

Clinical Academic Careers for General Practice Nurses: A qualitative exploration of associated barriers and enablers

Sarahjane Jones*¹, Andrew Bradbury², Sue Shortland³, Fraser Hewett⁴ and Karen Storey⁵

¹ School of Health and Social Care, Staffordshire University, United Kingdom

² Health & Education Department, CU Scarborough, United Kingdom

³ School of Nursing and Midwifery, Birmingham City University, Birmingham, United Kingdom

⁴ Manor Practice, Sutton Coldfield, United Kingdom

⁵ NHS North of England, Leeds, United Kingdom

*Corresponding author

Dr Sarahjane Jones, now works as Associate Professor in Patient Safety at Staffordshire University

Sarahjane.jones@staffs.ac.uk

DECLARATIONS

Availability of data and materials

The data generated by this study are available on request from the corresponding author (SJ). The data are not publicly available due to their containing information that may compromise participant anonymity.

Authors' contributions

The findings reported here pertain to a wider study. Interview and focus group topic guides were designed by all authors, with all data collected by SS. Interview and focus group transcripts were analysed by SS with findings reviewed by SJ and AB. All authors contributed to the writing of this paper. All authors have read and approved the manuscript.

Consent for publication

Not Applicable

Acknowledgements

Not applicable

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

This study was funded by NHS England. The funder paid for the time of the research staff to deliver the study protocol. The funder also paid for the article processing charge associated with this publication.

40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78

ABSTRACT

Background: The delivery of research in healthcare is dependent on a sub-group of clinicians – clinical academics – who concurrently engage in clinical practice and academic activities. The need to increase access to such roles for GPNs has been identified, though the need for a robust career framework remains.

Aims and objectives: This study aimed to explore the concept of clinical academic careers for general practice nurses (GPNs) by identifying barriers and enablers associated with pursuing and performing such roles.

Design: qualitative interview and focus group design.

Methods: GPNs ($n=18$) and general practitioners (GPs) ($n=5$) engaged in either an audio recorded interview or focus group. Verbatim transcripts were subject to thematic analysis.

Results: Four themes were identified: awareness and understanding; career pathway; personal and professional attributes; and organisational factors. Awareness and understanding were generally poor. Participants suggested that the career pathway was unclear, though it was generally assumed that such roles were “out of reach” and require a minimum of Master’s level education. An interest in research and the confidence to perform such duties were reported as the required personal and professional attributes. Organisational factors included the need for employers to understand the value and benefit of GPN clinical academic roles, along with ensuring that the inevitable competing demands of such a role were appropriately managed.

Conclusions: This study highlights the difficulties faced by GPNs wishing to pursue a clinical academic career. Academia is seemingly placed upon a pedestal, emphasising the need to embed research training early in nursing education to alter GPN perceptions that clinical academic roles are unobtainable.

Relevance: The development of a robust career pathway for GPN clinical academic roles may have a positive impact on the retention of experienced GPNs and attract newly qualified nurses. This research provides evidence as to the need for one.

Keywords

Clinical academic careers; general practice nurse; research nurse

BACKGROUND

79 There is increasing demand on healthcare globally and the NHS in England is no exception.
80 Over the last five years, attendances at major accident and emergency (A&E) departments have
81 risen by 10.3%, and the number of people on the waiting list for consultant-led treatment has
82 risen by 42% (Baker 2019). Consequently, four-hour waits in A&E are at an all-time high, as
83 is the waiting list for consultant-led treatment and the time to treatment and this was before the
84 global coronavirus pandemic. This comes during a time when there have been increases in the
85 absolute numbers across most clinical professions, but this increase is not in keeping with the
86 growth in demand. The issue is further compounded when the volume of posts generated is
87 greater than the supply of clinical professionals to fill them (Public Health England, 2017).
88 Between July and September 2018, there were nearly 94,000 full time equivalent advertised
89 vacancies in hospital and community services in England, equating to an 8% shortfall, with
90 nursing and midwifery having nearly 40,000 vacancies (Rolewicz & Palmer, 2019). Challenges
91 in workforce retention add to the vacancy problem, with a growing number of nurses leaving
92 the profession early for reasons other than retirement (Public Health England, 2017). These
93 challenges exist in the context of growing expectation for accessible, high quality and safe care.

94 In an increasingly resource constrained environment relative to demand, increasing research
95 delivery is one mechanism that could alleviate challenges to improving care quality. The
96 relationship between research delivery and patient outcomes in healthcare is well established.
97 A systematic review and meta-analysis of international studies found that patients not only
98 benefit directly from participation in research, achieving improved clinical outcomes (*Nijjar et*
99 *al.*, 2017), but also more generally at the organisation level. Patient populations achieved better
100 outcomes in organisations with high research participation irrespective of their own
101 participation in research studies (Downing et al, 2017). The delivery of research in healthcare
102 in the UK is dependent on a sub-group of clinicians known as clinical academics who
103 concurrently engage in clinical practice and academia (Baltruks & Callaghan, 2018).

