

Title	Updates in the treatment of chronic hepatitis B
Author(s)	Lai, CL; Fung, J; Yuen, MF
Citation	Hong Kong Medical Diary, 2008, v. 13 n. 6, p. 15-19
Issued Date	2008
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/10722/57536
Rights	Creative Commons: Attribution 3.0 Hong Kong License

Updates in the Treatment of Chronic Hepatitis B

Prof. Ching-lung Lai, MD Dr. James Fung, MBChB, FRACP Dr. Man-fung Yuen, MD, PhD



Prof. Ching-lung Lai Dr. James Fung

g Dr. Man-fung Yuen

Background

Approximately 400 million people worldwide are infected with chronic hepatitis B (CHB), with approximately 1 million deaths annually from hepatitis B virus (HBV)-related cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC)¹. The majority of Asian patients acquires HBV either at birth, or within the first few years after birth, and is characterised by a prolonged immunotolerant phase followed by a prolonged phase of immunoclearance. Patients will undergo hepatitis B e-antigen (HBeAg) seroconversion with development of antibodies to HBeAg (anti-HBe) during the natural evolution of the disease, median age of HBeAg seroconversion being around 35 years. However there may be ongoing disease progression to cirrhosis and HCC after HBeAg seroconversion in a proportion of patients.

Goals of Therapy

The ideal goal of CHB therapy is the complete eradication of HBV, which is not possible with the current available treatment. Even loss of the hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) does not denote complete viral clearance. Hence, short-term goals include normalisation of serum alanine aminotransferase (ALT) levels, HBV DNA suppression, HBeAg seroconversion, and improvement in liver histology, with the aim of achieving the long-term goals of preventing liver cirrhosis, liver failure, and HCC.

Treatment Choices

There are six antiviral agents currently available for the treatment of CHB: lamivudine, adefovir, entecavir, telbivudine, interferon (IFN)- α , and pegylated IFN (peg-IFN). Treatment choice should take into account several important factors of the agent in question, namely antiviral efficacy, drug resistance profile, long-term safety profile, methods of administration, and cost-effectiveness².

IFN- α and pegylated IFN

Standard IFN- α has been shown to be effective in the treatment of CHB³. However, peg-IFN has largely surpassed standard IFN in CHB treatment. In one report using suboptimal dose of standard IFN- α , peg-IFN is superior to standard IFN in HBeAg clearance, HBV DNA suppression and normalisation of ALT⁴. Recent studies have focused on combination or

sequential therapy with lamivudine⁵. Most studies have not shown any additional benefit of combining one year lamivudine treatment to IFN therapy when assessed at 6 months after stopping of therapies⁶⁻¹¹. Although no additional antiviral efficacy is observed, there is evidence that the combination of IFN and lamivudine therapy decreases the development of lamivudine-resistant mutations^{9,10}. (The limiting of lamivudine treatment to only one year in these studies is contrary to most current clinical practice with nucleoside analogues).

In addition, the long-term effectiveness of standard IFN has not been consistently shown. Long term benefits including preventing cirrhosis and HCC were not observed in earlier studies of Japanese and Chinese patients^{12,13}. In a more recent retrospective study of Taiwanese patients with high ALT levels at baseline, IFN was shown to reduce HCC and cirrhosis in HBeAgpositive patients compared to untreated controls. Further results regarding peg-IFN with long off-treatment follow-up is needed to determine its long-term efficacy.

Lamivudine

Lamivudine is the first oral antiviral drug approved for the treatment of CHB, and is effective in reducing the complications of cirrhosis, including decompensation and HCC, in both cirrhotic and pre-cirrotic patients. ^{14,15}. However, lamivudine is associated with high rates of viral resistance, with a resistance rate of 76% after 8 years of treatment¹⁵. The initial benefits conferred by lamivudine are reduced in patients who develop lamivudine-resistant mutations during long-term follow-up¹⁶. However, even among those with drug resistance, the outcome remains better than for untreated patients^{14,15}. Both adefovir and entecavir are effective against lamivudine-resistant CHB, and either can be used.

