 5.0 N/mm compared with 7.5 ± 3.2 N/mm;
24 $p < 0.05$) in forty-two days after operation. It is known that macrophages produce matrix
25 metalloproteinases (MMPs) which play a central role in extracellular matrix remodeling during the
26 healing process. Demrirage et al. [11] used alpha-2-macroglobulin to block MMP activity in a
27 rabbit ACL reconstruction model. In the treated specimens, there a greater number of areas of
28 denser connective-tissue ingrowth with less cellularity and vascularity. The interface tissue was
29 more mature and contained numerous perpendicular collagen bundles (Sharpey-like fibers). The
30 ultimate load to failure was significantly greater in the treated specimens than in the controls at
31 both two and five weeks after the operation.
32
33

34 The biologic interactions between various cells within the tendon-bone interface during the
35 healing process are complex. The role of the numerous cytokines involved in the healing process
36 are still not well understood. It is also still unknown which is the best cell or cytokine target
37 should be modified. The main purpose of biologic modulation is to avoid fibrosis scar healing and
38 facilitate osteointegration. Further studies are required to improve our understanding of the
39 tendon-bone-healing mechanism.
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Artificial tissue engineering

Using artificially engineered tissue to fill the gap between the tendon and bone is a novel approach to promoting tissue regeneration in the interface [48]. Recently, Chen et al. [9], used poly(ethylene glycol) diacrylate(PEGDA)-based polymers as the scaffold, periosteal progenitor cells(PPCs) as the seeded cells and BMP-2 as the growth factors. By photoencapsulation techniques, they created an injectable hydrogel consisting of PEGDA, PPCs and BMP-2. Subsequently, the photopolymerized hydrogel was injected into the bone tunnel in the proximal tibial metaphysis after the tendon had been inserted in a rabbit model. Better histologic results were found in the treated groups in terms of a greater number of perpendicular fibers at the insertion, the promotion of fibrocartilagenous attachment and new bone formation in the interface, along with significantly increased tendon pullout strength in either 3 weeks ($37.3\pm 7.3\text{N}$ compared with $27.1\pm 3.8\text{N}$; $P<0.05$) or 6 weeks ($56.1\pm 7.8\text{N}$ compared with $40.1\pm 5.2\text{N}$; $P<0.05$) after operation respectively. Spalazzi et al. [66] reported a study on an engineered multiphase scaffold for tendon integration into bone. In order to mimic the tendon-bone interface, they developed a novel triphased scaffold seeded with fibroblasts, chondrocytes and osteoblasts in the first, middle and last phase, respectively. Through an *in vivo* study in an athymic rat model, they found these three types of cells reacted well in the scaffold, with distinct mineral and fibrocartilage-like tissue formed. The host tissue infiltrated successfully into the engineered tissue. This tissue engineering product appears to help provide a functional interface between soft tissue and bone, and it may facilitate bone integration into the tendon when applied to the bone tendon gap in the bone tunnel in ACL reconstruction.

Mechanical and physical stimulation

Studies have shown that physical shock wave treatment can induce neovascularization and improve blood supply at the bone-tendon junction [74, 75]. It has also shown the ability to effectively stimulate new bone formation in acute or chronic non-union bone fracture [73, 77]. Therefore, this physical method has been used in an attempt to enhance the tendon-bone healing. Wang et al. [76] used a rabbit ACL reconstruction model to show a time-dependent effect of shock waves on the tendon-bone interface. New trabecular bone was seen to be significantly increased around the tendon graft at each time interval after 4 weeks of treatment. Furthermore, in the treated groups, the tendon grafts were largely surrounded by trabecular bone, while there was only fibrous tissue in the controls. The degree of contact between tendon and bone was significantly better in the treated groups. Another physical stimulation method is Low-intensity pulsed ultrasound (LIPUS). This method has been shown to have positive effects on bone and ligament healing processes including angiogenic, chondrogenic and osteogenic activities [59, 67, 68]. Walsh et al. [72] used an intra-articular sheep model of ACL reconstruction to examine the LIPUS effects on tendon-bone healing. After the operation, LIPUS was applied daily for 20 minutes on

