The HKU Scholars Hub The University of Hong Kong 香港大學學術庫



Title	Transition between wurtzite and zinc-blende GaN: An effect of deposition condition of molecular-beam epitaxy	
Author(s)	Shi, BM; Xie, MH; Wu, HS; Wang, N; Tong, SY	
Citation	Applied Physics Letters, 2006, v. 89 n. 15	
Issued Date	2006	
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/10722/80801	
Rights	Applied Physics Letters. Copyright © American Institute of Physics.	

Transition between wurtzite and zinc-blende GaN: An effect of deposition condition of molecular-beam epitaxy

B. M. Shi, M. H. Xie,^{a)} and H. S. Wu

Department of Physics, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong, China

N. Wang

Department of Physics, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China

S. Y. Tong

Department of Physics and Materials Science, City University of Hong Kong, Kowloon Tang, Hong Kong, China

(Received 6 July 2006; accepted 20 August 2006; published online 13 October 2006)

GaN exists in both wurtzite and zinc-blende phases and the growths of the two on its (0001) or (111) surfaces are achieved by choosing proper deposition conditions of molecular-beam epitaxy (MBE). At low substrate temperatures but high gallium fluxes, metastable zinc-blende GaN films are obtained, whereas at high temperatures and/or using high nitrogen fluxes, equilibrium wurtzite phase GaN epilayers resulted. This dependence of crystal structure on substrate temperature and source flux is not affected by deposition rate. Rather, the initial stage nucleation kinetics plays a primary role in determining the crystallographic structures of epitaxial GaN by MBE. © 2006 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.2360916]

It is known that GaN exists in both wurtzite (w) and zinc-blende (zb) structural phases, where the former represents the equilibrium and the latter is metastable at normal conditions.¹ The formation energies of the two polymorphs are very close;² therefore it is often that the two structures coexist in an epifilm grown by metal organic vapor phase epitaxy (MOVPE) or molecular-beam epitaxy (MBE).^{3,4} Zinc-blende GaN has drawn increasing attention recently due to its superior electronic and optical properties over w-GaN.⁵ For example, the mobility of electrons and holes in a zb-GaN film is intrinsically higher than that in a w-GaN layer due to lower phonon scattering in cubic crystals.⁶ The easier cleavage of zb-GaN also makes it attractive for device applications such as lasers. In addition, there is a type-II band alignment at zb-GaN/w-GaN interface, which may find applications for improved device performances.7,

Conventionally, one uses cubic symmetrical substrates such as GaAs(100) and Si(100) to stabilize and grow zb-GaN.⁴ On the other hand, it was also found that zb-GaN may grow even on substrates with hexagonal symmetry such as sapphire(0001),^{9–11} SiC(0001),¹² and GaAs(111).¹³ In some cases, zb-GaN films were induced by doping,^{11,12} while in others they were caused by choosing proper deposition conditions.^{9,10,13} If zb-GaN grows on cubic substrates to reduce interfacial energy, it is mysterious why (111)-oriented zb-GaN films can grow on surfaces of hexagonal symmetry. After all, zinc-blende GaN is not the equilibrium phase and the growth of it would cost extra energy. It would be of great fundamental interests as well as of practical relevances to understand such a growth phenomenon.

In this work, we first establish the MBE conditions that favor zb-GaN and w-GaN films, respectively. Then we show that the initial stage nucleation kinetics is of primary importance in determining the crystallographic structures of GaN epilayers. The possible reasons for preferential nucleation of zb-GaN at low temperature and excess Ga flux are also discussed.

The experiments were carried out in a multichamber ultrahigh vacuum system, where the MBE reactor was connected with some surface probing tools via vacuum interlocks.¹⁴ In MBE, conventional effusion cells for gallium (Ga) and a radio-frequency plasma unit for nitrogen (N) were installed. It also contained the facility of reflection highenergy electron diffraction (RHEED) operating at 10 keV. Nominally flat 6H-SiC(0001) was used as the substrate, upon which a thick (\geq 3000 Å) GaN buffer layer was grown at \geq 620 °C using an excess Ga flux. The buffer layer surface was smooth as reflected by streaky RHEED patterns and confirmed by scanning tunneling microscopy (STM). It was of wurtzite phase with Ga polarity according to surface reconstruction observations.¹⁵ Having completed the buffer layer deposition, the substrate temperature and/or source fluxes were adjusted before resuming GaN deposition at the new conditions of MBE. For some, submonolayers of GaN were deposited followed by thermal quenching of the surfaces for subsequent STM examinations, while in others thick layers of GaN were grown for ex situ transmission electron microscopy (TEM) experiments. For STM, the tunneling current was 0.1 nA and the sample bias was -2.5 V, while for conventional and high resolution (HR) TEM experiments, a Philips CM200FEG TEM microscope was used operating at 200 keV. Cross-sectional TEM specimens were prepared by the standard method of mechanical polishing and argon ion milling.