104 Clinical academics are essential in both the development of real-world-relevant, timely
105 research and the translation of research back into practice, and form a pivotal component in the
106 UK Government's Life Science Industrial Strategy (Bell, 2017; HM Government, 2017).
107 However, despite the recognition for there to be a clearer career pathway for nurses involved
108 in clinical research for over a decade (UK Clinical Research Collaboration, 2006), and some
109 progress having been made (Department of Health, 2012), the proportion of clinical academics
110 in nursing, midwifery and allied health professions remains low at 0.1%, (*Dickinson et al.*,
111 2017) in comparison to 4.6% of the medical consultant workforce (*Fisher et al.*, 2017).

112 The primary care workforce in England is no exception to the workforce challenges. A 1.7%
113 decline in the number of full-time equivalent GPs between September 2017 and 2018 (NHS
114 Digital 2018), coupled with a survey that reported that 39% of GP respondents planned to leave
115 'direct patient care' by 2022 (*Gibson et al.*, 2017) makes for significant workforce challenges
116 in general practice. A similar picture exists in general practice nursing across the UK; 33.4%
117 of general practice nurses (GPNs) are due to retire by 2020 (Bradby & McCallum, 2015) and
118 over half of all GPNs in Scotland are over 50 years old (Innes, 2019).

119 GPNs are recognised as being key to the delivery of primary care services in the General
120 Practice Forward View (NHS England, 2016). In an effort to address the challenges in the
121 workforce, the General Practice Nursing Workforce Development Plan (Health Education
122 England, 2017) identified some key steps necessary to move forward including increased

123 access to clinical academic careers. Subsequently, the NHS England ten-point action plan
124 reiterated the need to develop clinical academic careers at point eight of the plan (NHS
125 England, 2017). However, to realise opportunities for GPNs to take up clinical academic posts
126 and develop a robust and fit for purpose career framework, evidence is needed to understand
127 the barriers and enablers to a clinical academic career in the general practice setting and
128 specifically for GPNs. A rapid evidence assessment undertaken to explore the available
129 literature on GPNs and clinical academic nurses in the UK only found two articles discussed
130 GPNs (Bradbury *et al.*, 2020)

131 Thus, this study aimed to explore the concept of clinical academic careers for GPNs through
132 investigation of the barriers and enablers related to the development and functionality of the
133 role, along with the associated potential benefits for general practice.

134 **METHODS**

135 This paper details the qualitative element of a wider mixed methods study within in a critical
136 realist paradigm. Critical realism presents a philosophical paradigm that can accommodate
137 mixed methods studies that can be used to explore the underlying mechanisms that can generate
138 events (Danermark, 2002). Bhaskar (2008) promotes that in order to understand a phenomenon,
139 you must understand these underlying mechanisms in addition to the traditional observable
140 events sought in positivist paradigms. This article presents the qualitative exploration of the
141 potential underlying conditions for GPNs in pursuing and performing clinical academic careers
142 as a means to exploring the observable events; that clinical academic career uptake is lower in
143 nursing than in medicine. GPs and GPNs were recruited from within the West Midlands of
144 England through a combination of purposive and snowball sampling. Participants were
145 recruited from across the experience spectrum, including both experienced clinicians and those
146 new to the general practice setting. Participants provided informed consent to take part in an
147 audio recorded interview or focus group, with recordings transcribed and resultant transcripts
148 subject to a process of thematic analysis (Braun & Clarke, 2006). All participants were
149 analysed as a single unit, with any professional differences documented. A semi-structured
150 interview schedule was used and is available upon request.

151 The research team comprised a multidisciplinary group of individuals who reflected the
152 professions being investigated including general practice nurses and a GP, and two researchers
153 without clinical registration. One team member provided the function of key stakeholder
154 engagement to support in the development of the interview scheduled and interpretation to
155 improve trustworthiness and credibility. This study reports using the Standards for Reporting
156 Qualitative Research (O'Brien *et al.*, 2014)

157 Full ethical approval for the study was granted by the Faculty of Health, Education and Life
158 Sciences Academic Ethics Committee at Birmingham City University. All participants
159 provided written informed consent to participate in the study.

160 **RESULTS**

161 Three focus groups comprising a total of 12 registered nurses, and one-to-one interviews with
162 six registered GPNs and five GPs were undertaken over a six-week period in February and
163 March 2019. The three focus groups comprised nurses new to the general practice role studying
164 on the Fundamentals of General Practice Nursing course (Focus Group A); GPNs who were
165 studying for an Advanced Clinical Practice award (Focus Group B); and GPNs working in

166 general practice (Focus Group C). All of the nurses in the focus groups and interviews were
 167 currently employed as GPNs in the general practice setting. The breakdown of these focus
 168 groups and interviews and the related demographic data can be found in Table 1.

