



<b>Title</b>	<b>Upper bounds on n-dimensional Kloosterman sums</b>
<b>Author(s)</b>	<b>Cochrane, T; Liu, MC; Zheng, Z</b>
<b>Citation</b>	<b>Journal of Number Theory, 2004, v. 106 n. 2, p. 259-274</b>
<b>Issued Date</b>	<b>2004</b>
<b>URL</b>	<b><a href="http://hdl.handle.net/10722/48609">http://hdl.handle.net/10722/48609</a></b>
<b>Rights</b>	<b>Creative Commons: Attribution 3.0 Hong Kong License</b>

# UPPER BOUNDS ON N-DIMENSIONAL KLOOSTERMAN SUMS

TODD COCHRANE, MING-CHIT LIU, AND ZHIYONG ZHENG

ABSTRACT. Let  $p^m$  be any prime power and  $K_n(a, p^m)$  be the  $n$ -dimensional Kloosterman sum

$$K_n(a, p^m) = \sum_{x_1=1}^{p^m} \cdots \sum_{x_n=1}^{p^m} e_{p^m}(x_1 + \cdots + x_n + a \overline{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n}),$$

where the  $x_i$  are restricted to values not divisible by  $p$ . Let  $m, n$  be positive integers with  $m \geq 2$  and suppose that  $p \nmid (n+1)$ . We obtain the upper bound  $|K_n(a, p^m)| \leq (n+1, p-1) p^{\frac{1}{2} \min(\gamma, m-2)} p^{mn/2}$ , for odd  $p$ . For  $p = 2$  we obtain the same bound, with an extra factor of 2 inserted.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $p$  be a prime,  $m, n$  be positive integers,  $a$  be any integer and  $K_n(a, p^m)$  be the  $n$ -dimensional Kloosterman sum

$$(1.1) \quad K_n(a, p^m) = \sum_{\substack{x_1=1 \\ p \nmid x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n}}^{p^m} \cdots \sum_{x_n=1}^{p^m} e_{p^m}(x_1 + \cdots + x_n + a \overline{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n}),$$

where the overline denotes multiplicative inverse (mod  $p^m$ ). If  $p|a$  it is easily seen that  $K_n(a, p) = (-1)^n$  and that  $K_n(a, p^m) = 0$  for  $m \geq 2$  (see Theorem 3 of [9]), and so we may always assume that  $p \nmid a$ . Deligne [4], appealing to his deep work on the Weil conjectures established in the case  $m = 1$  that

$$(1.2) \quad |K_n(a, p)| \leq (n+1)p^{n/2}.$$

There is also an elementary upper bound,

$$(1.3) \quad |K_n(a, p)| \leq p^{\frac{n+1}{2}},$$

due to Mordell [7] and Smith [9], which is sharper than (1.2) for  $n > \sqrt{p}$ . The reader is referred to the paper of Smith for a historical discussion on the estimation of the Kloosterman sum.

For a general value of  $m \geq 1$  Smith [9] proved that for odd  $p$  we have

$$(1.4) \quad |K_n(a, p^m)| \leq (n+1)p^{\frac{nm}{2}}.$$

The same argument that was used by Smith to prove (1.3) can also be used to obtain the upper bound

$$(1.5) \quad |K_n(a, p^m)| \leq p^{\frac{(n+1)m}{2}}.$$

*Date:* January 11, 2000.

*1991 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11L07; 11L03.

*Key words and phrases.* kloosterman sums.

Research of the third author was supported by the National Science Fund of The Peoples Republic of China for Distinguished Young Scholars.

Dabrowski and Fisher [3] (Example 1.17) obtained an extra savings in the special case that  $p = n + 1$ :

$$(1.6) \quad |K_n(a, p^m)| \leq \begin{cases} p^{\frac{nm}{2}}, & \text{if } m = 2; \\ p^{1/2} p^{\frac{nm}{2}}, & \text{if } m = 3 \text{ or } m \geq 5; \\ p \cdot p^{\frac{nm}{2}}, & \text{if } m = 4. \end{cases}$$

See also the work of Ye [10] for an application of (1.6).

Here, we take the work of Smith one step further and establish an upper bound that sharpens (1.4), (1.5) and (1.6).

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $p$  be a prime,  $n$  be a positive integer and suppose that  $p^\gamma \parallel (n+1)$ .*

(a) *If  $p$  is odd then for  $m \geq 2$ ,*

$$(1.7) \quad |K_n(a, p^m)| \leq (n+1, p-1) p^{\frac{1}{2} \min(\gamma, m-2)} p^{nm/2}.$$

(b) *If  $p = 2$  then for  $m \geq 2$ ,*

$$(1.8) \quad |K_n(a, 2^m)| \leq 2 \cdot 2^{\frac{1}{2} \min(\gamma, m-2)} 2^{nm/2}.$$

In Proposition 2.1 we state a more precise version of this theorem for the case of odd  $p$ . When  $(n+1, p-1)$  is bounded by a constant we deduce from (1.7) the upper bound

$$(1.9) \quad |K_n(a, p^m)| \ll \sqrt{n} p^{nm/2},$$

which is a best possible type of upper bound. Indeed, by Proposition 2.1, it follows that if  $n+1 = p^\gamma$  then for any  $m \geq \gamma + 2$  we have

$$|K_n(1, p^m)| = \sqrt{n+1} p^{nm/2}.$$

It is reasonable to conjecture that (1.9) holds in general, even when  $m = 1$ , but this is no doubt a very difficult problem.

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

We take up the case of odd  $p$  in this section and deal with  $p = 2$  in section 3. The theorem is deduced from the following result of Smith [9].

