

The HKU Scholars Hub

The University of Hong Kong



Title	Response of bacterioplankton community structures to hydrological conditions and anthropogenic pollution in contrasting subtropical environments
Author(s)	Zhang, R; Lau, SCK; Ki, JS; Thiyagarajan, V; Qian, PY
Citation	Fems Microbiology Ecology, 2009, v. 69 n. 3, p. 449-460
Issued Date	2009
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/10722/65596
Rights	Creative Commons: Attribution 3.0 Hong Kong License

1	Response of bacterioplankton community structures to hydrological
2	conditions and anthropogenic pollution in contrasting subtropical
3	environments
4	Rui Zhang, Stanley C. K. Lau, Jang-Seu Ki <sup>§</sup> , Vengatesen Thiyagarajan and Pei-Yuan
5	Qian <sup>*</sup>
6	
7	Department of Biology and Coastal Marine Laboratory, The Hong Kong University of
8	Science and Technology, Hong Kong SAR, China PR
9	
10	<sup>§</sup> Present address: Molecular and Environmental Bioscience, Graduate School,
11	Hanyang University, Seoul, KR 133-791
12	
13	* Corresponding author: Prof. Pei-Yuan Qian
14	Tel: (852) 2358-7331 Fax: (852) 2358-1559 E-mail: boqianpy@ust.hk
15	
16	Key Words: bacterioplankton, community structure, coastal environments,
17	hydrological conditions, anthropogenic pollution
18	
19	Running title: Bacterioplankton community of contrasting coastal waters
20	

### 1 Abstract

Bacterioplankton community structures under contrasting subtropical marine 2 3 environments (Hong Kong waters) were analyzed using 16S rRNA gene denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) and subsequent sequencing of predominant 4 5 bands for samples collected bimonthly from 2004 to 2006 at five stations. Generally 6 bacterial abundance was significantly higher in summer than in winter. The general 7 seasonal variations of bacterial community structure as indicated by cluster analysis 8 of DGGE pattern were best correlated with temperature at most stations except for the 9 station close to a sewage discharge outfall, which was best explained by pollution 10 indicating parameters (e.g. biochemical oxygen demand). Anthropogenic pollutions 11 appear to have affected presence and intensity of DGGE bands at the stations 12 receiving discharge of primarily treated sewage. Relative abundance of major bacterial species, calculated by relative intensity of DGGE bands after PCR 13 amplification, also indicated the effects of hydrological or seasonal variations and 14 15 sewage discharges. For the first time, a systematic molecular fingerprinting analysis of bacterioplankton community composition was carried out along the environmental 16 17 and pollution gradient in subtropical marine environment and suggest that hydrological conditions and anthropogenic pollutions altered total bacterial 18 community as well as dominant bacterial groups. 19 20

## 1 Introduction

2	In aquatic ecosystems, ubiquitous bacterioplankton is one of the major
3	components of food webs and play key roles in biogeochemical cycles and energy
4	flow. In the past two decades, various molecular techniques, such as denaturing
5	gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE), terminal restriction fragment length
6	polymorphism, and automated ribosomal intergenic spacer analysis, have been used to
7	quantify microbial biodiversity. Previous evidence indicated that bacterioplankton
8	often show clear spatial patterns in terms of their distribution, abundance, and
9	phylogenetic diversity in marine ecosystems, which are affected by both
10	hydrodynamics and other anthropogenic factors. For example, Crump et al. (2004)
11	showed a strong influence of residence time on microbial biogeography along an
12	estuarine salinity gradient. Riemann and Middelboe (2002) showed pronounced
13	differences in bacterial community along a transect crossing the Skagerrak-Kattegat
14	front. General ecological theories, e.g. taxa-area relationships, were also verified in
15	microbial communities (Bell, et al., 2005; Horner-Devine, et al., 2004). It appears that
16	bacteria in aquatic ecosystems also have definable biogeography similar to that of
17	plants and animals (Martiny, et al., 2006; Fuhrman, et al., 2008).
18	In comparison to the work on spatial variations, there have been fewer studies on
19	temporal variations of marine bacterioplankton that cover the whole seasonal cycle in
20	coastal waters. For example, Pinhassi and Hagström (2000) examined the seasonal
21	distribution of marine bacterioplankton in the northern Baltic Sea using
22	whole-genome hybridization. Schauer et al. (2003) observed that the taxonomic

1	composition of the bacterioplankton in an oligotrophic coastal system of NW
2	Mediterranean Sea changed gradually throughout the year. Morris et al. (2005)
3	revealed temporal trends of bacterioplankton lineages in North Atlantic Ocean using
4	T-RFLP and quantitative rRNA hybridization. Kan et al. (2006) observed variable and
5	stable bacterial communities in the Chesapeake Bay in winter and summer,
6	respectively. Fuhrman et al. (2006) provided statistically robust demonstration of
7	temporal patterns of bacterioplankton in the coast of southern California and indicated
8	the biogeography of bacterioplankton might modulate function and response of
9	ecosystem. Notably, to our best knowledge, no seasonal study was performed in
10	subtropical coastal environments and/or with complex natural and anthropogenic
11	influence, e.g. sewage pollution.
12	Hong Kong (22° N, 113-114° E; Fig. 1), located at the southern coast of China,
13	has typical subtropical coastal environments with complex and seasonally varying
14	hydrography. A large amount of freshwater (annual flow of $308 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^3$ ) discharged
15	from the Pearl River to the western waters of Victoria Harbor, Hong Kong creates a
16	sharp environmental gradient across the harbor: salinity increases but nutrient loading
17	decreases from the west to the east (Yung, et al., 1999). The eastern areas of Hong
18	Kong are predominantly affected by high salinity and nutrient-poor water from the
19	South China Sea. In addition, the middle part of Victoria Harbor, the water quality is
20	severely affected as a consequence of rapid population growth and economic
21	development, which introduced sewage discharge in the last several decades (Yung, et
22	al., 1999). Hence, there are strong spatial and seasonal changes in the profiles of

