

Portland State University PDXScholar

Mathematics and Statistics Faculty Publications and Fariborz Maseeh Department of Mathematics and Presentations Statistics

2006

Kernels of Directed Graph Laplacians

John S. Caughman IV Portland State University, caughman@pdx.edu

J. J. P. Veerman Portland State University, veerman@pdx.edu

Let us know how access to this document benefits you.

Follow this and additional works at: http://pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu/mth_fac

Part of the Discrete Mathematics and Combinatorics Commons

Citation Details

Caughman, J.S., and Veerman, J.J.P. "Kernels of directed graph Laplacians." The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics 13.1 (2006).

This Article is brought to you for free and open access. It has been accepted for inclusion in Mathematics and Statistics Faculty Publications and Presentations by an authorized administrator of PDXScholar. For more information, please contact pdxscholar@pdx.edu.

Kernels of Directed Graph Laplacians

J.S. Caughman and J.J.P. Veerman

Department of Mathematics and Statistics Portland State University PO Box 751, Portland, OR 97207.

caughman@pdx.edu, veerman@pdx.edu

Submitted: Oct 28, 2005; Accepted: Mar 14, 2006; Published: Apr 11, 2006 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C50

Abstract. Let G denote a directed graph with adjacency matrix Q and indegree matrix D. We consider the Kirchhoff matrix L = D - Q, sometimes referred to as the directed Laplacian. A classical result of Kirchhoff asserts that when G is undirected, the multiplicity of the eigenvalue 0 equals the number of connected components of G. This fact has a meaningful generalization to directed graphs, as was observed by Chebotarev and Agaev in 2005. Since this result has many important applications in the sciences, we offer an independent and self-contained proof of their theorem, showing in this paper that the algebraic and geometric multiplicities of 0 are equal, and that a graphtheoretic property determines the dimension of this eigenspace – namely, the number of reaches of the directed graph. We also extend their results by deriving a natural basis for the corresponding eigenspace. The results are proved in the general context of stochastic matrices, and apply equally well to directed graphs with non-negative edge weights.

Keywords: Kirchhoff matrix. Eigenvalues of Laplacians. Graphs. Stochastic matrix.

1 Definitions

Let G denote a directed graph with vertex set $V = \{1, 2, ..., N\}$ and edge set $E \subseteq V \times V$. To each edge $uv \in E$, we allow a positive weight ω_{uv} to be assigned. The *adjacency* matrix Q is the $N \times N$ matrix whose rows and columns are indexed by the vertices, and where the *ij*-entry is ω_{ji} if $ji \in E$ and zero otherwise. The *in-degree matrix* D is the $N \times N$ diagonal matrix whose *ii*-entry is the sum of the entries of the *ith* row of Q. The matrix L = D - Q is sometimes referred to as the Kirchhoff matrix, and sometimes as the directed graph Laplacian of G.

A variation on this matrix can be defined as follows. Let D^+ denote the pseudo-inverse of D. In other words, let D^+ be the diagonal matrix whose *ii*-entry is D_{ii}^{-1} if $D_{ii} \neq 0$ and whose *ii*-entry is zero if $D_{ii} = 0$. Then the matrix $\mathcal{L} = D^+(D-Q)$ has nonnegative diagonal entries, nonpositive off-diagonal entries, all entries between -1 and 1 (inclusive) and all row sums equal to zero. Furthermore, the matrix $S = I - \mathcal{L}$ is stochastic.

We shall see (in Section 4) that both L and \mathcal{L} can be written in the form D - DS where D is an appropriately chosen nonnegative diagonal matrix and S is stochastic. We therefore turn our attention to the properties of these matrices for the statement of our main results.

We show that for any such matrix M = D - DS, the geometric and algebraic multiplicities of the eigenvalue zero are equal, and we find a basis for this eigenspace (the *kernel* of M). Furthermore, the dimension of this kernel and the form of these eigenvectors can be described in graph theoretic terms as follows.

We associate with the matrix M a directed graph G, and write $j \rightsquigarrow i$ if there exists a directed path from vertex j to vertex i. For any vertex j, we define the *reachable set* $\mathcal{R}(j)$ to be the set containing j and all vertices i such that $j \rightsquigarrow i$. A maximal reachable set will be called a *reach*. We prove that the algebraic and geometric multiplicity of 0 as an eigenvalue for M equals the number of reaches of G.

