- 1 Growing Evidence of the Interconnections between Modern Slavery, Environmental
- 2 Degradation, and Climate Change

3

Authors: Jessica L. Decker Sparks^a, Doreen S. Boyd^{a,b}, Bethany Jackson^{a,b}, Christopher D. Ives^b, and Kevin Bales^{a,c}.

Affiliations and Addresses:

^aThe Rights Lab, Highfield House, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham, Nottinghamshire NG7 2RD, UK.

^bSchool of Geography, Sir Clive Granger Building, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham, Nottinghamshire NG7 2RD, UK.

^cSchool of Politics & International Relations, Law and Social Sciences building, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham, Nottinghamshire, NG7 2RD, UK.

Corresponding Author:

Jessica L Decker Sparks, email: jessica.sparks@nottingham.ac.uk, (t): +44 (0) 115 82 83072, (f): +44 (0) 115 951 3666

Summary

The modern slavery – environmental degradation – climate change nexus may threaten the achievement of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). Globally, approximately 12.2 million workers are entrapped in modern slavery in environmentally degrading activities, and SDG target 8.7 (the elimination of all forms of modern slavery) may be instrumental in accelerating attainment of SDG targets. However, without appropriate mitigation strategies, the attainment of some of the SDG's environmental targets may also negatively influence progress on 8.7. Unfortunately, these feedbacks are poorly understood and data are limited and difficult to obtain. In this perspective we presented how a SDG interaction framework may help overcome these data challenges by signalling how potential policy action and other interventions would influence progress on 8.7 and other environmental targets based on known processes and patterns. This understanding is crucial to move beyond data limitations, direct future research, and develop an often lacking evidence-base to effect holistic change.

Introduction

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) target 8.7, "take immediate and effective measures to eradicate forced labour, end modern slavery and human trafficking and secure the prohibition and elimination of the worst forms of child labour..." was a last-minute addition to the post-2015 international sustainable development agenda after being excluded from the preceding Millennium Development Goals (MDGs).² This marked a critical juncture in the evolution of the conceptualization of modern slavery. Modern slavery had been linked to the socioeconomic imperatives included in the MDGs and SDGs,³⁻⁴ but the underlying indivisibility principle of the SDGs provided a blueprint to galvanize exploration into the interconnections between modern slavery, environmental sustainability, and climate. However, the measurement challenges underpinning the dearth of baseline modern slavery data have made identifying and understanding salient features of an emerging modern slavery - environment - climate nexus difficult. For example, there are no indicators for forced labour, modern slavery, and the worst forms of child labour associated with target 8.7. The unlawfulness, danger, and hidden nature of these activities complicates and sometimes prohibits data collection. Further, a lack of global understanding of differing typologies and standardized definitions and measurement tools inhibits disaggregated comparative analyses across sectors, scales, and geographies.⁵ As a result, an incomplete picture of modern slavery's interactions with the environment and climate persists, potentially delaying policy and action and subverting progress toward attainment of the SDGs.

In this perspective we explored how linkages facilitating a modern slavery-environmental degradation-climate change nexus may influence achievement of the SDGs. Using an adapted SDG interaction framework to synthesize expert judgement, we assessed the strength of interactions between SDG 8.7 and targets from SDGs 6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, and 15. This approach elucidated pertinent research gaps hindering

understanding of this nexus and thus the maximization and minimization of the identified synergies and trade-offs between SDG targets.

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

52

53

The Modern Slavery - Environment - Climate Nexus

There is no generally agreed international legal definition of modern slavery; therefore, for the purpose of this paper we are using the Research Network on the Legal Parameters of Slavery's 2012 Bellagio-Harvard guidelines wherein modern slavery is defined as "constituting control over a person in such a way as to significantly deprive that person of [their] individual liberty, with the intent of exploitation through the use, management, purchase, sale, profit, transfer or disposal of that person". 6 In this way, we are also using modern slavery as an umbrella term that encompasses egregious forms of labour exploitation that do not always constitute slavery but that are codified in international law and policy such as forced labour, human trafficking, and the worst forms of child labour. The use of modern slavery is consistent with emerging national legislation (i.e., UK and Australia Modern Slavery Acts) and international priorities (i.e., UN SDG 8.7). However, we also recognise the lack of a generally agreed definition of modern slavery as well as the continuing discussions regarding the diversity of elements and influence of various bodies included in different definitions, noting that some definitions are contradictory. The Bellagio-Harvard guidelines were selected because of their origins in a consensus-making process involving scholars and practitioners, and their emphasis on the deprivation of human dignity and agency in understanding contemporary forms of slavery. We also recognise that the identification of the worst forms of child labour in extractive industries requires complex and nuanced consideration, as international codes and agreements can be imbued by western perspectives on the participation and roles of children in the household, workforce, and society at large. ¹⁰ There are non-labour typologies of modern slavery and other pertinent

exploitative labour practices, including violations of labour rights, interconnected with environmental degradation that do not fall under the umbrella of modern slavery and are beyond the scope of this paper.

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

Labour typologies of modern slavery co-occur in many of the same geographies disproportionately incurring the effects of climate change and experiencing ecological conflict and environmental change, including degradation and environmental crimes. 11,12 For example, NGOs and scholars have identified hotspots for the use of exploited labourers in the Brazilian Amazon¹³ where illegal deforestation is increasing¹⁴; in remote areas of Latin America where illegal mining (sometimes state sanctioned) flourishes in conflict zones¹⁵; in the Democratic Republic of the Congo where mining results in the release of environmental toxins and deforestation, and industrial scale poaching threatens biodiversity^{13,16}; in Thailand where fish stocks are depleted¹⁷; and across South Asia where brick kilns are a major contributor to air pollution and black carbon emissions. 18 In these hotspots environmental and/or human rights governance is weak or undermined by corruption, structural socioeconomic inequalities and power imbalances are frequently exploited, access to resources is inequitable, indigenous rights are ignored, livelihood opportunities are limited and vulnerable to shocks, and conflict abounds. However, these hotspots do not imply that these co-occurrences are isolated issues. The globalization of supply chains and global movement of poor, low-wage migrant workers-who are the most vulnerable to modern slavery—make this a global phenomenon.

Emerging evidence has also shifted scholarly understanding beyond mere cooccurrences, identifying recurrent and non-linear bi- and multi-directional patterns of
interactions between modern slavery, environmental degradation, and climate change—
signalling a nexus. This nexus is posited to occur in fishing, brick making, mining/quarrying,
manufacturing (e.g., fast fashion), forestry (e.g., logging), agriculture, renewable energies,

and the trafficking of wildlife.^{13,18} Activities associated with modern slavery in these sectors share certain commonalities: the presence of informal markets and operations; low wage and seasonal work; high manual labour intensity; inherently dangerous working conditions; operation in remote and isolated environments removed from monitoring and enforcement; a scarcity of labour that engenders a reliance on vulnerable migrant workers; and diffuse illegal extraction of natural resources that increasingly attracts transnational criminal organizations as natural resources become more scarce.^{13,19,20}

There are also three overarching patterns noted in this nexus. First, labourers subjected to modern slavery, are forced to participate in environmental criminal activities.¹³ These environmental crimes are financially lucrative with a low risk of detection when perpetrating actors evade control and enforcement by subjecting workers to conditions of modern slavery.²¹ For example, it is estimated that some 40% of deforestation is accomplished with workers subjected to modern slavery.¹³ In this manner, environmental degradation is an outcome of modern slavery. The proportion of total CO₂ emissions generated through deforestation is variously estimated between 17% and 30%.²² This means that people caught up in forms of modern slavery that are environmentally destructive are also generating CO₂ emissions contributing to climate change.