169 **Table 1: demographic data**

	Current role	Age (years)	Years as registered nurse/doctor	Time in general practice
Focus Group A, GPN students	Student GPN	36	16	< 12 months
	Student GPN	43	1	< 12 months
	Student GPN	22	2	<12 months
	Student GPN	23	2	>12 months
	Student GPN	44	18	>12 months
	Student GPN	33	6	>12 months
Focus Group B, GPNs studying for ACP award	Student ANP GPN	36	14	2 years
	Student ANP GPN	48	27	18 years
	Student ANP GPN	35	13	2 years
Focus Group C, GPNs	FG GPN	-	32	18 years
	FG GPN	29	9	1 month
	FG GPN	53	32	4 years
Interviews	ACP GPN	48	22	-
	GP	58	36	30 years
	GP	54	31	27 years
	GP	59	36	32 years
	GP	35	12	6 years
	GP Clinical Academic	37	13	18 months

170

171 Following an iterative cycle of thematic analysis, four main themes were identified: awareness
 172 and understanding; career pathway; personal and professional attributes; and organisational
 173 factors.

174 **Awareness and understanding**

175 Awareness of the clinical academic role appeared to be relatively poor; most participants had
 176 never worked with anyone in a clinical academic post. However, participants had a general
 177 understanding of the term and were able to surmise the fundamental principles of the role. This
 178 lack of awareness of the role translated into difficulties in identifying opportunities, with all of
 179 the nurse participants never having considered the career as an option.

180 *“I wouldn’t have even thought about it. So, it’s raising awareness that I suppose*
 181 *it could be that it is an option, yeah” Student GPN Focus Group*

182 The solitary nature of the GPN role was cited as one reason why GPNs might be less likely to
 183 learn of such roles, with limited opportunities to speak with colleagues in the working week
 184 and decreasing access to CPD and professional networks contributing further to the isolation.
 185 Exposure to how research relates to everyday clinical practice and role models in general

186 practice were felt to be lacking. Participants thought that in hospital settings there would be
187 more exposure to clinicians in clinical academic posts.

188 *“You know, so there are some clinical specialities, like oncology, for example,*
189 *where loads of consultants will have PhDs, and so for them to think about doing a*
190 *PhD isn’t that big of a mental step. Whereas, if you’re in a profession, like general*
191 *practice, or primary care nursing, you don’t have as many of your senior*
192 *colleagues who have gone through that process” GP5*

193 This lack of exposure was further compounded by the absence of nurse leaders in primary care
194 promoting the clinical academic career pathway.

195 *“I think there should be more nurses high up within CCGs that are definitely*
196 *pushing the agenda and talking about it” GPN student*

197 As the primary employers of GPNs, GPs’ awareness and understanding of the GPN clinical
198 academic role was considered essential in enabling GPNs to take up such roles. There was a
199 large emphasis placed on the need for evidence as to the value of these roles, and that this
200 message would need to be communicated explicitly and consistently to facilitate any discussion
201 of the role. Limited awareness of the value and potential of GPNs pursuing clinical academic
202 careers was evident in most of the interviews with GPs. However, two of the GP participants
203 could envisage the value of a GP clinical academic, but were unsure of the significance/worth
204 of GPN clinical academic roles.

205 *“I understand much more readily what the GP academic could offer and probably*
206 *less likely to understand what a nurse academic would offer. I’m thinking in terms*
207 *of as employer, the time that will be taken away” GP2*

208 The awareness of general negativity for the GPN clinical academic role was expressed by both
209 GPN and GP participants. It was evident that there was a need for a change in attitudes and
210 understanding throughout the general practice workforce so as to instil a positive environment
211 and for opportunities to be realised.

212 **Personal and professional attributes**

213 There was a general consensus that the main driver for a GPN to consider a clinical academic
214 role was a personal interest in research and the confidence one could develop the necessary
215 skills to even consider applying for such a post. Participants expressed the need for a personal
216 interest in research and the development of research skills to be nurtured from pre-registration
217 and through post qualification education.

218 It was suggested, therefore, that confidence in one’s ability to pursue research skills needed to
219 be developed from the start of a nursing career to embed aspiration and expectations in new
220 nurses that a clinical academic career was a viable, achievable, and valid career goal.

221 *“I think maybe... when we're doing our initial nurse training to have researchers*
222 *come in and discuss it” GPN student*

223 However, many participants felt that the nature of the GPN role of autonomy and the personal
224 characteristic of being self-motivated lent themselves well to the clinical academic role.
225 Furthermore, the nature of the work of a GPN meant that they were in a good position to be
226 able to identify patient-relevant research.

227 *“I think so because if we're working there we... know the flaws, we know when*
228 *certain processes aren't working very well. So, it...you highlight that and you*
229 *think, I need to change that” GPN student*

230 However, whilst it was felt that a GPN would not necessarily need to be working at an advanced
231 level of clinical practice, a suitable nurse for a clinical academic career would need to be
232 experienced and confident in their clinical ability. There was concern that a focus on research
233 would mean that a GPN would fall behind in terms of clinical progression.