1	nutrient, salinity, and other environmental factor in Hong Kong waters (Connell, et al.,
2	1998; Yin, 2003). These make Hong Kong waters to be a good system to study
3	microbial biogeography of subtropical coastal environments. In this study, we used
4	DGGE to study the changes in bacterioplankton community structure and relative
5	abundance of major bacterioplankton species in Hong Kong waters over a period of
6	two years (2004-2006). We aimed to illustrate the possible relationship between these
7	changes and various environmental parameters under contrasting environmental
8	conditions.
9	
10	Materials and Methods
11	Station characterization and sampling
12	We selected 5 sampling sites in Hong Kong waters based on their environmental
13	characteristics, namely, Tung Lung Chau (TLC), Victoria Harbor East (VHE), Victoria
14	Harbor (VH), Victoria Harbor West (VHW), and Peng Chau (PC) (Fig. 1). According
15	to the results of a long-term monitoring by the Hong Kong Government
16	(http://www.epd.gov.hk/), TLC is a meso-trophic environment; PC is a nutrient-rich
17	estuarine environment; and VHE, VH and VHW are anthropogenically
18	nutrient-polluted stations. Detailed sampling station information (location, depth, etc.)
19	was shown previously (Zhang, et al., 2007). At each sampling station, 6 liters (1 L
20	each for each replicate) of seawater from the surface (1 m below surface) and the
21	
	bottom (1 m above bottom) of the sea were collected bi-monthly from June 2004 to

polycarbonate membrane (47 mm diameter, Millipore) and subsequently a

1

2	0.22-µm-pore-size membrane (47 mm diameter, Millipore) to collect particle-attached
3	and free-living bacterioplankton, respectively. The membranes were immersed into
4	0.8 ml of extraction buffer (0.1 M of Tris-HCl, 0.1 M of Na <sub>2</sub> -EDTA, 0.1 M of sodium
5	phosphate, 1.5 M of NaCl, 1% of CTAB) and stored on dry ice until DNA extraction.
6	
7	Determination of environmental parameters and bacterial abundance
8	Temperature, salinity, pH, and dissolved oxygen content (DO) in the water column
9	were measured in situ using an YSI 6600 Sonde. The concentration of nutrients
10	including $NH_4^+$ , $NO_2^-$ , $NO_3^-$ , total phosphate (TP), silica (Si) was determined with a
11	Skalar San autoanalyzer for both the surface and the bottom water samples after
12	filtration through 0.7 $\mu$ m GF/F (Whatman) filters (Knap, 1996). The concentration of
13	total nitrogen (TN) and dissolved nitrogen (DN) was measured with a Shimadzu TOC
14	analyzer, according to the protocols described by Knap et al. (1996). Suspended solid
15	content, turbidity, chlorophyll $a$ (chl $a$ ) concentration, and biochemical oxygen
16	demand (BOD <sub>5</sub> ) were obtained from the Environmental Protection Department of
17	Hong Kong ( <u>http://www.epd.gov.hk/</u> ).
18	Fifty ml of each seawater sample were fixed with 4% of formaldehyde (final
19	concentration) and stored on dry ice for the quantification of bacterial abundance.
20	Bacterial abundances were determined using flow cytometry (COULTER EPICS XL,

21 Beckman) and SYBR Green I (Invitrogen) staining according to the methods

22 described by Gasol and del Giorgio (2000).

## 2 **DNA extraction and PCR**

3	Total DNA of particle-attached and free-living bacteria on the filters was extracted
4	and purified using proteinase K and sodium dodecyl sulfate concomitant with
5	chloroform extraction and isopropanol precipitation, following the protocol described
6	in details in Zhang et al. (2008). Bacterial 16S rRNA genes for DGGE were amplified
7	by a touch-down PCR program using the primer set 341F (5'-CCT ACG GGA GGC
8	AGC AG-3') and 907R (5'-CCG TCA ATT CMT TTG AGT TT-3') with a GC-clamp
9	attached to the forward primer ((Muyzer, et al., 1993; Muyzer, et al., 2004). The PCR
10	reaction mixtures (50 µl) contained 2 µl of template DNA, $1 \times rTaq$ buffer (TaKaRa),
11	$0.2~\mu M$ of each primer, 100 $\mu M$ of each deoxyribonucleoside triphosphate, 2.5 U of
12	rTaq DNA polymerase (TaKaRa). The amplification protocol included a denaturing
13	step at 95°C for 5 min, 10 touch-down cycles at 95°C for 30 sec, 65-55°C for 30 sec
14	(-1°C per cycle) and 72°C for 30 sec, 15 normal cycles at 95°C for 30 sec, 55°C for
15	30 sec and 72°C for 30 sec, and a final extension step of 72°C for 10 min.

16

### 17 DGGE and sequencing analysis

Similar to our previous study (Zhang, *et al.*, 2007), random checking indicated that
DGGE patterns of samples collected from surface and bottom seawater, as well as
samples from 6 replicates, were highly similar (Data not shown). Therefore, DGGE
analyses for large amount of samples, and subsequent statistical analyses based on
DGGE patterns, were performed using PCR products amplified from combined

ł	L	,	
į	2	١.	
ę	L	2	

1	environmental DNA from 6 replicates of surface and bottom samples at each station.
2	DGGE was carried out with a Bio-Rad Protean II system. PCR products were loaded
3	onto a 8% polyacrylamide gel with denaturing gradient of 45-75% (100% denaturant
4	= 7 M urea, 40% (vol/vol) formamide) and electrophoresis was performed at 125 V
5	for 18 h at 60°C in 1×TAE buffer. After electrophoresis, the gel was stained for 20
6	min using SYBR Gold (1:1,000 dilution; Invitrogen) and photographed with an Alpha
7	Imager 2000 (Alpha-Innotech-Corporation). The middle portion of each selected
8	DGGE band was excised, washed with Milli-Q water, and incubated in 50 $\mu l$ of
9	Milli-Q water at room temperature for 4 h. Two $\mu$ l of DNA from each excised band
10	were used as the template for the same PCR-DGGE analysis to check for the band
11	position and purity. PCR products were then purified and cloned into the vector with a
12	TOPO TA Cloning Kit (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The
13	insertion of DNA fragments was confirmed by the same PCR-DGGE procedure. The
14	16S rRNA genes were sequenced from both ends using the primers M-13F and
15	M-13R with MegaBACE 500 (Amersham). The nucleotide sequences obtained with
16	the two primers were assembled using the Sequencher 4.2 (Gene Codes Corporation).
17	Phylogenetic affiliation of sequenced DGGE bands was determined by ARB
18	software (http://www.arb-home.de/; Ludwig, et al., 2004). Sequences from DGGE gel,
19	as well as their close relatives determined with BLASTN program on NCBI
20	homepage (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/), were input into ARB to update the
21	database (SLIVA Release 93). Sequence alignment was manually modified and the
22	neighbor-joining phylogenetic tree was constructed with bootstrapping of 1,000

1 replicates.

