



Pediatria

sociedade Brasileira
DE PEDIATRIA

www.jped.com.br

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Oral breathing and speech disorders in children*

Silvia F. Hitos^{a,*}, Renata Arakaki^b, Dirceu Solé^c, Luc L.M. Weckx^{d,1}

- ^a PhD Candidate. MSc in Health Sciences. Departamento de Pediatria, Escola Paulista de Medicina, Universidade Federal de São Paulo (EPM-UNIFESP), São Paulo, SP, Brazil
- ^b Clinical Phonoaudiologist. EPM-UNIFESP, São Paulo, SP, Brazil
- ^c Full Professor. Departamento de Pediatria, EPM-UNIFESP, São Paulo, SP, Brazil
- ^d Full Professor. Departamento de Otorrinolaringologia, Head of the Centro do Respirador Bucal, EPM-UNIFESP, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

Received 23 July 2012; accepted 5 December 2012 Available online 1 July 2013

KEYWORDS

Joint disorders; Mouth breathing; Rhinitis; Palatine tonsils; Adenoid

Abstract

Objective: To assess speech alterations in mouth-breathing children, and to correlate them with the respiratory type, etiology, gender, and age.

Method: A total of 439 mouth-breathers were evaluated, aged between 4 and 12 years. The presence of speech alterations in children older than 5 years was considered delayed speech development. The observed alterations were tongue interposition (TI), frontal lisp (FL), articulatory disorders (AD), sound omissions (SO), and lateral lisp (LL). The etiology of mouth breathing, gender, age, respiratory type, and speech disorders were correlated.

Results: Speech alterations were diagnosed in 31.2% of patients, unrelated to the respiratory type: oral or mixed. Increased frequency of articulatory disorders and more than one speech disorder were observed in males. TI was observed in 53.3% patients, followed by AD in 26.3%, and by FL in 21.9%. The co-occurrence of two or more speech alterations was observed in 24.8% of the children.

Conclusion: Mouth breathing can affect speech development, socialization, and school performance. Early detection of mouth breathing is essential to prevent and minimize its negative effects on the overall development of individuals.

© 2013 Sociedade Brasileira de Pediatria. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. All rights reserved.

PALAVRAS-CHAVE

Transtornos da articulação; Respiração bucal;

Respiração oral e alteração de fala em crianças

Resumo

Objetivo: Verificar alterações na fala em crianças respiradoras orais e relacioná-las com o tipo respiratório, a etiologia, o gênero e a idade.

0021-7557/\$ - see front matter © 2013 Sociedade Brasileira de Pediatria. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jped.2012.12.007

[†] Please cite this article as: Hitos SF, Arakaki R, Solé D, Weckx LL. Oral breathing and speech disorders in children. J Pediatr (Rio J). 2013;89:361–5.

^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail: sihitosfono@hotmail.com (S.F. Hitos).

¹ In memoriam.

362 Hitos SF et al.

Rinite; Amígdala; Adenoide Método: Foram avaliados 439 respiradores orais com idade entre quatro e 12 anos. Considerouse atraso no desenvolvimento de fala a presença de alterações em crianças acima de cinco anos de idade. As alterações observadas foram interposição de língua (IL), ceceio frontal (CF), troca articulatória (TA), omissões (OM) e ceceio lateral (CL). Relacionou-se etiologia da respiração oral, gênero, idade, tipo respiratório e alterações de fala.

Resultados: Alterações de fala foram diagnosticadas em 31,2% dos pacientes sem relação com o tipo respiratório: oral ou misto. Maior frequência de trocas articulatórias e mais de uma alteração de fala ocorreram no gênero masculino. IL foi documentada em 53,3% pacientes, seguida por TA em 26,3% e CF em 21,9%. Concomitância de duas ou mais alterações de fala ocorreu em 24,8% das crianças.

Conclusão: Respirar pela boca pode afetar o desenvolvimento da fala, a socialização e o desempenho escolar. A detecção precoce da respiração oral é essencial para prevenir e minimizar seus efeitos negativos sobre o desenvolvimento global dos indivíduos.

© 2013 Sociedade Brasileira de Pediatria. Publicado por Elsevier Editora Ltda. Todos os direitos reservados.

Introduction

Nasal breathing improves the quality of the inspired air, protects the airways, and promotes correct positioning of the phono-articulatory organs, ensuring good performance of the stomatognathic functions.^{1,2}

Mouth breathing, a pathological condition,³ may be due to upper airway obstruction, sagging facial muscles, or habit;¹ any individual who has exhibited this type of breathing for a minimum of six months should be considered a mouth breather.⁴ Genetic factors and exposure to obstructive factors, regardless of etiology, can be detrimental to child development.