234 *“I think one is making sure that you're giving yourself enough time to progress in*
235 *your clinical career. Making sure that you're up to date with your CPD” GP5*

236 There was, therefore, general agreement from the GPN participants that they had a lot to offer
237 a clinical academic role, but lack of research knowledge and ability in their education and
238 training impacted on their confidence to consider the role.

239 **Career pathway**

240 The lack of research ability and perception that clinical academic careers were out of reach of
241 many GPNs was reflected by the absence of a formalised clinical academic career pathway for
242 GPNs. Furthermore, to some extent, GPNs perceived that their career pathway was developed
243 according to the needs of the practice, over and above their aspirations.

244 *“Because there's so much disparity in general practice about what you're actual*
245 *career path will be then you kind of either shape it yourself or the GP shapes it for*
246 *you” GPN student*

247 There was a general consensus from GPN participants that a nurse would need to be educated
248 to at least Master's level before considering a clinical academic career suggesting that
249 attainment of education level correlated with ability and disregarded an individual's potential
250 early in their clinical career. Furthermore, the misconception of one GP, which possibly reflects
251 wider opinion in medicine, that nurses are less academically competent than their medical
252 colleagues by virtue that they are nurses rather than doctors would not be helpful in increasing
253 uptake of nurses generally into clinical academic roles, and potentially be more damaging in a
254 setting where the doctors with these opinions are simultaneously the employers of nurses.

255 *“I think by natural selection.....that the more academic people are probably*
256 *more steered towards medicine than nursing, I would suppose that's the case” GP2*

257 There were limited opportunities for GPNs to develop capability in research over time. This,
258 coupled with high volume workload and expectation that the development of clinical skills
259 would be prioritised, impacted on participants' beliefs that clinical academic careers for GPNs
260 were not a very viable option.

261 **Organisational factors**

262 Organisational factors featured heavily as barriers to clinical academic roles in general practice
263 nursing. From the GP perspective, assurance on the value and benefits of such a role is required
264 if they are to be expected to pay for it and indicated a preference for centrally funded roles.

265 *“As an employer I wouldn't want to be paying for another activity ...from which I*
266 *cannot derive direct benefit. So I see potential negatives and I see potential gains*

267 *but I think the gains are less immediately obvious to me than from the GP*
268 *academics” GP2*

269 The division of time between clinical and academic aspects was of concern to both GPs and
270 GPNs. There were fears from the GPNs that the academic element would be routinely
271 compromised in order to meet increasing patient demand and staff shortages, whilst GPs felt
272 that GPNs needed a minimum amount of clinical time to ensure maintenance of clinical skills
273 and raised concerns around continuity of care for patients in the practice.

274 *“What about in the clinical areas, if the clinical load gets busy, you know,*
275 *somebody’s off sick or two people are on holiday, what happens to their academic*
276 *bit?” ANP*

277 *“I think you have to have specific clinical days and specific research days, and*
278 *your research days you have to be physically away, so that means usually at the*
279 *university which you do your academic role at. Because, I think if your clinical*
280 *colleagues see you around they will, inevitably, rope you into things while you’re*
281 *there and you will simply not have the time and head space to be doing any*
282 *academic work” GP5*

283 **DISCUSSION**

284 The four themes presented all identify various barriers to the development of clinical academic
285 careers for GPNs. In combination, they highlight the difficulties an individual would encounter
286 in attempting to pursue this career pathway. Furthermore, the themes all demonstrate the
287 culture around clinical research in nursing generally, and specifically in general practice.
288 Inevitably the current high workload and dwindling workforce impacts on the enthusiasm of
289 GPs and GPNs towards the development of clinical academic careers for GPNs but there is
290 also an underlying negativity towards the feasibility of GPNs taking up such roles. This culture,
291 in some places, is detrimental, and even potentially toxic to the establishment and success of
292 these roles in general practice nursing.

293 Academia was, to some extent, placed on a pedestal and this generated two positions regarding
294 the suitability of GPNs to a clinical academic role. Nurses themselves tended to explain their
295 reluctance or aversion to such roles in the context of confidence and modesty, that is, not having
296 enough confidence or surplus modesty to put themselves forward for the ‘superior’ clinical
297 academic role. This was further reinforced by the lack of research training in the early education
298 of nurses meaning that nurses did not feel adequately skilled to make the move into academia
299 and consequently research can be something that nurses fear.