2

#### 3 Data analysis

Previous studies suggest that major bands on DGGE gel represented dominant 4 5 bacterial species in *in situ* environments and band intensity was directly related with the relative abundance of corresponding bacterial species within the sample (Murray, 6 7 et al., 1996; Fromin, et al., 2002). In the current study, we used identical experimental 8 protocols for all samples collected in two years, in which the biases introduced during 9 DNA extraction and PCR amplification were supposed to occur homogeneously. The 10 standardized protocols for all samples also made it possible to use band intensity as 11 relatively abundance of OTUs for calculation of diversity index and comparison 12 among samples (Fromin, et al., 2002). DGGE band position and intensity were determined using a GelCompar II software package (Applied Maths) and were 13 manually modified. Band matching was performed with 1.00% position tolerance and 14 1.00% optimization. 15 Cluster analysis for comparison of bacterial community structures was performed 16

17 based on the Pearson similarity correlation and the Ward dendrograming method in

18 GelCompar II software package. The relationship between the measured

19 environmental parameters and the bacterial community structure revealed by DGGE

- 20 was studied using BIOENV analysis provided in PRIMER 5 software. BIOENV
- analysis selects the environmental parameters that may best explain the community
- 22 pattern, maximizing the correlation between their respective similarity matrices with

1	application of a weighted Spearman's correlation coefficient.
2	
3	Nucleotide sequence accession numbers
4	The 16S rRNA gene sequences obtained in this study were deposited in the GenBank
5	under the following accession numbers: EF655903-EF655910.
6	
7	Results
8	Environmental characterization
9	As expected, Hong Kong waters showed clear seasonal patterns of temperature,
10	salinity, DO, and Chl a (Supplementary materials Fig. S1). Water temperature at all
11	five stations was usually higher in summer ( $26.5 \pm 1.0$ °C in Jun, Aug, and Oct) than
12	in winter (19.9 $\pm$ 1.7 °C in Dec, Feb, and Apr). Salinity decreased from the eastern
13	$(34.1 \pm 0.9 \text{ psu at TLC})$ to the western side $(31.7 \pm 2.5 \text{ psu at PC})$ and the variations
14	between summer and winter were clearer at PC than at TLC. DO concentration
15	increased from $5.5 \pm 0.8$ mg/L in summer to $7.5 \pm 1.1$ mg/L in winter. TLC always
16	showed lower concentrations of nutrients and BOD <sub>5</sub> than other four stations did
17	(Supplementary materials Fig. S1). Chl a was consistently low at TLC and higher in
18	some summer months at other four stations. Most environmental parameters (except
19	salinity, DO, suspended solids, and turbidity) of surface and bottom seawaters did not
20	differ largely and stratification was only observed in summer (Supplementary material
21	Fig. S1).

Bacterial abundances, determined by flow cytometry, varied from  $0.18 \times 10^6$  to

1	1

1	$2.56 \times 10^6$ in the surface seawater and from $0.16 \times 10^6$ to $2.34 \times 10^6$ in the bottom
2	seawater. Bacterial abundance also showed clear seasonal trends with higher
3	abundances from Apr to Oct and lower in Dec and Feb (Supplementary material Fig.
4	S2; One-way ANOVA, p<0.05). However, the spatial difference of bacterial
5	abundance in Hong Kong waters was not clear in the sampling period.
6	
7	Seasonal pattern of bacterioplankton community
8	The detected number of DGGE bands ranged from 7 to 27 in all samples investigated
9	(Fig. 2). Among 10 temporal patterns investigated [5 stations $\times$ 2 populations
10	(particle-attached and free-living bacteria)], 7 of them showed the highest number of
11	DGGE bands in summer and the lowest in winter from 2004 to 2006. Both
12	particle-attached and free-living bacterial populations from TLC usually showed
13	higher band number in summer (e.g. Jun, Aug, and Oct of 2004 and 2005), resulting a
14	seasonal variation (One-way ANOVA, p<0.05). However, only samples collected in
15	April always showed low band number in both particle-attached and free-living
16	bacteria. Nevertheless, no clear temporal trend was found for samples from the three
17	stations at Victoria Harbor (VHE, VH, and VHW) and PC. At the same time,
18	particle-attached and free-living samples did not always showed the same pattern at
19	the same station. For example, particle-attached bacteria at TLC in June 2005 showed
20	a relatively low apparent diversity (number of DGGE bands) while free-living
21	bacteria at the same sampling time showed a relatively higher diversity. The
22	inconsistency was observed for samples collected in February 2006 as well (Fig. 2).

Zhang et al.

1	Generally, bacterial community structures, revealed by cluster analysis of DGGE
2	pattern, showed clear seasonal patterns except for the western part of Victoria Harbor
3	(Fig. 3). Particle-attached (data not shown) and free-living bacterial (Fig. 3)
4	community structures at TLC, VHE, VH, and PC were grouped into two large clusters
5	mainly according to their sampling seasons. However, weak temporal trends were
6	observed for samples from VHW, where the samples from summer and winter
7	clustered together (Fig. 3). Bacterial community structure was more stable in summer
8	than in winter. Among 10 temporal dynamic patterns of bacterial community structure
9	investigated (5 stations $\times$ 2 populations), samples collected in October and August
10	clustered together in 6 and 5 patterns, respectively. Only 2 patterns showed that the
11	samples collected at the same winter time (e.g. Dec, Feb or Apr) formed same cluster
12	(Fig. 3).
13	BIOENV analysis was used to correlate multivariate DGGE profiles with
14	environmental variables (Table 1). At each station, higher correlation values were
15	obtained for free-living bacteria than for particle-attached bacteria. Temperature
16	showed the highest correlation with bacterial community structure in 9 out of the 10
17	
	correlations (5 stations $\times$ 2 bacterial populations). Furthermore, in two correlations
18	correlations (5 stations $\times$ 2 bacterial populations). Furthermore, in two correlations (particle-attached bacteria from VH and PC, Table 1), temperature was the only
18 19	correlations (5 stations × 2 bacterial populations). Furthermore, in two correlations (particle-attached bacteria from VH and PC, Table 1), temperature was the only parameter that best correlated with bacterial communities. However, at VHW, DO, TP,
18 19 20	correlations (5 stations $\times$ 2 bacterial populations). Furthermore, in two correlations (particle-attached bacteria from VH and PC, Table 1), temperature was the only parameter that best correlated with bacterial communities. However, at VHW, DO, TP, and BOD <sub>5</sub> were observed as factors mostly correlated with bacterial community
18 19 20 21	correlations (5 stations $\times$ 2 bacterial populations). Furthermore, in two correlations (particle-attached bacteria from VH and PC, Table 1), temperature was the only parameter that best correlated with bacterial communities. However, at VHW, DO, TP, and BOD <sub>5</sub> were observed as factors mostly correlated with bacterial community structures, with correlation values of 0.727 and 0.802 for particle-attached and