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime and  $a$  an integer not divisible by  $p$ .*

(i) *Suppose that  $m$  is even. Then by Theorem 4 of [9] we have*

$$(2.1) \quad K_n(a, p^m) = p^{nm/2} \sum_{\substack{u=1 \\ u^{n+1} \equiv a \pmod{p^{m/2}}} }^{p^{m/2}} e_{p^m}(nu + a\bar{u}^n).$$

(ii) *Suppose that  $m \geq 3$  is odd. Let  $\beta = (m-1)/2$ . If  $p \nmid (n+1)$  we have by Theorem 5 (i) of [9] that  $K_n(a, p^m)$  is a sum of  $(n+1, p-1)$  complex numbers of modulus  $p^{nm/2}$  and so*

$$(2.2) \quad |K_n(a, p^m)| \leq (n+1, p-1) p^{nm/2}.$$

*If  $p \mid (n+1)$  then by Theorem 5 (ii) of [9],*

$$(2.3) \quad K_n(a, p^m) = p^{(nm+1)/2\theta} \sum_{\substack{u=1 \\ u^{n+1} \equiv a \pmod{p^{\beta+1}}} }^{p^\beta} e_{p^m}(nu + a\bar{u}^n),$$

*where  $\theta$  is a complex number of modulus one.*

(We note that the value of  $\theta$  in Smith's paper should be corrected to read  $\theta = \epsilon_{n-1}(p)\chi_p(gN(a))$ .)

In the course of proving Theorem 1.1 we actually establish the more precise result,

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $n$  be a positive integer,  $p$  an odd prime and suppose that  $p^\gamma \parallel (n+1)$ .*

(i) *If  $a$  is not an  $(n+1)$ -th power  $(\text{mod } p^{\gamma+1})$  and  $m \geq \gamma+2$  then  $K_n(a, p^m) = 0$ .*

(ii) *If  $a$  is an  $(n+1)$ -th power  $(\text{mod } p^{\gamma+1})$  and  $m \geq 2$  then  $K_n(a, p^m)$  is a sum of  $(n+1, p-1)$  complex numbers, each of modulus  $p^{nm/2} p^{\frac{1}{2} \min\{\gamma, m-2\}}$ .*

The values of the complex numbers in part (ii) may be calculated explicitly using the method here together with the results of Smith [9]. This may give one hope of making a further savings in the constant  $(n+1, p-1)$  on the right-hand side of (1.7).

We start with the following elementary lemma, which follows from the standard criterion for an element to be an  $(n+1)$ -th power in a cyclic group.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Suppose that  $p$  is an odd prime with  $p^\gamma \parallel (n+1)$  and  $p \nmid a$ . If  $a$  is an  $(n+1)$ -th power  $(\text{mod } p^{\gamma+1})$  then  $a$  is an  $(n+1)$ -th power modulo any power of  $p$ .*

The next lemma is an easy application of the method of critical points for estimating exponential sums. If  $f(x)$  is a polynomial over  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $p^t$  is the largest power of  $p$  dividing all of the coefficients of  $f'(x)$  then the set critical points  $\mathcal{A}$  associated with the sum  $S := \sum_{x=1}^{p^m} e_{p^m}(f(x))$  is just the set of zeros of the congruence  $p^{-t}f'(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . The basic result we need here is that if  $m \geq t+2$  then

$$(2.4) \quad S = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} S_\alpha,$$

where  $S_\alpha$  is the same sum as  $S$  with  $x$  restricted to the residue class  $\alpha \pmod{p}$ ; see eg. Theorem 2.1 of [2] or Loh [6] or Ding [5]. Also, if  $\alpha$  is a zero of multiplicity one then

$$(2.5) \quad |S_\alpha| = p^{\frac{m+t}{2}}.$$

When  $p=2$  the same result holds provided that  $m \geq t+3$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** (a) *Let  $p$  be an odd prime,  $a, b$  be integers with  $p \nmid b$  and  $f(x)$  be a polynomial with integer coefficients. Then for  $m \geq 1$  we have*

$$(2.6) \quad \left| \sum_{x=1}^{p^m} e_{p^m}(bx^2 + cx + pf(x)) \right| = p^{m/2}.$$

(b) *If  $p=3$  and  $3 \nmid b$  then for any  $a, f(x)$  and  $m \geq 1$  we have*

$$(2.7) \quad \left| \sum_{x=1}^{p^m} e_{p^m}(ax^3 + bx^2 + cx + pf(x)) \right| = p^{m/2}.$$

*Proof.* When  $m=1$ , the two sums are just quadratic Gauss sums (replacing  $x^3$  with  $x$  in part (b).) For  $m \geq 2$  the critical point congruence associated with each of the sums is just  $2bx + c \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , and thus there is a single critical point of multiplicity one. The result follows from (2.4) and (2.5).  $\square$

**The case of even  $m$ .** We proceed now to the proof of the Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 2.1. Suppose first that  $m$  is even. Let  $n + 1 = p^\gamma d$  with  $p \nmid d$  and let  $U$  be the set of residues  $u \pmod{p^{m/2}}$  satisfying  $u^{n+1} \equiv a \pmod{p^{m/2}}$ . Then by (2.1) we have the immediate upper bound

$$(2.8) \quad |K_n(a, p^m)| \leq p^{mn/2} |U| \leq p^{mn/2} (p^{\frac{m}{2}-1} (p-1), n+1).$$

If  $\gamma = 0$  or  $\gamma \geq m - 2$  then (1.7) follows immediately from (2.8). Also, if  $\gamma = 0$  we see by (2.1) that  $K_n(a, p^m) = 0$  if  $a$  is not an  $(n+1)$ -th power  $\pmod{p}$  and that  $K_n(a, p^m)$  is a sum of  $(n+1, p-1)$  complex numbers of modulus  $p^{nm/2}$  if  $a$  is an  $(n+1)$ -th power  $\pmod{p}$ . Here we have used Lemma 2.1.