Figure 1 summarizes the temperature and flux dependences of the crystal structures of GaN. The general feature emerged from our experiments is that when the temperature is low and the flux ratio R between Ga and N sources is high (R>1), zb-GaN films will be obtained. At other conditions, films with the hcp stacking will result. Here the fluxes of nitrogen are referred to as the effective values, which were

89, 151921-1

^{a)}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; electronic mail: mhxie@hkusua.hku.hk



FIG. 1. "Phase diagram" summarizing the crystal structures of GaN grown by MBE under different temperature and flux conditions. The insets show the corresponding RHEED patterns and STM micrographs (size: 200 \times 200 nm²) of the surfaces obtained at different conditions. The dotted line is drawn to indicate the phase boundary between zb-GaN and w-GaN.

calibrated by film growth rate in the N-limited regimes by RHEED.¹⁴ The insets in Fig. 1 show representative RHEED patterns and STM micrographs of GaN grown under different conditions. Generally, low deposition temperatures or high N fluxes lead to rough surfaces and the corresponding RHEED patterns are spotty. From the symmetry of the transmission RHEED spots, one may infer about the crystal structure of the film as shown in Ref. 9. On the other hand, for growth at high temperatures and using excess Ga fluxes, the film surfaces are usually smooth and the RHEED patterns are streaky. For such films, TEM has been used to determine their crystal structures.

Figure 2 shows conventional and HRTEM micrographs of a sample grown consecutively at high (620 °C) and low (420 °C) temperatures. It reveals the structural change from wurtzite to zinc-blende upon temperature reduction, demonstrating that zb-GaN grows even on GaN(0001) given the proper conditions of MBE. It also reveals that except at the interface, which is highly faulted, the top zb-GaN and bottom *w*-GaN layers are of reasonably high phase purity. However, we also wish to point out that the density of extended defects, such as dislocations, stacking faults, and twin boundaries, is usually higher in zb-GaN layers than in wurtzite films. This is probably caused by the unfavorably low growth temperature necessary for zb-GaN formation.



FIG. 2. (a) Conventional and (b) HRTEM micrograph of a sample grown at two different temperatures. The bottom layer was grown at 620 $^{\circ}$ C and the top layer was at 420 $^{\circ}$ C. The flux ratio between Ga and N was 1.5 throughout.



FIG. 3. (a) Schematic diagram showing the surface of w-GaN(0001) or zb-GaN(111). Triangular zb-GaN and w-GaN nuclei are drawn to show their opposite orientations grown on the same surface. (b) STM image of a surface following submonolayer GaN deposition at 340 °C. Some of the islands representing zb-GaN and w-GaN nuclei are marked by C and H, respectively.

It is noted that similar conditions of excess Ga and/or low temperature have been identified also by others to favor zb-GaN growth.^{9,10,13,16} Hence it appears that this phenomenon of GaN growth is robust, reflecting some underlying physical mechanisms rather than growth errors or fluctuations.¹⁷ To investigate the mechanism, we firstly examined the effect of deposition rate where the growth of GaN was conducted at different growth rates ranging from 0.05 to 0.42 Å/s. No apparent deviation from the results of Fig. 1 was observed, though higher growth rates generally led to higher densities of extended defects in films. A known mechanism of metastable phase crystal growth is the Ostwald step rule, where a stable phase crystal grows via some intermediate metastable phases at high supersaturation of the system.¹⁸ This mechanism was shown to originate from the higher nucleation rate of the metastable phase than that of the equilibrium structure.¹⁸ Varying the temperature and varying the source fluxes both lead to changes of supersaturation; so if the temperature change has induced a phase transition of GaN, a similar effect may be expected by changing the flux or growth rate.¹⁹ This is, however, not observed in this study for the range of growth rates experimented.²⁰

