MD

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10



Article



Mariacaterina Lianza 1, Ritchy Leroy 2, Carine Machado Rodriges 2, Nicolas Borie 2, Charlotte Sayagh 2, Simon Remy², Stefan Kuhn³, Jean-Hugues Renault² and Jean-Marc Nuzillard^{2,*}

- ¹ University of Bologna, Department of Pharmacy and Biotechnology, Bologna, Italy
- Université de Reims Champagne Ardenne, CNRS, ICMR UMR 7312, 51097 Reims, France
- ³ De Montfort University, School of Computer Science and Informatics, Leicester LE1 9BH, UK

Correspondence: jm.nuzillard@univ-reims.fr (J.-M. N.)

11 Abstract: The role and importance of the identification of natural products are discussed in the perspective of the study of secondary metabolites. The rapid identification of already reported com-12 13 pounds, or structural dereplication, is recognized as a key element in natural product chemistry. The biological taxonomy of metabolite producing organisms, the knowledge of metabolite molecu-14 15 lar structures, and the availability of metabolite spectroscopic signatures are considered as the three pillars of structural dereplication. The role and the construction of databases is illustrated by refer-16 17 ences to the KNApSAcK, UNPD, CSEARCH, and COCONUT databases, and by the importance of calculated taxonomic and spectroscopic data as substitutes for missing or lost original ones. Two 18 NMR-based tools, the PNMRP database that derives from UNPD, and KnapsackSearch, a database 19 generator that provides taxonomically focused libraries of compounds, are proposed to the com-20 munity of natural product chemists. The study of the alkaloids from Urceolina peruviana, a plant 21 from the Andes used in traditional medicine for antibacterial and anticancer actions, has given the 22 opportunity to test different approaches to dereplication, favoring the use of publicly available data 23 24 sources.

Keywords: Natural products; dereplication; databases; spectroscopy; taxonomy; molecular structures.

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2020 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

1. 1. General considerations

Organic natural products are produced by living organisms to ensure their own basic 30 functional requirements through primary metabolism and to fine-tune the relationships 31 with their surrounding through specialized or secondary metabolism. The term "natural 32 product" (NP) generally refers to an organic specialized metabolite. NP biosynthesis is 33 controlled by the genes and therefore depends on organism species. The biological evolu-34 tion led to the preservation of some NPs across related species while others where left 35 over. A set of species may consequently share a set of identical specialized metabolites. 36 The taxonomic classification of species relied on phenotype comparison at the time biol-37 ogists would not even dream to have access to the genome of living organisms. The iden-38 tification of preserved NP structures or structural features could then assist the classifica-39 40 tion task through chemotaxonomic studies, as NP structures are part of the phenotype.

The investigation of NPs is not only bound to taxonomic studies but is motivated by 41 the uses human beings make of them. NPs with therapeutic, organoleptic, psychoactive, 42 poisonous, tinctorial (non-exhaustive list) properties were generally not produced to be 43

Citation: Lianza, M.; Leroy, R.; Machado, C.; Borie, N.; Sayagh, C.; Remy, S.; Kuhn, S.; Renault, J.-H.; Nuzillard, J.-M. The three pillars of natural product dereplication. Alkaloids from the bulbs of Urceolina peruviana (C. Presl) J.F. Macbr. as a preliminary test case. Molecules 2020,

26, x. https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxxx

Received: date Accepted: date Published: date

28 29

25

26

45

46

47

48 49

50

51 52

53

54

55

56

57

58 59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70 71

used by humans for the purposes organisms produce them. Most of NP properties are related to their interaction with other biologically produced systems, referred to as NP targets. An NP would thus more likely interact with a target of therapeutic interest (an enzyme to be inhibited, for example) than with a randomly chosen molecule drawn from the chemical space of organic molecules, due to the co-evolution of all living species over hundreds of millions of years.

The understanding of the interaction between an NP and a target is a challenging task and is often a necessary step for the design of chemical compounds with enhanced properties [1]. This step requires a precise knowledge of the structure of the NP (and of its target) at the atomic level, a concern that converges with the one of chemotaxonomy.

Finding the structure of a compound that is already known should be, at least seemingly, much easier than the one of an unknown compound. The tentative identification of known compounds is one of the aspects of what is covered by the term "dereplication", because earlier efforts for purification and/or structure determination have not to be replicated [2]. Undertaking dereplication in first place makes sense because an organism for which nothing is known about its chemistry may share compounds with an already studied organism with close taxonomic relationship for the reason invoked in the first paragraph. Compounds that resist dereplication may be false (known) unknowns when the employed dereplication tools fail or true (unknown) unknowns [3], for which isolation and structure elucidation tools have to be deployed [4]. The determination of the molecular structure of NPs by dereplication constitutes an important part of this article.

Dereplication is a matter of collective memory by essence. This raises the questions of what information has to be preserved and of how to do it. Proving that two substances are identical at the atomic level is currently achieved by physico-chemical methods. The data produced by the analytical instruments and the related conditions in which they are obtained, namely the meta-data, are of prime importance. By language abuse, the analytic data and their associated meta-data will be referred to here as "spectroscopic data". If the molecular structure of compound A is known and if compound B is proved to be identical to compound A by spectroscopic data comparison, then the structure of compound B can be asserted as being also the one compound A, without having to interpret the data obtained from compound B, hence providing the expected time and effort gain.

Obviously, structures must be preserved along with associated spectroscopic data as the end of the currently described dereplication process is the labeling of a sample with the structure of a compound (compound naming will be discussed hereafter). A theoretical dereplication strategy would be to preserve the structure and spectroscopic data of all, probably less than 400.000, known NPs to date, and to compare the data from a presumably known compound with all the preserved data. If ever possible, this approach would be highly inefficient and it would be more efficient to limit the comparison work to the compounds from organisms that are taxonomically close to the one from which the currently considered NP comes from, in the way used by NP chemists during the pre-computer age [5]. This means that a link should be preserved between a NP structure and the taxonomy of the organism(s) it originates from. It clearly appears at this point that structure description, spectroscopy and taxonomy constitutes the three pillars of dereplication. Selected aspects of each of them are detailed hereafter.

1. 2. The three pilars of dereplication

1. 2. 1. Molecular structures

The structure of purely organic compounds, excluding organometallic species, is remarkably well described by mathematical graphs, with atoms as nodes and bonds as edges. The idea of matching a single compound with a single structure is valid at least when a compound cannot be described by more than one tautomeric form. While InChI [6] and SMILES [7] linear notations retain all the necessary structural features of a compound, including chirality, the text-based MOL format (and the derived SDF format) is widely used as it includes atom coordinates necessary either for 2D depictions or for 3D 96

72 73 74

75

76

77 78

79 80

81

82

83

84 85

86

87

88

97 viewing [8]. The three representation modes evoked here may coexist in order to avoid conversion operations, even though a computer tool can facilitate them [9]. Structures may 98 be surrounded by various calculated properties (molecular formula, molecular mass, 99 chemical classification, topological descriptors, for example) coded as tag-value pairs. The 100 compound name may be also considered as calculated property. Aspirin is indeed acetyl-101 102 salicylic acid (another compound name for the same substance) for which IUPAC [10] indicates it is 2-acetoxybenzoic acid in English but "acide 2-acétoxybenzoïque" in French 103 and "2-(Acetyloxy)benzoesäure" in German, thus precluding any kind of simple charac-104 105 ter-by-character name comparison. Considering the name as a molecular property, a compound is better referenced by a list of synonyms rather than by a single name. A structure 106 that is proposed to be a new one because dereplication did not prove it was already known 107 108 must be searched for in the literature, a task that is simplified by looking, if possible, in comprehensive structure collections such as the one provided by CAS [11] or PubChem 109 [12]. 110

1. 2. 2. Spectroscopy

Spectroscopy is considered here in the broadest sense, namely as any physico-chem-112 ical methods of characterization. This includes the methods that truly rely on the interac-113 tion between electromagnetic waves and matter (UV-visible, IR, Raman, NMR, vibrational 114 and electronic dichroism spectroscopies, optical rotation measurements) but also mass 115 spectrometry (MS), fusion and boiling temperature measurements, and others. Even a sin-116 117 gle optical rotation value has to be associated to meta-data, such as the nature of the used solvent, the sample concentration, the temperature, and possibly the model of the meas-118 urement device. Reporting in NMR spectroscopy is a much more complex task as it must 119 encompass the conditions of raw data acquisition, the nature of the processing operations 120 that lead to spectra and the feature recognition processes that produce "reduced data" (a 121 122 list of chemical shift values correspond to the position of spectral peaks, for example) and ultimately contributes to molecular structure proposals [13]. The diversity of spectrometer 123 124 manufacturers, each proposing its own file formats, clearly precludes the easy comparison of spectroscopic data, even though a universal, text-based format named JCAMP [14] is 125 supported by the IUPAC, but could be possibly superseded in a near future by the ADF 126 127 format of the Allotrope foundation [15]. The result of spectroscopic analysis is only meaningful if the link between data and compound structure is preserved, possibly leading to 128 129 spectra interpretation, thus making possible to associate a particular spectral feature (the mass of a molecular fragment in MS) and a structural feature (a fragment of a molecular 130 structure). There is no easy way to access the spectroscopic data of known natural prod-131 ucts [16]. Most of visible efforts in this direction were devoted to the characterization of 132 133 primary metabolites in the perspective of metabolomic studies [17,18].