Suppose next that  $1 \leq \gamma < \frac{m}{2}$ . If  $a$  is not an  $(n+1)$ -th power  $\pmod{p^{\gamma+1}}$  then by (2.1) it follows that  $K_n(a, p^m) = 0$ . Suppose now that  $a$  is an  $(n+1)$ -th power  $\pmod{p^{\gamma+1}}$ . Then by Lemma 2.1  $a$  is an  $(n+1)$ -th power modulo any power of  $p$ . We first note that (2.1) may be written in the manner

$$(2.9) \quad K_n(a, p^m) = p^{nm/2} \sum_{u \in U} e_{p^m}(nu + a\bar{u}^n),$$

since, for  $u \in U$ , the value of  $nu + a\bar{u}^n \pmod{p^m}$  depends only on the value of  $u \pmod{p^{m/2}}$ . To see this, let

$$(2.10) \quad k := (\phi(p^m) - 1)n.$$

In particular,

$$(2.11) \quad p^{m-1} \mid (n+k).$$

Let  $u$  be any integer satisfying  $u^{n+1} \equiv a \pmod{p^{m/2}}$ , and let  $\bar{u}$  denote a multiplicative inverse of  $u \pmod{p^m}$ . Then

$$a\bar{u}^{n+1} p^{m/2} \equiv p^{m/2} \pmod{p^m},$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} n(u + p^{m/2}) + a\overline{(u + p^{m/2})}^n & \\ & \equiv nu + np^{m/2} + a\bar{u}^n(1 + \bar{u}p^{m/2})^k \pmod{p^m} \\ & \equiv nu + np^{m/2} + a\bar{u}^n(1 + k\bar{u}p^{m/2} + \binom{k}{2}\bar{u}^2p^m + \dots) \pmod{p^m} \\ & \equiv nu + a\bar{u}^n \pmod{p^m}. \end{aligned}$$

We partition  $U$  into  $(d, p-1)$  subsets as follows. Start by observing that the congruence  $x^{n+1} \equiv a \pmod{p}$  has  $(d, p-1)$  distinct solutions  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{(d, p-1)} \pmod{p}$ , each of which can be lifted to a solution  $\pmod{p^m}$ . We may assume that representatives have been chosen so that each  $\alpha_i$  satisfies the congruence  $x^{n+1} \equiv a \pmod{p^m}$ . Put  $j = \frac{m}{2} - \gamma$ . In particular  $j \geq 1$ . For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, (d, p-1)$ , let

$$U_i = \{\alpha_i + p^j t : t = 1, 2, \dots, p^\gamma\}.$$

Then (viewing the elements of  $U$ ,  $U_i$  as residue classes  $\pmod{p^{m/2}})$   $U$  is the disjoint union of the sets  $U_i$  and we have by (2.9)

$$K_n(a, p^m) = p^{nm/2} \sum_{i=1}^{(p-1, d)} S_i,$$

where

$$S_i = \sum_{t=1}^{p^\gamma} e_{p^m} \left( n(\alpha_i + p^j t) + a(\overline{\alpha_i + p^j t})^n \right).$$

In what follows let  $\alpha = \alpha_i$  and  $S_\alpha = S_i$  for a typical value  $i$ , and let  $k$  be as defined in (2.10). Thus

$$(2.12) \quad S_\alpha = \sum_{t=1}^{p^\gamma} e_{p^m}(f_\alpha(t)),$$

where

$$(2.13) \quad f_\alpha(t) := n(\alpha + p^j t) + a(\overline{\alpha + p^j t})^n.$$

The theorem will be proved if we can show that  $|S_\alpha| = p^{\gamma/2}$ .

Now for any integer  $t$ ,

$$(1 + \overline{\alpha p^j t})^n \equiv (1 + \overline{\alpha p^j t})^k \pmod{p^m}.$$

Also,

$$(2.14) \quad p^\gamma \parallel (k-1),$$

and  $a\overline{\alpha}^n \equiv \alpha \pmod{p^m}$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} f_\alpha(t) &\equiv n\alpha + np^j t + \alpha(1 + \overline{\alpha p^j t})^n \pmod{p^m} \\ &\equiv n\alpha + np^j t + \alpha(1 + \overline{\alpha p^j t})^k \pmod{p^m} \\ &\equiv n\alpha + np^j t + \alpha(1 + k\overline{\alpha p^j t} + \binom{k}{2}\overline{\alpha}^2 p^{2j} t^2 + \binom{k}{3}\overline{\alpha}^3 p^{3j} t^3 + \dots) \pmod{p^m}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.11) we obtain

$$(2.15) \quad f_\alpha(t) \equiv (n+1)\alpha + \overline{\alpha} \binom{k}{2} p^{2j} t^2 + \overline{\alpha}^2 \binom{k}{3} p^{3j} t^3 + \dots := \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} a_r t^r, \pmod{p^m}$$

say. Put

$$(2.16) \quad \sigma_\alpha = \min_{r \geq 1} \text{ord}_p(a_r), \quad g_\alpha(t) = p^{-\sigma_\alpha} f_\alpha(t).$$

Now, since  $p^\gamma \parallel (k-1)$  we have  $\text{ord}_p(a_2) = 2j + \gamma$  and for any  $r \geq 3$

$$\text{ord}_p(a_r) = \text{ord}_p \binom{k}{r} p^{rj} \geq \gamma + rj - \text{ord}_p(r!) > \gamma + rj - \frac{r}{p-1}$$

