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### 1.0 HYBRID VEHICLE SIMULATION

### 1.1 INTRODUCTION

Both the Diesel-Hydraulic Hybrid Vehicle and Electric-Hydraulic Hybrid Vehicle have been simulated in this report. Before introducing the overall programs, we explain each individual module as follows.

### 1.2 VEHICLE DYNAMICS MODEL

The power required by the vehicle is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{V}_{r e q}=\left[R_{L}+\left(M+M_{r}\right) a\right] v \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\dot{V}_{\text {req }}$ is the power required at the wheels to accelerate the vehicle and overcome drag, rolling resistance, and climbing force. The vehicle speed is $v$ and the acceleration is $a$. The relations are represented in Figure 1.1: Required Power.


Figure 1.1: Required Power


Figure 1.2: Accelerated Load
The road load is: $R_{L}=\frac{1}{2} \rho v^{2} C_{D} A+f W+W \sin \theta$, where the first part is aerodynamic drag, the second part is the rolling resistance force and the third part is the climbing force. This equation is represented in Figure 1.3: Road load.


Figure 1.3: Road load


Figure 1.4: Aerodynamic drag


Figure 1.5: Rolling Resistance


Figure 1.6: Climbing Force
$M$ is vehicle full loading mass and the effective mass.
The equivalent mass of the rotating components $M_{r}$ can be obtained from the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{L}=M\left(1+0.04 N_{t} N_{f}+0.0025 N_{t}^{2} N_{f}^{2}\right)-M \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N_{t}$ and $N_{f}$ are the gear ratios for the final drive (differential) and transmission. (The added mass term associated with rotating hydraulic components and compressor components is neglected; this assumption is reasonable because the expression for effective mass is conservative). If the vehicle is being powered by the hydraulic motor only (or absorbing power through the pump), $N_{t}$. Since the vehicle power is largely governed by the acceleration loads in the urban drive cycle, the simulation is particularly sensitive to the equivalent mass: $M_{r}+M$.

### 1.3 DRIVING SCHEDULE

The EPA Urban Dynamometer Driving Schedule (UDDS) is commonly called the "LA4" or "the city test" and represents city driving conditions.


Figure 1.7: Standard EPA driving schedule
Our simulation for this driving schedule:


Figure 1.8: Simulation for standard driving schedule of velocity in miles per hour


Figure 1.9: Simulation for standard driving schedule of velocity in meter per second


Figure 1.10: Simulation for standard driving schedule of acceleration

### 1.4 VEHICLE PARAMETERS

The simulation we have completed including the designs of two types of vehicles: Electric Hydraulic Hybrid Vehicle and Diesel Internal Combustion Engine Hydraulic Hybrid Vehicle. The following parameters specifications are used in our program:

Vehicle Specifications:

| Vehicle Mass | 10340 kg |
| :---: | :---: |
| Radius of vehicle <br> wheel | 0.4131 m |

Transmissions Specifications:

| Transmission: 1st Gear Ratio | 3.45 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Transmission: 2nd Gear Ratio | 2.24 |
| Transmission: 3rd Gear Ratio | 1.41 |
| Transmission: 4th Gear Ratio | 1 |
| Transmission: 1st Gear Efficiency | 0.9893 |
| Transmission: 2nd Gear Efficiency | 0.966 |
| Transmission: 3rd Gear Efficiency | 0.9957 |
| Transmission: 4th Gear Efficiency | 1 |
| Prop-shafts/Differential: Differential drive ratio | 3.21 |
| Prop-shafts/Differential: Differential efficiency | 0.96 |

Hydraulic System Specifications:

| Pump Motor Ratio | 2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Pump Motor Efficiency | 0.9 |
| Pump Displacement | $3.5 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| Pump Motor Torque Efficiency | 0.95 |
| Pump Motor Volumetric Efficiency | 0.95 |

Electric Motor and Battery System Specifications:

| Battery Voltage | 12 V |
| :---: | :---: |
| Number of Batteries | To be provided |
| Each Battery Capacity | To be provided |
| Maximum Output Torque | $15,000 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{lb}$ |
| Rated Output Torque | 9000 ft lb |
| Peak Power | 200 kW |
| Rated Power | 150 kW |

## Diesel ICE Specifications:

| Configuration | V8 Turbocharged, Intercooled |
| :--- | :--- |
| Displacement | 7.3 L |
| Bore | 10.44 cm |
| Stroke | 10.62 cm |
| Connecting Rod Length | 18.11 cm |
| Compression Ratio | 17.4 |
| Cutoff | 2 |
| Combustion Efficiency | 1 |
| Rated Power | $210 \mathrm{hp} @ 2410 \mathrm{rpm}, 520 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{ft} @ 1500 \mathrm{rpm}$ |
| Heating Value of Diesel QLHV | $43000000 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| Fuel Density | 800 |

The motor efficiency vs. speed map is given as follows:
50\%@50RPM, 60\%@100RPM, 80\%@200RPM, 88\%@300RPM, 92\%@400RPM, 97\%@500RPM, 95\%@600RPM, 92\%@700RPM


Figure 1.11: Internal Combustion Engine Schematic
The motor torque vs. speed map torque decay curve is given as follows:
$\beta=-10^{-12} x^{4}+4 \times 10^{-9} x^{3}-4 \times 10^{-6} x^{2}+5 \times 10^{-5} x+1.0086$
where $\beta$ is the percentage of the maximum torque and x is RPM.

### 1.5 INTERNAL COMBUSTION ENGINE

The International 4700 series, Class VI, $4 \times 2$ delivery truck is powered by a V8 turbocharged, inter-cooled, 7.3L diesel engine with rated power of $157 \mathrm{~kW} @ 2400 \mathrm{rpm}$. Although parallel hybrids offer the opportunity for engine downsizing, it is not adopted here. Because in this proposed concept system there is the condition that the engine runs the compressor to recharge the air tank and also run the vehicle. In this state, the engine will supply more power than a conventional vehicle.


Figure 1.12: Internal Combustion Engine


Figure 1.13: Otto Cycle Engine Efficiency


Figure 1.14: Friction Power

### 1.5.1 Fuel Consumption

The mass flow rate of fuel to the engine is determined from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{m}_{f}=\frac{\dot{W}_{e}+\dot{W}_{e f r i c}}{\eta \eta_{c e} Q_{L H V}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\dot{W}_{e}$ is the engine output power, $\dot{W}_{\text {efric }}$ is the friction power produced by the movement components inside the engine.

The actual torque $T_{e}=\frac{\dot{W}_{e}}{\omega_{e}}$, where $\omega_{e}=N_{f} N_{t} \omega_{w}$.
$\omega_{w}$ is the wheel angular speed: $\omega_{w}=\frac{V}{r_{w}}$, where $r_{w}$ is the wheel radius.
$\eta$ is the thermal efficiency. $\eta_{c e}$ is the combustion efficiency, $Q_{L H V}$ is the lower heating value of the diesel fuel. In order to obtain the fuel mass flow rate in $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{s}, \dot{W}_{e}, \dot{W}_{\text {efric }}$ must be in watts, and $Q_{L H V}$ must be in $\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{kg}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{W}_{\text {efric }}=\frac{f(r p m) D_{e} N}{2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{e}$ is volumetric displacement (per revolution) of the engine, $N$ is the engine angular speed in rev/s. The empirical quantity $f(r p m)$ accounts for engine friction, accessory power, and engine pumping losses. For diesel engines, the quantity $f(r p m)$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(r p m)=C_{1}+48 \times \frac{r p m}{1000}+0.4 \bar{S}_{p}^{2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{1}$ is a constant in $\mathrm{kPa} . \bar{S}_{p}$ is the mean piston speed in $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$. The mean piston speed is obtained from: $\bar{S}_{p}=2 L N$ where L is the stroke (m), and N is the engine angular speed in rev/s. The unit for $f(r p m)$ is kPa .

The thermal efficiency $(\eta)$ calculation: The thermal efficiency is $\eta=0.87 \eta_{\text {ideal }}$, where $\eta_{\text {ideal }}$ is the ideal thermal efficiency.

Since the compression and power strokes of this idealized cycle are adiabatic, the efficiency can be calculated from the constant pressure and constant volume processes. In this process, the efficiency can be described

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{\text {ideal }}=1-\frac{1}{r^{k-1}} \frac{r_{c}^{k}-1}{k\left(r_{c}-1\right)} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1.15: Otto Cycle
where $r$ is the compression ratio: $r=\frac{V_{\max }}{V_{\min }}=\frac{V_{1}}{V_{2}}$ $r_{c}$ is the cutoff ratio: $r_{c}=\frac{\text { volume at the end of heat addition }}{\text { volume at the start of heat addition }}=\frac{V_{3}}{V_{2}}$ k is the specific heat ratio: $k=C_{p} / C_{v}$.


Figure 1.16: Gas Tank

### 1.5.2 Gas Tank

Once the fuel mass flow rate is determined, the instantaneous fuel economy is determined from:

Fuel Economy $=\frac{V}{\dot{m}_{f} / \rho_{f}}$
where $\rho_{f}$ is the density of the fuel. The average fuel economy for the trip ( $0<t<t_{f}$ ) is determined from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Average Fuel Economy }=\frac{\int_{0}^{t_{f}} V d t}{\frac{1}{\rho_{f}} \int_{0}^{t_{f}} \dot{m}_{f} d t} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 1.6 HYDRAULIC SYSTEM

### 1.6.1 Hydraulic Pump/Motor (P/M) Model

Hydraulic pump/motor ( $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{M}$ ) units are two directional energy conversion devices. In the pump mode, the hydraulic P/M converts the kinetic energy from vehicle braking motion into hydraulic energy stored in the high pressure accumulator. In the motor mode, the hydraulic P/M converts this hydraulic energy into kinetic energy to assist vehicle acceleration. The hydraulic pump/motor is an axial, variable displacement design. The piston travel and displacement are varied by changing the swash plate angle. The diagram is shown in Figure 1.17.


Figure 1.17: Hydraulic Motor/Pump System
The pump/motor power is $\dot{W}_{h}=T_{h} \omega_{h}$ (Watts), where $\omega_{h}$ is the P/M angular speed.
$T_{h}$ is the $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{M}$ torque. $T_{h}=\Delta p \cdot D(\mathrm{Nm})$, where $\Delta p=P_{\text {high }}-P_{\text {low }}$ is the pressure difference across the pump/motor ( $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{M}$ ).
$P_{\text {high }}$ is the pressure in the accumulator. $P_{\text {low }}$ is the low pressure accumulator (the reservoir).
$D$ is the pump/motor displacement. It is in the range $\left(-D_{\max }: D_{\max }\right), D_{\max }$ is the maximum displacement of the pump/motor.

The volumetric flow rate $Q$ through the pump/motor is: $Q=\omega_{h} D\left(\mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$.

The difference between the real volumetric flow ( $Q_{\text {act }}$ ) and real torque ( $T_{\text {act }}$ ) and ideal quantities calculated above are accounted for by the volumetric and torque coefficients.

The volumetric efficiencies $\eta_{v}$ and the torque efficiency $\eta_{T}$ of the $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{M}$ are defined by the following equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \eta_{v}=\frac{Q_{a c t}}{Q}  \tag{10}\\
& \eta_{T}=\frac{T_{a c t}}{T} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Pump versus motor

$$
\begin{align*}
& \eta_{v, \text { motor }}=\frac{1}{2-\eta_{v, \text { pump }}}  \tag{12}\\
& \eta_{T, \text { motor }}=\frac{1}{2-\eta_{T, \text { pump }}} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

In this model, the displacement D can be positive (motor model) and negative (pump model). Thus, $T_{h}$ and Q can be positive and negative.

### 1.6.2 Accumulator Model

Accumulator is used as energy storage device.


Figure 1.18: Accumulator Categories
Normally, a gas is considered in ideal state. The basic state parameters are pressure (P), volume (V) and temperature (T). The rate at which compression and expansion of the gas takes place affects the gas state. If the rate is very slow and the gas temperature doesn't change, this process is called as isothermal process. If the rate is so fast that the gas temperature changes but not the surroundings (no gain or loss of heat), this process is known as adiabatic process. In this project, the gas in the accumulator is considered in isothermal process because the foam in the accumulator acts as a heat sink, and the gas follows the ideal gas law:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P V=n R T \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here n is the gas mass, R is the specific gas (Nitrogen) constant.


Figure 1.19: Accumulator Operation Principles

The volume flow rate and the volume change can be figured out from the following equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q=\frac{d V}{d t}  \tag{15}\\
& V=\int Q d t \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

In the MATLAB/Simulink model, there are two accumulators. When one accumulator works as high pressure accumulator, another one works as reservoir. When the all oil flows to low pressure accumulator from high pressure accumulator, this means $V=V_{0}$, $V_{0}$ is the oil volume in the system), the switch occurs, the low pressure accumulator becomes high pressure and the high pressure accumulator becomes low pressure.

The State of Charge (SOC) is defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S O C=\frac{V_{a}-V}{V_{a}-V_{\min }} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{a}$ is the accumulator maximum gas volume, and $V_{\text {min }}$ is the minimum gas volume.


Figure 1.20: Accumulator

Notice that in Figure 1.20: Accumulator, both adiabatic process and isothermal process are included. For isothermal process, temperature is constant as shown in the program Ti. For adiabatic process, we denote the specific heat at constant volume is given as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{v}=\frac{d U}{d T} \frac{1}{n} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,we have
$n C_{v} d T+P d V=0$
Since $P V=n R T$, therefore, we have
$n R d T=P d V+V d P$
Based on the previous two equations, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-P d V=n C_{v} d T \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is used in our simulation program.

### 1.6.3 Hydraulic Transmission

The hydraulic transmission simulation is given in Figure 1.21: Hydraulic Transmission.


Figure 1.21: Hydraulic Transmission


Figure 1.22: The Motor Efficiency Based on Curve Fitting

### 1.7 ELECTRICAL SYSTEM

### 1.7.1 Electrical Motor

The motor efficiency $\eta_{m}=\frac{P_{\text {out }}}{P_{\text {in }}}$, where $P_{\text {out }}$ is the mechanical shaft power output in watts, and $P_{\text {in }}$ is the electrical power input in watts.

Based on measurement of electrical motor, we have the following efficiency table.

| Efficiency in <br> Percentage | RPM |
| :---: | :---: |
| 50 | 50 |
| 60 | 100 |
| 80 | 200 |
| 88 | 300 |
| 92 | 400 |
| 97 | 500 |
| 95 | 600 |
| 92 | 700 |

The electrical motor in use has 150 kW rated typical power, 200 kW peak power ( $2-3 \mathrm{sec}$.) and instantaneous peak power is 400 kW .


Figure 1.23: Sub-function of Motor Efficiency Curve Fitting
The MATLAB function to find the motor efficiency:

## function $\mathbf{y}=$ motorefficiency $(\mathbf{u})$

```
yy=[0.5 0.6 0.8 0.88 0.92 0.97 0.95 0.92];
xx=[50 100 200 300 400 500 600 700];
P=polyfit(xx,yy,3);
y = polyval(P,u);
```


### 1.7.2 Battery System

The circumference of the wheel is $C=2 \pi r_{w}$ in inches. Then the vehicle traveled distance per minute is $C \times r p m$ inches. The vehicle travelled distance per hour is $C \times r p m \times 60$ inches, which equals $C \times r p m \times 60 / 63360$ miles per hour.

The motor angular speed $\omega_{e}=N_{f} N_{h} \omega_{w}=N_{f} N_{h} v / r_{w} \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{sec}$, we can obtain the revolution per minute:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r p m=\omega_{e} /(2 \pi \times 60) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1.24: Battery System

### 1.8 POWER MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

Different rules for power distribution between the Hydraulic/Electric Motor and the ICE/Electric Motor are implemented for each of the power delivery modes.