134 A set of NMR and of MS^n spectra constitute a better way to identify a known compound than a fusion temperature and an optical rotation value, even though the two latter 135 may suffice to rule out an incorrect structure hypothesis. Dereplication by MS-based 136 methods has earned a high level of interest with the advent of MS2-driven molecular net-137 work analysis [19,20]. Alternatively, a molecular (elemental) formula deduced from high-138 resolution MS (HRMS) associated to 1D and 2D NMR spectra may suffice to identify a 139 known compound with a high level of confidence if reference data are available. A work-140 141 around to the lack of experimental spectroscopic data can be found, more or less accurately depending on the analytical technique, by means of computerized prediction tools. 142 Dereplication of NPs based on ¹³C NMR predicted data has been reported and discussed 143 144 [21,22]. Such predictions may be carried out by various software, including proprietary or free methods, available on local computers or through web interfaces, with possible auto-145 mated use or not, and with performances that can be difficult to evaluate. CNMR Predic-146 147 tor, NMRPREDICT, ChemDraw, nmrshiftdb2 are such software, among which nmrshiftdb2 may be used for free in an automated may on a local computer while CNMR 148 Predictor is a commercial product renowned for its accuracy. 149

1. 2. 3. Taxonomy

151 Taxonomy of living beings is a science in permanent evolution, where the findings of molecular biology separate species that were assumed to be close parents according to 152 phenotype similarity and possibly finds similarities where none was apparent, while taxa 153 names might evolve during time. Tools such as "NCBI Taxonomy Browser" [23] and "Tree 154 of Life" [24] are of great help to navigate through taxonomic information and to locate 155 species belonging to a given taxon. Answering the question of which species produce a 156 given compound and of which compounds are known to be produced by an organism of 157 a given species is possible by means of the Dictionary of Natural Products (DNP) with 158 limitations inherent to a commercial product. 159

1. 2. 4. Databases

The results of the chemical study of living beings are diluted among a profusion of 161 specialized scientific journals. The construction of a collective memory about NPs is not a 162 spontaneous process, so that the initial dilution of results has to be counterbalanced by 163 efforts for the re-concentration of the knowledge at some well-defined places named da-164 tabases. Databases that link structural, spectroscopic and taxonomic knowledge should 165 166 constitute the basis of well-managed NP chemistry. A must-read article recently published focuses on where to find data about NPs in 2020 [25]. Open databases for NP re-167 search containing structural and spectroscopic data were reported earlier in [26]. The 168 grouping of structural, taxonomic, and experimental spectroscopic data of natural prod-169 170 ucts was undertaken in the '90s in the framework of the SISTEMAT project [27]. The data and software resulting from this visionary undertaking are unfortunately not accessible 171 to the general public [28]. Other databases dedicated to the study of NP chemistry always 172 173 miss some aspect. Biological activity studies are purposely left aside in this article as they do not constitute an entry point for dereplication. As well, bibliographic databases are not 174 175 considered here, as the creation of NP databases from primary literature is not discussed, even though everyone understands that this is an important aspect of NP research. 176

2. Results

This article reports the availability of a computer software for the creation of taxon-178omy- focused NP databases named KnapsackSearch and of a database named PNMRNP,179exemplified by the study of alkaloids from Urceolina peruviana.180

2. 1. KnapsakSearch

The KNApSAcK website exposes multiple databases searchable by organism name, metabolite name, and other commonly used compound identifiers [29]. Searching KNAp-183 SAcK for a given genus name displays a series of lines, each one showing a compound 184 identifier, the related CAS identifier, metabolite name, molecular formula, molecular 185 weight, and the name of the species in which it was reported. A genus name refers to a set 186 of species names and each species is related to a set of compounds, each one, as discussed 187 earlier, being possibly present in organisms from different species. Directly querying 188 KNApSAcK for family names of organisms fails. About 54,000 compounds are referenced 189 190 in KNApSAcK, thus giving access to an incomplete but still non-negligible part of the chemical space of NPs. 191

When starting the chemical study of an organism, the search for taxonomy-related 192 ones may not be limited to those of the same species and may extend to the entire family, 193 194 to parts of it, or to super-sets of it. The goal of the KnapsackSearch (KS) project is to process a list of user-defined genera to produce a list of chemical compounds that are related to 195 one or more of these genera. The result is obtained as an SDF file, so that each compound 196 197 is associated to a 2D molecular structure with chiral center flags and to a taxonomic and a spectroscopic description. The SDF chemistry file format is not a database format by 198 itself but is sufficiently widespread to be read by most of chemistry software and compu-199 200 tational toolkits. The source code of KS is made of Python scripts that rely on the RDKit

150

160

- 181 182

library of functions for cheminformatics [30]. The freely available EdiSDF software is useful for the viewing of 2D structures and related tag-value pairs [31].

The workflow of KS (Fig. S1) starts with the collection of all pairs made of a com-203 pound identifier and a binomial name obtained as replies to queries for the queried genus 204 names. Each compound identifier (C_ID) is associated to a list of organism binomial 205 names. As an example, Fig. S2a shows the beginning of the list of compounds (columns 1-206 5) and organisms (column 6) related to genus Galanthus. C_IDs are then used as keys for 207 compound search. Figure S2b shows the result of such a quiery for galanthamine, C_ID 208 209 C00001570. The resulting in data aggregates containing a compound name, a molecular formula, a molecular weight, a CAS number (if any), an InChI string, the InChIKey hashed 210 form of the InChI, and a SMILES string. The latter is decoded to produce atom and bond 211 lists reshaped as a 2D MOL block. A compound is validated at this stage if the InChI cal-212 culated from the MOL block is identical to the one given by KNApSAcK. Molecular for-213 mula calculated from the MOL blocks are also compared to the KNApSAcK ones because 214 the latter always lack the electric charge indication if there is one and because they may 215 correspond to [M+H]⁺ ion formula; in these cases, the retained molecular formula are de-216 duced from the ones of [M+H]. All InChiKeys (standardized hash version of the InChI 217 code) are recalculated as it may happen that compounds with different C_IDs yield iden-218 tical InChIKeys. Such compounds are withdrawn from the regular compound list and 219 processed separately to produce compound aggregates in which the alternative attributes, 220 such as C_IDs and names, are joined together. Each compound is then associated to the 221 222 taxonomic information retrieved during the first stage of the data collection process and 223 to the ¹³C NMR chemical shifts as predicted by the nmrshiftdb2 software. The data record of galanthamine, as displayed by the EdiSDF software, is presented in Fig. S2c. 224

225 The source code of KS is freely available [32] and a few KS-generated SDF files are given with the corresponding lists of organism genera related to a taxonomic family. The 226 ¹³C NMR chemical shifts included in KS files may be reformatted to be imported by NMR 227 228 spectroscopy software by ACD/Labs and to facilitate compound selection according to 229 chemical shift values, thus allowing the user to benefit from the easy prediction of ¹³C 230 NMR chemical values on a massive scale (massive meaning without one by one manual operation on structure records) by nmrshiftdb2 and from the friendly graphical interface 231 of software from ACD/Labs. The future of the web-based approach to family-focused NP 232 233 databases in KS obviously relies on the continuation of the KNApSAcK web service [33]. Database, service, and software discontinuations obviously constitute serious threats, 234 whatever the considered domain of scientific activity. 235

2. 2. Predicted NMR data for Natural Products (PNMRNP)

This section reports the transformation of a discontinued NP database, the Universal237Natural Product Database (UNPD), into a "two-pillar" NP database, PNMRNP, in which238biological taxonomy data is missing. Chemical classification is tentatively proposed as a239remedy to this lack. The initial data used in this process is a set of Comma Separated Value240(CSV) files from UNPD, provided as a part of the In Silico Data Base (ISDB) dedicated to241MS-based dereplication [34]. Most of the data transformations were carried out using242RDKit and locally developed Python scripts.243

The Comma Separated Values (CSV) files from UNPD contain SMILES and InChI character strings as structure descriptors of NPs (213210 compounds). Attempts to decode the SMILES chains led to the detection of a non-negligible amount of badly formed chains, so that only InChIs were considered for 2D structure generation with retained chirality information. A set of 43 compounds was discarded, containing duplicate or organometal-lic or inorganic compounds.