Second, environmental degradation and unsustainable extraction *pulls* vulnerable workers into conditions of modern slavery by creating a demand for cheap labour in these sectors. When resources become more scarce, many of these industries could not continue in their primitive and inefficient production without modern slavery, thus modern slavery can function like a subsidy allowing activities to remain profitable. And in many geographies, the acquisition of forced labour is cheaper than upgrading to new and more efficient technologies. Additionally, extractive industries use modern slavery to retain a competitive advantage in conflicts over natural resources.

The third discernible pattern is when environmental degradation and/or climate change drive modern slavery. While poverty is widely characterised as the predominant predictor of modern slavery,³ a linear conception of poverty causing modern slavery is an oversimplification. Poverty is multidimensional. The degradation, scarcity, and lack of access to natural resources are key dimensions of poverty that are also intertwined with the inequitable political, social, and cultural vulnerabilities to modern slavery such as poor governance, corruption, and systemic discrimination.^{3,13} Further, climate change has a multiplier effect, exacerbating pre-existing disparities and conditions and introducing greater volatility in the income and livelihood shocks associated with environmental degradation, *pushing* people toward modern slavery by compelling them to migrate for work or improved living conditions, enter opaque and precarious situations, and/or accept below standard working conditions – all increasing their vulnerability to modern slavery.^{27,28}

However, the processes that facilitate these key patterns and how they map onto dimensions of sustainability and SDG environmental imperatives are less understood and have not been systematically interrogated despite the growing body of evidence of a modern slavery—environmental degradation—climate change nexus^{11,13,16,18,29-31} and increasing attempts at elucidating the interactions that underpin the indivisibility principle of the SDGs. ³²⁻³⁸ SDG 8.7 remains distinct and often poorly integrated with the SDGs' environmental imperatives in solution development and implementation and policy action. One possible reason for this is that ending modern slavery is placed within an overarching goal of economic growth—seen by some to be in conflict with environmental objectives. ³⁹ Singh et al., for example, discussed the trade-offs between SDGs 14 and 8 pointing out that ending overfishing and harmful subsidies (goal 14) would constrain the achievement of economic growth (goal 8)³⁶; despite evidence that ending overfishing could also reduce

modern slavery in fishing.³⁰ This demonstrates the need to explore and assess relationships between targets instead of goals.

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

While broad patterns between modern slavery and the environment and climate have been ascertained, it is difficult to quantify the strength of specific relationships and interactions at the target level due to a global absence of modern slavery data generated through primary collection. Instead, in-depth (and often isolated) NGO or media case reports are used to understand the characteristics of the phenomenon, and socioeconomic and sociopolitical risk proxies are used to extrapolate the scale of the problem. ¹⁶ Consequently, it is still unknown if shifting prevalence estimates are a product of fluctuating prevalence or changes (including) improvements in measurement; it is unclear how often risk becomes occurrence; there is an absence of longitudinal data to identify trends and patterns; untested hypotheses may become accepted without adequate interrogation; and it is difficult to synthesize modern slavery data with other data sets to analyse the influence of mediating and moderating variables on causal pathways and to identify novel confounders and covariates. Risk proxies, used to circumvent data challenges, should be selected on evidence-based connections;⁴⁰ yet, a threshold of data is also needed to even signal new connections for further exploration and evidence development. These interdependent data challenges can thus result in reductionist thinking that can be difficult to disrupt. For example, it took a largescale and geographically widespread campaign⁴¹ to generate widespread, transdisciplinary interest in the link between fish stock declines and modern slavery in marine fisheries despite years of evidence. 21, 42-44 But now there are concerns that scholarly research has again become too narrowly focused, fixated on modern slavery and environment connections in fishing at the risk of disregarding the same interconnections in terrestrial ecosystems.⁴⁵

Modern slavery represents one of the most egregious human rights violations and there is an urgent need to work towards eliminating all forms. However, nexuses are rife with

complex interactions and dynamic feedbacks. Without data to better elucidate the dimensions of this modern slavery—environment—climate nexus, there is a risk of jumping to oversimplified solutions that are inadequate, or worse, looking past these feedbacks that could result in deleterious unintended consequences. He challenge of a lack of data to specify and quantify the dimensions of this nexus remains, but with less than 10 years until the SDG deadline to end conditions of modern slavery for an estimated 12.2 million workers (more than 3,300 per day) in environmental activities, Hampy that are illegal or highly degrading, solutions cannot wait for exhaustive research and require an evidence-based springboard that can be built on, iterated, and refined over time as more robust data materializes.

Expert Judgement of Potential SDG Interactions

To catalyse the development of such a springboard, we used a two-step literature search and expert judgement process. 48,49 First, we selected the most relevant environmentally-oriented SDG targets based on our collective expertise in researching modern slavery (see Table 1).50 We then conducted a literature search using target 8.7's key terms (i.e., forced labour, modern slavery, human trafficking, and the worst forms of child labour) as an entry point in conjunction with sustainability dimensions (i.e., key and analogous terms for the other 20 pre-selected targets) 48 (Table S1). Academic (e.g., Web of Science) and news databases (e.g., Factiva) were searched in addition to completing general web searches. After the initial searching, we also obtained records through reference chaining and by contacting frontline NGOs for previously unpublished reports. We collated a comprehensive collection of approximately 700 empirical papers and other academic works (e.g. scholarly books); dissertations and theses; United Nations, government, nongovernmental organisation (NGO), civil society, and private sector reports; and investigative journalism and popular media reports on NGO findings and activities otherwise not

externally released. Based on our experiences and partnerships we were aware that many front-line NGOs do not publicly release more detailed reports on their findings but that media reports were likely to capture this information, hence their inclusion. The breadth of records was also intended to elucidate a more holistic and in-depth understanding by including a range of disciplinary and front-line expertise beyond the paucity of available empirical literature. While modern slavery and environmental degradation occur at all stages of a supply chain, the literature search focused only on the extraction/production nodes.

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

After eliminating duplicate records and records that just detailed a co-occurrence (i.e., described them as discrete events or phenomena without exploring the possibility of a connection), we assessed 176 records (Table S2) by applying a seven-point framework (Table 2). 32,33,35 This framework has previously been used to provide an initial semi-quantitative assessment of specific SDG target interventions' influence on other SDGs, based on the indivisibility principle of the SDGs. Since most of our limited extant data from the records focused on qualitatively describing the relationship between modern slavery and the environmental or climatic phenomenon, we did not fully apply the framework but rather adapted it to describe and identify where there is the possibility for a policy/intervention interaction that would need to be considered when designing future solutions to achieve the SDGs based on how the phenomena appear to be connected. Specifically, the framework aided in the development of a novel data extraction tool used by all four coders (Note S1), guiding the accumulation of knowledge about context-dependencies (e.g., time, geography, contextual and confounding factors) and facilitating a process wherein the research team's expertise was elicited to shape and expound on information from the records by using expert judgement^{48,49} to score the strength and direction of potential intervention interconnections (Table 2). 32,35 Each record was scored for interactions by at least two experts using the following reflexive question: "Based on the processes described in the record that connect the

phenomena, and our expertise, if progress is made on target X, what may happen to progress on target Y?"⁵¹ (see Note S1 for coding guidance). Disagreements in scoring, which accounted for approximately 16% of records, were discussed amongst the team until consensus was reached. Knowledge accumulation, synthesis, and interpretation explored the patterns of relationships, processes, interactions and feedbacks that may facilitate a modern slavery – environment – climate nexus and identified the lock-ins and human and non-human emergent and structural drivers of modern slavery, direct and indirect.