### 1.8.1 Power Delivery Mode

In power delivery mode ( $\left.\dot{W}_{\text {req }}>0\right)$ the motor attempts to take the entire load. The actual required volumetric flow rate and hydraulic motor output power can be attained through the following equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{a c t}=\omega_{h} D_{a c t}  \tag{23}\\
& \dot{W}_{h, a c t}=\Delta p \cdot Q_{a c t} \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

where $D_{\text {act }}$ is the actual displacement, $D_{\text {min }}<D_{\text {act }}<D_{\max }, \omega_{h}$ is the angular speed of P/M.
If the power output $\dot{W}_{h, a c t}$ meets the required demand, the engine or electric motor idles or the engine only drives the compressor and all vehicle power is supplied by the motor. If $\dot{W}_{h, a c t}$ is less than the demand, the engine or electric motor will make up the difference.

The power required at the propeller shaft is $\frac{\dot{W}_{h, a c t}}{\eta_{f}}, \eta_{f}$ is the differential efficiency. The power delivered to the propeller shaft by the engine/electric motor and the $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{M}$ unit is given as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{h} \dot{W}_{h}+\eta_{t}\left(\dot{W}_{e}-\dot{W}_{c}\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta_{h}$ is the hydraulic transmission efficiency;
$\eta_{t}$ is the transmission efficiency (which depends on the transmission gear ratio $N_{t}$ )
$\dot{W}_{h}$ is the hydraulic motor power output,
$\dot{W}_{e}$ is the engine or electric motor power output,
$\dot{W}_{c}$ is the compressor required power.
This leads to the following equation

$$
\frac{\dot{W}_{\text {req }}}{\eta_{f}}=\eta_{h} \dot{W}_{h}+\eta_{t}\left(\dot{W}_{e}-\dot{W}_{c}\right)
$$

### 1.8.2 Power Absorption Mode

In power absorption mode ( $\dot{W}_{\text {req }}<0$ ), the engine/electric motor idles or the engine/electric motor only drives the compressor. The hydraulic unit operates in pump mode, which is subjected to the same displacement limitation as in motor mode, now filling and pressurizing the accumulator. If the braking load is beyond the pump's capability (which is the case if the maximum displacement magnitude is reached or the accumulator is full), the remaining braking power is absorbed by friction brakes.

The power delivered to propeller shaft by the differential is $\eta_{f} \dot{W}_{\text {req }}$, the power at the propeller shaft to drive the pump is $\frac{\dot{W}_{h}}{\eta_{h}}$, and thus

$$
\begin{align*}
& \eta_{f} \dot{W}_{\text {req }}=\frac{\dot{W}_{h}}{\eta_{h}}+\dot{W}_{\text {fric }}  \tag{27}\\
& \dot{W}_{e}=\dot{W}_{c} \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\dot{W}_{\text {fric }}$ is the power dissipated by friction brakes.

### 1.9 OVERALL SIMULATION PROGRAM

The overall simulation program and results are summarized in this section. Please notice that the MPG can be obtained from the diesel ICE â€" hydraulic hybrid vehicle simulation program; and the kWh per 100 miles can be obtained from the output of the electric - hydraulic hybrid vehicle simulation program.

Based on our simulation results, by including the hydraulic system, the diesel-hydraulic hybrid vehicle has shown significant improvement in miles per gallon (MPG); and the electrichydraulic hybrid vehicle has shown significant improvement in kWh per 100 miles. Therefore, our hydraulic hybrid vehicles show superior performance.

### 1.9.1 Overall Diesel-Hydraulic Hybrid Vehicle Simulation

The overall electric hydraulic hybrid vehicle simulations are summarized in Figure 1.25: Overall Diesel-Hydraulic Hybrid Vehicle System to Figure 1.28: Power Management Corresponding to the Drive Schedule.


Figure 1.25: Overall Diesel-Hydraulic Hybrid Vehicle System


Figure 1.26: State of Charge


Figure 1.27: Engine Torque with Hydraulic System


Figure 1.28: Power Management Corresponding to the Drive Schedule

### 1.9.2 Overall Electric-Hydraulic Hybrid Vehicle Simulation

The overall electric hydraulic hybrid vehicle simulations are summarized in Figure 1.29: Overall Electric-Hydraulic Hybrid Vehicle System to Figure 1.32: Power Management Corresponding to the Drive Schedule.


Figure 1.29: Overall Electric-Hydraulic Hybrid Vehicle System


Figure 1.30: State of Charge


Figure 1.31: Electric Motor Torque with Hydraulic System


Figure 1.32: Power Management Corresponding to the Drive Schedule

### 2.0 ELECTRICAL SYSTEM CONTROL DESIGN

### 2.1 INTRODUCTION

Permanent Magnet Synchronous Motors are widely used in hybrid vehicle applications. PMSM have high performance, very good controllability in full speed operating range, high efficiency, small size compared with other motor types, fast spinning (fast acceleration and deceleration), completely controllable torque at zero speed, smooth rotation, and a small torque ripple at low speed. For these properties, PMSM have become the preferred choice for hybrid electrical vehicles in order to use power more efficiently. This is due to the increasing demand and price of fuel along with increased environmental regulation. Figure 2.1 shows the hybrid electrical vehicle with PMSM.


Figure 2.1: Hybrid Electrical Vehicle with PMSM

Permanent Magnet Synchronous Motor consists of a three phase stator and a permanent magnet rotor. The commonly used materials for the permanent magnet of the rotor is rare earth magnets. The drawback of PMSM is cogging torque at low speed as a result of an interaction between the stator teeth and the permanent magnet of the rotor, where the rotor tends to align at
discrete positions. By suitable design of machine or electronic justification, this disadvantage can be eliminated.


Figure 2.2: Picture of PMSM

Field Oriented control or Vector Control is the most efficient method of control for PMSM. To implement the control theory, PMSM must be powered by an inverter, such as voltage source inverter, for control of the magnitude, phase, and frequency of the stator current waveform. Furthermore, the field oriented control requires the PMSM model in rotating"dq0" coordinate frame for implementing this theory.

The PMSM model is derived in "abc" coordinate frame. Park and Clarke transformations convert the motor model to be independent of time. The Clarke transformation is used to map from "abc" into a stationary " $\alpha \beta 0$ " coordinate frame, meaning the PMSM model is still time varying. The Rotational park transformation converts the model from " $\alpha \beta 0$ " into "dq0" coordinate frame in order to make the state space model time invariant.

Nowadays, switching power electronics, such as IGBT, are essential for controlling AC or DC machines. Current Source Inverters or Voltage Source Inverters, which convert DC into AC, can be used to provide the desired voltage/current to control an PMSM AC motor. Accordingly, Pulse Width Modulation for switching power electronics is very important in governing electrical machines. Space Vector PWM, one of PWM techniques, produces less distortion harmonics in inverter output.

The FOC was presented in 1971 by F. Blascke for controlling an induction motor. The objective of FOC method is to control the magnetizing flux and electromagnet torque, independently. The theory behind FOC is treating and controlling the PMSM like a DC machines, such as separate excited DC motor, by transforming the PMSM model from "abc" into rotating "dq0" coordinate frame. q-axis current component will control the developed torque, like armature current in a DC machine, while D-axis current component control magnetizing field similar to field current of a DC machine.

Proportional-Integral Controllers, PI, are used to regulate the "d" and "q" output current components with reference currents in order to control the speed and torque. To implement FOC algorithms, one needs an advanced processor, such as a Digital Signal Processor, to compute the parameters in real time.

A Texas Instruments DSP controller is used to implement FOC and control the PMSM. The FOC block diagram is shown in Figure 2.3. FOC needs two PI controllers to regulate $I_{q}$ and
$I_{d}$ and one to regulate the speed. Therefore, design the PI controllers are the major goal of this investigation for directing the torque as well the speed. Initially, PI controllers and system responses are computed through MATLAB simulations. Using frequency response and symmetric optimum tuning methods, PI controllers are calculated from closed loop systems. The FOC with control PI are implemented via the DSP. The PI controllers are designed and the system response is obtained for the torque control of PMSM using FOC based on DSP.


Figure 2.3: Basic FOC Diagram

Nonlinear control based on sliding mode control and state dependent LMI are also proposed as a powerful control techniques to control the permanent magnet synchronous motor in hybrid vehicle applications.

Finally, the DSpace hardware controller is used for the overall control system design.

### 2.2 MODELING OF PERMANENT MAGNET SYNCHRONOUS MOTOR

The Permanent Magnet Synchronous Motor is a three phase AC machine. PMSM is a brush-less motor, since the excitation field is a permanent magnet that is mounted in the rotor. According to the mounted place of permanent magnet, PMSM can be classified into two categories: surface PMSMs and interior PMSMs. PMSMs are widely used in servo-systems, driving electric drivers, hybrid vehicles, industrial robots, etc. PMSMs have high efficiency, excellent controllability in full torque-speed operating range, lower weight-torque and weightpower ratio, easier maintenance as well as lower cost. PMSMs are the preferred choice for hybrid vehicles.

The Permanent Magnet Synchronous Motor has three phase windings in the stator, which are Y-connected or $\Delta$-connected and spaced $120^{\circ}$ degrees apart around the surface of the motor. The stator windings are sinusoidally distributed in order to minimize the higher order harmonic component and build up of a magnetic field in the air-gap that mainly consists of the fundamental sinusoidal component.


Figure 2.4: Schematic Diagram of a Three-Phase Permanent magnet Synchronous Motor

Figure 2.4: Schematic Diagram of a Three-Phase Permanent magnet Synchronous Motor, depicts a schematic of PMSM with three single phase coils in the stator and their magnetic axis, and a permanent magnet rotor with direct and quadrature magnetic axis. The stator and rotor are made from iron core, which has a much lower reluctance in comparison to air-gap between them. Therefore, the magnetic fields are entirely directed to the air-gap. Thus, one can assume that the entire magnetic energy is converted within the air-gap by neglecting the magnetic reluctance of both the stator and rotor due to large permeability, $\mu$, in iron. Moreover, there is a constant field across the air-gap since the rotor's radius is far greater than the air-gap length .

Applying a three phase current to the stator windings will produce a rotating stator magnetic field. The magnetic field is constant and perpendicular to the winding area. Figure 2.5 shows a simple three phase stator consisting of three coils, each of them is $120^{\circ}$ electrical apart.

The winding will produce only one north and one south magnetic pole; therefore, this motor would be called a two-pole motor.


Figure 2.5: Schematic Diagram of a Simple Three-Phase Stator Windings with Their Produced Magnetic Flux

Assume that the instantaneous currents in three coils are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& i_{a a^{\prime}}=I_{m} \sin (\omega t)  \tag{29}\\
& i_{b b^{\prime}}=I_{m} \sin \left(\omega t-\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right)  \tag{30}\\
& i_{c c^{\prime}}=I_{m} \sin \left(\omega t-\frac{4 \pi}{3}\right) \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

where $I_{m}$ is a maximum current, and $t$ is the time, $\omega$ is the angular speed. According to Ampereâ $€^{\mathrm{TM}}$ S Law, the current through the coils produces the following magnetic field intensity:

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{a a^{\prime}}=H_{m} \sin (\omega t)  \tag{32}\\
& H_{b b^{\prime}}=H_{m} \sin \left(\omega t-\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right)  \tag{33}\\
& H_{c c^{\prime}}=H_{m} \sin \left(\omega t-\frac{4 \pi}{3}\right) \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the magnetic flux density $(B), B=\mu H$, we have $B_{m}=\mu H_{m}$ where $\mu$ is the permeability of the material. Magnetic flux density in the three phase winding satisfy;

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{a a^{\prime}}=B_{m} \sin (\omega t)  \tag{35}\\
& B_{b b^{\prime}}=B_{m} \sin \left(\omega t-\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right)  \tag{36}\\
& B_{c c^{\prime}}=B_{m} \sin \left(\omega t-\frac{4 \pi}{3}\right) \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

At time $t=0$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{a a^{\prime}}=0 \\
& B_{b b^{\prime}}=B_{m} \sin \left(-\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right) \\
& B_{c c^{\prime}}=B_{m} \sin \left(-\frac{4 \pi}{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The total magnetic field from all three coils when added together will be

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{n e t}= & B_{a a^{\prime}}+B_{b b^{\prime}}+B_{c c^{\prime}} \\
& =0+\left(\frac{-\sqrt{3}}{2} B_{m}\right) \angle 120^{\circ}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} B_{m} \angle 240^{\circ} \\
& \left.=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} B_{m}\left[-\left(\cos \frac{\pi}{3}\right) \hat{x}+\sin \left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) \hat{y}+\cos \left(\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right) \hat{x}+\sin \frac{2 \pi}{3}\right) \hat{y}\right] \\
& =-\frac{3}{2} B_{m} \hat{y}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\text {net }}=\frac{3}{2} B_{m} \angle 90^{\circ} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

As shown in Figure 2.6 where $\omega t=0^{\circ}$ and (b) where $\omega t=90^{\circ}$, the total flux density is $1.5 B_{m}$ at $-90^{\circ}$. As time passes, the total flux density starts to rotate in a counter clockwise direction around the air-gap with same amplitude. It rotates at the synchronous speed, which is given by ( $n_{s}=120 f / P$ ), where $n_{s}$ is the synchronous speed of the rotating magnetic field, $f$ is an electric frequency, and $P$ is the pole number. In addition, the direction of the rotating magnetic flux can be changed by swapping any two input currents of the stator winding. The rotating magnetic field is essential in the operation of electrical machines to produce torque when it interacts with the rotor magnetic flux.


Figure 2.6: Schematic Diagram of The Magnetic Field (a) and (b)

### 2.3 MATHEMATICAL DERIVATION OF ELECTRIC EQUATION IN "ABC" COORDINATE FRAME

The electrical angle, $\theta_{e}$, differs form the mechanical angle, $\theta_{m}$, they are related by:
$\theta_{e}=\frac{P}{2} \theta_{m}$
where $\theta_{e}$ and $\theta_{m}$ are the measured rotor positions in electrical and mechanical degrees, respectively.

The voltage, $v$, of the stator winding, which is the external supplied voltage, can be defined as the sum of voltage drop across the winding resistance, ri, and the induced voltage, or back emf, which is due to the time-varying flux linkage, $\frac{d}{d t} \lambda$

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{a}=r_{a} i_{a}+\frac{d}{d t} \lambda_{a}  \tag{40}\\
& v_{b}=r_{b} i_{b}+\frac{d}{d t} \lambda_{b}  \tag{41}\\
& v_{c}=r_{c} i_{c}+\frac{d}{d t} \lambda_{c} \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

where $r_{a}, r_{b}$, and $r_{c}$ are the stater winding resistances with equivalence relationship, $r_{a}=r_{b}=r_{c}=r_{s}$. Since the stator winding has the same number of turns and wound wire. $i_{a}, i_{b}$, and $i_{c}$ are the stator currents. $\lambda_{a}, \lambda_{b}$, and $\lambda_{c}$ are the stator flux linkages.

In matrix form, $R_{s}$ is a diagonal matrix of the stator winding resistances, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{s}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
r_{s} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & r_{s} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & r_{s}
\end{array}\right)  \tag{43}\\
& v_{a b c}=R_{s} i_{a b c}+\frac{d}{d t} \Lambda_{a b c}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
r_{s} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & r_{s} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & r_{s}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
i_{a} \\
i_{b} \\
i_{c}
\end{array}\right)+\frac{d}{d t}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\lambda_{a} \\
\lambda_{b} \\
\lambda_{c}
\end{array}\right) \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

The flux linkage in the stator winding is defined as the product of both self and mutual inductance by the current, plus the flux which is established by the permanent magnet rotor.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda_{a}=L_{a a} i_{a}+M_{a b} i_{b}+M_{a c} i_{c}+\lambda_{m a}  \tag{45}\\
& \lambda_{b}=M_{b a} i_{a}+L_{b b} i_{b}+M_{b c} i_{c}+\lambda_{m b}  \tag{46}\\
& \lambda_{c}=M_{c a} i_{a}+M_{c b} i_{b}+L_{c c} i_{c}+\lambda_{m c} \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

where $L_{i i}$ is self inductance of the stater winding, where $i \in\{a, b, c\} . M_{j i}$ is the mutual inductance between the winding, where $j \in\{a, b, c\} . \lambda_{\text {mi }}$ is the established flux on the stator winding by the permanent magnet.