Decoding an InChI is achieved through the dedicated software library linked to RDKit and may result into unexpected results. For example, aliphatic amides were reconstructed from their InChI as their iminol tautomer, which is correct because all tautomers of a given molecule share the same InChI. Transforming aliphatic iminols into alphatic 253

201

202

236

244

245

246

247

248

255

256

257 258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273 274

275 276

277

278

279

280

281

282 283

284

amides was undertaken using a chemical transformation rule coded as a reaction "SMILES arbitrary target specification" also known as reaction SMARTS or SMIRKS [35]. A set of such rules was applied to fix unlikely tautomeric forms. This step would have benefited from the application of a recent molecule standardization software related to RDKit [36].

RDKit does not handle the axial chirality of substituted allenes or spirans, possibly resulting in incorrect structures upon InChI decoding. Structures of compounds for which the InChI to structure conversion and back-conversion to InChI (the so-called "round-trip") fails to be consistent were tentatively obtained by means of the ChemDraw software driven by a python for win32 script. An identifier resolution using the Chemical Identifier Resolver (CIR) from the US National Health Institute (NIH) is attempted in case of persisting failure [37]. After final checking of round-trip consistency, the nmrshiftdb2-predicted ¹³C NMR chemical shift lists [38] were appended to compound data, resulting in a SDF file containing 211280 records.

Even though the initial CSV files assigned a chemical name to some of the compounds in UNPD, an alternative naming procedure was carried out. The PubChem website offers a file that relates InChI and PubChem Compound Identifier (CID) and another one that relates CID and synonym lists. A set of synonyms was associated by this means to the PNMRNP compounds that are named in PubChem.

The assignment of "chemical classification data" to NPs in PNMRNP does not replace genuine but unavailable taxonomic data but may assist NP chemists to reduce the size of the chemical space to investigate when facing a dereplication problem. The link between biological and chemical taxonomy was already exploited in SISTEMAT [39]. The production of chemical classification data constitutes a remedy to the absence of a way to associate easily and at no cost a set of living organism names to an NP identifier. Chemical classification in itself is a fuzzy concept. Discussing about the definition of an alkaloid may result in an answer such as "an alkaloid is like my wife. I can recognize her when I see her but I can't define her" [40]. Two independent classification systems are available in PNMRNP, one (CL1) is the result of a locally developed attempt that is not comprehensive but that may meet some needs while the other one (CL2) relies on the well-established ClassyFire software [41].

Chemical classes in CL1 are defined according to the presence of specific substruc-285 286 tures (subgraphs or molecular graphs) and are identified using SMILES that are interpreted as SMARTS [42]. Chemical classification is organized in PNMRNP with four levels, 287 so that menthol is reported to be a secondary metabolite, a terpene, a monoterpene, and a 288 menthane compound. More precisely substructures are identified as deriving from pri-289 290 mary metabolites (identifier: 01) such as amino-acids, sugars, or lipids and are otherwise classified as being specifically related to secondary metabolites (identifier: 02). Terpenes 291 (02-02) include monoterpenes (02-02-01) that share the menthane skeleton (02-02-01-001). 292 Sugar containing compounds (01-01) were identified through a set of 1296 SMILES chains 293 covering open chain and cyclic sugars with possible features such as deoxy- and amino-294 295 substitution (63 classes of sugars, overall). Hexopyranoses (01-01-14), with their five asymmetric carbons, thus featuring alpha- and beta-anomeric forms, are identified by a set of 296 297 32 SMILES chains to which a generic one without chirality indicator is added. The rather ubiquitous α - and β -D-glucopyranose molecular sub-units are identified as 01-01-14-005 298 and -006, respectively. The idea of searching for sugars in NPs was put into practice re-299 cently in the framework of the COCONUT NP database development and the possible in 300 silico deglycosylation [43]. The CL1 data items in PNMRNP include the lists of atoms con-301 cerned by each detected substructure. A part of the classification was inspired by "Phar-302 macognosy", a book by J. Bruneton [44], and another part from the skeleton library in-303 304 cluded in the ressource files of the LSD software, a library itself borrowed from the SISTE-MAT knowledge base [45]. The catalog of SMILES that resulted from the CL1 effort to-305 ward a chemical classification of NPs is available as a supplementary information file in 306 Excel format. 307

Chemical taxonomy in the second classification system (CL2) in PNMRNP results 308 from replies to queries sent to the web interface of ClassyFire. This system deals with 309 chemistry as a whole, distinguishing between organic and inorganic compounds at the 310 first level, named "Kingdom" by reference to the classification of living beings. The overall 311 hierarchy of chemical classes covers up to eleven levels. The recently reported classifica-312 tion tool named NPClassifier specifically targets NPs [46]. Classification CL2 was intro-313 duced with version 2 of PNMRNP [47]. The link between biological and chemical classifi-314 cations is highlighted by considering that a molecule can be recognized by Classyfire as a 315 Strychnos alkaloid (i. e. from a plant of the Strychnos genus) on a sole structural basis, with-316 out any reference to its source, possibly natural or synthetic. The natural origin of so-called 317 "organic" compounds has become difficult to ascertain without resorting to proprietary 318 databases, so that a NP-likeness score, a calculated molecular property, is invoked in order 319 to evaluate to which extent a natural product is natural [48]. This approach fits with the 320 current belief according to which a human being is better known by the algorithm of a 321 322 popular social network than by her- or himself.

2.3. CSEARCH

324 The web interface of CSEARCH was also considered for NP structure dereplication besides of KS and PNMRNP. The CSEARCH web server accepts requests made of a list of 325 ¹³C NMR chemical shifts, at best with each value associated to a multiplicity indication 326 (number of attached hydrogen atoms, as deduced from DEPT or multiplicity-edited 2D 327 HSQC spectra) and returns within a few minutes a list of structures sorted in the decreas-328 329 ing order of likelihood, proposed from a database containing several tens of millions of compounds and their predicted chemical shift values [49]. This database mostly contains 330 structures of synthesized molecules and has no built-in concept of NP, resulting in hard 331 to exploit results if the query is not accurate enough but may also give the solution of the 332 333 submitted problem ranked in the first places, if not in first place.

2. 4. Databases and dereplication

To sum up briefly, KnapsachSearch may be considered as a part of a "two-pillars and 335 half" approach to dereplication, while a "true three pillars" would have been achieved if 336 spectroscopic data were of experimental origin instead of being predicted. PNMRNP can 337 be qualified as "two-pillars" with its predicted spectroscopic data (a half-pillar) and bio-338 339 logical taxonomy replaced by chemical taxonomy (a second half-pillar). The "one-pillar and half" NMRPREDICT/CSEARCH approach, dealing with structures and predicted 13C 340 NMR spectroscopy only, should be considered before any other one, if pertinent. A tenta-341 tively exhaustive (and even more than that) source of NP data, COCONUT, collects struc-342 tures from various sources to propose a publicly available document-oriented database of 343 about 400.000 compounds, some of them being clearly not so natural. COCONUT version 344 1 was a "one-pillar" database, devoid of spectroscopic and taxonomic data but was re-345 cently supplemented with chemical classification (a half-pillar) and could be possibly sup-346 plemented in the future with predicted spectroscopic data (another half-pillar) to provide 347 a useful "two-pillar" tool for NP structural dereplication. 348

2. 5. Application to the alkaloids of Urceolina peruviana (Amaryllidaceae)

Urceolina peruviana (C. Presl) J.F. Macbr., also known as Stenomesson miniatum (Herb.)350Ravenna, is a bulbous perennial plant, which grows wild in the Andean regions of Peru351and Bolivia (Fig. 1). It has a scape up to 40 cm long, an umbrella of six or more red or352orange tubular flowers, blooms in the spring or summer, the leaves are narrow, long until35328 cm.354

323

334



Figure 1. Urceolina peruviana.