300 This position would not be helped by views potentially held by GPs that suggest that nursing
301 as a profession is self-excluded from research because had they been academically competent,
302 they would have been doctors. This is in contradiction to the current debate on the education
303 of nurses. Evidence suggests that the registration status of the nursing profession, which
304 requires an undergraduate level qualification, makes a significant contribution to patient safety
305 in comparison to the non-registered nursing workforce (Aiken *et al.*, 2017; Griffiths *et al.*, 2018
306 & Leary *et al.*, 2016). Studies have also demonstrated that there is no difference between nurses
307 and doctors in the competence of traditionally-doctor-specific activities such as diagnosing
308 (Pirret *et al.*, 2015), running clinics (Larrson *et al.*, 2014) and endoscopy (Centre for Review
309 and Dissemination, 2011). However, it is important to recognise that nurses are not a cheaper

310 alternative to doctors, but rather a valuable profession with an important role to play in
311 delivering high quality, safe care to patients (Leary, 2012). However, there remains issues with
312 the image of nursing perceived to be both a profession for women (MacWilliams *et al.*, 2013)
313 and one that does not value the specialisms or advanced roles in nursing with protected titles,
314 which potentially undermines confidence in the profession (Leary *et al.*, 2017).

315 Further debate exists around the academic credibility of nursing. The credibility of the nursing
316 professoriate in the UK has been questioned (Watson & Thompson, 2008; Watson *et al.*, 2017),
317 however, there appears to be consensus on the need for nursing leaders, such as those in chief
318 nurse positions, to work ‘hand in glove’ to move forward the academic evidence base to
319 “address local service needs whilst being of an internationally excellent standard” (Cannaby *et*
320 *al.*, 2017). However, how nurses gain these academic skills whilst pursuing a clinical career
321 remains to be determined as the career pathway for senior leadership roles do not currently
322 require academic competence (Watson & Thompson, 2008); rather this is an aspiration and
323 whilst only 0.1% of the non-medical workforce comprises clinical academics careers, an
324 enormous investment is required to parallel the 4.6% of the medical workforce in clinical
325 academic roles (Baltruks & Callaghan, 2018; Dickinson, *at al.*, 2017). It is, therefore, hardly
326 surprising that this study highlighted a lack of role models in senior leaders in terms of research
327 activity.

328 The national contract for consultant medics in England, in which academic pay is matched to
329 the NHS pay structure (British Medical Association, 202), is likely to go some way in
330 supporting the larger proportion of medical academics. The importance of this offer is
331 reinforced by the recent support of an equivalent offer by the Universities and Colleges
332 Employers Association (British Medical Association, 2019) for medical clinical academics in
333 training (those below consultant pay grade). In comparison, the absence of such an offer in
334 nursing and other professions allied to health is indicative of the perceived value of these roles
335 in generating the evidence base. However, with nursing care increasingly linked to patient
336 safety and quality of care, more attention is required to address the undervaluing of nursing
337 research. Some course for redress is with the allocation of research funding; there is inequality
338 in research funding with only 1.9% of Higher Education Institution funding being awarded in
339 the nursing and allied health professions (UK Clinical Research Collaboration, 2015), despite
340 the composition of the NHS workforce comprising 25.6% nurses and midwives (Nuffield
341 Trust, 2017).

342 The redistribution of research funding cannot come at a whim, and must be done in parallel to
343 increased training of nurses in the discipline of research to ensure the delivery of high quality
344 and impactful research. Since 2005, the NIHR has funded a growing number of nurses,
345 although allied health professions have seen the greatest increase (Medical Research Council,
346 2020)) but the numbers are in the tens, rather than hundreds or thousands and is unlikely to
347 make a significant dent in the proportion of clinical academics within the profession in the near
348 future.

349 The importance of the GPN role in delivering primary care services has been recognised in
350 recent policy documents (NHS England, 2017). However, the shortage of GPNs and the lack
351 of consistent investment in their training and development has led to the introduction of new
352 roles such as pharmacist-led services and physician associates (Nelson *et al.*, 2019) turning
353 attention away from investment in general practice nursing and its value. This study highlights

354 how the impact of long term undervaluing and investment has infiltrated the expectations of
355 GPNs to be able to develop aspirational career pathways such as clinical academic careers.

356 The need to embed research skills and interest early on in nurse training is identified in this
357 study. The introduction of a degree level qualification for nurse registration is beginning to
358 have an impact. However, this study clearly shows how once nurses are employed in general
359 practice the opportunities to build on this knowledge are limited and is not portrayed as an
360 expectation within the general practice environment, compounded by a distinct lack of role
361 models.

362 This study suggests that general practice nurses are not aware of these opportunities and
363 therefore unable to apply for them. And even if they did apply, the employment model, specific
364 to general practice, suggests that seeking funding for this sort of role would not necessarily be
365 supported by GP partners. This is exacerbated by the current workforce crisis (NHS Digital,
366 2015 & Queen's Nursing Institute, 2015) and the high proportion of current GPNs expected to
367 retire by 2022 (33.4%) (Queen's Nursing Institute, 2015).

368 This study is an empirical qualitative research study. Limitations include the difficulties in
369 drawing generalisable conclusions from studies of this nature. However, they do provide a
370 richness and depth of understanding not obtainable in quantitative studies that can be used to
371 inform thinking. This study is also focussed on England, and therefore, might not reflect
372 general practice nursing across the four nations of the United Kingdom, or more globally.