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

Though Nilsson et al.'s³² framework has been critiqued for the limited utility of its semi-quantitative analyses (e.g., practical significance of each value is unclear particularly in settings with multiple interactions that may be influenced by one intervention),⁵² we saw it as a starting point since the current dearth of data limited more sophisticated modelling. Selection of the framework was based on the tool's ability to signal where we needed better research and more informed policy and intervention steps. The mutual exclusion of the SDG's economic and social targets, and the use of two-way interactions likely oversimplified the nexus, but the social and economic interactions with 8.7 are well documented throughout the literature. The use of subjective expert judgement also decreased the validity of the assessment³³, but was necessary in the absence of more conclusive data. Despite these limitations, the limited application of the framework aided in organising and synthesizing existing knowledge about 8.7's potential interactions with environmental targets during interventions and policy action. And it identified gaps in knowledge for researchers³² and potential priority areas for decision makers where integrated or synergistic decision making across sectors is necessary.³³ While this assessment represented a first-step in unpacking the complexity of the multiple dimensions of the modern slavery – environment – climate nexus, the use of the framework identified priority research pathways for continued advancement.

Amongst the 176 records assessed, environmental degradation associated with specific sectors was discussed including agriculture (n=65), mining/quarrying (n=53), renewable energy (n=40), forestry (n=33), fishing (n=33), brickmaking (n=15), wildlife trade (n=10), and manufacturing (n=8). An additional 60 records highlighted unspecified environmental degradation (n=5), and environmental change associated with natural hazards (n=14) and climate change (n=41). Some records presented evidence across multiple sectors. A total of 551 interactions were identified in the assessment, mostly scored as synergistic with the notable exception of SDG goal 7 (affordable and clean energy) targets (Fig. 1). Scores ranged from -2 to +2, with +1 the most allocated score. This was expected as not all modern slavery is linked to environmental degradation and climate change, and not all environmental degradation is caused by modern slavery. However, some records did discuss the potential of environmental degradation and climate change potentially being characterized as a root cause (a +3 indivisible score) of modern slavery as more data becomes available and the nexus is better understood.⁵³ While there were pronounced geographic hotspots (e.g. Brazil, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, and India), most countries had at least one interaction noted in the literature (Fig. 2), and a low number or no located interactions did not necessarily absolve a country from some occurrence of the nexus and instead may suggest geographic areas for future research. Some records did not specify a geography, instead focusing on international or regional patterns. There was also exponential growth in the number of records published over time, with just two records published before 2000 and an additional 11 published between 2000 and 2010. However, more than half of the records (n =101) were published in the last three years (2017 or later). Approximately 75% of the records (*n*=130) were from academic or NGO/CSO sources with the strength of evidence primarily characterised as exploratory (n=74) or descriptive (n=82) as data was most likely to be

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

collected through desk-based research, qualitative interviews with stakeholders, and/or small convenience samples of qualitative interviews and surveys with workers.

SDG 6: Clean Water and Sanitation

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

Interactions identified between 8.7 and SDG 6's targets were primarily categorized as enabling, in that the achievement of one target would indirectly aid the achievement of the other (Table S3). The main narratives detailed legal and illegal (though many illegal mining operations are informal, unorganised networks of impoverished community members versus criminal networks) mining activity operating side-by-side. While modern slavery and the worst forms of child labour occurred in both, the legal and informal operations were providing a guise for the more illicit operations which were more likely to subject workers modern slavery to hide their environmental crimes including the dumping of hazardous wastes into water sources (targets 6.3 and 6.4). In addition, target 6.1's focus on safe drinking water for all is intrinsically linked with modern slavery as lack of potable water for drinking, cooking, and bathing is an indicator of indecent living conditions for workers and safe drinking water was identified as an indirect push factor into modern slavery when unrealised.⁵⁴ Specifically there were accounts of women's risk for human trafficking for sex and labour increasing as they had to walk further to obtain clean water, meanwhile simultaneously there was an influx of migrant workers from industrial mining operations that created an increased demand.55

SDG 13: Climate Action

Positive interactions between SDG 13's targets (13.1, 13.2, and 13.3 about climate resilience, climate policies, and climate education respectively) and 8.7 (Table S4) almost ubiquitously described situations where either acute (e.g., typhoons) or slow onset (e.g., drought) hazards pushed vulnerable people into modern slavery because they were either

displaced (and traffickers recruited in camps or settlements) or forced to migrate out of desperation to meet basic needs that were no longer being met by their natural resource-based livelihoods, seeing migration as the only viable option. 28,56,57 Many households also perceived migration as an opportunity to diversify income sources, with just one or two household members (including children) migrating to look for work in other areas. 58 The evidence was inconclusive about the role of climate change and natural hazards. The majority of records specific to target 13.1 (n=28 records) described climate change and natural hazards as exacerbating and intensifying pre-existing vulnerabilities stemming from socioeconomic and environmental inequities, factors that also inhibited adaptive capacities. Thus mitigating the effects of climate change could enable the elimination of (some forms) of modern slavery. However, other records (n=15) were coded as reinforcing, in that the relationship between modern slavery and climate change was now described as a direct relationship, with modern slavery characterised as a mal-adaptive strategy to climate change. Records coded as reinforcing were more likely to be published post-2016, suggesting a potential shift in our understanding of this relationship with improved research and data.

SDG 11: Sustainable Cities and Communities

Interactions between SDG 11's targets and 8.7 were conspicuously absent from the literature considering that most climate change/environmentally induced migration is expected to be from rural to urban areas. ^{28,59} Only five positive interactions were identified: two reinforcing and one enabling interaction with target 11.5 (reduce the number of vulnerable people affected by disasters) and two reinforcing interactions with 11.B regarding holistic disaster risk management. The main narratives concerned the need for mainstreaming anti-slavery tools into resilience building and disaster frameworks considering traffickers are likely to recruit vulnerable climate migrants from their destination – urban slums. This is a critical area for further exploration because of emergent considerations about social

exclusivity in sustainable development and resilience building activities exacerbating the vulnerability of the most marginalised populations. Workers subjected to modern slavery have long been considered an invisible population, and the global trend toward more restrictive and hostile immigration policies only further oppresses them as many fear immigration repercussions if they come forward or seek assistance. Therefore sustainable development must grapple with how to deliberately include an invisible population in development approaches.

