



<b>Title</b>	<b>On certain new Gronwall-ou-iang type integral inequalities in two variables and their applications</b>
<b>Author(s)</b>	<b>Cheung, WS; Ma, QH</b>
<b>Citation</b>	<b>Journal Of Inequalities And Applications, 2005, v. 2005 n. 4, p. 347-361</b>
<b>Issued Date</b>	<b>2005</b>
<b>URL</b>	<b><a href="http://hdl.handle.net/10722/75358">http://hdl.handle.net/10722/75358</a></b>
<b>Rights</b>	<b>Creative Commons: Attribution 3.0 Hong Kong License</b>

# ON CERTAIN NEW GRONWALL-OU-IANG TYPE INTEGRAL INEQUALITIES IN TWO VARIABLES AND THEIR APPLICATIONS

WING-SUM CHEUNG AND QING-HUA MA

Received 15 September 2003 and in revised form 22 December 2004

Some new Gronwall-Ou-Iang type integral inequalities in two independent variables are established. These integral inequalities can be applied as tools to the study of certain class of integral and differential equations. Some applications to a terminal value problem are also indicated.

## 1. Introduction

In his study of boundedness of solutions to linear second order differential equations, Ou-Iang [12] established and applied the following useful nonlinear integral inequality.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $u$  and  $h$  be real-valued, nonnegative and continuous functions defined on  $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$  and let  $c \geq 0$  be a real constant. Then the nonlinear integral inequality*

$$u^2(x) \leq c^2 + 2 \int_0^x h(s)u(s)ds, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_+, \quad (1.1)$$

*implies*

$$u(x) \leq c + \int_0^x h(s)ds, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_+. \quad (1.2)$$

As indicated by Pachpatte [15], this result has been frequently used by authors to obtain global existence, uniqueness and stability of solutions of various nonlinear integral and differential equations. On the other hand, Theorem 1.1 has also been extended and generalized by many authors; see, for example, the reference [2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18]. Like Gronwall type inequalities, (1.1) is also used to obtain *a priori* bounds to the unknown function. Therefore, integral inequalities of this type are usually known as Gronwall-Ou-Iang type inequalities.

In recent years, Pachpatte [16] discovered some new integral inequalities involving functions in two independent variables. These inequalities are applied to study the boundedness and uniqueness of the solutions of the following terminal value problem

for the hyperbolic partial differential equation (1.3) with conditions (1.4)

$$D_1 D_2 u(x, y) = h(x, y, u(x, y)) + r(x, y), \quad (1.3)$$

$$u(x, \infty) = \sigma_\infty(x), \quad u(\infty, y) = \tau_\infty(y), \quad u(\infty, \infty) = k. \quad (1.4)$$

Recently, Cheung [2] and Dragomir-Kim [4, 5] established additional new Gronwall-Ou-Iang type integral inequalities involving functions of two independent variables, and Meng and Li [10] generalized the results of Pachpatte to certain new inequalities. Our main aim here, motivated by the works of Cheung, Dragomir-Kim and Meng-Li, is to establish some new and more general Gronwall-Ou-Iang type integral inequalities with two independent variables which are useful in the analysis of certain classes of partial differential equations.

## 2. Main results

In what follows, we define  $\mathbb{R} = (-\infty, \infty)$ ,  $\mathbb{R}_1 = [1, \infty)$ ,  $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$ , and for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}_+^k = (\mathbb{R}_+)^k$ . Denote by  $C^i(M, S)$  the class of all  $i$ -times continuously differentiable functions defined on set  $M$  with range in set  $S$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ) and  $C^0(M, S) = C(M, S)$ . The first-order partial derivatives of a function  $z(x, y)$  for  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  with respect to  $x$  and  $y$  are denoted as usual by  $D_1 z(x, y)$  and  $D_2 z(x, y)$ , respectively. We also assume that all improper integrals appeared in the sequel are always convergent.

We need the following lemmas in the discussion of our main results.

LEMMA 2.1 [11]. *Let  $u(t)$ ,  $k(t)$  be nonnegative continuous functions and  $a(t)$ ,  $b(t)$  be Riemann integrable functions on  $J = [\alpha, \beta]$  with  $a(t)$ ,  $b(t)$  and  $k(t)$  being nonnegative on  $J$ .*

(i) *If*

$$u(t) \leq a(t) + b(t) \int_\alpha^t k(s)u(s)ds \quad (2.1)$$

for all  $t \in J$ , then

$$u(t) \leq a(t) + b(t) \int_\alpha^t a(s)k(s) \exp\left(\int_s^t b(m)k(m)dm\right)ds, \quad t \in J. \quad (2.2)$$

(ii) *If*

$$u(t) \leq a(t) + b(t) \int_t^\beta k(s)u(s)ds \quad (2.3)$$

for all  $t \in J$ , then

$$u(t) \leq a(t) + b(t) \int_t^\beta a(s)k(s) \exp\left(\int_t^\beta b(m)k(m)dm\right)ds, \quad t \in J. \quad (2.4)$$

LEMMA 2.2. *Let  $u(x, y)$ ,  $a(x, y)$ ,  $c(x, y)$  and  $d(x, y)$  be nonnegative continuous functions defined for  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $w(u)$  be a nonnegative, nondecreasing continuous function for  $u \in \mathbb{R}_+$  with  $w(u) > 0$  for  $u > 0$ .*