Adefovir

Adefovir dipivoxil has been shown to be effective in both HBeAg-positive and HBeAg-negative CHB, as well as lamivudine-resistant HBV¹⁷⁻¹⁹, with proven long-term efficacy²⁰. However, with newer and more potent antiviral agents now available, the main role of adefovir is in patients who have developed resistance to lamivudine or telbivudine. Some studies have shown that adefovir monotherapy in lamivudine-resistant patients is as effective for suppressing HBV DNA as combination therapy with lamivudine²¹⁻²³, while other studies have shown substantially lower rate of resistance to adefovir when treatment is continued in

Medical Bulletin

combination with lamivudine²⁴⁻²⁷. We recommend the addition of adefovir for lamivudine-resistant patients as soon as genotypic resistance is detected. Adefovir-resistant HBV is sensitive to both entecavir and lamivudine²⁸.

Entecavir

Entecavir is the third oral antiviral agent approved for CHB treatment, and is superior to lamivudine²⁹⁻³¹. Furthermore, no virological breakthrough from entecavir resistance has been observed after 2 years of treatment in treatment-naive HBeAg-positive patients³². The resistance rate in treatment-naive patients is only 1.2% in 5 years. Entecavir has been shown to be effective against lamivudine-resistant HBV at the higher daily dose of 1 mg instead of the recommended 0.5 mg daily dose for treatment-naive patients ^{33,34}. However, in patients with pre-existing lamivudine-resistant mutations, there is a lower viral response rate, and higher rate of developing entecavir resistance35. The reason for the higher rate of resistance is because the mutations that characterise lamivudine resistance predispose patients to develop subsequent resistance to entecavir. Therefore, entecavir switching therapy may be less optimal than adefovir add-on therapy for CHB associated with lamivudine resistance.

Telbivudine

Telbivudine has been shown to be more potent than lamivudine and adefovir against HBV^{36,37}. However, telbivudine is still associated with higher resistance rates than adefovir or entecavir³⁸. Resistance to telbivudine occurs at the same mutation site responsible for resistance to lamivudine; therefore neither is essentially effective for one another once resistance develops. The rate of genotypic resistance after 2 years of telbivudine treatment is 22% and 8.6% among HBeAg-positive and HBeAg-negative patients, respectively ³⁸.

Viral Resistance

The resistance rates of different antiviral agents are shown in figure 1. Development of drug resistance remains a major issue as the majority of CHB patients will require long-term therapy. Flares of hepatitis, liver decompensation and death have been reported to occur in patients who develop viral resistance³⁹.

The development of drug resistance also affects further treatment options. Patients who developed lamivudine resistant mutations will have a higher rate of developing subsequent adefovir resistant mutations compared to those patients without lamivudine resistant mutations⁴⁰. Likewise, as described previously, patients who have lamivudine-resistant HBV will also have a higher rate of developing subsequent entecavir resistance ³³.

Given the adverse impact of drug-resistant HBV on the clinical outcome and on subsequent antiviral therapy, the risk of developing resistance should be considered prior to starting antiviral therapy. With the availability of newer and more potent antiviral drugs, the high resistance rate associated with lamivudine limits its use as a first-line agent. However, lamivudine remains the least expensive oral antiviral agent with the longest and largest profile of safety data. Use of the roadmap concept, as outlined later, may identify those patients who will respond more favourably in the long term.

Treatment Endpoints:

As complete eradication of HBV is currently not possible with available therapy, various endpoints have been adopted as surrogate markers of successful treatment. These include HBeAg seroconversion, normalisation of ALT, and HBV DNA suppression.

HBeAg seroconversion

Traditionally, HBeAg seroconversion has been used as a marker of treatment success, and is included in various treatment guidelines ^{2,41-43}. Those patients who have undergone HBeAg seroconversion are termed "healthy carriers", with low HBV DNA levels, normal ALT, and resolution of necro-inflammatory activity within the liver ^{44,45}. However, recent studies have shown that over 70% of CHB patients are HBeAg-negative at the time of developing HCC ^{46,47}.