SDG 9: Industry, Innovation and Infrastructure

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

Despite salient connections between targets 8.7 and 9.4 – in part, 9.4 upgrading infrastructure and retrofitting industries aims to reduce the very activities most likely to subject workers to modern slavery – only five interactions were noted in the literature: two negative and three positive (Table S5). Specific to 9.4's connection to 8.7, these are often polluting activities highly reliant on intense, manual labour (e.g., brick kilns and certain forms of manufacturing)¹⁸ wherein subjecting workers to modern slavery is "cheaper" than upgrading to new technologies.¹³ And while modern technologies can make these activities more efficient and environmentally sound and reduce the need for subjecting workers to modern slavery, mechanisation also eliminates jobs and displaces the same low-wage workers making them potentially more vulnerable to modern slavery in other sectors. This gap in the literature is significant because it remains unclear where on the spectrum from full manual labour to full mechanisation the optimal combination of new technologies and manual labour lies. For example, one of the negative interactions noted in the records was the use of some mechanisation in production to optimize deforestation and obscure modern slavery by deceiving satellites that monitor changes in environmental conditions as a proxy for both.⁶²

SDG 7: Affordable and Clean Energy

SDG 7 was the only goal with targets that overall negatively interacted with 8.7 (Table S6). Clean and renewable energies are touted as necessary to reduce CO₂ emissions and mitigate global warming; yet, progress on increasing renewable energy (target 7.2) is likely to counteract progress toward eliminating modern slavery due to the surge in demand for cobalt in renewable energy storage. Cobalt is mined in limited geographies, with the Democratic Republic of the Congo the world's largest supplier producing an estimated 60-70% of all cobalt.⁶³ The DRC is also one of the most impoverished countries in the world with poor governance, ongoing conflict, and is also estimated to have the 12th highest prevalence of modern slavery in the world.⁶⁴ Here the worst forms of child labour occur in both legal and illegal industrial and artisanal mines alongside debt bondage and forced labour as families facing abject poverty cannot afford to pay for their children's education and few other livelihood opportunities exist. To date, it is difficult to ensure "clean" cobalt from the DRC, though this negative interaction could be potentially mitigated or even reversed with appropriate and innovative action from both governments and the private sector.⁶³

However, in parts of Asia, enabling synergies between targets 7.1, 7.2 and 8.7 were identified. In some countries, energy poverty indirectly leads to increased risk for human trafficking as a lack of access to modern energy increases poverty, economic vulnerabilities, and social exclusion (particularly of women) while simultaneously decreasing education, all known predictors of human trafficking risk.⁶⁵ Thus, small-scale targeted interventions are currently empirically exploring if greater access to energy can create conditions that enable the elimination of modern slavery.

SDG 12: Responsible Consumption and Production

The implications of responsible consumption are manifold. The plethora of inexpensive and disposable consumer goods hides the true environmental and social costs of production as noted. Yet, a paradigm for a truly just transition has yet to be expounded and criticisms of some facets of sustainable consumption being privileged remain. However, the efficient use of natural resources (target 12.2) and the environmentally sound management of chemicals and wastes (target 12.4) are likely to have more immediate benefits for the vulnerable populations that disproportionately bear the burden of destruction from natural resource extraction and waste disposal, reducing their risk of modern slavery (though the evidence was inconclusive whether this relationship was enabling or reinforcing) (see Table S7).

While less about the interconnections creating the nexus, target 12.6 – integrating sustainability information into the reporting cycles of large and transnational companies – is germane to the solutions. However, the integration of labour rights and modern slavery into reporting and subsequently even certification schemes has faced challenges with connectivity being an afterthought. Environmental and modern slavery directives are still compartmentalised in pre-reporting and reporting activities, and interconnections are only brought together, if they are brought together at all, in the report itself. This often leads to the prioritisation of one issue over the other, typically the environmental conditions, and more opaque monitoring and evaluation strategies that lead to empty transparency statements and reporting. And while the UN Global Compact has helped galvanise voluntary and regulated action, there have been reports of integrated sustainability reporting backfiring with concerns that environmental certification cover-up modern slavery in globalised supply chains. ⁶⁷⁻⁶⁹

SDG 14: Life Below Water

All interactions identified between 8.7 and SDG 14's targets were positive (Table S8). Most records described a chain reaction wherein poor capture fisheries management led to overfishing, resulting in decreased catch and increased effort, reducing profitability. When coupled with labour shortages in the sector, this series of events increased demand for cheap labour, pulling impoverished migrant workers into precarious work and indebtedness.

Because migrant fishers are often from lower-income countries in comparison to the vessel's owner and/or captain, discrimination rationalises the abuse of fishers. Subjecting workers to modern slavery also functions as a subsidy, propping up enterprises that would otherwise be unprofitable. In this way, modern slavery then also enabled overfishing (SDG target 14.4). Modern slavery was also more likely to be identified on vessels fishing illegally (14.4) and distant water fleets receiving government subsidies for fuel (14.6). 17,30,42,70

Interactions were also not limited to marine capture fishing. Reports of shrimp fry collection and shrimp farming noted enabling interlinkages between environmental degradation and modern slavery. The use of child labour to illegally catch shrimp fry was the most documented typology of modern slavery in the harvesting of wild shrimp (SDG target 14.4). On the other hand, unsustainable shrimp farming and its associated environmental degradation of coastal ecosystems (SDG target 14.2) displaced the landless poor (predominantly women) forcing them into extreme indebtedness to work in same sector, perpetuating the environmental degradation that displaced them.³¹

SDG 15: Life on Land

The majority of positive interactions depicted a convergence of SDG 15's targets creating interrelated push and pull factors for vulnerable populations, though the strength of the interaction was dependent on geography (Table S9). Subjecting workers to modern slavery was a strategy for hiding environmental crimes associated with illegal mining,

logging, and deforestation while maximising profits, thus creating demand for cheap labour (target 15.2).^{57,71} Meanwhile, targets 15.1 (conservation of freshwater ecosystems and their forests), 15.3 (combat desertification), and 15.5 (halt biodiversity loss) were all associated with environmental factors that, when unmitigated, erode financial and natural capital and create a vulnerable supply of desperate workers, pushing individuals and households (including children) into modern slavery. The ensuing degradation associated with the forced criminal exploitation of these workers subjected to modern slavery then pushes others, while not into modern slavery, into informal operations that are unregulated, hazardous, and highly degrading themselves (15.2).

Developing Research Pathways Forward

The limited application of this framework highlighted four key areas for future research: 1) quantifying unique contributions, 2) defining temporality, 3) establishing frameworks to recognise and balance varied knowledge and data sources, and 4) determining the importance and sequence of push and pull determinants. Specific to quantifying the unique contributions, not a single record reviewed quantified the unique contributions of 8.7 to other targets or vice versa. Thus there remains the need to determine the true extent that modern slavery contributes to environmental degradation and climate change, as well as the reverse, how much environmental degradation and climate change contribute to modern slavery. The breadth of targets interlinked with 8.7 in this analysis justifies the need to undertake this research to ensure appropriate and holistic allocation of resources in achieving the SDGs. Second, less than a third of interactions in the assessment could be coded for even rudimentary, binary temporality (i.e., proximal or distal relationship between modern slavery and environmental degradation/climate change) due to a lack of longitudinal data and temporal variables. Besides being a foundational component of more rigorous research, time and temporality is also relevant to understanding where and when to introduce interventions

and for monitoring and evaluating the efficacy of interventions including policy action. A better understanding of time and temporality will also diminish the potential for failed interventions that result from implementing solutions before fully understanding confounding processes. Thirdly, the beginning transdisciplinary exploration of the nexus has increased opportunities for integrating novel and innovative data sources into modern slavery research like Earth Observation (EO) data, mobile data call records, and big data. ^{18,30,72,73} However, the inclusion of these new tools cannot be at the exclusion of worker narratives. Likewise, the associated data and knowledge forms of a number of disciplines are necessary to deliver answers to these research questions. Without this balance, there is again the risk of implementing oversimplified solutions. Lastly, understanding which push and pull factors are the most important, and their sequencing, will help elucidate leverage points to design more efficacious interventions and policy action.