Therefore, we have the flux linkage in matrix form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\begin{array}{l}
\lambda_{a} \\
\lambda_{b} \\
\lambda_{c}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
L_{a a} & M_{a b} & M_{a c} \\
M_{b a} & L_{b b} & M_{b c} \\
M_{c a} & M_{c b} & L_{c c}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
i_{a} \\
i_{b} \\
i_{c}
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{l}
\lambda_{m a} \\
\lambda_{m b} \\
\lambda_{m c}
\end{array}\right)  \tag{48}\\
& \Lambda_{a b c}=L_{s} i_{a b c}+\lambda_{m a b c} \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

The established flux $\lambda_{\text {mabc }}$ is:

$$
\lambda_{\text {mabc }}=\lambda_{m}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\cos \left(\theta_{e}\right)  \tag{50}\\
\cos \left(\theta_{e}-\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right) \\
\cos \left(\theta_{e}+\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

where the inductance matrix $L_{s}$ is given as follows:

$$
L_{s}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
L_{a a} & M_{a b} & M_{a c} \\
M_{b a} & L_{b b} & M_{b c} \\
M_{c a} & M_{c b} & L_{c c}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{a a}=L_{l s}+\bar{L}_{m}-L_{\Delta m} \cos 2 \theta_{e}  \tag{51}\\
& L_{b b}=L_{l s}+\bar{L}_{m}-L_{\Delta m} \cos 2\left(\theta_{e}-\frac{2}{3} \pi\right)  \tag{52}\\
& L_{c c}=L_{l s}+\bar{L}_{m}-L_{\Delta m} \cos 2\left(\theta_{e}+\frac{2}{3} \pi\right)  \tag{53}\\
& M_{a b}=M_{b a}=-\frac{1}{2} \bar{L}_{m}-L_{\Delta m} \cos 2\left(\theta_{e}-\frac{1}{3} \pi\right)  \tag{54}\\
& M_{a c}=M_{c a}=-\frac{1}{2} \bar{L}_{m}-L_{\Delta m} \cos 2\left(\theta_{e}+\frac{1}{3} \pi\right)  \tag{55}\\
& M_{b c}=M_{c b}=-\frac{1}{2} \bar{L}_{m}-L_{\Delta m} \cos 2\left(\theta_{e}\right) \tag{56}
\end{align*}
$$

where $L_{l s}$ is the self leakage inductance, $\bar{L}_{m}$ is the average value of magnetizing inductance, and $L_{\Delta m}$ is the half the amplitude of the sinusoidal varying magnetizing inductance, as shown in Figure 2.7.


Figure 2.7: Sinusoidal Varying Magnetizing Inductance with the Rotor Angle

For three phase synchronous permanent magnets, $L_{m d}$ and $L_{m q}$ are the direct and quadrature magnetizing inductance, which are defined as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{m q}=\frac{3}{2}\left(\bar{L}_{m}-L_{\Delta m}\right)  \tag{57}\\
& L_{m d}=\frac{3}{2}\left(\bar{L}_{m}+L_{\Delta m}\right) \tag{58}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\bar{L}_{m}=\frac{1}{3}\left(L_{m q}+L_{m d}\right) \text { and } L_{\Delta m}=\frac{1}{3}\left(L_{m q}-L_{m d}\right)
$$

They are also defined as:

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{m d} & =\frac{N_{s}}{\mathfrak{R}_{m d}}  \tag{59}\\
L_{m q} & =\frac{N_{s}}{\Re_{m q}} \tag{60}
\end{align*}
$$

$N_{s}$ is the number of stator winding turns, $\mathfrak{R}_{m d}$ and $\mathfrak{R}_{m q}$ are magnetizing reluctance for direct and quadrature paths, respectively. For surface PMSM, which is a round rotor synchronous machine, the direct and quadrature magnetizing inductance are equal, $L_{m d}=L_{m q}$ because the magnetizing reluctance for both paths are the same. Thus,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\bar{L}_{m}=\frac{1}{3}\left(L_{m q}+L_{m d}\right)=\frac{2}{3} L_{m q}=\frac{2}{3} L_{m d}  \tag{61}\\
L_{\Delta m}=\frac{1}{3}\left(L_{m q}-L_{m d}\right)=0 \tag{62}
\end{gather*}
$$

Therefore, a new inductance matrix is developed, which is independent of the angular displacement $\theta_{e}$, and constant over time,

$$
L_{s}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
L_{l s}+\bar{L}_{m} & -\frac{1}{2} \bar{L}_{m} & -\frac{1}{2} \bar{L}_{m}  \tag{63}\\
-\frac{1}{2} \bar{L}_{m} & L_{l s}+\bar{L}_{m} & -\frac{1}{2} \bar{L}_{m} \\
-\frac{1}{2} \bar{L}_{m} & -\frac{1}{2} \bar{L}_{m} & L_{l s}+\bar{L}_{m}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Consequently, the voltage of the stator winding in matrix form can be written as

$$
v_{a b c}=R_{s} i_{a b c}+\frac{d}{d t} \Lambda_{a b c}=R_{s} i_{a b c}+\frac{d}{d t}\left(L_{s} i_{a b c}+\lambda_{m a b c}\right)
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{a b c}=R_{s} i_{a b c}+L_{s} \frac{d}{d t} i_{a b c}+\frac{d}{d t} \lambda_{m a b c} \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.4 MECHANICAL EQUATION

We include the mechanical equation in the PMSM model to complete the description of the motor. By using the second law of Newton

$$
\begin{align*}
& J \frac{d \omega_{m}}{d t}=T_{e}-B_{m} \omega_{m}-T_{L}  \tag{65}\\
& \frac{d \theta_{m}}{d t}=\omega_{m} \tag{66}
\end{align*}
$$

where $T_{e}$ is the developed electromagnetic torque, $T_{L}$ is load torque, $B_{m}$ is the viscous friction (or damping) coefficient, neglected for control purpose, and $J$ is the inertia of the rotor plus load. The relationship between the electrical and mechanical angular speeds is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{e}=\frac{P}{2} \omega_{m} \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, electromagnetic torque is the partial derivative of the magnetic stored coenergy with respect to the angular displacement. The coenergy is given as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{c}=\frac{1}{2} i_{a b c}^{T} L_{s} i_{a b c}+i_{a b c}^{T} \lambda_{m a b c}+W_{P M} \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W_{P M}$ is the energy stored in the permanent magnet, which is independent of angular displacement. Therefore, the torque is

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{e}=\frac{\partial W_{c}}{\partial \theta_{m}}=\frac{P}{2} \frac{\partial W_{c}}{\partial \theta_{e}} \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, due to independence with $\theta_{e}$, the derivative of both the inductance matrix $L_{s}$ and $W_{P M}$ are zero. One can obtain the electromagnetic torque as follows:

$$
T_{e}=\frac{P}{2} \lambda_{m}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
i_{a} & i_{b} & i_{c}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
-\sin \theta_{e}  \tag{70}\\
\frac{1}{2} \sin \theta_{e}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \cos \theta_{e} \\
\frac{1}{2} \sin \theta_{e}-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \cos \theta_{e}
\end{array}\right)
$$

### 2.5 PARK AND CLARKE TRANSFORMATION

Previously, the dynamic model of three phase AC machines is characterized by the voltage equations, the flux linkage equations, and the electromagnetic torque. The inductances are time dependent. Accordingly, the variables of the AC machines model are time varying, as long as the rotor is rotating. Hence, Park and Clarke Transformation are necessary to reduce the complexity of the dynamic model.

### 2.5.1 Park Transformation

Park Transformation was introduced by R. H. Park in the late 1920s. His approach is to transfer the dynamic model of the three phase AC machine from three, ` $a b c$ " , stationary coordinate frame to a two , " $d q 0$ " rotating coordinate frame, is shown in Figure 2.8. By using this transformation, the time varying inductances of the dynamic model of the machine can be simplified. A third variable, which is zero-sequence component, is added to the transformation in order to make the transformation invertible and " 0 " direction is pointing out of the page.


Figure 2.8: Park Transformation
The forward and the inverse Park transformation are given as

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{d q 0}=T_{d q 0} f_{a b c}  \tag{71}\\
& f_{a b c}=T_{d q 0}^{-1} f_{d q 0} \tag{72}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f$ is a generic variable which can be current, voltage, flux linkage, etc. $T$ is the transformation matrix and $T^{-1}$ is the inverse transformation matrix. They are given as following:

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{d q 0}=\frac{2}{3}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\cos \theta & \cos \theta-\frac{2 \pi}{3} & \cos \theta+\frac{2 \pi}{3} \\
\sin \theta & \sin \theta-\frac{2 \pi}{3} & \sin \theta+\frac{2 \pi}{3} \\
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right)  \tag{73}\\
& T_{d q 0}^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\cos \theta & \sin \theta & 1 \\
\cos \theta-\frac{2 \pi}{3} & \sin \theta-\frac{2 \pi}{3} & 1 \\
\cos \theta+\frac{2 \pi}{3} & \sin \theta+\frac{2 \pi}{3} & 1
\end{array}\right) \tag{74}
\end{align*}
$$

Thereby, $\theta$ is arbitrary angular position of the rotating coordinate frame. In general, the rotating coordinate frame is fixed to the rotor. Thus, the rotor angular position is equivalent to the rotating coordinate frame angular position. Park Transformation can be divided into two steps: Clarke transformation " $\alpha \beta 0$ " and rotational Park transformation ` $d q 0$ ".

### 2.5.2 Clarke Transformation

Clarke Transformation is an approach of mapping three variables, " $a b c$ ", which are on stationary coordinate frame, to two variables, " $\alpha \beta 0$ ", on a fixed frame. This approach was developed by E. Clarke. Figure 2.9 shows the Clarke transformation.


Figure 2.9: Clarke Transformation
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\alpha}=f_{a} \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\beta}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} f_{a}+\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} f_{b} \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clarke Transformation and its inverse transformation are given as follows
$f_{\alpha \beta 0}=K f_{a b c}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{a b c}=K^{-1} f_{\alpha \beta 0} \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K$ and $K^{-1}$ are transformation matrix and its inverse. They are given as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& K=\frac{2}{3}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\
0 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right)  \tag{79}\\
& K^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 1 \\
-\frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 1 \\
-\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 1
\end{array}\right) \tag{80}
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.6 ROTATIONAL PARK TRANSFORMATION

One can find the " $d q 0$ " coordinate frame by transfer from " $\alpha \beta 0$ " frame for a three phase AC machine. As we mentioned above, Park Transformation can be divided into two steps; Clarke Transformation and rotational Park transformation, as shown the Figure 2.10.

The forward and its inverse of rotational Park transformation are given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{d q}=Q f_{\alpha \beta} \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\alpha \beta}=Q^{-1} f_{d q} \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \gamma & \sin \gamma \\
-\sin \gamma & \cos \gamma
\end{array}\right)  \tag{83}\\
& Q^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \gamma & -\sin \gamma \\
\sin \gamma & \cos \gamma
\end{array}\right) \tag{84}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 2.10: Park Transformation in Two Steps
Thereby, $\gamma$ is arbitrary angle of ${ }^{\prime} d q$ " coordinate frame.

### 2.6.1 ${ }^{〔} \alpha \beta 0^{\prime \prime}$ Coordinate Frame Model of Permanent Magnet Synchronous Motor

By using Clarke Transformation, Eqn's. (75) - (7580), the mathematical model of PMSM is mapping from ${ }^{`} a b c "^{\prime}$ to ${ }^{`} \alpha \beta 0$ " coordinate frame. The stator is supplied with balanced-three phase current, therefore, the neutral current is zero ( $i_{a}+i_{b}+i_{c}=0$ ). Neglecting the zero component, the result of mapping on PMSM model is reduced the number of equations from three to two, as well as the satator variables in the equations.

Therefore, the model will be:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{\alpha \beta 0}=K v_{a b c} \\
& \qquad v_{\alpha \beta 0}=K\left\{R_{s} i_{a b c}+\frac{d}{d t} \Lambda_{a b c}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& i_{a b c}=K^{-1} i_{\alpha \beta 0},  \tag{86}\\
& \Lambda_{a b c}=K^{-1} \Lambda_{\alpha \beta 0} \tag{87}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
v_{\alpha \beta 0}=K R_{s} K^{-1} i_{\alpha \beta 0}+K \frac{d}{d t} K^{-1} \Lambda_{\alpha \beta 0}
$$

The first part of the voltage equation:

$$
\begin{gathered}
K R_{s} K^{-1} i_{\alpha \beta 0}=\frac{2}{3}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\
0 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}
r_{s} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & r_{s} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & r_{s}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 1 \\
-\frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 1 \\
-\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 1
\end{array}\right) i_{\alpha \beta 0} \\
K R_{s} K^{-1} i_{\alpha \beta 0}=r_{s} I i_{\alpha \beta 0}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $I$ is an identity matrix.
The second part of the voltage equation:

$$
\begin{gathered}
K \frac{d}{d t}\left(K^{-1} \Lambda_{\alpha \beta 0}\right)=K\left(\Lambda_{\alpha \beta 0} \frac{d}{d t} K^{-1}+K^{-1} \frac{d}{d t} \Lambda_{\alpha \beta 0}\right) \\
K \frac{d}{d t}\left(K^{-1} \Lambda_{\alpha \beta 0}\right)=\frac{d}{d t} \Lambda_{\alpha \beta 0}
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\alpha \beta 0}=R_{s} i_{\alpha \beta 0}+\frac{d}{d t} \Lambda_{\alpha \beta 0} \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can express the flux linkage in " $\alpha \beta 0$ " coordinate frame:
$\Lambda_{\alpha \beta 0}=K \Lambda_{a b c}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Lambda_{\alpha \beta 0}=K L_{s} K^{-1} i_{\alpha \beta 0}+K \lambda_{\text {mabc }} \\
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\end{gathered}
$$

Notice that, the first part can be written as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K L_{s} K^{-1} i_{\alpha \beta 0}=\frac{2}{3}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\
0 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
L_{l s}+\bar{L}_{m} & -\frac{1}{2} \bar{L}_{m} & -\frac{1}{2} \bar{L}_{m} \\
-\frac{1}{2} \bar{L}_{m} & L_{l s}+\bar{L}_{m} & -\frac{1}{2} \bar{L}_{m} \\
-\frac{1}{2} \bar{L}_{m} & -\frac{1}{2} \bar{L}_{m} & L_{l s}+\bar{L}_{m}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 1 \\
-\frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 1 \\
-\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 1
\end{array}\right) i_{\alpha \beta 0} \\
& =\frac{2}{3}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
L_{l s}+\frac{3}{2} L_{m} & -\frac{1}{2} L_{l s}-\frac{3}{4} L_{m} & -\frac{1}{2} L_{l s}-\frac{3}{4} L_{m} \\
0 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} L_{l s}+3 \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} L_{m} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} L_{l s}-3 \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} L_{m} \\
\frac{1}{2} L_{l s} & \frac{1}{2} L_{l s} & \frac{1}{2} L_{l s}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 1 \\
-\frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 1 \\
-\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 1
\end{array}\right) i_{\alpha \beta 0} \\
& =\frac{2}{3}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{3}{2} L_{l s}+\frac{9}{4} L_{m} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{3}{2} L_{l s}+\frac{9}{4} L_{m} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{3}{2} L_{l s}
\end{array}\right) i_{\alpha \beta 0} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
L_{l s}+\frac{3}{2} L_{m} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & L_{l s}+\frac{3}{2} L_{m} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & L_{l s}
\end{array}\right) i_{\alpha \beta 0}
\end{aligned}
$$

The second part of flux linkage:

$$
\begin{aligned}
K \lambda_{\text {mabc }} & =\frac{2}{3} \lambda_{m}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\
0 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
\cos \left(\theta_{e}\right) \\
\cos \left(\theta_{e}-\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right) \\
\cos \left(\theta_{e}+\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right)
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\lambda_{m}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\cos \left(\theta_{e}\right) \\
\sin \left(\theta_{e}\right. \\
0
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The flux linkage equations can be obtained:

$$
\Lambda_{\alpha \beta 0}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
L_{l s}+\frac{3}{2} L_{m} & 0 & 0  \tag{90}\\
0 & L_{l s}+\frac{3}{2} L_{m} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & L_{l s}
\end{array}\right) i_{\alpha \beta 0}+\lambda_{m}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\cos \left(\theta_{e}\right) \\
\sin \left(\theta_{e}\right) \\
0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Therefore, the derivative of flux linkage is:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d}{d t} \Lambda_{\alpha \beta 0}=L_{s \alpha \beta 0} \frac{d}{d t} i_{\alpha \beta 0}+\frac{d}{d t} \lambda_{m \alpha \beta 0} \\
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\lambda_{\alpha} \\
\lambda_{\beta} \\
\lambda_{0}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
L_{l s}+\frac{3}{2} L_{m} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & L_{l s}+\frac{3}{2} L_{m} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & L_{l s}
\end{array}\right) \frac{d}{d t}\left(\begin{array}{c}
i_{\alpha} \\
i_{\beta} \\
i_{0}
\end{array}\right)+\omega_{e} \lambda_{m}\left(\begin{array}{c}
-\sin \theta_{e} \\
\cos \theta_{e} \\
0
\end{array}\right) \tag{91}
\end{gather*}
$$

Thus, $L_{s \alpha \beta 0}$ and $\lambda_{m \alpha \beta 0}$ are the constant inductance matrix, and the established flux in the stator by the rotor magnetic field in the stationary coordinate frame, respectively.