listed as significant environmental factors in 6 correlations. Nitrogen nutrient (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, 1  $NO_2$ , TN, and DN) was another contributing parameter affecting bacterial community 2 3 structures in Hong Kong waters (Table 1). 4 5 Seasonal pattern of dominant bacterial species 6 In total, eight major DGGE bands were sequenced, based on their intensity and 7 temporal variation, and their relatively abundance, compared to total PCR-amplified 8 bacterial 16S rRNA gene, was calculated (Fig. 4). They accounted for an average of 9 47% of the total band intensity of DGGE gels. Six of them were affiliated to 10 Proteobacteria with three belonged to the gamma subgroup, two to the alpha 11 subgroup and one to an uncultured delta subgroup. Other two DGGE bands were 12 affiliated in Cyanobacteria (Synechococcus sp.) and Bacteroidetes (Cytophaga sp.). Relative density of six out of eight bands (except M-1 and M-2) showed significant 13 seasonal pattern (Fig. 5; One-way ANOVA, p<0.05). 14 15 Sequence of the DGGE band M-1 showed 93% and 91% similarities to the 16S rDNA sequence of an uncultured and a cultured Legionella sp., respectively. 16 17 Phylogenetic analysis based on the ARB database also indicated that it was closely related with a group of Legionella spp. (Fig. 4). M-1 showed a lower occurrence in 18 particle-attached bacteria of three stations of Victoria Harbor than of other populations 19 20 and stations (One-way ANOVA, p<0.001). The highest percentage of M-1 reached 20.3% of PCR-amplified 16S rRNA gene in the sample of free-living population at 21 VHW in October 2005 (Fig. 5). Band M-2 showed a high sequence identity to and 22

1	was clustered with uncultured Roseobacter spp. (Fig. 4). M-2 appeared as one of the
2	major groups with an average percentage of 15.3% of total amplicon in most of both
3	particle-attached and free-living bacterial communities in all the five stations (Fig. 5).
4	Sequences of M-3 and M-4, which shared 97.9% in sequence identity but were clearly
5	separated on DGGE gel, were grouped with Glaciecola spp. from cold environments
6	(Fig. 4). M-3 showed a higher abundance in winter seasons while M-4, in contrast,
7	showed higher percentages in summer seasons (One-way ANOVA, p<0.05).
8	Furthermore, the highest relative abundance of M-3 and M-4 appeared at VHW
9	station. M-5 showed a high sequence identity and close phylogenetic relationship with
10	uncultured Cytophaga spp. and Bacteroidetes spp. from hypersaline ecosystem (Fig.
11	4). The detectable signals of M-5 came from the samples collected at PC and VH
12	(only free-living bacteria) in winter (Fig. 5). M-6 showed a 100% sequence identity to
13	an uncultured Synechococcus sp. (AB294981) and was clearly grouped within a group
14	of cultured Synechococcus spp Significantly, it bloomed in summer, especially for
15	the particle-attached bacterial populations, with the highest percentage (30%)
16	recorded at TLC in August 2004 (Fig. 5; One-way ANOVA, p<0.05). For free-living
17	bacteria, no signal of M-6 was detected for samples from three stations at Victoria
18	Harbor (Fig. 5). M-7 did not have any cultivated relatives with BLASTN search in the
19	Genbank and showed 99% sequence identity with a clone from HOT station, USA. It
20	was abundant in summer season (One-way ANOVA, p<0.001) and showed the highest
21	percentages in particle-attached fractions of VHW (Fig. 5). Despite the low sequence
22	identity (91%), M-8 was related to Azospirillum spp. based on the phylogenetic

1 analysis (Fig. 4) and had higher abundances in summer at VHW (Fig. 5).

2

#### 3 **Discussion**

## 4 Effects of hydrological conditions on bacterial communities in Hong Kong waters

5 The combined effects of annual river discharge, rainfall, and monsoon winds

6 determined the seasonal profiles of temperature, salinity, and other environmental

7 parameters in Hong Kong waters during our sampling period (Supplementary material

8 Fig. S1), which was also recorded in previous studies (Yin, 2002; Yin, 2003). Overall,

9 about 80% of the annual discharge from Pearl River and rainfall occurred in summer

seasons with the maxima in June, July, and August (Yin, 2003). Although easterly

11 winds occur throughout the year, northeasterly to easterly winds blow in winter and

12 southerly to southwesterly winds in summer. As a result, during the period of

13 December to April, the China Coastal Current that originates from the north

14 dominates the Hong Kong coastal water circulation. In summer, the southwest

15 monsoon drives upwelling along the coast, together with the Pearl River discharge

16 and maximal rainfall (Yin, 2003). Furthermore, data of long-term observation from

17 the Environmental Protection Department of HKSAR and other studies indicated

18 three contrasting environments, meso-trophic coast (TLC), anthropogenic polluted

19 coast (VHE, VH, VHW), and eutrophic estuary (PC), were developed from the east to

20 the west along Victoria Harbor in Hong Kong waters, ,. Our previous study showed

21 clear spatial variations of particle-attached and free-living bacterial communities

using DNA fingerprinting and clone library analyses (Zhang, et al., 2007). The

Zhang et al.

16

present study indicated the bacterioplankton in Hong Kong waters showed clear
 seasonal patterns as well.
 Generally, the effects of hydrological conditions on bacterial populations were

observed in Hong Kong waters. Firstly, bacterial abundances differed between 4 5 summer and winter seasons, which are mainly due to the influence of annual variation of temperature (Supplementary material Fig. S1). Clear seasonal patterns of 6 7 community structures of particle-attached and free-living bacterial populations were 8 observed at TLC, VHE, VH, and PC using cluster analysis of DGGE gel (Fig. 3). This 9 indicated substantially different bacterial populations existed in different seasons. Our 10 finding at subtropical Hong Kong waters was consistent with those of global marine 11 environments with totally different hydrological conditions, e.g. the Blanes Bay 12 (Temperate Mediterranean Sea; Alonso-Sáez, et al., 2007; Schauer, et al., 2003, Pinhassi, et al., 2006), the Gulf of Trieste (Temperate Adriatic Sea; Celussi & 13 Cataletto, 2007); the Chesapeake Bay (Subtropical-temperate Atlantic; Kan, et al., 14 15 2006; Crump, et al., 2007; Kan, et al., 2007), the San Pedro Harbor (Subtropical 16 Pacific; Fuhrman, et al., 2006), the Banyuls-sur-mer Bay (Temperate Mediterranean 17 Sea; Ghiglione, et al., 2005), the English Channel (Mary, et al., 2006), the Bermuda Sea (Morris, et al., 2005), the Baltic Sea (Pinhassi & Hagstroem, 2000; Riemann, et 18 al., 2008), the North Sea (Sapp, et al., 2007). Most of the studies related seasonal 19 20 bacterial community dynamics with environmental parameters (e.g. temperature, 21 salinity, etc.). Indeed, in our study, BIOENV analysis showed that temperature was 22 one of driving forces for the variations detected by DGGE (Table 1). However, some

Zhang et al.