We also describe a basis for the kernel of M as follows. Let $\mathcal{R}_1, ... \mathcal{R}_k$ denote the reaches of G. For each reach \mathcal{R}_i , we define the *exclusive part* of \mathcal{R}_i to be the set $H_i = \mathcal{R}_i \setminus \bigcup_{j \neq i} \mathcal{R}_j$. Likewise, we define the *common part* of \mathcal{R}_i to be the set $C_i = \mathcal{R}_i \setminus H_i$. Then for each reach \mathcal{R}_i there exists a vector v_i in the kernel of M whose entries satisfy: (i) $(v_i)_j = 1$ for all $j \in H_i$; (ii) $0 < (v_i)_j < 1$ for all $j \in C_i$; (iii) $(v_i)_j = 0$ for all $j \notin \mathcal{R}_i$. Taken together, these vectors $v_1, v_2, ..., v_k$ form a basis for the kernel of M and sum to the all 1's vector 1.

Due to the recent appearance of Agaev and Chebotarev's notable paper [1], we would like to clarify the connections to their results. In that paper, the matrices studied have the form $M = \alpha(I - S)$ where α is positive and S stochastic. A simple check verifies that this is precisely the set of matrices of the form D - DS, where D is nonnegative diagonal. The number of reaches corresponds, in that paper, with the *in-forest dimension*. And where that paper concentrates on the location of the Laplacian eigenvalues in the complex plane, we instead have derived the form of the associated eigenvectors.

2 Stochastic matrices

A matrix is said to be *(row) stochastic* if the entries are nonnegative and the row sums all equal 1. Our first result is a special case of Geršgorin's theorem [3, p.344].

2.1 Lemma. Suppose S is stochastic. Then each eigenvalue λ satisfies $|\lambda| \leq 1$.

2.2 Definition. Given any real $N \times N$ matrix M, we denote by G_M the directed graph with vertices 1, ..., N and an edge $j \to i$ whenever $M_{ij} \neq 0$. For each vertex i, set $\mathcal{N}_i := \{j \mid j \to i\}$. We write $j \rightsquigarrow i$ if there exists a directed path in G_M from vertex j to vertex i. Furthermore, for any vertex j, we define $\mathcal{R}(j)$ to be the set containing j and all vertices i such that $j \rightsquigarrow i$. We refer to $\mathcal{R}(j)$ as the *reachable set* of vertex j. Finally, we say a matrix M is *rooted* if there exists a vertex r in G_M such that $\mathcal{R}(r)$ contains every vertex of G_M . We refer to such a vertex r as a *root*.

2.3 Lemma. Suppose S is stochastic and rooted. Then the eigenspace \mathcal{E}_1 associated with the eigenvalue 1 is spanned by the all-ones vector **1**.

Proof. Conjugating S by an appropriate permutation matrix if necessary, we may assume that vertex 1 is a root. Since S is stochastic, $S\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$ so $\mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{E}_1$. By way of contradiction, suppose dim $(\mathcal{E}_1) > 1$ and choose linearly independent vectors $x, y \in \mathcal{E}_1$. Suppose $|x_i|$ is maximized at i = n. Comparing the *n*-entry on each side of the equation x = Sx, we see that

$$|x_n| \leq \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_n} S_{nj} |x_j| \leq |x_n| \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_n} S_{nj} = |x_n|.$$

Therefore, equality holds throughout, and $|x_j| = |x_n|$ for all $j \in \mathcal{N}_n$. In fact, since $\sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_n} S_{nj} x_j = x_n$, it follows that $x_j = x_n$ for all $j \in \mathcal{N}_n$. Since S is rooted at vertex 1, a simple induction now shows that $x_1 = x_n$. So $|x_i|$ is maximized at i = 1. The same argument applies to any vector in \mathcal{E}_1 and so $|y_i|$ is maximized at i = 1.

Since $y_1 \neq 0$ we can define a vector z such that $z_i := x_i - \frac{x_1}{y_1}y_i$ for each i. This vector z, as a linear combination of x and y, must belong to \mathcal{E}_1 . It follows that $|z_i|$ is also maximized at i = 1. But $z_1 = 0$ by definition, so $z_i = 0$ for all i. It follows that x and y are not linearly independent, a contradiction. \Box

2.4 Lemma. Suppose S is stochastic $N \times N$ and vertex 1 is a root. Further assume \mathcal{N}_1 is empty. Let P denote the principal submatrix obtained by deleting the first row and column of S. Then the spectral radius of P is strictly less than 1.