(i) Assume that  $a(x, y)$  and  $c(x, y)$  are nondecreasing in  $x$  and nonincreasing in  $y$  for  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . If

$$u(x, y) \leq a(x, y) + c(x, y) \int_0^x \int_y^\infty d(s, t)w(u(s, t)) dt ds \tag{2.5}$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , then

$$u(x, y) \leq G^{-1} \left[ G(a(x, y)) + c(x, y) \int_0^x \int_y^\infty d(s, t) dt ds \right] \tag{2.6}$$

for all  $0 \leq x \leq x_1, y_1 \leq y < \infty$ , where

$$G(r) := \int_{r_0}^r \frac{dr}{w(r)}, \quad r \geq r_0 > 0, \tag{2.7}$$

$G^{-1}$  is the inverse function of  $G$ , and  $x_1, y_1 \in \mathbb{R}_+$  are chosen so that

$$G(a(x, y)) + c(x, y) \int_0^x \int_y^\infty d(s, t) dt ds \in \text{Dom}(G^{-1}). \tag{2.8}$$

(ii) Assume that  $a(x, y)$  and  $c(x, y)$  are nonincreasing in each variable  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . If

$$u(x, y) \leq a(x, y) + c(x, y) \int_x^\infty \int_y^\infty d(s, t)w(u(s, t)) dt ds \tag{2.9}$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , then

$$u(x, y) \leq G^{-1} \left[ G(a(x, y)) + c(x, y) \int_x^\infty \int_y^\infty d(s, t) dt ds \right] \tag{2.10}$$

for all  $0 \leq x \leq x_2, y_2 \leq y < \infty$ , where  $G$  and  $G^{-1}$  are defined as in (i), and  $x_2, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+$  are chosen so that

$$G(a(x, y)) + c(x, y) \int_x^\infty \int_y^\infty d(s, t) dt ds \in \text{Dom}(G^{-1}). \tag{2.11}$$

*Proof.* (i) Fixing any numbers  $\bar{x}_1$  and  $\bar{y}_1$  with  $0 < \bar{x}_1 \leq x_1$  and  $y_1 \leq \bar{y}_1 < \infty$ , from (2.5) we have

$$u(x, y) \leq a(\bar{x}_1, \bar{y}_1) + c(\bar{x}_1, \bar{y}_1) \int_0^x \int_y^\infty d(s, t)w(u(s, t)) dt ds \tag{2.12}$$

for  $0 \leq x \leq \bar{x}_1, \bar{y}_1 \leq y < \infty$ .

Defining  $r_1(x, y)$  as the right-hand side of the last inequality, then  $r_1(0, y) = r_1(x, \infty) = a(\bar{x}_1, \bar{y}_1)$ ,

$$u(x, y) \leq r_1(x, y), \tag{2.13}$$

$r_1(x, y)$  is non-increasing in  $y \in [\bar{y}_1, \infty)$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 r_1(x, y) &= c(\bar{x}_1, \bar{y}_1) \int_y^\infty d(x, t) w(u(x, t)) dt \leq c(\bar{x}_1, \bar{y}_1) \int_y^\infty d(x, t) w(r_1(x, t)) dt \\ &\leq c(\bar{x}_1, \bar{y}_1) w(r_1(x, y)) \int_y^\infty d(x, t) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

Dividing both sides of (2.14) by  $w(r_1(x, y))$ , we obtain

$$\frac{D_1 r_1(x, y)}{w(r_1(x, y))} \leq c(\bar{x}_1, \bar{y}_1) \int_y^\infty d(x, t) dt. \quad (2.15)$$

From (2.7) and (2.15) we have

$$D_1 G(r_1(x, y)) \leq c(\bar{x}_1, \bar{y}_1) \int_y^\infty d(x, t) dt. \quad (2.16)$$

Now setting  $x = s$  in (2.16) and then integrating with respect to  $s$  from 0 to  $x$ , we obtain

$$G(r_1(x, y)) \leq G(r_1(0, y)) + c(\bar{x}_1, \bar{y}_1) \int_0^x \int_y^\infty d(s, t) dt ds. \quad (2.17)$$

Noting  $G(r_1(0, y)) = G(a(\bar{x}_1, \bar{y}_1))$ , we have

$$G(r_1(x, y)) \leq G(a(\bar{x}_1, \bar{y}_1)) + c(\bar{x}_1, \bar{y}_1) \int_0^x \int_y^\infty d(s, t) dt ds. \quad (2.18)$$

Taking  $x = \bar{x}_1, y = \bar{y}_1$  in (2.13) and the last inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u(\bar{x}_1, \bar{y}_1) &\leq r_1(\bar{x}_1, \bar{y}_1), \\ G(r_1(\bar{x}_1, \bar{y}_1)) &\leq G(a(\bar{x}_1, \bar{y}_1)) + c(\bar{x}_1, \bar{y}_1) \int_0^{\bar{x}_1} \int_{\bar{y}_1}^\infty d(s, t) dt ds. \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

Since  $0 < \bar{x}_1 \leq x_1, \bar{y}_1 \leq y_1 < \infty$  are arbitrary, from (2.19) we have

$$u(x, y) \leq r_1(x, y), \quad (2.20)$$

$$G(r_1(x, y)) \leq G(a(x, y)) + c(x, y) \int_0^x \int_y^\infty d(s, t) dt ds, \quad (2.21)$$

or

$$r_1(x, y) \leq G^{-1} \left[ G(a(x, y)) + c(x, y) \int_0^x \int_y^\infty d(s, t) dt ds \right] \quad (2.22)$$

for all  $0 < x \leq x_1, y_1 \leq y < \infty$ . Hence by (2.20) and (2.22) we have

$$u(x, y) \leq G^{-1} \left[ G(a(x, y)) + c(x, y) \int_0^x \int_y^\infty d(s, t) dt ds \right] \quad (2.23)$$

for all  $0 < x \leq x_1, y_1 \leq y < \infty$ . By (2.5), (2.23) holds also when  $x = 0$ .