As disease progression still occurs after HBeAg seroconversion in patients who acquire the disease at birth or during early childhood, HBeAg seroconversion should therefore be considered as part of the natural history/progression of CHB infection, and should be taken as a treatment endpoint only in conjunction with other criteria, specifically the HBV DNA and ALT levels ^{48, 49.}

HBV DNA levels

Serum HBV DNA levels have been shown to be important in both the development of liver cirrhosis and for development of HCC. Higher levels of HBV DNA are associated with the development of HCC independent of the HBeAg status and ALT levels 46, 50. There is no current level of HBV DNA which is considered 'safe' from disease progression or from development of HCC 46. A cut-off level of >2000 IU/mL was shown to be a strong risk predictor of HCC independent of HBeAg status, serum ALT and underlying cirrhosis 50. However, even lower HBV DNA levels have been associated with the development of HCC ⁴⁶. Given the absence of a 'safe' lower limit for disease non-progression, the optimal treatment goal should therefore be to suppress HBV DNA to the lowest possible level, that is, non-detectability by PCR assays.

ALT levels

In Asian CHB patients, it has been shown that patients with ALT levels below half the upper limit of normal (ULN) have the lowest risk of complications compared to those with $0.5 \times$ ULN to $2 \times$ ULN ⁴⁷.

Patients who have undergone HBeAg seroconversion with subsequent normal ALT have been traditionally regarded as "healthy carriers" with no or minimal disease progression. However, even in patients with normal ALT after HBeAg seroconversion, the cumulative probability of developing cirrhosis after 17 years was 13%⁵¹. A recent study of CHB patients showed that 37% of those with persistently normal ALT had significant fibrosis or inflammation on liver histology, and the majority of cases with fibrosis occurred in those with high-normal ALT $^{\rm 52}$

In the light of these recent studies, the cut-off for the upper limit of normal for ALT is most likely to be lower than the values that are currently used. Even patients with ALT in the upper range of the currently accepted normal range are at risk of developing cirrhosis. Ongoing disease monitoring with consideration of antiviral therapy should be given for patients with significant degree of liver fibrosis. Furthermore, treating only those patients with ALT >2 x ULN, as indicated by most current guidelines, would exclude a significant proportion of patients (patients with ALT 0.5 - 2 x ULN) who would benefit most from antiviral therapy because of the higher risk of having or developing significant liver disease in these patients⁴³.

Candidates for Therapy

In HBeAg-positive patients, the ideal candidates for treatment would be those with a prolonged phase of immune-clearance. Immunotolerant patients, that is, young patients with normal ALT levels, can be monitored and treatment may not be required until active hepatitis occurs during the onset of loss of immunotolerance. Unfortunately, there are as yet no specific criteria to define or identify the time at which immunotolerance ends and immune clearance starts. An elevated ALT level is used as a surrogate marker of inflammation and histological activity, and as a marker for loss of immunotolerance. Whether this is an adequate marker remains to be determined. Nonetheless, it seems reasonable that patients with ALT levels between 1 - 2 X ULN should probably be treated. In patients with evidence of cirrhosis and HBV DNA >2000 IU/mL, they should receive antiviral treatment regardless of the ALT levels.

A significant proportion of patients who become HBeAg-negative with positive anti-HBe will have elevated HBV DNA levels⁵³. Although the median HBV DNA levels are lower than for HBeAg-positive patients, these patients tend to be older and have more advanced underlying liver disease47. In the current AASLD guidelines, indications for treatment include patients with ALT≥2 x ULN and HBV DNA levels \geq 20,000 IU/mL, and no treatment for those with ALT <1 x ULN irrespective of HBV DNA levels43. This would exclude a substantial amount of patients who would be at high risk of disease progression, including patients who already have cirrhosis. In an alternative treatment algorithm, indications for treatment included ALT >1 x ULN and HBV DNA >2,000 IU/mL, whereas those with HBV DNA <2000 IU/mL and normal ALT would not be treated². Both guidelines suggest liver biopsy to determine disease activity and stage of fibrosis in patients who have ALT and HBV DNA levels in the range outside the definite treatment or within the non-treatment levels ^{2, 43}. The summary of the AASLD guidelines and the alternative treatment algorithm is shown in figure 2 and 3 respectively. The arguments against the current AASLD treatment guidelines have been summarised in a recent review article 48.