In an earlier study by TEM of low-temperature buffer layers grown by MOVPE, faceting of zb-GaN islands was noted.¹⁶ Based on this, it was suggested that zb-GaN formation under excess Ga was not caused by preferential nucleation of zb-GaN, but that the condition promoted rapid growth of zb-GaN nuclei coexisted with *w*-GaN nuclei.^{17,21} To investigate the role of initial stage nucleation on zb-GaN growth, we examined by STM the nucleation island shape and orientations. Figure 3 shows an example of the surface prepared at 340 °C, revealing triangular islands residing on terraces separated by double bilayer steps. As detailed in Ref. 22, the doubly bunched step structure is characteristic of a wurtzite crystal surface. Together with the triangular islands, it reflects the anisotropic growth rates of surface steps. As

TABLE I. Number of zb-GaN and w-GaN nuclei on w-GaN(0001) surface following submonolayer deposition at different temperatures.

Temperature (°C)	zb-GaN nuclei (N _{zb})	w-GaN nuclei (N _w)	Ratio of N_w/N_{zb}
340	649	464	0.71
360	421	312	0.74
400	1042	773	0.74
460	18	98	5.44

depicted in Fig. 3(a), the type-A step has two dangling bonds per edge atom whereas the type-*B* step has only one bond per atom.²² The two steps might thus have different capabilities in capturing adatoms and so grow at different rates.^{22,23} On both w-GaN(0001) and zb-GaN(111), type-A and -B steps alternate upon turning 60°, so the anisotropic growth rates of the two steps naturally lead to triangular islands.²² On the other hand, steps change character from A to B or from B to A upon descending single or odd number of atomic steps for a wurtzite film, so the anisotropic step growth rates between A and B result in double step bunching. For growth of a zinc-blende layer, however, since steps are of the same type along a given crystallographic direction, no double step bunching is expected.²² Based on the above, we may identify the islands in Fig. 3(b) to be nuclei of zb-GaN or w-GaN by noting their orientations. As illustrated in Fig. 3(a), if atoms in an island occupy the T4 sites, representing the hcp stacking with respect to the buffer layer lattices, the island becomes a nucleus of wurtzite GaN. One of the triangle vortices points to the ascending double bilayer step of the terrace. Oppositely, if atoms occupy the H3 sites, it represents a nucleus of zb-GaN and its orientation will be that pointing to the descending step. After distinguishing between zb-GaN and w-GaN islands, we count their numbers from numerous STM images similar to Fig. 3(b). Table I summarizes the results for deposition on w-GaN(0001) surfaces. For those nucleated on zb-GaN(111), as the surfaces of grown zb-GaN are rough, such data are not yet available. Nevertheless, the results of Table I already reveal a clear transition from w-GaN dominated to zb-GaN dominated nucleation as the temperature is lowered. So, it is very likely that the growths of zb-GaN at low temperature and excess Ga but w-GaN at other conditions are directly related to preferential nucleation of islands of the respective type at the early stages of deposition.

Another feature seen from Table I is that there is a huge asymmetry about the island ratio of *w*-GaN to zb-GaN at low versus high temperatures (e.g., at temperatures below 420 °C, the ratio is about 0.7, whereas at 460 °C, the ratio is ~5.4). If such ratios propagate for growth of consecutive layers, one expects that the transition from *w*-GaN to zb-GaN is not as sharp as that from zb-GaN to *w*-GaN upon changing the MBE conditions. This is consistent with the experiments of Yang *et al.*¹³

Finally, it remains a question why low temperatures and high Ga fluxes favor zb-GaN nucleation. Considering the sensitive dependence on deposition conditions of MBE, we believe that it is controlled by atomic surface kinetics involving surface excess Ga. Apart from the Ostwald step rule mentioned earlier, one possibility is the preferential adsorption of atoms on *H*3 sites of the surface. In a previous study of adsorption on Si(100), it was shown that surface sites with local minima could be more favorable for absorption than the equilibrium sites with global energy minimum.²⁴ If such atoms are trapped in such metastable sites by, e.g., low substrate temperature, they will act as the nuclei for subsequent material growth and so the metastable phase of a crystal will result. Unfortunately, such studies of atom adsorption on GaN are not yet available.