(ii) The proof of (ii) is similar to the argument in the proof of Lemma 2.2(i) with suitable modification. We omit the details here.  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Let  $a(x, y)$ ,  $c(x, y)$ , and  $w(u)$  be defined as in Lemma 2.2(i), and  $e(x, y) \in C(\mathbb{R}_+^2, \mathbb{R}_+)$ . Let  $\varphi(u) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+)$  with  $\varphi'(u) > 0$  for  $u > 0$ , here  $\varphi'$  denotes the derivative of  $\varphi$ . If*

$$\varphi(u(x, y)) \leq a(x, y) + c(x, y) \int_0^x \int_y^\infty \varphi'(u(s, t)) [d(s, t)w(u(s, t)) + e(s, t)] dt ds \quad (2.24)$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , then

$$u(x, y) \leq G^{-1} \left\{ G[\varphi^{-1}(a(x, y)) + E(x, y)] + c(x, y) \int_0^x \int_y^\infty d(s, t) dt ds \right\} \quad (2.25)$$

for all  $0 \leq x \leq x_3, y_3 \leq y < \infty$ , where

$$E(x, y) := c(x, y) \int_0^x \int_y^\infty e(s, t) dt ds, \quad (2.26)$$

$G$  and  $G^{-1}$  are defined as in Lemma 2.2,  $\varphi^{-1}$  is the inverse function of  $\varphi$ , and  $x_3, y_3 \in \mathbb{R}_+$  are chosen so that

$$G[\varphi^{-1}(a(x, y)) + E(x, y)] + c(x, y) \int_0^x \int_y^\infty d(s, t) dt ds \in \text{Dom}(G^{-1}). \quad (2.27)$$

*Proof.* If  $a(x, y) > 0$ , fixing any numbers  $\bar{x}_3$  and  $\bar{y}_3$  ( $0 < \bar{x}_3 \leq x_3, y_3 \leq \bar{y}_3 < \infty$ ), from (2.24) we have

$$\varphi(u(x, y)) \leq a(\bar{x}_3, \bar{y}_3) + c(\bar{x}_3, \bar{y}_3) \int_0^x \int_y^\infty \varphi'(u(s, t)) [d(s, t)w(u(s, t)) + e(s, t)] dt ds \quad (2.28)$$

for all  $0 \leq x \leq \bar{x}_3, \bar{y}_3 \leq y < \infty$ . Defining  $r_2(x, y)$  as the right-hand side of the last inequality, then

$$r_2(0, y) = r_2(x, \infty) = a(\bar{x}_3, \bar{y}_3), \quad (2.29)$$

$$u(x, y) \leq \varphi^{-1}(r_2(x, y)) \quad (2.30)$$

for all  $0 \leq x \leq \bar{x}_3, \bar{y}_3 \leq y < \infty$ . Since  $r_2(x, y)$  is non-increasing in  $y$ , by (2.30), we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 r_2(x, y) &= c(\bar{x}_3, \bar{y}_3) \int_y^\infty \varphi'(u(x, t)) [d(x, t)w(u(x, t)) + e(x, t)] dt \\ &\leq c(\bar{x}_3, \bar{y}_3) \int_y^\infty \varphi'(\varphi^{-1}(r_2(x, t))) [d(x, t)w(\varphi^{-1}(r_2(x, t))) + e(x, t)] dt \\ &\leq c(\bar{x}_3, \bar{y}_3) \varphi'(\varphi^{-1}(r_2(x, y))) \int_y^\infty [d(x, t)w(\varphi^{-1}(r_2(x, t))) + e(x, t)] dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.31)$$

Dividing both sides of (2.31) by  $\varphi'(\varphi^{-1}(r_2(x, y)))$ , we have

$$\frac{D_1 r_2(x, y)}{\varphi'(\varphi^{-1}(r_2(x, y)))} \leq c(\bar{x}_3, \bar{y}_3) \int_y^\infty [d(x, t)w(\varphi^{-1}(r_2(x, t))) + e(x, t)] dt. \tag{2.32}$$

Observe that for any continuously differentiable and invertible function  $\Phi(\xi)$ , by the change of variable  $\eta = \Phi^{-1}(\xi)$ , we have

$$\int \frac{d\xi}{\Phi'(\Phi^{-1}(\xi))} = \int \frac{\Phi'(\eta)}{\Phi'(\eta)} d\eta = \eta + c = \Phi^{-1}(\xi) + c. \tag{2.33}$$

Keeping  $y$  fixed in (2.32), setting  $x = s$  and integrating with respect to  $s$  from 0 to  $x$ , and applying (2.33) to the left-hand side we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^{-1}(r_2(x, y)) &\leq \varphi^{-1}(r_2(0, y)) + c(\bar{x}_3, \bar{y}_3) \int_0^x \int_y^\infty [d(s, t)w(\varphi^{-1}(r_2(s, t))) + e(s, t)] dt ds \\ &= \varphi^{-1}(a(\bar{x}_3, \bar{y}_3)) + c(\bar{x}_3, \bar{y}_3) \int_0^x \int_y^\infty [d(s, t)w(\varphi^{-1}(r_2(s, t))) + e(s, t)] dt ds. \end{aligned} \tag{2.34}$$