1 the lateral aspect of the femur in the treated groups. 3 weeks after the operation, new bone had
2 formed at the margin of the bone tunnel in the experimental group, while there was only
3 connective tissue in the controls. Sharpey-like fibers could be seen as early as 6 weeks in the
4 treated group, but were not seen in the controls. The LIPUS treated specimens were significantly
5 stiffer than controls in mechanical pull-out test at 3 weeks ($P<0.02$) and at 26 weeks ($P<0.05$) after
6 operation. However, the difference of peak load between the treated ones and controls was only
7 found statistically significant at 26 weeks ($P<0.05$).
8
9

10 11 12 **Conclusion**

13
14 There are many recent attempts which have been made to enhance the healing of soft tissue
15 grafts within the host bone tunnel in ACL reconstruction. The stem cell technology utilized in
16 recent rabbit models seems to be an approach to recreating the normal fibrocartilage tendon bone
17 junction within a reasonably short period of time. However, the requirement of a separate
18 operation for harvesting the stem cells and the time lag in multiplying the cell number may be
19 obstacles to its use in clinical practice. Local application of specific growth factors on the graft
20 during the time of ACL reconstruction is another attractive way to enhance osteointegration.
21 However, the issues of the carrying vehicle, the optimal dosage and the effective duration of the
22 release of the growth factor in human are still unsolved problems. The use of a biological bone
23 substitute (e.g. tricalcium phosphate) is convenient, despite the fact that the time required for
24 osteointegration is still suboptimal. Moreover, the injectable characteristics of the bone substitutes
25 are not well examined in the animal studies at present moment. We do not know whether these
26 materials are appropriate for ACL reconstruction by arthroscopy techniques. The development of
27 an artificial biological graft (with the mechanical property of a native anterior cruciate ligament
28 and prompt and anticipated osteointegration within the bone tunnel) using a tissue engineering
29 technique on an artificial scaffold would be of great help in ACL reconstruction, as this would
30 help eliminating the problem of donor site morbidity. However, the current research in this area at
31 present is still limited to the promotion of graft-host bone interface healing.
32
33

34
35 **Despite these encouraging results,** the current available evidence is still experimental. Although
36 a number of studies provided statistically significant improved biomechanical results in the treated
37 group, a practical question is that there is no data on the mechanical failure of the normal ACL in
38 the animal model they studied. Thus, even though the tendon healing was enhanced in terms of
39 improved biomechanical properties as treated with the specific intervention, we don't know if
40 there is any clinical relevance. The current results in animal studies still not evidence more
41 aggressive or earlier rehabilitation after ACL reconstruction.
42
43

44
45 In general, these recent efforts have remarkably improved the understanding of the healing of
46 soft tissue graft with the host bone tunnel in the animal model of ACL. However, whether the
47 results of these basic science studies can be extrapolated to clinical practice remains unanswered
48 at the present moment. Future works in the form of well designed randomized controlled trial
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

should be conducted.