There is scarce information on this species of Amaryllidaceae in the scientific litera-357 ture. The only article about the alkaloid composition of its bulbs was written in 1957 by 358 Boit and Döpke, who reported the identification of three alkaloids (tazettine, haeman-359 thamine and lycorine) and two others that could be traced back to nerinine and albo-360 maculine [50]. Girault, in his book "Kallawaya, guérisseurs itinérants des Andes: re-361 cherches sur les pratiques médicinales et magiques", on a survey carried out in the Andes 362 on the uses of medicinal plants by the indigenous South Americans, mentions Urceolina 363 peruviana whose fresh bulbs were mixed with pork or llama fat and used in the form of 364 ointment to treat tumours and abscesses [51]. Amaryllidaceae alkaloids constitute a set of 365 about 600 compounds, some of them, such as galanthamine, having been intensively stud-366 ied for their therapeutic action [52]. The present article illustrates the use of the aforemen-367 tioned NMR-based dereplication tools by the study of *U. peruviana* and on its alkaloids. 368

The freeze-dried bulbs of *U. peruviana* were ground before being subjected to extrac-369 tion. Extract 1 (11 mg) resulted from a non-selective solid-liquid extraction of a single 370 bulb by methanol followed by acid-base liquid-liquid extractions for basic compound iso-371 lation. Extract 2 (20 mg) was obtained by lixiviation of alkalinized powder from a single 372 bulb by EtOAc followed by acid-base liquid-liquid extractions according to patent [53]. 373 The method used for the preparation of extract 2 was also applied on 270 g of dry bulb 374 powder to yield 2.742 g of extract 3. A comparison of 1D ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of ex-375 376 tracts 1, 2, and 3 is provided in Fig. S3.

Crude extract 3 was fractionated by Centrifugal Partition Chromatography (CPC) in 377 the so-called "pH-zone refining" development mode, which is particularly adapted to the 378 preparative scale fractionation and purification of H⁺ ion exchanging compounds, without 379 resorting to a solid-state chromatographic support. Emergence order of analytes from the 380 CPC column depends on their acidity constant (K_a) and on the distribution constant (K_D) 381 of their neutral form between the two liquid chromatographic phases. The chromatogram 382 looks like trapezoidal blocks of analytes separated by steep boundaries, the so-called 383 shock layers and forms an isotachic train of analytes [54]. The fractionation process led to 384 13 fractions, hereafter named A1 to A13, among which A4, A7, A9, and A11 were each 385 found to contain a highly major compound. Purity and content of fractions A3 and A5 386 387 were very similar to the one A4. Fraction A1 had a very low mass and a high complexity and was therefore not studied further. Fractions A2, A6, A8, A10, A12 and A13 are "inter-388 mediates" and concentrate minor compounds between the shock layers of the trapezoidal 389 zones corresponding to the emergence of then major compounds of the injected sample. 390

The LC-HRMS analysis of a crude alkaloid extract 2 of *U. peruviana* monitored by UV 391 absorbance at 287 nm showed 4 major peaks, to which molecular formula were assigned 392

through accurate mass analysis of the [M+H]⁺ ion: C16H17NO3 (peak 3), C17H19NO4 (peak 393 394 4), C18H21NO5 (peak 2), and C19H23NO5 (peak 6) as indicated in Fig. 2. Two other minor peaks, one visible in the ion-current chromatogram and the other one in the UV chroma-395 togram were also considered for further analysis, associated to molecular formula 396 C19H25NO5 (peak 1) and C18H21NO4 (peak 5), respectively. The LC-HRMS analysis of crude 397 extract 3 results in the same list of formulas but with C18H21NO4 replaced with C18H19NO4 398 and with C18H18N2O4 and C19H26N2O5 as supplementary proposals; the two latter suggest 399 the presence of compounds containing two nitrogen atoms, a feature that is not common 400 among Amaryllidaceae alkaloids and the pertinence of which was not ascertained. The 401 ¹H, ¹³C, ¹H-¹H COSY, ¹H-¹H ROESY, ¹H-¹³C multiplicity-edited HSQC, and ¹H-¹³C HMBC 402 NMR spectra of most of fractions from extract 3 were recorded. A ¹H-¹⁵N HMBC spectrum 403 of extract 3 was also recorded, also offering a rapid and rough estimate of extract com-404 plexity by inspection of the projection of this 2D spectrum on the ¹⁵N chemical shift axis 405 (Fig. 3). 406



Figure 2. LC-HRMS ESI⁺ analysis of extract 2, UV detection (top) and ion current intensity (bottom). HRMS data are compatible with [M+H]⁺ ions of formula [C19H26NO5]⁺ (peak 1), [C18H22NO5]⁺ (peak 2), [C16H18NO3]⁺ (peak 3), [C17H20NO4]⁺ (peak 4), [C18H22NO4]⁺ (peak 5), [C19H24NO5]⁺ (peak 6).



Figure 3. The ${}^{1}H{}^{-15}N$ HMBC spectrum of extract 3. The projection on the ${}^{15}N$ chemical shift axis provides of rough estimation of extract complexity. Traces *a*, *b*, and *c* are the ${}^{1}H$ NMR spectra of tazettine, haemanthamine, and crinine recorded412from fractions A4, A9, and A11, respectively.413

Database creation was undertaken prior to and during the course of *U. peruviana* 415 compound identification. The search by means of KS for the compounds reported in 416 KNApSAcK and related to 67 genera from the Amaryllidaceae family resulted in 249 417 structures, among which 209 contained at least one nitrogen atom and were thus consid-418 ered as possible alkaloids. These structures were imported by ACD/Labs "C+H NMR Pre-419 dictors and Database" software as a new database and semi-automatically supplemented 420 with ACD/Labs-predicted ¹H and ¹³C NMR data by means of the protocol reported in Fig. 421 S4 to produce database DB1. The same set of 209 records, each including nmrshiftdb2-422 predicted ¹³C NMR data, was imported by the same ACD/Labs software after appropriate 423 reformatting of the writing of chemical shift values to yield database DB2. Six small data-424 bases containing 2 to 15 records where derived from DB2 by selecting the molecules ac-425 cording to the molecular formula obtained by LC-HRMS analysis of extract 3, after having 426 verified that no compound in DB2 contains 2 nitrogen atoms. Database DB3 was created 427 by the same process as DB2 but starting from the 211,280 records of PNMRNP. The latter 428 has also been filtered to retain compounds that include one of the eight substructures that 429 are commonly found in Amaryllidaceae alkaloids [55] (Fig. S5) to give DB3', with 635 430 structures. A collection of 693 compounds was created from COCONUTv1 and named 431 DB4, retaining the compounds that contain one of the eight Amaryllidaceae substructures 432 after an initial step that selected 109,638 compounds with more than 12 carbon atoms and 433 with 1 or 2 nitrogen atoms. DB4 was supplemented with ¹³C NMR chemical shifts from 434 nmrshiftdb2 and formatted as an ACD/Labs database. 435



Figure 4. Structure of tazettine 1, albomaculine 2, haemanthamine 3, crinine 4, and trisphaeridine4375.438

2. 5. 1. Fraction A4, major compound

This compound is also the major compound in fractions A3 and A5. The CSEARCH440algorithm succeeded to retrieve tazettine 1 as a likely compound from the list of the 18 13 C441NMR chemical shifts and multiplicities from fraction A4. The molecular formula was con-
strained to include only C, H, N, and O atoms with a molecular mass comprised between442250u and 400u. Only a single chemical shift value was considered slightly unsatisfactory
with $\delta_{\rm C}$ 29.6 predicted by CSEARCH at position 4 and $\delta_{\rm C}$ 25.9 observed (full atom num-
bering is reported in Fig. S5). The analysis of the NMR spectra lead to the identification of446

439

477

an aromatic ring substituted by a methylenedioxo bridge, a N-Me group, an ether O-Me 447 group, and a hemiacetal group. The list of the C18H21NO5 Amaryllidaceae alkaloids in the 448 KNApSAcK database contained two compounds among 12 that shared these NMR-de-449 rived structural features. The HMBC correlations of the ¹H NMR signal of the OH group 450 lead to retain only the planar structure proposed for compound A4. None of the five 451 C18H21NO4 Amaryllidaceae compound structures present in the KNApSAcK database sat-452 isfied the NMR-derived constraints. The proposed planar structure is the one of tazettine 453 and criwelline, which are epimers at position 3 [56]. CSEARCH ranked the 6-OMe criwel-454 line in second position. Tazettine was retained as the structure of the major compound in 455 fraction A4 after the analysis of the ROESY spectrum and the measurement and ¹H-¹H 456 coupling constants. Its molecular formula relates it to peak 2 in the chromatograms in Fig. 457 2. 458