Among the consequences of mouth breathing are alterations in cranio-orofacial growth, speech, nutrition, body posture, sleep quality, and school performance. Overall, the mouth breather presents alterations in posture, tone, and mobility of lips, tongue and cheeks, resulting in less efficiency in stomatognathic functions: chewing, swallowing and speech, flaccid jaw elevator muscles, anterior head posture, maxillary atresia, and speech disorders. 5,6

Speech can be altered due to flaccid facial muscles, incorrect positioning of the tongue, ⁷ or structural problems of the oral cavity caused by malocclusion and/or deficiencies in facial growth and development. ^{2,8}

The most commonly described speech disorders in mouth breathers are: anterior position of tongue during production of lingual dental phonemes, 9 imprecision in bilabial (/p/,/b/,/m/) and fricative (/f/,/v/,/s/,/z/,/ 3 /,/ 5 /) phonemes in Portuguese, frontal lisp (FL), and lateral (LL) lisp. $^{10-12}$ Children who are mouth-breathers can also have daytime sleepiness, 13,14 poor brain oxygenation, 15 or immature auditory processing. All of these complications can lead to learning disabilities. 16

Thus, the aims of this study were to assess the development of speech, the most frequently observed speech alterations, and to correlate them with the etiology of mouth breathing The knowledge of these aspects can help health professionals to prevent or minimize the consequences of mouth breathing.

Methods

Mouth-breathing children (n=439) aged 4 to 12 years, enrolled in and regularly attending the Mouth-breather Center (Centro do Respirador Bucal – CRB) of the Universidade Federal de São Paulo/Escola Paulista de Medicina (UNIFESP-EPM) from May of 2000 to May of 2011, were evaluated. Patients with genetic syndromes, orofacial malformations, or mental retardation were excluded.

Patients were evaluated according to standards established by the CRB. First, patients were evaluated by the otorhinolaryngology specialist and then, by the other specialists of the CRB: allergist, physical therapis, dentist, orthodontist, and speech therapist, always on the same day.

Patients with a history of mouth breathing for at least six months, with nasal obstruction, pallid or hyperemic nasal mucosa, with or without hypertrophy of adenoid (volume occupying less than 70% of airway) and tonsils (grade I or II), and nonobstructive nasal septal deviation/turbinate (Brozek et al.⁵), conditions observed by the otorhinolaryngology specialist during clinical examination and nasal fibroscopy, were included.

The allergic etiology in patients with a clinical diagnosis of rhinitis (runny nose, sneezing, itchy nose and/or eye) was confirmed by reports of clinical exposure, onset/worsening of symptoms, and immediate hypersensitivity skin test positive to aeroallergens (*D. pteronyssinus*, *D. farinae*, *Blomia tropicalis*, *Penicillium notatum*, *Aspergillus fumigatus*, *Alternaria alternata*, *Periplaneta americana*, *Blattella germanica*, dog epithelium, cat epithelium; positive [histamine, 10 mg/mL] and negative controls [saline]; IPI – ASAC do Brasil). Patients were considered allergic when they showed mean induced wheal diameter to at least one of the allergens tested ≥ 3 mm.¹⁷

Once mouth breathing and its etiology were confirmed, according to the protocol, the orthodontist, during clinical assessment and analysis of orthodontic documentation, assessed the presence/absence of occlusion alterations. The dentist assessed the status of the teeth, the oral and perioral mucosa, and gums.

Patients were classified according to the etiology of oral breathing as: atopy (A) - patients without hypertrophy of adenoids and/or pharyngeal tonsils, but with allergy symptoms (nasal itching, rhinorrhea, nasal obstruction, sneezing) and positive immediate hypersensitivity skin test (IHST); hypertrophy of adenoids and/or pharyngeal tonsils (H) - patients with obstruction due to hypertrophy without allergic symptoms and negative IHST; atopy associated with hypertrophy (A+H) - patients with obstruction due to hypertrophy, allergic symptoms, and positive IHST; or functional (F) - mouth = breather with no hypertrophy, no symptoms of allergic rhinitis, and negative IHST.

The speech therapy assessment evaluated the respiratory mode by direct observation during consultation, and with the use of a Glatzel mirror. Patients were classified as oral (the patient had nasal flow restriction and remained with his/her mouth open during the evaluation session) or oronasal (patient ventilated through both nostrils with parted lips). The speech therapist also performed the clinical evaluation of tone and mobility of the phono-articulatory organs and stomatognathic functions, among them, speech. The speech evaluation was performed by spontaneous conversation and picture naming in an articulatory album, made with several pictures covering all the phonemes of the Portuguese language in three distinct positions: beginning, middle, and end of the word. ^{18–20}

Anamnesis data such as development of feeding (time of breastfeeding, evolution of food consistency, eating solid foods), stimulation level (educational level of parents and early school life), and history of ear infections during the child's development were not considered in this research as not enough parents/caregivers could provide this information.