Hence the stator voltage is:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
v_{\alpha}  \tag{92}\\
v_{\beta} \\
v_{0}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
r_{s} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & r_{s} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & r_{s}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
i_{\alpha} \\
i_{\beta} \\
i_{0}
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
L_{l s}+\frac{3}{2} L_{m} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & L_{l s}+\frac{3}{2} L_{m} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & L_{l s}
\end{array}\right) \frac{d}{d t}\left(\begin{array}{c}
i_{\alpha} \\
i_{\beta} \\
i_{0}
\end{array}\right)+\omega_{e} \lambda_{m}\left(\begin{array}{c}
-\sin \theta_{e} \\
\cos \theta_{e} \\
0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Furthermore, form Eqn. (70), the electromagnetic torque in ${ }^{`} \alpha \beta 0$ " coordinate frame become:s

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{e}=\frac{P}{2}\left[K^{-1} i_{\alpha \beta 0}\right]^{T} \frac{d}{d \theta_{e}} \lambda m a b c \\
& =\frac{P}{2}\left[\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 1 \\
-\frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 1 \\
-\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
i_{\alpha} \\
i_{\beta} \\
i_{0}
\end{array}\right)\right]^{T}\left(\begin{array}{c}
-\sin \theta_{e} \\
\cos \theta_{e} \\
0
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{e}=\frac{3 P}{4} \lambda_{m}\left(-i_{\alpha} \sin \theta_{e}+i_{\beta} \cos \theta_{e}\right) \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now by dropping the zero component in (90) and (92), the flux linkage and stator voltage,which are still dependent on the rotor angle, can be obtained as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda_{\alpha}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
L_{l s}+\frac{3}{2} L_{m} & 0 \\
\lambda_{\beta} & \\
0 & L_{l s}+\frac{3}{2} L_{m}
\end{array}\right)\binom{i_{\alpha}}{i_{\beta}}+\lambda_{m}\binom{\cos \left(\theta_{e}\right)}{\sin \left(\theta_{e}\right)}  \tag{94}\\
& \binom{v_{\alpha}}{v_{\beta}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
r_{s} & 0 i_{\alpha} \\
0 & r_{s} i_{\beta}
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
L_{l s}+\frac{3}{2} L_{m} & 0 \\
0 & L_{l s}+\frac{3}{2} L_{m}
\end{array}\right) \frac{d}{d t}\binom{i_{\alpha}}{i_{\beta}}+\omega_{e} \lambda_{m}\binom{-\sin \theta_{e}}{\cos \theta_{e}} \tag{95}
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.6.2 `$q d "$ Coordinate Frame Model of Permanent Magnet Synchronous Motor

By applying Rotational Park Transformation, which is given by Eqn's. (81) - (84), to the stationary PMSM model, in (94) and (95), we obtained the time invariant system model.

As we mention before, $\gamma$ is the arbitrary angle of the "dq" frame and the angular speed of this frame is $\frac{d}{d t} \gamma=\omega_{0}$.

The flux linkage can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{d q}=Q L_{s} Q^{-1} i_{d q}+Q \lambda_{m \alpha \beta} \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

$L_{s}$ does not change since it is a constant matrix,

$$
Q L_{s} Q^{-1}=L_{s} Q Q^{-1}=L_{s}
$$

The established flux becomes:

$$
Q \lambda_{\operatorname{ma\beta }}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \gamma & \sin \gamma \\
-\sin \gamma & \cos \gamma
\end{array}\right) \lambda_{m}\binom{\cos \left(\theta_{e}\right)}{\sin \left(\theta_{e}\right)}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q \lambda_{\text {ma }}=\lambda_{m}\binom{\cos \left(\gamma-\theta_{e}\right)}{\sin \left(\gamma-\theta_{e}\right)} \tag{97}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, from (1.28) the inductance matrix becomes $L_{s}=\operatorname{diag}\left\{L_{d}, L_{q}\right\}$. The flux linkage in arbitrary rotating coordinate frame is given as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda_{d}  \tag{98}\\
& \lambda_{q}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
L_{d} & 0 \\
0 & L_{q}
\end{array}\right)\binom{i_{d}}{i_{q}}+\lambda_{m}\binom{\cos \left(\gamma-\theta_{e}\right)}{\sin \left(\gamma-\theta_{e}\right)} .
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
L_{d}=L_{l s}+\frac{3}{2} L_{m} d \text { and } L_{q}=L_{l s}+\frac{3}{2} L_{m} q
$$

The stator voltage in the arbitrary rotating coordinate frame is given as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{d q}=Q R_{s} Q^{-1} i_{d q}+Q \frac{d}{d t}\left(Q_{-1} \Lambda_{d q}\right) \tag{99}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first term of the equation:

$$
Q R_{s} Q^{-1}=R_{s} Q Q^{-1}=R_{s}
$$

The second term of the equation:

$$
Q \frac{d}{d t}\left(Q_{-1} \Lambda_{d q}\right)=Q\left(\frac{d Q^{-1}}{d t}\right) \Lambda_{d q}+Q Q^{-1}\left(\frac{d \Lambda_{d q}}{d t}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q\left(\frac{d Q^{-1}}{d t}\right) \Lambda_{d q} & =\omega_{0}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\binom{\lambda_{d}}{\lambda_{q}} \\
Q \frac{d}{d t}\left(Q_{-1} \Lambda_{d q}\right) & =\omega_{0}\binom{-\lambda_{q}}{\lambda_{d}}+\frac{d}{d t} \Lambda_{d q}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the voltage in the arbitrary rotating coordinate frame can be obtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{d q}=R_{s} i_{d q}+\omega_{0}\binom{-\lambda_{q}}{\lambda_{d}}+\frac{d}{d t} \Lambda_{d q} \tag{100}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (93) the torque in arbitrary rotating frame can be written as:

$$
\begin{gather*}
T_{e}=\frac{3 P}{4} \lambda_{m}\left[Q^{-1} i_{d q}\right]^{T}\binom{-\sin \theta_{e}}{\cos \theta_{e}} \\
T_{e}=\frac{3 P}{4} \lambda_{m}\left(i_{d} i_{q}\right)\binom{\sin \left(\gamma-\theta_{e}\right)}{\cos \left(\gamma+\theta_{e}\right)} \tag{101}
\end{gather*}
$$

Consequently, if the arbitrary rotating frame synchronously rotates with the rotor and both of them have the same angles, $\theta_{e}=\gamma$, and $\omega_{0}=\omega_{e}$, the flux linkage and the voltage becomes:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda_{d}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
L_{d} & 0 \\
\lambda_{q} & L_{q}
\end{array}\right)\binom{i_{d}}{i_{q}}+\binom{\lambda_{m}}{0}  \tag{102}\\
& \binom{v_{d}}{v_{q}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
r_{s} & 0 \\
0 & r_{s}
\end{array}\right)\binom{i_{d}}{i_{q}}+\omega_{e}\binom{-\lambda_{q}}{\lambda_{d}}+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
L_{d} & 0 \\
0 & L_{q}
\end{array}\right) \frac{d}{d t}\binom{i_{d}}{i_{q}}  \tag{103}\\
& T_{e}=\frac{3 P}{4} \lambda_{m}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
i_{d} & i_{q}
\end{array}\right)\binom{0}{1} \tag{104}
\end{align*}
$$

Now the over all dynamic model of PMSM can be obtained as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \binom{\lambda_{d}}{\lambda_{q}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
L_{d} & 0 \\
0 & L_{q}
\end{array}\right)\binom{i_{d}}{i_{q}}+\binom{\lambda_{m}}{0}  \tag{105}\\
& \binom{\frac{d}{d t} i_{d}}{\frac{d}{d t} i_{q}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\frac{r_{s}}{L_{d}} & \omega_{e} \\
-\omega_{e} & -\frac{r_{s}}{L_{q}}
\end{array}\right)\binom{i_{d}}{i_{q}}+\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{L_{d}} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{L_{q}} & -\frac{\omega_{e}}{L_{q}}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
v_{d} \\
v_{q} \\
\lambda_{m}
\end{array}\right) \tag{106}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d \omega_{e}}{d t}=\frac{P}{2 J}\left(\frac{3 P}{4} \lambda_{m} i_{q}-T_{L}\right)  \tag{107}\\
& \frac{d \theta_{e}}{d t}=\omega_{e} \tag{108}
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.7 POWER ELECTRONICS

An inverter is a static power electronic converter, which converts from DC power to AC power. The conversion is accomplished by an appropriate control for the power electronic switches, which connect DC both link and the AC motors. The appropriate control is known as modulation which provides switches arrangement, conduction-state, to the power electronic convertors to generate the desired output AC power. The inverters can be divided into two categories: Current Source Inverter (CSI) and Voltage Source Inverter (VSI).

Current Source Inverter (CSI) converts a DC current to an AC current. CSI has an inductor filter in series with the DC source which is utilized for storing energy and regulating ripple of the current. By using CSI, one can control the magnitude, phase, and frequency of the AC current waveform. Therefore, the load current or the output current is independent of the load impedance. On the other hand, load voltage depends on the load impedance in the CSI. The inverter is protected from short circuit, since the DC source current, which governs the output current, is regulated. CSI can supply single or three phase current. CSI is used for medium and high power applications.

The voltage source inverter has a constant DC source voltage (or variable DC source). It is supplied from a rectified voltage source and capacitor, which is called DC link. At the output, VSI generates a switched voltage waveform which has a fundamental voltage component with adjustable amplitude, phase, and frequency, to match a desired voltage. The load of the inverter defines the current waveform. VSI can provide a single phase or three phase voltage depending on the applications. Furthermore, VSI is used for low and medium power applications, which we will consider in our research.

### 2.8 THREE PHASE VOLTAGE SOURCE INVERTER

The three phase VSI consists of six power electronic switches, such as IGBT, and six freewheeling diodes, which are also called antiparallel diodes. The basic operation of three phase VSI is similar to three branches, which are three half-bridge (single phase VSI), in parallel.

Figure 2.11 shows a construction of one branch, which consists of two IGBTs and tow diodes, and two capacitors in the DC link.


Figure 2.11: Half Bridge Inverter (a) with Generic Semiconductor Switch (b) with IGBTs

The purpose of the antiparallel diodes is to provide a path for the load current when its polarity is change through the operation. The capacitors divide the total DC link to provide a neutral point ( $o$ ) with zero voltage. The load will be connected between neutral point ( $o$ ) and the inverter branch output point (a). $P$ and $N$ denote the positive and negative of the DC source, respectively, and the voltage between them is represented by ( $V_{d c}$ ) which is constant voltage. The IGBTs (T1 and T2) are controlled by binary gate signals $S_{a}$ and $\bar{S}_{a}(1,0)$, respectively. Where â€ $\mathcal{1}^{\sim} €^{\mathrm{TM}}$ represents the on-state and $\hat{\not} €^{\sim} \mathbf{0} \hat{\mathbf{a}} €^{\mathrm{TM}}$ represents the off-state. $\bar{S}_{a}$ is the logic complement of $S_{a}$. The purpose of this alternate control is to prevent shortening the DC link circuit by the two IGBTs in on-state at the same time, and unknown output voltage by both IGBTs open. Therefore, when $S_{a}$ is 1, T1 turns on and connect the positive bus bar to the output, resulting in a positive voltage $\left(V_{a o}=V_{d c} / 2\right)$, whereas, $\bar{S}_{a}$ is zero and T2 is off. But when $S_{a}$ is zero, T1 turns off and T2 turns on because $\bar{S}_{a}$ becomes 1, thus, the negative bus bar connects to the inverter output, resulting a negative voltage $\left(V_{a o}=-V_{d c} / 2\right)$. As result, the output voltage of one branch inverter is an AC switched waveform that alters between ( $-V_{d c} / 2$ and $V_{d c} / 2$ ) because of the interchanging switches between the two IGBTs.

As we mentioned before, the short-circuit in the DC link has to be avoided, it happens when $T 1$ and $T 2$ are conducted at the same time. However, in practice, IGBTâ $\epsilon^{\mathrm{TM}_{s}}$ commutation is not instantaneous. Therefore, a delay time must be added before a turn on, which means a change from 0 to 1 , to avoid this short circuit. The delay time (or dead time) is a bit longer than time-off switching which is in a couple of microsecond. Furthermore, there are two mode of conduction; $120^{\circ}$ and $180^{\circ}$ for IGBT, which will be illustrated in section ?? .

According to the load current polarity, there are four different conduction states, two of them come from the binary signal $S_{a}$. The four different conductions are decided by which one of the four semiconductors (two IGBTs and two diodes) conducts and carries the load current as illustrated in Figure 2.12 (a), (b), (c), and (d) .


Figure 2.12: Four Conduction, Voltage and Current Wave Form (a)D1 is Conducting. (b)T1 is Conducting. (c)D2 is Conducting. (d)D1 is Conducting.

For example, if the VSI is connected to inductive load, It produces an AC square waveform. Consequently, when the load current is negative at part (a) in Figure 2.12-a and $S_{a}=1$, the antiparallel diode $D 1$ is conducting the load to positive bus bar. Once the load current becomes positive, $T 1$ conducts the positive bus bar to the load as part (b). Then $S_{a}$ changes to zero and $\bar{S}_{a}$ becomes $1, D 2$ is conducting the load to the negative bus bar as in part (c), similarly, once the load current becomes negative, $T 2$ conducts the load to the negative bus bar. Finally, the four conductions are repeated again when $S_{a}=1$. For three phase inverter, Plus Width Modulated, that generate the control signal for the six IGBT inverter, which will be explained later.

### 2.9 IGBT CONDUCTION MODE IN VSI

There are two modes for IGBTs conduction of the three phase inverter. In the first mode, IGBT is conducted for $120^{\circ}$ and turn off for next $240^{\circ}$ in one cycle. In the second mode, IGBT is conducted for $180^{\circ}$ and turn off for next $180^{\circ}$ in one cycle ( $360^{\circ}$ ). In both modes, the three phase inverter (VSI) consists of six IGBTs as shown in Figure 2.13.