1	investigations based on various lake systems showed less or no seasonal pattern of
2	planktonic bacterial composition (Lindstroem, 1998; Yannarell, et al., 2003; Kent, et
3	al., 2004; Yannarell & Triplett, 2005). One possible reason of this contrasting
4	phenomena may be the closed versus open nature of the systems.
5	Furthermore, only samples at TLC showed a clear seasonal pattern of DGGE
6	band number (apparent diversity) of bacterial populations (Fig. 2). Due to the fact that
7	TLC is the cleanest station, we supposed that the clear seasonal pattern of bacterial
8	apparent diversity at TLC came from its pollution conditions and calculation of these
9	ecological parameters from DGGE. The calculation of apparent diversity simply
10	depends on the total number of DGGE bands, which include the weak bands (minor
11	bacterial groups) as well. Bacterial community structure analysis, which was based on
12	the cluster analysis of similarity matrix from DGGE gel pattern, considered the band
13	intensity on DGGE gel (e.g. abundant bacterial groups might show high band
14	intensity; Muyzer & Smalla, 1998; Fromin, et al., 2002). This indicated that the
15	communities of major bacterial groups at TLC, VH, VHE, and PC followed a general
16	seasonal pattern, while other factors (e.g. pollutions, see discussion below)
17	"stimulated or repressed" minor bacterial groups, changing the species richness but
18	not disturbing the seasonal pattern of general bacterial community structures (Fig. 3).
19	Meanwhile, we cannot exclude the facts that bacterial diversity displayed on DGGE
20	gel was not representative of all bacterial community due to limitation of DGGE gel
21	resolution.

# Effects of anthropogenic pollutions on bacterial communities in Hong Kong waters

3	Since 1970s, the Hong Kong waters, especially in Victoria Harbor area, have been
4	severely polluted by domestic sewage and industrial effluents. In 1997, the estimated
5	loading of total BOD, total suspended solids, and total toxic metals into the Harbor
6	area was about 340 tons, 280 tons, and 3000 kg per day, respectively (Yung, et al.,
7	1999). There are 12 outfalls from 11 sewage screening plants and one Stonecutters
8	Island Sewage Treatment Works which discharge about 1.7 million M <sup>-3</sup> primarily
9	treated wastewater into the Harbor (near VHW, Fig. 1). A previous study on spatial
10	diversity of bacterioplankton in the Hong Kong waters strongly indicated the
11	influences of anthropogenic pollutions (Zhang, et al., 2007). For example, the
12	sequences of fecal indicators of Bacteroides and Arcobacter were only observed in the
13	clone libraries from VH, but not from TLC and PC. Temporal patterns of bacterial
14	communities, revealed in the present study, showed possible effects of pollution as
15	well. Bacterial community structure at VHW, the closest station to one of the largest
16	sewage treatment works, was the only one that did not show clear seasonal patterns in
17	bacterial community structures among the five stations. Samples, especially of
18	free-living bacteria, from summer and winter mixed together in the cluster analysis
19	(Fig. 3). Meanwhile, BIOENV analysis showed VHW was the only station in which
20	bacterial community could be highly correlated with DO and BOD <sub>5</sub> (Table 1). This
21	suggested that the consistent and routine discharge of preliminarily treated sewage
22	near VHW substantially affected the bacterial community and disturbed the natural

1	patterns of bacterial community structure produced by seasonal changes of the
2	ecosystem. Furthermore, in the Hong Kong waters, a relatively small scale area,
3	apparent diversity (the number of detectable bands on DGGE gel) showed clear
4	spatial variations for all sampling times (Fig. 2). A simple explanation of the variation
5	was the influence of a consistent and large amount of pollution discharge in Victoria
6	Harbor area. TLC was the least affected by the pollution discharge and might be a
7	reference site in comparison to other stations that have been receiving pollution
8	discharge routinely. The nutrients, along with sewage discharge, might stimulate or
9	repress bacterial growth and, consequently, affected specific groups of bacteria, which
10	appeared as presence or absence of weak bands on DGGE gel. Although only eight
11	major bands were excised and sequenced in the present study, a detailed previous
12	study (Zhang, et al., 2007) in which 28 bands (including weak bands) were sequenced
13	supported the explanation.
14	To our best knowledge, the present study, for the first time, documented the
15	long-term effects (disturbing seasonal pattern of bacterial community structure) of
16	pollutions to marine bacterioplankton. Furthermore, our study indicated that $BOD_5$
17	(combined with other nutrient parameters) maybe an appropriate indicator when
18	considering the anthropogenic effects on microbial biogeography.
19	

## 20 **Dominant bacterial groups in subtropical Hong Kong waters**

21 Previous studies showed that *Roseobacter* spp. and its close relatives were one of the

22 major marine bacterial lineages in coastal areas and played very important roles in

1	global carbon and sulfur cycle and climate (Selje, et al., 2004; Buchan, et al., 2005).
2	Our results indicated that Roseobacter spp. was also abundant in the subtropical
3	coastal Hong Kong area, with a high abundance of M-2 constituting 15.3% (range
4	4.8-27.9%) of the total band intensity in the DGGE profiles (Fig. 5). Furthermore, the
5	present study showed Roseobacter sp. was rather consistently distributed within two
6	summer-winter cycles with a clear temperature variation, which was differed from
7	previous studies (Buchan, et al., 2005; Kan, et al., 2007). Therefore, our study
8	suggested that Roseobacter might play more important roles than what we previously
9	thought in global carbon and sulfur cycle because they might be more widely
10	distributed and less sensitive to temperature changes. However, we (this study and
11	Zhang, et al., 2007) did not recover the other important marine bacterial group SAR
12	11 in Hong Kong waters, although it was observed frequently at coastal area
13	(Pommier, et al., 2005).
14	Clear spatial and temporal patterns were observed for another abundant coastal
15	species, Synechococcus sp. (M-6) (Fig. 5). The high percentages of Synechococcus in
16	particle-attached population (>1.0 $\mu$ m) and at TLC were in good agreement with their
17	cell size and aggregation in <i>in situ</i> environments and the facts that TLC is the most
18	oceanic environments with the least effects of fresh water discharge (Fig. 1).
19	Furthermore, seasonal patterns showed they always appeared in summer in Hong
20	Kong waters (Fig. 5). Previous studies on spatial diversity of total bacteria and
21	temporal dynamics of cyanobacteria using clone library analysis verified the
22	conclusion from DGGE pattern (Zhang, et al., 2007). A recent multiyear investigation