The diagnosis of speech considered age and physiological development. The presence of alterations in children older than five years was considered delayed speech development.

In these cases, the observed alterations were classified as: tongue interposition (TI) before the utterance of/t/,/d/,/n/, and/l/; frontal lisp (FL), the interposition of the anterior tongue in the utterance of/s/and/z/; sound omissions (SO); articulatory disorders (AD), characterized by exchanging one sound for another, systematically or not; and lateral lisp (LL), the lateral interposition of the tongue, resulting in the distortion of the sounds/ \int /and/ 3 /. The

existence of several concomitant speech disorders was also verified.

The chi-squared test or Fisher's test were used for the analysis of the variables, establishing 5% as the rejection level for the null hypothesis. This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Hospital São Paulo and UNIFESP-EPM (No. 1,428/07).

Results

Of the 439 children evaluated, 137 were older than five years and had speech disorders. Of these, 64 were females and 73 males, divided into age groups: 37.2% were aged between 5 and 6 years, 30.7% between 7 and 8 years old, 19% between 9 and 10 years, and 13.1% between 11 and 12 years. The type of breathing in patients with speech disorders was oro-nasal in 44.5% and oral in 55.5%. The etiological cause of nasal obstruction was defined as follows: A in 35.8% of patients, H in 21.2%, A+H in 33.6%, and and F in 9.5%.

The most frequently observed speech disorders were TI in 53.3% of patients, AD in 26.3%, FL in 21.9%, SO in 18.2%, and LL in 8%. The age groups differed in relation to TI, with a significantly higher number in the age ranges > 9 years.

There was no significant association between the type of breathing) or all or oro-nasal) and speech disorders; however, AD was significantly higher in male patients. Table 1 shows the lack of association between speech disorders and etiology of oral breathing.

When analyzing the presence of more than one speech disorder according to age group, there was no significant difference between the ages. When associating gender and presence of more than one speech disorder, as shown in Table 2, it was observed that male children had a significantly higher percentage of cases with more than one alteration.

Discussion

When speech is the aim of a study, it is difficult to encompass all aspects that may affect the outcome. Type of breathing, together with time of history, the severity of allergy, and frequency of crises; hypertrophy of pharyngeal tonsils and/or adenoid and the degree of hypertrophy; development of eating habits and the duration, frequency,

Table 1	Distribution of	speech	problems	according	to age groups.
---------	-----------------	--------	----------	-----------	----------------

Alteration		Age range (years)							
	5-	·6 (n = 51)	7-8 (n = 42)		9-10 (n = 26)		11-12 (n = 18)		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	р
TI	21	41.2	20	47.6	17	65.4	15	83.3	0.009a
AD	16	31.4	13	31.0	6	23.1	1	5.6	0.152a
FL	14	27.5	10	23.8	4	15.4	2	11.1	0.411 ^a
OS	12	23.5	6	14.3	5	19.2	2	11.1	0.612 ^b
LL	3	5.9	3	7.1	2	7.7	3	16.7	0.54 ^b

AD, articulatory disorders; FL, frontal lisp; LL, lateral lisp; SO, sound omissions TI, tongue interposition.

^a Chi-squared test.

^b Fisher's exact test.

364 Hitos SF et al.

Table 2	Presence of speech problems in children according
to gender	:

Number of alterations					
	Female (n = 64)		Male (n = 73)		
	n	%	n	%	p ^a
One > one		84.4 15.6		67.1 32.9	0.020

^a Chi-squared test.

and intensity of harmful habits; facial profile, strength and mobility of the facial muscles; development of hearing, auditory condition at the time of testing, and auditory processing; dental occlusion and craniofacial growth; and even the level of stimulation received during development should all be considered as so that the study's results are more comprehensive.

Although several studies have reported that mouth breathers can have speech disorders, ^{1,2,11} and that FL and LL are frequent in this population, ¹⁰ the lack of more detailed accounts describing this possible association, ¹² prompted the performance of this study, even considering the limitations caused by the diversity of interfering factors in speech development.

As patients from a referral service were evaluated, it was observed that the A etiology (alone or combined) was the most common among the patients, similar to what was previously observed by other investigators. ^{2,22,23}

Although delays in language and/or speech can occur three times more often in boys than in girls, according Vitto & Feres, ²⁴ the present study, when correlating speech and gender, demonstrated differences only in AD, for which males showed a significantly higher percentage.