Duration of Therapy

Given the lack of a clearly defined endpoint in the treatment of CHB, the duration of therapy is likewise not clearly defined. The long-term goals of preventing cirrhosis and the development of HCC are likely to be achieved by prolonged suppression of HBV replication. In HBeAg-positive patients, the current AASLD guidelines suggest stopping treatment 6 months after HBeAg seroconversion (regardless of HBV DNA or the ALT levels), and re-treat if relapse should occur 43. An alternative treatment algorithm suggests that treatment can be stopped 6-12 months after HBeAg seroconversion, providing HBV DNA is undetectable by PCR. The latter approach would seem more appropriate given the inadequacy of HBeAg seroconversion alone as a treatment endpoint, and also the high rate of relapse after discontinuation of therapy. Close monitoring of patients after stopping therapy is mandatory. We would recommend checking the HBV DNA levels 1 month after stopping therapy, and 3 monthly thereafter. Antiviral therapy should be restarted in those with evidence of reactivation. For HBeAg-negative patients, both guidelines suggest that long-term therapy is required.

Long-term antiviral therapy raises the concern about the development of drug-resistant mutations. Despite this, patients will still benefit from antiviral therapy even with the occurrence of drug-resistant mutations. In patients treated with prolonged lamivudine therapy, patients with drug-resistant HBV still benefit from treatment when compared to patients with no treatment^{14, 54}. With newer and more potent antiviral drugs with higher genetic barrier, such as entecavir, resistance is likely to become a lesser problem.

Prolonged antiviral therapy also raises the concern about drug toxicity. Older agents, such as lamivudine and adefovir, have established long-term safety data, whereas newer agents are currently lacking in longterm data both for efficacy and safety. Despite this, long-term drug toxicity with long-term therapy is an unlikely problem given the preclinical safety results of the currently licensed nucleotide/nucleotide analogues. In general, all the available oral nucleoside analogs are well tolerated. The documented nephrotoxic effect of adefovir occurs rarely at the dose used for HBV treatment, although renal function should be monitored regularly whilst patients remain on treatment ⁵⁵.

The advantage of interferon-based therapy over oral antiviral therapy is that the duration of therapy is more clearly defined. However, the optimal length of interferon therapy remains to be determined. The advantage of a defined treatment length is offset by its side effects and the high proportion of patients who will not respond to IFN therapy and will require further therapy with oral nucleoside analogs ⁵⁶. Even in patients with IFN-associated HBeAg seroconversion, long-term monitoring of HBV DNA levels should be performed.

Monitoring Therapy

None of the published guidelines provide specific

Medical Bulletin

criteria for on-treatment monitoring of patients. During antiviral therapy, the degree of viral suppression has been shown to be the most important determinant of therapeutic outcomes⁵⁷. More specifically, the importance of effective early viral suppression in determining long term treatment outcome has been shown in several studies using lamivudine, adefovir, peg-IFN- α -2a, and telbivudine^{58,59,60,61}. A more recent study of lamivudine treatment has shown that HBV DNA levels of less than 2,000 IU/mL as early as week 4 can be used to predict accurately HBeAg seroconversion with ALT normalisation and HBV DNA levels <2000 IU/mL without emergence of lamivudine-resistant mutations, at year 5 62.

The use of early monitoring of viral suppression is the mainstay of the recently published roadmap concept, as shown in figure 4 63. Assessment at week 12 and 24 for primary non-response and early predictors of efficacy respectively should be used to guide subsequent treatment choices⁶³. The roadmap concept is useful when initiating therapy with a drug with higher rates of resistance or lower antiviral potency, and maybe potentially useful in patients with preexisting drug-resistant mutations. Recent evidence showed that early viral suppression with adefovir in treating lamivudine-resistant HBV was associated with more favourable outcomes^{64, 65}. Future trials will determine whether the roadmap concept can be applied to those patients with pre-existing drugresistant mutations.