$\mathbf{a}$	1
7	1

1	in the Chesapeake Bay revealed similar temporal distribution pattern of
2	Synechococcus-type of cyanobacteria (Kan, et al., 2007).
3	On DGGE gel, two major bands (M-3 and M-4) were clearly separated and
4	showed different intensity in each samples, although their sequences had 98% of
5	similarity and both were closely related to Glaciecola sp. The different temporal
6	patterns of M-3 and M-4 excluded the possibility that they were from the same
7	bacterial strain. The two Glaciecola spp. averagely accounted for 21% of all bacterial
8	signals on DGGE gel and in some samples (e.g. free-living bacteria at VHW), the
9	percentages were higher than 40%. Phylogenetic analysis indicated that our
10	Glaciecola spp. were similar to those isolated from cold environments. Previously,
11	strains or environmental clones belonging to Glaciecola sp. were usually isolated
12	from polar or sub-polar seas (Bowman, et al., 1998; Brown & Bowman, 2001; Van
13	Trappen, et al., 2004). The only exception was that Alonso-Sáez et al. (2007) found
14	the blooming of Glaciecola from north-west Mediterranean coastal waters sampled in
15	July, 2003. This suggested that some Glaciecola spp. might survive, adapt and bloom
16	in much warmer waters than previously thought. Our study also indicated that
17	bacterial microdiversity might be a possible reason for the adaption of <i>Glaciecola</i> spp.
18	for less than 2 % sequence difference of their 16S rRNA genes. Similar to previous
19	study of Prochlorococcus, the diversification of different "ecotypes" in the same
20	"species" of Glaciecola might help them confounding viral attack and protistan
21	grazing (Rocap, et al., 2003).
22	The possible pollution-related bacteria were detected and showed clear spatial

The possible pollution-related bacteria were detected and showed clear spatial

1	and temporal dynamics. Cytophaga sp. (M-5) was supposed to be an important
2	utilizer of organic matters in the ocean (in the Hong Kong waters, mainly originated
3	from sewage and river discharge), and was critical in carbon budgets and cycles
4	(Kirchman, 2002). Although several studies found that certain Cytophaga spp. showed
5	seasonal patterns with maximum abundance in winter, very few studies investigated
6	their seasonal distribution in marine ecosystems (Riemann & Middelboe, 2002). Our
7	results indicated that Cytophaga sp. was abundant only at PC and VH in winter season
8	(Fig. 5), which was consistent with the observations in fresh water systems (Riemann
9	& Middelboe, 2002). The other major bacterial group in Hong Kong waters was M-1.
10	Although relatively low similarity among M-1 and known Legionella sequences in
11	public database, our study was similar to previous studies (Atlas, 1999) of Legionella
12	spp. that M-1 was more abundant at VHW and VH, which are close to the sewage
13	outfall. The extremely high percentages (about 30%) of Legionella-like bacteria in
14	certain areas (e.g. VHW) at certain times (e.g. Oct, 2005) should be further
15	investigated and evaluated carefully.

## 17 Conclusion

18 Being one of the few long-term spatio-temporal studies on marine bacterioplankton,

19 the present study showed variations of particle-attached and free-living bacterial

- 20 community at different sites with contrasting environments in a subtropical coastal
- 21 area. Possible combined effects of hydrological conditions and anthropogenic
- 22 pollutions on bacterial community were observed: hydrological effects determined the

1	general bacterial community structure while anthropogenic pollutions affected nearby
2	bacterioplankton in Hong Kong waters. Dominant bacterial species, determined by
3	sequencing major DGGE bands and clone library (Zhang, et al., 2007), in Hong Kong
4	waters were Proteobacteria, Cyanobacteria and Bacteroidetes. Temporal variation of
5	eight dominant bacterial species indicated a controlling mechanism of natural and/or
6	anthropogenic influence in coastal area.

#### 1 Acknowledgments

- 2 We would like to thank the Environmental Protection Department, Hong Kong
- 3 Government for providing environmental monitoring data and critical comments from
- 4 Prof. Paul Harrison and Dr. Hongbin Liu. Technical assistances of Tam Yin Ki, On On
- 5 Lee, Ying Xu, Xiangcheng Yuan, Dongmei Li, and Bingzhang Chen were grateful
- 6 appreciated. This study was funded by HKSAR governmental grant (AoE04/04-02) to

7 P-Y Qian.

## 1 **References**

2	Alonso-Sáez L, Balagué V, Sà EL, et al. (2007) Seasonality in bacterial diversity in
3	north-west Mediterranean coastal waters: assessment through clone libraries,
4	fingerprinting and FISH. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 60: 98-112.
5	Atlas RM (1999) Legionella: from environmental habitats to disease pathology,
6	detection and control. Environ. Microbiol. 1: 283-293.
7	Bell T, Ager D, Song JI, Newman JA, Thompson IP, Lilley AK & van der Gast CJ
8	(2005) Larger islands house more bacterial taxa. Science 308: 1884-1884.
9	Bowman JP, McCammon SA, Brown JL & McMeekin TA (1998) Glaciecola punicea
10	gen. nov., sp. nov. and Glaciecola pallidula gen. nov., sp. nov.: psychrophilic
11	bacteria from Antarctic sea-ice habitats Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 48: 1213-1222.
12	Brown MV & Bowman JP (2001) A molecular phylogenetic survey of sea-ice
13	microbial communities (SIMCO). FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 35: 267-275.
14	Buchan A, Gonzalez JM & Moran MA (2005) Overview of the marine Roseobacter
15	lineage. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 71: 5665-5677.
16	Celussi M & Cataletto B (2007) Annual dynamics of bacterioplankton assemblages in
17	the Gulf of Trieste (Northern Adriatic Sea). Gene 406: 113-123.
18	Connell DW, Wu RSS, Richardson BJ, Leung K, Lam PSK & Connell PA (1998)
19	Occurrence of persistent organic contaminants and related substances in Hong
20	Kong marine areas: an overview. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 36: 376-384.
21	Crump BC, Hopkinson CS, Sogin ML & Hobbie JE (2004) Microbial biogeography
22	along an estuarine salinity gradient: combined influences of bacterial growth