To summarize, both zb-GaN and w-GaN films are achieved on w-GaN(0001) or zb-GaN(111) surfaces by tuning the conditions of MBE. The necessary conditions for metastable zb-GaN growth are low temperature and excess Ga flux. We further show that preferential nucleations of zb-GaN or w-GaN islands are of primary importance in determining the crystal structures of the grown film.

This work is supported by the Research Grant Council of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China, under Grant No. HKU7048/04P.

- ¹S. Strite and H. Morkoc, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B **10**, 1237 (1992).
- ²A. F. Wright, J. Appl. Phys. 82, 5259 (1997).
- ³M. Mizuta, S. Fujida, Y. Matsumoto, and T. Kawamura, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys., Part 2 **25**, L945 (1986).
- ⁴K. H. Ploog, O. Brandt, H. Yang, B. Yang, and A. Trampert, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B **16**, 2229 (1998).
- ⁵H. Okumura, K. Ohta, G. Feuillet, K. Balakrishnan, S. Chichibu, H. Hamaguchi, P. Hacke, and S. Yoshida, J. Cryst. Growth **178**, 113 (1997).
 ⁶P. Das and D. K. Ferry, Solid-State Electron. **19**, 851 (1976).
- ⁷Z. Z. Bandic, T. C. MacGill, and Z. Ikonic, Phys. Rev. B **56**, 3564 (1997).
- ⁸X. H. Lu, P. Y. Yu, M. H. Xie, L. X. Zheng, S. J. Xu, and S. Y. Tong, Appl. Phys. Lett. **82**, 1033 (2003).
- ⁹H. Okumura, K. Balakrishnan, H. Hamaguchi, T. Koizumi, S. Chichibu, H. Nakanishi, T. Nagatomo, and S. Yoshida, J. Cryst. Growth **189/190**, 364 (1998).
- ¹⁰T. Kurobe, Y. Sekiguchi, J. Suda, M. Yoshimoto, and H. Matsunami, Appl. Phys. Lett. **73**, 2305 (1998).
- ¹¹Y. Zhao, C. W. Tu, I.-T. Bae, and T.-Y. Seong, Appl. Phys. Lett. **74**, 3182 (1999).
- ¹²Y. Cui, V. K. Lazorov, M. M. Goetz, H. Liu, D. P. Robertson, M. Gajdardziska-Josifovska, and L. Li, Appl. Phys. Lett. **82**, 4666 (2003).
- ¹³J. W. Yang, J. N. Kuznia, Q. C. Chen, M. Asif Khan, T. George, M. De Graef, and S. Mahajan, Appl. Phys. Lett. **67**, 3759 (1995).
- ¹⁴S. M. Seutter, M. H. Xie, W. K. Zhu, L. X. Zheng, Huasheng Wu, and S. Y. Tong, Surf. Sci. **445**, L71 (2000).
- ¹⁵A. R. Smith, R. M. Feenstra, D. W. Greve, M.-S. Shin, M. Skowronski, J. Neugebauer, and J. E. Northrup, Appl. Phys. Lett. **72**, 2114 (1998).
- ¹⁶X. H. Wu, D. Kapolnek, E. J. Tarsa, B. Heying, S. Keller, B. P. Keller, U. K. Mishra, S. P. DenBaars, and J. S. Speck, Appl. Phys. Lett. **68**, 1371 (1996).
- ¹⁷R. Armitage, K. Nishizono, J. Suda, and T. Kimoto, J. Cryst. Growth 284, 369 (2005).
- ¹⁸I. V. Markov, Crystal Growth for Beginners, Fundamentals of Nucleation, Crystal Growth and Epitaxy, 2nd ed. (World Scientific, Singapore, 2003), p. 172.
- ¹⁹I. V. Markov (private communication).
- ²⁰Due to the operational limitation of the plasma unit for N source, a wider range of growth rate variation was unfeasible.
- ²¹J. Suda, T. Kurobe, and H. Matsunami, J. Cryst. Growth **201/202**, 437 (1999).
- ²²M. H. Xie, S. M. Seutter, W. K. Zhu, L. X. Zheng, Huasheng Wu, and S. Y. Tong, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 2749 (1999).
- ²³M. H. Xie, M. Gong, E. K. Y. Pang, H. S. Wu, and S. Y. Tong, Phys. Rev. B 74, 085314 (2006).
- ²⁴Z. Zhang and H. Metiu, Surf. Sci. **245**, 353 (1991).