Five main themes regarding potential action steps also emerged from the limited application of the framework. First, specific to policy action, modern slavery and environmental conservation, management, and sustainability suffer from the same barriers: lack of or weak implementation and reinforcement of key instruments available, lack of regional cooperation to prevent backsliding and spatial displacement, lack of political will power, and corruption and thus can draw from the same tools. In fact, there is a growing body of literature about how the environmental movement could capitalise on lessons learned from similar successes and failures of the abolition movement of the 18th and 19th century. Figure 274.75

Second, private sector engagement needs to have an expanded and more holistic, cross-sectoral mandate. For example, deforestation initiatives may focus exclusively on the forestry sector, ignoring the deforestation caused by agricultural or illegal mining. While this cross-sectoral approach needs to be reinforced in policy action, private sector initiatives can provide replicable and scalable templates. Third, the same technology that is powering

innovation in research domains, can be applied to improve on-the-ground conditions. For example, the UN Development Programme is using EO data and machine learning techniques developed by scholars to map kilns across South Asia's Brick Belt in order to facilitate change in fuel and firing technologies and address severe air pollution emitted by traditional brick kilns. ^{18,77} And while bonded labour may still exist in the kilns, the improvement in technologies is the beginning of step-wise changes to improve working conditions and better define decent work in the context of a changing environment. Next, prosecutions of gang masters for modern slavery, and actors committing environmental crimes, focuses on preventing individual actors from repeating their crimes and building norms within the sector. However, prosecutions do not repair the suffering that has already been inflicted on the environment or workers. This suggests a need for a novel social-ecological restorative justice paradigm in addressing for the nexus. And lastly, just as there is a need to centre worker narratives in research, there is also a critical need and role for worker-led innovation in designing solutions, as modern slavery survivors have made clear: "nothing about us without us". ⁷⁸

Modern Slavery and Sustainable Development

If contemporary forms of slavery obstruct sustainable development and contribute to climate change and other environmental challenges, how would the elimination of modern slavery contribute to sustainable development? The potential answer is threefold. First, workers subjected to modern slavery are financing some of the illegal and destructive practices that hinder sustainable development by excluding legitimate and appropriate development. Eliminating modern slavery means removing some criminal drivers that exploit and destroy both people and the natural world. Second, ending slave-based activities within areas of high biodiversity and critical natural resources, may create the opportunity for more sustainable practices to be implemented. Freed workers, for example, have found new

employment in sustainable forestry in the Amazon. ¹³ When allowed to work for themselves and their families, workers formerly subjected to modern slavery generate incomes and purchase goods creating an upward economic spiral in their communities that has been come to be known as a 'freedom dividend'. ^{25,79} Third, if environmentally destructive commodities produced by workers subjected to modern slavery are removed from global supply chains, the proceeds that once went to criminals may be more likely to flow to workers and local communities – though that likelihood is not always assured given the nature of global markets and governmental control of industries by some countries. ⁸⁰ That said, regulating and requiring the inspection of supply chains to remove commodities and products that are destructive of both the environment and human rights and wellbeing supports Sustainable Development Goals across a number of areas, from children's and women's rights, to environmental protection, to worker's rights, to reducing conflict. Initiatives like the *Transparency in Supply Chains* provisions of the United Kingdom's Modern Slavery Act (2015)⁸¹ create the foundation for such just actions.

Conclusion

Concepts of development have shifted and grown over time, with new paradigms integrated to overcome identified deficiencies and inefficiencies. In 1970 Ester Boserup⁸² revolutionised our understanding of development when she introduced the concept of the 'gender lens.' Over time, as her ideas were adopted across the development community, and women were moved to the centre of development planning, outcomes dramatically improved. Today, gender mainstreaming is considered an accelerator for achieving the SDGs.⁸³ The 'gender lens' was controversial in its time, and an 'anti-slavery lens' is seen by some commentators to be controversial today.⁸⁴ But placing an otherwise invisible population in

juxtaposition with other social and natural forces has the same potential for improved outcomes. From the modern slavery perspective, there is a need to accommodate the complexity of non-traditional socioeconomic factors that contribute to modern slavery. And from a sustainability perspective, there is a need to better understand and integrate antislavery tools to prevent undermining environmental objectives. Applying a modern slavery lens will help accelerate sustainable development and the achievement of the SDGs.

Acknowledgements

We wish to thank G. Cavanagh and M. Light for their assistance with the literature search. We acknowledge financial support from the University of Nottingham's Beacons of Excellence (all authors) and the Nottingham Research Fellowship (J.D.S.).

Author Contributions

All authors contributed to the conceptualisation and writing. J.D.S. and B.J. collated and initially reviewed the literature. All authors contributed expertise to the limited application of the framework and the interpretation of findings.

Declaration of Interests

J. L. Decker Sparks is also affiliated with the Cummings School of Veterinary Medicine at Tufts University and the Stanford University Center for Ocean Solutions.

539 **References**

- 1. United Nations Sustainable Development Goals Knowledge Platform. (n.d.). Sustainable
- Development Goal 8. https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/sdg8
- 542 2. Freedom United. (n.d.). Field report: Modern slavery in UN Sustainable Development
- Goals. https://www.freedomunited.org/our-impact/slavery-sustainable-development-
- 544 goals-2/
- 3. Bales, K. (2006). Testing a theory of modern slavery (Free the Slaves).
- https://lastradainternational.org/lsidocs/bales_test_theory_0607.pdf
- 4. Crane, A. (2013). Modern slavery as a management practice: Exploring the conditions
- and capabilities for human exploitation. Acad Manage Rev 38, 49-69.
- 5. Walk Free. The Global Slavery Index 2019: Measuring progress (Minderoo Foundation),
- https://www.globalslaveryindex.org/2019/findings/measuring-progress/
- 6. Research Network on the Legal Parameters of Slavery (2012). The Bellagio-Harvard
- Guidelines on the Legal Parameters of Slavery.
- https://glc.yale.edu/sites/default/files/pdf/the_bellagio_harvard_guidelines_on_the_
- legal_parameters_of_slavery.pdf [accessed 3 March 2020]
- 7. International Labour Organization (ILO). (1930). Forced Labour Convention, 1930 (No.
- 556 29).https://www.ilo.org/dyn/normlex/en/f?p=NORMLEXPUB:12100:0::NO::P12100_IL
- 557 O_CODE:C029
- 8. United Nations (UN). (2000). Protocol to Prevent, Suppress and Punish Trafficking in
- Persons, Especially Women and Children, supplementing the United Nations Convention
- against Transnational Organized Crime.
- https://treaties.un.org/pages/ViewDetails.aspx?src=TREATY&mtdsg_no=XVIII-12-
- 562 a&chapter=18&clang=_en