Applying Lemma 2.2(i) to the last inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^{-1}(r_2(x, y)) &\leq G^{-1} \left\{ G \left[ \varphi^{-1}(a(\bar{x}_3, \bar{y}_3)) + c(\bar{x}_3, \bar{y}_3) \int_0^x \int_y^\infty e(s, t) dt ds \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + c(\bar{x}_3, \bar{y}_3) \int_0^x \int_y^\infty d(s, t) dt ds \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{2.35}$$

for all  $0 \leq x \leq \bar{x}_3, \bar{y}_3 \leq y < \infty$ . By (2.30), (2.35) and using similar procedures as from (2.19) to (2.23) in the proof of Lemma 2.2(i), we can get the desired bound of  $u(x, y)$  in (2.25). By continuity, (2.25) also holds for the case  $a(x, y) \geq 0$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.4.** *Let  $a(x, y), c(x, y), w(u)$  be defined as in Lemma 2.2(ii) and  $\varphi(u), e(x, y)$  defined as in Theorem 2.3. If*

$$\varphi(u(x, y)) \leq a(x, y) + c(x, y) \int_x^\infty \int_y^\infty \varphi'(u(s, t)) [d(s, t)w(u(s, t)) + e(s, t)] dt ds \tag{2.36}$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , then

$$u(x, y) \leq G^{-1} \left\{ G[\varphi^{-1}(a(x, y)) + \bar{E}(x, y)] + c(x, y) \int_x^\infty \int_y^\infty d(s, t) dt ds \right\} \tag{2.37}$$

for all  $x_4 \leq x < \infty, y_4 \leq y < \infty$ , where

$$\bar{E}(x, y) := c(x, y) \int_x^\infty \int_y^\infty e(s, t) dt ds, \tag{2.38}$$

$G$  and  $G^{-1}$  are defined as in Lemma 2.2,  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi^{-1}$  are defined as in Theorem 2.3, and  $x_4, y_4 \in \mathbb{R}_+$  are chosen so that

$$G[\varphi^{-1}(a(x, y)) + \bar{E}(x, y)] + c(x, y) \int_x^\infty \int_y^\infty d(s, t) dt ds \in \text{Dom}(G^{-1}). \tag{2.39}$$

The proof of Theorem 2.4 follows by an argument similar to that in the proof of Theorem 2.3 with suitable modification. We omit the details here.

**THEOREM 2.5.** *Let  $a(x, y), c(x, y), e(x, y), w(u), \varphi(u)$ , and  $\varphi'(u)$  be defined as in Theorem 2.3. Let  $b(x, y), d(x, y)$ , and  $f(x, y) \in C(\mathbb{R}_+^2, \mathbb{R}_+)$  and  $b(x, y), d(x, y)$  be nondecreasing in  $x$  and non-increasing in  $y$ . If*

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(u(x, y)) \leq & a(x, y) + b(x, y) \int_\alpha^x c(s, y) \varphi(u(s, y)) ds \\ & + d(x, y) \int_0^x \int_y^\infty \varphi'(u(s, t)) [f(s, t)w(u(s, t)) + e(s, t)] dt ds \end{aligned} \tag{2.40}$$

for all  $x, y, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+$  with  $\alpha \leq x$ , then

$$u(x, y) \leq G^{-1} \left\{ G[\varphi^{-1}(p(x, y)a(x, y)) + p(x, y)E_1(x, y)] + p(x, y)d(x, y) \int_0^x \int_y^\infty f(s, t) dt ds \right\} \tag{2.41}$$

for all  $0 \leq x \leq x_5, y_5 \leq y < \infty$ , where

$$p(x, y) := 1 + b(x, y) \int_\alpha^x c(s, y) \exp \left( \int_s^x b(m, y) c(m, y) dm \right) ds, \tag{2.42}$$

$$E_1(x, y) := d(x, y) \int_0^x \int_y^\infty e(s, t) dt ds, \tag{2.43}$$

$G$  and  $G^{-1}$  are defined as in Lemma 2.2,  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi^{-1}$  are defined as in Theorem 2.3, and  $x_5, y_5 \in \mathbb{R}_+$  are chosen so that

$$G[\varphi^{-1}(p(x, y)a(x, y)) + p(x, y)E_1(x, y)] + p(x, y)d(x, y) \int_0^x \int_y^\infty f(s, t) dt ds \in \text{Dom}(G^{-1}). \tag{2.44}$$

*Proof.* Define a function  $z(x, y)$  by

$$z(x, y) = a(x, y) + d(x, y) \int_0^x \int_y^\infty \varphi'(u(s, t)) [f(s, t)w(u(s, t)) + e(s, t)] dt ds. \tag{2.45}$$

Then (2.40) can be restated as

$$\varphi(u(x, y)) \leq z(x, y) + b(x, y) \int_\alpha^x c(s, y) \varphi(u(s, y)) ds. \tag{2.46}$$



Obviously,  $z(x, y)$  is nonnegative and continuous in  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . Fixing  $y \in \mathbb{R}_+$  in (2.46) and using Lemma 2.1(i) we get

$$\varphi(u(x, y)) \leq z(x, y) + b(x, y) \int_{\alpha}^x z(s, y) c(s, y) \exp \left( \int_s^x b(m, y) c(m, y) dm \right) ds. \tag{2.47}$$

Since  $z(x, y)$  is nondecreasing in  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , we obtain from the last inequality that

$$\varphi(u(x, y)) \leq z(x, y) p(x, y), \tag{2.48}$$

where  $p(x, y)$  is defined by (2.42). From (2.48), we have

$$\varphi(u(x, y)) \leq p(x, y) \left( a(x, y) + d(x, y) \int_0^x \int_y^{\infty} \varphi'(u(s, t)) [f(s, t)w(u(s, t)) + e(s, t)] dt ds \right). \tag{2.49}$$