If  $p > 3$  or  $p = 3$  and  $j > 1$  it follows that  $\text{ord}_p(a_r) > 2j + \gamma$  for  $r \geq 3$ . Thus  $\sigma_\alpha = 2j + \gamma$ , and since  $m - (2j + \gamma) = \gamma$ , we can write

$$S_\alpha = \sum_{t=1}^{p^\gamma} e_{p^{m-\sigma_\alpha}}(g_\alpha(t)) = e_{p^m}((n+1)\alpha) \sum_{t=1}^{p^\gamma} e_{p^\gamma}(\overline{2\alpha k} \frac{k-1}{p^\gamma} t^2 + ph(t)),$$

for some polynomial  $h(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ . By Lemma 2.2 (a) we have  $|S_\alpha| = p^{\gamma/2}$ , and the result follows. If  $p = 3$  and  $j = 1$  then the same conclusion can be made using Lemma 2.2 (b).

Suppose finally that  $\frac{m}{2} \leq \gamma$ . If  $a$  is not an  $(n+1)$ -th power  $\pmod{p^{m/2}}$  then by (2.1),  $K_n(a, p^m) = 0$ . Suppose that  $a$  is an  $(n+1)$ -th power  $\pmod{p^{m/2}}$ .

Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{(d,p-1)}$  be distinct values (mod  $p$ ), each satisfying the congruence  $x^{n+1} \equiv a \pmod{p^{m/2}}$ . Then  $U$  can be written as a disjoint union of the sets

$$U_i = \{\alpha_i + pt : t = 1, 2, \dots, p^{\frac{m}{2}-1}\},$$

and we have

$$K_n(a, p^m) = \sum_{i=1}^{(p-1, d)} S_i,$$

where  $S_i := \sum_{u \in U_i} e_{p^m}(nu + a\bar{u}^n)$ . Let  $\alpha = \alpha_i$ ,  $S_\alpha = S_i$  for a typical value  $i$ . Now, since  $a\bar{\alpha}^n \equiv \alpha \pmod{p^{m/2}}$  we can write

$$a\bar{\alpha}^n = \alpha + \rho p^{m/2},$$

for some integer  $\rho$ . Then

$$S_\alpha = \sum_{t=1}^{p^{\frac{m}{2}-1}} e_{p^m}(f_\alpha(t)),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f_\alpha(t) &\equiv n\alpha + npt + (\alpha + \rho p^{m/2})(1 + \bar{\alpha}pt)^n \pmod{p^m} \\ &\equiv n\alpha + npt + (\alpha + \rho p^{m/2})(1 + \bar{\alpha}p^j t)^k \pmod{p^m} \\ (2.17) \quad &\equiv (n+1)\alpha + \rho p^{m/2} + k\rho\bar{\alpha}p^{\frac{m}{2}+1}t + (\alpha + \rho p^{\frac{m}{2}})\bar{\alpha}^2 \binom{k}{2} p^2 t^2 \\ &\quad + (\alpha + \rho p^{\frac{m}{2}})\bar{\alpha}^3 \binom{k}{3} p^3 t^3 + \dots \pmod{p^m} \\ &:= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} a_r t^r. \end{aligned}$$

We consider two cases. If  $a$  is not an  $(n+1)$ -th power (mod  $p^{\gamma+1}$ ) then  $\text{ord}_p(\rho) + m/2 < \gamma + 1$  and so

$$\text{ord}_p(a_1) = \text{ord}_p(\rho) + 1 + m/2 < \gamma + 2 = \text{ord}_p(a_2).$$

It follows that  $\sigma_\alpha = \text{ord}_p(a_1)$  and that  $g_\alpha$  is linear (mod  $p$ ), where  $\sigma_\alpha$  and  $g_\alpha$  are as defined in (2.16). Thus for any  $p > 2$  and  $m \geq \gamma + 2$ ,  $S_\alpha = 0$ . If  $a$  is an  $(n+1)$ -th power (mod  $p^{\gamma+1}$ ) then  $\sigma_\alpha = \gamma + 2$  and we may assume (by Lemma 2.1) that  $\alpha^{n+1} \equiv a \pmod{p^m}$ . If  $\gamma \geq m - 2$  then we just get  $|S_\alpha| = p^{\frac{m}{2}-1}$ . If  $p \geq 3$  and  $\gamma < m - 2$  then

$$S_\alpha = e_{p^m}((n+1)\alpha)p^{\gamma+1-\frac{m}{2}} \sum_{t=1}^{p^{m-\gamma-2}} e_{p^{m-\gamma-2}}(2\bar{\alpha}k \frac{k-1}{p^\gamma} t^2 + ph(t)),$$

for some polynomial  $h(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ . It follows from Lemma 2.2 that  $|S_\alpha| = p^{\gamma/2}$ . The case  $p = 3$  can be dealt with in a similar manner using Lemma 2.2 (b).

**The case of odd  $m$ .** Suppose now that  $m \geq 3$  is odd, say  $m = 2\beta + 1$ . Again write  $n+1 = p^\gamma d$  with  $p \nmid d$ . If  $\gamma = 0$  then (1.7) is an immediate consequence of (2.2). Indeed, if  $a$  is not an  $(n+1)$ -th power (mod  $p$ ) then by Theorem 3 of [9],  $K_n(a, p^m) = 0$ , and if  $a$  is an  $(n+1)$ -th power (mod  $p$ ) then by Theorem 1.2 (ii),  $K_n(a, p^m)$  is a sum of  $(n+1, p-1)$  complex numbers of modulus  $p^{nm/2}$ .