References

1. Adachi N, Ochi M, Uchio Y et al (2003) Harvesting hamstring tendons for ACL reconstruction influences postoperative hamstring muscle performance. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg* 123: 460-465
2. Anderson K, Seneviratne AM, Izawa K et al (2001) Augmentation of tendon healing in an intraarticular bone tunnel with use of a bone growth factor. *Am J Sports Med* 29:689-698
3. Aune AK, Holm I, Risberg MA et al (2001) Four-strand hamstring tendon autograft compared with patellar tendon-bone autograft for anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. A randomized study with two-year follow-up. *Am J Sports Med* 29:722-728
4. Bartlett RJ, Clatworthy MG, Nguyen TN (2001) Graft selection in reconstruction of the anterior cruciate ligament. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 83:625-634
5. Beard DJ, Anderson JL, Davies S et al (2001) Hamstrings vs. patella tendon for anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a randomised controlled trial. *Knee* 8:45-50
6. Beynon BD, Johnson RJ, Fleming BC et al (2002) Anterior cruciate ligament replacement: comparison of bone-patellar tendon-bone grafts with two-strand hamstring grafts. A prospective, randomized study. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 84:1503-1513
7. Biau DJ, Katsahian S, Nizard R (2007) Hamstring tendon autograft better than bone-patellar tendon-bone autograft in ACL reconstruction - a cumulative meta-analysis and clinically relevant sensitivity analysis applied to a previously published analysis. *Acta Orthop* 78:705-707
8. Chen CH, Chen WJ, Shih CH et al (2003) Enveloping the tendon graft with periosteum to enhance tendon-bone healing in a bone tunnel: A biomechanical and histologic study in rabbits. *Arthroscopy* 19:290-296
9. Chen CH, Liu HW, Tsai CL et al (2008) Photoencapsulation of bone morphogenetic protein-2 and periosteal progenitor cells improve tendon graft healing in a bone tunnel. *Am J Sports Med* 36:461-473
10. Christen B, Jakob RP (1992) Fractures associated with patellar ligament grafts in cruciate ligament surgery. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 74:617-619
11. Demirag B, Sarisozen B, Ozer O et al (2005) Enhancement of tendon-bone healing of anterior cruciate ligament grafts by blockage of matrix metalloproteinases. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 87:2401-2410
12. Denti M, Lo Vetere D, Bait C et al (2008) Revision Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction: Causes of Failure, Surgical Technique, and Clinical Results. *Am J Sports Med* 36:1896-1902
13. Ejerhed L, Kartus J, Sernert N et al (2003) Patellar tendon or semitendinosus tendon autografts for anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction? A prospective randomized study with a two-year follow-up. *Am J Sports Med* 31:19-25
14. Ferretti A, Contedua F, Monaco E et al (2006) Revision anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with doubled semitendinosus and gracilis tendons and lateral extra-articular reconstruction. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 88:2373-2379
15. Goradia VK (2003) Tendon healing in a bone tunnel. Parts I and II. *Arthroscopy* 19:111
16. Goradia VK, Rochat MC, Grana WA et al (2000) Tendon-to-bone healing of a semitendinosus tendon autograft used for ACL reconstruction in a sheep model. *Am J Knee Surg* 13:143-151
17. Goradia VK, Rochat MC, Kida M et al (2000) Natural history of a hamstring tendon autograft used for anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction in a sheep model. *Am J Sports Med* 28:40-46
18. Gorden M, Stein M (2004) Anterior cruciate ligament injuries. In: Garrick J (ed) *Orthopaedic Knowledge Update: Sports Medicine*. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons, Rosemont. pp. 169-181
19. Gulotta LV, Kovacevic D, Ying L et al (2008) Augmentation of tendon-to-bone healing with a magnesium-based bone adhesive. *Am J Sports Med* 36:1290-1297