2. 5. 2. Fraction A7, major compound

The CSEARCH algorithm failed to retrieve a likely structure from the list of the 19 460 chemical shifts drawn from the ¹³C NMR spectrum of fraction A7. The molecular formula 461 was constrained to include only C, H, N, and O atoms with a molecular mass comprised 462 between 300u and 400u. Only two C19H23NO5 molecular structures of compounds from 463 Amaryllidaceae were found in the KNApSAcK (DB2) database, among which only one 464 contained three methoxy groups bound to an aromatic ring. This structural constraint was 465 derived from the presence of 3 methyl signals in the ¹H NMR spectrum that correlate in 466 the HMBC spectrum with signals of aromatic carbons. This planar structure was con-467 firmed by all available NMR data. None of the two C19H25NO5 Amaryllidaceae compound 468 structures present in the KNApSAcK database satisfied the NMR-derived constraints. The 469 retained structure was indeed present in the solutions proposed by CSEARCH, but with 470 a poor ranking, due to the low-quality matching between the experimental (δc 161.4, 110.9, 471 and 155.0) and the predicted (δc 166.9, 103.1, and 156.6) chemical shifts for carbons at po-472 sitions 6, 6a, and 7, respectively. Prediction by nmrshiftdb2 gave values of δc 169.8, 108.9, 473 and 161.2 while CNMR Predictor (ACD Labs) gives δc 162.1, 111.3, and 157.1 at the same 474 positions. The proposed structure is the one of albomaculine 2. Its molecular formula re-475 lates it to peak 2 in the chromatograms in Fig. 2. 476

2. 5. 3. Fraction A9, major compound

The list of 17 ¹³C NMR chemical shifts and associated multiplicities was submitted to 478 a spectral similarity search through the CSEARCH web interface. The molecular formula 479 of candidate structures was constrained to include only C, H, N, and O atoms, accounting 480 for a molecular mass comprised between 250 u and 350 u. A structure without chirality 481 482 information was given as best solution, with a mean deviation of $\delta_{\rm C}$ 1 between experimental and CSEARCH-proposed chemical shift values. KNApSAcK was also considered 483 for the identification of the major compound in fraction A9 as a possible alternative to 484CSEARCH. KNApSAcK (DB2) contains 11 molecules from Amaryllidaceae with molecu-485 lar formula C17H19NO4, the only one found by LC-MS of the total alkaloid extract account-486 ing for 17 ¹³C resonances. From NMR data, compound A9 contains an aromatic ring with 487 a methylenedioxo substituent and hydrogens in para position, a carbon-carbon double 488 bond between two CH groups, and a methoxy group attached to an aliphatic carbon. The 489 only two compounds that fit with these constraints are crinamine and haemanthamine, 490 who present the same planar formula as the one proposed by CSEARCH. This planar 491 492 structure was confirmed by the analysis of all available NMR data. The analysis of the ROESY spectrum and the ¹H-¹H coupling constants led to the identification of haeman-493 494 thamine 3. Its molecular formula relates it to peak 4 in the chromatograms in Fig. 2.

2. 5. 4. Fraction A11, major compound

The ¹³C NMR spectrum of fraction A11 shows 16 peaks from a major compound 496 whose positions were used as search keys in the CSEARCH data base. The molecular for-497 mula was constrained to include only C, H, N, and O atoms with a molecular mass com-498 prised between 250u and 400u. The most likely proposed structure was the one of crinine 499 4, C16H17NO3. Only a single chemical shift value was considered slightly unsatisfactory (δc 500 40.0 predicted by CSEARCH, δc 44.2 experimental, at position 11). The KNApSAcK data-501 base of Amaryllidaceae compounds contains four compounds for this molecular formula, 502 and only three that contain four aromatic or olefinic methine groups: crinine, vittatine, 503 504 and epivittatine which only differ by the absolute configuration of asymmetric centers. More precisely, crinine and vittatine are two enantiomers, for which unambiguous iden-505 tification would rely on chiroptical methods. The same situation holds for epi-crinine and 506 507 epi-vittatine, epimers of the former at position 3. The identification the correct epimer was obtained by the detailed analysis of J-coupling values supported by the 2D ROESY spec-508 trum. A comparison of the ¹³C NMR chemical shift values in A11 with those published for 509 synthetic crinine and epi-crinine supports our conclusion [57]. NP identification up to the 510 511 absolute configuration by optical rotation measurement is possible for pure or highly major compounds but is not possible for minor compounds in fractions without isolation. Its 512 molecular formula relates it to peak 3 in the chromatograms in Fig. 2. 513

2. 5. 5. Fraction A2, a minor compound

Fraction A2 contains a major compound, tazettine 1, which is also the very major 515 compound in fractions A3-A5, and many minor compounds. The ¹H NMR spectrum of 516 517 fraction A2 shows an isolated singlet at δ_{H} 9.16 that was used as an entry point for compound identification. This highly deshielded proton is directly bound to a methine carbon 518 at δc 151.83 according to HSQC data and is surrounded by carbons at δc 100.36 (CH), 519 105.40 (CH), 122.82 (C), 124.03(C), 129.6 (C), and 143.74 (C) according to HMBC data. Que-520 rying for $\delta_{\rm H}$ 9.16 ± 0.2 in DB1 (the only one among our DBs with predicted ¹H NMR data) 521 resulted in three candidate structures: angustine, vittacarboline, and trispheridine (or 522 523 trisphaeridine). Searching then for δc 100.36, 105.4, 122.82, 124.03, 129.6, 143.74, and 151.83 with a 5 ppm tolerance resulted reduced the list of candidates to trispheridine 5 only. 524 Using DB2 and DB3 avoided to rely on proprietary NMR chemical shift prediction. Que-525 rying DB2 for the same list of 7 seven ¹³C NMR chemical shift with a 2 ppm tolerance 526 yielded deoxylycobetaine chloride, trispheridine, and vasconine as proposals. A reduced 527 tolerance of 1 ppm resulted in trispheridine only, thus also proving the good quality of 528 the prediction by nmrshiftdb2 for this compound. Querying DB3 for the same 7 chemical 529 530 shift values with a tolerance of 2 ppm resulted in 628 compounds among which 124 contain at least 12 carbon atoms and 1 or 2 nitrogen atoms, using C(12-100) H(1-100) N(1-2) 531 O(1-100) as molecular formula filter. Trispheridine is present in this compound list but 532 reducing the number of hits would require supplementary constraints, thus demonstrat-533 ing the usefulness of taxonomy based filtering for dereplication. The presence of trispher-534 idine in fraction A2 and its NMR spectra assignment was confirmed by further studies. 535 Searching in DB3' or in DB4 for trispheridine cannot be successful because its structure 536 does not fit with any of those used in the definition of what an Amaryllidaceae alkaloid 537 should be, even though this compound is present in the PNMRNP and COCONUTv1 da-538 tabase. The ClassyFire algorithm itself does not consider trisphaeridine as an alkaloid but 539 NPClassifier identifies it as an Amarylidaceae (sic) alkaloid. Its NP-likeness is -0.08, a 540 value that would make it slightly closer to a non-NP (lowest value is -5) than to an NP 541 (highest value is +5). Exploring the philosophical implications of this observation is left as 542 an exercise to the reader. 543

2.5.6. Database searches

The structural identification of compounds A4, A7, A9 and A11 reported hereabove 545 was carried out using lists of ¹³C NMR chemical shifts that were unambiguously drawn 546

544

from spectra due to high sample purity (Table S1). After this study, a question arose about 547 the possible results of an identification process solely relying on these lists, without any 548 other NMR information source, only taking into account the possible molecular formula 549 derived from LC-MS data acquired on crude extract 3. The chemical shift lists were used 550 as search keys in DB1 (209 structures from KNApSAcK), DB2 (209 structures from KNAp-551 SAcK), DB3 (211,280 structures from full PNMRNP), DB3' (635 structures from PNMRNP 552 filtered for Amaryllidaceae-type alkaloids), and DB4 (693 structures from COCONUTv1 553 filtered for Amaryllidaceae-type alkaloids) with predicted chemical shifts by ACD/Labs 554 software in DB1 and predicted by nmrshiftdb2 in all other DBs. All DBs were formatted 555 for being read by the ACD/Labs DB software so that the same search tool can be used for 556 compound identification. The poor prediction of a single chemical shift in the targetted 557 compound may result in a global failure of the search, to which it can be remedied either 558 by decreasing the number of experimental chemical shifts to be taken into account or by 559 increasing the allowed chemical shift deviation. Table S2 shows the influence of these pa-560 rameters on the number and nature of solutions, it illustrates the difficulty of identifying 561 pure compounds without ambiguity solely on the basis of lists of ¹³C NMR chemical shift 562 values and molecular formula. 563

3. Materials

3. 1. Chemicals

Acetonitrile (CH₃CN), methanol (MeOH), methyl-tert-butyl ether (MtBE), chloroform (CHCl₃), triethylamine (Et₃N), and sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄) were purchased from Carlo Erba Reactifs SDS (Val de Reuil, France). Hexadeuterated dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO-*d*₆) was purchased from Eurisotp (Saclay, France). Deionized water was used to prepare aqueous solutions.