Suppose that  $\gamma \geq 1$ . Set

$$U = \{u : 1 \leq u \leq p^\beta, u^{n+1} \equiv a \pmod{p^{\beta+1}}\}.$$

By (2.3) we have the immediate upper bound

$$(2.18) \quad |K_n(a, p^m)| \leq p^{(nm+1)/2} |U| = p^{(nm-1)/2} (p^\beta (p-1), n+1).$$

If  $\gamma \geq m-2$  or  $\gamma = 1$  then (1.7) follows from (2.18). Moreover, if  $\gamma = 1$ , then Proposition 2.1 also follows immediately from (2.3).

Suppose now that  $2 \leq \gamma \leq \beta$ . If  $a$  is not an  $(n+1)$ -th power  $(\text{mod } p^\gamma)$ , then  $U$  is empty and  $K_n(a, p^m) = 0$ . If  $a$  is an  $(n+1)$ -th power  $(\text{mod } p^\gamma)$  then we proceed as above. Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{(d,p-1)}$  be distinct  $(n+1)$ -th roots of  $a$  chosen so that each  $\alpha_i$  satisfies  $\alpha_i^{n+1} \equiv a \pmod{p^m}$ . The trick for dealing with the sum in (2.3) is to note that for  $u \in U$ , the value of  $nu + a\bar{u}^n \pmod{p^m}$  depends only on the value of  $u \pmod{p^\beta}$ . Indeed, if  $u^{n+1} \equiv a \pmod{p^\beta}$  then setting  $k = n(p^{m-1}(p-1) - 1)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} n(u + p^\beta) + a\overline{(u + p^\beta)}^n & \\ & \equiv n(u + p^\beta) + a(u + p^\beta)^k \pmod{p^m} \\ & \equiv nu + np^\beta + a(u^k + ku^{k-1}p^\beta) \pmod{p^m}, \quad (\text{since } p|(k-1)) \\ & \equiv nu + au^k + p^\beta n(1 - au^{k-1}) \pmod{p^m} \\ & \equiv nu + au^k + p^\beta n(1 - u^{n+k}) \pmod{p^m} \\ & \equiv nu + au^k \pmod{p^m}. \end{aligned}$$

Set  $j = \frac{m+1}{2} - \gamma \geq 1$ . For  $i = 1, \dots, (d, p-1)$ , let

$$U_i = \{\alpha_i + p^j t : t = 1, 2, \dots, p^{\gamma-1}\}.$$

Then viewing the sets  $U, U_i$  as residue classes  $(\text{mod } p^\beta)$ , we see that  $U$  is a disjoint union of the sets  $U_i$ . Thus by (2.3) we have

$$(2.19) \quad |K_n(a, p^m)| = p^{(nm+1)/2} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{(d,p-1)} S_i \right|,$$

where

$$S_i = \sum_{t=1}^{p^{\gamma-1}} e_{p^m}(n(\alpha_i + p^j t) + a\overline{(\alpha_i + p^j t)}^n).$$

Let  $\alpha = \alpha_i, S_\alpha = S_i$ . Now,

$$\begin{aligned} f_\alpha(t) & := n\alpha + np^j t + a\bar{\alpha}^n (\overline{1 + \bar{\alpha} p^j t})^n \\ & \equiv (n+1)\alpha + (n+k)p^j t + \overline{2\alpha}(k-1)kp^{2j}t^2 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

This time the multiplicity of  $p$  dividing the  $t^2$  coefficient is  $2j + \gamma$ . Since  $m - (2j + \gamma) = \gamma - 1$  it follows as above that  $|S_\alpha| = p^{\frac{\gamma-1}{2}}$ , and the theorem follows.

Finally, suppose that  $\beta + 1 \leq \gamma$ . If  $a$  is not an  $(n+1)$ -th power  $(\text{mod } p^{\beta+1})$  then  $U$  is empty and  $K_n(a, p^m) = 0$ . If  $a$  is an  $(n+1)$ -th power  $(\text{mod } p^{\beta+1})$  then we set

$$U_i = \{\alpha_i + pt : t = 1, 2, \dots, p^{\beta-1}\},$$

where the  $\alpha_i$  are distinct  $(n+1)$ -th roots of  $a, (\text{mod } p)$ . Then  $U$  (viewed as residue classes  $(\text{mod } p^\beta)$ ) is the disjoint union of the sets  $U_i$ . Let  $\alpha = \alpha_i$  and

$$S_\alpha = \sum_{t=1}^{\beta-1} e_{p^m}(f_\alpha(t)),$$



where

$$f_\alpha(t) := (n(\alpha + pt) + a(\overline{\alpha + pt})^n).$$

Write

$$a\overline{\alpha}^n = \alpha + p\rho^{\beta+1},$$

for some integer  $\rho$ . If  $a$  is not an  $(n+1)$ -th power  $(\text{mod } p^{\gamma+1})$  then  $\text{ord}_p(\rho) + \beta + 1 < \gamma + 1$  and so

$$\text{ord}_p(a_1) < \gamma + 2 = \text{ord}_p(a_2) < \text{ord}_p(a_i), \quad \text{for } i \geq 3.$$

It follows that  $\sigma_\alpha = \text{ord}_p(a_1)$ , and that  $g_\alpha$  is linear  $(\text{mod } p)$ . If  $\sigma_\alpha \geq \gamma - 1$  then  $|S_\alpha| = p^{\beta-1}$  and  $p^{1/2}|S_\alpha| = p^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1}$ . If  $\sigma_\alpha < \gamma - 1$  then  $S_\alpha = 0$ . If  $a$  is an  $(n+1)$ -th power  $(\text{mod } p^{\gamma+1})$  then as above we obtain that  $|S_\alpha| = p^{\frac{\beta}{2}}$ .