- 563 9. ILO. (1999). Worst Forms of Child Labour Convention, 1999 (No. 182).
- https://www.ilo.org/dyn/normlex/en/f?p=NORMLEXPUB:12100:0::NO::P12100_ILO_C
- 565 ODE:C182
- 10. Maconachie, R., and Hilson, G. (2015). Re-thinking the child labor "problem" in rural
- sub-Saharan Africa: The case of Sierra Leone's half shovels. World Dev 78, 136-147.
- 568 11. Brown, D., Boyd, D. S., Brickell, K., Ives, C., Natarajan, N., and Parsons, L. (2019).
- Modern slavery, environmental degradation and climate change: Fisheries, field, forests
- 570 and factories, Environ Plann E. Advance online. DOI: 10.1177/2514848619887156
- 12. Temper, L., del Bene, D., and Martinez-Alier, J. (2015). Mapping the frontiers and front
- lines of global environmental justice: the EJAtlas. J Political Ecol 22, 255-278.
- 13. Bales, K. (2016). Blood and earth: Modern slavery, ecocide and the secret to saving the
- 574 planet (Spiegel & Grau).
- 575 14. Escobar, H. (22 Nov, 2019). Brazil's deforestation is exploding and 2020 will be worse.
- Science Magazine https://www.sciencemag.org/news/2019/11/brazil-s-deforestation-
- 577 exploding-and-2020-will-be-worse
- 578 15. Wagner, L. (2016). Organized crime and illegally mined gold in Latin America (Global
- 579 Initiative against Transnational Organized Crime). https://globalinitiative.net/wp-
- content/uploads/2016/03/Organized-Crime-and-Illegally-Mined-Gold-in-Latin-
- 581 America.pdf
- 16. Walk Free Foundation. (2016). The Global Slavery Index 2016 (The Minderoo
- Foundation). https://downloads.globalslaveryindex.org/ephemeral/GSI-2016-Full-Report-
- 584 1611276134.pdf
- 17. Environmental Justice Foundation (EJF). (2015). Pirates and slaves: How overfishing in
- Thailand fuels human trafficking and the plundering of our oceans (EJF).
- 587 https://ejfoundation.org/resources/downloads/EJF_Pirates_and_Slaves_2015_0.pdf

- 18. Boyd, D. S., Jackson, B., Wardlaw, J., Foody, G. M., Marsh, S., and Bales, K. (2018).
- Slavery from space: Demonstrating the role for satellite remote sensing to inform
- evidence-based action related to UN SDG number 8. Int Soc Photogramme 142, 380-388.
- 19. Allain, J., Crane, A., LeBaron, G., and Behbahani, L. (2013). Forced labour's business
- models and supply chains (Joseph Rowntree Foundation).
- 593 https://www.jrf.org.uk/sites/default/files/jrf/migrated/files/forced-labour-business-full.pdf
- 594 20. van Uhm, D. P., and Nijman, R. C. C. (2020). The convergence of environmental crime
- with other serious crimes: Subtypes within the environmental crime continuum. Eur J
- 596 Criminol. Advance online. DOI:10.1177/1477370820904585
- 597 21. de Coning, E. (2011). Transnational Organized Crime in the Fishing Industry (United
- Nations Office on Drugs and Crime). https://www.unodc.org/documents/human-
- trafficking/Issue_Paper_-_TOC_in_the_Fishing_Industry.pdf
- 600 22. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. (2007). Summary for policymakers. In
- 601 Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to
- the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, S.
- Solomon, D. Qin, M. Manning, Z. Chen, M. Marquis, K. B. Averyt, M. Tignor and H. L.
- Miller, eds. (Cambridge University Press).
- 23. Decker Sparks, J. L., and Hasche, L. K. (2019). Complex linkages between forced labor
- slavery and environmental decline in marine fisheries. J Hum Rights 18, 230-245.
- 607 24. Schaffartzik, A. (2018). Works in favor of extraction: labor in land-use competition.
- 608 Sustainability *10*, 1961.
- 25. Bales, K. (1999). Disposable people: New slavery in the global economy 2nd edition
- 610 (University of California Press).
- 611 26. Downey, L., Bonds, E., and Clark, K. (2010). Natural resource extraction, armed
- violence, and environmental degradation. Org Environ 23, 417-445.

- 613 27. UNICEF. (2015). The impact of climate change on children (UNICEF).
- 614 28. Coelho, S. (2016). The climate change-human trafficking nexus (International
- Organization for Migration [IOM]).
- 616 https://publications.iom.int/system/files/pdf/mecc_infosheet_climate_change_nexus.pdf
- 29. Jackson, B., Decker Sparks, J. L., Brown, C., and Boyd, D. S. (2020). Understanding the
- 618 co-occurrence of tree loss and modern slavery to improve efficacy of conservation actions
- and policies. Conserv Sci Pract, e183. DOI: 10.1111/csp2.183
- 620 30. Tickler, D., Meeuwig, J. J., Bryant, K., David, F., Forrest, J. A., Gordon, E., ... and
- Zeller, D. (2018). Modern slavery and the race to fish. Nat Commun 9, 1-9.
- 31. Verité. (2016). Research on indicators of forced labor in the supply chain of shrimp in
- Bangladesh (Verité). https://www.verite.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/11/Research-on-
- Indicators-of-Forced-Labor-in-the-Bangladesh-Shrimp-Sector__9.16.pdf
- 32. Nilsson, M., Griggs, D., and Visbeck, M. (2016). Policy: Map the interactions between
- 626 Sustainable Development Goals. Nature *534*, 320-322.
- 33. International Council for Science (ICSU). (2017). A guide to SDG interactions: from
- science to implementation (ICSU). https://council.science/publications/a-guide-to-sdg-
- 629 interactions-from-science-to-implementation/
- 630 34. Pradham, P., Costa, L., Rybski, D., Lucht, W., and Kropp, J. P. (2017). A systematic
- study of Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) interactions. Earth's Future 5, 1169-1179.
- 632 35. Nilsson, M., Chisholm, M., Griggs, D., Howden-Chapman, P., McCollum, D., Messerli,
- P., Neumann, B., Stevance, A-S., Visbeck, M., and Stafford-Smith, M. (2018). Mapping
- interactions between the sustainable development goals: Lessons learned and ways
- 635 forward. Sustain Sci *13*, 1489-1503.
- 36. Singh, G. G., Cisneros-Montemayor, A. M., Swartz, W., Cheung, W., Guy, J. A., Kenny,
- T., McOwen, C. J., Asch, R., Geffert, J. L., Wabnitz, C. C., et al. (2018). A rapid

- assessment of co-benefits and trade-offs among the Sustainable Development Goals. Mar
- 639 Policy 93, 223-231.
- 37. Weitz, N., Carlsen, H., Nilsson, M., and Skånberg, K. (2018). Towards systemic and
- 641 contextual priority setting for implementing the 2030 agenda. Sustain Sci 13, 531-548.
- 38. van Soest, H. L., van Vuuren, D. P., Hilaire, J., Minx, J. C., Harmsen, M. J., Krey, V.,
- Popp, A., Riahi, K., and Luderer, G. (2019). Analysing interactions among Sustainable
- Development Goals with integrated assessment models. Glob Transit 1, 210-225.
- 39. Hickel, J. (2019). The contradiction of the sustainable development goals: Growth versus
- ecology on a finite planet. Sustain Dev 27, 873-884.
- 40. Gallagher, A. T. (2017). What's wrong with the Global Slavery Index? Anti-Trafficking
- 648 Review 8, 90-112.
- 41. Mendoza, M., Mcdowell, R., Mason, M., and Htusan, E. (2016). Fisherman slaves:
- Human trafficking and the seafood we eat. AP Editions
- https://apimagesblog.com/blog/2016/05/02/fisherman-slaves-human-trafficking-and-the-
- seafood-we-eat
- 42. EJF. (2010). All at sea (EJF). https://ejfoundation.org/resources/downloads/report-all-at-
- 654 sea_0_1.pdf
- 43. Couper, A., Stanberry, B., Walsh, C., and Boerne, G. L. (1999). Voyages of abuse:
- 656 Seafarers, human rights and international shipping (Pluto Press).
- 44. Whitlow, J. (2004). The social dimension of IUU fishing. In Fish piracy: Combatting
- 658 illegal, unreported, and unregulated fishing (Organisation for Economic Co-Operation
- and Development).
- 45. United Nations Thematic Working Group on Migration in Thailand. (2019). Thailand
- migration report 2019 (IOM).