Proof. Since \mathcal{N}_1 is empty, S is block lower-triangular with P as a diagonal block. So the spectral radius of P cannot exceed that of S. Therefore, by Lemma 2.1, the spectral radius of P is at most 1. By way of contradiction, suppose the spectral radius of P is equal to 1. Then by the Perron-Frobenius theorem (see [3, p. 508]), we would have Px = x for some nonzero vector x.

Define a vector v with $v_1 = 0$ and $v_i = x_{i-1}$ for $i \in \{2, ..., N\}$. We find that

$$Sv = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \cdots 0 \\ S_{21} & \\ \vdots & P \\ S_{N1} & \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ x \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ x \\ \end{pmatrix} = v.$$

So $v \in \mathcal{E}_1$. But $v_1 = 0$, so Lemma 2.3 implies x = 0. This contradiction completes the proof. \Box

2.5 Corollary. Suppose S is stochastic and $N \times N$. Assume the vertices of G_S can be partitioned into nonempty sets A, B such that for every $b \in B$, there exists $a \in A$ with $a \rightsquigarrow b$ in G_S . Then the spectral radius of the principal submatrix S_{BB} obtained by deleting from S the rows and columns of A is strictly less than 1.

Proof. Define the matrix \hat{S} by

$$\hat{S} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{u} & S_{BB} \end{array}\right),\,$$

THE ELECTRONIC JOURNAL OF COMBINATORICS 13 (2006), #R39

where **u** is chosen so that \hat{S} is stochastic. We claim that \hat{S} is rooted (at 1). To see this, pick any $b \in B$. We must show $1 \rightsquigarrow b$ in $G_{\hat{S}}$. By hypothesis there exists $a \in A$ with $a \rightsquigarrow b$ in G_S . Let

$$a = x_0 \to x_1 \to \cdots \to x_n = b$$

be a directed path in G_S from a to b. Let i be maximal such that $x_i \in A$. Then the x_{i+1}, x_i entry of S is nonzero, so the x_{i+1} row of S_{BB} has row sum strictly less than 1. Therefore, the x_{i+1} entry of the first column of \hat{S} is nonzero. So $1 \to x_{i+1}$ in $G_{\hat{S}}$ and therefore $1 \rightsquigarrow b$ in $G_{\hat{S}}$ as desired. So \hat{S} is rooted, and the previous lemma gives the result. \Box

2.6 Definition. A set \mathcal{R} of vertices in a graph will be called a *reach* if it is a maximal reachable set; in other words, \mathcal{R} is a *reach* if $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(i)$ for some *i* and there is no *j* such that $\mathcal{R}(i) \subset \mathcal{R}(j)$ (properly). Since our graphs all have finite vertex sets, such maximal sets exist and are uniquely determined by the graph. For each reach \mathcal{R}_i of a graph, we define the *exclusive part* of \mathcal{R}_i to be the set $H_i = \mathcal{R}_i \setminus \bigcup_{j \neq i} \mathcal{R}_j$. Likewise, we define the *common part* of \mathcal{R}_i to be the set $C_i = \mathcal{R}_i \setminus H_i$.

2.7 Theorem. Suppose S is stochastic $N \times N$ and let \mathcal{R} denote a reach of G_S with exclusive part H and common part C. Then there exists an eigenvector $v \in \mathcal{E}_1$ whose entries satisfy

- (i) $v_i = 1$ for all $i \in H$,
- (ii) $0 < v_i < 1$ for all $i \in C$,
- (iii) 0 for all $i \notin \mathcal{R}$.

Proof. Let Y denote the set of vertices not in \mathcal{R} . Permuting rows and columns of S if necessary, we may write S as

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} S_{HH} & S_{HC} & S_{HY} \\ S_{CH} & S_{CC} & S_{CY} \\ S_{YH} & S_{YC} & S_{YY} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} S_{HH} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ S_{CH} & S_{CC} & S_{CY} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & S_{YY} \end{pmatrix}$$

Since S_{HH} is a rooted stochastic matrix, it has eigenvalue 1 with geometric multiplicity 1. The associated eigenvector is $\mathbf{1}_{H}$.