### 3. THE CASE $p = 2$

Theorem 1.1 (b) is deduced from the following result of Smith [9], his Theorem 4 and Lemma 5 combined.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $m, n$  be positive integers with  $m \geq 2$  and suppose that  $2^\gamma \parallel (n+1)$ . Then*

(i) *If  $m$  is even then*

$$(3.1) \quad K_n(a, 2^m) = 2^{\frac{mn}{2}} \sum_{\substack{u=1 \\ u^{n+1} \equiv a \pmod{2^{m/2}}}^{2^{m/2}}} e_{2^m}(nu + a\overline{u}^n).$$

(ii) *If  $m$  is odd and  $\gamma = 0$  then  $|K_n(a, 2^m)| = 2^{mn/2}$ .*

(iii) *If  $m$  is odd and  $\gamma = 1$  then, letting  $\beta = (m-1)/2$ ,*

$$(3.2) \quad |K_n(a, 2^m)| = 2^{\frac{mn+1}{2}} \left| \sum_{\substack{u=1 \\ 2^\beta \parallel (u^{n+1}-a)}}^{2^\beta} e_{2^m}(nu + a\overline{u}^n) \right|.$$

(iv) *If  $m$  is odd and  $\gamma \geq 2$  then, letting  $\beta = (m-1)/2$ ,*

$$(3.3) \quad |K_n(a, 2^m)| = 2^{\frac{mn+1}{2}} \left| \sum_{\substack{u=1 \\ 2^{\beta+1} \parallel (u^{n+1}-a)}}^{2^\beta} e_{2^m}(nu + a\overline{u}^n) \right|.$$

We need also the following lemmas. For any odd integer  $a$  and positive integers  $s, \lambda$  let  $N_s(a, \lambda)$  denote the number of solutions of the congruence  $u^s \equiv a \pmod{2^\lambda}$ . The first lemma is elementary; see eg. [8] Corollary 2.44.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Suppose that  $a$  is odd and  $\lambda \geq 1$ .*

(i) *If  $s$  is odd then  $N_s(a, \lambda) = 1$ .*

(ii) *If  $s$  is even then  $N_s(a, \lambda) = (2s, 2^{\lambda-1})$  if  $a \equiv 1 \pmod{2(2s, 2^{\lambda-1})}$ , and equal to zero otherwise.*

We deduce easily the following analogue of Lemma 2.1.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $a$  be odd and suppose that  $2^\gamma \parallel (n+1)$ . If  $a$  is an  $(n+1)$ -th power  $(\text{mod } 2^{\gamma+2})$  then  $a$  is an  $(n+1)$ -th power modulo any power of 2.*

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $a$  be an odd integer and  $H(x)$  be any polynomial over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Then for any  $m \geq 1$  we have*

$$(3.4) \quad \left| \sum_{x=1}^{2^m} e_{2^m}(ax^2 + 2^2 H(x)) \right| \leq 2^{\frac{m+1}{2}},$$

and consequently

$$(3.5) \quad \left| \sum_{x=1}^{2^m} e_{2^{m+1}}(ax^2 + 2^2 H(x)) \right| \leq 2^{m/2}.$$

*Proof.* We note that if  $x \equiv y \pmod{2^m}$  then

$$ax^2 + 2^2 H(x) \equiv ay^2 + 2^2 H(y) \pmod{2^{m+1}},$$

and thus (3.5) is an immediate consequence of (3.4). The critical point congruence for the sum in (3.4) is just  $x \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  and thus there is a single critical point, of multiplicity 1. The inequality in (3.4) then follows from (2.4) and (2.5) for  $m \geq 4$ . For  $m = 1$  the inequality is trivial. For  $m = 2$  it is well known that  $\sum_{x=1}^4 e_4(ax^2) = 2(1 + i^a)$ , while for  $m = 3$  we have

$$\sum_{x=1}^8 e_8(ax^2 + 4H(x)) = 4(-1)^{H(1)} e_8(a).$$

□

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $a$  be any integer,  $b, c, d$  be odd integers and  $H(x)$  be any polynomial over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Then for any  $m \geq 1$  we have*

$$(3.6) \quad \left| \sum_{x=1}^{2^m} e_{2^m}(ax + bx^2 + 2cx^3 + 2dx^4 + 2^2 H(x)) \right| \leq 2^{\frac{m+3}{2}}.$$

Consequently, if  $a$  is even then

$$(3.7) \quad \left| \sum_{x=1}^{2^m} e_{2^{m+1}}(ax + bx^2 + 2cx^3 + 2dx^4 + 2^2 H(x)) \right| \leq 2^{\frac{m+2}{2}}.$$

*Proof.* We note that the inequality in (3.6) is trivial for  $m = 1, 2, 3$ . If  $4 \nmid a$  then there are no critical points associated with the sum and so the sum is zero for  $m \geq 4$ . If  $4 \mid a$  then the critical point congruence for the sum in (3.6) is just  $x(x+1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ , and thus there are two critical points, each of multiplicity one. The result follows from (2.4) and (2.5) for  $m \geq 4$ . □

We turn now to the proof of Theorem 1.1 when  $p = 2$ . Suppose first that  $\gamma = 0$ , that is,  $n + 1$  is odd. Then for any  $\lambda$ , the congruence  $u^{n+1} \equiv a \pmod{2^\lambda}$  has a unique solution. It follows from parts (i) and (ii) of Theorem 3.1 that  $|K_n(a, 2^m)| = 2^{m/2}$ . Henceforth we may assume that  $\gamma \geq 1$ .