Conclusions

The treatment paradigm is continuing to evolve with better understanding of the natural history of HBV infection. Currently, long-term suppression of HBV replication should be the primary aim. Although peg-IFN therapy offers a finite duration of therapy, the long-term outcomes remain to be fully determined. In addition, only patients with high ALT levels are suitable, and a high proportion of patients have a suboptimal response in terms of HBV DNA suppression. Although long-term benefits are established for oral nucleoside/nucleotide analogues, the benefits of treatment are reduced with the development of drug-resistant mutations.

The optimal choice of the antiviral agent in treatmentnaive patients should be a drug with high antiviral potency coupled with a high genetic barrier to reduce the risk of resistance. Entecavir is a significant improvement in the current antiviral strategy, achieving both sustained viral suppression with minimal risk of drug resistance. If a drug with lower genetic barrier is used, identifying early factors such as viral load to predict long-term outcome is important. This would select out patients most likely to achieve successful long-term viral suppression with their current regimens, and an alternative agent can be offered to those with suboptimal early viral response.













References

- Lee W. Hepatitis B virus infection. N Engl J Med 1997;337(24):1733-45. Keeffe EB, Dieterich DT, Han SH, et al. A treatment algorithm for the management of chronic hepatitis B virus infection in the United States: an update. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2006;4(8):936-62. Wong DK, Cheung AM, O'Rourke K, Naylor CD, Detsky AS, Heathcote J. Effect of alpha-interferon treatment in patients with hepatitis B e antigen-positive chronic hepatitis B. A meta-analysis. Ann Intern Med 1993;119(4):312-23. Cooksley WG, Piratvisuth T. Lee SD, et al. Peginterferon alpha-2a (40 kDa): 3.
- 1993;119(4):312-23. Cooksley WG, Piratvisuth T, Lee SD, et al. Peginterferon alpha-2a (40 kDa): an advance in the treatment of hepatitis B e antigen-positive chronic hepatitis B. J Viral Hepat 2003;10(4):298-305. Yuen MF, Lai CL. Combination therapy for chronic hepatitis B: simultaneous or sequential? Am J Gastroenterol 2007;102(1):105-6. Lau GK, Piratvisuth T, Luo KX, et al. Peginterferon Alfa-2a, lamivudine, and the combination for HBeAg-positive chronic hepatitis B. N Engl J Med 2005;352(26):2682-95. 4
- 5.
- 6.
- 2005;352(26):2682-95. Kaymakoglu S, Oguz D, Gur G, et al. Pegylated interferon Alfa-2b monotherapy and pegylated interferon Alfa-2b plus lamivudine combination therapy for patients with hepatitis B virus E antigen-negative chronic hepatitis B. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2007;51(8):3020-2. van Zonneveld M, Zondervan PE, Cakaloglu Y, et al. Peg-interferon improves liver histology in patients with HBeAg-positive chronic hepatitis B: no additional benefit of combination with lamivudine. Liver Int 2006;26(4):399-405. 7.
- 8.
- 2006;26(4):399-405.
 Yurdaydin C, Bozkaya H, Cetinkaya H, et al. Lamivudine vs lamivudine and interferon combination treatment of HBeAg(-) chronic hepatitis B. J Viral Hepat 2005;12(3):262-8.
 Shi M, Wang RS, Zhang H, et al. Sequential treatment with lamivudine and interferon-alpha monotherapies in hepatitis B e antigen-negative Chinese patients and its suppression of lamivudine-resistant mutations. J Antimicrob Chemother 2006;58(5):1031-5.
 Schalm SW, Heathcote J, Cianciara J, et al. Lamivudine and alpha interferon combination treatment of patients with chronic hepatitis B infection: a randomised trial. Gut 2000;46(4):562-8.
 Truong BX, Seo Y, Kato M, et al. Long-term follow-up of Japanese patients with chronic hepatitis B treated with interferon-alpha. Int J Mol Med 2005;16(2):279-84.
 Yuen MF, Hui CK, Cheng CC, Wu CH, Lai YP, Lai CL. Long-term follow-up