- https://reliefweb.int/sites/reliefweb.int/files/resources/Thailand%20Report%202019_2201
- 663 2019_LowRes.pdf
- 46. LeBaron, G. (2018, November 1-3). Corporate fairytales versus workers' power
- [conference presentation]. Fighting Modern Slavery: What Works? The Gilder Lehrman
- 666 Center's 20th Annual Conference, New Haven, CT, United States.
- 47. ILO and Walk Free Foundation. (2017). Global estimates of modern slavery: Forced
- labour and forced marriage (ILO).
- https://www.alliance87.org/global_estimates_of_modern_slavery-
- forced_labour_and_forced_marriage.pdf
- 48. Allen, C., Metternicht, G., and Wiedmann, T. (2019). Prioritising SDG targets: Assessing
- baselines, gaps and interlinkages. Sustain Sci *14*, 421-438.
- 49. McCollum, D. L., Gomez Echeverri, L., Busch, S., Pachauri, S., Parkinson, S., Rogelj, J.,
- Krey, V., Minx, J. C., Nillson, M., Stevance, A-S, et al. (2018). Connecting the
- sustainable development goals by their energy inter-linkages. Environ Res Lett 13,
- 676 033006.
- 50. Jamarillo, F., Desormeaux, A., Hedlund, J., Jawitz, J. W., Clerici, N., Piemontese, L.,
- Rodriguez-Rodriguez, J. A., Anaya, J. A., Blanco-Libreros, J. F., Borja, S., et al. (2019).
- Priorities and interactions of Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) with focus on
- 680 wetlands. Water 11, 619.
- 51. Weitz, N., Carlsen, H., Nilsson, M., Skånberg, K. (2018). Towards systemic and
- contextual priority setting for implementing the 2030 Agenda. Sustain Sci 13, 531-548.
- 52. Pedercini, M., Arquitt, S., Collste, D., and Herren, H. (2019). Harvesting synergy from
- sustainable development goal interactions. PNAS 116, 23021-23028.

- 53. Myers, L., and Theytaz-Bergman, L. (2017). The neglected link: Effects of climate
- change and environmental degradation on child labour (Terre des Hommes).
- https://reliefweb.int/sites/reliefweb.int/files/resources/CL-Report-2017-engl.pdf
- 54. Verité. (2017). Risk analysis of labor violations among farmworkers in the Guatemalan
- sugar sector (Verité). https://www.verite.org/wp-
- 690 content/uploads/2017/07/Verite_Guatemala_Sugar_Report_July_2017.pdf
- 55. Verité. (2013). Risk analysis of indicators of forced labor and human trafficking in illegal
- gold mining in Peru (Verité). https://www.verite.org/wp-
- 693 content/uploads/2016/11/Indicators-of-Forced-Labor-in-Gold-Mining-in-Peru_0.pdf
- 56. Brickell, K., Parsons, L., Natarajan, N. and Chann, S. (2018). Blood bricks: untold stories
- of modern slavery and climate change from Cambodia (Royal Holloway, University of
- 696 London).
- 697 57. Malinowski, R. L., and Schulze, M. (2017). Natural disaster, human trafficking and
- displacement in Kenya (HAART). https://haartkenya.org/wp-
- 699 content/uploads/2018/11/Malinowski-and-Schulze-2017.pdf
- 58. Laczko, F, and Aghazarm, C. (eds). (2009). Migration, environment, and climate:
- Assessing the evidence (IOM).
- 59. Brown, O. (2008). Migration and climate change. IOM Report No. 31 (IOM).
- 703 https://olibrown.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/01/2008-Migration-and-Climate-Change-
- 704 IOM.pdf
- 705 60. Forsyth, T. (2018). Is resilience to climate change socially inclusive? Investigating
- theories of change processes in Myanmar. World Dev 111, 13-26.
- 707 61. Scott, Z. (2008). Climate change and social exclusion (Governance and Social
- Development Resource Centre). http://gsdrc.org/docs/open/hd501.pdf

- 709 62. Branford, S. and Torres, M. (2017, March 15). Crime and not enough punishment:
- Amazon thieves keep stolen public land. Mongabay
- 711 https://news.mongabay.com/2017/03/crime-and-not-enough-punishment-amazon-thieves-
- 712 keep-stolen-public-land/
- 713 63. Brown, C., Daniels, A., Boyd, D. S., Sowter, A., Foody, G., & Kara, S. (2020).
- 714 Investigating the potential of radar interferometry for monitoring rural artisanal cobalt
- mines in the Democratic Republic of the Congo. Sustainability 12, 9834.
- 716 64. Walk Free Foundation. (2018). Global Slavery Index. Data. Congo, Democratic Republic
- of the. https://www.globalslaveryindex.org/2018/data/maps/#prevalence
- 718 65. He, Y. (2019). Human trafficking risks and energy poverty (UN Economic and Social
- 719 Commission for Western Asia).
- 720 66. Anantharaman, M. Critical sustainable consumption: a research agenda. J Environ Stud
- 721 Sci 8, 553-561.
- 722 67. Amnesty International. (2016). The great palm oil scandal (Amnesty International).
- https://www.amnesty.org/download/Documents/ASA2151842016ENGLISH.PDF
- 68. Rainforest Foundation Norway and Future in Our Hands. (2018). Salmon on soy beans –
- deforestation and land conflict in Brazil (Rainforest Foundation Norway).
- https://www.framtiden.no/aktuelle-rapporter/849-salmon-on-soy-beans-deforestation-and-
- 727 land-conflict-in-brazil/file.html
- 728 69. Otañez, M., and Glantz, S. A. (2011). Social responsibility in tobacco production?
- Tobacco companies use of green supply chains to obscure the real costs of tobacco
- farming. Tobacco Control 20, 403-411.
- 731 70. EJF. (2019). Blood and water: Human rights abuse in the global seafood industry (EJF).
- https://ejfoundation.org/resources/downloads/Blood-water-06-2019-final.pdf