**The case of even  $m$ .** Suppose first that  $m$  is even. By Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.1 (i) we have the immediate upper bound

$$(3.8) \quad |K_n(a, 2^m)| \leq 2^{\min(\gamma+1, \frac{m}{2}-1)} \cdot 2^{mn/2}.$$

The upper bound in (1.8) follows trivially if  $\gamma \geq m - 4$ . Thus we may assume that  $1 \leq \gamma \leq m - 5$  and that  $m \geq 6$ . We first consider the case that  $1 \leq \gamma \leq \frac{m}{2} - 2$ . Then by Lemma 3.1, the congruence  $u^{n+1} \equiv a \pmod{2^{m/2}}$  has either no solution,

in which case  $|K_n(a, 2^m)| = 0$ , or  $2^{\gamma+1}$  solutions. Suppose that the latter holds. Then by Lemma 3.2  $a$  is also an  $(n+1)$ -th power (mod  $2^m$ ). Let  $\alpha$  be a fixed value satisfying  $\alpha^{n+1} \equiv a \pmod{2^m}$ . Then the set of solutions of the congruence  $u^{n+1} \equiv a \pmod{2^{m/2}}$  (regarded as residue classes (mod  $2^{m/2}$ )) may be written

$$\{2^{\frac{m}{2}-\gamma}t \pm \alpha : 1 \leq t \leq 2^\gamma\}.$$

Since the value of  $nu + a\bar{u}^n \pmod{2^m}$  in the sum (3.1) depends only on the value of  $u \pmod{2^{m/2}}$  we may write

$$(3.9) \quad K_n(a, 2^m) = 2^{mn/2}(S^+ + S^-),$$

where, setting  $j = \frac{m}{2} - \gamma$ ,

$$(3.10) \quad S^+ = \sum_{t=1}^{2^\gamma} e_{2^m} \left( n(2^j t \pm \alpha) + a \overline{(2^j t \pm \alpha)^n} \right).$$

Set  $k = (2^{m-1} - 1)n$  and  $f(t) = n(2^j t + \alpha) + a \overline{(2^j t + \alpha)^n}$ . Then, since  $2^\gamma \mid (k-1)$  and  $a\bar{\alpha}^n \equiv \alpha \pmod{2^m}$  we have for any value of  $t$ ,

$$(3.11) \quad f(t) \equiv n(2^j t + \alpha) + \alpha(1 + 2^j \bar{\alpha} t)^k \pmod{2^m}$$

$$(3.12) \quad \equiv (n+1)\alpha + a_2 2^{m-\gamma-1} t^2 + \sum_{r \geq 3} a_r t^r \pmod{2^m},$$

say, where  $a_2 = k \frac{k-1}{2^\gamma} \bar{\alpha}$  and the coefficients  $a_r$  satisfy

$$(3.13) \quad \text{ord}_2(a_r) = \text{ord}_2 \binom{k}{r} + rj, \quad \text{for } r \geq 3.$$

Now  $\text{ord}_2(a_3) = \gamma - 1 + 3j \geq 2j + \gamma + 1$  (since  $j \geq 2$ ) and for  $r \geq 4$ ,

$$\text{ord}_2(a_r) \geq \gamma + 1 - \text{ord}_2(r!) + rj > \gamma + 1 - r + rj > 2j + \gamma + 1 = m - \gamma + 1.$$

Therefore we may write

$$f(t) \equiv (n+1)\alpha + a_2 2^{m-\gamma-1} t^2 + 2^{m-\gamma+1} H(t) \pmod{2^m}$$

for some polynomial  $H(t)$  with integer coefficients. It follows from Lemma 3.3 that,

$$|S^+| = \left| \sum_{t=1}^{2^\gamma} e_{2^m} (a_2 t^2 + 2^2 H(t)) \right| \leq 2^{\gamma/2}.$$

The same upper bound holds for  $S^-$  and the theorem follows.

Next we consider the case that  $\frac{m}{2} - 1 \leq \gamma \leq m - 5$ . Then, assuming that  $a$  is an  $(n+1)$ -th power (mod  $2^{m/2}$ ), we may write the set of solutions of the congruence  $u^{n+1} \equiv a \pmod{2^{m/2}}$  as

$$\{2t + \alpha : 1 \leq t \leq 2^{\frac{m}{2}-1}\},$$

where  $\alpha$  is a fixed value satisfying  $\alpha^{n+1} \equiv a \pmod{2^{m/2}}$ .

As with the case of odd  $p$  we write

$$a\bar{\alpha}^n = \alpha + \rho 2^{m/2},$$

for some integer  $\rho$ . Letting  $f(t) = n(2t + \alpha) + a \overline{(2t + \alpha)^n}$ , we have by Theorem 3.1 (i) that

$$K_n(a, 2^m) = 2^{mn/2} \sum_{t=1}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} e_{2^m}(f(t)).$$

Now, expanding  $f(t)$  as above, we see that

$$f(t) \equiv a_0 + a_1 2^{\frac{m}{2}+1}t + a_2 2^{\gamma+1}t^2 + a_3 2^{\gamma+2}t^3 + a_4 2^{\gamma+2}t^4 + 2^{\gamma+3}H(t) \pmod{2^m},$$

for some integers  $a_r$ ,  $0 \leq r \leq 4$ , with  $a_2, a_3, a_4$  odd, and polynomial  $H(t)$  over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $\delta$  denote the multiplicity of 2 dividing the coefficient of  $t$ . Note,  $\delta \geq \frac{m}{2} + 1$ . If  $\delta < \gamma + 1$  then  $K_n(a, 2^m) = 0$ . If  $\delta \geq \gamma + 1$  then we obtain

$$|K_n(a, 2^m)| = 2^{mn/2} \left| \sum_{t=1}^{2^{\frac{m}{2}-1}} e_{2^{m-\gamma-1}}(a_1 t + a_2 t^2 + 2a_3 t^3 + 2a_4 t^4 + 4H(t)) \right|,$$

for some integer  $a'_1$ . If  $\gamma = \frac{m}{2} - 1$  then  $a'_1$  is even and so by inequality (3.7) of Lemma 3.4 we obtain

$$|K_n(a, 2^m)| \leq 2 \cdot 2^{\gamma/2} 2^{mn/2}.$$

If  $\gamma \geq \frac{m}{2}$  then, by (3.6) we obtain

$$|K_n(a, 2^m)| \leq 2^{\frac{m\alpha}{2}} 2^{\gamma - \frac{m}{2}} 2^{\frac{m-\gamma+2}{2}} = 2 \cdot 2^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} 2^{mn/2}.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem for the case of even  $m$ .

