### The University of Hong Kong The HKU Scholars Hub



Title	Why are we still promoting breast self-examination?
Author(s)	Tarrant, M
Citation	International Journal Of Nursing Studies, 2006, v. 43 n. 4, p. 519-520
Issued Date	2006
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/10722/54326
Rights	Creative Commons: Attribution 3.0 Hong Kong License

#### ARTICLE IN PRESS



3

5

7

11

13

15

17

19

21

23

25

27

29

31

33

35

37

39

41

43

45

47

51

53

55

# Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



www.elsevier.com/locate/ijnurstu

## Commentary

# Why are we still promoting breast self-examination?

## Marie Tarrant\*

Department of Nursing Studies, Faculty of Medicine, University of Hong Kong, 4/F, William M.W. Mong Block, 21 Sassoon Road, Hong Kong, China

Received 2 December 2005; accepted 9 December 2005

Keywords: Breast self-examination; Breast cancer screening

Nurses have long been advocates of breast selfexamination (BSE), believing that not only were they promoting a practice that could be life-saving but that they were also empowering women to take greater control over their health. There is an abundance of research in the nursing literature reporting the measurement of women's BSE practices, the psychometric correlates of BSE practice and strategies attempting to increase BSE practice among women (Champion and Menon, 1997; Chouliara et al., 2004; Petro-Nustus and Mikhail, 2002; Reis et al., 2004; Secginli and Nahcivan, 2004). In their study to be published in forthcoming issue of IJNS, Secginli and Nahcivan (in press) sought to identify variables correlated with the breast cancer screening behaviours of BSE and mammography in Turkish women, presumably so that rates of both these practices could be increased. While I do not take issue with the methodology or results presented in this paper, it is still, nonetheless, fundamentally flawed. The authors clearly delineate the rates of participation in both screening activities and the psychometric correlates of both BSE and mammography separately. There is a tendency, however, when discussing the benefits of screening to combine both BSE and mammography as if they were interconnected. BSE and mammography are two discrete procedures and should be discussed as such. Furthermore, all studies examining breast screening practices are designed on the premise that through early detection of breast lumps, breast cancer mortality can be

reduced and lives can be saved. In the introduction and literature review sections of their paper, Secginli and Nahcivan present no evidence on the effectiveness of either BSE or mammography in detecting breast cancer and decreasing mortality. Perhaps, this is because although the benefits of mammography are still currently being debated (Goodman, 2002; Olsen and Gotzsche, 2005; U.S. Preventive Services Task Force, 2002), a preponderance of evidence has now clearly shown that BSE does not save lives and offers no benefit to women.

Results from two large randomized controlled trials (RCTS) involving almost 400,000 women in Russia and China have shown that BSE is not effective in reducing mortality from breast cancer, and does not improve the probability of survival after breast cancer diagnosis (Semiglazov et al., 1999; Thomas et al., 2002). Moreover, both studies also demonstrated that regularly practicing BSE was significantly more likely to cause harm by way of increased biopsies for benign breast lumps. In a systematic review of the benefits of BSE, the Cochrane group has concluded that "screening by breast self-examination cannot be recommended" (Kosters and Gotzsche, 2005) and most experts no longer recommend BSE (Baxter and Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health, 2001; Elmore et al., 2005; Harris and Kinsinger, 2002). Furthermore, even in countries such as Turkey, where mammography screening may not be widely available, because of its lack of demonstrable benefits, promoting BSE is not a prudent use of the limited funds available for preventive services (Thomas et al., 2002).

89

57

59

61

63

65

67

71

75

77

81

83

85

<sup>\*</sup>Tel.: +852 2819 2643; fax: +852 2872 6079.

E-mail address: tarrantm@hku.hk.