20. Hays PL, Kawamura S, Deng XH et al (2008) The role of macrophages in early healing of a tendon graft in a bone tunnel. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 90:565-579
21. Hopper RA, Zhang JR, Fourasier VL et al (2001) Effect of isolation of periosteum and dura on the healing of rabbit calvarial inlay bone grafts. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 107:454-462
22. Huangfu X, Zhao J (2007) Tendon-bone healing enhancement using injectable tricalcium phosphate in a dog anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction model. *Arthroscopy* 23:455-462
23. Jansson KA, Linko E, Sandelin J et al (2003) A prospective randomized study of patellar versus hamstring tendon autografts for anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Am J Sports Med* 31:12-18
24. Kanazawa T, Soejima T, Murakami H et al (2006) An immunohistological study of the integration at the bone-tendon interface after reconstruction of the anterior cruciate ligament in rabbits. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 88:682-687
25. Kawaguchi H, Kurokawa T, Hoshino Y et al (1992) Immunohistochemical demonstration of bone morphogenetic protein-2 and transforming growth factor-beta in the ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament of the cervical spine. *Spine* 17:S33-36
26. Kawamura S, Ying L, Kim HJ et al (2005) Macrophages accumulate in the early phase of tendon-bone healing. *J Orthop Res* 23:1425-1432
27. Kobayashi M, Watanabe N, Oshima Y et al (2005) The fate of host and graft cells in early healing of bone tunnel after tendon graft. *Am J Sports Med* 33:1892-1897
28. Kohno T, Ishibashi Y, Tsuda E et al (2007) Immunohistochemical demonstration of growth factors at the tendon-bone interface in anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction using a rabbit model. *J Orthop Sci* 12:67-73
29. Krych AJ, Jackson JD, Hoskin TL et al (2008) A meta-analysis of patellar tendon autograft versus patellar tendon allograft in anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Arthroscopy* 24:292-298
30. Kyung HS, Kim SY, Oh CW et al (2003) Tendon-to-bone tunnel healing in a rabbit model: the effect of periosteum augmentation at the tendon-to-bone interface. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 11:9-15
31. Lattermann C, Zelle BA, Whalen JD et al (2004) Gene transfer to the tendon-bone insertion site. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 12:510-515
32. Lawhorn KW, Howell SM (2007) Principles for using hamstring tendons for anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Clin Sports Med* 26:567-585
33. Lee GH, McCulloch P, Cole BJ et al (2008) The incidence of acute patellar tendon harvest complications for anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Arthroscopy* 24:162-166
34. Lim JK, Hui J, Li L et al (2004) Enhancement of tendon graft osteointegration using mesenchymal stem cells in a rabbit model of anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Arthroscopy* 20:899-910
35. Liu SH, Panossian V, al-Shaikh R et al (1997) Morphology and matrix composition during early tendon to bone healing. [Clin Orthop Relat Res 339:253-260](#)
36. Ma CB, Kawamura S, Deng XH et al (2007) Bone morphogenetic proteins-signaling plays a role in tendon-to-bone healing: a study of rhBMP-2 and noggin. *Am J Sports Med* 35:597-604
37. Martinek V, Latterman C, Usas A et al (2002) Enhancement of tendon-bone integration of anterior cruciate ligament grafts with bone morphogenetic protein-2 gene transfer: a histological and biomechanical study. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 84:1123-1131
38. Marumoto JM, Mitsunaga MM, Richardson AB et al (1996) Late patellar tendon ruptures after removal of the central third for anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. A report of two cases. *Am J Sports Med* 24:698-701
39. Mihelic R, Pecina M, Jelic M et al (2004) Bone morphogenetic protein-7 (osteogenic protein-1) promotes tendon graft integration in anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction in sheep. *Am J Sports Med* 32:1619-1625
40. Miyamoto S, Takaoka K, Yonenobu K et al (1992) Ossification of the ligamentum flavum induced by bone morphogenetic protein. An experimental study in mice. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 74:279-283
41. Mutsuzaki H, Sakane M, Nakajima H et al (2004) Calcium-phosphate-hybridized tendon directly promotes regeneration of tendon-bone insertion. *J Biomed Mater Res A* 70:319-327
42. O'Driscoll SW, Recklies AD, Poole AR (1994) Chondrogenesis in periosteal explants. An organ culture model for in vitro study. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 76:1042-1051
43. Ohtera K, Yamada Y, Aoki M et al (2000) Effects of periosteum wrapped around tendon in a bone tunnel: A biomechanical and histological study in rabbits. *Crit Rev Biomed Eng* 28:115-118
44. Ouyang HW, Goh JC, Lee EH (2004) Use of bone marrow stromal cells for tendon graft-to-bone healing: histological and immunohistochemical studies in a rabbit model. *Am J Sports Med* 32:321-327
45. Panni AS, Milano G, Lucania L et al (1997) Graft healing after anterior cruciate ligament