Dissimilarly, FL and LL were not the most frequent alterations. 10 It was initially believed that FL would be more frequent in cases of tonsil hypertrophy, since by occupying more space in the back of the oral cavity, they would cause projection of the tongue, and thus the FL. The present data did not confirm this assumption, as although FL was more frequent in the A+H etiology, it was not for the H etiology.

Among all the aspects studied, it is noteworthy that 31.2% of patients evaluated were children with speech disorders who were older than five years, an age when the phonological system must be fully developed, 25 suggesting that mouth breathing may be an interfering factor in its development. However, dental occlusion, which was not considered in the present study, may have an important association with speech disorders, as observed by Farronato et al. 26 The presence of Angle class III malocclusion, diastema, increased overjet, and presence of open bite or deep bite tend to be associated with speech disorders.

Aspects related to the development of eating habits in these patients or the history of harmful habits were not considered in the study; these factors can affect facial muscles and hinder the utterance of correct phonemes. According to Thomas et al., ²⁷ the time of breastfeeding alone does not appear to be directly related to malocclusions, but can aggravate dental-facial problems when associated with parafunctional habits.

Despite the limitations of the present study, it is believed the data obtained are of utmost importance, especially when considering that, in general, speech disorders were more frequent between 5 and 8 years of age, with 24.8% of children concomitantly showing more than one alteration. This demonstrates that speech intelligibility may be impaired at an age range (5–8 years) during which the interaction with peers is important for development and when promptness to learn how to read and write is being established. Therefore, mouth breathers, in addition to the risk of delayed speech development, can have difficulties in socialization and at school, regarding literacy and its subsequent process. ²⁸

Studies have demonstrated a close relationship between mouth breathing and learning disabilities, and attention and memory deficits. ^{15,16,29,30} However, there have been no reports of studies correlating alterations in breathing and learning difficulties of individuals with delayed speech development.

The auditory aspect should be considered in this circumstance, as it can interfere with the perception of sounds of language, making the acquisition and/or correction of speech and writing difficult. In the first years of school, the pronunciation of words directly influences the learning of reading and writing, to the point of affecting their acquisition and development.

The mouth breather is vulnerable to ear infections from both palatine tonsils and/or pharyngeal hyperplasias, as well as swelling of the nasal mucosa in allergic cases, which may lead to malfunction of the Eustachian tube and fluctuating hearing loss.³¹ This can interfere with the capacity to identify speech sounds during development, causing delays and alterations.

The structural and functional consequences of mouth breathing are know to often be spontaneously irreversible, thus its early detection is crucial for the implementation of a multidisciplinary approach towards treatment.

The present findings suggest that monitoring the development of mouth breathers is essential, aiming at improving quality of life and minimizing the negative effects of mouth breathing. Among the various professionals, the speech therapist can greatly contribute to the quality of life of these patients by working on the development of language and speech, stomatognathic functions, and assisting in the development of reading and writing.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Branco A, Ferrari GF, Weber SA. Orofacial alterations in allergic diseases of the airways. Rev Paul Pediatr. 2007;25:266-70.
- Lemos CM, Wilhelmsen NS, Mion Ode G, Mello Júnior JF. Functional alterations of the stomatognathic system in patients with allergic rhinitis: case-control study. Braz J Otorhinolaryngol. 2009;75:268–74.
- 3. Rodrigues HO, Faria SR, Paula FS, Motta AR. Occurrence of mouth breathing and orofacial myology disorders in patients on orthodontic treatment. Rev CEFAC. 2005;7:356–62.