373 **CONCLUSION**

374 The clinical academic career in general practice nursing has the opportunity to deliver patient-
375 derived-nurse-led research that could see substantial gains in improvements to patient care
376 including patient experience, safety and clinical outcomes. Furthermore, offering robust career
377 pathways for the GPN workforce could also have a positive impact on the retention of
378 experienced GPNs and attract newly qualified nurses. Traditionally, research has been the role
379 of the medical profession, but as we increasingly see the nursing profession deliver complex
380 clinical care, adopting skills and competence traditionally associated with doctors without
381 compromising patient care, and in many cases improving it, there is little reason to think that
382 nursing could not take greater responsibility for its own evidence base. It is important that the
383 specific challenges for nurses working in the general practice setting are recognised and
384 addressed to make clinical academic careers equally available to general practice nurses.

385 **What does this paper contribute to the wider global clinical community?**

- 386 • This study provides an evidence base on the barriers and enablers to clinical academic
387 careers in general practice nursing
- 388 • The unique contractual arrangements for GPNs generate specific conditions for nurses
389 working in this field that make access to clinical academic roles within primary care
390 more difficult.
- 391 • Working with employers and instilling research confidence in nurses earlier in their
392 education training would go some way to facilitate an increase in clinical academic
393 roles in general practice.

394 **REFERENCES**

395 Aiken, L.H.; Sloane, D.; Griffiths, P.; Rafferty, A.M.; Bruyneel, L.; McHugh, M.; Maier,
396 C.B.; Moreno-Casbas, T.; Ball, J.E.; Ausserhofer, D.; Sermeus, W. (2017) Nursing skill mix
397 in European hospitals: cross-sectional study of the association with mortality, patient ratings,
398 and quality of care. *BMJ Qual Saf.* 26(7):559-68.

399 Baker, C. (2019). NHS Key Statistics, England, May 2019. Briefing Paper No. 7281.
400 Retrieved from: <https://commonslibrary.parliament.uk/research-briefings/cbp-7281/>

401 Baltruks, D. & Callaghan, P. (2018) *Nursing, midwifery and allied health clinical academic*
402 *research careers in the UK*. Council of Deans of Health. Retrieved from:
403 [https://councilofdeans.org.uk/2018/08/new-report-nursing-midwifery-and-allied-health-](https://councilofdeans.org.uk/2018/08/new-report-nursing-midwifery-and-allied-health-clinical-academic-research-careers-in-the-uk/)
404 [clinical-academic-research-careers-in-the-uk/](https://councilofdeans.org.uk/2018/08/new-report-nursing-midwifery-and-allied-health-clinical-academic-research-careers-in-the-uk/)

405 Bhaskar R. A (2008) *Realist Theory of Science*. London: Verso

406 Bell, J. (2017) *Life Sciences Industrial Strategy: A report to the Government from the life*
407 *sciences sector*. Life Sciences Industrial Strategy Board. Retrievable from:
408 <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/life-sciences-industrial-strategy>

409 Bradury, A.; Shortland, S.; Jones, J.; Hewett.; Storey, K. (2020) Clinical academic careers for
410 general practice nurses: a rapid evidence assessment. *Journal of Research in Nursing* 0(0) 1-
411 16

412 Bradby, M. & McCallum, C. (2019) *General Practice Nursing in the 21st Century: A Time of*
413 *Opportunity*. Queen's Nursing Institute. Retrieved from: [https://www.qni.org.uk/wp-](https://www.qni.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2016/09/gpn_c21_report.pdf)
414 [content/uploads/2016/09/gpn_c21_report.pdf](https://www.qni.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2016/09/gpn_c21_report.pdf)

415 Braun, V. & Clarke, V. (2006) Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qual Res Psychol.*
416 3(2):77-101.

417 British Medical Association. (2019) Clinical academics below consultant: revision of pay
418 arrangements in light of the NHS junior doctor contract for England – updated 2019.
419 Retrieved from: [https://www.bma.org.uk/media/2183/bma-clinical-academic-trainee-pay-](https://www.bma.org.uk/media/2183/bma-clinical-academic-trainee-pay-system-england-2019.pdf)
420 [system-england-2019.pdf](https://www.bma.org.uk/media/2183/bma-clinical-academic-trainee-pay-system-england-2019.pdf)

421 British Medical Association. (2020) *Medical academics' pay scales*. Retrievable from:
422 <https://www.bma.org.uk/advice/employment/pay/medical-academics-pay>

423 Cannaby, A.M.; Libacao, A.; Hassanein, E. & Gray, R. (2017) Do Chief Nurses need to be
424 academically credible?. *J Adv Nurs.* 73(11):2503-5.