reconstruction in rabbits. **Clin Orthop Relat Res 343:203-212**

46. Park MJ, Lee MC, Seong SC (2001) A comparative study of the healing of tendon autograft and tendon-bone autograft using patellar tendon in rabbits. *Int Orthop* 25:35-39
47. Petersen W, Laprell H (2000) Insertion of autologous tendon grafts to the bone: a histological and immunohistochemical study of hamstring and patellar tendon grafts. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 8:26-31
48. Petrigliano FA, McAllister DR, Wu BM (2006) Tissue engineering for anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a review of current strategies. *Arthroscopy* 22:441-451
49. Pinczewski LA, Clingeleffer AJ, Otto DD et al (1997) Integration of hamstring tendon graft with bone in reconstruction of the anterior cruciate ligament. *Arthroscopy* 13:641-643
50. Pittenger MF, Mackay AM, Beck SC et al (1999) Multilineage potential of adult human mesenchymal stem cells. *Science* 284:143-147
51. Prockop DJ (1997) Marrow stromal cells as stem cells for nonhematopoietic tissues. *Science* 276:71-74
52. Ritsila VA, Santavirta S, Alhopuro S et al (1994) Periosteal and perichondral grafting in reconstructive surgery. **Clin Orthop Relat Res 302:259-265**
53. Robert H, Es-Sayeh J (2004) The role of periosteal flap in the prevention of femoral widening in anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction using hamstring tendons. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 12:30-35
54. Robertson WJ, Hatch JD, Rodeo SA (2007) Evaluation of tendon graft fixation using alpha-BSM calcium phosphate cement. *Arthroscopy* 23:1087-1092
55. Rodeo SA (1999) Knee pain in competitive swimming. *Clin Sports Med* 18:379-387
56. Rodeo SA, Arnoczky SP, Torzilli PA et al (1993) Tendon-healing in a bone tunnel. A biomechanical and histological study in the dog. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 75:1795-1803
57. Rodeo SA, Kawamura S, Kim HJ et al (2006) Tendon healing in a bone tunnel differs at the tunnel entrance versus the tunnel exit: an effect of graft-tunnel motion? *Am J Sports Med* 34:1790-1800
58. Rodeo SA, Suzuki K, Deng XH et al (1999) Use of recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2 to enhance tendon healing in a bone tunnel. *Am J Sports Med* 27:476-488
59. Rubin C, Bolander M, Ryaby JP et al (2001) The use of low-intensity ultrasound to accelerate the healing of fractures. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 83:259-270
60. Sakai H, Fukui N, Kawakami A et al (2000) Biological fixation of the graft within bone after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction in rabbits: effects of the duration of postoperative immobilization. *J Orthop Sci* 5:43-51
61. Sasaki K, Kuroda R, Ishida K et al (2008) Enhancement of tendon-bone osteointegration of anterior cruciate ligament graft using granulocyte colony-stimulating factor. *Am J Sports Med* 36:1519-1527
62. Sharma P, Maffulli N (2005) Tendon injury and tendinopathy: healing and repair. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 87:187-202
63. Shelbourne KD, Carr DR (2003) Meniscal repair compared with meniscectomy for bucket-handle medial meniscal tears in anterior cruciate ligament-reconstructed knees. *Am J Sports Med* 31:718-723
64. Shino K, Kawasaki T, Hirose H et al (1984) Replacement of the anterior cruciate ligament by an allogeneic tendon graft. An experimental study in the dog. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 66:672-681
65. Soon MY, Hassan A, Hui JH et al (2007) An analysis of soft tissue allograft anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction in a rabbit model: a short-term study of the use of mesenchymal stem cells to enhance tendon osteointegration. *Am J Sports Med* 35:962-971
66. Spalazzi JP, Dagher E, Doty SB et al (2008) In vivo evaluation of a multiphased scaffold designed for orthopaedic interface tissue engineering and soft tissue-to-bone integration. *J Biomed Mater Res A* 86:1-12
67. Sun JS, Hong RC, Chang WH et al (2001) In vitro effects of low-intensity ultrasound stimulation on the bone cells. *J Biomed Mater Res* 57:449-456
68. Takakura Y, Matsui N, Yoshiya S et al (2002) Low-intensity pulsed ultrasound enhances early healing of medial collateral ligament injuries in rats. *J Ultrasound Med* 21:283-288
69. Tien YC, Chih TT, Lin JH et al (2004) Augmentation of tendon-bone healing by the use of calcium-phosphate cement. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 86:1072-1076
70. Tom JA, Rodeo SA (2002) Soft tissue allografts for knee reconstruction in sports medicine. **Clin Orthop Relat Res 402:135-156**
71. Tomita F, Yasuda K, Mikami S et al (2001) Comparisons of intraosseous graft healing between the doubled flexor tendon graft and the bone-patellar tendon-bone graft in anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Arthroscopy* 17:461-476