3. 2. NMR

NMR analyses were performed in DMSO- d_6 at 298 K on an Avance AVIII-600 spectrometer (Bruker, Karlsruhe, Germany) equipped with a cryoprobe optimized for ¹H detection and fitted with cooled ¹H, ¹³C and ²H coils and preamplifiers. TopSpin 3.2 (Bruker)573was used for data acquisition using standard microprograms. Data processing relied on
TopSpin 4.0. The central resonance of DMSO- d_6 (septet) was set at δ_C 39.8 for ¹³C NMR576spectrum referencing. The central resonance of residual DMSO- d_5 (quintet) was set at δ_H 5772.5 for ¹H NMR spectrum referencing.578

3. 3. UPLC-HRMS

Ultra Performance Liquid Chromatography coupled to Mass Spectrometry (UPLC-580 MS) analyses were performed with an Acquity UPLC H-Class (Waters, Manchester, UK) 581 system coupled to a Synapt G2-Si (Waters) equipped with an electrospray (ESI) ion source. 582 Chromatographic separation was achieved on a Uptisphere Strategy C18-HQ column 583 (150x2.1 mm, 2.2 µm; Interchim, Montluçon, France). A gradient elution mode was used 584 with solvent A (ammonium acetate 1%, pH 6.6) and solvent B (CH3CN) at flow rate of 0.4 585 mL min-1. Starting from 10%B, the gradient was linearly increased to 20%B in 6 min, then 586 to 25%B in other 6 min, after 0.2 min the percentage of B was increased to 100% keeping 587 it constant for 1 min. Finally, the gradient returned in the initial conditions in 0.2 min, 588 maintaining it constant for 2 min for equilibration. The injection volume was 1 μ L, the 589 column temperature was regulated at 30°C. All samples were solubilized in methanol and 590 analyzed at concentration of 200 ppm. MS data acquisition parameters were: capillary 591 voltage 3 kV, desolvation temperature 450 °C, desolvation gas flow 950 L/h, source tem-592 perature 120 °C, cone voltage 40 V, cone gas flow 50 L/h and scanning range of m/z 50-593 2000. 594

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

Centrifugal Partition Chromatography (CPC) fractionations were carried out using a 596 597 lab-scale FCPE300® column of 303 mL inner volume (Kromaton Technology, Angers, France). The column was composed of 7 circular partition disks, each engraved with 33 598 599 twin-cells of 1.0 mL. The liquid phases were pumped by a preparative 1800 V7115 pump (Knauer, Berlin, Germany). Fractions of 20 mL were collected by a Labocol Vario 4000 600 (Labomatic Instruments, Allschwil, Switzerland). MtBE, CH₃CN and H₂O were equili-601 brated according the proportion 5:2:3 (v/v) and the two phases were separated. The lower 602 aqueous phase was used as stationary phase and acidified with H₂SO₄ 10 mM (retainer). 603 The upper organic phase was alkalinized with Et₃N 8 mM (displacer) and used as mobile 604 phase. The column was filled with the stationary phase at 300 rpm column rotation speed 605 and 50 mL/min and then the mobile phase was pumped at 1200 rpm and 20 mL/min for 606 hydrodynamic column equilibration. 1 g of extract was solubilized in 10 mL of retainer 607 phase (acidified aqueous phase) and 5 mL of neutral organic phase. After sample loading 608 through a 6-port Rheodyne valve (UpChurch Scientific, Oak Harbor, USA) equipped with 609 a 20 mL sample loop, the mobile phase was pumped into the column in ascending mode 610 at flow-rate of 20 mL/min and 1200 rpm. The fractions were collected from the basic or-611 ganic mobile phase and pooled according to TLC off line analysis to give 13 fractions 612 namely A1-A13. TLC analysis was achieved on Merk TLC Silica gel 60 F254 plates, using 613 CHCl₃/ MeOH (8.5/1.5) as eluent. All experiments were conducted at room temperature 614 $(20 \pm 2 \ ^{\circ}C).$ 615

3. 5. Plant Material

Fresh bulbs of U. peruviana (1090.3 g) were purchased at the horticultural nursery617Quatro Estaciones (Cochabamba, Bolivia) in August 2019. Some bulbs were grown and618the plants were identified by Dr. Umberto Mossetti, a voucher specimen (BOLO0602041)619was deposited in the Herbarium of University of Bologna. The bulbs were stored in a cold620room at 5°C until the use, then they were freeze-dried and crushed, resulting in 220 g of621plant material.622

4. Conclusion

624 The rapid identification of natural products, either pure or in mixtures, depends on the availability of databases that connect together molecular structures, taxonomic infor-625 mation, and spectroscopic data, which constitute the three pillars of dereplication. We 626 propose to the scientific community two easily findable NMR-based tools, the PNMRP 627 database that derives from earlier works on MS² spectra prediction, and KnapsackSearch, 628 a database generator that provides focused libraries of compounds whose content is ori-629 ented by biological taxonomy. These tools were involved in the study of an iberoamerican 630 plant, Urceolina peruviana, in a way that relies strongly on ¹³C NMR spectroscopy but also 631 on other 1D and 2D NMR techniques as well as on preparative fractionation methods par-632 ticularly suitable for alkaloid purification and on liquid chromatography coupled to high-633 resolution MS. The identification of five known compounds by these means is reported. 634 The fully unambiguous characterization of a compound within a mixture may be reached 635 636 only after purification and an exhaustive analytical study. However, the rapid and context adapted structure analysis is feasible by means of an approach that relies on computer 637 databases and that adequately contributes to the study of complex natural substances. 638

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at www.mdpi.com/xxx/s1, Figure S1.639Step-by-step processing by KnapsackSearch of a list of organism genera (family_genera.txt, family640being replaced by an organism family name or an alias, like amaryll standing for Amaryllidaceae)641into an SDF file (family_knapsack.sdf) with automatically generated 2D structure, basic molecular642properties, taxonomic data, and nmrshiftdb2-predicted ¹³C NMR chemical shifts. All scripts are643available from https://github.com/nuzillard/KnapsackSearch. Figure S2. a). Screenshot, response of644http://www.knapsackfamily.com/knapsack_core/top.php when searching for Organism "Galan-645

616

666 667

668

669

670

671

672

673 674

675 676

679

680

681

682

683

684 685

686

687

688 689

690

thus". The list of data items was truncated. b). Screenshot, response of http://www.knapsackfam-646 ily.com/knapsack_core/top.php when searching for C_ID C00001570 (galanthamine), upper part of 647 screen, with molecular data and lower part with botanical data (truncated). c). Screenshot, view of 648 galanthamine by EdiSDF as produced by KnapsackSearch and present in database DB2. The list of 649 650 chemical shifts was truncated. Figure S3. Comparison of the ¹³C NMR spectra of extracts 1, 2, and 3, 651 drawn in sub-figures a, b, and c, respectively. Figure S4. Procedure for the semi-automatic supplementation of ACD/Labs databases with predicted chemical shifts. ACD C+H NMR Predictors and 652 DB 2020 and 2019. Figure S5. Substructures that define eight classes of Amaryllidaceae alkaloids. 653 Sub3 is a substructure of Sub5 and Sub1, thus reducing to six the effective number of substructures. 654 Table S1. Lists of ¹³C NMR chemical shifts drawn from the spectra of fractions A4, A7, A9, and A11. 655 The number of attached protons, or H multiplicity, is given by symbols between parenthesis: s, d, t, 656 and q for quarternary, methine, methylene, and methyl carbons, respectively. H multiplicity was 657 derived from the inspection of the multiplicity-edited HSQC spectra of fractions. Table S2. Tentative 658 identification of compounds in DB1, DB2, DB3, DB3' and DB4 that fit with the 13C NMR chemical 659 660 shifts from fractions F4, F7, F9, and F11. Data links: NMR time-domain and spectral data files are temporarily available from http://eos.univ-reims.fr/LSD/OpenData_Molecules_2020_Nuzillard.zip 661 and permanently available from https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4309769 so that all acquisition and 662 processing parameters may be freely consulted. These archives also contain the database files or 663 ways to access them and files that were intermediately created for the composition of this article. 664

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, J.-M. N. and M. L.; methodology, J.-M. N., M. L. and J.-H. R.; software, J.-M. N., S. K. and R. L.; investigation, M. L., C. M. R., C. S., N. B. and S. R.; data curation, R. L., M. L. and J.-M. N.; writing—original draft preparation, J.-M. N.; writing—review and editing, C. M. R., C. S., N. B., S. R., S. K., J.-H. R.; project administration, J.-H. R. and J.-M. N. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Acknowledgments: Financial support to the PlAneT CPER project was provided by CNRS, Conseil Régional Champagne Ardenne, Conseil Général de la Marne, Ministry of Higher Education and Research (MESR), and EU-programme FEDER.