Figure 2.13: Three Phase Inverter VSI with a Three Phase Balanced Load
The circuit diagram of the inverter is the same as Figure 2.13. In $120^{\circ}$ mode conduction, $T 1$ conducts for $120^{\circ}$ and for next $60^{\circ}$, neither $T 1$ nor $T 4$ are conducted. Then, $T 4$ conducts for the next $120^{\circ}$, which start from $180^{\circ}$ to $300^{\circ}$, after $300^{\circ}$ both $T 1$ and $T 4$ are off for $60^{\circ}$. Then $T 1$ conducts for $120^{\circ}$, till $180^{\circ} T 1$ and $T 4$ are off, and again $T 4$ conducts for $120^{\circ}$ and so on. This mode conduction is alike to $180^{\circ}$ mode conduction in the sequence of conduction the upper and lower IGBTs. So, if $T 1$ conducts at ( $\omega t=0^{\circ}$ ), then $T 3$ conducts at ( $\omega t=120^{\circ}$ ) and $T 5$ at ( $\omega t=240^{\circ}$ ) that for upper IGBTs group. Same is true for lower IGBTs group. The purpose of this pattern is to invert a three phase output voltage to have $120^{\circ}$ phase shift. Therefore, one cycle is divided into six intervals of $60^{\circ}$. As shown in the Figure 2.14, $T 1 T 6$ should be conducted during interval I, T1T2 for II, $T 2 T 3$ for III, and so on for the remaining intervals.

| T6 |  | T3 |  | T6 |  | T3 | T6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | T2 |  | T5 |  | T2 |  | T5 |



Figure 2.14: $120^{\circ}$ Conduction Mode, Line to Neutral Voltage of VSI Simulation

In each interval, only two IGBTs are conducted: one from upper group and another from lower group. During the first interval, $\left(0^{\circ} \leq \omega t \leq 60^{\circ}\right)$, T 1 connects phase- $a$ to the positive bus bar and $T 6$ connects phase- $b$ to the negative bus bar, while phase- $c$ is not connected to the DC source. Therefore, the phase voltages become $v_{a o}=V_{d c} / 2, v_{b o}=-V_{d c} / 2$, and $v_{c o}=0$. In the following $60^{\circ}$ interval, $T 1$ still connects phase- $a$ to the positive bus bar, and its voltage $v_{a o}=V_{d c} / 2$. However, $T 6$ turns off and phase- $b$ voltage become zero, then $T 2$ connects phase- $c$ to the negative bus bar with voltage $v_{c o}=-V_{d c} / 2$, and in the same manner keep going for the rest intervals. The output line voltages can be obtained by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{a b}=v_{a o}-v_{b o}  \tag{109}\\
& v_{b c}=v_{b o}-v_{c o}  \tag{110}\\
& v_{c a}=v_{c o}-v_{a o} \tag{111}
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently, the root mean square line and phase voltage are ( $v_{L-R M S}=0.707 V_{d c}$ ), and phase voltage ( $v_{\text {Ph-RMS }}=0.408 V_{d c}$ ).

In conclusion, we get line voltage that has six step waveform per cycle, and the qausi square wave for the phase voltage. Where $120^{\circ}$ phase shift is between the line voltage as well as phase voltage. Figure 2.15 - Figure 2.17 show the simulation diagram and the output voltage simulations of VSI with $120^{\circ}$ mode, there are subsystem simulation is given in Appendix.


Figure 2.15: Simulink Diagram of $120^{\circ}$ Conduction Mode


Figure 2.16: $120^{\circ}$ Conduction Mode, Line to Neutral Voltage of VSI Simulation


Figure 2.17: $120^{\circ}$ Conduction Mode, Line to Line Voltage of VSI Simulation

### 2.9.1 Three Phase Inverter $180^{\circ}$ Conduction

As shown in the power circuit diagram above, in this mode each IGBT conducts for $180^{\circ}$ of a cycle. The upper IGBTs group ( $T 1, T 3$, and $T 5$ ), which connect to the positive bus bar of the DC voltage source, work in this pattern, $T 1$ conducts when ( $\omega t=0^{\circ}$ ), then $T 3$ conducts at ( $\omega t=120^{\circ}$ ) and $T 5$ at $\left(\omega t=240^{\circ}\right)$. Similarly, lower three IGBTs ( $T 4, T 6$, and $T 2$ ), which connect to the negative bus bar of the DC voltage source, are conducted, but they start conducting from ( $\omega t=180^{\circ}$ ) instead of ( $\omega t=0^{\circ}$ ). The purpose of these delys in the conduction among the same IGBTs group is to create a three phase pulsing output that has phase shift $120^{\circ}$ between each other. However, in one branch, such as branch $T 1$ and $T 4, T 1$ conducts for $180^{\circ}$, $T 4$ for the next $180^{\circ}$, again $T 1$ for $180^{\circ}$ and so on. The second and third branches work in the same manner. Hence, one cycle is divided to six steps or intervals of $60^{\circ}$ depending on the conduction of IGBTs. Accordingly, T1T5T6 should be conducted for the first interval I, as shown in Figure 2.18, T1T2 76 for Interval II, and so on for the remaining intervals. In each $60^{\circ}$ interval, there are only three IGBTs that conduct: one from upper IGBTs group and two from lower IGBTs group; or two from upper and one from lower IGBTs.



Figure 2.18: Output Voltage of VSI With the Switching Interval of IGBTs

During the first interval, which is ( $0^{\circ} \leq \omega t \leq 60^{\circ}$ ), phase-â $€^{\sim} a \hat{a} €^{\mathrm{TM}}$ and phase-â€ ${ }^{\sim} b a ̂ €^{\mathrm{TM}}$ are connected to the positive bus bar via $T 1$ and $T 5$ and phase- $\hat{\nexists} €^{\sim} c a ̂ €^{T M}$ to the negative bus bar via $T 6$. We assume a balanced load wye-connected in circuit. Thus, the output phase voltages are $\left(v_{a o}=v_{b o}=\frac{V_{d c}}{3}\right.$, and $\left.v_{c o}=-2 \frac{V_{d c}}{3}\right)$ and the output line voltages obtain by Eqn.s (109) - (111)

The terminal voltage of the rest of the intervals are shown in Figure 2.18. Figure 2.19 Figure 2.21 show a simulink diagram and its output line to neutral and line to line voltage of VSI simulation,the subsystem simulink diagrams are given Appendix, based on $180^{\circ}$ mode.

180 degree IGBTs conduction based VSI


Figure 2.19: Simulink Diagram of $180^{\circ}$ Mode Conduction


Figure 2.20: $180^{\circ}$ Conduction Mode, Line to Neutral Voltage of VSI Simulation


Figure 2.21: $180^{\circ}$ Conduction Mode, Line to Line Voltage of VSI Simulation

Furthermore, from the line waveform voltage, one can get root mean square (rms) of line voltage ( $v_{L-R M S}=0.816 V_{d c}$ ), and phase voltage ( $v_{P h-R M S}=0.471 V_{d c}$ ).

Therefore, we get phase voltages that have a six steps per cycle and a quasi square wave, which is one positive pulse and one negative pulse (each $120^{\circ}$ duration), for line voltages. The three line and phase voltages are out of phase by $120^{\circ}$.

In contrast between $120^{\circ}$ and $180^{\circ}$ mode, one can observe that $180^{\circ}$ produces higher power output than $120^{\circ}$, three IGBTs are used in $180^{\circ}$ whereas two in $120^{\circ}$ in one interval, a short circuit condition in the source is possible with $180^{\circ}$ but is not with $120^{\circ}$.

### 2.9.2 Pulse-Width Modulation

Pulse-Width Modulation (PWM) is a technique which modifies or controls the pulse width of the waveform and generates a pulse train (PWM signal). The PWM signal is a train of pulses that have a variable pulse width and constant magnitude. These signals control the gate of power electronic dives that modify the output frequency and voltage magnitude of the main source. Therefore, the output voltage and/or current are controlled by changing the duty cycle of the waveform. PWM is used in driving most modern motors by applying the PWM signal to gates of switching power convertors such as IGBT. There are three methods or techniques of PWM; Sinusoidal PWM Technique, Space Vector PWM Technique, and Hysteresis PWM Technique. However, the intentions of PWM techniques are to generate a PWM signals in order to create a desired output voltage or current and compute powerful sequence of switching to minimize the switching losses and harmonic distortion.

The Space Vector PWM technique generates less harmonic distortion in the output voltage or current and more efficient use of the DC link. Therefore, Sinusoidal PWM is reviewed, since it is the basic fundamental of PWM, and we will consider and use Space Vector PWM Technique only in our research.

Sinusoidal Pulse-Width Modulation (SPWM) is the modulation of PWM signal by comparing a sinusoidal wave, which is called a control or carrier wave, with sawtooth (reference wave) to comparator. A comparator is a device that compares two voltages and gives high, " 1 ", or low, " 0 ", depending on the difference between them, as shown in the Figure 2.22-a.

The Comparator is compared the control voltage, $V_{i n}$, to reference voltage $V_{r}$ and creates tow logical PWM signals, $V_{g 1}$ and $V_{g 2}$, which can apply into two IGBTs in the same branch. The principle working of the comparator is when the $V_{i n}$ is greater than $V_{r}$, $V_{g 1}$ will be "1", for example single VSI, it turns on its controlled IGBT , T1, and $V_{g 2}$ will be " 0 " and turns off its controlled IGBT, T2. In the other hand, when $V_{i n}$ is less than $V_{r}, V_{g 1}$ will be " 0 ", its controlled IGBT turns off and $V_{g 2}$ will be " 1 " and its controlled IGBT turns on, as shown in Figure 2.22-b.


Figure 2.22: SPWM (a) Comparator Operating (b) Single VSI

Figure 2.23 shows the output voltage , $V_{a o}$, voltage control, voltage reference, and the two PWM signals.


Figure 2.23: The Output Voltage, Control Voltage and Sawtooth Waveform, The Two PWM Signals of SPWM

The created output voltage, the desired output voltage of VSI, varies sinusoidally with the control voltage. The average output voltage is directly proportional to the average of the voltage control at the same period of time. It has a fundamental frequency same as the voltage controls frequency.

As result, voltage fed to the comparator can control the waveform of the output voltage, i.e. it can control the power that is delivered to the load. Nowadays, a microcomputer is usually used to perform the control voltage digitally and the PWM signal, thus, it can achieve any required mode for a various frequency and voltage level. However, SPWM creates more unwanted harmonic distortion in the output voltage and less efficient use of the DC voltage (supply voltage) compared to Space Vector PWM technique.

### 2.9.3 Space Vector Pulse-Width Modulation

Space Vector PWM (SVPWM) technique generates the signal gate (PWM signal) of IGBT by estimating the switching sequence of the upper IGBT groups of three phase inverters. As depicted in Figure 2.24, which shows VSI circuit with six IGBTs, which are depicted as switches and represented with letter (S), and load (motor), $v_{a}, v_{b}$, and $v_{c}$ are the output voltages of the VSI that apply to load, where ( $a$, and $a^{\prime}$ ), ( $b$, and $b^{\prime}$ ), and ( $c$, and $c^{\prime}$ ) are the control signals of the six IGBTs' gates. The output voltage of the inverter is shaped by the switching pattern of these IGBTs. The upper IGBTs conduct when $a, b$, and $c$ are 1 , consequently the lower IGBTs turn off when $a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}$, and $c^{\prime}$ are zero. Therefore, the states of on and off of the upper IGBTs are enough to evaluate the output voltage. These states develop a switching pattern that
creates eight ( $2^{3}$ ) possible combinations of on and off states. Consequently, the pattern of the three lower IGBTs is opposite to the upper three.


Figure 2.24: VSI With a Three Phase Balanced Load, The IGBTs is Pictured as Switches (S)

The relationship between the gate signals $[a, b, c]^{T}$ and the line-to-line output voltage $\left[v_{a b}, v_{b c}, v_{c a}\right]^{T}$ and line-to-neutral $\left[v_{a}, v_{b}, v_{c}\right]^{T}$ are given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\begin{array}{l}
v_{a b} \\
v_{b c} \\
v_{c a}
\end{array}\right)=V_{d c}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -1 \\
-1 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
a \\
b \\
c
\end{array}\right)  \tag{112}\\
& \left(\begin{array}{l}
v_{a} \\
v_{b} \\
v_{c}
\end{array}\right)=\frac{1}{3} V_{d c}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
2 & -1 & -1 \\
-1 & 2 & -1 \\
-1 & -1 & 2
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
a \\
b \\
c
\end{array}\right) \tag{113}
\end{align*}
$$

Table 1 shows the switching pattern and output voltage of three-phase power inverter:
Table 1: Switching Pattern of VSI and The Output Voltage With Respect to $V_{d c}$

| a | b | c | $v_{a}$ | $v_{b}$ | $v_{c}$ | $v_{a b}$ | $v_{b c}$ | $v_{c a}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{2}{3}$ | $-\frac{1}{3}$ | $-\frac{1}{3}$ | 1 | 0 | -1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $-\frac{2}{3}$ | 0 | 1 | -1 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | $-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{2}{3}$ | $-\frac{1}{3}$ | -1 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | $-\frac{2}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | -1 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | $-\frac{1}{3}$ | $-\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{2}{3}$ | 0 | -1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $-\frac{2}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | 1 | -1 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

### 2.9.4 SVPWM Technique

The idea behind SVPWM is basically to take the desired (reference) three phase output voltage vectors, as given by Eqn.s (114)-(116) and map them to stationary " $\alpha \beta$ " coordinates frame by using Clarke transformation, as given by ??. From the stationary coordinate, the reference vector ( $V_{\alpha \beta}$ or $V_{\text {ref }}$ ) can be obtained by synthesizing $V_{\alpha}$ and $V_{\beta}$ vectors, and used to modulate the output inverter.

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{a}=V_{m} \sin (\omega t)  \tag{114}\\
& v_{b}=V_{m} \sin \left(\omega t-\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right)  \tag{115}\\
& v_{c}=V_{m} \sin \left(\omega t-\frac{4 \pi}{3}\right)  \tag{116}\\
& v_{\alpha \beta 0}=T_{0} v_{a b c}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, neglecting the zero component, the voltage in stationary coordinate becomes:

$$
\binom{v_{\alpha}}{v_{\beta}}=\frac{2}{3}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2}  \tag{117}\\
0 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
v_{a} \\
v_{b} \\
v_{c}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Table 2 shows the eight switching patterns and corresponding " $\alpha \beta$ " voltages with $v_{\text {ref }}$.
Table 2: Switching Pattern of VSI The Output Voltage $\alpha \beta$.

| a | b | c | $v_{\alpha}$ | $v_{\beta}$ | $V_{\text {ref }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $v_{0}=0$ |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | $-\frac{1}{3} V_{d c}$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} V_{d c}$ | $v_{1}=\frac{2}{3} V_{d c}$ |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | $-\frac{1}{3} V_{d c}$ | $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} V_{d c}$ | $v_{2}=\frac{2}{3} V_{d c}$ |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | $-\frac{2}{3} V_{d c}$ | 0 | $v_{3}=\frac{2}{3} V_{d c}$ |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{2}{3} V_{d c}$ | 0 | $v_{4}=\frac{2}{3} V_{d c}$ |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{1}{3} V_{d c}$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} V_{d c}$ | $v_{5}=\frac{2}{3} V_{d c}$ |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{1}{3} V_{d c}$ | $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} V_{d c}$ | $v_{6}=\frac{2}{3} V_{d c}$ |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $v_{7}=0$ |

As appears in Figure 2.13, the inverter output voltage for each branch, at any instant, is either zero or equal to $V_{d c}$ link. Consequently, the output voltage vector can be presumed to be only one out of the eight different vector values as shown in Figure 2.25.


Figure 2.25: Three Phase Output Voltage and Their Projection on Plane $\alpha \beta$
As shown in Table 2: Switching Pattern of VSI The Output Voltage $\alpha \beta$., there are six non-zero vectors which will form diagonals of a hexagon, and two zero vectors positioned at the origin. The two zero vectors apply zero voltage on the motor. The angle between any two adjusted non-zero vectors is $60^{\circ}$, as shown in Figure 2.27: The $a b c, \alpha \beta, V_{\text {ref }}$, Voltage Vector and Angle.


Figure 2.26: Basic Switching Vectors, Sectors, and Inscribed Circle


Figure 2.27: The $a b c, \alpha \beta, V_{\text {ref }}$, Voltage Vector and Angle

By transferring the reference output voltage vectors, which are three sinusoidal voltages with $120^{\circ}$ out of phase, to the stationary coordinate via Clarke transformation, $V_{\text {ref }}$ vector is obtained. Furthermore, $V_{\text {ref }}$ vector becomes a vector rotating around the origin in the " $\alpha \beta$ " coordinate with a frequency that corresponds to the frequency of the reference output voltage. This rotating is represented by a circle inscribed in the vector hexagon. Thus the $V_{\text {ref }}$ vector must be created within or equal this circle because whatever is greater than this circle cannot be generated by the inverter. This state is called inverter saturation and it usually causes a distortion to the output voltage.