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
72. Walsh WR, Stephens P, Vizesi F et al (2007) Effects of low-intensity pulsed ultrasound on tendon-bone healing in an intra-articular sheep knee model. *Arthroscopy* 23:197-204
 73. Wang CJ, Huang HY, Chen HH et al (2001) Effect of shock wave therapy on acute fractures of the tibia: a study in a dog model. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*:112-118
 74. Wang CJ, Huang HY, Pai CH (2002) Shock wave-enhanced neovascularization at the tendon-bone junction: an experiment in dogs. *J Foot Ankle Surg* 41:16-22
 75. Wang CJ, Wang FS, Yang KD et al (2003) Shock wave therapy induces neovascularization at the tendon-bone junction. A study in rabbits. *J Orthop Res* 21:984-989
 76. Wang CJ, Wang FS, Yang KD et al (2005) The effect of shock wave treatment at the tendon-bone interface-an histomorphological and biomechanical study in rabbits. *J Orthop Res* 23:274-280
 77. Wang CJ, Yang KD, Wang FS et al (2004) Shock wave treatment shows dose-dependent enhancement of bone mass and bone strength after fracture of the femur. *Bone* 34:225-230
 78. Waselau M, Samii VF, Weisbrode SE et al (2007) Effects of a magnesium adhesive cement on bone stability and healing following a metatarsal osteotomy in horses. *Am J Vet Res* 68:370-378
 79. Weiler A, Hoffmann RF, Bail HJ et al (2002) Tendon healing in a bone tunnel. Part II: Histologic analysis after biodegradable interference fit fixation in a model of anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction in sheep. *Arthroscopy* 18:124-135
 80. Xiao YT, Xiang LX, Shao JZ (2007) Bone morphogenetic protein. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 362:550-553
 81. Yamazaki S, Yasuda K, Tomita F et al (2005) The effect of transforming growth factor-beta1 on intraosseous healing of flexor tendon autograft replacement of anterior cruciate ligament in dogs. *Arthroscopy* 21:1034-1041
 82. Youn I, Jones DG, Andrews PJ et al (2004) Periosteal augmentation of a tendon graft improves tendon healing in the bone tunnel. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 419:223-231
 83. Young RG, Butler DL, Weber W et al (1998) Use of mesenchymal stem cells in a collagen matrix for Achilles tendon repair. *J Orthop Res* 16:406-413

Table 1

Table 1: Histological Characteristics Of Natural Tendon Healing Within Bone Tunnel At Different Time Intervals

	≤1 week	1~2 weeks	2~4 weeks	4~6 weeks	8~12 weeks
Cells	Obvious inflammation reaction characterized by macrophages, neutrophils, fibroblasts and mononuclear cells dominant [20, 22, 26] Osteoblasts were seen [20, 41]	Osteoblasts increased and more active [37]	Higher cellularity but less inflammation reaction [17, 22, 37, 56] Osteoblasts activated [37]	Activated osteoblasts decreased [37]	Adipose cells accumulation [69]
Fibrous tissue	Fibrous tissue loose and irregular [20, 22]	Fibrovascular tissue loose and poorly organized but highly cellularity and vascularity [20, 37, 56]	Denser, better organized and more mature fibrous tissue [20, 37, 41]	Fibrous tissue become tense and remodeling [20, 22]	Dense fibrous tissue connecting tendon to bone [37]
Collagen fibers			Collagen fibers irregularly organized and continuity between tendon and to bone occasionally seen [20, 41, 56, 69]	Collagen fibers connecting tendon to bone [20]	Sparse Sharpey-like fibers linking tendon and bone [17, 22, 56]
Bone formation		Newly formed trabecular bone seen at 10 days [20]	Early fibrocartilage and more trabecular bone formed [20]		Osteoporotic change with thinner native trabecular bone [69]

Table 2 Histological Changes In Tendon-bone Interface With Bone Substitutes

Method	Authors	Time intervals										
		≤1 week	2 weeks	3 weeks	4 weeks	6 weeks	8 weeks	10 weeks	12 weeks	24 weeks		
Bone substitutes	CPC	Tien 2004[69]	CPC infiltrated the interface Thin layer of fibrous tissues		bone islands within CPC			New bone infiltrated Collagen fibers matured and well organized			New bone infiltrated Collagen fibers continuity	Bone ingrowth into the tendon Collagen fibers continuity between tendon and bone
	CaP-hybridized	Mutsuzaki 2004[41]	Red blood cells or fibrin clots in 3 days Sparse fibrous tissue and new bone trabeculae at 5 days	Osteoblasts and osteoclasts around the tendon Abundant esteoids, bone and cartilage-like tissue	Osteoblasts and osteoclasts around the tendon New formed bone directly bound to tendon	New formed bone increased and directly bound to tendon						
	TCP	Huangfu 2007 [22]		TCP island surrounding with osteoblasts and fibrous tissue		Loose fibrous tissue New bone islands Sharpey-like fibers	New formed bone Sharpey-like fibers	New bone replaced TCP Sharpey-like fibers abundant and connecting tendon and bone	Uncalcified fibrocartilage between tendon and new formed bone	Normal ACL insertion appearance Sharpey-like fibers linking tendon and bone		