Sample Availability: Samples of compounds are not available from the authors.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1.
 Chen, Y.; Kirchmair, J.; Cheminformatics in natural product based drug discovery. Mol. Inf. 2020, 39, 2000171. DOI:
 677

 10.1002/minf.202000171
 678
- 2. Hubert, J.; Nuzillard, J.-M.; Renault, J.-H. Dereplication strategies in natural product research: How many tools and methodologies behind the same concept? *Phytochem. Rev.* **2017**, *16*, 55–95. DOI: 10.1007/s11101-015-9448-7
- Logan D. C. Known knowns, known unknowns, unknown unknowns and the propagation of scientific enquiry. *J. Exp. Bot.* 2009, 60, 712–714. DOI:10.1093/jxb/erp043
- 4. Bakiri, A.; B. Plainchont, B.; Emerenciano, V. de P.; Reynaud, R.; Hubert, J.; Renault, J.-H.; Nuzillard, J.-M. Computer-aided dereplication and structure elucidation of natural products at the university of Reims. *Mol. Inf.* **2017**, *36*, 1700027. DOI: 10.1002/minf.201700027
- Rutz, A.; Dounoue-Kubo, M.; Ollivier, S.; Bisson, J.; Bagheri, M.; Saesong, T.; Ebrahimi, S. N.; Ingkaninan, K.; Wolfender, J.-L.; Allard, P.-M. Taxonomically informed scoring enhances confidence in natural products annotation. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2019, 10, 1329. DOI: 10.3389/fpls.2019.01329
- 6. Heller, S. R.; McNaught, A.; Pletnev, I.; Stein, S.; Tchekhovskoi, D. Inchi, the IUPAC international chemical identifier. *J. Cheminform.* **2015**, *7*, 23. DOI: 10.1186/s13321-015-0068-4
- Weininger, D. SMILES, a chemical language and information system., 1. Introduction to methodology and encoding rules. J. Chem. Inf. Model. 1988, 28, 31–36. DOI: 10.1021/ci00057a005
 692
- Dalby, A.; Nourse, J. G.; Hounshell, W. D.; Gushurst, A. K. I.; Grier, D. L.; Leland, B. A.; Laufer, J. Description of several chemical structure file formats used by computer programs developed at Molecular Design Limited. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* 1992, 32, 244-255. DOI: 10.1021/ci00007a012
- O'Boyle N. M.; Banck, M.; James, C. A.; Morley, C.; Vandermeersch, T.; Hutchison, G. R. (2011) Open Babel: an open chemical toolbox. *J. Cheminform.* 2011, 3, 33. DOI: 10.1186/1758-2946-3-33

- 10. Blue Book IUPAC | International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry. Available online: https://iupac.org/what-we-do/books/bluebook/ (accessed on 13 December 2020).
- 11. Empowering Innovation & Scientific Discoveries | CAS. Available online: https://www.cas.org (accessed on 13 December 2020).
- Kim, S.; Chen, J.; Cheng, T.; Gindulyte, A.; He, J.; He, S.; Li, Q.; Shoemaker, B. A.; Thiessen, P. A.; Yu, B.; Zaslavsky, L.; Zhang, J.; Bolton, E. E.; PubChem 2019 update: improved access to chemical data. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2018, 47, D1102–D1109. DOI: 10.1093/nar/gky1033
- Pupier, M.; Nuzillard, J.-M.; Wist, J.; Schlörer, N. E.; Kuhn, S.; Erdelyi, M.; Steinbeck, C; Williams, A. J.; Butts, C.; Claridge, T. D. W.; Mikhova, B.; Robien, W.; Dashti, H.; Eghbalnia, H. R.; Farès, C.; Kessler, P.; Moriaud, F.; Elyashberg, M.; Argyropoulos, D.; Pérez, M.; Giraudeau, P.; Gil, R. R.; Trevorrow, P.; Jeannerat, D. NMReDATA, a standard to report the NMR assignment and parameters of organic compounds. *Magn. Reson. Chem.* 2018, *56*, 703–715. DOI: 10.1002/mrc.4737
- 14. IUPAC CPEP subcommittee on electronic data standards. Available online: http://www.jcamp-dx.org/protocols.html (accessed on 13 December 2020).
- 15. Solution | allotropefoundation. Available online: https://www.allotrope.org/solution (accessed on 13 December 2020).
- 16. Wolfender, J.-L.; Nuzillard, J.-M.; van der Hooft, J. J. J.; Renault, J.-H.; Bertrand, S. Accelerating metabolite identification in natural product research: toward an ideal combination of liquid chromatography–high-resolution tandem mass spectrometry and NMR profiling, *in silico* databases, and chemometrics. *Anal. Chem.* **2019**, *91*, 704-742. DOI: 10.1021/acs.analchem.8b05112
- Wishart, D. S.; Feunang, Y. D.; Marcu, A.; Guo, A. X.; Liang, K.; Vázquez-Fresno, R.; Sajed, T.; Johnson, D.; Li, C.; Karu, N.; Sayeeda, Z.; Lo, E.; Assempour, N.;, Berjanskii, M.; Singhal, S.; Arndt, D.; Liang, Y.; Badran, H.; Grant, J.; Serra-Cayuela, A.; Liu, Y.; Mandal, R.; Neveu, V.; Pon, A.; Knox, C.; Wilson, M.; Manach, C.; Scalbert, A. HMDB 4.0: the human metabolome database for 2018. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2018, 46, D608–D617. DOI: 10.1093/nar/gkx1089
- Ulrich, E. L.; Akutsu, H.; Doreleijers, J. F.; Harano, Y.; Ioannidis, Y. E.; Lin, J.; Livny, M.; Mading, S.; Maziuk, D.; Miller, Z.; Nakatani, E.; Schulte, C. F.; Tolmie, D. E.; Wenger, R. K.; Yao, H.; Markley, J. L. BioMagResBank. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2008, 36, D402-D408. DOI: 10.1093/nar/gkm957
- Wang, M.; Carver, J. J.; Phelan, V. V.; Sanchez, L. M.; Garg, N.; Peng, Y.; Nguyen, D. D. et al. Sharing and community curation of mass spectrometry data with global natural products social molecular networking. *Nat. biotechnol.* 2016, 34, 828-837. DOI: 10.1038/nbt.3597
- 20. Kuhn, S.; Colreavy-Donnelly, S.; Santana de Souza, J.; Borges, R. M. An integrated approach for mixture analysis using MS and NMR techniques. *Faraday Discuss.* **2019**, *218*, 339-353. DOI: 10.1039/C8FD00227D
- 21. Hubert, J.; Nuzillard, J.-M.; Purson, S.; Hamzaoui, M.; Borie, N.; Reynaud, R.; Renault, J.-H. Identification of natural metabolites in mixture: a pattern recognition strategy based on ¹³C NMR. *Anal. Chem.* **2014**, *86*, 2955–2962. DOI: 10.1021/ac403223f
- 22. Bruguière, A.; Derbré, S.; Coste, C.; Le Bot, M.; Siegler, B.; Leong, S. T.; Sulaiman, S. N.; Awang, K.; Richomme, P. ¹³C-NMR dereplication of *Garcinia* extracts: predicted chemical shifts as reliable databases. *Fitoterapia* **2018**, *131*, 59-64. DOI: 10.1016/j.fitote.2018.10.003
- 23. Taxonomy browser (root). Available online: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Taxonomy/Browser/wwwtax.cgi (accessed on 13 December 2020).
- 24. Tree of Life Web Project. Available online: http://tolweb.org/tree/ (accessed on 13 December 2020).
- 25. Sorokina, M.; Steinbeck, C. Review on natural products databases: where to find data in 2020. *J. Cheminform.* **2020**, *12*, 20. DOI: 10.1186/s13321-020-00424-9
- 26. Johnson, S. R.; Lange, B. M. Open-access metabolomics databases for natural product research: present capabilities and future potential. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* **2015**, *3*, 22. DOI: 10.3389/fbioe.2015.00022
- 27. Fromanteau D. L. G.; Gastmans, J. P.; Vestri, S. A.; Emerenciano, V. de P.; Borges, J. H. G. A constraints generator in structural determination by microcomputer. *Comput. Chem.* **1993**, *17*, 369–378. DOI: 10.1016/0097-8485(93)85016-6
- Scotti, M. T.; Herrera-Acevedo, C.; Oliveira, T. B.; Costa, R. P. O.; Santos, S. Y. K. O.; Rodrigues, R. P.; Scotti, L.; Da-Costa, F. B. SistematX, an online web-based cheminformatics tool for data management of secondary metabolites. *Molecules* 2018, 23, 103. DOI: 10.3390/molecules23010103
- Afendi, F. M.; Okada, T.; Yamazaki, M.; Hirai-Morita, A.; Nakamura, Y.; Nakamura, K.; Ikeda, S.; Takahashi, H.; Altaf-Ul-Amin, M.; Darusman, L. K.; Saito, K.; Kanaya, S. KNApSAcK family databases: integrated metabolite-plant species databases for multifaceted plant research. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 2012, 53, e1. DOI: 10.1093/pcp/pcr165
- 30. RDKit. Available online: https://www.rdkit.org/ (accessed on 13 December 2020).
- ISIDA/EdiSDF Laboratoire de chemoinformatique. Available online: http://infochim.u-strasbg.fr/spip.php?article83 (accessed on 13 December 2020).
- 32. nuzillard/KnapsackSearck: Automated data search in the KNApSAcK database. Available online: 749 https://github.com/nuzillard/KnapsackSearch (accessed on 13 December 2020). 750