Hence the maximum magnitude of $V_{\text {ref }}$ can be built up is $0.707 V_{d c}$ and so the maximum root mean square of the line-to-line and line-to-neutral output voltages are $0.707 V_{d c}$ and $0.408 V_{d c}$ respectively.

### 2.9.5 Implementation of SVPWM

The intention of SVPWM technique is to approximate $V_{\text {ref }}$ vector by using the the combination of the eight switching vectors. One method of the approximation is to require the average output voltage of the inverter in small period, $T$, to be equal to the average of $V_{\text {ref }}$ vector in the same period. Consequently, there are three steps to implement SVPWM:

1. Determine $V_{\alpha}, V_{\beta}, V_{\text {ref }}$, and the vector reference angle ( $\alpha^{\circ}$ )

From (117) the $V_{\alpha}, V_{\beta}, V_{\text {ref }}$, and angle ( $\alpha$ ) can be determined as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad\binom{v_{\alpha}}{v_{\beta}}=\frac{2}{3}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\
0 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
v_{a} \\
v_{b} \\
v_{c}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \left|V_{\text {ref }}\right|=\sqrt{v_{\alpha}^{2}+v_{\beta}^{2}}  \tag{118}\\
& \alpha^{\circ}=\tan ^{-1} \frac{v_{\alpha}}{v_{\beta}}=\omega t=2 \pi f t \tag{119}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f$ is the fundamental frequency of the desired output voltage.
2. Determine time duration of $T_{1}, T_{2}$, and $T_{0}$

From Figure 2.28: The Reference Vector as a Combination of Adjacent Vectors at Sector 1.the switching time duration can be calculated as follows:

- Switching time duration at Sector 1


Figure 2.28: The Reference Vector as a Combination of Adjacent Vectors at Sector 1.

$$
\int_{T_{0}}^{T_{2}} \bar{V}_{\text {ref }}=\int_{T_{0}}^{T_{1}} V_{4} d t+\int_{T_{1}}^{T_{1}+T_{2}} \bar{V}_{6} d t+\int_{T_{1}+T_{2}}^{T_{Z}} \bar{V}_{0} d t
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{z}=T_{1}+T_{2}+T_{0} \tag{120}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{z}$ is switching period ( $2 T_{z}=T_{s}=\frac{1}{f_{s}}$ ). $T_{s}$, and $f_{s}$ are the sampling time and frequency. $T_{1}, T_{2}$ and $T_{0}$ are the switching time of $\bar{V}_{4}, \bar{V}_{6}$ and $\bar{V}_{0}$, respectively. $\bar{V}_{0}$ applies a zero voltage to the output load. One can obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{z} \bar{V}_{r e f}=T_{1} \bar{V}_{4}+T_{2} \bar{V}_{6} \tag{121}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now substituting the value of $\bar{V}_{4}$ and $\bar{V}_{6}$, from Table 2:

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{z}\left|\bar{V}_{\text {ref }}\right|\binom{\cos \alpha}{\sin \alpha} & =T_{1} \frac{2}{3} V_{d c}\binom{1}{0}+T_{2} \frac{2}{3} V_{d c}\binom{\cos \frac{\pi}{3}}{\sin \frac{\pi}{3}} \\
T_{2} & =T_{z} \frac{3}{2} \frac{\left|\bar{V}_{r e f}\right|}{V_{d c}} \frac{\sin \alpha}{\sin \frac{\pi}{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{2}=T_{z} a \frac{\sin \alpha}{\sin \frac{\pi}{3}}  \tag{122}\\
& T_{1}=T_{z} \frac{3}{2} \frac{\left|\bar{V}_{r e f}\right|}{V_{d c}} \frac{\sin \left(\frac{\pi}{3}-\alpha\right)}{\sin \frac{\pi}{3}} \\
& T_{1}=T_{z} a \frac{\sin \left(\frac{\pi}{3}-\alpha\right)}{\sin \frac{\pi}{3}} \tag{123}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left(0^{\circ}{ }^{\prime}, \alpha, 60^{\circ}\right)$ in sector one, and $a$ is the modulation index, $a=\frac{\left|\bar{V}_{\text {ref }}\right|}{\frac{2}{3} V_{d c}}$.

- Switching time duration in arbitrary sector

The time at any sector $n$, which is from 1 through 6 , can be computed by substituting the angle of reference vector within the sector in Eqns. (122) and (123) as: angle $=\alpha-(n-1) \frac{\pi}{3}$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{1}=T_{z} \frac{3}{2} \frac{\left|\bar{V}_{r e f}\right|}{V_{d c}} \frac{\sin \left(\frac{\pi}{3}-\left(\alpha-(n-1) \frac{\pi}{3}\right)\right)}{\sin \frac{\pi}{3}} \\
& =\frac{\sqrt{3} T_{z}\left|\bar{V}_{r e f}\right|}{V_{d c}} \sin \left(\frac{n}{3} \pi-\alpha\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
\begin{gather*}
T_{1}=\frac{\sqrt{3} T_{z}\left|\bar{V}_{\text {ref }}\right|}{V_{d c}}\left\{\sin \left(n \frac{\pi}{3}\right) \cos (\alpha)-\cos \left(n \frac{\pi}{3}\right) \sin (\alpha)\right\}  \tag{124}\\
T_{2}=T_{z} \frac{3}{2} \frac{\left|\bar{V}_{r e f}\right|}{V_{d c}} \frac{\sin \left(\alpha-(n-1) \frac{\pi}{3}\right)}{\sin \frac{\pi}{3}}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
=\frac{\sqrt{3} T_{z}\left|\bar{V}_{r e f}\right|}{V_{d c}} \sin \left(\alpha+(n-1) \frac{\pi}{3}\right) \\
T_{2}=\frac{\sqrt{3} T_{z}\left|\bar{V}_{r e f}\right|}{V_{d c}}\left\{\cos \left((n-1) \frac{\pi}{3}\right) \sin (\alpha)+\cos (\alpha) \sin \left((n-1) \frac{\pi}{3}\right)\right\}  \tag{125}\\
T_{0}=T_{z}-\left(T_{1}+T_{2}\right) \tag{126}
\end{gather*}
$$

## 3. Finding the sector number:

To find $n$, one should find angle $\alpha$ within one cycle ( $0-2 \pi$ ) by dividing $\alpha$ by $2 \pi$ and take the remain as new $\alpha$ within one cycle, it can be found by using MATLAB, "rem( )" from MATLAB that give the remain of division.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { remain }=\operatorname{rem}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2 \pi}\right) \tag{127}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following, the remain divides by $\pi / 3$, rounds to less integer number, which is can be done by " $f i x()^{\prime \prime}$ on MATLAB, and add to one to get sector number.

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=1+f i x\left(\frac{\text { remain }}{\pi / 3}\right) \tag{128}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example, let $\alpha=500^{\circ}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { remain }=\operatorname{rem}\left(\frac{500^{\circ}}{360^{\circ}}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{rem}\left(1 \frac{140^{\circ}}{360^{\circ}}\right)=140^{\circ} \\
& n=1+\operatorname{fix}\left(\frac{140^{\circ}}{60^{\circ}}\right)=1+\operatorname{fix}(2.333) \\
& =1+2=3
\end{aligned}
$$

4. Determine the switching time of each transistor (S1-S6):

The switching time $T_{s}$ is equal to twice of the switching period $T_{z}$, which starts with null vector and ends with it. Hence, there are four zero vectors per switching time in one sector. Figure 2.29 shows the six sector switching pattern for the signal gate which is the space vector PWM switching pattern. The space vector PWM switching pattern is symmetrical pulse for two
$T_{z}$ intervals. In addition, to describe the symmetrical pulse, the null time is divided between the $V_{0}$ and $V_{7}$ vectors.


Sector 5


Figure 2.29: Switching Pattern of The Signal Control by by Using SVPWM in Six Different Sectors.

Based on Figure 2.29, the switching time at each sector is summarized in Table 3.
Table 3: Switching Time of The Upper and Lower IGBT Groups in the Six sectors

| Sector | Upper IGBT <br> Groups $\left(S_{1}, S_{3}, S_{5}\right)$ | Lower IGBT <br> Groups $\left(S_{4}, S_{6}, S_{2}\right)$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $S_{1}=T_{1}+T_{2}+T_{0} / 2$ | $S_{4}=T_{0} / 2$ |
|  | $S_{6}=T_{1}+T_{0} / 2$ |  |
|  | $S_{5}=T_{0} / 2$ | $S_{2}=T_{1}+T_{2}+T_{0} / 2$ |
| 2 | $S_{1}=T_{1}+T_{0} / 2$ | $S_{4}=T_{2}+T_{0} / 2$ |
|  | $S_{3}=T_{1}+T_{2}+T_{0} / 2$ | $S_{6}=T_{0} / 2$ |
|  | $S_{5}=T_{0} / 2$ | $S_{2}=T_{1}+T_{2}+T_{0} / 2$ |
| 3 | $S_{1}=T_{0} / 2$ | $S_{4}=T_{1}+T_{2}+T_{0} / 2$ |
|  | $S_{3}=T_{1}+T_{2}+T_{0} / 2$ | $S_{6}=T_{0} / 2$ |
|  | $S_{5}=T_{2}+T_{0} / 2$ | $S_{2}=T_{1}+T_{0} / 2$ |
| 4 | $S_{1}=T_{0} / 2$ | $S_{4}=T_{1}+T_{2}+T_{0} / 2$ |
|  | $S_{3}=T_{1}+T_{0} / 2$ | $S_{6}=T_{2}+T_{0} / 2$ |
|  | $S_{5}=T_{1}+T_{2}+T_{0} / 2$ | $S_{2}=T_{0} / 2$ |
| 5 | $S_{1}=T_{2}+T_{0} / 2$ | $S_{4}=T_{1}+T_{0} / 2$ |
|  | $S_{3}=T_{0} / 2$ | $S_{6}=T_{1}+T_{2}+T_{0} / 2$ |
|  | $S_{5}=T_{1}+T_{2}+T_{0} / 2$ | $S_{2}=T_{0} / 2$ |
| 3 | $S_{1}=T_{1}+T_{2}+T_{0} / 2$ | $S_{4}=T_{0} / 2$ |
|  | $S_{3}=T_{0} / 2$ | $S_{6}=T_{1}+T_{2}+T_{0} / 2$ |
|  | $S_{5}=T_{1}+T_{0} / 2$ | $S_{2}=T_{2}+T_{0} / 2$ |

### 2.9.6 Simulation of SVPWM with MATLAB

The Simulink diagram, output line, rms, and phase voltages of VSI with IGBTs converter are shown in Figure 2.30 - Figure 2.34 based on SVPWM.


Figure 2.30: Sumilink Diagram of VSI Based on SVPWM


Figure 2.31: Line to Neutral Voltage Output of Simulation VSI Based on SVPWM


Figure 2.32: Line to Line Voltage Output of Simulation VSI Based on SVPWM


Figure 2.33: Filtered Output Root Mean Square Voltage of Simulation VSI Based on
Figure 2.34: Output Voltage Line-Line and Line-Neutral for One Cycle of Simulation VSI Based on SVPWMshows the pulsing (switching frequency) of IGBTs to create the output voltage for one cycle.


Figure 2.34: Output Voltage Line-Line and Line-Neutral for One Cycle of Simulation VSI Based on SVPWM

### 2.10 FIELD ORIENTED CONTROL

Field-Oriented Control is a technique developed for high performance control. FOC was first proposed in 1971 by F. Blascke for controlling an induction motor. The objective of the decoupling is to control the torque and the magnetizing field, independently. The essential principle of FOC is to maintain the angle between the stator and rotor field component orthogonal. Therefore, the stator field component does not affect the rotor field. Hence, the term Field-oriented control is adapted field angle control or angle control for systems which depart from the $90^{\circ}$ orientation. In synchronous machines, the rotor winding (or permanent magnet) is the field winding whereas the stator winding is the armature winding. The stator current which can be represented by a space vector, $i_{s}$, "dq" coordinate frame is projected into $i_{d}$ and $i_{q}$ as shown in Figure 2.35.


Figure 2.35: Stator current space vector projects in "dq" plane

$$
\begin{align*}
& i_{q}=i_{s} \sin (\theta)  \tag{129}\\
& i_{d}=i_{s} \cos (\theta) \tag{130}
\end{align*}
$$

if $\theta=90^{\circ}$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i_{q}=i_{s} \sin \left(90^{\circ}\right)=i_{s} \\
& i_{d}=i_{s} \cos \left(90^{\circ}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

The goal of FOC is to control the developed torque and the magnetizing field, individually, by analyzing, transforming, and making PMSM behaves like a separate excited DC machine. In general, DC machines have the armature winding in the rotor while the field winding in the stator. Now, with the DC machine torque control, one can recall the orientation of the armature MMF. The commutator keeps the angle between the MMF and field flux $90^{\circ}$. In another word, the action of commutator is to reserve the direction of the armature winding currents as the coils pass the brush position such that the armature current distribution is fixed in space no matter what the rotor speed. Figure 2.36 shows a diagram of a DC machine with the MMF and Field axis.


Figure 2.36: DC motor diagram
The field flux, $\lambda_{f}$, and armature MMF are orthogonal, therefore, the flux is unaffected by the armature current, $I_{a}$.

There are two basic results:
1- The induced voltage $E_{a}$ is proportional to the rotor speed $\omega_{m}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{a}=\frac{P}{2} \lambda_{a f} \omega_{m} \tag{131}
\end{equation*}
$$

2- The electromagnetic torque is proportional to the armature current:
$T_{e}=\frac{P}{2} \lambda_{a f} I_{a}$
where $\lambda_{a f}$ is the flux produced by field current which links the armature winding.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda_{a f}=\frac{L_{a f}}{L_{l f}+L_{a f}} \lambda_{f}  \tag{133}\\
& =\frac{L_{a f}}{L_{f}} \lambda_{f} \tag{134}
\end{align*}
$$

$L_{a f}$ is mutual inductance between field and armature winding. $L_{l f}$ is field leakage inductance and $L_{f}$ is the field self inductance. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{e}=\frac{P}{2} \frac{L_{a f}}{L_{f}} \lambda_{f} I_{a} \tag{135}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the requirements for torque control in DC machine are:
1- An independent controlled $I_{a}$ to overcome the effects of armature resistance, leakage inductance, and induced voltage.

2- An independent controlled or constant value of field flux.
3- A separately controlled orthogonal spatial angle between flux and MMF to avoid the interaction of MMF and field flux.

### 2.10.1 Synchronous Machines Field Oriented Control

Now, one can apply the requirement of DC machines torque control to the synchronous machines (commutatorless) in order to achieve field orientation. The field flux is on the rotor, whereas the armature is on the stator, unlike DC machines. Therefore, the stator current in Synchronous machines can be treated as $I_{a}$ of DC machines. Consequently, one can use CSI, as example, to provide current supply to the synchronous machines in order to controller the stator current. Since CSI can control both the magnitude and phase of the inverted current, the field winding, rotor winding, of the synchronous machine is just like in DC machines, which can be controlled. The space position of the rotor DC field is clearly located in space by the position of the rotor. Figure 2.37 shows a diagram of synchronous machines with CSI.


Figure 2.37: A synchronous motor diagram with DC Link and CSI

The represented circuit and phasor diagram of the stator is shown in Figure 2.38.


Figure 2.38: A circuit and phasor diagram of the stator motor
where $E_{a}$ is the internal induced voltage, $X_{s}$ is stator inductance.

$$
E_{a}=\omega_{e} \lambda_{a f}=\frac{P}{2} \lambda_{a f} \omega_{m}
$$

The machine developed torque:

$$
T_{e}=\frac{P_{\text {mech }}}{\omega_{m}}=3 \frac{P}{2} \frac{E_{a} I_{a} \cos (\delta)}{\omega_{e}}
$$

where $P_{\text {mech }}$ is the developed mechanical power, $\delta$ is angle between $E_{a}$ and $I_{a}$.
Therefore :
$T_{e}=3 \frac{P}{2} \lambda_{a f} I_{a} \cos \delta$
Now, the equating DC power input:

$$
V_{I} I_{I}=\left(V_{d c}-I_{I} R_{d c}\right) I_{I}=3 V_{a} I_{a} \cos (\theta)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{I}=\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{6}} I_{a} \tag{137}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{I}$ and $I_{a}$ are inverter current and the stator current( fundamental component).

$$
\begin{gathered}
3 V_{a} I_{a} \cos (\theta)=\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{6}} I_{a}\left(V_{d c}-\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{6}} I_{a} R_{d c}\right) \\
V_{a} \cos (\theta)=\frac{\pi}{3 \sqrt{6}}\left(V_{d c}-\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{6}} I_{a} R_{d c}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

From the phaser diagram in Figure 2.38:

$$
V_{a} \cos \theta=E_{a} \cos \delta+I_{a} R_{s}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{d c} \frac{\pi}{7.348}=E_{a} \cos \delta+\left(R_{s}+\frac{\pi^{2}}{18} R_{d c}\right) I_{a} \tag{138}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure 2.39 shows the represented circuit for 138.