Observe that  $p(x, y)$ ,  $a(x, y)$ , and  $d(x, y)$  are continuous, nondecreasing in  $x$  and non-increasing in  $y$  for  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , so also are  $p(x, y)a(x, y)$  and  $p(x, y)d(x, y)$ . Now applying Theorem 2.3 to (2.49), we get the desired bound for  $u(x, y)$  appeared in (2.41) directly.  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.6.** *Let  $u(x, y)$ ,  $f(x, y)$ ,  $e(x, y)$ ,  $\varphi(u)$ , and  $w(u)$  be defined as in Theorem 2.5. Let  $a(x, y)$ ,  $b(x, y)$ ,  $c(x, y)$ , and  $d(x, y)$  be nonnegative continuous and nonincreasing in each variable  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . If*

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(u(x, y)) &\leq a(x, y) + b(x, y) \int_x^{\beta} c(s, y) \varphi(u(s, y)) ds \\ &\quad + d(x, y) \int_x^{\infty} \int_y^{\infty} \varphi'(u(s, t)) [f(s, t)w(u(s, t)) + e(s, t)] dt ds \end{aligned} \tag{2.50}$$

for all  $x, \beta \in \mathbb{R}_+$  with  $x \leq \beta$ , then

$$u(x, y) \leq G^{-1} \left\{ G[\varphi^{-1}(\bar{p}(x, y)a(x, y)) + \bar{p}(x, y)\bar{E}_1(x, y)] + \bar{p}(x, y)d(x, y) \int_x^{\infty} \int_y^{\infty} f(s, t) dt ds \right\} \tag{2.51}$$

for all  $x_6 \leq x < \infty$ ,  $y_6 \leq y < \infty$ , where

$$\bar{p}(x, y) := 1 + b(x, y) \int_x^{\beta} c(s, y) \exp \left( \int_x^s b(m, y) c(m, y) dm \right) ds, \tag{2.52}$$

$$\bar{E}_1(x, y) := d(x, y) \int_x^{\infty} \int_y^{\infty} e(s, t) dt ds, \tag{2.53}$$

$G$ ,  $G^{-1}$ ,  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi^{-1}$  are defined as in Theorem 2.5, and  $x_6, y_6 \in \mathbb{R}_+$  are chosen so that

$$G[\varphi^{-1}(\bar{p}(x, y)a(x, y)) + \bar{p}(x, y)\bar{E}_1(x, y)] + \bar{p}(x, y)d(x, y) \int_x^{\infty} \int_y^{\infty} f(s, t) dt ds \in \text{Dom}(G^{-1}). \tag{2.54}$$

The proof of Theorem 2.6 follows by an argument similar to that in the proof of Theorem 2.5 with suitable modification. We omit the details here.

*Remark 1.* By choosing suitable functions for  $\varphi$ , some interesting new Gronwall-Ou-Iang type inequalities of two variables can be obtained from Theorems 2.5 and 2.6. For example, the following interesting inequalities are easily obtained.

**COROLLARY 2.7.** *Let  $u(x, y), a(x, y), b(x, y), c(x, y), d(x, y), e(x, y), f(x, y)$ , and  $w(u)$  be as defined in Theorem 2.5. Let  $k \geq 1$  be a real number. If*

$$\begin{aligned}
 u^k(x, y) \leq & a(x, y) + b(x, y) \int_{\alpha}^x c(s, y) u^k(s, y) ds \\
 & + d(x, y) \int_0^x \int_y^{\infty} u^{k-1}(s, t) [f(s, t) w(u(s, t)) + e(s, t)] dt ds
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.55}$$

for all  $x, y, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+$  with  $\alpha \leq x$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(x, y) \leq & G^{-1} \left\{ G \left[ p^{1/k}(x, y) a^{1/k}(x, y) + \frac{1}{k} p(x, y) E_1(x, y) \right] \right. \\
 & \left. + \frac{1}{k} p(x, y) d(x, y) \int_0^x \int_y^{\infty} f(s, t) dt ds \right\}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.56}$$

for all  $0 \leq x \leq x_7, y_7 \leq y < \infty$ , where  $G, G^{-1}, p(x, y)$  and  $E_1(x, y)$  are as defined in Theorem 2.5, and  $x_7, y_7 \in \mathbb{R}_+$  are chosen so that

$$G \left[ p^{1/k}(x, y) a^{1/k}(x, y) + p(x, y) E_1(x, y) \right] + p(x, y) d(x, y) \int_0^x \int_y^{\infty} f(s, t) dt ds \in \text{Dom}(G^{-1}).
 \tag{2.57}$$

*Proof.* This follows immediately from Theorem 2.5 by setting  $\varphi(u) = u^k$ . □

**COROLLARY 2.8.** *Let  $b(x, y), c(x, y), d(x, y), e(x, y), f(x, y)$ , and  $w(u)$  be as defined in Theorem 2.5. Let  $u(x, y), a(x, y) \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_1)$  and  $k > 0$  be a real number. If*

$$\begin{aligned}
 u^k(x, y) \leq & a(x, y) + b(x, y) \int_{\alpha}^x c(s, y) u^k(s, y) ds \\
 & + d(x, y) \int_0^x \int_y^{\infty} u^k(s, t) [f(s, t) w(\log u(s, t)) + e(s, t)] dt ds
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.58}$$

for all  $x, y, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$  with  $\alpha \leq x$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(x, y) \leq & \exp \left\{ G^{-1} \left[ G \left( \frac{1}{k} \log(p(x, y) a(x, y)) + \frac{1}{k} p(x, y) E_1(x, y) \right) \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. + \frac{1}{k} p(x, y) d(x, y) \int_0^x \int_y^{\infty} f(s, t) dt ds \right] \right\}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.59}$$

for all  $0 \leq x \leq x_8$ ,  $y_8 \leq y < \infty$ , where  $G$ ,  $G^{-1}$ ,  $p(x, y)$  and  $E_1(x, y)$  are as defined in Theorem 2.5, and  $x_8, y_8 \in \mathbb{R}_+$  are chosen so that

$$G\left(\frac{1}{k} \log(p(x, y)a(x, y)) + \frac{1}{k} p(x, y)E_1(x, y)\right) + \frac{1}{k} p(x, y)d(x, y) \int_0^x \int_y^\infty f(s, t) dt ds \in \text{Dom}(G^{-1}). \tag{2.60}$$