- 4. Rizzo MC. O respirador bucal. In: Naspitz CK, org. Alergias respiratórias. São Paulo: Vivali; 2003. p. 98–110.
- Marchesan IQ. Avaliação e terapia dos problemas da respiração.
 In: Marchesan IQ, org. Fundamentos em fonoaudiologia: aspectos clínicos da motricidade oral. Rio de Janeiro: Guanabara Koogan; 1998. p. 23-36.
- Di Francesco RC. Respirador bucal: a visão do otorrinolaringologista. J Bras Fonoaudiol. 1999;1:56–60.
- Braun T. Respiração oral e a importância do tratamento fonoaudiológico. [cited 14 March 2011]. Available from: www.clinicabraun.com.br/info_5.htm
- 8. Martinelli RL, Fornaro EF, Oliveira CJ, Ferreira LM, Rehder MI. Correlations between speech disorders, mouth breathing, dentition and occlusion. Rev CEFAC. 2011;13:17–26.
- Felício CM. 1999. Sistema estomatognático e funções. In: Felício CM, org. Fonoaudiologia aplicada a casos odontológicos: motricidade oral e audiologia. São Paulo: Pancast; 1999. p. 15–48.
- Marchesan IQ. Alterações músculo-esqueletais. In: Ferreira LP, Befi-Lopes DM, Limongi SC, orgs. Tratado de fonoaudiologia. São Paulo: Roca; 2004. p. 294–5.
- Monteiro VR, Brescovici SM, Delgado SE. The occurrence of lisp in eight- to 11-year-old children from municipal schools. Rev Soc Bras Fonoaudiol. 2009;14:213–8.
- 12. Nishimura CM, Gimenez SR. Speech profile of the mouth breather. Rev CEFAC. 2010;12:505–8.
- Balbani AP, Weber SA, Montovani JC. Update in obstructive sleep apnea syndrome in children. Braz J Otorhinolaryngol. 2005;71:74–80.
- 14. Campanha SM, Freire LM, Fontes MJ. Impact of asthma, allergic rhinitis and mouth breathing in life quality of children and adolescents. Rev CEFAC. 2008;10:513–9.
- 15. Vera CF, Conde GE, Wajnsztejn R, Nemr K. Learning disabilities and mouth breathing in subjects with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder diagnosis. Rev CEFAC. 2006;8: 441–55.
- Chedid KA, Di Francesco RC, Junqueira PA. The influence of mouth breathing on reading and writing learning in preschool children. Rev Psicoped. 2004;21:157–63.
- 17. Bousquet J, Heinzerling L, Bachert C, Papadopoulos NG, Bousquet PJ, Burney PG, et al. Practical guide to skin prick tests in allergy to aeroallergens. Allergy. 2012;67:18–24.

- 18. Rodrigues JE, Sá MS, Alouche SR. Profile of the stroke patients treated in the clinical school of physical therapy of the UMESP. Rev Neurocienc. 2004;12:117–22.
- Garcia VL, Pereira LD, Fukuda Y. Selective attention: psi performance in children with learning disabilities. Braz J Otorhinolaryngol. 2007;73:404–11.
- 20. Lima RM, Amaral AK, Aroucha EB, Vasconcelos TM, Silva HJ, Cunha DA. Chew, deglutition and speech adaptations in aged people at a long permanence institution. Rev CEFAC. 2009;11:405–22.
- Leite AF, Silva SB, Britto AT, Di Ninno CQ. Lisp characterization of patients from the Centro Clínico de Fonoaudiologia. Rev Soc Bras Fonoaudiol. 2008;13:30–6.
- 22. Abreu RR, Rocha RL, Lamounier JA, Guerra AF. Etiology, clinical manifestations and concurrent findings in mouth-breathing children. J Pediatr (Rio J). 2008;84:529–35.
- 23. Barros JR, Becker HM, Pinto JA. Evaluation of atopy among mouth-breathing pediatric patients referred for treatment to a tertiary care center. J Pediatr (Rio J). 2006;82:458-64.
- 24. Vitto MM, Feres MC. Oral communication disturbances in children. Medicina (Ribeirão Preto). 2005;38:229-34.
- 25. de Farias SR, de Avila CR, Vieira MM. Relationship between speech, tonus and non-verbal praxis of the stomatognathic system in preschoolers. Pro Fono. 2006;18:267–76.
- Farronato G, Giannini L, Riva R, Galbiati G, Maspero C. Correlations between malocclusions and dyslalias. Eur J Paediatr Dent. 2012;13:13–8.
- Thomaz EB, Cangussu MC, Assis AM. Maternal breastfeeding, parafunctional oral habits and malocclusion in adolescents: a multivariate analysis. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol. 2012;76:500-6.
- 28. Correa BM, Rossi AG, Roggia B, Silva AM. Analysis of hearing abilities in mouth-breathing children. Rev CEFAC. 2011;13:668–75.
- 29. Di Francesco RC, Passerotii G, Paulucci B, Miniti A. Mouth breathing in children: different repercussions according to the diagnosis. Braz J Otorhinolaryngol. 2004;70:665–70.
- 30. Hamasaki Uema SF, Nagata Pignatari SS, Fujita RR, Moreira GA, Pradella-Hallinan M, Weckx L. Assessment of cognitive learning function in children with obstructive sleep breathing disorders. Braz J Otorhinolaryngol. 2007;73:315–20.
- 31. Bianchini AP, Guedes ZC, Hitos S. Oral breathing: etiology x hearing. Rev CEFAC. 2009;11:S38–43.