Figure 2.39: Represented circuit of DC Link voltage with the applied and induced voltage

### 2.10.2 Synchronous Machine steady state "dq" Model

To relate the steady state concept in "dq" model, there are constraints:
1- Constant amplitude and phase of stator current both the direct and quadrature, $i_{q s}=I_{q s}, i_{d s}=I_{d s}$.

2- Constant rotor flux linkages, i.e. no current in the damper winding both the direct and quadrature, $i_{q r}=i_{d r}=0$.

3- Constant field current, $i_{f r}=I_{f}$.
In general, the basic torque is the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{e}=\frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{P}{2}\left(\lambda_{d s} i_{q s}-\lambda_{q s} i_{d s}\right)\right) \\
& \left.=\frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{P}{2}\left[L_{m d}\left(i_{f r}+i_{d r}\right) i_{q s}\right)-L_{m q} i_{q r} i_{d s}+\left(L_{d s}-L_{q s}\right) i_{d s} i_{q s}\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the torque becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{e}=\frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{P}{2}\left[L_{m d} I_{f} I_{q s}+\left(L_{d s}-L_{q s}\right) I_{d s} I_{q s}\right]\right) \tag{139}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first part of the torque equation is called reaction torque, which is produced by the filed winding. The second part is saliency torque or reluctance torque.

Reaction Torque $=\frac{3}{2} \frac{P}{2} L_{m d} I_{f} I_{q s}$

Relactunce Torque $=\frac{3}{2} \frac{P}{2}\left(L_{d s}-L q s\right) I_{d s} I_{q s}$
The steady state flux linkages are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{d s}=L_{d s} I_{d s}+L_{m d} I_{f} \\
& \lambda_{d s}=L_{q s} I_{q s}
\end{aligned}
$$

The steady state voltage component, $\left(p=\frac{d}{d t}=0\right)$, are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{d s}=r_{s} I_{d s}-\omega_{e} L_{q s} I_{q s}  \tag{142}\\
& V_{q s}=r_{s} I_{q s}+\omega_{e}\left(L_{d s} I_{d s}+L_{m d} I_{f}\right) \tag{143}
\end{align*}
$$

The "dq" variable vector diagrams for both salient pole machine and non-salient pole machine is shown in Figure 2.40. However, for non-salient, which is our research motor, pole machine: $X_{d s}=X_{q s}=X_{s}, X_{m d}=X_{m q}$, and $L_{d s}=L_{q s}$.


Figure 2.40: Phasor diagram for both salient and non-salient pole machine then the torque becomes for non-salient pole machine:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{e}=\frac{3 P}{4} L_{m d} I_{f} I_{q d s} \cos \delta \tag{144}
\end{equation*}
$$

Special case, when $\delta=0^{\circ}$, Figure 2.41 is shown the vector diagram of this case.


Figure 2.41: Phasor diagram of non-salient pole machine with whole stator current in q -axis
When the stator current is align with q-axis, $I_{q d s}=I_{q s}$, which means $\delta=0^{\circ}$, one can achieve the goal of FOC. In another word, $I_{f}$ is perpendicular to $I_{s}$. Moreover, there is no magnetization or demagnetization on the d-axis, however, only the field winding acts to produce flux in d-axis. Accordingly, the torque will be:

$$
\begin{equation*}
[h!] T_{e}=\frac{3 P}{4} L_{m d} I_{f} I_{q s} \tag{145}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now for PMSM, from Equs. 105-108, where $i_{d}$ is the direct axis current component, whose corresponding stator field's part aligns to the rotor field axis, whereas, $i_{q}$ is the quadrature axis current component which has a corresponding stator field's component is perpendicular to the rotor field axis. As we mention before, the rotor of PMSM is permanent magnet and it is equivalent to " $L_{m d} I_{f}$ " for previous demonstration of FOC on synchronous machines.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \binom{\frac{d}{d t} i_{d}}{\frac{d}{d t} i_{q}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\frac{r_{s}}{L_{d}} & \omega_{e} \\
-\omega_{e} & -\frac{r_{s}}{L_{q}}
\end{array}\right)\binom{i_{d}}{i_{q}}+\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{L_{d}} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{L_{q}} & -\frac{\omega_{e}}{L_{q}}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
v_{d} \\
v_{q} \\
\lambda_{m}
\end{array}\right)  \tag{146}\\
& T_{e}=\frac{3 P}{4} \lambda_{m} i_{q}  \tag{147}\\
& \frac{d \omega_{e}}{d t}=\frac{P}{2 J}\left(T_{e}-T_{L}\right) \tag{148}
\end{align*}
$$

Generally, the rotor field axis is the axis where the rotor angle is measured. The direct current does not produce any torque, while the quadrature produces and controls the electromagnetic torque. However, $i_{d}$ is controlled by the magnetizing field. FOC technique is
accomplished by governing the VSI output voltage waveform variables, which are voltage amplitude, frequency, and phase angle, thus the instantaneous rotor angle is needed. VSI is controlled by SVPWM to generate the desired output voltage. One can implement FOC algorithm via Digital Signal Processors (DSP), specifically for this project, from Texas Instrument kits, covered in section 2.11 in our research. FOC technique allows a good torque control in steady state and transient condition and fast dynamic response. Furthermore, at low speed, FOC technique produces low ripple magnitude in both the torque and current.

### 2.10.3 Basic Procedure and Diagram of Field-Oriented Control

Figure 2.42 shows a schematic diagram of FOC. It starts by computing the three phase current of the PMSM by measuring $i_{a}$ and $i_{b}$ only, since $i_{a}+i_{b}+i_{c}=0$. Thus two sensors are only needed. The sensor is usually a shunt resistance. By using Clarke transformation, $i_{\alpha}$ and $i_{\beta}$ are found. Rotational Park transformation converts the current from " $\alpha \beta$ " to "dq" coordinate frame. $I_{\text {dref }}$ and $i_{\text {qref }}$ are the current reference signals to generate error signals with $i_{d}$ and $i_{q}$. These error signals are fed into the Proportional-Integral (PI) controller to regulate and send as $v_{d}$ and $v_{q}$. Inverse rotational Park transformation is converted $v_{d}$ and $v_{q}$ to $v_{\alpha}$ and $v_{\beta}$ which are fed to SVPWM. SVPWM generated signals apply to VSI to create the required output voltage. Moreover, the rotor angle is encoded, which is used in $d q$ transformation and calculates the instantaneous rotor speed $\omega_{m} . \omega_{m}$ is compared to $\omega_{\text {mref }}$, which is the reference speed, to obtain speed error signal. This error is fed to PI controller whose output is $i_{\text {qref }}$.


Figure 2.42: Basic Diagram of FOC of PMSM

### 2.10.4 Proportional-Integral Controller Design

FOC method of PMSM requires three PI controllers; two of them are to regulate the daxis and q -axis current component, and the other on is to regulate the speed. Figure 2.42 shows the block diagram of FOC with three PI controllers.

According to the model of PMSM which are given by (146)-(147), the block diagram of FOC can be shown in Figure 2.43. Consequently, the system model of PMSM is Multiple-Input Multiple-Output, since there are cross couplings between q -axis and d-axis. Therefore, feedforward control is used in order to decouple q and d current components. In the same manner, $\omega_{e} \lambda_{m}$ can be compensated by feed-forward control, as shown in Figure 2.43.


Figure 2.43: FOC Diagram Based on PMSM Model in "qd" Coordinate Frame
Hence, the d-axis and q-axis equations can be written as:

$$
\begin{gathered}
V_{d}=V_{d}^{*}-\omega_{e} L i_{q} \\
V_{q}=V_{q}^{*}+\omega_{e} L i_{d}+\omega_{e} \lambda_{m}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $V_{q}^{*}$ and $V_{d}^{*}$ represent the output PI controller of both d-axis and q-axis, respectively. The model of PMSM, by neglecting the resistance of the model $R_{s}$, can be write as:

$$
\begin{gathered}
L \frac{d}{d t} i_{d}=V_{d}+\omega_{e} L i_{q}=V_{d}^{*}-\omega_{e} L i_{q}+\omega_{e} L i_{q} \\
L \frac{d}{d t} i_{q}=V_{q}-\omega_{e} L i_{d}-\omega_{e} \lambda_{m}=V_{q}^{*}+\omega_{e} L i_{d}+\omega_{e} \lambda_{m}-\omega_{e} L i_{d}-\omega_{e} \lambda_{m}
\end{gathered}
$$

i.e.

$$
\begin{align*}
& L \frac{d}{d t} i_{d}=V_{d}^{*}  \tag{149}\\
& L \frac{d}{d t} i_{d}=V_{q}^{*} \tag{150}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, the transfer function of d-axis and $q$-axis are the same and can be found as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s L i_{d}=V_{d}^{*} \\
& \frac{i_{d}}{V_{d}^{*}}=\frac{1}{s L}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{d o}(s)=\frac{1}{s L} \tag{151}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.10.5 PI-Current Controller

The PI-current controller can be written as:

$$
G_{i}(s)=k_{i p} \frac{1+T_{i i} s}{T_{i i} s}
$$

We use frequency response method to tune the PI controller with design phase margin and crossover frequency as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P M=60^{\circ} \\
& \omega_{i c}=\frac{2 \pi f_{s}}{10}
\end{aligned}
$$

Where $f_{s}$ is the switching frequency of the inverter. Figure 2.44Figure 2.44 show the PI and the system block diagram.


Figure 2.44: FOC Diagram Based on PMSM Model in "qd" Coordinate Frame

Thus, the transfer function of open loop is:

$$
G_{o i}(s)=\frac{K_{i p}}{L T_{i i}} \frac{\left(1+s T_{i i}\right)}{s^{2}}
$$

The two conditions of frequency response method are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \arg \left(G_{i o}(j \omega i c)=-180+P M\right.  \tag{152}\\
& \mid G_{i o}(j \omega i c \mid=1 \tag{153}
\end{align*}
$$

From the first condition, one can find the $T_{i i}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \arg \left(G_{i o}\left(j \omega_{i c}\right)=-180^{\circ}+60^{\circ}=-120^{\circ}\right. \\
& \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{\operatorname{Im}\left(G_{o i}\left(j \omega_{i c}\right)\right.}{\operatorname{Re}\left(G_{o i}\left(j \omega_{i c}\right)\right.}\right)-180^{\circ}=-120^{\circ} \\
& \tan ^{-1}\left(\omega T_{i} i\right)=180^{\circ}-120^{\circ}=60^{\circ}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, the design integral time, $T_{i i}$, can be found as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{i i}=\frac{{\tan 60^{\circ}}^{\omega_{i c}}=\frac{{\tan 60^{\circ}}_{2 \pi 1000}^{2 \pi}}{}}{T_{i i}=2.75 * 10^{-4}}
\end{aligned}
$$

From the second condition, the $K_{i p}$ can be found:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|G_{i o}\left(j \omega_{i c}\right)\right|=1 \\
\frac{K_{i p}}{L T_{i i} \omega_{i c}^{2}} \sqrt{1+\left(T_{i i} \omega_{i c}\right)^{2}}=1 \\
K_{i p}=\frac{L T_{i i} \omega_{i c}^{2}}{\sqrt{1+\left(T_{i i} \omega_{i c}\right)^{2}}} \\
K_{i p}=\frac{0.0065 * 2.75 * 10^{-4} * 6283.185}{\sqrt{1+\left(2.75 * 10^{-4} * 6283.185\right)^{2}}}=35.37
\end{gathered}
$$

The PI-current controller can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{i}(s)=\left[\frac{0.00975 s+35.37}{0.0002757 s}\right] \tag{154}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the PI-current would be:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{i}=K_{i p}=35.372 \tag{155}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{i}=\frac{K_{i p}}{T_{i i}}=\frac{35.37}{2.75 * 10^{-4}}=128304.85 \tag{156}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the the closed loop transfer function of the current loop is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{i c}(s)=\frac{1+s T_{i i}}{1+s T_{i i}+s^{2} \frac{T_{i i} L}{K_{i c}}} \tag{157}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure 2.45-a shows the Bode plot of the closed loop transfer function of the current control and Figure 2.45-b shows its step response.


Figure 2.45: Current Controller (a) Bode Plot of Current Closed Loop (b) Step Response of the Current Closed Loop

### 2.10.6 PI-Speed Controller

Figure 2.46 shows the block diagram of the speed loop.


Figure 2.46: FOC Diagram Based on PMSM Model of Speed Loop
To simplify the design of speed PI controller, one can approximate the q-current closed loop transfer function to be first order system (low pass filter). Therefore, the new transfer function can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{i c n}(s)=\frac{1}{1+\frac{s}{\omega_{g}}} \tag{158}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w_{g}$ is the crossover frequency of the simplified system. We determine the new gain margin at

$$
\omega_{1}=10 * 2 * \pi * f_{s}=10 * 2 * 1000 * 3.14=6.28 \times 10^{5}
$$

Hence, the gain margin would be:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|G_{i c}\left(j \omega_{1}\right)\right|=\left|\frac{1+j \omega_{1} T_{i i}}{1+j \omega_{1} T_{i i}+\left(j \omega_{1}\right)^{2} \frac{T_{i i} L}{K_{i c}}}\right| \\
\left|G_{i c}\left(j \omega_{1}\right)\right|=-36.12 d B
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus, the cross over frequency of the new closed loop transfer function can be found as follow:

$$
\begin{gather*}
20 \log _{10}\left(G_{i c n}\left(\omega_{1}\right)\right)=-36.12 \\
20 \log _{10}(1)-20 \log _{10}\left(\frac{\omega_{1}}{\omega_{g}}\right)=-36.12 \\
-20 \log _{10}\left(\frac{\omega_{1}}{\omega_{g}}\right)=-36.12 \\
\omega_{g}=\frac{\omega_{1}}{10^{\frac{36.12}{20}}}=9821.546 \frac{\mathrm{rad}}{\mathrm{~s}} \tag{159}
\end{gather*}
$$

The new transfer function of the current closed loop can be written as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{i c n}(s)=\frac{1}{1+1.02 * 10^{-4} s} \tag{160}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{g}=1 / \omega_{g}$
PI controller can be given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{s}(s)=k_{s p} \frac{1+T_{s i} s}{T_{s i} s} \tag{161}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, the transfer function of open speed loop can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{s o}(s)=k_{s p} \frac{1+T_{s i} s}{T_{s i} s} G_{i c n}(s) \frac{3 P^{2}}{8} \lambda_{m} \frac{1}{s J} \tag{162}
\end{equation*}
$$

Symmetric Optimum Method is used to compute the PI parameters. This method can achieve a maximum PM with balanced phase and magnitude characteristics. The PI-current loop, which is low pass filter, decreases the magnitude by 20 dB after the crossover frequency and PIspeed controller reduces the magnitude by 20 dB . Hence, the magnitude is decreased by 40 dB after the crossover frequency, $\omega_{g}$.