1	and residence time. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 70: 1494-1505.
2	Crump BC, Peranteau C, Beckingham B & Cornwell JC (2007) Respiratory
3	Succession and Community Succession of Bacterioplankton in Seasonally
4	Anoxic Estuarine Waters? Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 73: 6802-6810.
5	Fromin N, Hamelin J, Tarnawski S, et al. (2002) Statistical analysis of denaturing gel
6	electrophoresis (DGE) fingerprinting patterns. Environmental Microbiology 4:
7	634-643.
8	Fuhrman JA, Hewson I, Schwalbach MS, Steele JA, Brown MV & Naeem S (2006)
9	Annually reoccurring bacterial communities are predictable from ocean
10	conditions. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 103: 13104-13109.
11	Fuhrman JA, Steele JA, Hewson I, Schwalbach MS, Brown MV, Green JL & Brown
12	JH (2008) A latitudinal diversity gradient in planktonic marine bacteria. Proc.
13	Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 105: 7774-7778.
14	Gasol JM & Del Giorgio PA (2000) Using flow cytometry for counting natural
15	planktonic bacteria and understanding the structure of planktonic bacterial
16	communities. Sci. Mar. 64: 197-224.
17	Ghiglione JFC, Larcher M & Lebaron P (2005) Spatial and temporal scales of
18	variation in bacterioplankton community structure in the NW Mediterranean
19	Sea. Aquat. Microb. Ecol. 40: 229-240.
20	Horner-Devine MC, Lage M, Hughes JB & Bohannan BJM (2004) A taxa-area
21	relationship for bacteria. Nature 432: 750-753.
22	Kan J, Wang K & Chen F (2006) Temporal variation and detection limit of an

1	estuarine bacterioplankton community analyzed by denaturing gradient gel
2	electrophoresis(DGGE). Aquat. Microb. Ecol. 42: 7-18.
3	Kan J, Crump BC, Wang K & Chen F (2006) Bacterioplankton community in
4	Chesapeake Bay: Predictable or random assemblages. Limnol. Oceanogr. 51:
5	2157-2169.
6	Kan J, Suzuki MT, Wang K, Evans SE & Chen F (2007) High temporal but low
7	spatial heterogeneity of bacterioplankton in the Chesapeake Bay. Appl. Environ.
8	Microbiol. <b>73</b> : 6776-6789.
9	Kent AD, Jones SE, Yannarell AC, Graham JM, Lauster GH, Kratz TK & Triplett EW
10	(2004) Annual Patterns in Bacterioplankton Community Variability in a Humic
11	Lake. Microb. Ecol. 48: 550-560.
12	Kirchman DL (2002) The ecology of Cytophaga-Flavobacteria in aquatic
13	environments. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 39: 91-100.
14	Knap A, Michaels, A., Close, A., Ducklow, H. and Dickson, A. (1996) Protocols for
15	the Joint Global Ocean Flux Study (JGOFS) Core Measurements, JGOFS
16	Report No. 19.
17	Lindstroem ES (1998) Bacterioplankton community composition in a boreal forest
18	lake. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 27: 163-174.
19	Ludwig W, Strunk O, Westram R, et al. (2004) ARB: a software environment for
20	sequence data. Nucleic Acids Res. 32: 1363-1371.
21	Martiny JBH, Bohannan BJM, Brown JH, et al. (2006) Microbial biogeography:
22	putting microorganisms on the map. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 4: 102-112.

1	Mary I, Cummings DG, Biegala IC, Burkill PH, Archer SD & Zubkov MV (2006)
2	Seasonal dynamics of bacterioplankton community structure at a coastal station
3	in the western English Channel. Aquat. Microb. Ecol. 42: 119-126.
4	Morris RM, Vergin KL, Cho JC, Rappe MS, Carlson CA & Giovannoni SJ (2005)
5	Temporal and spatial response of bacterioplankton lineages to annual
6	convective overturn at the Bermuda Atlantic Time-series Study site. Limnol.
7	<i>Oceanogr.</i> <b>50</b> : 1687-1696.
8	Murray AE, Hollibaugh JT & Orrego C (1996) Phylogenetic compositions of
9	bacterioplankton from two California estuaries compared by denaturing
10	gradient gel electrophoresis of 16S rDNA fragments. Appl. Environ. Microbiol.
11	<b>62</b> : 2676-2680.
12	Muyzer G & Smalla K (1998) Application of denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis
13	(DGGE) and temperature gradient gel electrophoresis (TGGE) in microbial
14	ecology. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 73: 127-141.
15	Muyzer G, de Waal EC & Uitterlinden AG (1993) Profiling of complex microbial
16	populations by denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis analysis of polymerase
17	chain reaction-amplified genes coding for 16S rRNA. Applied and
18	Environmental Microbiology <b>59</b> : 695-700.
19	Muyzer G, Brinkhoff T, Nubel U, Santegoeds C, Schafer H & Wawer C (2004)
20	Denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) in microbial ecology.
21	Molecular microbial ecology manual, (Akkermans ADL, Van Elsas, J.D., and
22	De Bruijn, F.J., ed.^eds.), p.^pp. 743-769. Kluwer Academic Publishers

1	Dordrecht, Netherlands.
2	Pinhassi J & Hagstroem A (2000) Seasonal succession in marine bacterioplankton.
3	Aquat. Microb. Ecol. 21: 245-256.
4	Pinhassi J, Gomez-Consarnau L, Alonso-Sáez L, Sala MM, Vidal M, Pedrós-Alió C &
5	Gasol JM (2006) Seasonal changes in bacterioplankton nutrient limitation and
6	their effects on bacterial community composition in the NW Mediterranean Sea.
7	Aquat. Microb. Ecol. 44: 241-252.
8	Pommier T, Pinhassi J & Hagstroem A (2005) Biogeographic analysis of ribosomal
9	RNA clusters from marine bacterioplankton. Aquat. Microb. Ecol. 41: 79-89.
10	Riemann L & Middelboe M (2002) Stability of bacterial and viral community
11	compositions in Danish coastal waters as depicted by DNA fingerprinting
12	techniques. Aquat. Microb. Ecol. 27: 219-232.
13	Riemann L, Leitet C, Pommier T, Simu K, Holmfeldt K, Larsson U & Hagstr?m
14	(2008) The Native Bacterioplankton Community in the Central Baltic Sea Is
15	Influenced by Freshwater Bacterial Species?? Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 74:
16	503-515.
17	Rocap G, Larimer FW, Lamerdin J, et al. (2003) Genome divergence in two
18	Prochlorococcus ecotypes reflects oceanic niche differentiation. Nature 424:
19	1042-1047.
20	Sapp M, Wichels A, Wiltshire KH & Gerdts G (2007) Bacterial community dynamics
21	during the winter-spring transition in the North Sea. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 59:
22	622-637.