Observe that S_{CC} has spectral radius < 1 by Corollary 2.5. Further, notice that $S(\mathbf{1}_H, \mathbf{0}_C, \mathbf{0}_Y)^T = (\mathbf{1}_H, S_{CH}\mathbf{1}_H, \mathbf{0}_Y)^T$ Using this, we find that solving the equation

$$S(\mathbf{1}_H, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0}_C)^T = (\mathbf{1}_H, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0}_C)^T$$

for \mathbf{x} amounts to solving

$$\left(egin{array}{c} \mathbf{1}_H \\ S_{CH} \mathbf{1}_H + S_{CC} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{0}_Y \end{array}
ight) = \left(egin{array}{c} \mathbf{1}_H \\ \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{0}_Y \end{array}
ight).$$

The electronic journal of combinatorics 13 (2006), #R39

Solving the above, however, is equivalent to solving $(I - S_{CC})\mathbf{x} = S_{CH}\mathbf{1}_H$. Since the spectral radius of S_{CC} is strictly less than 1, the eigenvalues of $I - S_{CC}$ cannot be 0. So $I - S_{CC}$ is invertible. It follows that $\mathbf{x} = (I - S_{CC})^{-1}S_{CH}\mathbf{1}_H$ is the desired solution.

Conditions (i) and (iii) are clearly satisfied by $(\mathbf{1}_H, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0}_Y)$,^T so it remains only to verify (ii). To see that the entries of \mathbf{x} are positive, note that $(I - S_{CC})^{-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} S_{CC}^i$, so the entries of \mathbf{x} are nonnegative and strictly less than 1. But every vertex in C has a path from the root, where the eigenvector has value 1. So since each entry in the eigenvector for S must equal the average of the entries corresponding to its neighbors in G_S , all entries in C must be positive. \Box

3 Matrices of the form D - DS

We now consider matrices of the form D - DS where D is a nonnegative diagonal matrix and S is stochastic. We will determine the algebraic multiplicity of the zero eigenvalue. We begin with the rooted case.

3.1 Lemma. Suppose M = D - DS, where D is a nonnegative diagonal matrix and S is stochastic. Suppose M is rooted. Then the eigenvalue 0 has algebraic multiplicity 1.

Proof. Let M = D - DS be given as stated. First we claim that, without loss of generality, $S_{ii} = 1$ whenever $D_{ii} = 0$. To see this, suppose $D_{ii} = 0$ for some *i*. If $S_{ii} \neq 1$, let S' be the stochastic matrix obtained by replacing the i^{th} row of S by the i^{th} row of the identity matrix I, and let M' = D - DS'. Observe that M = M', and this proves our claim. So we henceforth assume that

$$S_{ii} = 1 \qquad \text{whenever} \qquad D_{ii} = 0. \tag{1}$$

Next we claim that, given (1), ker(M) must be identical with ker(I-S). To see this, note that if (I-S)v = 0 then clearly Mv = D(I-S)v = 0. Conversely, suppose Mv = 0. Then D(I-S)v = 0 so the vector w = (I-S)v is in the kernel of D. If w has a nonzero entry w_i then $D_{ii} = 0$. Recall this implies $S_{ii} = 1$ and the i^{th} row of I-S is zero. But w = (I-S)v, so w_i must be zero. This contradiction implies w must have no nonzero entries, and therefore (I-S)v = 0. So M and I-S have identical nullspaces as desired.

By Lemma 2.3, $S\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$, so $M\mathbf{1} = 0$. Therefore the geometric multiplicity, and hence the algebraic multiplicity, of the eigenvalue 0 must be at least 1. By way of contradiction, suppose the algebraic multiplicity is greater than 1. Then there must be a nonzero vector x and an integer $d \ge 2$ such that

$$M^{d-1}x \neq 0$$
 and $M^d x = 0$.