**The case of odd  $m$ .** Suppose that  $m \geq 3$  is odd. If  $\gamma = 1$  then we trivially have from Theorem 3.1 (iii) that  $|K_n(a, 2^m)| \leq 2^{\frac{m\alpha+3}{2}}$ , for there are at most two values of  $u$  satisfying the constraints on the sum. Indeed, if  $a$  is not an  $(n+1)$ -th power  $(\text{mod } 2^\beta)$  then the sum is zero, and if  $a$  is such a power then, provided  $\beta \geq 3$ , there are precisely four distinct  $(n+1)$ -th roots of  $a \pmod{2^\beta}$  of which exactly two are roots  $(\text{mod } 2^{\beta+1})$ . If  $\beta = 1$  or  $2$  the assertion is also trivial.

Suppose now that  $\gamma \geq 2$ . By Theorem 3.1 (iv) we have

$$(3.14) \quad |K_n(a, 2^m)| = 2^{\frac{m\alpha-1}{2}} \left| \sum_{\substack{u=1 \\ 2^{\beta+1} | (u^{n+1} - a)}}^{2^\beta} e_{2^m}(nu + a\bar{u}^n) \right|.$$

If  $\gamma \geq m - 2$  then by Lemma 3.1,

$$|K_n(a, 2^m)| \leq 2^{\beta-1} 2^{\frac{m\alpha+1}{2}} \leq 2^{\frac{m}{2}-1} 2^{mn/2} = 2^{\frac{1}{2} \min(\gamma, m-2)} 2^{mn/2}.$$

Suppose now that  $2 \leq \gamma \leq m - 3$  and that  $a$  is an  $(n+1)$ -th power  $(\text{mod } 2^{\beta+1})$ . If  $2 \leq \gamma \leq \beta - 1$  then by Lemma 3.2,  $a$  is also an  $(n+1)$ -th power  $(\text{mod } 2^m)$  and the set of solutions of the congruence  $u^{n+1} \equiv a \pmod{2^{\beta+1}}$  is given by

$$\{2^{\beta+1-\gamma}t \pm \alpha : 1 \leq t \leq 2^\gamma\},$$

where  $\alpha$  satisfies  $\alpha^{n+1} \equiv a \pmod{2^m}$ . By Theorem 3.1 (iv) we can write

$$|K_n(a, 2^m)| = 2^{\frac{m\alpha+1}{2}} |S^+ + S^-|,$$

where  $S^\pm$  are as given in (3.10) with  $j = \beta + 1 - \gamma$ . The proof of the theorem follows as above. If  $\gamma \geq \beta$  then the set of solutions is given similarly by

$$\{2t + \alpha : 1 \leq t \leq 2^{\beta-1}\},$$

where  $\alpha$  is a fixed value satisfying  $\alpha^{n+1} \equiv a \pmod{2^{\beta+1}}$ . The theorem again follows as above.

## REFERENCES

- [1] T. Cochrane and Z. Zheng, *Bounds for certain exponential sums*, preprint.
- [2] T. Cochrane and Z. Zheng, *Pure and mixed exponential sums*, to appear in *Acta Arithmetica*.
- [3] R. Dabrowski and B. Fisher, *A stationary phase formula for exponential sums over  $\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}$  and applications to  $GL(3)$ -Kloosterman sums*, *Acta Arith.* 80 (1997), 1-48.
- [4] P. Deligne, *Applications de la formule des traces aux sommes trigonométriques*, in *Cohomologie Etale SGA 4 $\frac{1}{2}$* , *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, No. 569, Springer Verlag, New York, (1977), 168-232.
- [5] P. Ding, *On a conjecture of Chalk*, *J. Number Theory* 65, no. 2 (1997), 116-129.
- [6] W.K.A. Loh, *Hua's Lemma*, *Bull. Australian Math. Soc.* (3) 50 (1994), 451-458.
- [7] L.J. Mordell, *On a special polynomial congruence and exponential sums*, in *Calcutta Math. Soc. Golden Jubilee Commemoration Volume, Part I*, (1958/59), 29-32.
- [8] I. Niven, H.S. Zuckerman and H.L. Montgomery, *An introduction to the theory of numbers, fifth edition*, Wiley, New York, (1991).
- [9] R. A. Smith, *On  $n$ -dimensional Kloosterman sums*, *J. Number Theory* 11, (1979), 324-343.
- [10] Y. Ye, *Hyper-Kloosterman sums and estimation of exponential sums of polynomials of higher degrees*, *Acta Arith.* 86, no. 3, (1998), 255-267.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY, MANHATTAN, KS 66506  
*E-mail address*: cochrane@math.ksu.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG, POKFULAM, HONG KONG  
*E-mail address*: matmcliu@hkucc.hku.hk

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100084, P.R. CHINA  
*E-mail address*: zzheng@math.tsinghua.edu.cn