*Proof.* Using the change of variable  $v(x, y) = \log u(x, y)$ , inequality (2.58) reduces to

$$e^{kv(x, y)} \leq a(x, y) + b(x, y) \int_\alpha^x c(s, y) e^{kv(s, y)} ds + d(x, y) \int_0^x \int_y^\infty e^{kv(s, t)} [f(s, t)w(v(s, t)) + e(s, t)] dt ds, \tag{2.61}$$

which is a special case of inequality (2.40) when  $\varphi(v) = \exp(kv)$ . By Theorem 2.5, the desired inequality (2.59) follows.  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.9.** Let  $u(x, y)$ ,  $a(x, y)$ ,  $b(x, y)$ ,  $c(x, y)$ ,  $d(x, y)$ ,  $e(x, y)$ ,  $f(x, y)$ , and  $\varphi(u)$  be as defined in Theorem 2.5, and  $L, M \in C(\mathbb{R}_+^3, \mathbb{R}_+)$  satisfy

$$0 \leq L(x, y, v) - L(x, y, w) \leq M(x, y, w)(v - w) \tag{2.62}$$

for all  $x, y, v, w \in \mathbb{R}_+$  with  $v \leq w$ . If

$$\varphi(u(x, y)) \leq a(x, y) + b(x, y) \int_\alpha^x c(s, y) \varphi(u(s, y)) ds + d(x, y) \int_0^x \int_y^\infty \varphi'(u(s, t)) [f(s, t)L(s, t, u(s, t)) + e(s, t)] dt ds \tag{2.63}$$

for all  $x, y, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+$  with  $\alpha \leq x$ , then

$$u(x, y) \leq \mathcal{N}_1(x, y) + p(x, y)d(x, y)\mathcal{L}_1(x, y) \exp(\mathcal{M}_1(x, y)) \tag{2.64}$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_1(x, y) &:= \varphi^{-1}(p(x, y)a(x, y)) + p(x, y)E_1(x, y), \\ \mathcal{L}_1(x, y) &:= \int_0^x \int_y^\infty f(s, t)L[s, t, \mathcal{N}_1(s, t)] dt ds, \\ \mathcal{M}_1(x, y) &:= \int_0^x \int_y^\infty f(s, t)p(s, t)d(s, t)M[s, t, \mathcal{N}_1(s, t)] dt ds, \end{aligned} \tag{2.65}$$

and  $p(x, y)$ ,  $E_1(x, y)$  are defined in (2.42), (2.43), respectively.

*Proof.* By similar arguments as those used in the proof of Theorem 2.5, applying Lemma 2.1(i) to (2.63) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(u(x, y)) &\leq p(x, y)a(x, y) \\ &\quad + p(x, y)d(x, y) \int_0^x \int_y^\infty \varphi'(u(s, t)) [f(s, t)L(s, t, u(s, t)) + e(s, t)] dt ds \end{aligned} \tag{2.66}$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+$ .

Defining a nonnegative continuous function  $z(x, y)$  as the right-hand side of (2.66), then using similar procedures as in the proof of Theorem 2.3, we can derive from (2.66) that

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, y) &\leq \varphi^{-1}(z(x, y)), \\ \varphi^{-1}(z(x, y)) &\leq \mathcal{N}_1(x, y) + p(x, y)d(x, y) \int_0^x \int_y^\infty f(s, t)L[s, t, \varphi^{-1}(z(s, t))] dt ds \end{aligned} \tag{2.67}$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , where  $\mathcal{N}_1(x, y)$  is defined in (2.65).

Setting

$$\xi(x, y) = \int_0^x \int_y^\infty f(s, t)L[s, t, \varphi^{-1}(z(s, t))] dt ds, \tag{2.68}$$

then from the last inequality we have

$$\varphi^{-1}(z(x, y)) \leq \mathcal{N}_1(x, y) + p(x, y)d(x, y)\xi(x, y) \tag{2.69}$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . Since  $L(x, y, v)$  is nondecreasing with respect to  $v$  for fixed  $x, y$ , by (2.68) and (2.69) with condition (2.62), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(x, y) &\leq \int_0^x \int_y^\infty f(s, t)L[s, t, \mathcal{N}_1(s, t) + p(s, t)d(s, t)\xi(s, t)] dt ds \\ &\leq \int_0^x \int_y^\infty f(s, t)L[s, t, \mathcal{N}_1(s, t)] dt ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^x \int_y^\infty f(s, t)p(s, t)d(s, t)M[s, t, \mathcal{N}_1(s, t)]\xi(s, t) dt ds. \end{aligned} \tag{2.70}$$

Applying Lemma 2.2(i) (the case when  $w(u) = u$ ,  $c(x, y) \equiv 1$ ) to the last inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(x, y) &\leq \left( \int_0^x \int_y^\infty f(s, t)L[s, t, \mathcal{N}_1(s, t)] dt ds \right) \cdot \\ &\quad \exp \left( \int_0^x \int_y^\infty f(s, t)p(s, t)d(s, t)M[s, t, \mathcal{N}_1(s, t)] dt ds \right) \\ &= \mathcal{L}_1(x, y) \exp(\mathcal{M}_1(x, y)), \end{aligned} \tag{2.71}$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_1(x, y)$  and  $\mathcal{M}_1(x, y)$  are defined in (2.65). The required inequality (2.64) now follows from (2.67), (2.69) and the last inequality.  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.10.** Let  $u(x, y)$ ,  $a(x, y)$ ,  $b(x, y)$ ,  $c(x, y)$ ,  $d(x, y)$ ,  $f(x, y)$ , and  $\varphi(u)$  be as defined in Theorem 2.6, and  $L(x, y, v)$  and  $M(x, y, v)$  as defined in Theorem 2.9. If