Now, since kerM = ker(I - S), Lemma 2.3 and the above equation imply that $M^{d-1}x$ must be a multiple of the vector **1**. Scaling $M^{d-1}x$ appropriately, we find there exists a vector v such that

$$Mv = -\mathbf{1}.$$

Suppose $\operatorname{Re}(v_i)$ is maximized at i = n. Comparing the *n*-entries above, we find

$$D_{nn}\operatorname{Re}(v_n) + 1 = D_{nn}\sum_{j\in\mathcal{N}_n} S_{nj}\operatorname{Re}(v_j) \le D_{nn}\operatorname{Re}(v_n)\sum_{j\in\mathcal{N}_n} S_{nj} = D_{nn}\operatorname{Re}(v_n)$$

which is clearly impossible. \Box

3.2 Theorem. Suppose M = D - DS, where D is a nonnegative diagonal matrix and S is stochastic. Then the number of reaches of G_M equals the algebraic and geometric multiplicity of 0 as an eigenvalue of M.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{R}_1, ..., \mathcal{R}_k$ denote the reaches of G_M and let H_i denote the exclusive part of \mathcal{R}_i for each $1 \leq i \leq k$, and let $C = \bigcup_{i=1}^k C_i$ denote the union of the common parts of all the reaches. Simultaneously permuting the rows and columns of M, D, and S if necessary, we may write M = D - DS as

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} D_{H_1H_1}(I - S_{H_1H_1}) & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \ddots & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & D_{H_kH_k}(I - S_{H_kH_k}) & \mathbf{0} \\ \hline -D_{CC}S_{CH_1} & \cdots & \cdots & -D_{CC}S_{CH_k} & D_{CC}(I - S_{CC}) \end{pmatrix}$$

The characteristic polynomial $det(M - \lambda I)$ is therefore given by

$$\det(D_{H_1H_1}(I-S_{H_1H_1})-\lambda I)\cdots\det(D_{H_kH_k}(I-S_{H_kH_k})-\lambda I)\cdot\det(D_{CC}(I-S_{CC})-\lambda I)$$

By Lemma 3.1, each submatrix $D_{H_1H_1}(I - S_{H_1H_1})$ has eigenvalue 0 with algebraic and geometric multiplicity 1. But observe that D_{CC} has nonzero diagonal entries since C is the union of the common parts C_i , so $D_{CC}(I - S_{CC})$ is invertible by Corollary 2.5. The theorem now follows. \Box

We now offer the following characterization of the nullspace.

3.3 Theorem. Suppose M = D - DS, where D is a nonnegative $N \times N$ diagonal matrix and S is stochastic. Suppose G_M has k reaches, denoted $\mathcal{R}_1, ..., \mathcal{R}_k$, where we denote the exclusive and common parts of each \mathcal{R}_i by H_i , C_i respectively. Then the nullspace of M has a basis $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, ..., \gamma_k$ in \mathbb{R}^N whose elements satisfy:

(i) $\gamma_i(v) = 0$ for $v \notin \mathcal{R}_i$;

(ii)
$$\gamma_i(v) = 1$$
 for $v \in H_i$;

- (iii) $\gamma_i(v) \in (0,1)$ for $v \in C_i$;
- (iv) $\sum_i \gamma_i = \mathbf{1}_N$.

Proof. Let M = D - DS be given as stated. As in the proof of Theorem 3.2 above, we may assume without loss of generality that

$$S_{ii} = 1 \qquad \text{whenever} \qquad D_{ii} = 0. \tag{2}$$

We further observe, as in the proof of Theorem 3.2, that M and I - S have identical nullspaces, given (2).

Notice that the diagonal entries of a matrix do not affect the reachable sets in the associated graph, so the reaches of G_{I-S} are identical with the reaches of G_S . Furthermore, scaling rows by nonzero constants also leaves the corresponding graph unchanged, so $G_M = G_{D(I-S)} = G_{I-S}$. Therefore the reaches of G_M are identical with the reaches of G_S .

Applying Theorems 2.7 and 3.2, we find that the nullity of the matrix M equals k and the nullspace of M has a basis satisfying (i)–(iii). To see (iv), observe that the all 1's vector **1** is a null vector for M, and notice that the only linear combination of these basis vectors that assumes the value 1 on each of the H_i is their sum. \Box

4 Graph Laplacians

In this section, we simply apply our results to the Laplacians L and \mathcal{L} of a (weighted, directed) graph, as discussed in Section 1.

4.1 Corollary. Let G denote a weighted, directed graph and let \mathcal{L} denote the (directed) Laplacian matrix $\mathcal{L} = D^+(D-Q)$. Suppose G has N vertices and k reaches. Then the algebraic and geometric multiplicity of the eigenvalue 0 equals k. Furthermore, the associated eigenspace has a basis $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, ..., \gamma_k$ in \mathbb{R}^N whose elements satisfy: (i) $\gamma_i(v) = 0$ for $v \in G - \mathcal{R}_i$; (ii) $\gamma_i(v) = 1$ for $v \in H_i$; (iii) $\gamma_i(v) \in (0, 1)$ for $v \in C_i$; (iv) $\sum_i \gamma_i = \mathbf{1}_N$.