1	Schauer M, Balague V, Pedros-Alio C & Massana R (2003) Seasonal changes in the
2	taxonomic composition of bacterioplankton in a coastal oligotrophic system.
3	Aquat. Microb. Ecol. 31: 163-174.
4	Selje N, Simon M & Brinkhoff T (2004) A newly discovered Roseobacter cluster in
5	temperate and polar oceans. Nature 427: 445-448.
6	Van Trappen S, Tan TL, Yang J, Mergaert J & Swings J (2004) Glaciecola polaris sp.
7	nov., a novel budding and prosthecate bacterium from the Arctic Ocean, and
8	emended description of the genus Glaciecola. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 54:
9	1765-1771.
10	Yannarell AC & Triplett EW (2005) Geographic and environmental sources of
11	variation in lake bacterial community composition. Appl. Environ. Microbiol.
12	<b>71</b> : 227-239.
13	Yannarell AC, Kent AD, Lauster GH, Kratz TK & Triplett EW (2003) Temporal
14	Patterns in Bacterial Communities in Three Temperate Lakes of Different
15	Trophic Status. Microb. Ecol. 46: 391-405.
16	Yin K (2002) Monsoonal influence on seasonal variations in nutrients and
17	phytoplankton biomass in coastal waters of Hong Kong in the vicinity of the
18	Pearl River estuary. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 245: 111-122.
19	Yin K (2003) Influence of monsoons and oceanographic processes on red tides in
20	Hong Kong waters. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 262: 27-41.
21	Yung YK, Yau K, Wong CK, Chan KK, Yeung I, Kueh CSW & Broom MJ (1999)
22	Some observations on the changes of physico-chemical and biological factors

1	in Victoria Harbour and vicinity, Hong Kong, 1988-1996. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 39:
2	315-325.
3	Zhang R, Thiyagarajan V & Qian P-Y (2008) Evaluation of terminal-restriction
4	fragment length polymorphism analysis in contrasting marine environments.
5	FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 65: 169-178.
6	Zhang R, Liu B, Lau SCK, Ki JS & Qian PY (2007) Particle-attached and free-living
7	bacterial communities in a contrasting marine environment: Victoria Harbor,
8	Hong Kong. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 61: 496-508.
9	

2 community structure and environmental (Env.) factors. T: temperature, Sal:

3 salinity, Turb: turbidity, SS: suspended solid, Chl *a*: chlorophyll *a*, DO: dissolved

4 oxygen, BOD<sub>5</sub>: 5-day biochemical oxygen demand, DN: dissolved nitrogen, TN:

- 5 total nitrogen, TP: total phosphate.
- 6

	Particl Corr	e-attached bacteria	Free-liv	ving bacteria Env. factors
TLC	0.551	T, Sal, $NH_4^+$ , $NO_2^-$ , Turb	0.705	T, $NH_4^+$ , Turb
	0.493	T, $NH_4^+$ , Turb	0.693	T, $NH_4^+$ , Si, Turb
	0.491	T, $NH_4^+$ , $NO_2^-$ , Turb	0.670	$NH_4^+$ , Turb
VHE	0.701	T, SS	0.755	T, NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> , DN, SS
	0.643	T, Si, SS	0.754	T, NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> , Si, TN, SS
	0.630	T, NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> , DN, SS	0.751	T, Sal, NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> , DN, SS
VH	0.500	T	0.706	T, Sal, NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> , SS
	0.467	T, Sal	0.703	T, NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> , TP, SS, Chl <i>a</i>
	0.449	T, Sal, NO <sub>2</sub> -	0.687	T, Sal, pH, NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> , SS
VHW	0.727	T, DO, NH4 <sup>+</sup> , TP, Chl <i>a</i>	0.802	DO, NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> , TP, Turb, BOD <sub>5</sub>
	0.727	T, TP	0.787	DO, NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> , NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> , TP, BOD <sub>5</sub>
	0.727	T, DO, TP	0.779	T, NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> , NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> , TP, BOD <sub>5</sub>
PC	0.512	T	0.528	T, NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup>
	0.506	T, Si	0.502	T, Sal, NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup>
	0.467	T, Sal	0.484	T, Sal, NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> , Si

1	Fig. 1. Map showing five sampling stations in Hong Kong waters. The large sewage
2	treatment works is indicated with $\bigstar$ (near VHW). TLC: Tung Lung Chau;
3	VHE: Victoria Harbor East; VH: Victoria Harbor; VHW: Victoria Harbor West;
4	PC: Peng Chau.
5	Fig. 2. Temporal variations of particle-attached bacterial apparent diversity (number
6	of DGGE bands) at Tung Lung Chau (TLC) and Victoria Harbor (VH) of
7	Hong Kong waters.
8	Fig. 3. DGGE patterns and cluster analyses of free-living bacterial community
9	structure at Tung Lung Chau (TLC) and Victoria Harbor West (VHW) stations
10	in Hong Kong waters from 2004 to 2006. Samples collected in summer (Jun,
11	Aug, and Oct) and winter (Dec, Feb, and Apr) were indicated.
12	Fig. 4. Neighbour-joining phylogenetic tree for bacterial 16S rRNA gene sequences
13	retrieved from DGGE. Bootstrap values (other than 100% were indicated at
14	nodes) were based on an analysis of 1,000 re-sampling using ARB software.
15	The scale bar represents 10% nucleotide sequence difference. Bacillus
16	naganoensis (Firmicutes) was used as an out-group.
17	Fig. 5. Temporal patterns of relative abundance of sequenced DGGE bands at five
18	stations in Hong Kong waters. Relative abundance was indicated with the
19	percentage of intensity of each DGGE band to the intensity of all DGGE bands
20	of each sample. The possible phylogenetic affiliations for sequences from
21	DGGE gel were indicated. Refer to Fig. 1 for site abbreviations.
22	

1 Fig. 1.



- 3
- 4





1 Fig. 3.

EEBBBBBP       IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	Winter Summer
	Winter Summer Winter Summer Summer Winter Summer

Sampling time

36

2

1 Fig. 4.



3

1 Fig. 5