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(u(x, y)) &\leq a(x, y) + b(x, y) \int_x^\beta c(s, y) \varphi(u(s, y)) ds \\ &\quad + d(x, y) \int_x^\infty \int_y^\infty \varphi'(u(s, t)) [f(s, t)L(s, t, u(s, t)) + e(s, t)] dt ds \end{aligned} \tag{2.72}$$

for all  $\beta, x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+$  with  $x \leq \beta$ , then

$$u(x, y) \leq \bar{N}_1(x, y) + \bar{p}(x, y)d(x, y)\bar{\mathcal{L}}_1(x, y) \exp(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_1(x, y)) \tag{2.73}$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{N}_1(x, y) &:= \varphi^{-1}(\bar{p}(x, y)a(x, y)) + \bar{p}(x, y)\bar{E}_1(x, y), \\ \bar{\mathcal{L}}_1(x, y) &:= \int_x^\infty \int_y^\infty f(s, t)L[s, t, \bar{N}_1(s, t)] dt ds, \\ \bar{\mathcal{M}}_1(x, y) &:= \int_x^\infty \int_y^\infty f(s, t)\bar{p}(s, t)d(s, t)M[s, t, \bar{N}_1(s, t)] dt ds, \end{aligned} \tag{2.74}$$

and  $\bar{p}(x, y)$ ,  $\bar{E}_1(x, y)$  are defined in (2.52), (2.53), respectively.

The proof of Theorem 2.10 follows by an argument similar to that in the proof of Theorem 2.9 with suitable modification. We omit the details here.

*Remark 2.* As in Corollaries 2.7 and 2.8, other new Gronwall-Ou-Iang type integral inequalities of two variables can be obtained from Theorems 2.9 and 2.10 by choosing suitable functions for  $\varphi$ . Details are omitted here.

### 3. Applications

(a) Consider the partial differential equation

$$D_1 D_2 u^\ell(x, y) = h_1(x, y, u(x, y)) + r(x, y), \tag{3.1}$$

$$u^\ell(x, \infty) = \sigma_\infty(x), \quad u^\ell(0, y) = \tau(y), \quad u^\ell(0, \infty) = k, \tag{3.2}$$

where  $h_1 \in C(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $r \in C(\mathbb{R}_+^2, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\sigma_\infty, \tau \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+)$ ,  $\ell \geq 1$  and  $k$  are real constants.

Assume that

$$\begin{aligned} |h_1(x, y, u)| &\leq |u|^{\ell-1}(d(x, y)w(|u|) + e(x, y)), \\ |\sigma_\infty(x) + \tau(y) - k| &\leq a(x, y), \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where  $a(x, y)$ ,  $d(x, y)$ ,  $e(x, y)$  and  $w(u)$  are defined as in Theorem 2.3. If  $u(x, y)$  is a solution of (3.1) with condition (3.2), then it can be written as (see [1, page 80]):

$$u^\ell(x, y) = \sigma_\infty(x) + \tau(y) - k - \int_0^x \int_y^\infty r(s, t) dt ds - \int_0^x \int_0^y h_1(s, t, u(s, t)) dt ds \tag{3.4}$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . Applying (3.3) to (3.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 |u(x, y)|^\ell &\leq a(x, y) + \int_0^x \int_y^\infty |r(s, t)| dt ds \\
 &\quad + \int_0^x \int_y^\infty |u(s, t)|^{\ell-1} [d(s, t)w(|u(s, t)|) + e(s, t)] dt ds
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.5}$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . An application of Theorem 2.3 to (3.5) yields

$$u(x, y) \leq G^{-1} \left\{ G \left[ \left( a(x, y) + \int_0^x \int_y^\infty r(s, t) dt ds \right)^{1/\ell} + E_\ell(x, y) \right] + \frac{1}{\ell} \int_0^x \int_y^\infty d(s, t) dt ds \right\}
 \tag{3.6}$$

for all  $0 \leq x \leq \tilde{x}_1, \tilde{y}_1 \leq y < \infty$ , where

$$E_\ell(x, y) = \frac{1}{\ell} \int_0^x \int_y^\infty e(s, t) dt ds,
 \tag{3.7}$$

$G$  and  $G^{-1}$  are defined as in Theorem 2.3, and  $\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{y}_1 \in \mathbb{R}_+$  are chosen so that the quantity inside the curly brackets in (3.6) is in the range of  $G$ .