Consequently, the crossover frequency of the speed loop, $\omega_{s c}$, is in equidistant between the $\omega_{g}$ and $\omega_{s i}=1 / T_{s i}$, which is the crossover frequency of the PI-speed controller, in term of logarithmic scale. Therefore, variable $\beta$ can be used to set the relationship between these frequencies as given below:

$$
\omega_{s c}=\frac{1}{\beta} \omega_{g} \text { and } \omega_{s i}=\frac{1}{\beta^{2}} \omega_{g}
$$

Hence, apply the phase and magnitude condition to the open loop transfer function:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \arg \left(G_{s o}(j \omega s c)=\arg \left(G_{s o}\left(\frac{j \omega_{g}}{\beta}\right)=-180+P M\right.\right.  \tag{163}\\
& \left|G_{s o}\left(j \omega_{s c}\right)\right|=\left\lvert\,\left(\left.G_{s o}\left(\frac{j \omega_{g}}{\beta}\right) \right\rvert\,=1\right.\right. \tag{164}
\end{align*}
$$

Finding $\beta$ form the Phase margin condition:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{\omega_{g}}{\beta} T_{s i}\right)-\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{\omega_{g}}{\beta} T_{g}\right)-\pi=-\pi+\frac{\pi}{3} \\
& \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{\omega_{g}}{\beta} \frac{1}{\omega_{s c}}\right)-\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{\omega_{g}}{\beta} \frac{1}{\omega_{g}}\right)=\frac{\pi}{3} \\
& \tan ^{-1}(\beta)-\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)=\frac{\pi}{3} \tag{165}
\end{align*}
$$

$\beta$ can be found by using Sum of tangents identity, which is given below:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tan (x-y)=\frac{\tan (x)-\tan (y)}{1+\tan (x) \tan (y)} \tag{166}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by take tangent for both sides of Eqn. (165) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tan \left[\tan ^{-1}(\beta)-\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)\right]=\tan \left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) \\
& \frac{\tan \left[\tan ^{-1}(\beta)\right]-\tan \left[\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)\right]}{1+\tan \left[\tan ^{-1}(\beta)\right] \tan \left[\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right]\right.}=\tan \left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) \\
& \frac{\beta-\frac{1}{\beta}}{1+1}=\tan \left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) \\
& \beta^{2}-2 \tan \left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) \beta-1=0 \tag{167}
\end{align*}
$$

By solving for $\beta$ and find the positive root, which is the solution.

$$
\beta_{1}=3.732, \quad \beta_{2}=-0.268
$$

Now, the magnitude condition can be applied to find $K_{s p}$

$$
\left\lvert\,\left(\left.G_{s o}\left(\frac{j \omega_{g}}{\beta}\right) \right\rvert\,=1\right.\right.
$$

$$
\left|K_{s p} \frac{3 P^{2}}{J 8} \lambda_{m}\left(\frac{1+T_{s i} \frac{j \omega_{g}}{\beta}}{T_{s i} \frac{j \omega_{g}}{\beta}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{1+T_{g} \frac{j \omega_{g}}{\beta}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\frac{j \omega_{g}}{\beta}}\right)\right|=1
$$

$$
\left|K_{s p} \frac{3 P^{2}}{8 J \omega_{g}} \lambda_{m}\left(\frac{1+j \beta}{j \beta}\right)\left(\frac{1}{1+j \beta}\right)(-j \beta)\right|=1
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{s p} \frac{3 P^{2}}{8 J \omega_{g}} \lambda_{m} \sqrt{\frac{1+\beta^{2}}{1+\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)^{2}}}=1 \tag{168}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where:
$P=8 \quad$ Number of Poles.
$\lambda_{m}=0.175$ Magnet flux that established by the rotor.
$J=0.0008 \mathrm{kgm}^{2} \quad$ Moment of inertia.

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{s p}=\frac{1}{\frac{3 P^{2}}{8 J \omega_{g}} \lambda_{m} \sqrt{\frac{1+\beta^{2}}{1+\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)^{2}}}}=0.5013 \tag{169}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since,

$$
T_{s i}=\frac{\beta^{2}}{\omega_{g}}=\frac{(3.732)^{2}}{9821.546}=0.00142 \frac{\mathrm{sec}}{\mathrm{rad}}
$$

Therefor, PI-speed controller can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{s}(s)=0.5013\left[\frac{1+14.2 * 10^{-4} s}{14.2 * 10^{-4} s}\right] \tag{170}
\end{equation*}
$$

The open loop transfer function of the speed can be written as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{\text {so }}(s)=0.5013 \frac{3 * 8^{2}}{8 * 0.0008} 0.175\left(\left[\frac{1+14.2 * 10^{-4} s}{14.2 * 10^{-4} s}\right]\left[\frac{1}{1+1.02 * 10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}}\right]\left[\frac{1}{\mathrm{~s}}\right]\right)  \tag{171}\\
& G_{\text {so }}=\frac{0.02392 s+16.84}{9.27 * 10^{-10} s^{3}+9.088 * 10^{-6} s^{2}} \tag{172}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, the PI-current would be:

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{s}=K_{s p}=0.5013  \tag{173}\\
& I_{s}=\frac{K_{i p}}{T_{i i}}=\frac{0.5013}{14.2 * 10^{-4}}=353.03 \tag{174}
\end{align*}
$$

Figure 2.47-a shows the Bode plot of the open loop transfer function of the speed control and Figure $2.47-\mathrm{b}$ shows step response of the closed loop transfer function of the speed loop.


Figure 2.47: Speed Controller (a) Bode Plot of Speed Open Loop (b) Step Response of the Speed Closed Loop

### 2.10.7 Matlab Simulation of FOC

Figure 2.48 shows the block simulation of FOC of the PMSM model in "dq" coordinate frame with design PI-current controllers and PI-speed controller.


Figure 2.48: Simulation Block Diagram of FOC of PMSM with Design PI-Current Controllers and PI-Speed Controller.

The subsystem is given in Figure 2.49 - Figure 2.51. Figure 2.49shows the permanent magnet synchronous motor model.


Figure 2.49: Permanent Magnet Synchronous Motor Model in dq coordinate frame.


Figure 2.50: Decoupling System


Figure 2.51: PI Controller

The simulation results are summarized in Figure 2.52-Figure 2.54.


Figure 2.52: d and q axis current


Figure 2.53: abc coordinate frame current


Figure 2.54: Speed and angle trajectory of the motor

### 2.11 DSP IMPLEMENTATION OF FIELD ORIENTED CONTROL

To implement field oriented control algorithm and control the torque speed of PMSM, we use Texas Instrument DSP. The TMS320F28035 DSP is a programmable digital controller with power of C28x CPU. DSP allows user to design very sophisticated control algorithms and
compute parameters in real time, since it has high speed processing CPU. Figure 2.55 shows a functional block diagram for F28035DSP:


Figure 2.55: Functional Block Diagram OF TMS320F28035 DSP

### 2.11.1 FOC Performance

Here the experiment is set up to perform FOC with our PI controllers. We design and implement our PI controllers using the following program. The flowchart of the system is shown in Figure 2.56.

- PI-Controller
// Initialize the PI module for Id pi_spd.Kp=_IQ(1.0);
pi_spd.Ki=_IQ(T*SpeedLoopPrescaler/0.2);
pi_spd.Umax =_IQ(0.95);
pi_spd.Umin =_IQ(-0.95);
// Initialize the PI module for Iq
pi_id.Kp=_IQ(1.0);
pi_id.Ki=_IQ(T/0.04);
pi_id.Umax =_IQ(0.3);
pi_id.Umin =_IQ(-0.3);
// Initialize the PI module for speed
pi_iq.Kp=_IQ(1.0);
pi_iq.Ki=_IQ(T/0.04);
pi_iq.Umax =_IQ(0.8);
pi_iq.Umin =_IQ(-0.8);
The designed PI controllers are given Eqn's(154) and (172). Hence, the digital PI controller for speed and current can be found as below.

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{i}(s)=\left[\frac{0.00975 s+35.37}{0.0002757 \mathrm{~s}}\right]  \tag{175}\\
& P_{i}=K_{i p}=35.372  \tag{176}\\
& I_{i}=\frac{K_{i p}}{T_{i i}}=\frac{35.37}{2.75 * 10^{-4}}=128304.85 \tag{177}
\end{align*}
$$

where the PI-speed is:

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{s o}=\frac{0.02392 s+16.84}{9.27 * 10^{-10} s^{3}+9.088 * 10^{-6} s^{2}}  \tag{178}\\
& P_{s}=K_{s p}=0.5013  \tag{179}\\
& I_{s}=\frac{K_{i p}}{T_{i i}}=\frac{0.5013}{14.2 * 10^{-4}}=353.03 \tag{180}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 2.56: Program Flowchart of FOC Implementation

Some of the TI program macro blocks are introduced here.

- SVGEN MACRO is to generate three switching times duration of the space vector pulse width modulation.
- PWM MACRO is to create the six PWM which controls the inverter to build the desired voltage.
- ADC CONV converts the feedback currents, $i_{a} a n d i_{b}$, and the DC voltage source into digital.
- IPARK MACRO performs inverse Rotational Park transformation.
- QEP MACRO provides the electric angle and speed direction from the encoder.
- SPEED FR MACRO is to compute the speed and feed as feedback speed.
- PARK MARCO is to implement Rotational Park Transformation.
- CLARK MARCO is to implement Clarke Transformation.
- PID MACRO is used to implement the PI controller for q-axis, d-axis, and speed.


Figure 2.57: FOC Build Macro Block Diagram

### 2.11.2 DSP Hardware Implementation

The DSP implementation results are summarized in Figure 2.58, Figure 2.59, Figure 2.60.


Figure 2.58: DSP Processor


Figure 2.59: Rotating Permanent Magnet Motor


Figure 2.60: Permanent Magnet Motor Speed Control

### 2.12 NONLINEAR CONTROLLER DESIGN

Different type of nonlinear controllers for permanent magnet synchronous motors are summarized in this section.

### 2.12.1 Sliding Mode Control

Based on the dynamical equation in last section of chapter 3. The following sliding surfaces are chosen:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{d}=i_{d}-i_{d}^{*}=0 \tag{181}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{q}=i_{q}-i_{q}^{*}=0 \tag{182}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i_{d}^{*}, i_{q}^{*}$ are the reference input for $i_{d}, i_{q}$, respectively. In order to implement the Field Oriented Control, we have

$$
i_{d}^{*}=0
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{q}^{*}=\tau / K_{t} \tag{183}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the torque constance $K_{t}=\frac{3}{2} \lambda_{m} P$.
The control law is designed imposing the sliding mode existence condition for surface Eqn. 183.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{d} \dot{s}_{d}<0 \\
& s_{q} \dot{s}_{q}<0
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to take into account possible parameter variations, we define $r_{s}=\hat{r}_{s}+\Delta r_{s}$, $L=\hat{L}+\Delta L, \lambda_{m}=\hat{\lambda}_{m}+\Delta \lambda_{m}$. The $\Delta$ terms corresponding to the uncertainties.

Therefore, from Eqn. ?? we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{s_{d}}{L}\left[-L \frac{i_{d}^{*}(t)}{d t}+u_{d}(t)-r_{s} i_{d}(t)+L \omega_{e} i_{q}(t)\right]<0 \tag{184}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choosing the input

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{d}=u_{d}^{e q}+u_{d}^{n} \tag{185}
\end{equation*}
$$

with
$u_{d}^{e q}=-\hat{L} \frac{i_{d}^{*}(t)}{d t}-\hat{r}_{s} i_{d}(t)+\hat{L} \omega_{e} i_{q}(t)$
Then the inequality becomes
$\frac{s_{d}}{L}\left[-\Delta L \frac{i_{d}^{*}(t)}{d t}+u_{d}(t)-\Delta r_{s} i_{d}(t)+\Delta L \omega_{e} i_{q}(t)\right]<0$
Since the parameter uncertainties are bounded, we choose the positive constant bound
$\mathrm{P}-\Delta L \frac{i_{d}^{*}(t)}{d t}-\Delta r_{s} i_{d}(t)+\Delta L \omega_{e} i_{q}(t) P<\rho_{d}$
and choosing
$u_{d}^{n}=-\rho_{d} \operatorname{sign}\left(s_{d}(t)\right)$
Analogously, it can be shown that the following control law
$u_{q}=u_{q}^{e q}+u_{q}^{n}$
with
$u_{q}^{e q}=-\hat{L} \frac{\dot{i}_{d}^{*}(t)}{d t}-\hat{r}_{s} i_{q}(t)+\hat{L} \omega_{e} i_{d}(t)$
$u_{d}^{n}=-\rho_{q} \operatorname{sign}\left(s_{q}(t)\right)$
being $\rho_{q}$ such that
$\mathrm{P}-\Delta L \frac{i_{q}^{*}(t)}{d t}-\Delta r_{s} i_{q}(t)+\Delta L \omega_{e} i_{d}(t) P<\rho_{q}$

### 2.12.2 State Dependent LMI Controller Design

The novel state dependent LMI controller design has been published in the Journal of System Science and Control Engineering. Due to the complexity of these controllers, please refer to the following two publications for more details.

- X. Wang, E. E. Yaz and J. Long. Robust and resilient state dependent control of discrete-time non-linear systems with general performance criteria. Systems Science and Control Engineering, Volume 2, Issue 1, pp. 48-54, 2014.
- X. Wang, E. E. Yaz and J. Long. Robust and resilient state dependent control of continuous-time non-linear systems with general performance criteria. Systems Science and Control Engineering, Volume 2, Issue 1, pp. 34-40, 2014.


### 3.0 HYDRAULIC SYSTEM

The hydraulic diagram for hybrid vehicle design has been summarized in Figure 3.1.


Figure 3.1: Hydraulic Control Schematic Diagram
In the diagram, SP1 is a proportional control valve which regulates the amount of flow through the motor/pump regardless of whether it is in Drive or Regen mode.

SP2 is a proportional control valve which opens the accumulator pressure to the system to drive the motor when in Drive mode. It is proportional to provide a restriction to flow in tandem with SP1 during Drive to buffer and surges or overrunning on the part of the vehicle.

SV1 valve controls whether the motor/pump is in Normal or Freewheel mode. Freewheel mode is for RPMs when the electric system is driven, not the hydraulic. This may become a proportional valve to buffer the transition.

SV2 is the basic direction (forward, static, reverse) valve.

### 4.0 DSPACE IMPLEMENTATION

The dSPACE system ds1103 has been developed for the controller implementation. The HIL testing is today widely adopted in industrial application development process to test, develop and verify the embedded control design in real time on close-to-production hardware. The kit consists of the ds1103 controller, expansion box, slot CPU, I/O interface board. The overall hybrid vehicle control algorithm is developed and tested using the system, and HIL development have been performed.

The ds 1103 controller includes a PowerPC 750GX running at 1 GHz for rapid control design. It is mounted on a dSPACE Expansion box with connection to slot CPU to link to the computer.

The unparalleled number of I/O interfaces makes the ds1103 a versatile controller board for numerous applications. It provides a great selection of interfaces, including 50 bit-I/O channels, 36 A/D channels, and 8 D/A channels. For additional I/O tasks, a DSP controller unit built around Texas Instruments TM320F240 DSP is used as a subsystem.

The control of electrical drives requires accurate recording and output of I/O values. It is possible to synchronize the $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{D}$ channels and $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{A}$ channels, and the position of the incremental encoder interface, with an internal PWM signal or an external trigger signal. Also, the serial interface (UART) is driven by a phase-locked loop to achieve absolutely accurate baud rate selection.


Figure 4.1: dSPACE ds1103 PPC Controller
The dSPACE system has been used to develop and implement our control algorithm for hybrid vehicle design. The dSPACE hardware controller is shown in Figure 4.2. The MATLAB/dSPACE co-design results are shown in Figure 4.3 and Figure 4.4.


Figure 4.2: dSPACE Hardware System


Figure 4.3: MATLAB Development for dSPACE Controller


Figure 4.4: ControlDesk dSPACE Program
The dSPACE controller HIL test has been used to verified the efficacy of the proposed control algorithms for electrical system.

### 5.0 CONCLUSION

In this report, we have summarized the research results on MATLAB simulation of the hybrid vehicle, controller designs including PID, sliding mode control and nonlinear control methods for electrical motor drive, hydraulic control schematic and the dSPACE implementation. Both Digital Signal Processor and dSPACE systems have been used for the practical hardware implementations. For future development, the control system will be adapted to a heavy work vehicle, such as a forklift outfitted with a custom built hydraulic-electric large diameter, flat format, drive system.