425 Centre for Review and Dissemination. (2011) Evidence briefing on nurse endoscopy.
426 National Institute for Health Research. Retrieved from:
427 <https://www.york.ac.uk/media/crd/Nurse%20endoscopy%20evidence%20briefing.pdf>

428 Danermark, B.; Ekstrom, M.; Jakobsen, L.; & Karlsson, J.C. (2002) *Explaining Society -*
429 *critical realism in the social sciences*. Oxford: Routledge

430 Department of Health. (2012) *Developing the Role of the Clinical Academic Researcher in*
431 *the Nursing, Midwifery and Allied Health Professions*. Crown. Retrieved from:
432 [https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/215124/dh_13](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/215124/dh_133094.pdf)
433 [3094.pdf](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/215124/dh_133094.pdf)

434 Dickinson, J.; Scott, J. & Edwards, P. (2017) *UK-Wide Survey of Clinical and Health*
435 *Research Fellowships*. Medical Research Council. Retrieved from:
436 [https://mrc.ukri.org/publications/browse/clinical-and-health-research-fellowships-survey-](https://mrc.ukri.org/publications/browse/clinical-and-health-research-fellowships-survey-2017/)
437 [2017/](https://mrc.ukri.org/publications/browse/clinical-and-health-research-fellowships-survey-2017/)

438 Downing, A.; Morris, E.J.; Corrigan, N.; Sebag-Montefiore, D.; Finan, P.J.; Thomas, J.D.;
439 Chapman, M.; Hamilton, R.; Campbell, H.; Cameron, D. & Kaplan, R.; (2017) High hospital
440 research participation and improved colorectal cancer survival outcomes: a population-based
441 study. *Gut* 66(1):89-96.

442 Fisher, D.; Tang P. & Knight, E. (2017) *Survey of Medical Clinical Academic Staffing Levels*
443 *2017*. Medical Schools Council. Retrieved from:
444 [https://www.medschools.ac.uk/media/2026/medical-clinical-academic-staffing-levels-](https://www.medschools.ac.uk/media/2026/medical-clinical-academic-staffing-levels-2017.pdf)
445 [2017.pdf](https://www.medschools.ac.uk/media/2026/medical-clinical-academic-staffing-levels-2017.pdf)

446 Gibson, J.; Sutton, M.; Spooner, S. & Checkland K. (2017). *Ninth National GP Worklife*
447 *Survey 2017*. Policy Research Unit in Commissioning and the Healthcare System Retrieved
448 from: <https://prucomm.ac.uk/assets/uploads/Ninth-National-GP-Worklife-Survey.pdf>

449 Griffiths, P.; Ball, J.; Bloor, K.; Bohning, D.; Briggs, J.; Dall’Ora, C.; De long, A.; Jones, J.;
450 Kovacs, C.; Maruotti, A.; Meredith, p.; Prytherch, D.; Recio Saicedo, A.; Redfern, O.;
451 Schmidt, P.; Sinden, N. & Smith, G. (2018) Nurse staffing levels, missed vital signs and
452 mortality in hospitals: retrospective longitudinal observational study. National Institute for
453 Health Research. Retrieved from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK534527/>

454 Health Education England. (2017) *The General Practice Nursing Workforce Development*
455 *Plan*. Retrieved from:
456 [https://www.hee.nhs.uk/sites/default/files/documents/The%20general%20practice%20nursin](https://www.hee.nhs.uk/sites/default/files/documents/The%20general%20practice%20nursing%20workforce%20development%20plan.pdf)
457 [g%20workforce%20development%20plan.pdf](https://www.hee.nhs.uk/sites/default/files/documents/The%20general%20practice%20nursing%20workforce%20development%20plan.pdf)

458 HM Government. (2019) *Industrial Strategy Challenge Fund: for research and innovation*.
459 Retrieved from: [https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/industrial-strategy-challenge-](https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/industrial-strategy-challenge-fund-joint-research-and-innovation)
460 [fund-joint-research-and-innovation](https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/industrial-strategy-challenge-fund-joint-research-and-innovation)

461 Innes, L. (2019) General Practice Nurse education in Scotland – now and in the future.
462 *Education for Primary Care* 30(5) 263-266

463 Larsson, I.; Fridlund, B.; Arvidsson, B.; Teleman, A. & Bergman, S. (2014) Randomized
464 controlled trial of a nurse-led rheumatology clinic for monitoring biological therapy. *J Adv*
465 *Nurs*. 70(1):164-75.

466 Leary, A. (2012) Advanced nurse practice is much more than simply role substitution. *Nurs*
467 *Stand*. 26(51):32.

468 Leary, A.; Cook, R.; Jones, S.; Smith, J.; Gough, M; Maxwell, E.; Punshon, G.; Radford. M.
469 (2016) Mining routinely collected acute data to reveal non-linear relationships between nurse
470 staffing levels and outcomes. *BMJ Open*. 6(12):e011177.