(b) Consider the partial differential equation

$$D_1 D_2 u^\ell(x, y) = h_2(x, y, u(x, y), \log u(x, y)) + D_2 g(x, y, u(x, y)),
 \tag{3.8}$$

$$u^\ell(x, \infty) = \sigma_\infty(x), \quad u^\ell(0, y) = \tau(y), \quad u^\ell(0, \infty) = k,
 \tag{3.9}$$

where  $h_2 \in C(\mathbb{R}_+^3 \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), g \in C(\mathbb{R}_+^3, \mathbb{R}), \sigma_\infty, \tau \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+), \ell, k > 0$  are constants. Assume that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |h_2(x, y, u, \log u)| &\leq u^\ell [f(x, y)w(|\log u|) + e(x, y)], \\
 |g(x, y, u)| &\leq c(x, y)u^\ell, \\
 |\sigma_\infty(x) + \tau(y) - k - \int_0^x g(s, \infty, \sigma_\infty(s)) ds| &\leq a(x, y)
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.10}$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+$  and all  $u > 0$ , where  $a(x, y), c(x, y), e(x, y), f(x, y)$ , and  $w(u)$  are as defined in Corollary 2.8. If  $u(x, y) \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_1)$  is a solution of (3.8) with condition (3.9), then it can be written as (see [1, page 80]):

$$\begin{aligned}
 u^\ell(x, y) &= \sigma_\infty(x) + \tau(y) - k - \int_0^x g(s, \infty, \sigma_\infty(s)) ds \\
 &\quad + \int_0^x g(s, y, u(s, y)) ds - \int_0^x \int_y^\infty h_2(s, t, u(s, t), \log u(s, t)) dt ds
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.11}$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+$ .

Applying (3.10) to (3.11), we obtain

$$u^\ell(x, y) \leq a(x, y) + \int_0^x c(s, y) u^\ell(s, y) ds + \int_0^x \int_y^\infty u^\ell(s, t) [f(s, t) w(\log u(s, t)) + e(s, t)] dt ds \quad (3.12)$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . An application of Corollary 2.8 to (3.12) yields

$$u(x, y) \leq \exp \left( G^{-1} \left\{ G \left[ \frac{1}{\ell} \log(p^*(x, y) a(x, y)) + E_\ell(x, y) \right] + \frac{1}{\ell} P^*(x, y) \int_0^x \int_y^\infty f(s, t) dt ds \right\} \right) \quad (3.13)$$

for all  $0 \leq x \leq \tilde{x}_2, \tilde{y}_2 \leq y < \infty$ , where

$$p^*(x, y) = 1 + \int_0^x c(s, y) \exp \left( \int_s^x c(m, y) dm \right) ds, \quad (3.14)$$

$G, G^{-1}$  are as defined in Corollary 2.8,  $E_\ell(x, y)$  is as defined in Application (a) above, and  $\tilde{x}_2, \tilde{y}_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+$  are chosen so that the quantity inside the curly brackets in (3.13) is in the range of  $G$ .

## Acknowledgments

This research is partially supported by the Research Grants Council of the Hong Kong SAR, China (Project nos. HKU7130/00P and HKU7040/03P). The second author is partially supported by NSF of Guangdong Province (no. 011471) and Guangdong Province Education Bureau (no. 0176) of China.

## References

- [1] D. Bařnov and P. Simeonov, *Integral Inequalities and Applications*, Mathematics and Its Applications (East European Series), vol. 57, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 1992.
- [2] W. S. Cheung, *Some retarded Gronwall-Bellman-Ou-Iang-type inequalities and applications to initial boundary value problems*, preprint.
- [3] W. S. Cheung and Q. H. Ma, *Nonlinear retarded integral inequalities for functions in two independent variables*, to appear in J. Con. Appl. Math.
- [4] S. S. Dragomir and Y.-H. Kim, *On certain new integral inequalities and their applications*, JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **3** (2002), no. 4, article 65, 8 pp.
- [5] ———, *Some integral inequalities for functions of two variables*, Electron. J. Differential Equations **2003** (2003), no. 10, 13 pp.
- [6] O. Lipovan, *A retarded integral inequality and its applications*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **285** (2003), no. 2, 436–443.
- [7] Q. H. Ma and L. Debnath, *A more generalized Gronwall-like integral inequality with applications*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **2003** (2003), no. 15, 927–934.
- [8] Q. H. Ma and E. H. Yang, *Generalizations of Pachpatte's two-variable nonlinear integral inequalities*, Acta Math. Sinica **43** (2000), no. 5, 813–820.
- [9] ———, *On some new nonlinear delay integral inequalities*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **252** (2000), no. 2, 864–878.

- [10] F. W. Meng and W. N. Li, *On some new integral inequalities and their applications*, Appl. Math. Comput. **148** (2004), no. 2, 381–392.
- [11] D. S. Mitrinović, J. E. Pečarić, and A. M. Fink, *Inequalities Involving Functions and Their Integrals and Derivatives*, Mathematics and Its Applications (East European Series), vol. 53, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 1991.
- [12] L. Ou-Iang, *The boundedness of solutions of linear differential equations  $y'' + A(t)y = 0$* , Adv. Math. **3** (1957), 409–415 (Chinese).
- [13] B. G. Pachpatte, *On a certain inequality arising in the theory of differential equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **182** (1994), no. 1, 143–157.
- [14] ———, *On some new inequalities related to certain inequalities in the theory of differential equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **189** (1995), no. 1, 128–144.
- [15] ———, *Inequalities for Differential and Integral Equations*, Mathematics in Science and Engineering, vol. 197, Academic Press, California, 1998.
- [16] ———, *On some fundamental integral inequalities and their discrete analogues*, JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **2** (2001), no. 2, article 15, 13 pp.
- [17] P. Y. H. Pang and R. P. Agarwal, *On an integral inequality and its discrete analogue*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **194** (1995), no. 2, 569–577.
- [18] E. H. Yang, *A new integral inequality with power nonlinearity and its discrete analogue*, Acta Math. Appl. Sinica (English Ser.) **17** (2001), no. 2, 233–239.

Wing-Sum Cheung: Department of Mathematics, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong

*E-mail address:* wscheung@hku.hk

Qing-Hua Ma: Faculty of Information Science and Technology, Guangdong University of Foreign Studies, Guangzhou 510420, China

*E-mail address:* gdqhma@21cn.com