- 2005;16(2):279-84.
 Yuen MF, Hui CK, Cheng CC, Wu CH, Lai YP, Lai CL. Long-term follow-up of interferon alfa treatment in Chinese patients with chronic hepatitis B infection: The effect on hepatitis B e antigen seroconversion and the development of cirrhosis-related complications. Hepatology 2001;34(1):139-45.
 Liaw YF, Sung JJ, Chow WC, et al. Lamivudine for patients with chronic hepatitis B and advanced liver disease. N Engl J Med 2004;351(15):1521-31.
 Yuen MF, Seto WK, Chow DH, et al. Long-term lamivudine therapy reduces the risk of long-term complications of chronic hepatitis B infection even in patients without advanced disease. Antivir Ther 2007(12):1295-1303.
 Dienstag JL, Cianciara J, Karayalcin S, et al. Durability of serologic response after lamivudine treatment of chronic hepatitis B. Hepatology

- after lamivudine treatment of chronic hepatitis B. Hepatology 2003:37(4):748-55.
- Marcellin P, Chang TT, Lim SG, et al. Adefovir Dipivoxil for the Treatment of Hepatitis B e Antigen-Positive Chronic Hepatitis B. N Engl J Med 2003;348(9):808-16.
- Hadziyannis SJ, Tassopoulos NC, Heathcote EJ, et al. Adefovir dipivoxil for the treatment of hepatitis B e antigen-negative chronic hepatitis B. N Engl J Med 2003;348(9):800-7. 18.
- Med 2003;348(9):800-7.
 19. Lampertico P, Vigano M, Manenti E, Iavarone M, Lunghi G, Colombo M. Adefovir rapidly suppresses hepatitis B in HBeAg-negative patients developing genotypic resistance to lamivudine. Hepatology 2005;42(6):1414-9.
 20. Hadziyannis SJ, Tasospoulos NC, Heathcote EJ, et al. Long-term therapy with adefovir dipivoxil for HBeAg-negative chronic hepatitis B. N Engl J Med 2005;352(26):2673-81.
 21. Kim KM, Choi WB, Lim YS, et al. Adefovir dipivoxil alone or in combination with angoing lamivuding in nationate with decompensated liver
- combination with ongoing lamivudine in patients with decompensated liver disease and lamivudine-resistant hepatitis B virus. J Korean Med Sci 2005;20(5):821-8.
- 22. Peters M, Hann HH, Martin P, et al. Adefovir dipivoxil alone or in
- Peters M, Hann HH, Martin P, et al. Adefovir dipivoxil alone or in combination with lamivudine in patients with lamivudine-resistant chronic hepatitis B. Gastroenterology 2004;126(1):91-101.
 Fung J, Lai CL, Yuen JC, et al. Adefovir dipivoxil monotherapy and combination therapy with lamivudine for the treatment of chronic hepatitis B in an Asian population. Antivir Ther 2007;12(1):41-6.
 Lampertico P, Vigano M, Manenti E, Iavarone M, Sablon E, Colombo M. Low resistance to adefovir combined with Lamivudine: a 3-year study of 145 Lamivudine-resistant hepatitis B patients. Gastroenterology 2007:133(5):1445-51
- 2007;133(5):1445-51. Manolakopoulos S, Bethanis S, Koutsounas S, et al. Long-term therapy with adefovir dipivoxil in HBeAg-negative patients developing resistance to lamivudine. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2007. 25.
- van der Poorten D, Prakoso E, Khoo TL, et al. Combination adefovir-lamivudine prevents emergence of adefovir resistance in lamivudine-resistant hepatitis B. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2007;22(9):1500-5.
 Rapti I, Dimou E, Mitsoula P, Hadziyannis SJ. Adding-on versus switching-transport of the second secon
- Rapti J, Dimou E, Mitsoula P, Hadziyannis SJ. Adding-on versus switching-to adefovir therapy in lamivudine-resistant HBeAg-negative chronic hepatitis B. Hepatology 2007;45(2):307-13.
 Qi X, Xiong S, Yang H, Miller M, Delaney WEt. In vitro susceptibility of adefovir-associated hepatitis B virus polymerase mutations to other antiviral agents. Antivir Ther 2007;12(3):355-62.
 Lai CL, Shouval D, Lok AS, et al. Entecavir versus lamivudine for patients with HBeAg-negative chronic hepatitis B. N Engl J Med 2006;354(10):1011-20.
 Chang TT, Gish RG, de Man R, et al. A comparison of entecavir and lamivudine for HBeAg-positive chronic hepatitis B. N Engl J Med 2006;354(10):1001-10.
- 2006:354(10):1001-10