471 Leary, A.; Maclaine, K.; Trevatt. P.; Radford, M. & Punshon. G. (2017) Variation in job titles
472 within the nursing workforce. *J Clin Nurs*. (23-24):4945-50.

473 MacWilliams, B.R.; Schmidt, B. & Bleich, M.R. (2013) Men in nursing. *Am J Nurs.*
474 113(1):38-44.

475 Medical Research Council. (2020) *Career Development Award (CDA): Transition to*
476 *independence*. Retrieved from: [https://mrc.ukri.org/skills-careers/fellowships/non-clinical-](https://mrc.ukri.org/skills-careers/fellowships/non-clinical-fellowships/career-development-award-cda-transition-to-independence/)
477 [fellowships/career-development-award-cda-transition-to-independence/](https://mrc.ukri.org/skills-careers/fellowships/non-clinical-fellowships/career-development-award-cda-transition-to-independence/)

478 Nelson, P.A.; Bradley, F.; Martindale, A.M.; McBride, A. & Hodgson, D. (2019) Skill-mix
479 change in general practice: a qualitative comparison of three 'new' non-medical roles in
480 English primary care. *Br J Gen Pract.* 69(684):e489-e498.

481 NHS Digital. (2018) *General and Personal Medical Services, England*. Available from:
482 <https://files.digital.nhs.uk/17/93CB4C/Sept%202018%20Report%20v3.pdf>

483 NHS England. (2016) *General Practice: Forward View*. Retrieved from:
484 <https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2016/04/gpfv.pdf>

485 NHS England. (2017) *General Practice: Developing confidence, capability and capacity*.
486 NHS England Publications Gateway Reference number: 06870. Retrieved from:
487 [https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2018/01/general-practice-nursing-ten-point-](https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2018/01/general-practice-nursing-ten-point-plan-v17.pdf)
488 [plan-v17.pdf](https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2018/01/general-practice-nursing-ten-point-plan-v17.pdf)

489 Nijjar, S.K.; D'amico, M.I.; Wimalaweera, N.A.; Cooper, N.A.; Zamora, J. & Khan, K.S.
490 (2017) Participation in clinical trials improves outcomes in women's health: a systematic
491 review and meta-analysis. *BJOG.* 124(6):863-71.

492 Nuffield Trust. (2017) *The composition of the NHS workforce*. Retrieved from:
493 <https://www.nuffieldtrust.org.uk/chart/the-composition-of-the-nhs-workforce>

494 Pirret, A.M.; Neville, S.J. & La Grow, S.J. (2015). Nurse practitioners versus doctors
495 diagnostic reasoning in a complex case presentation to an acute tertiary hospital: a
496 comparative study. *Int J Nurs Stud.* 52(3):716-26.

497 (2017). *Facing the facts, shaping the future: a draft health and care workforce strategy for*
498 *England to 2027*. Retrieved from: <https://www.hee.nhs.uk/our-work/workforce-strategy>

499 Rolewicz, L. and Palmer, B. (2019) *The NHS workforce in numbers: Facts on staffing and*
500 *staff shortages in England*. Nuffield Trust. Retrieved from:
501 [https://www.nuffieldtrust.org.uk/resource/the-nhs-workforce-in-numbers#2-what-is-the-](https://www.nuffieldtrust.org.uk/resource/the-nhs-workforce-in-numbers#2-what-is-the-overall-shortfall-in-staff-in-the-nhs)
502 [overall-shortfall-in-staff-in-the-nhs](https://www.nuffieldtrust.org.uk/resource/the-nhs-workforce-in-numbers#2-what-is-the-overall-shortfall-in-staff-in-the-nhs)

503 UK Clinical Research Collaboration. (2006) *Developing the best research professionals.*
504 *Qualified graduate nurses: recommendations for a clear career pathway for those nurses*
505 *involved in clinical research preparing and supporting clinical academic nurses of the future.*
506 Retrieved from: [http://www.ukcrc.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/07/Nurses-report-August-07-](http://www.ukcrc.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/07/Nurses-report-August-07-Web.pdf)
507 [Web.pdf](http://www.ukcrc.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/07/Nurses-report-August-07-Web.pdf)

508 UK Clinical Research Collaboration. (2015) *UK Health Research Analysis 2014*. Medical
509 Research Council. Retrieved from: [http://www.ukcrc.org/wp-](http://www.ukcrc.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/08/UKCRCHealthResearchAnalysis2014-WEB.pdf)
510 [content/uploads/2015/08/UKCRCHealthResearchAnalysis2014-WEB.pdf](http://www.ukcrc.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/08/UKCRCHealthResearchAnalysis2014-WEB.pdf)

- 511 Watson, R.; McDonagh, R.; & Thompson, D.R (2017) h-indices: an update on the
512 performance of professors in nursing in the UK. *Journal of Advanced Nursing* 72(5) 999-
513 1001
- 514 Watson, R. & Thompson, D.R. (2008) Professors as leaders. *J Clin Nurs.* 17(8):981-2.