Table 3 Histological Changes In Tendon-bone Interface With Periosteum Autografts

Method	Authors	Time intervals				
		3 weeks	4 weeks	5 weeks	6 weeks	12 weeks
Periosteum autografts	Ohtera 2000 [43]		premature fibrocartilagenous in fresh grafts simple fibrous tissue in frozen grafts			
	Chen 2003 [8]		Fibrovascular interface tissue New bone formation	Bone mineralization and maturation Bone integration into tendon		Bone integration into tendon Collagen fiber remodeling Fibrocartilage formation
	Kyung 2003 [30]		Sharpey's fibers connects the tendon to the bony wall Highly cellular, fibrovascular interface tissue Cartilaginous cells		Cartilaginous components Sharpey's fibers crossing the tissue junction. Increased collagen fiber continuity	
	Youn, 2004 [82]	New woven bone formatted Unorganized fibrous structure Chondrocytes at the cambium layer-bone interface.			Significant new bone formation with a well-organized lamellar structure Tight interdigitation between the tendon graft at the cambium layer-bone interface	

Table 4 Histological Changes In Tendon-bone Interface With Grow Factors and Gene Therapy

Method	Authors	Time intervals						
		3 days	7 days	2 weeks	4 weeks	6 weeks	8 weeks	
Grow factors	680µg rhBMP-2	Rodeo 1999[58]	Highly cellular granulation tissue Fibroblasts, Mesenchymal cells, Mononuclear cells	Osteoclast-mediated resorption of the lamellar bone Extensive formation of new bone trabeculae	More cellular fibrovascular interface tissue Bone resorption with extensive new bone formation	New bone progressive mineralization Extracellular matrix and collagen fiber continuity Increased Cellularity and vascularity decreased		New bone progressive mineralization Collagen fiber continuity increased
			180µg rhBMP-2		Highly cellular fibrovascular interface tissue Minimal bone resorption with extensive new bone formation	New bone progressive mineralization Extracellular matrix and collagen fiber continuity increased Cellularity and vascularity decreased		New bone progressive mineralization Collagen fiber continuity increased
	Bone protein	Anderson 2001[2]		Extensive formation of new bone trabeculae and cartilage with closer apposition to tendon		Cartilage and fibrovascular tissue more mature Better collagen-fiber continuity		More cartilage and new bone formation
BMP-2 gene transfer	Martinek 2002[37]			Loose granulation-type tissue A large number of activated osteoblasts	A broad layer of matrix formed resembling chondroosteoid deposition	Transition zone from fibrous to cartilageginous tissue to new bone		Transition zone like direct insertion more pronounced

Table 5 Histological Changes In Tendon-bone Interface With Inflammation Modulation and Stem Cells

Method	Authors	Time intervals					
		≤1 week	2 weeks	4 weeks	5 weeks	6 weeks	8 weeks
Inflammation modulation	Macrophage apoptosis	Hays 2008[20]	Poorly organized collagen fibers Loose fibrovascular tissue Trabecular bone and Osteoid	Distinct fibers continuity Dense fibrovascular tissue Fibrocartilage Osteoid increased	Four-zone insertion site resemble direct healing Sharpey-like fibers increased		Osteoid formation
	MMPs blocking	Demirag 2005[11]		Fibrous tissue with less cellularity and vascularity Sharpey-like fibers limited Collagen network longitudinally oriented		Denser connective tissue ingrowth Abundant perpendicular collagen fibers	
Stem cells	BMSCs	Ouyang 2004[44]	Perpendicular collagen fibers Cartilage-like cells Fibrocartilage-like tissue	Perpendicular collagen fibers Cartilage-like cells Fibrocartilage-like tissue Type I, II and III collagen		Perpendicular collagen fibers Cartilage-like cells Fibrocartilage-like tissue Type I, II and III collagen	
	MSCs	Lim 2004[34]	Large crops of mature cartilage cells were seen filling in the interface	Cartilage cells more organized Cartilage zone blended into the tendon substance			Cartilage cells matured Fibrocartilage transitional zone distinct
	BMSCs allografts	Soon 2007[65]	Large area of immatured Chondrocyte-like cells	Chondrocyte-like cells more matured and orderly Chondroosteoid matrix laying down,			Fibrocartilage transitional zone Abundant amount of collagen II