- 71. United States Department of State (USDoS). (2014). The intersection between
- environmental degradation and human trafficking (USDOS). https://2009-
- 735 2017.state.gov/documents/organization/228266.pdf
- 72. Jackson, B., Bales, K., Owen, S., Wardlaw, J., and Boyd, D. S. (2018). Analysing slavery
- through satellite technology: how remote sensing could revolutionise data collection to
- help end modern slavery. J Modern Slavery 4, 169-199.
- 73. Landman, T. (2020). Measuring modern slavery: law, human rights, and new forms of
- 740 data. Hum Rights Q 42, 303-331.
- 74. Nuttall, W. J. (2010). Slaves to oil: exploring parallels between the abolition of slavery
- and the challenge of climate change mitigation. In Energy and Innovation: Structural
- Change and Policy Implications, M. van Geenhuizen, W. J. Nuttall, D. Gibson, and E.
- Oftedal eds. (Purdue University Press), pp. 121-134.
- 745 75. Wallsgrove, R. (2013). What can the abolition of slavery teach us about climate change?
- Location action in the liquefied natural gas controversy. U Haw Law Review 35, 687-
- 747 714.
- 748 76. Brack, D. (2019). Target agricultural supply chains to tackle deforestation. Chatham
- House https://www.chathamhouse.org/2019/06/target-agricultural-supply-chains-tackle-
- 750 deforestation
- 77. Foody, G. M., Ling, F., Boyd, D. S., Li, X., and Wardlaw, J. (2019). Earth observation
- and machine learning to meet Sustainable Development goal 8.7: mapping sites
- associated with slavery from space. Remote Sens 11, 266.
- 78. Survivor Alliance. (2020). Nothing about us, without us. Survivor involvement in anti-
- slavery policy making: guidance for policy makers (University of Nottingham Rights
- 756 Lab).

- 757 https://www.antislaverycommissioner.co.uk/media/1395/rights_lab_survivor_involvemen
- 758 t_guide_final_2020.pdf
- 759 79. Griffin, A., and Bales, K. (2020). Social progress and responsible business practice: A
- study on Outland Denim's cut and sew facility in Cambodia (2019) (University of
- Nottingham Rights Lab). https://www.nottingham.ac.uk/research/beacons-of-
- excellence/rights-lab/resources/reports-and-briefings/2020/october/social-progress-and-
- responsible-business-practice.pdf
- 764 80. Datta, M., and Bales K. (2013). Slavery is bad for business: analysing the impact of
- slavery on national economies. The Brown Journal of World Affairs 19, 205-224.
- 766 81. United Kingdom. (2015). Modern Slavery Act 2015.
- 767 http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2015/30/contents/enacted
- 768 82. Boserup, E. (1995). Woman's role in economic development (Earthscan Publications).
- 769 83. UN Development Programme (UNDP). (2019). Gender equality as an accelerator for
- achieving the SDGs. https://www.undp.org/content/undp/en/home/librarypage/womens-
- empowerment/gender-equality-as-an-accelerator-for-achieving-the-sdgs.html
- 84. McGrath, S., and Watson, S. (2018). Anti-slavery as development: A global politics of
- 773 rescue. Geoforum *93*, 22-31.
- 85. Cockayne, J. (2015). Unshackling development: Why we need a global partnership to end
- modern slavery (United Nations University).
- 86. Jackson, B., and Decker Sparks, J. L. (2020). Ending slavery by decarbonisation?
- Exploring the nexus of modern slavery, deforestation, and climate change action via
- 778 REDD+. Energy Res and Soc Sci *69*, 101610.

779

780

781

782	
783	Figure Legends
784	
785	Figure 1. Interactions between SDG Target 8.7 and Environmental Targets Comparison
786	of number and type of interactions between 8.7 and the environmental targets of the SDGs.
787	
788	Figure 2. Heat Map of Interactions by Country Number of interactions per country as
789	identified in the records. Note: Some records only identified interactions at the global or
790	regional (e.g. Southeast Asia) scale and thus were not included in the heat map.
791	
792	
793	
794	
795	
796	
797	
798	
799	
800	
801	
802	
803	
804	
805	
806	
807	
808	
809	
810	

811 Tables

812

813

Table 1. Selected SDG Indicators for Assessment

Goal	Target	t Truncated description			
	6.1 Equitable access to safe drinking water				
	6.3	Improve water quality by reducing pollution			
6 6.4 Reduce number of people s		Reduce number of people suffering from water scarcity			
	6.6	Protect water related ecosystems			
	7.1 Universal access to modern energy (including clean energy)				
7	7.2	Increase renewable energy			
9	9.4 Upgrade infrastructure and retrofit industries to make them sustain				
	11.5	Reduce the number of people affected by disasters			
11	11.b	Increase number of cities and settlements resilient to disasters			
	12.2	Sustainable management and efficient use of natural resources			
12	12.4 Environmentally sound management of chemicals and wastes				
	13.1	Strengthen resilience and adaptive capacity to climate-related hazards and			
		natural disasters			
	13.2	Integrate climate change measures into national policies, strategies, and			
13		planning			
	13.3	Improve education, awareness-raising and human and institutional capacity			
		on climate change mitigation, adaptation, impact reduction and early			
		warning			
	14.2	Sustainably manage and protect marine and coastal ecosystems			
14	14.4	End overfishing and illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing			

	14.6	Prohibit certain forms of fisheries subsidies
	15.1	Ensure the conservation, restoration and sustainable use of terrestrial and
		inland freshwater ecosystems and their services
	15.2	Halt deforestation
15	15.3	Combat desertification
	15.5	Reduce degradation of natural habits and halt biodiversity
	15.7	End poaching and trafficking of protected flora and fauna

Table 2. Sustainable Development Goal Scoring Adapted from Nilsson et al. $(p. 321)^{32}$

Interaction	Name	Nilsson et al.'s	Our Application to Nexus
		Explanation	
+3	Indivisible	Inextricably	Environmental degradation and/or
		linked to the	climate change was identified as the
		achievement of	root cause or primary driver of modern
		another goal.	slavery; therefore, if progress was made
			on the environmental SDG target we
			would expect to see progress on 8.7. Or
			modern slavery was identified as the
			root cause or primary driver of
			environmental degradation; therefore, if
			progress was made on 8.7 we would
			expect to see progress on the
			environmental SDG target

+2	Reinforcing	Aids the	Environmental degradation and/or
		achievement of	climate change was identified as a
		another goal.	factor or secondary or tertiary driver of
			modern slavery; therefore, if progress
			was made on the environmental SDG
			target we would expect to see progress
			on 8.7. Or, modern slavery was
			identified as a secondary or tertiary
			driver or factor of environmental
			degradation; therefore, if progress was
			made on 8.7 we would expect to see
			progress on the environmental SDG
			target
+1	Enabling	Creates	There was an indirect relationship in
		conditions that	that environmental degradation and/or
		further another	climate exacerbate root causes of
		goal.	modern slavery or modern slavery
			amplifies other sources of
			environmental degradation. Therefore
			by making progress on one target, you
			may indirectly benefit the other target.
0	Consistent	No significant	A cursory interaction was noted, but
		positive or	supporting evidence was not yet
		negative	detailed enough to disentangle the
		interaction	direction and strength.
		interaction	direction and strength.

-1	Constraining	Limits options	There was an indirect trade-off in that
		on another goal	the cumulative effects of progress on
			one target would erode progress on the
			other.
-2	Counteracting	Clashes with	Progress on one target directly reduces
		another goal	advances toward achieving the other.
-3	Cancelling	Makes it	Progress on one target completely
		impossible to	neutralizes progress on the other.
		reach another	
		goal	