Proof. The matrix $S = I - \mathcal{L}$ is stochastic and the graphs G and G_S have identical reaches. The result follows by applying Theorem 3.3. \Box

We next observe that the same results hold for the Kirchhoff matrix L = D - Q.

4.2 Corollary. Let G denote a directed graph and let L denote the Kirchhoff matrix L = D - Q. Suppose G has N vertices and k reaches. Then the algebraic and geometric multiplicity of the eigenvalue 0 equals k. Furthermore, the associated eigenspace has a basis $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, ..., \gamma_k$ in \mathbb{R}^N whose elements satisfy: (i) $\gamma_i(v) = 0$ for $v \in G - \mathcal{R}_i$; (ii) $\gamma_i(v) = 1$ for $v \in H_i$; (iii) $\gamma_i(v) \in (0, 1)$ for $v \in C_i$; (iv) $\sum_i \gamma_i = \mathbf{1}_N$.

Proof. One simply checks that the matrix L has the form D - DS where S is the stochastic matrix $I - \mathcal{L}$ from above, and D is the in-degree matrix of G. The result follows by applying Theorem 3.3. \Box

In numerous applications, in particular those related to difference - or differential equations (see [6]), it is a crucial fact that any nonzero eigenvalue of the Laplacian has a strictly positive real part. Using some of the stratagens already exhibited, the proof of this fact is easy, and we include the result for completeness.

4.3 Theorem. Any nonzero eigenvalue of a Laplacian matrix of the form D-DS, where D is nonnegative diagonal and S is stochastic, has (strictly) positive real part.

Proof. Let $\lambda \neq 0$ be an eigenvalue of D - DS and v a corresponding eigenvector, so $(D - DS)v = \lambda v$. Thus for all i,

$$D_{ii}v_i = \lambda v_i + D_{ii}\sum_j S_{ij}v_j.$$
(3)

Suppose D_{ii} is zero. Then $\lambda v_i = 0$. Since $\lambda \neq 0$ it follows that $v_i = 0$. Since $\lambda \neq 0$, the vector v is not a multiple of **1**. Let n be such that $|v_i|$ is maximized at i = n. Multiply v by a nonzero complex number so that v_n is real. Since v_n is nonzero, the above argument shows that $D_{nn} \neq 0$. Dividing (3) for i = n by D_{nn} and taking the real and imaginary parts separately, we obtain

$$\sum_{j} S_{nj} \operatorname{Re} (v_j) = (1 - \frac{\operatorname{Re} (\lambda)}{D_{nn}})v_n, \qquad \sum_{j} S_{nj} \operatorname{Im} (v_j) = -\frac{\operatorname{Im} (\lambda)}{D_{nn}}v_n$$

The first of these equations implies that $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) \geq 0$. Now if $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) = 0$ then for all $j \in \mathcal{N}_n$ we have $v_j = v_n$ and thus $\operatorname{Im}(v_j) = 0$. Notice that in this case, the imaginary part of λ must be nonzero. So in the second equation above, the left hand side is zero but the right hand side is not. The conclusion is now immediate. \Box

Acknowledgment. The authors would like to thank Gerardo Lafferriere and Anca Williams for many helpful discussions and insightful comments on this topic.

References

- R. Agaev and P. Chebotarev. On the spectra of nonsymmetric laplacian matrices. Linear Algebra App., 399:157–168, 2005.
- [2] P. Chebotarev and R. Agaev. Forest matrices around the laplacian matrix. *Linear Algebra App.*, 356:253–274, 2002.
- [3] R. A. Horn and Charles R. Johnson. *Matrix Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1985.
- [4] T. Leighton and R. L. Rivest. The markov chain tree theorem. Computer Science Technical Report MIT/LCS/TM-249, Laboratory of Computer Scinece, MIT, Cambridge, Mass., 1983.
- [5] U. G. Rothblum. Computation of the eigenprojection of a nonnegative matrix at its spectral radius. *Mathematical Programming Study*, 6:188–201, 1976.
- [6] J. J. P. Veerman, G. Lafferriere, J. S. Caughman, and A. Williams. Flocks and formations. J. Stat. Phys., 121:901–936, 2005.