M. Tarrant / International Journal of Nursing Studies ■ (■■■) ■■■■■

2

1	Contrary to the recommendation of Secginli and Nahcivan that more longitudinal studies on the factors	Champion, V., Menon, U., 1997. Predicting mammography and breast self-examination in African American women.	51
3	influencing the use of BSE are required, the evidence against BSE is sufficiently compelling that the Cochrane	Cancer Nursing 20 (5), 315–322. Chouliara, Z., Papadioti-Athanasiou, V., Power, K.G., Swan-	53
5	group has also concluded that "it is unlikely that additional trials investigating breast-self examination as	son, V., 2004. Practice of and attitudes toward breast self- examination (BSE): a cross-cultural comparison between	55
7	a single general screening method would be worthwhile"	younger women in Scotland and Greece. Health Care for Women International 25 (4), 311–333.	
9	(Kosters and Gotzsche, 2005). What does all of this mean for nursing? Firstly, it	Elmore, J.G., Armstrong, K., Lehman, C.D., Fletcher, S.W., 2005. Screening for breast cancer. Journal of the American	57
11	means that promoting BSE at a population level and investigating factors which can increase performance of	Medical Association 293 (10), 1245–1256. Goodman, S.N., 2002. The mammography dilemma: a crisis for	59
13	BSE are not worthy of valuable time and money. Resources should be focused on promoting and	evidence-based medicine? Annals of Internal Medicine 137 (5), 363–365.	61
15	investigating screening practices with proven benefits, or on more accurate measurement of the benefits of	Harris, R., Kinsinger, L.S., 2002. Routinely teaching breast self-examination is dead. What does this mean? Journal of	63
17	other screening practices currently in use, such as mammography. What these findings do not mean,	the National Cancer Institute 94 (19), 1420–1421.  Kosters, J.P., Gotzsche, P.C., 2005. Regular self-examination	65
19	however, is that we should teach women to ignore their breasts. Education on BSE should be replaced by breast	or clinical examination for early detection of breast cancer [systematic review]. Cochrane Database of Systematic	67
21	awareness education, where women are taught the cardinal sign of breast cancer, a painless lump, and the	Reviews 4. Olsen, O., Gotzsche, P.C., 2005. Screening for breast cancer with mammography. Cochrane Database of Systematic	69
23	necessity of seeking prompt medical evaluation of that	Reviews 4.  Petro-Nustus, W., Mikhail, B.I., 2002. Factors associated with	71
	lump (Harris and Kinsinger, 2002). Additionally, if women choose to continue to regularly perform BSE,	breast self-examination among Jordanian women. Public Health Nursing 19 (4), 263–271.	73
25	they should be informed that the benefits are unproven and that it may result in unnecessary biopsies for benign	Reis, J., Trockel, M., King, T., Remmert, D., 2004. Computerized training in breast self-examination: a test in a	75
27	breast lumps (Thomas et al., 2002). Finally, women should continue to participate in mammography screen-	community health center. Cancer Nursing 27 (2), 162–168. Secginli, S., Nahcivan, N.O., 2004. Reliability and validity of	77
29	ing programs and receive annual clinical breast exams as indicated by the national or regional guidelines for	the breast cancer screening belief scale among Turkish women. Cancer Nursing 27 (4), 287–294.	79
31	where they reside.  The time has come, therefore, to say good-bye to BSE.	Secginli, S., Nahcivan, N.O. Factors associated with breast cancer screening behaviours in a sample of Turkish women:	81
33	There is no evidence to support the practice, and the best available evidence tells us that it does more harm than	a questionnaire survey. International Journal of Nursing Studies, in press.	83
35	good. It is natural that nurses would not willingly give up promoting a practice that they have strongly believed	Semiglazov, V.F., Moiseenko, V.M., Manikhas, A.G., Protsenko, S.A., Kharikova, R.S., Ivanov, V.G., Barash, N.I.,	85
37	in and have invested in considerably. However, if we are fully embracing an evidence-based practice we have to	Seleznev, I.K., Migmanova, N.S., Ivanova, O.A., Orlov, A.A., Popova, R.T., Chagunava, O.L., 1999. Role of breast	87
39	go with the evidence, even if we do not like what it is telling us.	self-examination in early detection of breast cancer: Russia/ WHO prospective randomized trial in St. Petersburg. Cancer Strategy 1, 145–151.	89
41		Thomas, D.B., Gao, D.L., Ray, R.M., Wang, W.W., Allison, C.J., Chen, F.L., Porter, P., Hu, Y.W., Zhao, G.L., Pan,	91
43	References	L.D., Li, W., Wu, C., Coriaty, Z., Evans, I., Lin, M.G., Stalsberg, H., Self, S.G., 2002. Randomized trial of breast	93
45	Baxter, N., Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health, C., 2001. Preventive health care, 2001 update: should women be	self-examination in Shanghai: final results. Journal of the National Cancer Institute 94 (19), 1445–1457.	
47	routinely taught breast self-examination to screen for breast	U.S. Preventive Services Task Force, 2002. Screening for breast cancer: recommendations and rationale. Annals of Internal	95

Medicine 137 (5), 344-346.

97

cancer? Canadian Medical Association Journal 164 (13),

1837-1846.

49