- 2006;354(10):1001-10.
 Lai CL, Rosmawati M, Lao J, et al. Entecavir is superior to lamivudine in reducing hepatitis B virus DNA in patients with chronic hepatitis B infection. Gastroenterology 2002;123(6):1831-8.
 Gish RG, Lok AS, Chang TT, et al. Entecavir therapy for up to 96 weeks in patients with HBeAg-positive chronic hepatitis B. Gastroenterology 2007;133(5):1437-44.
 Chang TT, Gish RG, Hadziyannis SJ, et al. A dose-ranging study of the efficacy and tolerability of entecavir in Lamivudine-refractory chronic hepatitis B patients. Gastroenterology 2005;129(4):1198-209.

- Sherman M, Yurdaydin C, Sollano J, et al. Entecavir for treatment of lamivudine-refractory, HBeAg-positive chronic hepatitis B. Gastroenterology 2006;130(7):2039-49.
 Tenney DJ, Levine SM, Rose RE, et al. Clinical emergence of entecavir-resistant hepatitis B virus requires additional substitutions in virus already 2004 (2004) 2005.
- resistant to Lamivudine. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2004;48(9):3498-507
- 36. Lai CL, Leung N, Teo EK, et al. A 1-year trial of telbivudine, lamivudine,
- Lai CL, Leung N, Teo EK, et al. A 1-year trial of telbivudine, lamivudine, and the combination in patients with hepatitis B e antigen-positive chronic hepatitis B. Gastroenterology 2005;129(2):528-36.
 Chan HL, Heathcote EJ, Marcellin P, et al. Treatment of Hepatitis B e Antigen-Positive Chronic Hepatitis with Telbivudine or Adefovir: A Randomized Trial. Ann Intern Med 2007.
 Lai CL, Gane E, Hsu CW, et al. Two-year results from the GLOBE trial in patients with hepatitis B: Greater clinical and antiviral efficacy for telbivudine (LDT) vs. lamivudine. Hepatology 2006;44(4 51):222A.
 Fung SK, Andreone P, Han SH, et al. Adefovir-resistant hepatitis B can be associated with viral rebound and hepatic decompensation. J Hepatol
- associated with viral rebound and hepatic decompensation. J Hepatol 2005;43(6):937-43.
- Lee YS, Suh DJ, Lim YS, et al. Increased risk of adefovir resistance in patients with lamivudine-resistant chronic hepatitis B after 48 weeks of adefovir dipivoxil monotherapy. Hepatology 2006;43(6):1385-91.
 Liaw YF, Leung N, Guan R, et al. Asian-Pacific consensus statement on the management of chronic hepatitis B: a 2005 update. Liver Int 2005;25(3):472-00.
- 89
- 42. Lok AS, McMahon BJ. Chronic hepatitis B: update of recommendations. Hepatology 2004;39(3):857-61. 43. Lok AS, McMahon BJ. Chronic hepatitis B. Hepatology 2007;45(2):507-
- 44. Hoofnagle JH, Shafritz DA, Popper H. Chronic type B hepatitis and the "healthy" HBsAg carrier state. Hepatology 1987;7(4):758-63.
 45. Di Bisceglie AM, Waggoner JG, Hoofnagle JH. Hepatitis B virus deoxyribonucleic acid in liver of chronic carriers. Correlation with serum
- and charges associated with loss of hepatitis B e antiger after antiviral therapy. Gastroenterology 1987;93(6):1236-41.
 46. Fung J, Yuen MF, Yuen JC, Wong DK, Lai CL. Low serum HBV DNA levels and development of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with chronic hepatitis B: a case-control study. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2007;26(3):377-82.
- Yuen MF, Yuan HJ, Wong DK, et al. Prognostic determinants for chronic hepatitis B in Asians: therapeutic implications. Gut 2005;54(11):1610-4.
 48. Lai CL, Yuen MF. The Natural History of Chronic Hepatitis B and its Treatment: A Critical Evaluation of Standard Treatment Criteria and End Points. Ann Intern Med 2007;147(1):58-61.
- Fontis. Ann intern Med 2007/147(1):38-61.
 Yuen MF. Revisiting the natural history of chronic hepatitis B: impact of new concepts on clinical management. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2007;22(7):973-6.
 Chen CJ, Yang HI, Su J, et al. Risk of hepatocellular carcinoma across a biological gradient of serum hepatitis B virus DNA level. Jama 2006;295(1):65-73. 49
- 50.
- 51. Chu CM, Hung SJ, Lin J, Tai DI, Liaw YF. Natural history of hepatitis B e
- Chu CM, Hung SJ, Lin J, Tai DJ, Liaw JF. Natural history of hepatitis B e antigen to antibody seroconversion in patients with normal serum aminotransferase levels. Am J Med 2004;116(12):829-34.
 Lai M, Hyatt BJ, Nasser J, Curry M, Afdhal NH. The clinical significance of persistently normal ALT in chronic hepatitis B infection. J Hepatol 2007.
 Carman WF, Thursz M, Hadziyannis S, et al. Hepatitis B e antigen negative chronic active hepatitis: hepatitis B virus core mutations occur predominantly in known antigenic determinants. J Viral Hepat 1005-2(2):77.84 1995;2(2):77-84. 54. Yuen M, Seto W, Chow D, et al. Long-term beneficial outcome of Chinese
- asymptomatic patients with HBeAg-positive chronic hepatitis B on continuous lamivudine therapy: 7-year experience. Hepatology 2005;42(4 S1):583A
- 55. Izzedine H, Hulot JS, Launay-Vacher V, et al. Renal safety of adefovir