699 700

701 702

703

704

705

706

707

708

709

710 711

712 713

714 715

716 717

718 719

720

721 722

723

724

725

726 727

728

729

730

731 732

733

734

735 736

737

738

739

740

741

742

743

744

- 33. KNApSAcK Core System. Available online: http://www.knapsackfamily.com/knapsack_core/top.php (accessed on 13 December 2020).
- Allard, P.-M.; Péresse, T.; Bisson, J.; Gindro, K.; Marcourt, L.; Pham, V. C.; Roussi, F.; Litaudon, M.; Wolfender, J.-L. Integration of molecular networking and *in silico* MS/MS fragmentation for natural products dereplication. *Anal. Chem.* 2016, *88*, 3317–3323. DOI: 10.1021/acs.analchem.5b04804
- 35. Daylight Theory: SMIRKS—A reaction transform language. Available online: https://www.daylight.com/dayhtml/doc/theory/theory.smirks.html (accessed on 13 December 2020).
- 36. Bento, A. P.; Hersey, A.; Félix, E.; Landrum, G.; Gaulton, A.; Atkinson, F.; Bellis, L. J.; De Veij, M.; Leach, A. R. An open source chemical structure curation pipeline using RDKit. *J. Cheminform.* **2020**, *12*, 51. DOI: 10.1186/s13321-020-00456-1
- 37. CIRpy CIRpy 1.0.2 documentation. Available online: https://cirpy.readthedocs.io/en/latest/ (accessed on 13 December 2020).
- 38. Steinbeck, C.; Kuhn, S. NMRShiftDB compound identification and structure elucidation support through a free communitybuilt web database. *Phytochemistry* **2004**, *65*, 2711-2717. DOI: 10.1016/j.phytochem.2004.08.027
- 39. Vestri Alvarenga, S. A.; Gastmans, J.-P.; do Vale Rodrigues, G.; Moreno, P. R. H.; Emerenciano, V. de P.; A computer-assisted approach for chemotaxonomic studies—diterpenes in Lamiaceae. *Phytochemistry* **2001**, *56*, 583-595. DOI: 10.1016/s0031-9422(00)00424-6
- 40. Pelletier, S. W., The nature and definition of an alkaloid. In *Alkaloids: Chemical and Biological Perspectives*; Pelletier, S. W. Ed.; Publisher: Wiley, New-York, 1983; Volume 1, pp. 1–31.
- 41. Djoumbou Feunang, Y.; Eisner, R.; Knox, C.; Chepelev, L.; Hastings, J.; Owen, G.; Fahy, E.; Steinbeck, C.; Subramanian, S.; Bolton, E.; Greiner, R.; Wishart, D. S. ClassyFire: automated chemical classification with a comprehensive, computable taxonomy. *J. Cheminform.* **2016**, *8*, 61. DOI: 10.1186/s13321-016-0174-y
- 42. Daylight Theory: SMARTS A language for describing molecular patterns. Available online: https://www.day-light.com/dayhtml/doc/theory/theory.smarts.html (accessed on 13 December 2020).
- 43. Schaub, J.; Zielesny, A.; Steinbeck, C.; Sokorina, M. Too sweet: cheminformatics for deglycosylation in natural products. *J. Cheminform.* **2020**, *12*, 67. DOI: 10.1186/s13321-020-00467-y
- 44. Bruneton, J., *Pharmacognosie*, 5th ed.; Publisher: Lavoisier collection Tec&Doc, Cachan, France, 2016.
- 45. Nuzillard, J.-M.; Emerenciano, V. de P. Automatic structure elucidation through data base search and 2D NMR spectral analysis. *Nat. Prod. Commun.* **2006**, *1*, 57–64.
- 46. Kim, H. W.; Wang, M.; Leber, C. A.; Nothias L.-F.; Reher, R.; Kang, K. B.; van der Hooft, J. J. J.; Dorrestein, P. C.; Gerwick W. H.; Cottrell, G. W. NPClassifier: A deep neural network-based structural classification tool for natural products. *ChemRxiv. Preprint.* 2020, DOI: 10.26434/chemrxiv.12885494.v1
- 47. Predicted carbon-13 NMR data of natural products (PNMRNP) | Zenodo. Available online: https://zenodo.org/record/3825257 (accessed on 13 December 2020).
- 48. Sorokina, M.; Steinbeck, C. NaPLeS: a natural products likeness scorer—web application and database. *J. Cheminform.* **2019**, *11*, 55. DOI: 10.1186/s13321-019-0378-z
- 49. Robien, W. Computer-assisted peer reviewing of spectral data: the CSEARCH protocol. *Monatsh. Chem.* **2019**, *150*, 927–932. DOI: 10.1007/s00706-019-02407-5
- 50. Boit, H.-G.; Döpke, W. Alkaloide aus Urceolina-, Hymenocallis-, Elisena-, Calostemma-, Eustephia- und Hippeastrum-Arten. *Chem. Ber.* **1957**, *90*, 1827–1830. DOI: 10.1002/cber.19570900920
- 51. Giraud, L. Kallawaya: *Guérisseurs itinérants des Andes. Recherche sur les pratiques médicinales et magiques.* Publisher: IRD Editions, Montpellier, 1984.
- 52. Berkov, S.; Osorio, E.; Viladomat, F.; Bastida, J. Chapter Two—Chemodiversity, chemotaxonomy and chemoecology of Amaryllidaceae alkaloids. In *The Alkaloids: Chemistry and Biology*, Knölker H.-J. Ed.; Publisher: Academic Press, 2020; Volume 83, pp. 113-185. DOI: 10.1016/bs.alkal.2019.10.002
- 53. Renault, J.-H.; Nuzillard, J.-M.; Maciuk, A.; Zèches-Hanrot, M. Use of centrifugal partition chromatography for purifying galanthamine. Patent WO 2006/064105.
- 54. Renault, J.-H.; Le Crouerour, G.; Thépenier, P.; Nuzillard, J.-M.; Zèches-Hanrot, M.; Le Men-Olivier, L. Isolation of indole alkaloids from *Catharanthus roseus* by centrifugal partition chromatography in the pH-zone refining mode, *J. Chromatogr. A* **1999**, *849*, 421-431. DOI: 10.1016/s0021-9673(99)00495-1
- 55. Martin S. F. Chapter 3 The Amaryllidaceae alkaloids. In *The Alkaloids: chemistry and pharmacology,* Brossi, A. Ed.; Publisher: Academic Press, 1987; Volume 30, pp. 251-376. DOI: 10.1016/S0099-9598(08)60208-4
- 56. Haughwitz, R. D.; Jeffs, P. W.; Wenkert, E. Proton magnetic resonance studies of some Amaryllidaceae alkaloids of the 5,10*b*ethanophenanthridine series and of criwelline and tazettine. *J. Chem. Soc.* **1965**, 2001-2009. DOI: 10.1039/JR9650002001

752

753

754 755

756

757

758

759

760

761

762

763

764

765

766

767 768

769 770

771 772

773

774 775

776 777

778

779 780

781 782

783

784

785

786 787

788 789

790

791

792 793

794

795 796

797

798 799

800

57. Das, M. K.; Kumar, N.; Bisai, A. Catalytic asymmetric total syntheses of naturally occurring Amarylidaceae alkaloids, (-)-crinine, (-)-epi-crinine, (-)-oxocrinine, (+)-epi-elwesine, (+)-vittatine, and (+)-epi-vittatine. Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 4421–4424. DOI: 804 10.1021/acs.orglett.8b01703.