- continuous lamivulane therapy: 7-year experience. Fiepatology 2005;42(4 S1);583A.
 55. Izzedine H, Hulot JS, Launay-Vacher V, et al. Renal safety of adefovir dipivoxil in patients with chronic hepatitis B: two double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled studies. Kidney Int 2004;66(3):1153-8.
 56. Fried MW. Side effects of therapy of hepatitis C and their management. Hepatology 2002;36(5 Suppl 1):5237-44.
 57. Liaw YF. Hepatitis B virus replication and liver disease progression: the impact of antiviral therapy. Antivir Ther 2006;11(6):669-79.
 58. Yuen MF, Sablon E, Hui CK, Yuan HJ, Decraemer H, Lai CL. Factors associated with hepatitis B virus DNA breakthrough in patients receiving prolonged lamivudine therapy. Hepatology 2001;34(4):785-91.
 59. Locarnini S, Qi S, Arterburn S, et al. Incidence and predictors of emergence of adefovir resistant HBV during four years of adefovir dipivoxil (ADV) therapy for patients with chronic hepatitis B (CHB). 40th European Association for the Study of the Liver 2005;36.
 60. Farci P, Marcellin P, Lu ZM, et al. On-treatment predictors of sustained biochemical and virological response in patients with HBeAg-negative chronic hepatitis B (CHB) treated with peginterferon alpha-2a (40kDa)(PEGASYS). J Hepatol 2005;42(Supp 2):S175.
 61. Lai CL, Gane E, Liaw YF, et al. Telbivudine (LdT) Vs Lamivudine for Chronic Hepatitis B: First year results from the International Phase III GLOBE Trial. Hepatology 2007;64(6):1695-703.
 63. Keeffe EB, Zeuzem S, Koff RS, et al. Report of an international workshop: Roadmap for management of patients receiving oral therapy for chronic hepatitis B. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2007;28(8):890-7.
 64. Jang JW, Kim MS, Lee SY, et al. Pre- and post-treatment predictors of the early achievement of HBeAg loss in lamivudine-resistant patients receiving adefovir therapy. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2007;2(2):1092-7.
 65. Chan HL, Wong VW, Tse CH, et al. Early virological suppressi 2007;25(8):891-8.